CHAPTER 2

Aryl Ether Cleavage by Group 9 and 10 Transition Metals: Stoichiometric Studies of Selectivity and Mechanism

Published in part as:

Kelley, P.; Lin, S.; Edouard, G. A.; Day, M. W.; Agapie, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* 2012, *134*, 5480-5483.

Edouard, G. A.; Kelley, P.; Herbert, D. E.; Agapie, T. *Organometallics* 2015, *34*, 5254-5277.

ABSTRACT

The reactivity of terphenyl diphosphines bearing aryl–methyl ether or aryl–aryl ether moieties with M^0 (M = Ni, Pd, Pt), M'^0 (M′ = Co, Rh, Ir), or M^{II} centers was investigated to gain mechanistic insight into intramolecular aryl–ether bond cleavage in structurally related metal complexes. Rh^I converts the aryl–methyl ether moiety to an aryl C–H bond. This is similar to reactivity previously observed at Ni^0 that involves C–O oxidative addition, β-H elimination liberating CH_2O, reductive elimination of an aryl C–H bond, and decarbonylation of CH_2O. Ir^I leads to unselective aryl and alkyl C–O bond activation. In the presence of excess CO, Rh^I and Ir^I display a shift in selectivity and reactivity and cleave the alkyl C–O bond. Co^I does not perform C–O cleavage. Alkyl C–O bond activation was observed with M^{II}–halide complexes with loss of MeCl via a Lewis acid–base mechanism. Pd^0 and Pt^0 cleave selectively the O–Me bond via oxidative addition. With a diaryl ether moiety, Pd^0 and Pt^0 are found to be capable of performing aryl C–O bond activation. Various levels of interactions between the central arene and the metal center were observed, and these were correlated with trends in bond activation. Overall, selective cleavage of the stronger aryl ether C–O bond was observed only with Ni^0 and Rh^I. Pd^0 and Pt^0 can perform aryl ether C–O cleavage, but if available, they will cleave the weaker O–Me bond. This study provides insight into the relative reactivity of group 9 and 10 metal centers with aryl ether bonds and suggests future directions for designing systems for metal-catalyzed cleavage of ether C–O bonds in synthetic methodology as well as lignin deoxygenation.

INTRODUCTION

Cleavage of aryl C–O bonds mediated by transition metals is of significant current interest for organic methodology[1] and biomass conversion.[2] A variety of cross-coupling reactions that utilize phenol-derived electrophiles has been developed.[1] Reductive cleavage has been employed as a deprotection strategy for aryl ethers used as removable directing groups.[3] Given the prevalence of aryl ether linkages in lignin,[4] strategies for the conversion of biomass to liquid fuel and other value- added products have been invoked on the basis of activation of the ether moieties.[2] Although C–O bond cleavage of phenol derivatives has been demonstrated using group 9 (Co,[5] Rh,[5a,6] Ir[7]) and 10 (Ni,[1,3,5d,6e,8] Pd,[1b,6b,6e,9] Pt[9a,10]) transition-metal complexes, the activation of the stronger aryl ether C–O bond is less common.[1a,1b,3,6b,6e,6f,7d,8a,8c-f] We have investigated the mechanism of aryl C–O bond cleavage at Ni by using a diphosphine ligand tethered to a pendant aryl methyl ether (Scheme 1.1).[11] That stoichiometric model provides insight into the mechanism of aryl C–O bond conversion to a C–H moiety. In a prior example, a Rh complex supported by a pincer ligand precursor shows analogous aryl ether C–O activation, but the reaction is arrested at the cyclometalated species due to the stability of the resulting pincer complex, without full conversion of the C–O bond to a C–H bond.[6b,6c] The overall conversion for the Ni system allowed for mapping out of a full pathway of potential relevance to catalytic systems. Indeed, we performed isotopic labeling studies of a Ni–NHC catalytic system[8d,11] that were consistent with the mechanism elucidated with the stoichiometric system, indicating that β-H moieties in the alkyl group of the substrate can provide the reducing equivalents necessary for C–O to C–H conversion. We have extended our stoichiometric studies to investigate the mechanism of C–O cleavage with group 9 and 10 metals in different oxidation states. Intermediates displaying η^2 interactions between Ni^0 and the double bonds

adjacent to the oxygen atoms of phenol derivatives have been found computationally to precede aryl C–O bond activation.[12] The *m*-terphenyl diphosphine motif predisposes group 9 and 10 metals toward interaction with the alkoxy or aryloxy substituents at the 2′-positions of the central rings of ligands **1a-d**. These studies revealed metal-dependent selectivity for aryl C–O versus alkyl C–O bonds and evidence for several different mechanisms of C–O bond activation, providing mechanistic insight for the design of potential catalytic systems using group 9 and 10 transition metals.

Scheme 2.1. Aryl C–O Bond Activation of Ar–O–Me Ether by Ni^0.



We have previously reported[11] that treatment of diphosphines **1a,b** bearing a pendant ether with Ni(COD)$_2$ (COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) at 20 °C afforded the Ni^0 complexes **2a,b** (Scheme 2.1). ^{1}H NMR spectroscopic analysis of the Ni adducts showed a significant shift in the resonance of the protons of the central arene of the ligand upon binding to Ni (**1a**, 7.11 ppm; **1b**, 6.73 ppm; **2a**, 6.31 ppm; **2b**, 5.84 ppm; C_6D_6). These

observations are consistent with strong back-bonding interactions between Ni^0 and the aromatic π systems of the terphenyl diphosphine ligands. The solid-state structures of **2a,b** show the metal center interacting with two carbons of the central arene, with short Ni–C distances (1.96−2.09 Å) and partial localization of the double bonds within the central ring.

Heating solutions of complexes **2a,b** in toluene to 100 °C led to the formation of **4a,b** (Scheme 2.1). In the final products **4a,b**, the central arene bears a hydrogen in place of the methoxy substituent of **2a,b** and a CO ligand was found bound to Ni. Characterization of intermediates, including **3a,b**, by multinuclear NMR spectroscopy, IR spectroscopy, single-crystal X-ray diffraction (XRD), and mechanistic studies revealed that oxidative addition of the aryl C–O bond is followed by β-hydride elimination to afford Ni^{II}–hydride intermediates (**3a,b**) and liberate formaldehyde. Decarbonylation of formaldehyde and reductive elimination of a C–H bond then affords **4a,b** with concomitant release of a molecule of H_2.

RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.1 Bond Activation by Oxidative Addition

2.1a Alkyl C–O Bond Activation by Pd^0 and Pt^0

Pd^0 and Pt^0 complexes of diphosphine **1a** were targeted for comparison of reactivity with the Ni complex **2a**. Reduction of a mixture of $Pd(COD)Cl_2$ (COD = 1,5-cyclooctadiene) and **1a** with cobaltocene (2.4 equiv.) over 12 h resulted in clean conversion to a single new species, **5a** (Scheme 2.2). The resonance observed by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy that can be assigned to the hydrogens of the central arene (7.01 ppm) is shifted relative to that of diphosphine **1a** but not as significantly upfield as was observed for complex **2a**, potentially indicating a weaker metal–arene interaction. In addition, a resonance attributable to an intact methoxy group (3.16 ppm, C_6D_6) was also observed by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy. Assuming reduction of Pd^{II}, both Pd^0 and dinuclear Pd^I species could be consistent with the sharp, diamagnetic NMR spectra observed for **5a**. The identity of compound **5a** was confirmed by single-crystal XRD (Figure 2.1). The metal coordination sphere consists of the two phosphines and an η^1 interaction with the central arene (Pd(1)–C(1) = 2.495(2) Å). No localization of the double-bond character within the central arene ring was observed (C–C = 1.394(3) – 1.408(3) Å; average C–C = 1.399 Å, standard deviation 0.006 Å). Furthermore, the aryl C–O distance for **5a** (C(1)–O(1) = 1.376(3) Å) is shorter than that of **2a** (C(1)–O(1) = 1.406(2) Å; see Figure 2.1), consistent with more π delocalization in the aryl ether moiety of the Pd complex. These bond metrics are consistent with an aryl ether–metal interaction that lacks a strong metal π back-bonding component but is adjacent to the aryl C–O bond, potentially predisposing this bond toward activation.

Scheme 2.2. Alkyl C–O Bond Activation of Ar–O–Me Ether by Pd^0 and Pt^0.



Heating of compound **5a** in *m*-xylene at 135 °C for 4 days resulted in clean conversion to a new complex (**6a**) on the basis of the ^{31}P NMR spectrum (29.76 ppm, C_6D_6; Scheme 2.2). The upfield shift relative to **5a** is consistent with those observed for group 10 M^{II} complexes supported by **1a** (see *Alkyl C–O Bond Cleavage at Ni*^{II} and *Alkyl C–O Bond Cleavage at Pd*^{II} *and Pt*^{II}). A resonance attributable to the protons of the methoxy group of **1a** was not observed in the ^{1}H NMR spectrum of **6a**. However, a triplet at 0.26 ppm (C_6D_6) integrating to 3H was assigned to a Pd–CH_3 moiety.[13] Taken together, these observations support oxidative addition of the methyl–oxygen bond of the aryl ether to generate the square-planar Pd^{II} complex **6a**.

Thus, in contrast to aryl C–O bond activation observed for Ni^0 upon heating compound **2a**, alkyl C–O bond activation was observed with Pd^0. The reasons behind the change in selectivity are not clear but may be related to the different strengths of the metal–arene interactions in the reduced precursors. In addition to the weaker interaction with the arene, the larger Pd center may more easily approach the more distant methyl group.

Figure 2.1. Solid-State Structures of 5a (top) and 8a (bottom). Shown with thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Bond distances are given in Å.



It is notable that the activation of the alkyl C–O bond (ca. 65 kcal/mol for anisole) by Pd^0 is slower than cleavage of the aryl C–O bond (ca. 100 kcal/mol for anisole) by Ni^0.[14] It is notable that the activation of the alkyl C–O bond (ca. 65 kcal/mol for anisole) by Pd^0 is slower than cleavage of the aryl C–O bond (ca. 100 kcal/mol for anisole) by Ni^0.[14] The more compact first-row transition metal Ni forms a tighter η^2 interaction with the central arene, which may prevent the Ni^0 center from coming into close proximity with the weaker alkyl C–O bond.

For comparison, a Pt^0 complex of **1a** was prepared. Treatment of a solution of $Pt(COD)Cl_2$ and **1a** with activated magnesium turnings did not result in conversion to a Pt^0 species. Reduction using magnesium anthracenide afforded a new complex (**7a**) with J_{PtP} = 4730 Hz consistent with related Pt^0 diphosphine complexes[15] (Scheme 2.2). However,

purification attempts were frustrated by persistent anthracene impurities. Clean metalation to **7a** was achieved upon treatment of **1a** with $Pt(COD)_2$.[16] The NMR features of **7a** are reminiscent of **5a**, suggesting a similar structure, with a weak metal–arene interaction.

Heating a solution of **7a** in *m*-xylene at 135 °C for 24 h resulted in conversion to complex **8a**. The Pt–P coupling (J_{PtP} = 3089 Hz) is similar to those observed for related cis-diphosphine Pt^{II}–Me species[17] and smaller than that for Pt^0 precursor **7a**. A resonance assigned to the protons of the methoxy group was not observed in the ^{1}H NMR spectrum of **8a**. Instead, a triplet integrating for three hydrogen atoms was observed at 0.67 ppm, consistent with a Pt–C*H*$_3$ species.[17b,18] Pt satellites were also observed for this peak, corroborating the assignment of **8a**. The structural assignment was confirmed by single-crystal XRD (Figure 2.1). The nearly linear angle ∠C(35)–Pt(1)–O(1) (174.01(5)°) shows that the trans-spanning *m*-terphenyl framework is capable of supporting a slightly distorted square planar (∠C(35)–Pt(1)–P(1) = 91.88(4)°; ∠O(1)–Pt(1)–P(1) = 87.26(3)°) geometry. A *trans* isomer of phenoxide and methyl donors for a diphosphine-supported Pt^{II} is unusual, with the only example characterized in the solid state being reported for a perfluorophenoxide diphenylphosphine.[18] As for the analogous Pd chemistry and in contrast to the Ni analogue **2a**, oxidative addition of the alkyl C–O rather than the aryl C–O bond of the ether occurs with Pt^0. With the cases of both Pd^{II} and Pt^0 activating the weaker alkyl C–O bond of an aryl alkyl ether, it remained unclear whether Pd^0 and Pt^0 could activate any aryl C–O in the present system. To address this question, a diphosphine with a pendant diaryl ether moiety was prepared.

2.1b Aryl C–O Bond Activation at Pd^0 and Pt^0

The reactivity of Ni^0, Pd^0, and Pt^0 with the diaryl ether diphosphines **1c,d** was investigated to determine if these metal centers could activate aryl C–O bonds and their

resultant selectivity (Scheme 2.3). These experiments were designed to test if an aryl C–O bond could be cleaved by Pd and Pt even though oxidative addition of the alkyl C–O bond is observed in complexes **5a** and **7a**. Determining whether the aryl C–O bond proximal or distal to the terphenyl moiety of the diphosphine was cleaved would reveal if the distance from the Pd^0 and Pt^0 centers to the central arene relative to the Ni^0 center prevents the larger metals from cleaving the C–O bond closer to the terphenyl moiety.

The Ni^0 (**9c**), Pd^0 (**10d**), and Pt^0 (**11d**) compounds were synthesized by treatment of diphosphine **1c** with $Ni(COD)_2$, and treatment of diphosphine **1d** with $Pd(COD)Cl_2$ and cobaltocene (2.1 equiv.), or $Pt(COD)_2$ using procedures previously developed for the metalation of **1a** (Scheme 2.3).

Scheme 2.3. C–O Bond Activation of Ar–O–Ar Ether by Pd^0 and Pt^0.



Varying the substitution of the diaryl ether diphosphine aided in the preparation of compounds with solubilities amenable to isolation in moderate yields. All products showed resonances by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy (**9c**, 41.56 ppm; **10d**, 32.45 ppm; **11d**, 55.92 ppm; C_6D_6) similar to the complexes supported by **1a**, consistent with the formation of structurally similar compounds.

Complex **9c** was observed as an intermediate in the reaction of **1c** and Ni(COD)$_2$ at room temperature and converted to the new species **12c** over the course of 13 h. Complexes **10d** and **11d**, however, required heating at 80 °C in C_6D_6 for conversion to the new species **13d** and **14d**, respectively. Treatment of each reaction mixture with HCl followed by extraction in hexanes and analysis by mass spectrometry (MS) showed the formation of *p*-dimethylaminophenol or phenol (GC-MS) and diphosphine **1e** (ESI-MS) (Scheme 2.3). The cleavage of the terphenyl aryl C–O bond with Ni, even when two are available, indicates that there is a preference for activation of the arene that the metal coordinates to, in the present case biased via phosphine chelation. It is notable that both Pd^0 and Pt^0 lead to selective cleavage of the aryl C–O bond that is likely closer to the metal due to phosphine coordination. These data demonstrate that Pd^0 and Pt^0 centers are neither limited to oxidative addition of the C–O bond distal to the terphenyl diphosphine moiety nor incapable of cleaving stronger aryl C–O bonds. Instead, in the case of **5a** and **7a**, selective alkyl C–O bond cleavage may be a result of a weaker η^1 interaction allowing for access to and cleavage of the weaker, distal bond. Although activation of the same aryl C–O bond is observed, the reaction of Ni complex **9c** is significantly faster than that of the Pd and Pt analogues, a fact that correlates with a stronger metal–arene interaction for Ni, as shown in the solid-state structures with **1a**.

2.1c Aryl C–O Bond Activation at Rh

Given the precedent for aryl C–O bond activation by oxidative addition from Rh^{I},[6b,6c,6f] metalations of **1a** with Rh^{I} precursors were performed (Scheme 2.4). Upon addition of **1a** to 0.5 equiv. of $(Rh(COD)Cl)_2$ in THF a new species, **15a**, was generated (*ca.* 70% conversion, ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy) featuring a singlet near that of the free ligand (-4.04 ppm, C_6D_6) and a doublet shifted significantly downfield of **1a** (59.97 ppm, J_{RhP} = 145 Hz) of equal integration. This species was assigned as a Rh^{I} monophosphine with one phosphine of **1a** bound to Rh and one phosphine unbound (compare to **27a**; see *Aryl C–O Bond Activation at Ir*[I] and Scheme 2.7). Treatment of $(Rh(COE)_2Cl)_2$ (COE = cyclooctene) with **1a** led to the formation of two new major species displaying resonances by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy at 35.48 and 38.31 ppm within 30 min in C_6D_6 (Scheme 2.4), indicating that diphosphine **1a** is able to displace the monodentate COE at room temperature more rapidly than the bidentate, chelating COD ligand. The peak at 35.48 ppm is assigned to a Rh^{III}–OMe species (**17a**) on the basis of the corresponding ^{1}H NMR spectrum. The peak at 38.31 ppm corresponds to a species that displays a doublet of triplets in the ^{1}H NMR spectrum at -23.28 ppm (J_{PH} = 13.0 Hz, J_{RhH} = 48 Hz) consistent with a Rh–hydride (**18a**), coupled to two equivalent ^{31}P nuclei and one ^{103}Rh center. Selective ^{31}P heteronuclear decoupling allowed for observation of a doublet for this resonance. Additionally, a doublet of doublets was observed by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy (J_{RhP} = 116 Hz). These data are consistent with the phosphine nuclei coupling to one hydride and one ^{103}Rh center. **18a** does not display a peak corresponding to the CH_3–O group. Stirring compound **18a** at room temperature for an additional 11.5 h resulted in full conversion to a new species (**19a**) with a resonance observed by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy (35.77 ppm, broad) downfield of that observed for **18a**. No spectroscopic evidence of a hydride was

observed, suggesting reductive elimination had occurred to form a C–H bond and afford a $Rh^{I}Cl$ species. A single-crystal XRD study of **19a** confirmed the formation of a Rh^{I}–chloride species, with the phosphines and the arene π system completing the metal coordination sphere. (Figure 2.2). Two crystallographically distinct species were observed in the solid state. One displayed an η^1 interaction (**19a-η^1**) with the central arene, whereas the other displayed an η^2 interaction (**19a-η^2**). The central arene C–C distances in **19a-η^2** are indicative of disruption of aromaticity, with alternating short and long bonds suggesting partial localization of single and double C–C bond character. These distances are similar to those observed for η^2-arene binding with Ni (**2a**) and Co (**38a**; see Figure 2.6). The presence of both η^1 and η^2 binding modes in the solid state and the observation of a single set of NMR resonances in solution for compound **19a** indicate facile interconversion of the isomers. Similar to the case for Rh^{I} in **19a**, Ni^{0} complexes supported by *m*-terphenyldiphosphines show both η^1- and η^2-arene binding.[19] In the absence of an additional ligand, the η^2-coordination mode is observed, with Ni^{0} coordinating two phosphine donors and the arene. Upon binding of CO or acetonitrile, the arene coordination shifts to η^1. For example, the Ni–C distances shift from 1.973(2) Å (Ni(1)–C(1)) and 2.133(2) Å (Ni(1)–C(2)) to 2.089(1) Å (Ni(1)–C(1)) and 2.427(1) Å (Ni(1)–C(2)) upon coordination of acetonitrile (Figure 1.3). By comparison, the structural parameters of **19a-η^2** show a more symmetric interaction with the two carbon centers, suggesting a higher propensity for Rh^{I} to interact with a double-bond moiety of the arene.

Compound **19a** is proposed to form via a pathway (Scheme 1.4) similar to that for the Ni reactivity (Scheme 1.1). Rh^{I} is proposed to coordinate to the two phosphines and the

arene upon substitution of COE, followed by oxidative addition of the aryl C–O bond and β-H elimination.

Scheme 2.4. Aryl C–O Bond Activation of Ar–O–Me Ether by Rh^{I} (this work).



This mechanism is similar to that proposed for C–O cleavage observed for a related diphosphine (**1e**) bearing a pendant methyl ether reported by Milstein and co-workers upon metalation with $(Rh(COE)_2Cl)_2$.[6b,6e] Notably, the aryl ether cleavage reported by Milstein is significantly slower, with only 20% conversion to the analogous Rh^{III}–hydride–aryl species after 24 h at room temperature (Scheme 2.5).

Figure 2.2. Solid-State Structures of 19a-η^1 (top) and 19a-η^2 (bottom). Shown with thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Bond distances are given in Å.



This difference in reactivity could be due to the smaller phosphine substituents and the ability of **1a** to more readily lead to a diphosphine–arene chelate from which aryl C–O activation is facile. In the case of complex **21e** (Scheme 2.5) prepared by Milstein et al., reductive elimination of the aryl C–H bond was not observed, likely due to the high stability offered by the two five-membered chelates of the PCP pincer ligand (**1e**). In contrast, complex **18a** undergoes facile C–H reductive elimination within hours at room temperature. This is likely a consequence of the two six-membered chelates, each strained by the presence of a biphenyldiyl moiety, strain that is relieved by reductive elimination.

Figure 2.3. Solid-State Structural Parameters of the *m*-Terphenyldiphosphine Ni^0 Complex (left) and Its Related Acetonitrile Adduct (right). Bond distances are given in Å.



Scheme 2.5. Aryl C–O Bond Activation of Ar–O–Me Ether by Rh^I (Milstein et al.).[6b,6e]



To further compare our system to the Milstein system, the CO adduct of species **19a** was targeted (Scheme 2.6). Coordination of CO to **19a** can be effected by stirring a solution of **19a** and paraformaldehyde over 4 days, a slower reaction in comparison with

21e. Alternatively, adding CO (1 atm) to a degassed solution of **19a** affords **26a** within 30 min (Scheme 2.4). Trace amounts of **26a** (ca. 5% or less) are also detected in crude reaction mixtures of **1a** and $(Rh(COE)_2Cl)_2$ (^{1}H NMR spectroscopy).

Scheme 2.6. Alkyl C–O Bond Activation of Ar–O–Me Ether by Rh^I.



Compound **26a** displays a new resonance by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy at 38.71 ppm (d, J_{RhP} = 123 Hz) and an IR stretch consistent with a Rh–CO moiety (ν_{CO} 1942 cm^{-1}). In comparison to the broad resonances observed in the ^{1}H NMR spectrum for **19a**, resonances for **26a** are sharp and a triplet (8.82 ppm) and doublet (7.23 ppm) are assigned to the central arene. These upfield-shifted resonances are consistent with little or no back-bonding to the central arene from Rh^I upon coordination of the π-acidic CO ligand. An XRD study confirmed

the assignment of **26a** as a pseudo-square-planar diphosphine $Rh^{I}(CO)Cl$ complex (Figure 2.4). The structure of a similar square-planar $Rh^{I}(CO)Cl$ species has been reported.[20] Four crystallographically distinct molecules were observed in the asymmetric unit. For each molecule, the distance between Rh and the *ipso* carbon of the central arene (2.817(7) – 2.845(6) Å) is consistent with no interaction. The distances between Cl and the calculated position of the *ipso* C*H* (2.430−2.461 Å) suggest a possible H-bonding interaction between the Cl and *ipso* CH of **26a**.[21] This interaction may explain why a single coordination isomer is observed (compare to **23a** and **29a**) in the solid-state structure and the major product by NMR spectroscopy.

Figure 2.4. Solid-State Parameters of 26a. Shown with thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. One of four crystallographically distinct molecules in the asymmetric unit cell is shown. Bond distances are given in Å.



The effect of CO on bond activation chemistry was further studied. Treatment of **1a** with 0.5 equiv. of $(Rh(COE)_2Cl)_2$ under an atmosphere of CO affords a new species (**23a**) as a mixture of isomers displaying two doublets by $^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$ NMR spectroscopy (major, 39.73 ppm, J_{RhP} = 127 Hz; minor, 35.08 ppm, J_{RhP} = 127 Hz; Scheme 2.6). Resonances at 3.07 ppm (major isomer) and 3.02 ppm (minor isomer) in the ^{1}H NMR spectrum are

attributable to the –OC*H*$_3$ substituent of **1a** remaining intact in **23a**, assigned as a diphosphine Rh(Cl)(CO) species with an IR stretch assigned to Rh–CO (ν_{CO} = 1957 cm^{-1}) comparable to those reported in the literature for similar compounds.[20,22] Heating a solution of **23a** in a sealed J. Young tube affords the new compound **24a** with a new resonance observed by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy (43.34 ppm) and an IR stretch (ν_{CO} = 1942 cm^{-1}) comparable to those of previously reported phenoxide diphosphine RhCl species.[23] In the ^{1}H NMR spectrum, a resonance consistent with a –OC*H*$_3$ group was no longer observed and MeCl was detected. This is consistent with alkyl C–O bond cleavage. The identity of the final Rh species was confirmed by independent synthesis from diphosphine **1f** (Scheme 2.6). Thus, in the presence of an excess of a π-acidic ligand (CO) other than the central arene of **1a**, aryl C–O bond activation is suppressed in favor of cleavage of the weaker alkyl C–O bond. This transformation likely proceeds through an acid–base mechanism involving coordination of Rh^{I}–CO to the O atom of **1a**. This observation indicates that an electron-rich metal center is required for activation of the aryl C–O bond. The complete shift in selectivity upon CO addition is notable. In putative catalytic systems, CO poisoning of the metal center and a shift in reactivity could occur.

The reductive elimination for **18a** is faster than for the Ni complex **3a**, which requires heating to 100 °C and the addition of formaldehyde or other donors. The Ni complex is proposed to undergo slow conversion due to the *trans* orientation of the aryl and hydride moieties. Assuming *cis* positioning of these moieties in the five-coordinate Rh complex **18a**,[6b,6e,24] they are poised to undergo reductive elimination more readily than in **3a**. The Rh product **19a** does not display CO coordination, in contrast to the Ni (**4a,b**) case, suggesting that a potential Rh catalyst for the deoxygenation of aryl alkyl ethers may be less prone to deactivation by aldehydes or CO.

2.1d Aryl C–O Bond Activation at Ir^{I}

An Ir^{I} species was targeted to continue the investigation of the series of group 9 metals with the aryl alkyl ether **1a** (Scheme 2.7). As with Rh, the commercially available Ir^{I} compounds $(Ir(COD)Cl)_2$ and $(Ir(COE)_2Cl)_2$ were tested as precursors. Broad resonances near those of **1a** were observed by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy upon stirring of **1a** with 0.5 equiv of $(Ir(COE)_2Cl)_2$ in THF at room temperature after 4 h and remained mostly unchanged after 48 h. No signals consistent with ether bond cleavage were observed by ESI-MS, although a signal consistent with **1a** and Ir (m/z 741) was observed. Treatment of **1a** with $(Ir(COD)Cl)_2$ in THF for 0.5 h, however, afforded a new species, **27a**, that displayed a pair of resonances in its $^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$ NMR spectrum in a 1:1 ratio: one at a chemical shift (-4.01 ppm) close to that observed for the free diphosphine **1a** and one further downfield (47.37 ppm, C_6D_6) (Scheme 2.8). The ^{1}H NMR spectrum displays resonances consistent with bound COD (3.04–3.36 ppm, 8H; 5.31 ppm, 4H) and an intact methoxy group (2.90 ppm). These spectra are consistent with coordination of a single phosphine donor to the Ir(COD)Cl fragment, without loss of the olefin ligand. In contrast to metalation of **1a** with Rh, Ir does not show olefin substitution with phosphine at room temperature, probably due to the stronger metal–olefin interaction in the case of Ir. This reactivity is consistent with the literature; the reaction of phosphine and $(Ir(COD)Cl)_2$ under mild conditions typically results in dimer dissociation to afford four-coordinate mono-phosphine Ir(COD)Cl species.[25] Examples of the use of heat[26] or hydrogenation[27] to dissociate COD have been reported in the case of electron-deficient[26] or bulky[27] phosphines. In contrast, the Rh analogues undergo substitution at room temperature in the absence of H_2.[6b,6e,28] The

faster reaction with $(Ir(COD)Cl)_2$ in comparison to $(Ir(COE)_2Cl)_2$ may be due to the smaller steric profile of one COD vs two COE ligands, although the COE adduct is typically more reactive than the chelating COD ligand.[25]

Scheme 2.7. Nonselective C–O Bond Activation of Ar–O–Me Ether by Ir^I.



Compound **27a** is stable in solution at room temperature for extended periods (^{1}H and ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy, ESI-MS) of time. However, heating a slurry of compound **27a** at 135 °C in *m*-xylene for 63 h affords a new major species, **28a**, in *ca.* 50% NMR yield with a new resonance observed by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy at 38.57 ppm (*m*-xylene), a minor species with an upfield 144.63 ppm resonance, and multiple other minor species with resonances downfield of **1a** (14.08–36.41 ppm, ca. seven species). Masses consistent with both aryl and alkyl bond cleavage were observed by ESI-MS upon treatment of an aliquot

of this reaction mixture with HCl. Thus, C–O bond activation is observed at Ir^{I} but not selectively.

Upon isolation of compound **28a** in analytically pure form, characterization by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy did not reveal a signal consistent with a methoxy resonance, suggesting that ether bond cleavage had occurred. MeCl was detected among the volatile components by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy (2.28 ppm, C_6D_6) consistent with cleavage of the alkyl–O ether bond. Characterization by IR spectroscopy revealed a band (1931 cm^{-1}) consistent with the CO stretch of related diphosphine Ir^{I}–CO adducts.[23a,29] Furthermore, the ^{13}C NMR spectrum shows a resonance at 176.98 ppm (C_6D_6) that corroborates the IR data. The assignment of 28a as an Ir^{I}-phenoxide-CO complex was confirmed by independent synthesis through two routes (Scheme 2.8). Treatment of diphosphine **1f** with benzylpotassium (BnK) followed by addition of 0.5 equiv of $(Ir(COD)Cl)_2$ in THF afforded new species with ^{31}P resonances downfield of those for the free ligand, assigned as an Ir^{I} species supported by a POP-pincer, and another ligand (e.g., solvent or COD) similar to the case for **25a** (see Scheme 2.6). Subsequent treatment of this intermediate species with 1 atm of CO resulted in near-quantitative conversion to a compound with ^{1}H and ^{31}P NMR spectroscopic features identical with those of **28a**. Another synthetic route involved placing a solution of **27a** in C_6H_6 under 1 atm of CO at room temperature. Again, near-quantitative conversion to compound **28a** was observed over the course of 3 days. Here, CO is proposed to displace COD, facilitating diphosphine chelation and MeCl elimination. An intermediate, compound **29a**, was observed by NMR spectroscopy in the conversion of **27a** to **28a** and is assigned as a mixture of diphosphine $Ir^{I}(CO)Cl$ isomers (Scheme 1.7; compare to the Rh^{I} example **23a**; Scheme 2.6). Two singlets are observed for **29a** by $^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$ NMR spectroscopy (major isomer, 31.82 ppm; minor isomer, 26.43 ppm).

Resonances consistent with an intact –OCH_3 moiety are detected by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy as well (major, 3.01 ppm; minor, 2.97 ppm). The observed Ir–O stretch (ν_{CO} = 1937 cm^{-1}) is consistent with examples in the literature,[30] including another square-planar Ir^I(CO)Cl complex (in this case, dinuclear) supported by a trans-spanning diphosphine, for which both coordination isomers were also observed.[30a]

Scheme 2.8. Mechanism of Nonselective C–O Bond Activation by Ir^I.



Direct confirmation of the structure of **28a** came from a single-crystal XRD study of the compound (Figure 2.5a). The coordination about Ir deviates only slightly from square

planar (∠C(35)–Ir(1)–P(1) = 92.00(3)°; ∠O(1)–Ir(1)–P(1) = 90.88(2)°, ∠P(1)–Ir(1)–P(2) = 172.56(2)°, ∠O(1)–Ir(1)–C(35) = 176.18(4)°). The Ir(1)–O(1) bond distance (2.089(1) Å) is similar to that reported by Milstein and coworkers (2.083(5) Å) for an Ir^{III}-hydride-chloride supported by the phenoxide version of diphosphine **1e**, although that example is six-coordinate.[28b] Milstein and co-workers have also isolated a six-coordinate Ir^{I} species supported by a diphosphine tethered to a phenoxium cation.[28a] For that complex, Ir(1)–C(1) and Ir(1)–O(1) distances of 2.189(5) and 2.061(3) Å, respectively, are consistent with η^2 coordination to the phenoxium moiety. In the case of **28a**, the longer Ir(1)–C(1) distance (2.514(1) Å) is consistent with η^1-coordination to the O atom of a phenoxide moiety.

The formation of complex **28a** suggests that both aryl and alkyl C–O bond activation occurs with Ir. Alkyl C–O bond activation with Ir^{I} may occur via several mechanisms to generate MeCl and a POP-pincer Ir^{I} species (**31a**), presumably with one additional ligand (Scheme 2.8). The Ir^{I} center can act as a Lewis acid, as described for Rh^{I} (see *Aryl C–O Bond Activation at Rh*) and Ni^{II} (see *Alkyl C–O Bond Activation at Ni*^{II}). Recently, Ir has also been reported to activate aryl ethers, upon coordination to the π system of the arene, toward alkyl C–O bond cleavage by intermolecular attack of a nucleophile.[7d] Similar to the case for Pd^{0} and Pt^{0} (Scheme 2.2) Ir^{I} can react via oxidative addition of the C–O bond to generate an Ir^{III}-methyl-chloride-phenoxide species that undergoes reductive elimination of MeCl. Ir has also been reported to perform alkyl C–O cleavage of ethers via initial C–H activation at the alkyl group.[7b,7e] From either reaction pathway, the resulting Ir^{I} complex (**27a**) coordinates CO to form **28a**. A CO moiety could be generated from the methyl aryl ether in a fashion similar to that with Ni^{0} (Scheme 2.1) and Rh^{I} (Scheme 1.4) via aryl C–O bond activation, followed by β-H elimination to generate

formaldehyde, which then is decarbonylated by Ir. The Ir complexes resulting from aryl C–O bond activation could not be isolated but were detected by ESI-MS. The yield of formation of **28a**, at *ca.* 50%, is consistent with aryl and alkyl C–O bond activation occurring in approximately a 1:1 ratio.

As discussed for Rh^{I} reactivity in the presence and absence of CO, the more Lewis acidic CO-ligated Ir center likely facilitates C–O bond activation via a Lewis acid-base mechanism. A redox mechanism involving oxidative addition of the O–Me bond followed by reductive elimination of MeCl cannot be ruled out. However, the π back-bonding interaction between Ir^{I} and CO lowers the propensity of the Ir^{I} center to undergo oxidative addition. With a related phosphine ligand, CO bonding to the Ni^{0} center leads to a longer metal-arene interaction, consistent with decreased back-bonding into the antibonding orbitals of the arene.[19] Therefore, the coordination of CO is expected to increase the Lewis acidity of the Ir center by π back-bonding, which increases the rate of alkyl C–O bond activation and decreases the rate of aryl C–O bond activation. The CO stretching frequencies of the Rh and Ir compounds mirror their reactivity toward C–O bond activation. The IR stretches for the diphosphine M(CO)Cl complexes **23a** (M = Rh, ν_{CO} = 1957 cm^{-1}) and **29a** (M = Ir, ν_{CO} = 1937 cm^{-1}) and diphosphine phenoxide M(CO) complexes **24a** (M = Rh, ν_{CO} = 1942 cm^{-1}) and **28a** (M = Ir, ν_{CO} = 1929 cm^{-1}) indicate more π back-bonding to CO from Ir in comparison to that from Rh. This correlates with the faster conversion of **1a** to the alkyl C–O bond activation product **28a** (Scheme 1.7) with Ir versus the conversion of **1a** to **24a** (Scheme 2.6) with Rh.

The reactivity observed for Ir^{I} suggests that Ir may be an attractive candidate for catalyst design. It is capable of cleaving ether C–O bonds, and even in the presence of CO it performs the conversion, although by activating the weaker alkyl C–O bond.

2.2 Bond Activation by Lewis Acid-Base Pathways

2.2a Alkyl C–O Bond Activation at Ni^{II}

Treatment of diphosphine **1a** with group 10 $M^{II}X_2$ (X = Cl) salts was conducted to determine if ether C–O bond cleavage could be effected using a more oxidized metal center. Addition of 1 equiv. of Ni(DME)Cl_2 (DME = dimethoxyethane) to diphosphines **1a,b** at 20 °C gave rise to the new species **32a,b**, respectively, which displayed resonances in ^{31}P NMR spectra (**32a**, 13.74 ppm; **32b**, 14.04 ppm; C_6D_6) significantly upfield of signals observed for the related Ni^{0} complexes **2a,b** (**2a**, 41.04 ppm; **2b**, 40.65 ppm; C_6D_6). In further contrast, the signals assigned to the protons of the central arene resonate downfield in comparison with those of the free phosphine in the ^{1}H NMR spectrum of **32a,b** (**32a**, 7.53 ppm; **32b**, 6.99 ppm; C_6D_6) (Scheme 2.9). In addition, no signals attributable to the –OC*H*$_3$ group were observed. These data suggest cleavage of the ether but no strong metal-arene interaction in the product. To determine the fate of the methyl group and chloride, the reaction was run in a sealed reaction vessel in C_6D_6 and the volatiles were vacuum-transferred to a J. Young tube. A singlet at 2.28 ppm (C_6D_6) in the ^{1}H NMR spectrum indicates the formation of MeCl, as confirmed by comparison against a spectrum of an authentic sample. Formation of MeCl indicates alkyl C–O cleavage to generate a Ni-phenoxide species. A single-crystal XRD study confirmed the assignment of **32b** as a Ni chloride supported by a POP phenoxide (Figure 2.5a) ligand. Two crystallographically distinct molecules were observed with different orientations of the dimethylamino

substituents. Average *cis* L–M–L angles (90.31(5)°, standard deviation 3.4) and *trans* L–M–L angles (∠P(1)–Ni(1)–P(2) = 168.72(3)°; ∠P(3)–Ni(2)–P(4) = 167.73(3)°) are consistent with a square-planar geometry. The angles between the planes defined by the carbons of the central arene (C(1) through C(6); C(33) through C(38)) and the dimethylamino substituents (C(19), C(20), and N(1); C(52), C(53), and N(2)) of the molecules are quite different (16.41, 65.88°). The dimethylamino substituent in one molecule is nearly in plane with the central arene, and a shorter N(2)–C(36) distance (1.383(4) Å) versus N(1)–C(4) in the other molecule (1.432(4) Å) suggests possible stabilization of an iminoquinone-like moiety; however, neither localization of double-bond character of the central arene nor contraction of the phenolic C–O bond is observed (Figure 2.5a). Thus, for both crystallographically distinct molecules, solid-state structural metrics are most consistent with a Ni–phenoxide–chloride–diphosphine. The solid-state structure of **32b** is unusual, as it displays metal coordination to oxygen with an Ni–O vector perpendicular (∠C(1)–O(1)–Ni(1) = 95.03(2)°; ∠C(33)–O(2)–Ni(2) = 90.58(2)°) to the plane of the phenoxide; typical Ni–O–aryl angles for Ni phenoxides range from 111 to 130°.[31]

Ni^{II}-mediated cleavage of the alkyl C–O bond is proposed to occur via a Lewis acid-base mechanism. Donation of electron density from the oxygen atom in **1a,b** to the Lewis acidic Ni^{II} weakens both O–CH_3 and Ni–Cl bonds. Elimination of MeCl affords the Ni–phenoxide–chloride product. A related mechanism could involve initial dissociation of chloride, followed by nucleophilic attack by an outer-sphere halide at the methoxy carbon of the diphosphine. Milstein and co-workers have reported cleavage of the methyl C–O bond of the aryl/methyl ether of a related diphosphine upon treatment of that ligand with group 10 $M^{II}X_2$ precursors (two examples, M = Pd, X = OTf; M = Ni, X = I) to afford a

$M^{II}X$ fragment supported by a POP-pincer ligand.[6b,6e,8g] Examples of intramolecular methyl C–O bond cleavage by elimination of MeCl have been reported for Fe^{II},[32] Ru^{II},[33] Ni^{II},[6e,8g] Pd^{II},[6c,9a,9b,28b] Pt^{II},[9a,10a] and Rh^{III}.[6a,6c] In addition, intramolecular C–O bond cleavage in related diphosphine systems through a mechanism involving nucleophilic attack of a ligand on the methyl group of a transition-metal-coordinated methoxy moiety has been reported for Ru^{II},[34] Rh^{I},[35] Ir^{I},[35] and Pd.[9b] The absence of evidence of phosphonium at the end of the reaction to afford **32a,b** is inconsistent with that alternate reaction mechanism.

Figure 2.5a. (top to bottom) **Solid-state parameters of 28a and 29a** (two crystallographically distinct molecules observed in the asymmetric unit, both shown). Shown with thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Bond distances are given in Å.



Figure 2.5b. Solid-state parameters of 31a (two crystallographically distinct molecules observed in the asymmetric unit cell, both shown) shown with thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Bond distances are given in Å.



2.2b Alkyl C–O Bond Activation at Pd^{II} and Pt^{II}

Ether activation with Pd^{II} was tested by treatment of **1a** with 1 equiv. of Pd(COD)Cl$_2$ (Scheme 2.9) ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR spectroscopy revealed the formation of a new major species, **33a**, in 90% NMR yield over the course of 1 h with a resonance observed by ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR spectroscopy upfield of the resonance for the free ligand (**33a**; 26.28 ppm, C_6D_6). In contrast to the Ni^{II} compounds **32a,b** a resonance assigned to the protons of a methoxy group in compound **32a** (3.07 ppm, C_6D_6) was observed by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy at room temperature, near the resonance of the protons assigned to the methoxy group of **1a** (3.02 ppm, C_6D_6).

These observations are consistent with substitution of COD by diphosphine **1a** to afford a square-planar Pd^{II} species with two *trans* chloride ligands and a *trans*-spanning diphosphine. Attempts to characterize this compound by crystallography have been unsuccessful, but X-ray diffraction quality crystals were obtained for a related species.

To confirm the binding motif proposed for **33a**, diphosphine **1a** was treated with 1 equiv. of $[Pd(MeCN)_4][(BF4)_2]$ to afford the new species **34a** with a resonance observed by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy at 38.38 ppm (CD_3CN). Protons assigned to a methoxy group (3.09 ppm, CD_3CN) were observed by ^{1}H NMR spectroscopy. A resonance observed by ^{19}F NMR spectroscopy (-149.28 ppm) was assigned to outer-sphere BF_4 anions. These data suggest the formation of square-planar Pd^{II} species with the two phosphines and MeCN coordinated to the metal center. A single-crystal XRD study of **34a** (Figure 2.5b) shows two crystallographically distinct molecules, both with two MeCN molecules coordinating to Pd in a *trans* fashion, and **1a** acting as a *trans*-spanning diphosphine ligand. The Pd(1)–C(1) and Pd(2)–C(40) distances are 2.874(2) and 2.872(2) Å, respectively. These bond distances indicate that there is no interaction between the metal center and the ether and arene moieties. On the basis of these structural data and the similarity of the NMR spectra to those of **33a**, we assign compound **33a** as a square-planar Pd^{II} species bearing two *trans* chloride ligands.

Heating **1a** and $Pd(COD)Cl_2$ in an 80 °C oil bath in a sealed vessel over the course of 4 h in C_6H_6 resulted in full conversion to species **35a**. The ^{1}H NMR spectrum of **35a** is similar to that of Ni^{II} compound **32a**, with a diagnostic shift of the resonance of the protons of the central arene downfield (7.31 ppm, C_6D_6) of free phosphine and no resonance that could be assigned to an intact methoxy substituent. On the basis of these spectroscopic

features, the product was assigned as analogous to **32a**, with cleavage of the alkyl C–O bond.

The reactivity of **1a** with a Pt^{II} complex was explored. Treatment of **1a** with 1 equiv. of $Pt(COD)Cl_2$ gave 50% conversion from free ligand to afford the new major species **36a** ($^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR spectroscopy: 17.96 ppm, C_6D_6) in 40% NMR yield over the course of 12 h (notably, a reaction time longer than that required with either $Ni(DME)Cl_2$ or $Pd(COD)Cl_2$) and unidentified products with resonances observed by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy upfield of free phosphine **1a** (Scheme 2.9). Similar to the case for compound **33a**, resonances assigned to the protons of a methyl group in compound **36a** (3.08 ppm) were observed by ^1H NMR spectroscopy, near the resonance of the protons assigned to the methoxy group of compound **1a** (3.02 ppm). These observations are consistent with substitution of COD by the phosphine **1a**. On the basis of the spectroscopic similarities to compound **33a**, we assign **36a** as an isostructural square-planar Pt^{II} species.

Heating **1a** and $Pt(COD)Cl_2$ in an 80 °C oil bath in a sealed vessel over the course of 4 h in C_6H_6 resulted in full conversion to compound **37a**. The ^1H NMR spectrum of **37a** is similar to that of compounds **32a** and **35a**, with a diagnostic shift of the resonance of the protons of the central arene downfield (7.33 ppm, C_6D_6) of free ligand and no resonance consistent with a methoxy substituent remaining intact, consistent with the assignment of this compound as a diphosphine Pt^{II} chloride phenoxide. Phenoxide PtCl compounds supported by *trans*-spanning diphosphines are rare in the literature; the observed Pt–P coupling (**36a**, J_{PtP} = 2609 Hz, C_6D_6; **37a**, J_{PtP} = 2812 Hz, C_6D_6) for both Pt^{II} chloride species is smaller than that reported for a salicylaldiminato $Pt^{II}Cl$ in the literature with *trans*-phenoxide and chloride ligands.[36]

Scheme 2.9. Alkyl C–O Bond Activation of Ar–O–Me Ether by Group 10 Metals.



In contrast to Ni^{II}, both Pd^{II} and Pt^{II} diphosphine dihalides are isolable at room temperature. This is consistent with the decrease in Lewis acidity upon descending a group. Whereas alkyl C–O bond activation is observed at room temperature with Ni^{II}, heating is required to drive the less Lewis acidic metals to activate the alkyl C–O bond of diphosphine **1a**.

2.2c Alkyl C–O Bond Activation at Rh^{I} and Ir^{I}

As discussed under *Aryl C–O Bond Activation at Rh^{I}* and *Aryl C–O Bond Activation at Ir^{I}*, the cleavage of the alkyl C–O bond by Rh^{I} and Ir^{I} in the presence of CO is proposed to occur via a Lewis acid-base mechanism, but a redox mechanism cannot be ruled out.

2.3 No Ether C–O Bond Activation at Co^{I}

Reduction of an *in situ* generated THF adduct of $CoBr_2$ in the presence of diphosphine **1a** with cobaltocene in a nonpolar solvent (toluene) afforded the new species

38a. Reduction in nonpolar solvent following addition of metal halide to phosphine in THF has been previously employed in the synthesis of low-valent late transition-metal complexes.[37] Compound **38a** displays paramagnetically shifted peaks from ca. -10.00 to 55.00 ppm in the ^{1}H NMR spectrum and no detectable resonance by ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy.

Figure 2.6. Solid-state parameters of 2a and 38a. Shown with thermal ellipsoids at the 50% probability level. Hydrogen atoms are omitted for clarity. Bond distances are given in Å.



A single-crystal XRD study confirmed the assignment of **38a** (Figure 2.6). In the solid state, the Co^{I} compound is pseudo-tetrahedral, with coordination of the two phosphines, a bromide, and part of the ligand arene π system. As with **2a**, an η^2 interaction between Co and two carbons of the central arene was observed (Co–C(1) = 2.413(1) Å; Co–C(6) = 2.228(1) Å), similar to that seen with the Ni^{0} species **2a,b** (Ni–C(1) = 1.958(1) Å;

Ni–C(6) = 2.087(1) Å). The longer Co–C distances are consistent with the longer covalent radius of high-spin Co (1.50 Å) vs low-spin Ni (1.24 Å).[38] A shorter bond distance is observed between the two carbons bound η^2 to Co in **38a** (C(1)–C(6) = 1.413(2) Å) versus those bound to Ni in **2a** (C(1)–C(6) = 1.444(2) Å). Furthermore, longer C–C bonds are observed between the carbons displaying partially localized double-bond character in the central arene ring in **38a** (C(2)–C(3) = 1.390(2) Å, C(4)–C(5) = 1.385(2) Å) in comparison with **2a** (C(2)–C(3) = 1.374(2) Å, C(4)–C(5) = 1.374(2) Å), consistent with more limited disruption of aromaticity arising from the metal-arene interaction in the case of **38a** versus **2a**.

As **38a** was found to be stable in solution at room temperature (^{1}H and ^{31}P NMR spectroscopy, ESI-MS), a solution of the Co^I compound was heated to determine if ether bond activation could be induced at higher temperatures. Heating compound **38a** at 80 °C in C_6D_6 for 12 h afforded a black insoluble precipitate and free phosphine **1a** with no ether bond activation products observed by NMR spectroscopy or ESI-MS. Although activation of the O–Me bond was observed with Ni^{II}, also a d^8 metal center, lack of ether cleavage with **38a** is likely due to the lower Lewis acidity of Co^I vs Ni^{II}. More reduced Co complexes, isoelectronic with Ni^0 species **2a,b** known to perform ether activation, were targeted. However, attempts to reduce **38a** over Na/Hg amalgam afforded primarily free phosphine and black precipitate. To access a halide-free version of **38a**, treatment with TlOTf (OTf = trifluoromethanesulfonate) afforded a new, diamagnetic complex. On the basis of an upfield shift of the protons of the central arene, the interaction between the metal center and the arene remains strong. However, heating this compound at 80 °C in C_6D_6 for 12 h only afforded a black insoluble precipitate and free phosphine **1a** (^{1}H and ^{31}P NMR

spectroscopy, ESI-MS). Thus, C–O bond activation was not observed at Co^{I} supported by **1a**.

CONCLUSIONS

Scheme 2.10. Summary of Aryl Ether Bond Activation Reactivity.



Several modes of ether CO bond cleavage have been observed for aryl alkyl and diaryl ethers bearing pendant phosphines (Scheme 2.10). Ni^0 and Rh^I are both selective for the cleavage of the stronger aryl C–O bond in aryl alkyl ethers. Moreover, conversion of the C–O bond to C–H is observed, modeling the full conversion desirable in the context of substrate deoxygenation, particularly important for lignin cleavage and conversion to fine chemicals or fuels. Activation by Rh^I occurs under very mild conditions of room temperature within 3 h and is significantly faster than that by Ni^0. CO coordination is

observed at Ni^0, but not the major Rh^I product, suggesting that potential catalyst poisoning is less likely with Rh^I. Ni^0 and Rh^I react by a redox mechanism involving oxidative addition of the C–O bond, β-H elimination, and C–H reductive elimination. Ni^{II}, Pd^{II}, Pt^{II}, Pd^0, and Pt^0 perform the selective cleavage of the alkyl C–O bond of aryl alkyl ethers. Ni^{II}, Pd^{II}, and Pt^{II} are proposed to facilitate the transformation via a Lewis acid-base mechanism. Cleavage of the Me–O bond by Ni^{II} demonstrates that the metal center can access that position; therefore, the selective activation of the aryl C–O bond is not a matter of steric accessibility. Pd^0 and Pt^0 activate the alkyl C–O bond by oxidative addition. Studies with a diaryl ether moiety show that both Pd^0 and Pt^0 can cleave the aryl C–O bond, indicating that for aryl alkyl ethers these prefer the weaker but more distant alkyl C–O bond, unlike Ni^0. The stronger metal–arene interaction observed with Ni^0 and Rh^I correlates with selective and fast cleavage of the aryl C–O bond. Ir^I is unselective, cleaving the aryl C–O bond concurrently with the alkyl C–O bond. In the presence of CO, however, selective cleavage of the alkyl C–O bond was observed for both Rh^I and Ir^I, a notable change in mechanism. Overall, the present studies have provided a thorough and systematic comparison of the reactivity of group 9 and 10 metals when presented with a sterically proximal ether C–O activation. On the basis of these model studies, Ni^0 and Rh^I are particularly well suited for catalysis, as they could undergo complete C–O to C–H conversion; indeed, Ni-based catalysts have already been reported. Depending on the nature of the substrate and desired outcome, Ni^0, Pd^0, Pt^0, Rh^I, and Ir^I are all potential candidates for the development of catalysts for the cleavage of aryl ether C–O bonds.

EXPERIMENTAL SECTION:

General considerations

Unless otherwise indicated, reactions performed under inert atmosphere were carried out in oven-dried glassware in a glovebox under a nitrogen atmosphere purified by circulation through RCI-DRI 13X-0408 Molecular Sieves 13X, 4x8 Mesh Beads and BASF PuriStar® Catalyst R3-11G, 5x3 mm (Research Catalysts, Inc.). Solvents for all reactions were dried by Grubbs' method.[39] Acetonitrile-d_3, benzene-d_6, chloroform-d_1, and dichloromethane-d_2 were purchased from Cambridge Isotope Laboratories. Benzene-d_6 was vacuum distilled from sodium benzophenone ketyl. Acetonitrile-d_3 and dichloromethane-d_2 were vacuum distilled from calcium hydride. Alumina and Celite were activated by heating under vacuum at 200 °C for 24 h. 1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-methoxybenzene (**1a**),[11] 1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophosphino)-5-dimethylamino-2-methoxybenzene (**1b**),[11] bis(1,5-cyclooctadiene)platinum,[16] 1-(*tert*-butyl)-3,5-diiodobenzene,[40] 4-(tert-butyl)-2,6-diiodophenol,[41] chloromethyl methyl ether,[42] and benzyl potassium[43] were synthesized following literature procedures. [(4-nitrophenyl)(phenyl)iodonium] [trifluoromethanesulfonate][44] was synthesized by the method of Olofsson and co-workers and matched previously reported spectra.[45] All other materials were used as received. ^{1}H, ^{13}C, ^{19}F, and ^{31}P NMR spectra were recorded on Varian or Bruker spectrometers at ambient temperature unless denoted otherwise. Chemical shifts are reported with respect to internal solvent for ^{1}H and ^{13}C NMR data, respectively: 1.94 ppm and 118.26 and 1.32 ppm (CD_3CN); 7.16 ppm and 128.06 ppm (C_6D_6); 7.26 ppm and 77.16 ppm ($CDCl_3$); 5.32 ppm and 53.84 ppm (CD_2Cl_2).[46] ^{19}F and ^{31}P NMR chemical shifts are reported with respect to an external standard of C_6F_6 (-164.9 ppm) and 85% H_3PO_4 (0.0 ppm), respectively, unless

denoted otherwise. Apparent triplets are denoted: "app t". For apparent triplets, the reported coupling constant represents average of coupling to two phosphorous nuclei. IR measurements were obtained on a Bruker Alpha spectrometer equipped with a diamond ATR probe. Fast atom bombardment-mass spectrometry (FAB-MS) analysis was performed with a JEOL JMS-600H high resolution mass spectrometer. Elemental analysis (EA) was conducted by Robertson Microlit Laboratories, Inc. (Ledgewood, NJ). NMR data are provided for all newly reported compounds. In the following complexes, the carbons of the terphenyl backbone are assigned using the following schemes:



Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-methoxybenzene]-palladium(0) (5a).



1a **5a**

In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stir bar, $Pd(COD)Cl_2$ (0.172 g, 0.601 mmol, 1.10 equiv.), and THF (4 mL). A yellow solution was observed. A pale yellow solution of diphosphine **1a** (0.300 g, 0.547 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) in THF (4 mL) was added dropwise to the solution of $Pd(COD)Cl_2$. After 15 min., an orange homogenous solution was observed. A dark purple solution of

cobaltocene (0.248 g, 1.312 mmol, 2.4 equiv.) in THF was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. A dark yellow brown solution was observed after 1 h. After 12 h, the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to afford a yellow-brown powder. This powder was washed on a fritted glass funnel three times with pentane (6 mL) then extracted three times with C_6H_6 (4 mL). The portions of the C_6H_6 filtrate were combined and the volatiles were lyophilized under reduced pressure to afford **5a** (0.223 g, 0.387 mmol, 70.6%) as a yellow-brown powder. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.47 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_6$), 7.38 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.19 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*$_6$& Ar-*H*$_7$), 7.01 (t, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 3.16 (s, 3H, -OC*H*$_3$), 2.18 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.91 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.44 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.36 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3)_3$), 1.25 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.12 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 0.96 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 148.83 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 142.61 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 140.34 (s, Ar-*C*$_1$), 137.50 (app t, Ar-*C*$_5$, J_{PC} = 11.6 Hz), 135.21 (app t, Ar-*C*$_2$, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 132.12 (app t, Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 1.0 Hz), 130.88 (app t, Ar-*C*$_6$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 128.40 (s, br, Ar-*C*$_7$ or Ar-*C*$_8$), 126.93 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$ or Ar-*C*$_8$), 126.70 (app t, Ar-*C*$_3$), 59.92 (s, -O*C*H_3), 34.44 (s, -*C*$(CH_3)_3$), 31.74 (s, -C(*C*$H_3)_3$), 28.21 (app t, -*C*$H(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 5.6 Hz), 23.24 (app t, -*C*$H(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 7.1 Hz), 21.37 (app t, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 5.1 Hz), 20.68 (app t, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 9.1 Hz), 20.47 (app t, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 18.78 (app t, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 1.0 Hz). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 33.17 (s). Anal. calcd. for $C_{35}H_{50}OP_2Pd$ (%): C, 64.17; H, 7.69. Found: C, 63.90; H, 7.68.

Synthesis of [2,6-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-4-tert-butyl-phenoxide]palladium(II)methyl (6a).



5a **6a**

In the glovebox, a 100 mL Schlenk tube fitted with a Teflon screw cap was charged with a yellow solution of **5a** (0.050 g, 0.076 mmol) in *m*-xylene (4 mL), sealed, removed from the glovebox and placed in an oil bath preheated to 135 °C. The solution was heated and stirred for 96 h. The Schlenk tube was removed from the oil bath and a yellow solution was observed. This solution was filtered through Celite in the glovebox and solvent removed under reduced pressure. The resulting yellow oil was dissolved in a minimum of C_6H_6 and volatiles were lyophilized under reduced pressure to afford **6a** (0.0387 g, 0.059 mmol, 77.4%) as a yellow solid. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.34 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_5$), 7.28-7.21 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*$_6$), 7.19-7.13 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.05 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_7$), 2.24 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 2.08 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.51 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3)_3$), 1.47 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.25 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 0.85 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 0.71 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 0.26 (t, 3H, Pd-C*H*$_3$, J_{PH} = 6.0 Hz). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 152.34 (app t, Ar-*C*$_2$, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz), 150.65 (t, Ar-*C*$_1$, J_{PC} = 7.6 Hz), 136.73 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 133.84 (app t, Ar-*C*$_8$, J_{PC} = 4.0), 131.36 (app t, Ar-*C*$_6$, J_{PC} = 2.0), 131.26 (app t, Ar-C$_5$, J_{PC} = 1.5), 130.56 (app t, Ar-C$_9$, J_{PC} = 1.0 Hz), 129.00 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$), 124.91 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 124.64 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 21.7 Hz), 34.15 (s, -*C*$(CH_3)_3$), 32.28 (s, -C(*C*$H_3)_3$), 23.95 (app t, -*C*$H(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 10.61 Hz), 20.84 (app t, -*C*$H(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 10.61 Hz), 19.66 (app t, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 3.5 Hz), 19.39 (app t, -

CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 18.62 (app t, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 15.52 (app t, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0), -13.03 (t, Pd-CH$_3$, J_{PC} = 7.6 Hz). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 29.76 (s). Anal. calcd. for C$_{35}$H$_{50}$OP$_2$Pd (%): C, 64.17; H, 7.69. Found: C, 63.93; H, 7.53.

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-methoxybenzene]-platinum(0) (7a).



1a **7a**

In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stir bar and Pt(COD)$_2$[16] (0.027 g, 0.066 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) as a solution in Et$_2$O (1 mL). Diphosphine **1a** (0.036 g, 0.066 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was added to this vial as a solution in Et$_2$O (2 mL). After 1 h, a yellow solution was observed. The yellow solution was filtered through Celite and volatiles were removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure. A pale yellow solid was observed. This solid was washed with three times with pentane (1 mL) and extracted with three portions of Et$_2$O (1 mL). Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure from this Et$_2$O solution to afford **7a** (0.012 g, 0.016 mmol, 24.7%) as an off-white solid. ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 7.47 (m, 2H, Ar-H_6), 7.31 (m, 2H, Ar-H_9), 7.18 (m, 4H, Ar-H_7 & Ar-H_8), 7.02 (s, Ar-H_3), 3.28 (s, 3H, -OCH_3), 2.28 (m, 2H, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 2.03 (m, 2H, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.51 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 1.37 (s, 9H, -C(CH_3)$_3$), 1.30 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 1.13 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 0.95 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (126 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 148.92 (app t, Ar-C_5, J_{PC} = 8.5 Hz), 142.93 (s, Ar-C_1), 141.42 (s, Ar-C_4), 135.77 (app t, Ar-C_2, J_{PC} = 2.4

Hz), 135.29 (app t, Ar-C_{10}, J_{PC} = 16.9 Hz), 132.20 (app t, Ar-C_9, J_{PC} = 1.8 Hz), 131.38 (app t, Ar-C_6, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 128.61 (app t, Ar-C_7 or Ar-C_8, J_{PC} = 128.61), 127.28 (s, Ar-C_3), 126.84 (app t, Ar-C_7 or Ar-C_8, J_{PC} = 2.4 Hz), 59.14 (s, -OCH$_3$), 34.48 (s, -C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 31.65 (s, -C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 28.93 (app t, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 11.5 Hz), 24.74 (app t, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 14.5 Hz), 20.83 (app t, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 20.56 (app t, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 4.8 Hz), 20.24 (app t, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.6 Hz), 18.74 (s, br, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 56.68 (J_{PtP} = 4729.9 Hz). Anal. calcd. for $C_{35}H_{50}OP_2Pt$, 56.52; H, 6.78. Found: C, 56.72, H, 6.58.

Synthesis of [2,6-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-4-tert-butyl-phenoxide]-platinum(II)methyl (8a).



7a **8a**

Method A: In the glovebox, a J. Young tube was charged with a colorless solution of **7a** (0.020 g, 0.026 mmol) in *m*-xylene (*ca.* 0.6 mL), sealed, removed from the glovebox, and placed in an oil bath preheated to 135 °C for 24 h to afford a colorless solution of **8a** (NMR yield: quantitative).



1a **8a**

Method B: In the glovebox, a Schlenk tube fitted with a Teflon screw cap was charged with a stir bar, diphosphine **1a** (0.200 g, 0.365 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) as a solution in C_6H_6 (4 mL), and Pt(COD)Me_2 (0.122 g, 0.365 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) as a solution in C_6H_6 (2 mL). The Schlenk tube was removed from the glovebox, placed in an oil bath preheated to 80 ºC, and heated while stirring. After 12 h, the Schlenk tube was removed from the oil bath and bought into the glovebox. A pale yellow solution was observed. This C_6H_6 solution was filtered through Celite and volatiles removed under reduced pressure to afford an off white solution. Diffusion of hexanes into a concentrated solution of this off-white solid in C_6H_6 afforded **8a** (0.048 g, 0.064 mmol, 17.6%) as a white precipitate. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.33 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 7.12-7.29 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*$_6$ & Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.15 (obscured by solvent residual, detected by HSQC, 2H, Ar-*H*$_7$ or Ar-*H*$_8$), 7.07 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_7$ or Ar-*H*$_8$), 2.35 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 2.26 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.50 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3)_3$), 1.47 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.29 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 0.87 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 0.70 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 0.67 (t, 3H, Pt-C*H*$_3$, J_{PH} = 12.0 Hz). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 151.48 (s, Ar-*C*$_1$), 149.79 (app t, Ar-*C*$_5$, J_{PC} = 7.1 Hz), 137.22 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 134.02 (app t, Ar-*C*$_6$ or Ar-*C*$_9$), 131.67 (app t, Ar-*C*$_6$ or Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 7.5 Hz), 131.16 (s, Ar-*C*$_2$), 130.46 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$ or Ar-*C*$_8$, 1.0 Hz), 128.93 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$), 125.07 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$ or Ar-*C*$_8$, J_{PC} = 3.5), 122.61 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 25.3 Hz), 34.23 (s, -*C*$(CH_3)_3$), 32.20 (s, -C(*C*$H_3)_3$), 24.88 (app t, -*C*H$(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 15.2 Hz), 20.16

(app t, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 14.1 Hz), 19.24 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 18.84 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 18.09 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 15.61 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz), -30.15 (t, Pt-*C*H$_3$, J_{PC} = 7.1 Hz). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 29.24 (J_{PtP} = 3089.1 Hz). Anal. calcd. for C$_{35}$H$_{50}$OP$_2$Pt (%): C, 56.52; H, 6.78. Found: C, 56.28; H, 6.55.

Synthesis of 5-(tert-butyl)-1,3-diiodo-2-(4-nitrophenoxy)benzene.



5-(tert-butyl)-1,3-diiodo-2-(4-nitrophenoxy)benzene was synthesized using a modification of the procedure reported by Olofsson and co-workers for the arylation of malonates with diaryl iodonium salts.[47] In a round bottom flask in the fume hood, NaOH (0.406 g, 10.2 mmol, 1.50 equiv.) and 4-(tert-butyl)-2,6-diiodophenol[41] (2.720 g, 6.8 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) were stirred in THF (20 mL). To this slurry was added [(4-nitrophenyl)(phenyl)iodonium][trifluoromethanesulfonate][44-45] (4.020 g, 8.5 mmol, 1.25 equiv.). This dark brown mixture was heated to 50 °C for 1 h, then solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The resulting residue was dissolved in a 90:10 hexanes:Et$_2$O (50 mL) mixture. A yellow solid precipitated from solution. The precipitate was collected via filtration affording 5-(tert-butyl)-1,3-diiodo-2-(4-nitrophenoxy)benzene (1.193 g, 2.3 mmol, 33.7%) as a yellow solid. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.22 (d, 2H, Ar-*H*, J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz), 7.85 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.89 (d, 2H, Ar-*H*, J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz), 1.33 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 161.19 (s,

Ar-*C*), 153.29 (Ar-*C*), 150.73 (Ar-*C*), 143.04 (s, Ar-*C*), 137.87 (s, Ar-*C*H), 126.20 (s, Ar-*C*H), 116.02 (s, Ar-*C*H), 90.20 (s, Ar-*C*), 34.68 (s, -*C*(CH_3)$_3$), 31.32 (s, -C(*C*H_3)$_3$). MS (m/z) calcd. for $C_{16}H_{15}I_2NO_3$: 523.9220 (M+H). Found 523.9227 (FAB+, M+H).

Synthesis of 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-(4'-nitrophenoxy)-5-tert-butyl-benzene.



1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-(4'-nitrophenoxy)-5-tert-butyl-benzene was synthesized using a modification of the procedure reported by Albrecht and co-workers for the Suzuki coupling of aryl halides with boronic acids.[48] In the fume hood, a 500 mL Schlenk flask fitted with a Teflon screw cap was charged with 5-(tert-butyl)-1,3-diiodo-2-(4-nitrophenoxy)benzene (1.193 g, 2.28 mmol, 1.00 equiv.), 2-bromo-phenylboronic acid (1.008 g. 5.02 mmol, 2.20 equiv.), K_2CO_3 (1.891 g, 13.68 mmol, 6.00 equiv.), 140 mL toluene, 40 mL EtOH, and 40 mL deionized H_2O. On the Schlenk line, the mixture was degassed by three freeze-pump-thaw cycles, after which $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ (0.132 g, 0.114 mmol, 0.05 equiv.) was added as a solid with a counterflow of nitrogen. The reaction vessel was then placed in an oil bath pre-heated to 75 °C. After stirring for 6 h, the reaction mixture was removed from the oil bath, allowed to cool to room temperature, concentrated via rotary evaporation, and diluted with 200 mL H_2O. The solution was extracted with three portions of CH_2Cl_2 (75 mL). The combined organic fraction were dried over $MgSO_4$, filtered and concentrated via rotary evaporation to afford a dark red oil. The crude 1,3-

bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-(4'-nitrophenoxy)-5-tert-butyl-benzene was reduced without purification. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.86 (d, 2H, Ar-H_{13}, J_{HH} = 9.0 Hz), 7.54 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.44 (s, 2H, Ar-H_8), 7.35 – 7.14 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*), 7.09 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.61 (d, 2H, Ar-H_{12}, J_{HH} = 9.0 Hz), 1.41 (s, 9H, -C(CH_3)$_3$).

Synthesis of 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-(4'-aminophenoxy)-5-tert-butyl-benzene.



1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-(4'-aminophenoxy)-5-tert-butyl-benzene was synthesized from 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-(4'-nitrophenoxy)-5-tert-butyl-benzene using a literature procedure.[49] The crude 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-(4'-aminophenoxy)-5-tert-butyl-benzene was methylated without purification. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.54 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.36 (s, 2H, Ar-H_8), 7.31 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.18 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.07 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.28 (m, 4H, Ar-H_{12} and Ar-H_{13}), 3.26 (s, br, 2H, -NH_2), 1.38 (s, 9H, -C(CH_3)$_3$).

Synthesis of 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-(4'-dimethylaminophenoxy)-5-tert-butyl-benzene.



1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-(4'-dimethylaminophenoxy)-5-tert-butyl-benzene was synthesized from 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-(4'-aminophenoxy)-5-tert-butyl-benzene using a literature procedure.[50] The product was purified by flash chromatography (CH_2Cl_2) and isolated as a colorless solid. Yield: 33.8 %. 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-(4'-dimethylaminophenoxy)-5-tert-butyl-benzene of this purity was used in a subsequent phosphination (via lithium-halogen exchange and dialkyl chlorophosphine quench) without further purification. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.59 (s, 2H Ar-*H*), 7.45 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.41 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.83 (m, br, 2H Ar-*H*), 6.68 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.61 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.26 (d, 2H, Ar-*H*), 2.29 (s, 6H, -N(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.29 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$).

Synthesis of 1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-(4'-dimethylamino-phenoxy)benzene (1c).



1c

In a glovebox, a 100 mL Schlenk tube was charged with a colorless solution of 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-(4'-dimethylaminophenoxy)-5-tert-butyl-benzene (50.1 mg, 0.11 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) in Et_2O (30 mL) and a stir bar. The Schlenk tube was removed

from the glovebox and cooled to -78 °C in a dry ice/acetone bath. Under N_2, ^{t}BuLi in pentane (1.5 M, 303.5 µL, 0.46 mmol, 4.20 equiv.) was added via syringe slowly to the cold solution. The resulting dark yellow mixture was stirred for 1 h at -78 °C. After 1 h, chlorodiisopropylphosphine (36.5 µl, 0.23 mmol, 2.10 equiv.) was added to the cold solution slowly via syringe. After addition, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred at room temperature for 8 h. The volatile materials were then removed under vacuum and the pale yellow/white residue was mixed in hexanes and filtered through Celite. The volatiles were removed from the filtrate *in vacuo*, and the resulting pale yellow oil was mixed in pentane and stirred with alumina. The mixture was filtered through a bed of alumina and the alumina was rinsed with toluene. Removal of volatile materials under vacuum yielded 1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphino)-4-dimethylamino-2-phenoxybenzene (**1c**) as a white solid. (0.53 g, 0.83 mmol, 92.9% yield) ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6, 25 °C) δ 7.73 (s, br, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.43 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.39 (m, br, 3H, Ar-*H*), 7.03 (m, 5H. Ar-*H*), 6.61 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.24 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 2.35 (s, 6H, -N(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 2.11 (s, br, 1H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.93 (m, 3H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.42 & 1.39 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 1.25 – 0.97 (m, 20H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 0.90 (m, 4H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{1}H NMR (C_6D_6, 500 MHz, 70 °C) δ 7.59 (br s, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.46 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.43 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.04 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*), 6.58 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.26 (d, 2H, Ar-*H*), 2.40 (s, 6H, N(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.97 (s, br, 4H, C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.41 (s, 9H, C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 1.33 – 0.85 (m, br, 24H, CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (126 MHz, C_6D_6, 25 °C) δ 152.22 (s, Ar-*C*$_1$), 151.31 (s, Ar-*C*$_1$), 148.63 (s, Ar-*C*$_{10}$), 147.79 (s, Ar-*C*$_{10}$), 147.47 (s, Ar-*C*$_2$), 147.23 (s, Ar-*C*$_2$), 145.91 (s, O*Ar*), 145.62 (s, O*Ar*), 145.42 (s, O*Ar*), 145.09 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 136.98 (m, Ar-*C*$_5$), 136.74 (s, Ar-*C*$_5$), 132.24 (m, Ar-*C*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 131.89 (s, Ar-*C*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 130.99 (s, Ar-*C*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 130.21 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$), 130.01 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$), 128.35 (s, Ar-*C*$_{7\text{-}8}$), 126.80 (s, Ar-*C*$_{7\text{-}8}$),

117.36 (s, O*Ar*), 116.61 (s, O*Ar*), 114.29 (s, O*Ar*), 114.03 (m, O*Ar*), 41.23 (m, Ar-N(CH$_3$)$_2$), 34.64 (s, C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 31.79 (s, C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 26.84 (m, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 26.00 (m, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 24.83 (m, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 21.32 – 20.37 (m, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$), 19.90 (s, br, CH(CH$_3$)$_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$, 25 °C) δ -2.61 (s), -3.98 (s). MS (m/z) calcd. for C$_{42}$H$_{57}$NOP$_2$: 653.3915 (M+). Found 653.3904 (FAB+, M+).

Synthesis of 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-phenoxy-5-tert-butyl-benzene.



1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-phenoxy-5-tert-butyl-benzene was synthesized from 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-(4'-aminophenoxy)-5-tert-butyl-benzene using a literature procedure for the conversion of Ar-NO$_2$ substituents to Ar-H substituents.[40] A 100 mL round bottom flask was charged with 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-(4'-aminophenoxy)-5-tert-butyl-benzene (2.05 g, 3.72 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) as a solution in dimethylformamide (20 mL), a stir bar, and *tert*-butyl nitrite (0.38 g, 3.72 mmol, 1.00 equiv.). The round bottom flask was capped loosely and placed in an oil bath pre-heated to 60 °C for 20 min. After 20 min., the reaction mixture was removed from the oil bath and the solution was poured into EtOAc (70 mL). This solution was washed three times with *ca.* 70 mL brine. The combined aqueous washes were then extracted twice with 70 mL EtOAc. The combined organic layers were dried were dried over MgSO$_4$, filtered and concentrated via rotary evaporation to afford the desired compound in good purity as

a brown oil. Analytically pure compound was isolated by adding MeOH (20 mL) to this oil, stirring vigorously and concentrating via rotary evaporation. An additional portion of MeOH (20 mL) was added, the mixture was sonicated, and the precipitate collected on a fritted glass funnel to afford 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-phenoxy-5-tert-butyl-benzene as a white solid (0.65 g, 1.22 mmol, 32.7%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.53 (m, 2H, Ar-H_6 or Ar-H_9), 7.39 (s, 2H, Ar-H_3), 7.31 (m, 2H, Ar-H_6 or Ar-H_9), 7.17 (m, 2H, Ar-H_7 or Ar-H_8), 7.06 (m, 2H, Ar-H_7 or Ar-H_8), 6.92 (m, 2H, Ar-H_{13}), 6.68 (t, 1H, Ar-H_{14}, J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz), 6.50 (d, 2H, Ar-H_{12}, J_{HH} = 8.0 Hz), 1.39 (s, 9H, -C(CH_3)$_3$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 158.32 (s, Ar-C_{11}), 147.48 (s, Ar-C_{12}), 146.87 (s, Ar-C_4), 139.01 (s, Ar-C_{10}), 134.41 (s, Ar-C_1), 132.75 (s, Ar-C_6 or Ar-C_9), 132.04 (s, Ar-C_6 or Ar-C_9), 129.19 (s, Ar-C_3), 128.82 (s, Ar-C_7 or Ar-C_8), 128.70 (s, Ar-C_{13}), 126.73 (s, Ar-C_7 or Ar-C_8), 123.91 (s, Ar-C_5), 121.18 (s, Ar-C_{14}), 116.27 (s, Ar-C_{12}), 34.87 (s, -C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 31.57 (s, -C(CH$_3$)$_3$). MS (m/z) calcd. for $C_{28}H_{24}Br_2O$: 536.0173 (M+). Found 536.0170 (FAB+, M+).

Synthesis of 1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-phenoxy-benzene (1d).



1d

In a glovebox, a 100 mL Schlenk tube was charged with a colorless solution of 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-2-phenoxy-5-tert-butyl-benzene (0.316 g, 0.59 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) in Et_2O (25 mL). The Schlenk tube was removed from the glovebox and cooled to -78 °C in a dry ice/acetone bath. Under N_2, ^{t}BuLi (1.7 M in pentane, 2.48 mmol, 1.46 mL,

4.20 equiv.) was added via syringe to the solution. The resulting yellow-orange mixture was stirred for 3 h at -78 °C. After 3 h, chlorodiisopropylphosphine (0.198 g, 0.21 mL, 1.30 mmol, 2.20 equiv.) was added to the cold solution slowly via syringe. After addition, the reaction mixture was stirred 1 h at -78 °C. After 1 h, the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature and stirred at room temperature for 7 h. The volatile materials were removed under reduced pressure. In the glovebox, the pale yellow residue was extracted with three portions of pentane (20 mL each) and the combine organic layer was filtered through Celite. The volatiles were removed from the filtrate under reduced pressure and a pale yellow oil was observed. In a glovebox containing degassed protic solvents under N_2 atmosphere, the pale yellow oil was dissolved in MeOH (10 mL) and stirred for 4 h. A white precipitate was observed. The precipitate was collected on a fritted funnel and washed with two portions of MeOH (2 mL each) to afford diphosphine **1d** (0.104 g, 0.17 mmol, 28.8 %). (Note: Diphosphine **1d** was dried while heating on a Schlenk line under reduced pressure before further manipulation under N_2 atmosphere in a glovebox free of protic solvents.) ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.49 (m, 2H, Ar-$H_{6\text{-}9}$), 7.41 (m, 1H, Ar-$H_{6\text{-}9}$), 7.28 (s, 2H, Ar-H_3, minor isomer), 7.25 (s, 2H, Ar-H_3, major isomer), 7.21 (m, 4H, Ar-$H_{6\text{-}9}$), 7.08 (m, 1H, Ar-$H_{6\text{-}9}$), 6.99 – 6.82 (m, 2H, Ar-H_{13}), 6.69 (m, 1H, Ar-H_{14}, minor isomer), 6.61 (m, 1H, Ar-H_{14}, major isomer), 6.47 (m, 2H, Ar-H_{12}), 2.09 (m, 2H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 1.98 (m, 2H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 1.39 (s, 9H, -C(CH_3)$_3$), 1.18 – 0.91 (m, 20H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 0.70 (m, 4H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.71 – 7.63 (m, br, 1H, Ar-H), 7.50 (s, 2H, Ar-H_3), 7.36 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 7.28 (m, 1H, Ar-H), 7.12 – 6.88 (m, 4H, Ar-H), 6.83 – 6.72 (m, 3H, Ar-H), 6.66 – 6.48 (m, 2H, Ar-H), 2.08 (s, br, 2H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, minor isomer), 1.90 (m, 4H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, major isomer; m, 2H, -

C*H*(CH_3)$_2$, minor isomer), 1.42 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$, minor isomer), 1.39 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$, major isomer), 1.34 – 1.24 (m, 3H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.23 – 0.95 (m, 18H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 0.84 (m, 3H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6, 70 °C) δ 7.45 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 7.43 – 7.38 (m, br, 2H, Ar-*H*$_6$), 7.03 (m, br, 4H, Ar-*H*$_{7\text{-}9}$), 6.78 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{13}$), 6.61 (m, br, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{12}$), 6.54 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*$_{14}$), 1.97 (s, br, 4H, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 1.40 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 1.27 – 0.73 (s, br, 24H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$); Ar-*H* (2H) not detected. ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 159.24 (s, Ar-*C*$_{11}$, minor isomer), 158.23 (s, Ar-*C*$_{11}$, major isomer), 146.86 (s, Ar-*C*), 146.43 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 30.3 Hz), 145.26 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 136.27 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 8.1 Hz), 135.98 (m, br, Ar-*C*), 132.20 – 131.94 (m, Ar-*C*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 131.46 (d, Ar-*C*$_{6\text{-}9}$, J_{PC} = 5.1 Hz), 130.59 (s, Ar-*C*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 129.71 (m, br, Ar-*C*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 129.59 (d, Ar-*C*$_{6\text{-}9}$, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 128.56 (s, Ar-*C*$_{13}$, minor isomer), 128.48 (s, Ar-*C*$_{13}$, major isomer), 127.32 (s, Ar-*C*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 126.47 (s, Ar-*C*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 120.34 (s, Ar-*C*$_{14}$, minor isomer), 120.19 (s, Ar-*C*$_{14}$, major isomer), 116.38 (s, Ar-*C*$_{12}$, major isomer), 116.05 (s, Ar-*C*$_{12}$, minor isomer), 34.64 (s, -*C*(CH_3)$_3$, major isomer), 34.58 (s, -*C*(CH_3)$_3$, minor isomer), 31.76 (s, -C(*C*H$_3$)$_3$, major isomer), 31.63 (s, -C(*C*H$_3$)$_3$, minor isomer), 26.65 (d, -*C*H(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 15.2 Hz, major isomer), 25.89 – 25.71 (m, br, -*C*H(CH_3)$_2$, minor isomer), 24.59 (d, -*C*H(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 13.1 Hz, major isomer), 24.34 – 24.19 (m, br, -*C*H(CH_3)$_2$, minor isomer), 21.11 – 20.22 (m, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$), 19.84 (m, br, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6, 70 °C) δ 147.18 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 31.3 Hz), 145.97 (m, br, Ar-*C*), 137.46 (m, br, Ar-*C*), 136.99 (s, Ar-*C*), 132.32 (m, Ar-*C*$_6$), 131.50 (s, br, Ar-*C*), 130.18 (app t, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz), 126.87 (s, Ar-*C*H), 126.83 (s, Ar-*C*H), 120.85 (s, Ar-*C*H), 116.78 (m, Ar-*C*H), 34.71 (s, -*C*(CH_3)$_3$), 31.78 (s, -C(*C*H$_3$)$_3$), 27.47 – 23.47 (m, br, -*C*H(CH_3)$_2$), 21.13 – 20.50 (m, br, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} (121 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ -1.92 (s), -2.88 (s). ^{31}P{^{1}H} (121

MHz, C_6D_6) δ -2.17 (s), -3.52 (s). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ (162 MHz, C_6D_6, 70 °C, referenced to solvent lock) δ -0.42 - -2.47 (s, br). MS (m/z) calcd. for $C_{40}H_{52}OP_2$: 611.3572 (M+H). Found: 611.3549 (FAB+, M+H).

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-phenoxy-benzene]-palladium(0) (10d).



In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stir bar, $Pd(COD)Cl_2$ (0.0149 g, 0.072 mmol, 1.10 equiv.), and THF (2 mL). A yellow solution was observed. A colorless solution of diphosphine **1d** (0.040 g, 0.066 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) in THF (1 mL) was added dropwise to the solution of $Pd(COD)Cl_2$. After 15 min., an orange homogenous solution was observed. The mixture was stirred for a total of 2 h. A dark purple solution of cobaltocene (0.030 g, 0.157 mmol, 2.40 equiv.) in THF (1 mL) was added dropwise to the reaction mixture. A dark yellow brown solution was observed after 1 h. After 10 h, the reaction mixture was filtered and the solvent removed under reduced pressure to afford a brown powder. The powder was washed three times with pentane (1 mL) and three times with hexanes (1 mL). The powder was then extracted three times with Et_2O (1 mL) and three times with C_6H_6 (1 mL). Volatiles were removed from the combined Et_2O and C_6H_6 solutions under reduced pressure to afford intermediate **10d** (0.027 g, 0.038 mmol, 57.7%) as a yellow powder. ^1H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.30 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_6$), 7.23 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.11 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 7.00 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_7$), 6.91 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_8$), 6.74 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{13}$), 6.53

(m, 1H, Ar-H_{14}), 6.48 (m, 2H, Ar-H_{12}), 2.19 (m, 2H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 1.94 (m, 2H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 1.50 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 1.39 (s, 9H, -C(CH_3)$_3$), 1.38 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 1.13 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 0.93 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 159.49 (s, Ar-C_{11}), 147.27 (app t, Ar-C_5, J_{PC} = 12.1 Hz), 145.03 (s, Ar-C_4), 136.46 (t, Ar-C_1, J_{PC} = 12.1 Hz), 136.35 (app t, Ar-C_{10}, J_{PC} = 4.5 Hz), 134.48 (s, Ar-C_2), 131.88 (s, Ar-C_6), 130.79 (app t, Ar-C_9, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 128.90 (s, Ar-C_{13}), 128.22 (obscured by solvent residual, detected by HSQC, Ar-C_8), 127.09 (app t, Ar-C_7, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz), 126.78 (s, Ar-C_3), 120.74 (s, Ar-C_{14}), 116.20 (s, Ar-C_{12}), 34.66 (s, -C(CH_3)$_3$), 31.70 (s, -C(CH$_3$)$_3$), 28.10 (app t, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 5.6 Hz), 23.49 (app t, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 7.6 Hz), 21.70 (app t, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 20.62 (app t, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 9.1 Hz), 20.43 (app t, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 18.14 (s, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 32.45 (s).

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-phenoxy-benzene]-platinum(0) (11d).



1d **11d**

In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stir bar, Pt(COD)$_2$[16] (0.027 g, 0.025 mmol, 1.00 equiv.), and Et$_2$O (1 mL). A light brown solution was observed. A colorless solution of diphosphine **1d** (0.040 g, 0.025 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) in Et$_2$O (2 mL) was added dropwise to the solution of Pt(COD)$_2$ and allowed to stir. After 15 min. a brown solution was observed. After 1 h, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The resulting brown solid was extracted with three

portions of pentane (1 mL each) to afford intermediate **11d** (0.044 g, 0.054 mmol, 82.6%) as an off-white solid with an impurity of **14d** (22% conversion by NMR). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.27 – 7.20 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*$_6$ & Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.11 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 7.01 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_7$ or Ar-*H*$_8$), 6.91 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_7$ or Ar-*H*$_8$), 6.75 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{13}$), 6.55 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*$_{14}$), 6.49 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{12}$), 2.30 (m, 2H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 2.07 (m, 2H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.56 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.44 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.39 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 1.15 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 0.91 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 159.21 (s, Ar-*C*$_{11}$), 147.25 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 9.1 Hz), 144.18 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 137.33 (app t, Ar-*C*$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 136.34 (s, Ar-*C*$_1$), 134.34 (app t, Ar-*C*$_5$, J_{PC} = 18.1 Hz), 131.97 (app t, Ar-*C*$_6$ or Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz), 131.18 (app t, Ar-*C*$_6$ or Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 3.5 Hz), 128.88 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{13}$, J = 5.6 Hz), 128.25 (obscured by solvent residual, detected by HSQC, Ar-*C*$_7$ or Ar-*C*$_8$), 127.32 (s, br, Ar-*C*$_3$), 127.06 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$ or Ar-*C*$_8$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 120.61 (s, Ar-*C*$_{14}$), 116.52 (s, Ar-*C*$_{12}$), 34.80 (s. -*C*(CH$_3$)$_3$), 31.56 (s, -C(*C*H$_3$)$_3$), 28.88 (app t, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 11.6 Hz), 25.03 (app t, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 15.2 Hz), 21.11 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.5 Hz), 20.53 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 5.1 Hz), 20.12 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 18.21 (s, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 55.92 (J_{PtP} = 4743.2 Hz).

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-phenyl]nickel(II)(4'-dimethyl-amino phenoxide) (12c).



In the glovebox, a colorless solution of diphosphine **1c** (0.111 g, 0.170 mmol) in THF (5 ml) was added to a yellow slurry of $Ni(COD)_2$ (0.047 g, 0.170 mmol) in THF (3 ml) at RT. A color change to orange was observed. The mixture was stirred for 13 h. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. An orange solid was observed. Washing the crude solid with hexanes and Et_2O afforded **12c** (0.116 g, 0.163 mmol, 97.8%) as an orange solid. ^1H NMR (C_6D_6, 500 MHz) δ 7.74 (d, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.43 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.27 (mm, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.26 (s, 2H, Ar-H_3), 7.18 (mm, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.01 (mm, 2H, Ar-*H*), 6.81 (mm, 2H, Ar-*H*), 2.68 (s, 6H, N(CH_3)$_2$), 2.18 (m, 2H, C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 1.59 (m, 2H, C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 1.50 (mm, 6H, CH(CH_3)$_2$), 1.25 (mm, 9H, C(CH_3)$_3$), 0.88 (mm, 12H, CH(CH_3)$_2$) 0.71 (mm, 6H, CH(CH_3)$_2$). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (C_6D_6, 126 MHz) δ 163.57 (s, Ar-C_{11}), 152.51 (app t, Ar-C_{10}, J_{PC} = 6.9 Hz), 149.53 (app t, Ar-C_4, J_{PC} = 1.9 Hz), 147.41 (app t, Ar-C_2, J_{PC} = 6.3 Hz), 140.47 (app t, Ar-C_5, J_{PC} = 32.1 Hz), 140.38 (s, Ar-C_{14}), 130.69 (s, Ar-*C*H), 129.92 (app t, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 1.3 Hz), 128.20 (m, Ar-*C*), 126.94 (app t, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 126.52 (t, Ar-C_1, J_{PC} = 15.1 Hz), 124.47 (app t, Ar-C_3, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 120.27 (s, Ar-C_{12}), 117.56 (Ar-C_{13}), 43.72 (s, -N(*C*H_3)$_2$), 34.16 (s, -*C*(CH_3)$_3$), 31.54 (s, -C(*C*H_3)$_3$), 23.34 (m, br, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 20.9f0 (app t, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 9.5 Hz), 20.42 (s, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 19.17 (s, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 18.98 (s, -

CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 17.94 (s, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (C_6D_6, 121 MHz) δ 29.73 (s). Anal. calcd. for $C_{42}H_{57}NNiOP_2$ (%): C, 70.80; H 8.06; N 1.97. Found: C, 70.95; H, 7.98; N, 1.96.

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-phenyl]palladium(II)-phenoxide (13d).



10d **13d**

In the glovebox, a J. Young tube fitted with a Teflon screw cap was charged with **10d** (0.015 g, 0.021 mmol) as a solution C_6D_6 (ca. 0.6 mL). The J. Young tube was removed from the glovebox and placed in an oil bath preheated to 80 °C. After 64 h, the J. Young tube was removed from the oil bath and brought into the glovebox. A pale yellow solution was observed. The solution was transferred to a 20 mL scintillation vial. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting yellow residue was extracted three times with 1 mL portions of pentane. This pentane solution was filtered through Celite and volatiles removed under reduced pressure to afford a yellow residue. This yellow residue was dissolved in a minimum of pentane, filtered once more through Celite, and stored at -35 ºC. Compound **13d** (0.011 g, 0.015 mmol, 72.7%) was isolated as a semi-crystalline yellow precipitate. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.70 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.43 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 7.34 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_8$), 7.26 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*$_6$& Ar-*H*$_{13}$), 7.13 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_7$), 7.01 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{12}$), 6.71 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*$_{14}$), 2.31 (s, br, 2H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.73 (s, br, 2H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$, 1.56 (s, br, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$),

1.28 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 0.94 (s, br, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 0.79 (s, br, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 0.62 (s, br, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 171.60 (s, Ar-*C*$_{11}$), 153.87 (app t, Ar-*C*$_2$, J_{PC} = 8.1 Hz), 149.55 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 145.55 (app t, Ar-*C*$_5$ or Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 7.1 Hz), 138.56 (t, Ar-*C*$_1$, J_{PC} = 7.6 Hz), 130.43 (s, Ar-*C*$_8$), 129.86 (app t, Ar-*C*$_5$ or Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz), 129.39 (app t, Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 129.13 (s, Ar-*C*$_6$ or Ar-*C*$_{13}$), 128.59 (s, Ar-*C*$_6$ or Ar-*C*$_{13}$), 126.80 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 126.01 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$), 120.55 (s, Ar-*C*$_{12}$), 112.25 (s, Ar-*C*$_{14}$), 34.33 (s, -*C*(CH$_3$)$_3$), 31.52 (s, -C(*C*H$_3$)$_3$), 23.87 (s, br, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 22.10 (s, br, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 20.58 (s, br, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 17.93 (s, br, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 34.70 (s). Anal. calcd. for $C_{40}H_{52}OP_2Pd$ (%): C, 66.99; H, 7.31. Found: C, 66.21; H, 6.81. Low in carbon and hydrogen despite multiple syntheses.

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-phenyl]platinum(II)-phenoxide (14d).



10d **14d**

In the glovebox, a J. Young tube fitted with a Teflon screw cap was charged with **11d** (0.015 g, 0.019 mmol) as a colorless solution C_6D_6 (ca. 0.6 mL). The J. Young tube was removed from the glovebox, placed in an oil bath preheated to 80 ºC, and heated while stirring. After 8 h, the J. Young tube was removed from the oil bath and bought into the glovebox. A colorless solution was observed. The solution was transferred to a 20 mL scintillation vial. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the

resulting yellow residue was washed three times with 1 mL portions of pentane. Compound **14d** (0.009 g, 0.012 mmol, 62.7%) was isolated as a white solid. ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.74 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.45 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 7.36 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_8$), 7.27 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{13}$), 7.23 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_6$), 7.13 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_7$), 7.10 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{12}$), 6.74 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*$_{14}$), 2.41 (s, br, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.91 (s, br, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.72 – 1.45 (s, br, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.30 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3)_3$), 1.08 – 0.51 (s, br, 18H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 171.31 (s, Ar-*C*$_{11}$), 154.15 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 6.9 Hz), 148.76 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 144.91 (s, Ar-*C*$_2$), 130.71 (s, Ar-*C*$_8$), 129.49 (app t, Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 3.8 Hz), 129.19 (s, br, Ar-*C*$_6$), 128.94 (s, Ar-*C*$_{13}$), 126.74 (m, br, Ar-*C*$_5$), 126.74 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$), 125.93 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$), 124.88 (t, Ar-*C*$_1$, 9.5 Hz), 120.18 (s, Ar-*C*$_{12}$), 113.23 (s, Ar-*C*$_{14}$), 34.32 (s, -*C*$(CH_3)_3$), 31.51 (s, -C(*C*H$_3)_3$), 23.52 (s, br, -*C*H$(CH_3)_2$), 21.54 (s, br, -*C*H$(CH_3)_2$), 20.11 (s, br, -CH(*C*H$_3)_2$), 18.48 (s, br, -CH(*C*H$_3)_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 33.23 (J_{PP} = 2773.3 Hz).

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-methoxybenzene]-rhodium(I)(1,5-cyclooctadiene)chloride (15a).



In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with $(Rh(COD)Cl)_2$ (0.011 g, 0.023 mmol, 0.50 equiv.), THF (2 mL), and a magnetic stir bar. Diphosphine **1a** (0.025 g, 0.046 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was added as a solution in THF (1 mL). After 5 min., a red-orange solution was observed. After 30 min., volatiles were removed under

reduced pressure to afford a gummy orange solid (mixture of species; **15a**, NMR yield: 74%). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.87 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.42 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.35 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.28 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.25 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.20 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.01 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 6.92 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 5.79 – 5.61 (m, br, 3H, COD, -C*H*$_2$), 5.58 (s, 1H, COD, -C*H*$_2$), 3.50 – 3.26 (m, 4H, COD, -C*H*), 2.89 (s, 3H, -OC*H*$_3$), 2.58 – 2.36 (m, 2H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 2.03 (m, 1H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.90 (m, 1H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.80 – 1.52 (m, 9H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.16 – 1.05 (m, 3H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.28 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 1.04 – 0.94 (m, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 0.88 – 0.77 (m, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6H_6) δ 152.12 (s, Ar-*C*$_1$), 147.94 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 32.3 Hz), 144.46 (s, Ar-*C*), 144.06 (s, Ar-*C*), 136.81 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 136.25 – 135.49 (m, Ar-*C*), 135.78 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 19.2 Hz), 133.46 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 5.1 Hz), 132.76 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 131.15 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 129.99 (s, Ar-*C*H), 128.82 (s, Ar-*C*H), 127.68 (detected by HSQC, obscured by solvent residual, Ar-*C*H), 127.56 (detected by HSQC, obscured by solvent residual, Ar-*C*H), 127.00 (s, Ar-*C*H), 125.12 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 12.1 Hz), 102.41 (m, COD, -*C*H), 101.87 (m, COD, -*C*H), 71.99 (d, COD, -*C*H$_2$, J_{RhC} = 15.2 Hz), 69.27 (d, COD, -*C*H$_2$, J_{RhC} = 13.1), 59.79 (s, -O*C*H$_3$), 34.37 (s, -*C*(CH$_3$)$_3$), 34.17 (d, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 32.00 (d, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 31.44 (s, -C(*C*H$_3$)$_3$), 29.81 (d, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 28.17 (s, br, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$), 24.44 (d, -CH(*C*H$_2$)$_3$, J_{PC} = 9.1 Hz), 22.56 (d, -CH(*C*H$_2$)$_3$, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 21.32 – 20.20 (m, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$), 20.07 – 19.67 (m, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$), 17.79 (s, br, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 59.97 (d, J_{RhP} = 145.2 Hz), -4.04 (s). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 7.68 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.60 – 7.52 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.41 – 7.22 (m, 5H, Ar-*H*), 7.18 – 7.12 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.09 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 5.27 – 5.02 (m, COD, -C*H*), 3.36 – 3.17 (m, COD, -C*H*$_2$), 2.93 (s, -

OC*H*$_3$), 2.29 (m, 2H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.87 (m, 1H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.76 (m, 1H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.41 – 1.18 (m, 12H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.29 (s, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 1.15 – 0.99 (m, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 0.92 – 0.72 (m, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 151.99 (s, Ar-*C*), 147.86 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 32.32 Hz), 143.92 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 39.41, Ar-*C*), 136.65 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 8.1 Hz), 136.18 – 135.10 (m, Ar-*C*), 135.81 (d, Ar-*C*$_6$, J_{PC} = 17.2 Hz), 133.69 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 5.1 Hz), 132.76 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 131.34 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 130.17 (s, Ar-*C*H), 128.87 – 127.59 (m, Ar-*C*H & Ar-*C*), 126.96 (s, Ar-*C*H), 125.23 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 12.1 Hz), 102.39 – 101.96 (m, COD, -*C*H), 101.59 – 101.19 (m, COD, -*C*H), 73.02 (d, COD, -*C*H$_2$, J_{PC} = 15.2 Hz), 69.76 (d, COD, -*C*H$_2$, J_{PC} = 13.1 Hz), 59.91 (s, -O*C*H$_3$), 34.54 (s, -*C*(CH$_3$)$_3$), 33.78 (d, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 32.13 (d, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 31.48 (s, -C(*C*H$_3$)$_3$), 29.52 (s, br, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 28.39 (d, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 22.11 (d, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 21.38 – 20.29 (m, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$), 19.91 (d, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 19.73 (d, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 5.1 Hz), 18.58 (s, br, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 59.11 (d, J_{RhP} = 143.99 Hz), -4.06 (s).

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-benzene]rhodium(I)-chloride (19a).



1a **19a-η^1** **19a-η^2**

Method A: In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stir bar and (Rh(COE)$_2$Cl)$_2$ (0.162 g, 0.225 mmol, 0.50 equiv.) as a slurry in C_6H_6 (2 mL). Diphosphine **1a** (0.247 g, 0.450 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was added as a solution in C_6H_6 (1

mL) and the solution was stirred. After 10 min., a dark purple solution was observed. After 26 h, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford a dark purple residue. Hexanes (10 mL) was added and the resulting slurry was stirred for 10 min. then allowed to stand at room temperature for 10 min. The heterogeneous solution was filtered through Celite and the resulting purple precipitate was extracted with 3 portions of Et_2O (3 mL). Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and afforded **19a** (ca. 95% NMR yield, isolated with **26a**, 5 % by NMR). Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from a saturated solution of **19a** in hexanes at -35 ºC. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6, 25 °C) 7.35 – 7.23 (m, br, Ar-*H*), 7.11 (m, br, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.09 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.03 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 3.09 (s, br, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 2.42 (s, br, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.56 (m, br, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.37 – 1.19 (m ,br, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.28 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3)_3$), 1.00 (m, br, 12H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6, 70 °C) δ 7.35 (m, br, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.27 (m, br, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.21-7.07 (m, br, Ar-*H*, obscured by solvent residual), 6.98 (s, br, 2H, Ar-*H*), 3.05 (s, br, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 2.54 (s, br, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.56 (m, br, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.28 (m, br, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$; s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3)_3$), 1.03 (m, br, 12H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6, 70°C) δ 155.82 (s, Ar-*C*$_2$), 152.78 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 11.6 Hz), 146.26 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 138.35 (m, br, detected by HMBC, Ar-*C*), 130.66 (s, Ar-*C*$_6$), 130.11 (s, Ar-*C*$_9$), 129.91 (app t, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 4.6 Hz), 128.29 (Ar-*C*H, obscured by solvent residual, observed by HMBC), 127.12 (app t, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 125.11 (s, Ar-*H*$_3$), 34.21 (s, -*C*$(CH_3)_3$), 30.91 (s, -C(*C*H$_3)_3$), 24.96 (app t, -*C*H$(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 12.6 Hz), 22.90 (app t, -*C*H$(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 9.6 Hz), 20.19 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 19.67 (s, -CH(*C*H$_3)_2$), 19.54 (s, -CH(*C*H$_3)_2$), 17.83 (s, -CH(*C*H$_3)_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6, 25 °C) δ 36.28 (s, br).

$^{31}P\{^{1}H\}$ NMR (162 MHz, C_6D_6, 70 °C, referenced with respect to internal solvent lock) δ 36.48 (d, J_{RhP} = 115.0 Hz).



Method B: In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stir bar and $(Rh(COE)_2Cl)_2$ (0.069 g, 0.096 mmol, 0.50 equiv.) as a slurry in C_6H_6. Diphosphine **1d** (0.100 g, 0.193 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was added as a solution in C_6H_6 (1 mL) and the solution was stirred. After 15 min., a dark purple solution was observed. After 40 h, voatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford a dark purple residue. Hexanes (2 mL) was added and the residue was triturated. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford a purple powder. This powder was washed with three portions of pentane (*ca.* 1 mL) and three portions of Et_2O (*ca.* 1 mL each). This powder was dissolved in C_6H_6 (2 mL), filtered through Celite, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford **19a** (0.013 g, 0.019 mmol, 10.1 % yield). Anal. calcd. for $C_{34}H_{48}ClP_2Rh$ (%):C, 62.15; H, 7.38. Found: C, 61.06; H, 7.00. Low in carbon despite multiple analyses.

Synthesis of 1,3-bis(2'bromophenyl)-5-*tert*-butyl-benzene



1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-5-*tert*-butyl-benzene was synthesized using a modification of the procedure reported by Albrecht and co-workers for the Suzuki coupling of aryl halides with boronic acids.[48] In the fume hood, a large Schlenk tube fitted with a Teflon screw cap was charged with 1-(*tert*-butyl)-3,5-diiodobenzene (1.812 g, 4.694 mmol, 1.00 equiv.), 2-bromophenylboronic acid (1.980 g, 9.858 mmol, 2.10 equiv.), K_2CO_3 (3.892 g, 28.165 mmol, 6.00 equiv.), toluene (160 mL), EtOH, (40 mL), deionized H_2O (40 mL), and a stir bar. On the Schlenk line, the reaction mixture was degassed via three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. With N_2 backflow, $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ (0.271 g, 0.235 mmol, 0.05 equiv.) was added, providing a yellow biphasic solution. The reaction was stirred at 70 °C for 8 h at which time the reaction mixture was transferred to a round bottom flask and concentrated under reduced pressure to a total volume of *ca.* 100 mL. This mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (*ca.* 75 mL) three times. The combined organics were washed with brine and dried over $MgSO_4$. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, providing an orange oil. Biphenyl impurities were distilled from the crude reaction mixture using a Kugelrohr apparatus (10 mTorr, 90 °C) to afford a gummy orange solid and the distillate was discarded. Under a counter-flow of N_2 on the Schlenk line, this gummy orange solid was transferred as a THF (15 mL) solution to an oven-dried 100 mL

Schlenk flask fitted with a Teflon screw cap charged with a stir bar which had been previously evacuated on the Schlenk line. CaH_2 (*ca.* 500 mg) was added as a solid under a counter-flow of N_2 and sealed. The heterogeneous mixture was stirred vigorously for 3 h, then brought in the glovebox and filtered through a pad of alumina. The alumina pad was rinsed twice with portions of THF (5 mL each). Removal of volatiles from the filtrate afforded 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-5-*tert*-butyl-benzene (2.006 g, 4.535 mmol, 88.5%) as a gummy yellow solid. Material of this purity was used in a subsequent phosphination (via lithium-halogen exchange and dialkyl chlorophosphine quench) without further purification. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.60 (d, 2H Ar-*H*$_3$), 7.52 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 7.26 (t, 1H, Ar-*H*$_1$), 7.19 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 6.94 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 6.75 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 1.27 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.69 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 7.48 (d, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 7.42 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 7.37 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 7.28 (t, 1H, Ar-*H*$_1$), 7.20 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 1.40 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 150.41 (s, Ar-*C*$_1$), 142.88 (s, Ar-*C*$_2$), 140.36 (s, Ar-*C*$_{10}$), 133.36 (s, Ar-*C*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 131.64 (s, Ar-*C*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 128.77 (s, Ar-*C*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 127.57 (s, Ar-*C*$_{6\text{-}9}$), 127.52 (s, Ar-*C*$_1$), 126.12 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$), 122.87 (s, Ar-*C*$_5$), 35.06 (s, -*C*(CH$_3$)$_3$), 31.52 (s, -C(*C*H$_3$)$_3$).

Synthesis of 1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-benzene (1e)



1e

In a glovebox, a 250 mL round bottom flask was charged with a yellow solution of 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-5-*tert*-butyl-benzene (1.810 g, 4.08 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) in Et_2O (80

mL) and a stir bar. A 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a solution of ^{t}BuLi in pentane (1.7 M, 17.11 mmol, 10.1 mL, 4.20 equiv.). The two vessels were placed in a liquid N_2 chilled cold well. The vessels were removed from the cold well such that a just-thawed solution of ^{t}BuLi was added to a thawing solution of 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-5-*tert*-butyl-benzene. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature over the course of 1 h. An orange solution was observed. A 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with (^{i}Pr)$_2$PCl (1.368 g, 8.96 mmol, 2.20 equiv.) and pentane (2 mL). The reaction vessel and solution of (^{i}Pr)$_2$PCl in pentane were placed in a liquid N_2 chilled cold well. The vessels were removed from the cold well such that a just-thawed solution of (^{i}Pr)$_2$PCl in pentane was added to the thawing solution in the reaction vessel. After 1 h, a yellow-orange solution was observed. After 8 h, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford an orange solid. This solid was extracted with four portion (20 mL each) of pentane. This organic layer was filtered through alumina and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford an orange oil. Trituration of this orange oil with 5 mL of CH_3CN afforded an off-white solid. This solid was washed three times with three portions of CH_3CN (5 mL each) to afford 1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphino)-5-*tert*-butyl-benzene (1.375 g, 2.65 mmol, 65.1% yield) as an off-white solid. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.59 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.52-7.47 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_6$), 7.45 (t, 1H, Ar-*H*$_1$), 7.44-7.41 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_8$), 7.15 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*$_3$ & Ar-*H*$_7$), 1.89 (m, 4H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.39 (s, 9H, -C(*C*$H_3)_3$), 0.95 (m, 24H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 151.60 (d, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 27.3 Hz), 148.66 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 142.05 (d, Ar-*C*$_2$, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 135.59 (d, Ar-*C*$_5$, J_{PC} = 24.2 Hz), 132.84 (s, Ar-*C*$_8$), 130.95 (d, Ar-*C*$_6$, J_{PC} = 5.1 Hz), 130.53 (t, Ar-*C*$_1$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 128.67 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$ or Ar-*C*$_7$), 127.62 (d, Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 7.1 Hz), 126.72 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$ or Ar-*C*$_7$), 34.89 (s, -

C(CH_3)$_3$), 31.65 (s, -C(*C*H_3)$_3$), 25.15 (d, -*C*H(CH_3)$_2$), J_{PC} = 16.2 Hz), 20.62 (d, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 20.2 Hz), 20.13 (d, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 12.1 Hz). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ -3.62 (s). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.58 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_6$), 7.43-7.32 (m, 6H, Ar-*H*$_7$, Ar-*H*$_8$ & Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.30 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 7.06 (s, br, 1H, Ar-*H*$_1$), 2.03 (m, 4H, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 1.37 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 1.01 (m, 12H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 0.93 (m, 12H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 151.18 (d, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 27.3 Hz), 148.19 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 141.43 (d, Ar-*C*$_2$, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 135.28 (d, Ar-*C*$_5$, J_{PC} = 23.2 Hz), 132.64 (d, Ar-*C*$_6$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 130.53 (d, Ar-*C*$_8$ or Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 5.1 Hz), 129.60 (t, Ar-*C*$_1$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 128.22 (s, Ar-*C*$_8$ or Ar-*C*$_9$), 127.01 (d, Ar-*C*$_3$, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 126.35 (s, Ar-*C*$_7$), 34.80 (s, -*C*(CH_3)$_3$), 31.58 (s, -C(*C*H_3)$_3$), 24.96 (d, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 15.1 Hz), 20.46 (d, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 20.2 Hz), 20.01 (d, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 12.1 Hz). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (121 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ -3.26 (s). MS (m/z) calcd. for $C_{34}H_{48}P_2$: 519.3310 (M+H). Found: 519.3333 (FAB+, M+H).

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-methoxybenzene]-rhodium(I) (carbonyl)chloride (23a).



1a **23a**

In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with diphosphine **1a** (0.020 g, 0.036 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) as a solid and $(Rh(COE)_2Cl)_2$ (0.013 g, 0.018 mmol, 0.50 equiv.) as a solid. The vial was quickly charged with *ca.* 0.6 mL C_6D_6, the solution was transferred to a J. Young Tube, and the J. Young tube was brought out of the glovebox and frozen in a dry ice/acetone cold bath on the Schlenk line. The solution was

degassed via 3 freeze-pump-thaw cycles, then the reaction was placed under *ca.* 1 atm CO. Upon inverting the tube several times over the course of 5 min., a yellow solution of **23a** (mixture of isomers; 75%, major isomer; 25%, minor isomer; NMR yield: quantitative) was observed. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.51 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*, minor isomer), 7.42 (m, Ar-H_6, major isomer), 7.36 (m, Ar-H_9, major isomer), 7.24 (s, 2H, Ar-H_3), 7.19 (m, Ar-*H*), 7.12 (m, Ar-*H*), 3.49 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), minor isomer), 3.07 (s, 3H, -OCH_3, major isomer), 3.02 (s, 3H, -OCH_3, minor isomer), 3.00 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$, major isomer), 2.44 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$, major isomer), 2.31 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$, minor isomer), 1.65 (m, 12H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, minor isomer), 1.35 – 1.53 (m, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 1.42 (s, 9H, -C(CH_3)$_3$, major isomer), 1.30 (s, 9H, -C(CH_3)$_3$, minor isomer), 1.23 – 1.32 (m, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 1.02 – 1.07 (m, -CH(CH_3)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 187.91 (dt, Rh-*C*O, J_{RhC} = 72.72 Hz, J_{PC} = 16.9 Hz), 152.81 (s, Ar-C_1, minor isomer), 151.24 (s, Ar-C_1, major isomer), 146.73 (app t, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 7.6 Hz), 145.52 (m, Ar-*C*), 144.91 (s, Ar-C_4, major isomer), 143.91 (s, Ar-C_4, minor isomer), 135.84 (app t, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 21.2 Hz), 135.35 (app t, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 134.10 (app t, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 16.2 Hz), 132.08 (s, Ar-*C*H), 131.69 – 131.91 (m, Ar-*C*H), 130.34 (s, Ar-*C*H), 129.43 (s, Ar-*C*H), 129.96 – 129.17 (m, Ar-C_3, major isomer), 127.02 (app t, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 126.60 (app t, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 34.50 (s, -*C*$(CH_3)_3$, major isomer), 34.30 (s, -*C*$(CH_3)_3$, minor isomer), 31.69 (s, -C(*C*H_3)$_3$, major isomer), 31.44 (s, -C(*C*H_3)$_3$, minor isomer), 29.53 (m, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 26.63 – 27.08 (m, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 21.38 (app t, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 21.21 (s, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$), 20.99 (app t, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.5 Hz), 20.43 (s, br, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$), 20.24 (s, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$), 20.18 (s, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$), 19.41 (s, br, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 39.73 (d, J_{RhP} = 127.1 Hz, minor isomer), 35.08 (d, J_{RhP} = 127.1 Hz, major isomer). ν_{CO} = 1957 cm^{-1} (solid, film).

Synthesis of [2,6-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-4-tert-butyl-phenoxide]rhodium(I)carbonyl (24a).



1a **24a**

Method A: In the glovebox, a 100 mL Schlenk tube fitted with a Teflon screw cap was charged with a stir bar and diphosphine **1a** (0.200 g, 0.364 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) as a solution in C_6H_6 (2 mL). This solution was frozen in a liquid N_2-chilled cold well. A layer of C_6H_6 was added to the Schlenk tube and frozen in the cold well. A slurry of $(Rh(COE)_2Cl)_2$ (0.131 g, 0.182 mmol, 0.50 equiv.) was added to the Schlenk tube and frozen in the cold well. The Schlenk tube was sealed, quickly removed from the glovebox, and placed in a dry ice/acetone cold bath. On the Schlenk line, the headspace of the Schlenk tube was evacuated and backfilled with 1 atm CO. The Schlenk tube was removed from the dry ice/acetone cold bath and allowed to thaw to room temperature over the course of 1 h with stirring. A yellow solution was observed. The Schlenk tube was then placed in an oil bath preheated to 80 °C and heated while stirring. After 16 h, the Schlenk tube was removed from the oil bath, brought into the glovebox, and the yellow C_6H_6 solution was filtered through Celite. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford **24a** (0.219 g, 0.330 mmol, 90.5 %) as a yellow powder. ^{1}H NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.29 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.25 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.21 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.14 (t, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.07 (t, 2H, Ar-*H*), 2.30 (s, br, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 2.12 (sept, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.48 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3)_3$), 1.41 (m, 12H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.05 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 0.75 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101

MHz, C_6D_6) δ 193.71 (dt, Rh-*C*O, J_{PC} = 16.9 Hz, J_{RhC} = 71.7 Hz), 149.86 (app t, Ar-C_5, J_{PC} = 8.1 Hz), 144.13 (s, Ar-C_1), 139.03 (s, Ar-C_4), 134.31 (s, Ar-C_2), 133.58 (app t, Ar-C_6, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 131.73 (s, Ar-C_9), 130.62 (s, Ar-C_8), 129.01 (s, Ar-C_3), 125.49 (app t, Ar-C_7, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 125.16 (app t, Ar-C_{10}, J_{PC} = 20.2 Hz), 34.29 (s, -*C*$(CH_3)_3$), 32.08 (s, -C(*C*$H_3)_3$), 27.54 (app t, -*C*H$(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 12.6 Hz), 24.10 (app t, -*C*H$(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 17.7 Hz), 19.88 (app t, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 19.44 (app t, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 19.27 (app t, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 16.21 (s, -CH$(CH_3)_2$). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 43.34 (d, J_{RhP} = 133.1 Hz). ν_{CO} = 1942 cm^{-1} (solid, film). Anal. calcd. for $C_{35}H_{47}O_2P_2Rh$ (%): C, 63.25; H, 7.13. Found: C, 63.08; H, 7.05.



1a **24a**

Method B: In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with diphosphine **1a** (0.020 g, 0.036 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) and $(Rh(COD)Cl)_2$ (0.090 g, 0.018 mmol, 0.50 equiv.). C_6D_6 (ca. 0.6 mL) was added to afford a homogenous orange solution. This solution was transferred to a J. Young tube. The J. Young tube was capped and removed from the glovebox. On the Schlenk line, the J. Young Tube was degassed via three freeze-pump-thaw cycles using an ice water bath and ca. 1 atm CO was added. The tube was inverted ten times and left standing for 16 d. **24a** (NMR yield: quantitative) was observed as the only product by NMR.



1a **24a** **26a**

Method C: In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with diphosphine **1a** (0.020 g, 0.036 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and $(Rh(COD)Cl)_2$ (0.090 g, 0.036 mmol, 0.50 equiv.). C_6D_6 (ca. 0.6 mL) was added to afford a homogenous orange solution. This solution was transferred to a J. Young tube. The J. Young tube was capped, removed from the glovebox, and placed in an oil bath pre-heated to 80 °C. After 65 h of heating, the tube was removed from the oil bath and a mixture of species (NMR Yield: **24a**, 32%; **26a**, 11%) was observed by NMR.



1f **24a**

Method D: In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stir bar, diphosphine **1f** (0.050 g, 0.094 mmol, 1.00 equiv.). and THF (2 mL). A pale yellow solution was observed. A separate vial was charged with BnK[43] (0.015 g, 0.112 mmol, 1.20 equiv.) and THF (1 mL). A red-orange solution was observed. Both vials were frozen in a liquid N_2-chilled cold well. The just-thawed solution of BnK was then added dropwise to a thawing solution of **1f** while stirring. At the start of addition, a red-orange color was observed with the addition of each drop but dissipated quickly.

Towards the end of the addition, the red-orange color persisted. A red-orange color was observed upon completion of the addition and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring over the course of 1 h. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford an orange residue. Hexanes (1 mL) was added, the residue was triturated, and volatiles were removed to afford an orange solid. This orange solid was extracted with three portions of C_6H_6 (1 mL), the portions of C_6H_6 were combined, and the C_6H_6 solution was filtered through Celite. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and an orange solid (0.041 g, 0.071 mmol) was observed. Again using a liquid N_2-chilled cold well, a just-thawed solution of this orange solid in THF (1 mL) was added to a thawing slurry of $(Rh(COE)Cl)_2$ (0.026 g, 0.036 mmol) in THF (1 mL) in a 20 mL scintillation vial charged with a magnetic stir bar. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring over the course of 4 h. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford an orange residue. Hexanes (1 mL) was added, the residue was titurated, volatiles were removed to afford an orange solid. This orange solid was extracted with C_6D_6 (1 mL). The C_6D_6 solution was filtered through Celite and transferred to a J. Young tube. The J. Young Tube was sealed and removed from the glovebox. On the Schlenk line, the solution was degassed via three freeze-pump-thaw cycles using a dry ice/acetone cooling bath and *ca.* 1 atm CO was added. The tube was inverted 10 times and left standing at room temperature. After 9 h, **24a** (NMR yield: quantitative) was observed by NMR.

Synthesis of 5-(tert-butyl)-1,3-diiodo-2-(methoxymethoxy)benzene.



CAUTION: MOMCl is a known carcinogen. 5-(*tert*-butyl)-1,3-diiodo-2-(methoxymethoxy)benzene was synthesized using a modification of the procedure reported by Berliner and co-workers for the protection of phenols with chloromethyl methyl ether.[42] In the fume hood using Schlenk technique, a 500 mL round-bottom Schlenk flask was charged with NaH (3.000 g, 125.0 mmol, 2.6 equiv.), THF (200 mL), and a large stir bar. The flask was placed in a dry ice/acetone bath and cooled to -78 °C. With rapid stirring, a solution of 4-(*tert*-butyl)-2,6-diiodophenol[41] (19.300 g, 48.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) in dry THF (50 mL) was added dropwise via cannula. Bubbling was observed and the white slurry turned slightly yellow. Following the addition of 4-(tert-butyl)-2,6-diiodophenol, the flask was removed from the cold bath and allowed to stir at room temperature for 1 h. At this time, the reaction mixture was once again cooled to -78 °C and *ca.* 2.1 M MOMCl in toluene[42] (36 mL, 75.6 mmol, 1.6 equiv.) was added dropwise via syringe. Following the addition of MOMCl, the Schlenk flask was removed from the cold bath and stirred for 2 h at room temperature. Deionized H_2O was slowly added to the flask. Once the excess NaH was fully quenched, the reaction mixture was transferred to a separatory funnel and extracted with Et_2O (*ca.* 60 mL) three times. The combined organics were washed with brine and dried over $MgSO_4$. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure affording 5-(*tert*-butyl)-1,3-diiodo-2-(methoxymethoxy)benzene as a light brown oil (21.000 g, 47.0 mmol,

98.0%). The crude 5-(*tert*-butyl)-1,3-diiodo-2-(methoxymethoxy)benzene was used in a Suzuki coupling with (2-bromophenyl)boronic acid without further purification. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.75 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*), 5.11 (s, 2H, -OC*H*$_2$OCH$_3$), 3.75 (s, 3H, -OCH$_2$OC*H*$_3$), 1.27 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$). ^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 153.91 (s, Ar-*C*), 151.38 (s, Ar-*C*), 137.44 (s, Ar-*C*), 100.03 (s, -O*C*H$_2$OCH$_3$), 91.25 (s, Ar-*C*), 58.96 (s, -OCH$_2$O*C*H$_3$), 34.30 (s, -*C*(CH$_3$)$_3$), 31.29 (s, -C(*C*H$_3$)$_3$).

Synthesis of 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-(methoxymethoxy)benzene.



1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-(methoxymethoxy)benzene was synthesized using a modification of the procedure reported by Albrecht and co-workers for the Suzuki coupling of aryl halides with boronic acids.[48] In the fume hood, a large Schlenk tube fitted with a Teflon screw cap was charged with 5-(tert-butyl)-1,3-diiodo-2-(methoxymethoxy)benzene (10.600 g, 23.8 mmol, 1.00 equiv.), 2-bromophenylboronic acid (10.000 g, 49.8 mmol, 2.10 equiv.), K_2CO_3 (19.600 g, 141.8 mmol, 6.00 equiv.), toluene (540 mL), EtOH (130 mL), deionized H_2O (130 mL), and a stir bar. On the Schlenk line, the reaction mixture was degassed via three freeze-pump-thaw cycles. With N_2 backflow, $Pd(PPh_3)_4$ (1.37 g, 1.19 mmol, 0.05 equiv.) was added, providing a yellow biphasic solution. The reaction was stirred at 65 °C for 16 h at which time it was concentrated under reduced pressure to a total volume of *ca.* 100 mL. This mixture

was transferred to a separatory funnel and extracted with CH_2Cl_2 (*ca.* 100 mL) three times. The combined organics were washed with brine and dried over $MgSO_4$. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure, providing a tacky red/brown oil. MeOH (*ca.* 30 mL) was added to the oil, which was then stirred at 60 °C, precipitating an orange powder. Collecting the solids via vacuum filtration and washing with methanol (*ca.* 3 mL x 3) provided 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-(methoxymethoxy)benzene as a pale yellow solid (9.800 g, 19.4 mmol, 82.0%). 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-(methoxymethoxy)benzene of this purity was used in a subsequent phosphination (via lithium-halogen exchange and dialkyl chlorophosphine quench) with acid workup and neutralization without further purification. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, $CDCl_3$) δ 7.69 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.52-7.45 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.37 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.31 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.21 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 4.35 (s, 2H, Ar-OC*H*$_2$OCH$_3$), 2.61 (s, 3H, Ar-OCH$_2$OC*H*$_3$), 1.36 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$).

Synthesis of 2,6-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-4-tert-butyl-phenol (1f).



In the glovebox, a large Schlenk tube fitted with a Teflon screw cap was charged with 1,3-bis(2'-bromophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-(methoxymethoxy)benzene (12.0 g, 23.8 mmol) and a large stir bar. The Schlenk tube was sealed and removed from the glovebox. The pale yellow powder was dried under active vacuum (5-10 mm Hg) in an oil bath pre-

heated to 80 °C for 6 h. At this time, the flask was cooled to room temperature, and 250 mL of Et_2O was added via cannula, providing a pale yellow homogeneous solution. The flask was placed in a dry ice/acetone bath and cooled to -78 °C. With stirring, a solution of 1.7 M ^{t}BuLi in pentane (57.4 mL, 97.6 mmol) was added dropwise via syringe. The flask was allowed to warm to room temperature at which point it was left to stir for 1 h. Once more, the reaction was cooled to -78 °C and chlorodiisopropylphosphine (1.4 mL, 8.34 mmol) was added slowly via syringe. The flask was stirred at room temperature for 2 h, during which time an off-white precipitate formed. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. In an N_2 filled "wetbox" (no exclusion of water), concentrated aqueous HCl (20 mL) and methanol (80 mL) were added to the flask. The resulting mixture was heated to 65 °C for 4 h, providing a homogenous orange solution. Volatiles were once more removed under reduced pressure. In the "wetbox," the resulting orange residue was taken up in CH_2Cl_2 (200 mL) and washed with saturated aqueous solutions of K_2CO_3 (100 mL x 3) and NH_4Cl (100 mL x 3). The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting orange oil was triturated with methanol (150 mL), precipitating an off-white solid. The solid was collected via vacuum filtration, yielding **1f** (8.4 g, 15.6 mmol, 66 %) as a mixture of interconverting atropisomers. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6, 25 °C) δ 7.57-7.32 (m, 6H, Ar-*H*), 7.20-7.09 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*), 5.79 & 5.05 (s, 1H, -O*H*), 2.11-1.80 (m, 4H, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 1.37 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 1.18-0.85 (m, 24H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6, 70 °C) δ 7.50-7.38 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*), 7.33 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.21-7.10 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*), 5.18 (s, br, 1H, -O*H*), 1.97 (s, br, 4H, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 1.38 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 0.79-1.19 (m, 24H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6, 70 °C) δ 147.80 (s, Ar-*C*), 141.73 (s, Ar-*C*), 137.04 (m, Ar-*C*), 132.70 (s, Ar-*C*), 131.82 (s, Ar-

C), 130.76 (s, br, Ar-*C*), 129.42 (s, Ar-*C*), 127.10 (s, Ar-*C*), 34.34 (s, -*C*(CH_3)$_3$), 31.87 (s, -C(*C*H_3)$_3$), 26.66-23.51 (s, br, -*C*H(CH_3)$_2$), 21.26-19.48 (m, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6, 25 °C) δ -1.04 (s, br). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (162 MHz, C_6D_6, 25 °C, referenced to solvent residual) δ -1.54, -1.63. $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (162 MHz, C_6D_6, 70 °C, referenced to solvent residual) δ 0.04. MS (m/z) calcd. for $C_{34}H_{48}OP_2$: 535.3259 (M+H). Found: 535.3263 (FAB+, M+H).

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-benzene]rhodium(I)-(carbonyl) chloride (26a).



1a **26a**

Method A: In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stir bar and (Rh(COE)$_2$Cl)$_2$ (0.165 g, 0.230 mmol, 0.50 equiv.) as a slurry in C_6H_6 (4 mL). Diphosphine **1a** (0.252 g, 0.460 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was added as a solution in C_6H_6 (1 mL) and the solution was stirred. After 10 min., a dark purple solution was observed. After 26 h, the solution was transferred to a 20 mL Schlenk flask fitted with a Teflon screw cap. The Schenk flask was removed from the glovebox. On the Schlenk line, the solution was frozen in a dry ice/acetone cooling bath, placed under reduced pressure and thawed. The solution was further degassed with two additional freeze-pump-thaw cycles. The reaction mixture was then placed under an atmosphere of CO and stirred for 30 min. A color change to yellow was observed. The Schlenk flask was brought back into the glovebox and the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite. A yellow residue was observed. This yellow residue was washed with three portions of pentane

(*ca.* 1 mL each) and three portions of hexanes (*ca.* 1 mL each). The residue was then extracted with three portions of Et_2O (*ca.* 1 mL each) and three portions of C_6H_6 (*ca.* 1 mL each). The Et_2O and C_6H_6 solutions were combined and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford **26a** (0.185 g, 0.270 mmol, 58.6% yield) as a yellow powder. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from a saturated pentane solution of **26a** at -35 °C. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 8.82 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*$_1$), 7.42 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_6$), 7.25 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.23 (d, 2H Ar-*H*$_3$, J_{HH} = 3.0 Hz), 7.09 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*$_7$ & Ar-*H*$_8$), 2.97 (m, 2H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 2.15 (m, 2H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.81 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.50 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.34 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 1.01 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 0.92 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 188.67 (dt, Rh-*C*O, J_{RhC} = 77.8 Hz, J_{PC} = 14.6 Hz), 149.03 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 148.73 (app t, Ar-*C*$_2$, J_{PC} = 7.1 Hz), 142.88 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 133.13 (app t, Ar-*C*$_5$, J_{PC} = 17.7 Hz), 132.54 (s, br, Ar-*C*$_6$), 131.89 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$, J_{PC} = 3.5 Hz), 129.53 (s, br, Ar-*C*$_8$), 126.80 (m, Ar-*C*$_7$), 126.47 (s, Ar-*H*$_3$), 124.70 (s, Ar-*C*$_1$), 34.77 (s, -*C*(CH$_3$)$_3$), 31.56 (s, -C(*C*H$_3$)$_3$), 29.52 (m, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$), 25.97 (app t, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 12.1 Hz), 22.69 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 20.70 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 20.32 (m, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, 18.25 (s, br, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 38.71 (d, J_{RhP} = 123.4 Hz). ν_{CO} = 1945 cm^{-1} (solid, film).



1a **26a**

Method B: In the glovebox, a 20 mL Schlenk flask was charged with a magnetic stir bar and $(Rh(COE)_2Cl)_2$ (0.100 g, 0.091 mmol, 0.50 equiv.) as a slurry in C_6H_6 (2 mL). Diphosphine **1a** (0.100 g, 0.182 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was added as a solution in C_6H_6 (1 mL) and the solution was stirred. After 10 min., a dark purple solution was observed. After 26 h, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford a purple powder. To 0.020 mg of this powder, paraformaldehyde (0.005 g, 0.152 mmol, 5.00 equiv.) was added and the mixture was stirred for 4 d. After 4 d, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting red residue dissolved in C_6D_6. **26a** (NMR yield: **26a**, 48%) was observed in a mixture of species by NMR.



1a **26a** **24a**

Method C: In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with diphosphine **1a** (0.020 g, 0.018 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and $(Rh(COD)Cl)_2$ (0.090 g, 0.018 mmol, 0.50 equiv.). C_6D_6 (ca. 0.6 mL) was added to afford a homogenous orange solution. This solution was transferred to a J. Young tube. The J. Young tube was capped, removed from the glovebox, and placed in an oil bath pre-heated to 80 °C. After 65 h of

heating, the tube was removed from the oil bath and a mixture of species (NMR Yield: **24a**, 32%; **26a**, 11%) was observed by NMR.



Method D: In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stir bar and $(Rh(COD)_2Cl)_2$ (0.048 g, 0.096 mmol, 0.5 equiv.) as an orange solution in C_6H_6 (1 mL). Diphosphine **1d** (0.100 g, 0.193 mmol, 1.0 equiv.) was added as a solution in C_6H_6 (2 mL) and the solution was stirred. An orange homogenous solution was observed upon addition. After 40 h, the solution was transferred to a 20 mL Schlenk flask fitted with a Teflon screw cap. The Schlenk flask was removed from the glovebox. On the Schlenk line, the solution was frozen in a dry ice/acetone cooling bath, placed under reduced pressure, and thawed. The reaction mixture was then placed under an atmosphere of CO and stirred for 3 h. A color change to yellow was observed. The Schlenk flask was placed under reduced pressure, brought back into the glovebox, and the reaction mixture was filtered through Celite. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford a yellow powder. This powder was washed with three portions of pentane (*ca.* 1 mL) and three portions of Et_2O (*ca.* 1 mL each). This powder was dissolved in C_6H_6 (2 mL), filtered through Celite, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford **26a** (0.049 g, 0.071 mmol, 36.9 % yield) as yellow powder. Anal. calcd. for $C_{35}H_{48}ClOP_2Rh$ (%): C, 61.36 ; H, 7.06. Found: C, 60.14; H, 6.89. Low in carbon despite multiple analyses.

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-methoxybenzene]-iridium(I)(1,5-cyclooctadiene)chloride (27a).



In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with $(Ir(COD)Cl)_2$ (0.012 g, 0.023 mmol, 0.50 equiv.), THF (2 mL), and a magnetic stir bar. Diphosphine **1a** (0.025 g, 0.046 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was added as a solution in THF (1 mL). After 5 min., an orange solution was observed. After 30 min., volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford a gummy orange solid (mixture of species; NMR yield: **27a**, 72%). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.92 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.42 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.34 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.30 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.28 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.25 – 7.18 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 7.03 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 6.93 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*), 5.31 (s, br, 4H, COD, -C*H*), 3.36 (m, 2H, COD, -C*H*$_2$), 3.22 (m, 4H, COD, -C*H*$_2$), 3.04 (m, 2H, COD, -C*H*$_2$), 2.90 (s, 3H, -OC*H*$_3$), 2.36 (m, 1H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 2.10 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.88 (m, 1H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.70 – 1.54 (m, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.52 – 1.39 (m, 3H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.26 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3)_3$), 1.15 – 1.07 (m, 3H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.06 -0.92 (m, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 0.85 – 0.76 (m, 3H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 152.05 (s, Ar-*C*), 147.93 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 32.3 Hz), 144.41 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 23.2 Hz), 136.78 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 136.42 – 135.82 (m, Ar-*C*), 133.35 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 5.1 Hz), 132.75 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 131.16 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 130.02 (s, Ar-*C*H), 129.09 (detected by HSQC, obscured by solvent residual, Ar-*C*H), 128.96 (detected by HSQC, obscured by

solvent residual, Ar-*C*H), 128.84 (s, Ar-*C*H), 127.00 (s, Ar-*C*H), 126.73 (s, Ar-*C*), 126.45 (s, Ar-*C*), 124.93 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 11.1 Hz), 90.00 (d, COD, -*C*H, J_{PC} = 13.1 Hz), 89.56 (d, COD, -*C*H, J_{PC} = 15.2 Hz), 59.79 (s, -O*C*H_3), 54.60 (s, COD, -*C*H_2), 52.64 (s, COD, -*C*H_2), 34.86 (d, -*C*H(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 34.36 (s, -*C*(CH_3)$_3$), 32.11 (d, -*C*H(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 31.40 (s, -C(*C*H_3)$_3$), 30.63 (d, -*C*H(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 23.84 (d, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 7.1 Hz), 22.01 (m, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$), 21.19 – 20.10 (m, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$), 19.96 (s, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$), 19.77 (d, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 5.1 Hz), 18.61 (m, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$), 17.75 (s, br, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 47.37 (s), -4.01 (s). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 7.71 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.56 (m, 1H, Ar-*H*), 7.44 – 7.23 (m, 5H, Ar-*H*), 7.20 – 7.05 (m, 3H, Ar-*H*), 4.71 (m, 4H, COD, -C*H*$_2$), 3.05 – 2.81 (m, 10H, COD, -C*H*), 2.95 (s, 3H, -OC*H*$_3$), 2.11 (m, 1H, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 1.88 (m, 1H, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 1.68 (m, 1H, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 1.50 (m, 1H, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 1.43 – 1.03 (m, 5H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.29 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 0.96 – 0.72 (m, 3H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$. $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (101 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 151.96 (s, Ar-*C*$_1$), 147.86 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 33.3 Hz), 144.52 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 144.01 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 14.1 Hz), 136.66 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 136.26 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 17.2 Hz), 136.12 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 11.1 Hz), 133.60 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 135.53 (d, Ar-*C*, 1.0 Hz), 132.76 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 131.35 (d, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 130.21 (s, Ar-*C*H), 128.44 (m, Ar-*C*H), 128.16 (m, Ar-*C*H), 126.98 (s, Ar-*C*H), 126.04 (d, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 31.3 Hz), 125.01 (s, Ar-*C*H), 89.63 (d, COD, -*C*H, J_{PC} = 12.1 Hz), 88.60 (d, COD, -*C*H, J_{PC} = 15.1 Hz), 59.93 (s, -O*C*H_3), 55.77 (s, COD, -*C*H), 53.22 (detected by HSQC, obscured by solvent residual, COD, -*C*H), 34.55 (s, -*C*(CH_3)$_3$), 34.33 (d, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 32.19 (d, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 31.46 (s, -C(*C*H_3)$_3$), 30.20 (d, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 28.71 (d, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 1.0 Hz), 23.62 (d, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 7.1 Hz), 23.01 (d, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 14.1 Hz), 21.66 (d, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz),

20.98 (d, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 17.2 Hz), 20.56 – 20.09 (m, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 18.65 (m, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 17.80 (d, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (121 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 46.84 (s), -3.99 (s).

Synthesis of [2,6-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-4-tert-butyl-phenoxide]-iridium(I)carbonyl (28a).



1a **28a**

Method A: In the glovebox, a 100 mL Schlenk tube fitted with a Teflon screw cap was charged with a stir bar, diphosphine **1a** (0.250 g, 0.456 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) as a solution in C_6H_6 (2 mL) and $(Ir(COD)Cl)_2$ (0.153 g, 0.228 mmol, 0.50 equiv.) as a solution in C_6H_6 (4 mL). An orange solution was observed. The Schlenk tube was sealed and removed from the glovebox. On the Schlenk line, the solution was degassed via three freeze-pump-thaw cycles using a dry ice/acetone cooling bath and *ca.* 1 atm CO was added. After 5 min., a yellow solution was observed. The mixture was stirred for 1 h. After 1 h, the solution was frozen using a dry ice/acetone cooling bath, the headspace was evacuated on the Schlenk line, and the headspace was backfilled with *ca.* 1 atm CO. The mixture was stirred for an additional 15 h. The solution was then frozen using a dry ice/atone cooling bath, the headspace was evacuated on the Schlenk line, and the headspace was backfilled with *ca.* 1 atm CO. The mixture was stirred for an additional 2 d. The reaction vessel was then brought back in the glovebox. The C_6H_6 solution was filtered through Celite and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. A yellow-orange powder was observed. Diffusion of

Et_2O into a saturated C_6H_6 solution of this yellow-orange powder afforded yellow crystals of **28a** (0.043 g, 0.058 mmol, 12.6%). ^1H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.25 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 7.20 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*$_6$ & Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.12 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*$_7$ & Ar-*H*$_8$), 2.42 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 2.31 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.41 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3)_3$), 1.39 (m, 12H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.05 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 0.74 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 176.97 (t Ir-*C*O, J_{PC} = 11.1 Hz), 149.29 (app t, Ar-*C*$_5$, J_{PC} = 6.6 Hz), 141.89 (t, Ar-*C*$_1$, J_{PC} = 1.0 Hz), 139.50 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 134.44 (s, Ar-*C*$_2$), 133.61 (app t, Ar-*C*$_6$, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 132.24 (app t, Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 130.58 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$ or Ar-*C*$_8$, J_{PC} = 1.0 Hz), 129.30 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$), 125.77 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$ or Ar-*C*$_8$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 123.81 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 22.7 Hz), 34.48 (s, -*C*$(CH_3)_3$), 31.88 (s, -C(*C*$H_3)_3$), 28.06 (app t, -*C*$H(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 16.2 Hz), 25.29 (app t, -*C*$H(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 15.2 Hz), 19.71 (app t, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz), 19.36 (app t, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 18.93 (app t, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 16.41 (app t, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 38.57 (s). ν_{CO} = 1929 cm^{-1} (solid, powder). Anal. calcd. for $C_{35}H_{47}IrO_2P_2$ (%): C, 55.76; H, 6.28. Found: C, 55.63; H, 5.99.



1a **28a**

Method B: In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with diphosphine **1a** (0.020 g, 0.036 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) and $(Ir(COE)_2Cl)_2$ (0.016 g, 0.018 mmol, 0.50 equiv.). C_6D_6 (ca. 0.6 mL) was added to afford a homogenous orange solution. This solution was transferred to a J. Young tube. The J. Young tube was capped and

removed from the glovebox. On the Schlenk line, the J. Young Tube was degassed via three freeze-pump-thaw cycles using a dry ice/acetone bath and *ca.* 1 atm CO was added. The tube was inverted ten times and left standing for 16 d. A mixture of species (NMR yield: **28a**, 91%) was observed by NMR.



1a **28a**

Method C: In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with diphosphine **1a** (0.020 g, 0.036 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and $(Ir(COD)Cl)_2$ (0.012 g, 0.018 mmol, 0.50 equiv.). *m*-Xylene (*ca.* 0.6 mL) was added to afford a homogenous orange solution. This solution was transferred to a J. Young tube. The J. Young tube was capped, removed from the glovebox, and placed in an oil bath pre-heated to 135 °C. After 63 h of heating, the tube was removed from the oil bath and a mixture of species (NMR Yield: **28a**, 48%) was observed by NMR.



1a **28a**

Method D: In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with diphosphine **1a** (0.020 g, 0.036 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and $(Ir(COE)_2Cl)_2$ (0.016 g, 0.018 mmol, 0.50 equiv.). C_6D_6 (*ca.* 0.6 mL) was added to afford a homogenous orange solution. This solution was transferred to a J. Young tube. The J. Young tube was capped, removed

from the glovebox, and placed in an oil bath pre-heated to 80 °C. After 52 h of heating, the tube was removed from the oil bath and a mixture of species (NMR Yield: **28a**, 17%) was observed by NMR.



Method E: In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stir bar, diphosphine **1c** (0.060 g, 0.112 mmol, 1.00 equiv.). and THF (2 mL). A pale yellow solution was observed. A separate vial was charged with BnK[43] (0.015 g, 0.112 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) and THF (1 mL). A red-orange solution was observed. Both vials were frozen in a liquid N_2-chilled cold well. The just-thawed solution of BnK was then added dropwise to a thawing solution of **1c** while stirring. At the start of addition, a red-orange color was observed with the addition of each drop but dissipated quickly. Towards the end of the addition, the red-orange color persisted. A red-orange color was observed upon completion of the addition and the mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring over the course of 1 h. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford an orange residue. Hexanes (1 mL) was added, the residue was triturated, and volatiles were removed to afford an orange solid. This orange solid was extracted with three portions of C_6H_6 (1 mL), the portions of C_6H_6 were combined, and the C_6H_6 solution was filtered through Celite. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and an orange solid was observed. Again using a liquid N_2-chilled cold well, a just-thawed solution of this orange solid (0.056 g, 0.098) in THF (1 mL)

was added to a thawing slurry of $(Ir(COD)_2Cl)_2$ (0.036 g, 0.054 mmol, 0.50 equiv.) in THF (1 mL) in a 20 mL scintillation vial charged with a magnetic stir bar. The mixture was allowed to warm to room temperature while stirring over the course of 4 h. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford an orange residue. Hexanes (1 mL) was added, the residue was triturated, and volatiles were removed to afford an orange solid. A portion of this orange solid (0.008 g) was extracted with C_6D_6 (1 mL). The C_6D_6 solution was filtered through Celite and transferred to a J. Young tube. The J. Young Tube was sealed and removed from the glovebox. On the Schlenk line, the solution was degassed via three freeze-pump-thaw cycles using a dry ice/acetone cooling bath and ca. 1 atm CO was added. The tube was inverted 10 times and left standing at room temperature. After 15 h, **28a** (NMR yield: quantitative) was observed by NMR.



1f **28a**

Method F: The above procedure (*Method E* for preparation of **28a**) was followed until the addition of the product of diphosphine **1c** and BnK[43] to a solution of $(Ir(COD)Cl)_2$. Volatiles were removed to afford an orange residue. Hexanes (1 mL) was added, the residue was triturated, and volatiles were removed to afford an orange solid. The orange solid was extracted with three portions of C_6H_6 (1 mL), the portions of C_6H_6 were combined, and the C_6H_6 solution was filtered through Celite. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and an orange solid was observed. A portion of

this orange solid (0.034 g) was dissolved in THF (2 mL) and transferred to a 20 mL scintillation vial charged with a stir bar and a slurry of paraformaldehyde (0.001 g, 0.044 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) in THF (1 mL). The mixture was stirred at ambient temperature. After 4 d, a yellow solution was observed and **28a** (NMR yield: quantitative) was observed by NMR.

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-methoxybenzene]-iridium(I)(carbonyl)chloride (29a).



1a **29a**

In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with diphosphine **1a** (0.020 g, 0.036 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) and $(Ir(COD)Cl)_2$ (0.012 g, 0.018 mmol, 0.50 equiv.) as solids. To this vial was added C_6D_6 (ca. 0.6 mL). The solids were dissolved to afford an orange solution. This solution was transferred to a J. Young Tube. The tube was sealed and removed from the glovebox. On the Schlenk line, the solution was degassed via three freeze-pump-thaw cycles using an ice water bath then placed under *ca.* 1 atm CO. The J. Young Tube was inverted 5 times and a color change to a yellow solution was observed. The J. Young Tube was inverted a total of 10 times after addition of CO and left standing at 15 min. to afford a yellow solution of **29a** (mixture of species; NMR yield: **29a**, major isomer, 66%; **29a**, minor isomer, 33%; **28a**, 1%). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.45 (m, 2H, Ar-H_6, minor isomer), 7.36 (m, 2H, Ar-H_6, major isomer; m, 2H, Ar-H_6, minor isomer); 7.27 (m, 2H, Ar-H_5, major isomer), 7.22 (s, 2H, Ar-H_3, minor isomer), 7.16 (Ar-H_3, obscured by solvent residual, detected by

HSQC, major isomer), 7.15 – 7.04 (m, 4H, Ar-H_7 and Ar-H_8, major isomer; m, 4H, Ar-H_7 and Ar-H_8, minor isomer), 3.47 (m, 2H, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, minor isomer), 3.05 (s, 3H, -OCH_3, major isomer), 3.01 (m, 2H, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, major isomer), 2.97 (s, 3H, -OCH_3, minor isomer), 2.54 (m, 2H, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, major isomer), 2.42 (m, 2H, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, minor isomer), 1.59 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, minor isomer), 1.48 – 1.32 (m, 12H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, major isomer; m, 12H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, minor isomer), 1.35 (s, 9H, -C(CH_3)$_3$, major isomer), 1.27 (s, 9H, -C(CH_3)$_3$, minor isomer), 1.20 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, major isomer), 1.14 – 1.03 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, major isomer; dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$, minor isomer). ^{13}C{^{1}H} (101 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 171.88 (t, Ir-CO, J_{PC} = 12.6 Hz, major isomer), 171.63 (t, Ir-CO J_{PC} = 11.1 Hz, minor isomer), 153.12 (s, Ar-C_1, minor isomer), 152.22 (s, Ar-C_1, major isomer), 146.72 (app t, Ar-C_{10}, major isomer, J_{PC} = 11.6 Hz), 145.65 (m, Ar-C_{10}, minor isomer), 144.31 (s, Ar-C_4, major isomer), 143.82 (s, Ar-C_4, minor isomer), 136.20 (app t, Ar-C_2, minor isomer, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz), 135.40 (app t, Ar-C_2, major isomer, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 134.45 (m, Ar-H_5, minor isomer), 133.72 (app t, Ar-H_5, major isomer, J_{PC} = 20.2 Hz), 132.35 (app t, Ar-H_6, minor isomer, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz), 132.25 (app t, Ar-H_6, major isomer, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz), 132.15 – 131.89 (m, Ar-H$_9$, major and minor isomers), 129.13 (s, Ar-H_8, major isomer), 129.09 (s, Ar-H_8, minor isomer), 128.96 (s, Ar-H_3, major isomer), 128.09 (Ar-H_3, obscured by solvent residual, detected by HSQC, minor isomer), 126.96 (app t, Ar-H_7, minor isomer, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 126.59 (app t, Ar-H_7, major isomer, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 60.32 (s, -OCH$_3$, major isomer), 60.09 (s, -OCH$_3$, minor isomer), 34.46 (s, -C(CH$_3$)$_3$, major isomer), 34.34 (s, -C(CH$_3$)$_3$, minor isomer), 31.76 (s, -C(CH$_3$)$_3$, major isomer), 31.39 (s, -C(CH$_3$)$_3$, minor isomer), 29.90 (app t, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, minor isomer), J_{PC} = 14.1 Hz), 27.83 (app t, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, major isomer, J_{PC} = 27.83 Hz), 25.73 (app t, -CH(CH$_3$)$_2$, minor isomer, J_{PC} = 13.6 Hz), 25.31 (app t, -

*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$, major isomer, J_{PC} = 13.6 Hz), 22.62 (s, br, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, minor isomer), 21.34 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz), 21.27 (s, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$), 21.00 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 20.55 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz), 20.26 (s, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, major isomer), 20.14 (s, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$), 19.25 -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$. ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 31.82 (s, minor isomer), 26.43 (s, major isomer). ν_{CO} = 1937 cm^{-1} (solid, film).

Synthesis of [2,6-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-4-tert-butyl-phenoxide]nickel(II)-chloride (32a).



1a **32a**

In the glovebox, a colorless solution of diphosphine **1a** (0.04 g, 0.07 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) in THF (3 mL) was added dropwise to a slurry of Ni(DME)Cl$_2$ (0.02 g, 0.10, 1.40 equiv.), a yellow powder, in THF (1.5 mL) in a 20 mL scintillation vial charged with a magnetic stir bar. Upon addition, a pale purple color was observed. The mixture was stirred at room temperature. After 2 h, a dark purple color was observed. After 16 h, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The resulting purple-gray residue was triturated with 2 mL hexanes and solvent removed under reduced pressure to afford a purple-gray powder. This purple-gray powder was washed with three portions of hexanes (1.5 mL). The washed precipitate was extracted with three portions of toluene (1.5 mL). This solution was filtered through Celite and solvent removed under reduced pressure to afford **32a** as a purple powder (0.03 g, 0.04 mmol, 61% yield). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 7.53 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 7.20 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.12 (m, 4H, Ar- *H*$_7$ and Ar-*H*$_8$), 6.97 (m, 2H, Ar- *H*$_6$), 2.59 (m, 2H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 2.11

(m, 2H, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 1.88 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*)$_3$), 1.80 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*)$_3$), 1.53 (s, 9H, C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 1.21 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*)$_3$), 0.63 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*)$_3$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 148.21 (app t, Ar-*C*$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 148.00 (app t, Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 7.1 Hz), 142.73 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 133.90 (t, Ar-*C*$_1$, J_{PC} = 1.0 Hz), 133.27 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$ or Ar-*C*$_8$, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 131.00 (app t, Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz), 130.54 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$ or Ar-*C*$_8$, J_{PC} = 1.0 Hz), 129.77 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$), 125.09 (app t, Ar-*C*$_6$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 122.80 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 19.7 Hz), 34.57 (s, -*C*(CH_3)$_3$), 31.95 (s, -C(*C*H$_3$)$_3$), 23.16 (app t, -*C*H(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 9.6 Hz), 21.34 (app t, -*C*H(CH_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 11.1 Hz), 19.69 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 19.43 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 18.73 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 15.28 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, CD_2Cl_2). δ 13.74 (s). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 7.53 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.48 (t, 2H, Ar-*H*$_8$), 7.37 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 7.29 (t, 2H, Ar-*H*$_7$), 7.16 (d, 2H, Ar-*H*$_6$), 2.62 (m, 2H, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 2.34 (m, 2H, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 1.96 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 1.80 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.48 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 0.98 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 0.86 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (126 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 147.43 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{10}$), 146.96 (t, Ar-*C*$_4$), 143.36 (s, Ar-*C*$_1$), 133.36 (app t. Ar-*C*$_2$), 133.05 (Ar-*C*$_6$), 131.26 (s, Ar-*C*$_9$), 130.69 (s, Ar-*C*$_8$), 129.72 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$), 125.40 (t, Ar-*C*$_7$), 122.47 (t, Ar-*C*$_5$), 34.68 (s, -*C*(CH_3)$_3$), 31.78 (s, -*C*(CH_3)$_3$), 23.20 (t, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 21.31 (t, -C*H*(CH_3)$_2$), 19.55 (t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$), 19.29 (t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$), 18.49 (t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$), 15.37 (t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 13.71 (s). Anal. calcd. for $C_{34}H_{47}ClOP_2Ni$ (%): C, 65.04; H, 7.55. Found: C, 65.15; H, 7.43.

Synthesis of [2,6-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-4-dimethylamino-phenoxide] nickel(II)chloride (32b).



1b **32b**

In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a stir bar, $Ni(DME)Cl_2$ (0.043 g, 0.196 mmol, 1.05 equiv.), and THF (2 mL). To this yellow slurry was added diphosphine **1b** (0.100 g, 0.187 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) as a solution in THF (2 mL) and the reaction mixture was stirred vigorously. After 1 h, a dark green solution was observed. After 12 h, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford a dark green oil. Hexanes (1 mL) was added, the crude reaction mixture was titurated, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The resulting green solid was washed with three times with Et_2O (1 mL) then dissolved in C_6H_6 (5 mL) and filtered through Celite. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The resulting green solid was dissolved in 8 mL Et_2O, filtered again through Celite, and left to stand at room temperature. Dark green crystals were observed, the mother liquor was decanted, the crystals were washed once with Et_2O (1 mL), and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford **32b** (0.023 g, 0.037 mmol, 32.2%) as a dark green crystalline solid. Crystals suitable for X-ray diffraction were grown from a saturated solution of Et_2O. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.23 (m, 4H, Ar-H_6 & Ar-H_9), 7.13 (m, 2H, Ar-H_7 or Ar-H_8), 6.99 (s, 2H, Ar-H_3), 6.98 (m, 2H, Ar-H_7 or Ar-H_8), 2.78 (s, 6H, -$N(CH_3)_2$), 2.62 (m, 2H, -$CH(CH_3)_2$), 2.14 (m, 2H, -$CH(CH_3)_2$), 1.85 (m, 12H, -$CH(CH_3)_2$), 1.25 (dd, 6H, -$CH(CH_3)_2$), 0.67 (dd, 6H, -$CH(CH_3)_2$). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (101

MHz, C_6D_6) δ 148.14 (app t, Ar-*C*$_5$, J_{PC} = 7.1 Hz), 146.14 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 141.28 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 12.1 Hz), 134.90 (s, Ar-*C*$_2$), 133.21 (app t, Ar-*C*$_6$ or Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 131.17 (app t, Ar-*C*$_6$ or Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 1.0 Hz), 130.39 (s, br, Ar-*C*$_7$ or Ar-*C*$_8$), 124.99 (app t, br, Ar-*C*$_7$ or Ar-*C*$_8$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 123.23 (t, Ar-*C*$_1$, J_{PC} = 19.2 Hz), 118.73 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$), 41.78 (s, -N(*C*H$_3$)$_2$), 23.30 (app t, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 8.6 Hz), 21.20 (app t, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 10.6 Hz), 19.62 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 19.50 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 3.5 Hz), 19.04 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 15.32 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 14.04 (s). Anal. calcd. for $C_{32}H_{44}ClNNiOP_2$ (%):C, 62.52; H, 7.21; N, 2.28. Found: C, 62.38; H, 6.99; N, 2.28.

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-methoxybenzene]-palladium(II)chloride (33a).



1a **33a**

In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stir bar, Pd(COD)Cl_2 (0.012 g, 0.048 mmol, 1.05 equiv.), and THF (1 mL). A yellow solution was observed. A pale yellow solution of diphosphine **1a** (0.025 g, 0.046 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) in THF (2 mL) was added dropwise to the solution of Pd(COD)Cl_2. After 15 min., an orange homogenous solution was observed. After 30 min., volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. An orange oil was observed. C_6H_6 (1 mL) was added. A homogenous orange solution was observed. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. A gummy orange solid was observed. This solid was dissolved in benzene (2 mL), filtered through Celite, and volatiles were removed under reduced

pressure to afford a gummy orange solid. Tituration with hexanes (1 mL) followed by pentane (1 mL) afforded a light orange powder (0.025 g, mixture of species; NMR yield: 79% **33a** 4% phenoxide **35a**). ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.44 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.38 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_6$), 7.33 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 7.21 (t, 2H, Ar-*H*$_8$), 7.09 (t, 2H, Ar-*H*$_7$), 3.14 (s, br, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 3.07 (s, 3H, -OC*H*$_3$), 2.66 (s, br, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.64 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.43 (m, 12H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$), 1.36 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3)_3$), 1.22 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 152.52 (s, Ar-*C*$_1$), 145.39 (s, br, Ar-*C*$_4$), 144.60 (s, Ar-*C*$_2$), 136.07 (s, br, Ar-*C*$_{10}$), 134.80 (m, Ar-*C*$_5$), 132.39 (app t, Ar-*C*$_6$), 132.06 (app t, Ar-*C*$_9$), 130.13 (s, br, Ar-*C*$_3$), 129.42 (s, Ar-*C*$_8$), 126.70 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 60.75 (s, -O*C*H_3), 34.48 (s, -*C*$(CH_3)_3$), 31.41 (s, -C(*C*$H_3)_3$), 27.50 (s, br, -*C*$H(CH_3)_2$), 26.97 (s, br, -*C*$H(CH_3)_2$), 21.52 (s, br, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$), 20.92 (s, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$), 20.25 (app t, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 1.0 Hz), 19.72 (s, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 26.28 (s).

Synthesis of [(1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-methoxybenzene)-palladium(II)bisacetontrile][tetrafluoroborate] (34a).



1a **34a**

In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stir bar, $[Pd(MeCN)_2][(BF_4)_2]$ (0.405 g, 0.911 mmol, 1.00 equiv.), and MeCN (1 mL). A yellow solution was observed. A yellow slurry of diphosphine **1a** (0.500 g, 0.911 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) in MeCN (2 mL) was added to the solution of $[Pd(MeCN)_2][(BF_4)_2]$. After 30

min., a dark red solution was observed. After 45 min., volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. A red oil was observed. This solid was dissolved in a minimum of MeCN and filtered through Celite. A precipitate was observed after this MeCN solution was left standing at room temperature. The solution was filtered through Celite and volatiles removed from the filtrate to afford **34a** (0.429 g, 0.471 mmol, 51.7%) as a light brown solid. ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, CD_3CN) δ 7.77 (m, 4H, Ar-*H*), 7.70-7.61 (m, 4H Ar-*H*), 7.48 (s, 2H, Ar-H_3), 3.09 (s, 3H, -OCH_3), 3.04 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 2.37 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.57 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 1.47 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 1.36 (s, 9H, -C(CH_3)$_3$), 1.25 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$), 1.12 (dd, 6H, -CH(CH_3)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, CD_3CN) δ 151.68 (s, Ar-C_1), 148.13 (s, Ar-C_4), 143.81 (app t, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 6.6 Hz), 137.01 (app t, Ar-*C*, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 133.53 (app t, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 133.42 (app t, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 133.07 (s, Ar-*C*H), 130.73 (s, Ar-C_3), 129.34 (app t, Ar-*C*H, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 129.21 (s, Ar-*C*H), 62.26 (s, -O*C*H_3), 35.36 (s, -*C*$(CH_3)_3$), 31.55 (s, -C(*C*H_3)$_3$), 27.93 (app t, -*C*H$(CH_3)_3$, J_{PC} = 11.6 Hz), 26.73 (app t, -*C*H$(CH_3)_3$, J_{PC} = 11.6 Hz), 21.23 (app t, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz), 20.03 (s, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$), 19.67 (s, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$), 18.88 (s, -CH(*C*H_3)$_2$). ^{19}F NMR (282 MHz, CD_3CN) δ -149.28 (s). ^{31}P{^{1}H} (121 MHz, CD_3CN) δ 38.38 (s). Anal. calcd. for $C_{39}H_{56}B_2F_8N_2OP_2Pd$ (%): C, 51.43; H, 6.20; N, 3.08. Found: C, 49.71; H, 6.06; N, 3.55. Low in nitrogen despite multiple analyses.

Synthesis of [2,6-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-4-tert-butyl-phenoxide]-palladium(II)chloride (35a).



1a **35a**

In the glovebox, a 100 mL Schlenk tube fitted with a Teflon screw cap was charged with diphosphine **1a** (0.200 g, 0.365 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) as a solution in C_6H_6 (2 mL), $Pd(COD)Cl_2$ (0.115 g, 0.401 mmol, 1.10 equiv.) as a solution in C_6H_6 (2 mL), and a stir bar. An orange solution was observed. The Schlenk tube was placed in an oil bath preheated to 80 ºC and heated while stirring. After 4 h, the Schlenk tube was removed from the oil bath and brought into the glovebox. A red solution was observed. This C_6H_6 solution was filtered through Celite and volatiles removed under reduced pressure to afford **35a** (0.233 g, 0.352 mmol, 96.7%) as a red solid. ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.31 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 7.23 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.15 (obscured to solvent residual, detected by HSQC, 2H, Ar-*H*$_6$), 7.13 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_7$), 7.00 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_8$), 2.96 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 2.19 (m, 2H, -C*H*$(CH_3)_2$), 1.60 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2)_2$), 1.50 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2)_2$), 1.44 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3)_3$), 1.10 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2)_2$), 0.68 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3)_2)_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (126 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 152.48 (t, Ar-*C*$_1$, J_{PC} = 3.2 Hz), 149.09 (app t, Ar-*C*$_5$, 6.9 Hz), 142.04 (s, C_2), 134.01 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$, J_{PC} = 3.8 Hz), 131.57 (t, Ar-*C*$_1$, J_{PC} = 2.52 Hz), 131.41 (app t, Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 1.3 Hz), 130.84 (s, Ar-*C*$_6$), 129.81 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$), 125.40 (app t, Ar-*C*$_8$, J_{PC} = 3.2 Hz), 122.53 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 22.1 Hz), 34.35 (s, -*C*$(CH_3)_3$), 31.97 (s, -C(*C*$H_3)_3$), 23.96 (app t, -*C*$H(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 11.3 Hz), 22.17 (app t, -*C*$H(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 12.0 Hz), 19.59 (app t, -CH(*C*$H_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 3.2 Hz), 19.40 (app t, -

$CH(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 3.2 Hz), 18.69 (app t, -$CH(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 15.40 (app t, -$CH(CH_3)_2$, J_{PC} = 1.9 Hz). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 27.08 (s). Anal. calcd. for $C_{34}H_{47}ClOP_2Pd$ (%): C, 60.45; H, 7.01. Found: C, 60.12; H, 6.80.

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-methoxy-benzene]platinum(II)chloride (36a).



1a **36a**

In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with $Pt(COD)Cl_2$ (0.036 g, 0.096 mmol, 1.05 equiv.), and THF (1 mL). To this slurry was added diphosphine **1a** (0.050 g, 0.091 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) as a solution in THF (2 mL). After 4 h, a yellow solution was observed. After 21 h, volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Hexanes (1 mL) was added, the crude yellow oil was titurated, and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. The resulting light yellow solid was washed three times with hexanes (1 mL) and then extracted with three portions of Et_2O (1 mL). Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford an off-white powder (0.028 g, mixture of species; NMR yield: **36a**, 84%). ^1H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.45 (m, 2H, Ar-H_9), 7.38 (m, 2H, Ar-H_6), 7.29 (s, 2H, Ar-H_3), 7.21 (m, 2H, Ar-H_7), 7.10 (m, 2H, Ar-H_8), 3.24 (m, 2H, -C$H(CH_3)_2$), 3.08 (s, 3H, -OCH_3), 2.78 (m, 2H, -C$H(CH_3)_2$, 1.63 (dd, 6H, -CH(C$H_3)_2$), 1.42 (m, 12H, -CH(C$H_3)_2$), 1.35 (s, 9H, -C(C$H_3)_3$), 1.22 (dd, 6H, -CH(C$H_3)_2$). $^{13}C\{^1H\}$ NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 153.23 (s, Ar-C_1), 145.46 (s, br, Ar-C_{10}), 144.18 (s, Ar-C_4), 135.87 (s, br, Ar-C_2), 133.61 (app t, Ar-C_5, J_{PC} = 22.2 Hz), 132.81 (s, br, Ar-C_9), 132.12 (app t, Ar-C_6, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 129.60 (s, br, Ar-C_7), 129.35

(s, Ar-*C*$_3$), 126.65 (app t, Ar-*C*$_8$, J_{PC} = 3.5 Hz), 60.53 (s, -O*C*H$_3$), 34.41 (s, -*C*(CH$_3$)$_3$, 31.45 (s, -C(*C*H$_3$)$_3$), 26.30 (s, br, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$), 25.59 (s, br, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$), 21.45 (s, br, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$), 20.75 (s, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$), 20.09 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 1.0 Hz), 19.54 (s, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$). $^{31}P\{^1H\}$ NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 17.96 (J_{PtP} = 2608.8 Hz).

Synthesis of [2,6-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-4-tert-butyl-phenoxide]-platinum(II)chloride (37a).



1a **37a**

In the glovebox, a 100 mL Schlenk tube fitted with a Teflon screw cap was charged with diphosphine **1a** (0.500 g, 0.911 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) as a solution in C_6H_6 (2 mL), Pt(COD)Cl_2 (0.358 g, 0.957 mmol, 1.05 equiv.) as a slurry in C_6H_6 (6 mL), and a stir bar. The Schlenk tube was sealed, removed from the glovebox, and placed in an oil bath preheated to 80 ºC and heated while stirring. After 4 h, the Schlenk tube was removed from the oil bath and brought into the glovebox. A yellow solution was observed. This C_6H_6 solution was filtered through Celite and volatiles removed under reduced pressure to afford a yellow solid. This yellow solid was washed three times with Et_2O (4 mL) then dissolved in a minimum of C_6H_6. Diffusion of Et_2O into this concentrated C_6H_6 afforded **37a** (crude yield: 85%, determined by NMR; isolated yield: 0.106 g, 0.139 mmol, 15.2 %) as a yellow crystalline solid. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 7.33 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_5$), 7.23 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_6$), 7.13 (detected by HSQC, obscured by solvent residual, Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.14 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_8$), 7.04 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_7$), 3.04 (m, 2H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 2.35 (m, 2H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.60 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.50 (dd, 6H, -

CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.44 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 1.04 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 0.70 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 151.36 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 1.0 Hz), 148.23 (app t, Ar-*C*$_1$, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 141.78 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 134.39 (app t, Ar-*C*$_9$, J_{PC} = 4.0 Hz), 131.89 (s, Ar-*C*$_2$), 131.77 (app t, Ar-*C*$_6$, J_{PC} = 3.0 Hz), 130.68 (s, br, Ar-*C*$_8$), 129.54 (s, br, Ar-*C*$_3$), 125.69 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$, J_{PC} = 3.5 Hz), 120.51 (t, Ar-*C*$_5$, J_{PC} = 24.2 Hz), 34.33 (s, -*C*(CH$_3$)$_3$), 32.00 (s, -C(*C*H$_3$)$_3$), 24.58 (app t, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 15.2 Hz), 21.09 (app t, -*C*H(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 15.2 Hz), 19.20 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 18.83 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 18.20 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 15.38 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 25.27 (J_{PtP} = 2836.2 Hz). ^{1}H NMR (300 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 7.58 (m, 2H Ar-*H*$_6$), 7.51 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_8$), 7.39 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_7$), 7.18 (m, 2H, Ar-*H*$_9$), 7.13 (s, 2H, Ar-*H*$_3$), 2.78 (m, 4H, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$), 1.50 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.42 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 1.34 (s, 9H, -C(C*H*$_3$)$_3$), 0.94 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$), 0.84 (dd, 6H, -CH(C*H*$_3$)$_2$). ^{13}C{^{1}H} NMR (101 MHz) δ 150.22 (s, Ar-*H*$_3$), 147.66 (app t, Ar-*C*$_2$, J_{PC} = 6.1 Hz), 142.42 (s, Ar-*C*$_4$), 134.23 (app t, Ar-*C*$_6$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 131.29 (app t, Ar-*C*$_{10}$, J_{PC} = 1.0 Hz), 130.88 (app t, Ar-*C*$_8$, J_{PC} = 1.0 Hz), 129.50 (s, Ar-*C*$_3$), 126.08 (app t, Ar-*C*$_7$, J_{PC} = 3.5 Hz), 120.24 (app t, Ar-*C*$_5$, J_{PC} = 24.7 Hz), 34.42 (s, -*C*(CH$_3$)$_3$), 31.79 (s, -C(*C*H$_3$)$_3$), 24.63 (app t, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 15.2 Hz), 21.09 (app t, -C*H*(CH$_3$)$_2$, J_{PC} = 14.6 Hz), 19.03 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_3$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 18.69 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_3$, J_{PC} = 2.5 Hz), 18.00 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_3$, J_{PC} = 2.0 Hz), 15.53 (app t, -CH(*C*H$_3$)$_3$, J_{PC} = 1.5 Hz). ^{31}P{^{1}H} NMR (121 MHz, CD_2Cl_2) δ 25.12 (J_{PtP} = 2812.0 Hz). Anal. calcd. for $C_{34}H_{47}ClOP_2Pt$ (%): C, 53.44; H, 6.20. Found: C, 53.16; H, 6.19.

Synthesis of [1,3-bis(2'-diisopropylphosphinophenyl)-5-tert-butyl-2-methoxybenzene]-cobalt(I)bromide (37a).



1a **38a**

In the glovebox, a 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stir bar, $CoBr_2$ (0.156 g, 0.711 mmol, 1.30 equiv.), and THF (2 mL). A blue solution was observed. Diphopshine **1a** (0.300 g, 0.547 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was added as a solution in THF (2 mL). Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford a gummy light blue solid. CH_2Cl_2 (4 mL) was added, the solid was triturated, and stirred for 1 h. A green solution was observed. Volatiles were removed under reduced pressure to afford a gummy green solid. Toluene (4 mL) was added and the solid was triturated. Cobaltocene (0.103 g, 0.547 mmol, 1.00 equiv.) was added dropwise as a solution in toluene (2 mL). The mixture was stirred for 6 h. A yellow solution was observed. The solution was filtered through Celite and volatiles were removed under reduced pressure. Hexanes was added to the resulting dark red oil and triturated. The volatiles were removed under reduced pressure and the resulting solid was extracted three times with hexanes (5 mL). The hexanes extract was filtered through Celite and volatiles removed under reduced pressure. The resulting red oil was dissolved in a minimum of Et_2O, filtered once more through Celite, and placed in a -35 °C freezer. Dark red crystals were observed. The mother liquor was decanted and the crystals were washed twice with Et_2O (1 mL) to afford compound **38a** (0.053 g, 0.077 mmol, 14.0%) as a dark red crystalline solid. Crystals suitable for x-ray diffraction were grown

from a saturated solution on Et_2O. ^1H NMR (300 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 51.27 (s, br), 24.23 (s, br), 21.43 (s, br), 17.70 (s), 9.88 (s), 8.13 (s), 5.80 (s, br), 1.01 (s), -0.63 (s), -4.16 (s, br), -7.45 (s, br), -9.68 (s, br). μ_{eff} calcdd. for Co^I, S = 1: 2.83 μ_B. μ_{eff} found: 2.72 μ_B (Evans'method, C_6D_6/THF). Anal. calcd. for $C_{35}H_{50}BrCoOP_2$ (%): C, 61.14; H, 7.33. Found: C, 61.14; H, 7.44.

Crystallographic Data

CCDC deposition numbers (1411633, **38a**; 1411634, **19a**; 1411635, **28a**; 1411636, **8a**; 1411637, **32b**; 1411638, **26a**; 1441639, **5a**; 1411640, **34a**) contain the supplementary crystallographic data for this paper. These data can be obtained free of charge from The Cambridge Crystallographic Data Centre via www.ccdc.cam.ac.uk/data_request/cif.

Refinement Details. In each case, crystals were mounted on a glass fiber or MiTeGen loop using Paratone oil, then placed on the diffractometer under a nitrogen stream. For compounds **5a**, **8a**, **19a**, **28a**, **32b**, **34a**, and **38a**, low temperature (100 k) X-ray data was obtained on a Bruker KAPPA APEXII CCD based diffractometer (Mo fine-focus sealed X-ray tube, Kα = 0.71073 Å). For compound **26a**, low temperature (100 K) X-ray data was obtained Bruker D8 VENTURE Kappa Duo PHOTON 100 CMOS based diffractometer (Mo IμS HB micro-focus sealed X-ray tube, Kα = 0.71073 Å). All diffractometer manipulations, including data collection, integration, and scaling were carried out using the Bruker APEXII software.[51] Absorption corrections were applied using SADABS.[52] Space groups were determined on the basis of systematic absences and intensity statistics and the structures were solved in the Olex 2 software interface[53] by intrinsic phasing using XS[54] (incorporated into SHELXTL) and refined by full-matrix least

squares on F2. All non-hydrogen atoms were refined using anisotropic displacement parameters. Hydrogen atoms were placed in the idealized positions and refined using a riding model. The structure was refined (weighed least squares refinement on F2) to convergence. Graphical representation of structures with 50% probability thermal ellipsoids were generated using Diamond 3 visualization software.[55]

Table 2.1. Crystal and Refinement Data for 5a, 8a, 19a, 26a.

	Complex **5a**	Complex **8a**	Complex **19a**	Complex **26a**
Empirical formula	$C_{35}H_{50}OP_2Pd_{0.69}$	$C_{35}H_{50}OP_2Pt$	$C_{34}H_{48}ClP_2Rh$	$C_{37.5}H_{54}ClOP_2Rh$
Formula weight (g/mol)	622.11	743.78	657.02	721.10
T (K)	100	100(2)	100(2)	100
Radiation	MoKα (λ = 0.71073)	MoKα (λ = 0.71073)	MoKα (λ = 0.71073)	MoKα (λ = 0.71073)
a (Å)	11.2133(4)	15.5042(7)	21.062(8)	26.5795(9)
b (Å)	15.3800(6)	15.5042(7)	10.977(4)	23.0419(8)
c (Å)	19.1855(8)	11.8599(8)	29.071(11)	24.1024(8)
α (deg)	90	90	90	90
β (deg)	92.738(2)	90	105.84(2)	103.6640(10)
γ (deg)	90	120	90	90
V (Å^3)	3305.0(2)	2468.9(2)	6466(4)	14343.5(8)
Z	4	3	8	16
Cryst. syst.	monoclinic	hexagonal	monoclinic	Monoclinic
Space group	$P2_1/n$	$P3_1$	$P2_1/c$	Cc
ρ_{calcd}g (cm^3)	1.250	1.501	1.350	1.336
2 σ range (deg)	3.4 to 77.54	4.458 to 87.8	3.986 to 57.058	4.506 to 79.662
Crystal size/mm	0.28 x 0.25 x 0.07	0.28 × 0.26 × 0.14	0.28 × 0.13 × 0.05	0.24 x 0.19 x 0.06
μ (mm^{-1})	0.520	4.385	0.731	0.668
GOF	1.211	0.980	1.816	1.009
R1, wR2 (I> 2σ (I))	0.0674, 0.1917	0.0234, 0.0400	0.0699, 0.0808	0.0639, 0.0915

Table 2.2. Crystal and Refinement Data for 28a, 32b, 34a, 38a.

	Complex **28a**	Complex **32b**	Complex **34a**	Complex **38a**
Empirical formula	$C_{35}H_{47}IrO_2P_2$	$C_{32}H_{44}ClNNiOP_2$	$C_{41}H_{59}B_2F_8N_3OP_2Pd$	$C_{38}H_{56}BrCoOP_2$
Formula weight (g/mol)	753.87	614.78	951.87	729.60
T (K)	100(2)	100(2)	100(2)	100.0(2)
Radiation	MoKα (λ = 0.71073)	MoKα (λ = 0.71073)	MoKα (λ = 0.71073)	MoKα (λ = 0.71073)
a (Å)	15.4739(5)	17.0566(7)	12.3085(5)	18.7532(9)
b (Å)	15.4739(5)	10.9300(4)	17.2927(7)	12.5873(6)
c (Å)	11.8879(5)	33.5079(14)	21.7237(9)	15.6898(7)
α (deg)	90	90	82.133(2)	90
β (deg)	90	97.838(2)	86.587(2)	96.330(3)
γ (deg)	120	90	86.032(2)	90
V ($Å^3$)	2465.10(15)	6188.5(4)	4563.5(3)	3681.0(3)
Z	3	8	4	4
Cryst. syst.	hexagonal	monoclinic	triclinic	monoclinic
Space group	$P3_1$	$P2_1/n$	P-1	$P2_1/1$
ρ_{calcd}g (cm^3)	1.523	1.320	1.385	1.317
2 σ range (deg)	4.58 to 106.76	3.92 to 60.3	1.894 to 77.678	3.904 to 74.614
Crystal size/mm	0.40 × 0.27 × 0.23	0.18 × 0.16 × 0.08	0.42 × 0.34 × 0.15	0.44 × 0.28 × 0.05
μ (mm^{-1})	4.188	0.842	0.544	1.666
GOF	0.907	1.050	1.044	0.980
R1, wR2 (I> 2σ (I))	0.0249, 0.0404	0.0570, 0.1043	0.0548, 0.1289	0.0392, 0.0892

REFERENCES

(1) (a) Rosen, B. M.; Quasdorf, K. W.; Wilson, D. A.; Zhang, N.; Resmerita, A.-M.; Garg, N. K.; Percec, V. *Chem. Rev.* **2011**, *111*, 1346-1416; (b) Li, B.-J.; Yu, D.-G.; Sun, C.-L.; Shi, Z.-J. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2011**, *17*, 1728-1759; (c) Cornella, J.; Zarate, C.; Martin, R. *Chem. Soc. Rev.* **2014**, *43*, 8081-8097; (d) Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2015**, *48*, 1717-1726.
(2) Zakzeski, J.; Bruijnincx, P. C. A.; Jongerius, A. L.; Weckhuysen, B. M. *Chem. Rev.* **2010**, *110*, 3552-3599.
(3) (a) Álvarez-Bercedo, P.; Martin, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2010**, *132*, 17352-17353; (b) Cornella, J.; Gómez-Bengoa, E.; Martin, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 1997-2009.
(4) Boerjan, W.; Ralph, J.; Baucher, M. *Annu. Rev. Plant. Biol.* **2003**, *54*, 519-546.
(5) (a) Komiya, S.; Srivastava, R. S.; Yamamoto, A.; Yamamoto, T. *Organometallics* **1985**, *4*, 1504-1508; (b) Iqbal, J.; Srivastava, R. *Tetrahedron* **1991**, *47*, 3155-3170; (c) Wang, B.; Yin, Z.; Li, Y.; Yang, T.-X.; Meng, X.-B.; Li, Z.-J. *J. Org. Chem.* **2011**, *76*, 9531-9535; (d) Yu, D.-G.; Wang, X.; Zhu, R.-Y.; Luo, S.; Zhang, X.-B.; Wang, B.-Q.; Wang, L.; Shi, Z.-J. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 14638-14641; (e) Song, W.; Ackermann, L. *Angew. Int. Ed. Chem.* **2012**, *51*, 8251-8254.
(6) (a) Empsall, H. D.; Hyde, E. M.; Jones, C. E.; Shaw, B. L. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1974**, 1980-1985; (b) van der Boom, M. E.; Liou, S.-Y.; Ben-David, Y.; Vigalok, A.; Milstein, D. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. Engl.* **1997**, *36*, 625-626; (c) Han, X.-H.; Yamamoto, Y. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1998**, *561*, 157-165; (d) Murakami, M.; Itahashi, T.; Amii, H.; Takahashi, K.; Ito, Y. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 9949-9950; (e) van der Boom, M. E.; Liou, S.-Y.; Ben-David, Y.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1998**, *120*, 6531-6541; (f) Rao, H.; Li, C.-J. *Angew. Int. Ed. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 8936-8939; (g) Arisawa, M.; Nihei, Y.; Suzuki, T.; Yamaguchi, M. *Org. Lett.* **2012**, *14*, 855-857; (h) Zhu, Y.; Smith, D.; Herbert, D.; Gatard, S.; Ozerov, O. *Chem. Commun.* **2012**, *48*, 218-220; (i) Kinuta, H.; Tobisu, M.; Chatani, N. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 1593-1600.
(7) (a) Amouri, H.; Vaissermann, J.; Rager, M. N.; Besace, Y. *Inorg. Chem.* **1999**, *38*, 1211-1215; (b) Choi, J.; Choliy, Y.; Zhang, X.; Emge, T. J.; Krogh-Jespersen, K.; Goldman, A. S. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 15627-15629; (c) Lao, D. B.; Owens, A. C. E.; Heinekey, D. M.; Goldberg, K. I. *ACS Catal.* **2013**, *3*, 2391-2396; (d) Miller, A. J. M.; Kaminsky, W.; Goldberg, K. I. *Organometallics* **2014**, *33*, 1245-1252; (e) Haibach, M. C.; Lease, N.; Goldman, A. S. *Angew. Int. Ed. Chem.* **2014**, *53*, 10160-10163.
(8) (a) Wenkert, E.; Michelotti, E. L.; Swindell, C. S.; Tingoli, M. *J. Org. Chem.* **1984**, *49*, 4894-4899; (b) Zim, D.; Lando, V. R.; Dupont, J.; Monteiro, A. L. *Org. Lett.* **2001**, *3*, 3049-3051; (c) Dankwardt, J. W. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2004**, *43*, 2428-2432; (d) Sergeev, A. G.; Hartwig, J. F. *Science* **2011**, *332*, 439-443; (e) Sergeev, A. G.; Webb, J. D.; Hartwig, J. F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 20226-20229; (f) Zarate, C.; Manzano, R.; Martin, R. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2015**, *137*, 6754-6757; (g) van der Boom, M. E.; Liou, S.-Y.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* **2004**, *357*, 4015-4023.
(9) (a) Sun, J.-S.; Uzelmeier, C. E.; Ward, D. L.; Dunbar, K. R. *Polyhedron* **1998**, *17*, 2049-2063; (b) Weissman, H.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. *Organometallics* **2004**, *23*, 3931-3940; (c) Shmidt, A. F.; Smirnov, V. V. *Kinet. Catal.* **2005**, *46*, 495-501; (d) Zhou, C.; Liu, Q.; Li, Y.; Zhang, R.; Fu, X.; Duan, C. *J. Org. Chem.* **2012**, *77*, 10468-10472.
(10) (a) Jones, C. E.; Shaw, B. L.; Turtle, B. L. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **1974**, 992-999; (b) Zhang, X.; Watson, E. J.; Dullaghan, C. A.; Gorun, S. M.; Sweigart, D. A. *Angew. Int. Ed. Chem.* **1999**, *38*, 2206-2208; (c) Kataoka, Y.; Nakamura, T.; Tani, K. *Chem. Lett.* **2003**,

32, 66-67; (d) Manbeck, K. A.; Kundu, S.; Walsh, A. P.; Brennessel, W. W.; Jones, W. D. *Organometallics* **2012**, *31*, 5018-5024.
(11) Kelley, P.; Lin, S.; Edouard, G.; Day, M. W.; Agapie, T. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2012**, *134*, 5480-5483.
(12) (a) Li, Z.; Zhang, S.-L.; Fu, Y.; Guo, Q.-X.; Liu, L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2009**, *131*, 8815-8823; (b) Quasdorf, K. W.; Antoft-Finch, A.; Liu, P.; Silberstein, A. L.; Komaromi, A.; Blackburn, T.; Ramgren, S. D.; Houk, K. N.; Snieckus, V.; Garg, N. K. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2011**, *133*, 6352-6363.
(13) (a) Komiya, S.; Akai, Y.; Tanaka, K.; Yamamoto, T.; Yamamoto, A. *Organometallics* **1985**, *4*, 1130-1136; (b) Kim, Y. J.; Osakada, K.; Takenaka, A.; Yamamoto, A. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1990**, *112*, 1096-1104; (c) Seligson, A. L.; Cowan, R. L.; Trogler, W. C. *Inorg. Chem.* **1991**, *30*, 3371-3381; (d) Kim, Y.-J.; Lee, J.-Y.; Osakada, K. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1998**, *558*, 41-49.
(14) (a) Luo, Y.-R. *Comprehensive Handbook of Chemical Bond Energies*; CRC Press: Boca Raton, 2007; (b) Yu, D.-G.; Li, B.-J.; Shi, Z.-J. *Acc. Chem. Res.* **2010**, *43*, 1486-1495.
(15) (a) Bertsch, S.; Braunschweig, H.; Forster, M.; Gruss, K.; Radacki, K. *Inorg. Chem.* **2011**, *50*, 1816-1819; (b) Bauer, J.; Braunschweig, H.; Dewhurst, R. D.; Radacki, K. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 8797-8805.
(16) Herberich, G. *Zeitschrift für Naturforschung. Teil b, Anorganische Chemie, organische Chemie* **1979**, *34B*, 638-639.
(17) (a) Bryndza, H. E.; Calabrese, J. C.; Marsi, M.; Roe, D. C.; Tam, W.; Bercaw, J. E. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1986**, *108*, 4805-4813; (b) Romeo, R.; Alibrandi, G. *Inorg. Chem.* **1997**, *36*, 4822-4830.
(18) Park, S.; Pontier-Johnson, M.; Roundhill, D. M. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1989**, *111*, 3101-3103.
(19) Herbert, D. E.; Lara, N. C.; Agapie, T. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2013**, *19*, 16453-16460.
(20) Grotjahn, D. B.; Zeng, X.; Cooksy, A. L.; Kassel, W. S.; DiPasquale, A. G.; Zakharov, L. N.; Rheingold, A. L. *Organometallics* **2007**, *26*, 3385-3402.
(21) (a) Aullon, G.; Bellamy, D.; Guy Orpen, A.; Brammer, L.; Eric, A. B. *Chem. Commun.* **1998**, 653-654; (b) Krumper, J. R.; Gerisch, M.; Magistrato, A.; Rothlisberger, U.; Bergman, R. G.; Tilley, T. D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2004**, *126*, 12492-12502.
(22) (a) Ceriotti, A.; Ciani, G.; Sironi, A. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1983**, *247*, 345-350; (b) Morgan, B. P.; Smith, R. C. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **2008**, *693*, 11-16.
(23) (a) Rees, W. M.; Churchill, M. R.; Fettinger, J. C.; Atwood, J. D. *Organometallics* **1985**, *4*, 2179-2185; (b) Bernard, K. A.; Churchill, M. R.; Janik, T. S.; Atwood, J. D. *Organometallics* **1990**, *9*, 12-16; (c) Canepa, G.; Brandt, C. D.; Ilg, K.; Wolf, J.; Werner, H. *Chem. Eur. J.* **2003**, *9*, 2502-2515; (d) Kuznetsov, V. F.; Lough, A. J.; Gusev, D. G. *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* **2006**, *359*, 2806-2811; (e) Salem, H.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Leitus, G.; Weiner, L.; Milstein, D. *Organometallics* **2008**, *27*, 2293-2299; (f) Frech, C. M.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Milstein, D. *Organometallics* **2009**, *28*, 1900-1908.
(24) (a) Wang, K.; Emge, T. J.; Goldman, A. S.; Li, C.; Nolan, S. P. *Organometallics* **1995**, *14*, 4929-4936; (b) Canepa, G.; Brandt, C. D.; Werner, H. *Organometallics* **2001**, *20*, 604-606.
(25) Merola, J. S. In *Encyclopedia of Inorganic and Bioinorganic Chemistry*; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd: 2011.
(26) Adams, J. J.; Lau, A.; Arulsamy, N.; Roddick, D. M. *Organometallics* **2011**, *30*, 689-696.
(27) Punji, B.; Emge, T. J.; Goldman, A. S. *Organometallics* **2010**, *29*, 2702-2709.

(28) (a) Vigalok, A.; Rybtchinski, B.; Gozin, Y.; Koblenz, T. S.; Ben-David, Y.; Rozenberg, H.; Milstein, D. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2003**, *125*, 15692-15693; (b) van der Boom, M. E.; Zubkov, T.; Shukla, A. D.; Rybtchinski, B.; Shimon, L. J. W.; Rozenberg, H.; Ben-David, Y.; Milstein, D. *Angew. Int. Ed. Chem.* **2004**, *43*, 5961-5963.
(29) (a) Rowen Churchill, M.; Fettinger, J. C.; Rees, W. M.; Atwood, J. D. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1986**, *308*, 361-371; (b) Miller, C. A.; Janik, T. S.; Lake, C. H.; Toomey, L. M.; Churchill, M. R.; Atwood, J. D. *Organometallics* **1994**, *13*, 5080-5087; (c) Dahlenburg, L.; Herbst, K.; Kühnlein, M. *Z. Anorg. Allg. Chem.* **1997**, *623*, 250-258.
(30) (a) Sutherland, B. R.; Cowie, M. *Inorg. Chem.* **1984**, *23*, 2324-2331; (b) Shin, J. H.; Bridgewater, B. M.; Churchill, D. G.; Parkin, G. *Inorg. Chem.* **2001**, *40*, 5626-5635.
(31) Bradley, D. C. *Alkoxo and Aryloxo Derivatives of Metals*; Academic Press: San Diego, 2001.
(32) Poignant, G.; Nlate, S.; Guerchais, V.; Edwards, A. J.; Raithby, P. R. *Organometallics* **1997**, *16*, 124-132.
(33) (a) Yamamoto, Y.; Sato, R.; Ohshima, M.; Matsuo, F.; Sudoh, C. *J. Organomet. Chem.* **1995**, *489*, C68-C70; (b) Yamamoto, Y.; Sato, R.; Matsuo, F.; Sudoh, C.; Igoshi, T. *Inorg. Chem.* **1996**, *35*, 2329-2336.
(34) Rogers, C. W.; Patrick, B. O.; Rettig, S. J.; Wolf, M. O. *J. Chem. Soc., Dalton Trans.* **2001**, 1278-1283.
(35) Dunbar, K. R.; Haefner, S. C.; Uzelmeier, C. E.; Howard, A. *Inorg. Chim. Acta.* **1995**, *240*, 527-534.
(36) Zheng, F.; Hutton, A. T.; van Sittert, C. G. C. E.; Moss, J. R.; Mapolie, S. F. *Dalton Trans.* **2013**, *42*, 11163-11179.
(37) Suess, D. L. M.; Peters, J. C. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **2013**, *135*, 4938-4941.
(38) Cordero, B.; Gomez, V.; Platero-Prats, A. E.; Reves, M.; Echeverria, J.; Cremades, E.; Barragan, F.; Alvarez, S. *Dalton Trans.* **2008**, 2832-2838.
(39) Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J. *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 1518-1520.
(40) Elan Pharmaceuticals, I. *U. S. Patent* **2005**, *087215 A1*.
(41) Burger, A.; Wilson, E. L.; Brindley, C. O.; Bernheim, F. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1945**, *67*, 1416-1419.
(42) Berliner, M. A.; Belecki, K. *J. Org. Chem.* **2005**, *70*, 9618-9621.
(43) Maaranen, J.; Hoikka, J.; Rauotio, S. *U. S. Patent* **2007**, *37939 A1*.
(44) Bielawski, M.; Zhu, M.; Olofsson, B. *Adv. Synth. Catal.* **2007**, *349*, 2610-2618.
(45) Hossain, M. D.; Kitamura, T. *Tetrahedron* **2006**, *62*, 6955-6960.
(46) Fulmer, G. R.; Miller, A. J. M.; Sherden, N. H.; Gottlieb, H. E.; Nudelman, A.; Stoltz, B. M.; Bercaw, J. E.; Goldberg, K. I. *Organometallics* **2010**, *29*, 2176-2179.
(47) Oh, C. H.; Kim, J. S.; Jung, H. H. *J. Org. Chem.* **1999**, *64*, 1338-1340.
(48) Albrecht, M.; Schneider, M. *Synthesis* **2000**, *2000*, 1557-1560.
(49) Xiao, Z.-P.; Wang, Y.-C.; Du, G.-Y.; Wu, J.; Luo, T.; Yi, S.-F. *Synth. Commun.* **2010**, *40*, 661-665.
(50) Hodges, J. A.; Raines, R. T. *Org. Lett.* **2006**, *8*, 4695-4697.
(51) Woodward, L. A.; Glover, A. T. *Trans. Faraday Soc.* **1948**, *44*, 608-616.
(52) Sheldrick, G. M., "SADABS (version 2008/2001): Program for Absorption Correction for Data from Area Detector Frames", University of Göttingen, 2008.
(53) Dolomanov, O. V.; Bourhis, L. J.; Gildea, R. J.; Howard, J. A. K.; Puschmann, H. *J. Appl. Crystallogr.* **2009**, *42*, 339-341.
(54) Sheldrick, G. M. *Acta. Crys.* **2008**, *A64*, 112-122.

(55) Brandenburg, K. **1999**, DIAMOND. Crystal Impact GbR, Bonn, Germany.