Chapter 3

Seismicity and structure in central Mexico: Evidence for a possible slab tear in the South Cocos plate
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3.1 Abstract

The morphology of the transition from flat to normal subduction in eastern central Mexico is explored using intraslab earthquakes recorded by temporary and permanent regional seismic arrays. Observations of a sharp transition in slab dip near the abrupt end of the Trans Mexican Volcanic Belt (TMVB) suggest a possible slab tear located within the subducted South Cocos plate. The eastern lateral extent of a thin ultra-slow velocity layer (USL) imaged atop the Cocos slab in recent studies along the Meso America Subduction Experiment array is examined here using additional data. We find an end to this USL which is coincident with the western boundary of a zone of decreased seismicity and the end of the TMVB near the sharp transition in slab dip. Waveform modeling of the 2D structure in this region using a finite-difference algorithm provides constraints on the velocity and geometry of the slab’s seismic structure and confirms the location of the USL. Analysis of intraslab seismicity patterns reveals clustering, sudden increase in depth, variable focal
mechanism orientations and faulting types, and alignment of source mechanisms along the sharp transition in slab dip. The seismicity and structural evidence suggests a possible tear in the S Cocos slab. This potential tear, together with the tear along the Orozco Fracture Zone to the northwest, indicates a slab rollback mechanism in which separate slab segments move independently, allowing for mantle flow between the segments.

3.2 Introduction

Slab tears are tectonically important morphological features of subducted plates that have been proposed to occur in numerous subduction zones. These tears can propagate horizontally, resulting in lateral slab detachment, or vertically, both of which produce observable gaps between slab segments. A slab tear can occur due to subduction of seafloor heterogeneities (e.g., Bonnardot et al., 2009), a transition from subduction to strike-slip motion along the plate boundary (e.g., Clark et al., 2008), along-strike changes in slab geometry (e.g., Miller et al., 2004), lateral variation in slab rollback (e.g., Govers and Wortel, 2005), variable plate motion along strike (e.g., Bandy et al., 2000), and/or a change in plate structure, temperature, and/or age (e.g., Lonsdale, 2005; Burkett and Billen, 2010). Locations where a slab tear has been suggested include Tonga (Millen and Hamburger, 1998; Bonnardot et al., 2009), southern Izu-Bonin arc (Miller et al., 2004), southern Mariana arc (Fryer et al., 2003; Miller et al., 2006), Costa Rica (Johnston and Thorkelson, 1997; Abt et al., 2010), western central Mexico (Dougherty et al., 2012; Stubailo et al., 2012), Colombia (Vargas and Mann, 2013), Chile (Cahill and Isacks, 1992; Pesicek et al., 2012), southern and northern Lesser Antilles (ten Brink, 2005; Clark et al., 2008; Meighan et al., 2013a), and the Mediterranean (Wortel and Spakman, 2000; Gasparon et al., 2009; Suckale et al., 2009) among others. The occurrence of a possible slab tear can be indicated by observations of seismic anisotropy from SKS splitting directions (e.g., Peyton et al., 2001; Soto et al., 2009), upper plate deformation (e.g., rifting) (e.g., Yang et al., 2009; Vargas and Mann, 2013), abrupt changes in Wadati-Benioff zone seismicity (e.g., Protti et al., 1994; Dougherty et al., 2012), focal mechanism orientations (e.g., Millen and Hamburger, 1998; Gutscher et al., 1999), seismicity patterns (e.g., clusters or gaps) (e.g., Miller et al., 2004; Meighan
et al., 2013b), holes in tomographic images (e.g., Miller et al., 2005; Pesicek et al., 2012), and/or changes in arc volcanism (e.g., composition, orientation, and/or gap) (e.g., Ferrari, 2004; Lin et al., 2004).

Tearing of the subducted oceanic lithosphere creates a gap in the plate through which asthenospheric mantle may flow, explaining both observed changes in seismic anisotropy and surface volcanism. The abrupt rotation of trench-parallel SKS fast directions to trench-perpendicular near a slab tear (or a circular pattern of anisotropy around a slab edge) indicate 3D toroidal flow of mantle material through the gap (Peyton et al., 2001; Kneller and van Keken, 2008; Zandt and Humphreys, 2008) that has important implications for rollback of the subducted plate. A tear in the plate would short-cut the trench-parallel flow that occurs beneath the slab as it rolls back, providing a conduit for the transfer of material into the overlying mantle wedge (Russo and Silver, 1994; Schellart, 2004; Jadamec and Billen, 2010). The addition of this less dense asthenosphere to the wedge would also enhance rollback of the slab segment (Schellart et al., 2007; Soto et al., 2009). The upwelling of hot asthenospheric mantle to shallower depths also warms the mantle wedge, which may promote uplift, extension, and magmatism of the overriding plate (Bandy et al., 1995; Zandt and Humphreys, 2008; Nolet, 2009; Yang et al., 2009) or produce anomalous melting patterns at the slab edge (i.e., adakites) (Yogodzinski et al., 2001). The Rivera-Cocos plate boundary in the Mexican subduction zone is an example locality where such a seismic anisotropy pattern indicative of toroidal flow is spatially coincident with observed rifting (i.e., Colima Graben and El Gordo Graben) and magmatism (i.e., Colima Volcano) in the overriding North American plate along a tomographically imaged gap between the two slabs (Soto et al., 2009; Yang et al., 2009). This tear between the plates was the result of the first fragmentation of the Cocos plate, when the Rivera plate separated from the proto-Cocos plate about 10 Ma (Klitgord and Mammerickx, 1982; DeMets and Traylen, 2000).

The transitions from flat to normal subduction of the Cocos plate that occur in western and eastern central Mexico are ideal locations for possible slab tear development. On the basis of structural modeling results and seismic and tectonic observations in the west, Dougherty et al. (2012) proposed that the Cocos slab is currently fragmenting into a North Cocos plate and a South Cocos
plate along the projection of the Orozco Fracture Zone (OFZ) by a process analogous to that which occurred when the Rivera plate separated from the proto-Cocos plate. In the east, observations of a sharp transition in slab dip coupled with the abrupt end of the Trans Mexican Volcanic Belt (TMVB) suggest a second possible slab tear located within the subducted S Cocos plate. In order to test this hypothesis, we use regional earthquakes recorded by the Meso America Subduction Experiment (MASE) (MASE, 2007), Veracruz-Oaxaca (VEOX) (VEOX, 2010), Servicio Sismológico Nacional (SSN), and Oaxaca Network (OXNET) seismic arrays to study the fine-scale structure of the central Mexico subduction zone along the eastern transition from flat to normal subduction (Figure 3.1). We use observed waveform complexities to map the lateral extent of a thin ultra-slow velocity layer (USL) that was imaged atop the flat Cocos slab by the MASE array (Pérez-Campos et al., 2008; Song et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2010) to test if the USL ends along a lineament related to the end of the TMVB or the sharp transition in slab dip. We also analyze seismicity patterns and focal mechanism orientations for any indications of fragmentation of the subducted plate. Additionally, we perform 1D and 2D waveform modeling to image the structure of the S Cocos slab and overriding plate in this region.

3.3 Tectonic Setting

The Cocos plate is subducting beneath the North American plate along the Middle America Trench (MAT) in central Mexico with convergence rate and plate age (11–23 Ma) increasing towards the east. This young oceanic plate exhibits large lateral variations in slab dip, with a shallow subhorizontal segment bounded by segments that dip much more steeply: $\sim 50^\circ$ near the Rivera-Cocos plate boundary and $\sim 30^\circ$ near the Isthmus of Tehuantepec (Pardo and Suárez, 1995). Receiver functions and seismic velocity tomography along the MASE array show that the subducted Cocos plate is horizontal for about 250 km beneath the North American plate in the Guerrero region, before transitioning to a much steeper dip of $75^\circ$ at the southern margin of the TMVB and truncating at a depth of 500 km (Pérez-Campos et al., 2008; Husker and Davis, 2009; Kim et al., 2010). Along the transition from flat to normal subduction to the west of this region, waveform modeling and
Figure 3.1: Map showing the locations of MASE, VEOX, SSN, and OXNET stations along with events (stars, focal mechanisms) used in this study. Event labels indicate whether the earthquake occurred during the MASE (M) or VEOX (V) array deployment. Focal mechanisms are from the Global CMT catalog (Table 3.1). The dark grey shaded area indicates the Trans Mexican Volcanic Belt (TMVB), and the black triangles denote active volcanoes. Slab isodepth contours based on local seismicity and teleseismic receiver functions (Pérez-Campos et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2010, 2011; Melgar and Pérez-Campos, 2011) are shown in thin lines. The convergence direction of the South Cocos plate near the Middle America Trench (MAT) is indicated by the black arrow (DeMets et al., 2010). See Figure 3.16 of the auxiliary material for station names.
seismic anisotropy analysis suggest a tear in the Cocos slab along the landward projection of the OFZ (Dougherty et al., 2012; Stubailo et al., 2012). This tear is proposed to indicate ongoing fragmentation of the Cocos slab into N Cocos and S Cocos plates, respectively (Dougherty et al., 2012).

The along-strike variation in slab dip is evident in the ~16° oblique to the trench orientation of the TMVB, unlike volcanic arcs in most other subduction zones which are oriented trench-parallel. The TMVB is comprised of nearly 8000 volcanic structures, including large stratovolcanoes, monogenetic cones, shield volcanoes, calderas, lava flows, and domes (Macías, 2007), covering a region about 1000 km long and 80–230 km wide (Gómez-Tuena et al., 2007). Trenchward migration of the volcanic front since the late Miocene suggests ongoing rollback of the slab (Ferrari et al., 2011). In the east, the TMVB abruptly ends near the Gulf of Mexico, accompanied by a steep topographic gradient between the high peaks of large stratovolcanoes and the coastal Veracruz Basin (Figure 3.2a). Post-10 Ma volcanism in the region between the eastern end of the TMVB and the Central America arc is discontinuous, including only a few isolated volcanic features, such as the Los Tuxtlas Volcanic Field (LTVF) near the Gulf of Mexico coast (Figure 2a) (Ferrari et al., 2011). LTVF volcanism began ~7 Ma, but the mechanisms of its origin are unclear (e.g., Nelson et al., 1995; Ferrari et al., 2005; Verma, 2006). If the S Cocos slab is projected beneath the LTVF it would lie at ~250 km depth, or deeper if truncated as suggested by observations of a southward-dipping Yucatán slab (Kim et al., 2011; Chen and Clayton, 2012). This truncation at ~120–150 km depth constricts flow in the mantle wedge for both systems and may explain the unusual configuration of arc volcanism in the region (Kim et al., 2011; Chen and Clayton, 2012).

In the oceanic domain, the S Cocos plate morphology is characterized by several tectonic structures, such as fracture zones, seamounts, and faults. Linear zones of weakness in the oceanic plate will be discussed in section 3.5. The O’Gorman Fracture Zone (OGFZ) intersects the MAT off the coast of Oaxaca (Singh and Mortera, 1991) and has been suggested to be the remnant of a small offset of spreading segments of the short-lived Mathematician ridge (Mammerickx and Klitgord, 1982) that is identified as a deep trough (Klitgord and Mammerickx, 1982). Other studies of the OGFZ
Figure 3.2: (a) Topographic-bathymetric map illustrating the abrupt end of the TMVB (outlined in grey) with a steep gradient in elevation and the interruption of arc volcanism. The locations of Los Tuxtlas Volcanic Field (LTVF) and the Puerto Escondido seamounts (PES) (Kanjorski, 2003) are indicated. Station locations are as in Figure 3.1 and open triangles denote active volcanoes. The Tehuantepec Ridge (TR) is also shown. (b) Enlarged bathymetric map of the region outlined by a white box in (a) showing lines of seamounts entering the MAT (dark blue region oriented NW-SE) (modified from Kanjorski (2003)). Large seamounts can be seen to enter the trench unbroken (pink arrow). Examples of seamount-parallel faulting are indicated by orange arrows. The previously identified location of the O’Gorman Fracture Zone is also shown (red dashed line).
in the vicinity of the East Pacific Rise argue that it is not a fracture zone at all, but simply a chain of seamounts that extends 50–200 km from the ridge axis (Batiza et al., 1989; McClain and Wright, 1990). This is in agreement with Kanjorski (2003)’s finding that no OGFZ exists at the MAT, based on the lack of significant age offsets across the proposed fracture zone. Rather, Kanjorski (2003) identified several parallel ridges composed of small-to-medium-sized (up to ∼20 km diameter and 1700 m high) seamounts created as off-axis volcanism entering the MAT in this region, with the larger seamounts remaining physically intact throughout the subduction process (Figure 3.2b). Included in this zone is the Puerto Escondido seamount cluster, a broad volcanic feature littered with >100 volcanic cones and accompanying lava flows (Figure 3.2) (Kanjorski, 2003). Observed seamount-parallel normal faulting (Figure 3.2b) is shown to be seismically active (Kanjorski, 2003).

3.4 Data Analysis

3.4.1 Data

The seismic data used in this study were recorded by the MASE, VEOX, SSN, and OXNET arrays. The MASE array consisted of 100 broadband seismic instruments deployed from January 2005 to June 2007 in a trench-perpendicular line in the Guerrero region with a station spacing of ∼5 km (Figure 3.1). Across the Isthmus of Tehuantepec, the 45 broadband seismometers of the VEOX array were deployed in a 300-km-long line from August 2007 to March 2009 (Figure 3.1). The goal of these experiments was to image the structure of the Mexican subduction zone in the flat slab and normal dipping regions, respectively. The permanent SSN array consists of 37 broadband seismic instruments located throughout Mexico, of which, 16 are utilized in this study (Figure 3.1). In the Oaxaca region, located between the MASE and VEOX arrays, 10 broadband seismometers were installed in a 2D geometry in 2006 as part of the OXNET array (Figure 3.1) in an effort to detect and monitor non-volcanic tremor and microseismicity signals in this region (Brudzinski et al., 2010). A map of the station names for all four arrays is shown in Figure 3.16 of the auxiliary material.

We analyze seismograms from 75 regional intraslab earthquakes recorded by these arrays. These
events have magnitudes within the range of 3.8 to 6.5 and occur at depths between 30 km and 149 km (Table 3.1). The locations of these events are shown in Figure 3.1. Earthquakes that occurred during the MASE deployment have identifiers that begin with ‘M’, while those that occurred during the VEOX deployment begin with ‘V’ (Figure 3.1, Table 3.1). Events 4 and 5 were also part of the Dougherty et al. (2012) dataset, so their identifiers have been maintained for clarity. Note that both of these events occurred during the MASE deployment (Table 3.1).

### Table 3.1. Events used in eastern central Mexico and their source parameters.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event ID</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Lat (°)</th>
<th>Lon (°)</th>
<th>Depth (km)</th>
<th>Mag ($M_w$)</th>
<th>Mechanism</th>
<th>Source</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>2007/04/13</td>
<td>17.37</td>
<td>-100.14</td>
<td>39</td>
<td>5.99</td>
<td>279/42/86</td>
<td>1^a</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>43</td>
<td>6.0</td>
<td>284/73/92</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>41</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>303/79/98</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>20</td>
<td>5.7</td>
<td>267/61/85</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>2007/04/13</td>
<td>17.40</td>
<td>-100.23</td>
<td>30</td>
<td>5.30</td>
<td>70/41/2</td>
<td>1^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>67</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>28/29/0</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>36</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>39/17/6</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>35</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>117/84/-101</td>
<td>4</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M1</td>
<td>2005/09/24</td>
<td>18.20</td>
<td>-96.85</td>
<td>68</td>
<td>5.17</td>
<td>316/64/-66</td>
<td>1^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>61</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>320/56/-46</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>104</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>329/57/-42</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M2</td>
<td>2007/05/04</td>
<td>17.50</td>
<td>-96.68</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>5.08</td>
<td>139/32/-75</td>
<td>1^b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>60</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>176/46/-46</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>70</td>
<td>5.0</td>
<td>192/51/-40</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M3</td>
<td>2007/02/14</td>
<td>16.749</td>
<td>-96.142</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.71</td>
<td>9/70/-58</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>81</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>327/66/-65</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M4</td>
<td>2005/11/14</td>
<td>18.528</td>
<td>-95.757</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4.81</td>
<td>335/50/-60</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>28</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>320/34/-77</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M5</td>
<td>2006/01/26</td>
<td>16.111</td>
<td>-94.957</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>255/71/-62</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M6</td>
<td>2007/06/02</td>
<td>16.701</td>
<td>-95.600</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4.74</td>
<td>9/47/46</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M7</td>
<td>2005/09/08</td>
<td>17.306</td>
<td>-97.145</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.82</td>
<td>345/35/4</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M8</td>
<td>2005/09/29</td>
<td>16.500</td>
<td>-95.980</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>246/61/-49</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>76</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>174/24/10</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M9</td>
<td>2006/11/08</td>
<td>16.027</td>
<td>-96.558</td>
<td>43</td>
<td>5.23</td>
<td>348/36/-44</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>38</td>
<td>5.1</td>
<td>352/35/-44</td>
<td>2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.9</td>
<td>288/85/98</td>
<td>3</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M10</td>
<td>2006/03/17</td>
<td>17.786</td>
<td>-95.844</td>
<td>124</td>
<td>4.52</td>
<td>204/16/-43</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M11</td>
<td>2005/12/17</td>
<td>16.725</td>
<td>-95.048</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>4.73</td>
<td>180/71/-84</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M12</td>
<td>2007/03/01</td>
<td>17.279</td>
<td>-95.029</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>4.70</td>
<td>45/67/-20</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M13</td>
<td>2005/09/07</td>
<td>17.820</td>
<td>-97.480</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>4.20</td>
<td>149/50/-64</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M14</td>
<td>2005/02/22</td>
<td>16.969</td>
<td>-95.489</td>
<td>123</td>
<td>4.53</td>
<td>121/64/1</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M15</td>
<td>2005/06/06</td>
<td>16.534</td>
<td>-98.444</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>4.42</td>
<td>281/45/-40</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M16</td>
<td>2006/09/18</td>
<td>17.593</td>
<td>-97.422</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>140/31/51</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M17</td>
<td>2006/06/08</td>
<td>17.228</td>
<td>-96.666</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>328/78/-26</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M18</td>
<td>2005/12/22</td>
<td>16.713</td>
<td>-96.259</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>4.50</td>
<td>350/43/-81</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M19</td>
<td>2006/12/21</td>
<td>16.443</td>
<td>-96.060</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>5.25</td>
<td>36/19/-5</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M20</td>
<td>2006/02/09</td>
<td>17.684</td>
<td>-95.901</td>
<td>104</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>226/56/-86</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M21</td>
<td>2006/01/19</td>
<td>17.134</td>
<td>-96.743</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>4.60</td>
<td>146/81/5</td>
<td>1^c</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Event ID</td>
<td>Date</td>
<td>Lat (°)</td>
<td>Lon (°)</td>
<td>Depth (km)</td>
<td>Mag (Mw)</td>
<td>Mechanism Strike/Dip/Rake</td>
<td>Source</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>--------------------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M22</td>
<td>2005/11/01</td>
<td>16.044</td>
<td>-97.192</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>70/59/-60</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M23</td>
<td>2005/05/20</td>
<td>17.428</td>
<td>-99.330</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>4.39</td>
<td>195/48/74</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M24</td>
<td>2006/09/01</td>
<td>17.888</td>
<td>-99.244</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4.54</td>
<td>74/64/-44</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M25</td>
<td>2006/09/22</td>
<td>17.763</td>
<td>-98.958</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.43</td>
<td>298/47/21</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M26</td>
<td>2006/09/07</td>
<td>18.064</td>
<td>-98.633</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>113/65/36</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M27</td>
<td>2006/11/12</td>
<td>17.673</td>
<td>-98.528</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>4.44</td>
<td>331/70/-72</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M28</td>
<td>2005/10/03</td>
<td>17.020</td>
<td>-98.327</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4.34</td>
<td>279/81/6</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M29</td>
<td>2006/11/30</td>
<td>18.038</td>
<td>-98.117</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4.23</td>
<td>290/87/53</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M30</td>
<td>2005/11/24</td>
<td>17.539</td>
<td>-97.995</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.27</td>
<td>132/67/-20</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M31</td>
<td>2006/06/06</td>
<td>16.461</td>
<td>-97.561</td>
<td>35</td>
<td>4.05</td>
<td>350/69/-44</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M32</td>
<td>2006/06/07</td>
<td>16.394</td>
<td>-97.426</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>4.06</td>
<td>241/51/-75</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M33</td>
<td>2007/01/02</td>
<td>18.910</td>
<td>-99.640</td>
<td>45</td>
<td>4.62</td>
<td>345/75/69</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M34</td>
<td>2005/02/11</td>
<td>17.607</td>
<td>-97.534</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>36/43/-22</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M35</td>
<td>2007/02/09</td>
<td>17.981</td>
<td>-97.505</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>3.90</td>
<td>70/50/-60</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M36</td>
<td>2007/06/05</td>
<td>17.694</td>
<td>-97.453</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>10/44/-31</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M37</td>
<td>2006/03/12</td>
<td>15.962</td>
<td>-96.859</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>4.35</td>
<td>83/61/-57</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M38</td>
<td>2006/03/22</td>
<td>16.886</td>
<td>-96.541</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>350/54/-87</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M39</td>
<td>2006/11/08</td>
<td>16.106</td>
<td>-96.409</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4.30</td>
<td>99/44/60</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M40</td>
<td>2005/05/26</td>
<td>17.946</td>
<td>-99.970</td>
<td>53</td>
<td>5.00</td>
<td>13/61/-60</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M41</td>
<td>2006/06/26</td>
<td>18.032</td>
<td>-100.023</td>
<td>51</td>
<td>4.65</td>
<td>345/58/-28</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M42</td>
<td>2007/05/28</td>
<td>18.478</td>
<td>-100.046</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>217/76/-83</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M43</td>
<td>2007/06/18</td>
<td>17.086</td>
<td>-99.935</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>57/40/18</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M44</td>
<td>2005/11/21</td>
<td>17.925</td>
<td>-100.295</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4.19</td>
<td>26/76/26</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M45</td>
<td>2005/04/30</td>
<td>17.784</td>
<td>-99.952</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>4.45</td>
<td>16/61/-40</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>M46</td>
<td>2007/09/15</td>
<td>17.59</td>
<td>-94.62</td>
<td>149</td>
<td>5.44</td>
<td>308/65/-85</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V1</td>
<td>2008/02/12</td>
<td>16.35</td>
<td>-94.51</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>6.52</td>
<td>155/42/-81</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V2</td>
<td>2008/04/28</td>
<td>17.89</td>
<td>-100.10</td>
<td>64</td>
<td>5.80</td>
<td>175/54/-51</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V3</td>
<td>2009/02/18</td>
<td>16.96</td>
<td>-94.58</td>
<td>117</td>
<td>4.99</td>
<td>174/41/-55</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V4</td>
<td>2007/10/12</td>
<td>18.078</td>
<td>-99.845</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>256/68/-3</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V5</td>
<td>2007/12/13</td>
<td>17.218</td>
<td>-96.728</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>4.57</td>
<td>210/74/-58</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V6</td>
<td>2008/12/15</td>
<td>17.078</td>
<td>-97.004</td>
<td>69</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>209/54/-46</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V7</td>
<td>2009/01/27</td>
<td>18.047</td>
<td>-97.602</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4.28</td>
<td>20/40/-49</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V8</td>
<td>2007/11/04</td>
<td>16.460</td>
<td>-96.131</td>
<td>75</td>
<td>4.47</td>
<td>56/61/25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V9</td>
<td>2007/11/12</td>
<td>17.457</td>
<td>-97.102</td>
<td>66</td>
<td>4.37</td>
<td>209/63/-29</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V10</td>
<td>2008/08/21</td>
<td>17.996</td>
<td>-99.263</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>4.31</td>
<td>8/22/-49</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V11</td>
<td>2008/03/08</td>
<td>17.699</td>
<td>-98.819</td>
<td>42</td>
<td>4.46</td>
<td>298/75/69</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V12</td>
<td>2008/04/05</td>
<td>17.899</td>
<td>-97.909</td>
<td>61</td>
<td>4.17</td>
<td>341/67/39</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V13</td>
<td>2007/08/18</td>
<td>17.106</td>
<td>-99.725</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>4.48</td>
<td>110/60/-16</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V14</td>
<td>2008/07/18</td>
<td>17.976</td>
<td>-97.447</td>
<td>57</td>
<td>4.21</td>
<td>7/36/-25</td>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Table 3.1. (continued.)
Table 3.1. (continued).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Event ID</th>
<th>Date</th>
<th>Lat (°)</th>
<th>Lon (°)</th>
<th>Depth (km)</th>
<th>Mag ($M_w$)</th>
<th>Mechanism Strike/Dip/Rake</th>
<th>Source$^a$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>V16</td>
<td>2008/12/11</td>
<td>17.466</td>
<td>-97.402</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>4.15</td>
<td>196/40/-61</td>
<td>1$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V17</td>
<td>2008/03/18</td>
<td>17.802</td>
<td>-97.032</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>4.49</td>
<td>195/86/-73</td>
<td>1$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V18</td>
<td>2007/11/28</td>
<td>17.174</td>
<td>-96.881</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>3.96</td>
<td>190/65/-70</td>
<td>1$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V19</td>
<td>2008/06/01</td>
<td>17.046</td>
<td>-96.805</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>3.80</td>
<td>134/61/-79</td>
<td>1$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V20</td>
<td>2008/01/09</td>
<td>17.016</td>
<td>-96.377</td>
<td>79</td>
<td>4.25</td>
<td>11/84/19</td>
<td>1$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V21</td>
<td>2009/02/02</td>
<td>15.944</td>
<td>-96.429</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4.13</td>
<td>180/41/7</td>
<td>1$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V22</td>
<td>2008/06/20</td>
<td>16.071</td>
<td>-96.442</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4.10</td>
<td>230/55/-85</td>
<td>1$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V23</td>
<td>2009/01/14</td>
<td>18.104</td>
<td>-100.073</td>
<td>46</td>
<td>4.40</td>
<td>120/41/-80</td>
<td>1$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V24</td>
<td>2008/05/18</td>
<td>17.694</td>
<td>-99.874</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>4.36</td>
<td>191/70/-25</td>
<td>1$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V25</td>
<td>2008/10/08</td>
<td>17.184</td>
<td>-100.126</td>
<td>47</td>
<td>4.26</td>
<td>188/81/19</td>
<td>1$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V26</td>
<td>2009/02/01</td>
<td>17.253</td>
<td>-100.189</td>
<td>36</td>
<td>3.91</td>
<td>312/76/59</td>
<td>1$^c$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V27</td>
<td>2008/02/23</td>
<td>17.703</td>
<td>-100.208</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>4.38</td>
<td>175/85/-56</td>
<td>1$^c$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Sources are 1) focal mechanism, $M_w$, and depth from this study; 2) focal mechanism, $M_w$, and depth from the Global CMT (GCMT) catalog (Dziewonski et al., 1981; Ekström et al., 2012); 3) focal mechanism, $M_w$, and depth from the Servicio Sismológico Nacional (SSN) catalog; 4) focal mechanism, $M_w$, and depth from Pacheco and Singh (2010).

$^b$Location from GCMT catalog.

$^c$Location from SSN catalog.

3.4.2 Slab Dip

The lateral variation in slab dip across the transition from flat to normal subduction in eastern central Mexico is examined in detail to assess if this change in geometry is accommodated by a smooth contortion of the slab or if there is an abrupt transition which could be indicative of a possible tear. Epicenters for earthquakes from the January 2001–May 2011 event catalog of the SSN are mapped and divided into twenty-one 25-km-wide trench-normal bins (Figure 3.3a). The locations of events furnished by the SSN have been carefully revised by an analyst, and the accuracy of the catalog is confirmed by a relocation study (Alberto, 2010). Bin 1 overlies the flat slab region located along the MASE array and bin 21 overlies the normal dipping region across the VEOX array (Figure 3.3a). Cross-sections of the seismicity in each bin illustrate variations in the Wadati-Benioff zone across the region and are used to estimate the slab dip in each bin. Examples from bins 2, 13, and 14 are shown in Figure 3.3b-d. The dip angle is estimated by visually selecting hypocenter locations that are downdip of the trench and not within the overriding plate (as defined by teleseismic receiver
functions ([Pérez-Campos et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2010, 2011; Melgar and Pérez-Campos, 2011]), and then performing a linear regression of the selected locations. The estimated slab dip in each of the twenty-one bins is shown in Figure 3.3e. The errors on these dip estimates (calculated from the standard deviation in dip) are weighted by the number of earthquakes in each bin, such that fewer events in a bin produces a larger error, with values ranging from ±0.49° (bin 2) to ±6.3° (bin 11).

The slab is flat beneath the MASE array with its dip gradually increasing across the easternmost TMVB region to 10° by bin 13 (Figure 3.3). Between bins 13 and 14, there is a sharp 14° increase in slab dip, followed by an approximately constant dip (23–25°) across the remainder of the bins to the east (Figure 3.3).
3.4.3 Ultra-slow Velocity Layer

The USL that was imaged atop the flat Cocos slab beneath the MASE array is a 3–5-km-thick layer with a \( V_P \) of 5.4–6.2 km/s and a \( V_S \) of 2.0–3.4 km/s (Song et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2010). The exact nature of the USL is not known, but its anomalously low shear wave velocity suggests a relationship with fluids, specifically free water or hydrous minerals, in the subduction zone. Song et al. (2009) proposed that the USL represents a fluid-saturated portion of the oceanic crust, forming a high pore fluid pressure (HPFP) layer that is sealed by some low permeability layer, such as fine-grained blueschist, directly above it. In their thermal modeling of the central Mexico subduction zone, Manea et al. (2004) found a high pore pressure ratio of 0.98 along the subduction interface, consistent with Song et al. (2009)’s HPFP layer. Kim et al. (2010), on the other hand, proposed that the USL is upper oceanic crust that is highly heterogeneous and composed of mechanically weak hydrous minerals (talc) that might be under high pore pressure. With or without free fluid, Kim et al. (2013) demonstrate that a talc-rich ultramafic layer is required to explain the observed USL velocities and suggest that this talc originates from the mantle wedge during the slab flattening process. Similarly, Manea et al. (2013) propose that the USL represents a remnant of mantle wedge that experienced significant serpentinization since the slab flattened. The hydrous minerals and/or high pore pressure of the USL can explain the observed decoupling of the flat slab from the overriding plate, as evidenced by the lack of compressional seismicity in the North American plate (Singh and Pardo, 1993) and GPS observations (Franco et al., 2005), and may be responsible for the flat subduction geometry, shown to be facilitated and sustained by such a low strength layer (Manea and Gurnis, 2007; Kim et al., 2010).

The presence of the USL atop the S Cocos slab is identified by the existence of complex P waveforms (Song et al., 2009) recorded by the MASE or VEOX, SSN, and OXNET arrays. As described by Dougherty et al. (2012), these complex P waveforms consist of three locally converted S-to-P phases (A, B, C) that arrive within 4 sec after the P-wave (Figure 3.4). Phase A converts at the bottom of the USL and appears as a negative pulse at local stations. Phase B arrives immediately after phase A as a positive pulse, indicative of an S-to-P wave that converted at the top of the USL.
Phase C converts at the base of the high velocity layer, arriving before phase A and \( \sim 1.0\text{–}1.5 \text{ sec} \) after the direct P-wave. These three phases are searched for in the seismograms of the intraslab earthquakes analyzed in this study. P waveforms in these seismograms are categorized as complex, possibly complex, or simple based on the existence or absence and nature of phases A, B, and C. Examples of these waveforms from event M2 recorded at MASE, SSN, and OXNET stations are shown in Figure 3.4. The waveforms have been bandpass filtered to 0.01–0.6 Hz, with the shorter periods in the frequency band allowing for the identification of the three S-to-P phases. When all three of the phases are readily observed, the waveform is deemed complex. If one of the phases is not easily identified due to an uncharacteristic pulse shape and/or amplitude, but the other two phases are clearly visible, then the waveform is possibly complex. Simple waveforms lack the shoulder in the direct P pulse representative of the C phase and also have uncharacteristically shaped and/or low amplitude A and B phases, indicating that there is no USL present.

The eastern lateral extent of the USL is mapped by examining the status of the layer at each epicentral event location (Figure 3.5). We identify ten events which indicate the presence of the USL from their P waveforms. Another 28 events possibly indicate the presence of the USL. The remaining 37 events in our dataset indicate that no USL is present at their locations. An enlarged USL status map that includes event labels can be found in Figure 3.17. In general, the events which suggest (or possibly suggest) the occurrence of the USL are concentrated in the western portion of the study region, south of the TMVB, while those that suggest the USL is lacking are concentrated in the east, where the slab dip increases. A handful of possible USL locations overlap the predominantly no USL region near the location of the sharp transition in slab dip, marked by the boundary between bins 13 and 14 of Figure 3.3a (Figure 3.5). Concentrating on the area east of the MASE array, we draw shaded contours of the USL, possible USL, and no USL zones for clarity of observation (Figure 3.5). Note that the boundary between the USL and no USL zones is approximately coincident with the eastern end of the TMVB along a trench-normal transect.
Figure 3.4: (top) Schematic illustrating the raypaths of the P-wave and the three S-to-P phases (A, B, C) that comprise the complex P waveform. Abbreviations are USL, ultra-slow velocity layer; LOC, lower oceanic crust; HVL, high velocity layer; OM, oceanic mantle. (bottom) Examples of (left) complex, (middle) possibly complex, and (right) simple P waveforms from event M2 recorded on the vertical component by MASE, SSN, and OXNET stations and filtered to 0.01–0.6 Hz. S-to-P phases A, B, and C are indicated by red, blue, and green tick marks, respectively. All three of these phases are visible in the complex waveforms within 4 sec of the P-wave. Question marks on the possibly complex waveforms indicate a phase that is not easily identified due to an uncharacteristic pulse shape and/or amplitude. Simple waveforms lack the shoulder in the direct P pulse indicative of the C phase and also have uncharacteristically shaped and/or low amplitude A and B phases, indicating there is no USL present. Modified from Dougherty et al. (2012).
Figure 3.5: Mapping the eastern lateral extent of the USL using MASE, VEOX, SSN, and OXNET P waveforms. (left) Events which indicate the presence of the USL are shown in cyan. Those which possibly indicate the USL is present are shown in orange. Red events indicate no USL is present. See Figure 3.17 of the auxiliary material for enlarged map including event labels. (right) Shaded contours of USL, possible USL, and no USL zones. The boundary between bins 13 and 14 of Figure 3.3, demarking the significant change in slab dip, is denoted by the black dashed line.
3.4.4 Seismicity

Intraslab seismicity across the study region is analyzed for any changes, gaps, or patterns that could elucidate the nature of the transition from flat to normal subduction of the S Cocos plate. Epicentral locations of 40–80-km-depth earthquakes from the 1960–2012 International Seismological Centre (ISC) Bulletin event catalog (International Seismological Centre, 2011) are mapped and divided into the same twenty-one 25-km-wide bins used to estimate the lateral variation in slab dip (Figure 3.6). Examination of the number of earthquakes in each bin, in conjunction with the event map, reveals a ∼75-km-wide zone of decreased intraslab seismicity (bins 11-13), which is indicative of a structural change, located immediately west of the sharp transition in slab dip. The western limit of this decreased seismicity zone encompasses the easternmost tip of the TMVB. While the three bins that comprise this zone each contain a low number of earthquakes, the spatial distribution of the seismicity in bins 12 and 13 is noticeably sparser than that in bin 11 (Figure 3.6). In particular, downdip seismicity in bins 12 and 13 is concentrated near 97.25°W, 17.25°N, extending linearly to the northeast, with gaps in the seismicity observed along either side. Additionally, seismicity updip is concentrated in a small area between the coast and the 20-km isodepth contour. This scattered distribution suggests that the events in these bins may be occurring in clusters.

The broadscale distribution of intraslab seismicity west of the VEOX array can be described by two overall trends: (1) a WNW-ESE oriented band along the coast; (2) an E-W oriented downdip zone that rotates to a NW-SE orientation at the eastern end of the TMVB (Figure 3.6a). The downdip zone is spatially offset from the coastal band by a seismicity gap that increases in width from west to east. At the point of rotation in the downdip zone orientation, a general increase in the depth of the intraslab seismicity can be observed. Coincidentally, the coastal band exhibits decreased seismicity.

Focal mechanisms of intraslab earthquakes in eastern central Mexico are also examined for details of the S Cocos slab structure. Source mechanisms of 40–170-km-depth events from the January 1976–November 2012 Global Centroid Moment Tensor (GCMT) catalog (Dziewonski et al., 1981; Ekström et al., 2012) and the January 2000–November 2012 SSN CMT catalog are mapped in Figure 3.7a. For
Figure 3.6: Intraslab seismicity across the study region. (a) Map of epicenters (stars) for 40–80-km-depth earthquakes from the 1960–2012 International Seismological Centre (ISC) Bulletin event catalog (International Seismological Centre, 2011). Epicenters are color-coded by event depth. Data in the same 25-km-wide bins as Figure 3.3 are analyzed for changes in intraslab seismicity across this region. (b) Plot of number of earthquakes per bin for the twenty-one bins analyzed. Note the ~75-km-wide zone of decreased seismicity immediately west of the sharp transition in slab dip (black dashed line), encompassing bins 11–13.
events which can be found in both catalogs, only the GCMT solution is shown. West of the VEOX array, in the flat slab and transitional dip regions, there is a predominance of normal faulting events north of the 40-km isodepth contour, although the overall seismicity is scarce. This preponderance of normal faulting events is consistent with the observed decoupling of the slab from the overriding plate (Singh and Pardo, 1993; Franco et al., 2005) due to the low strength USL. It is also consistent with the typical faulting type (i.e., normal) of earthquakes which occur in the oceanic lithosphere due to bending of the slab and/or slab pull (e.g., Isacks and Barazangi, 1977; Manea et al., 2006). Across the sharp transition in slab dip, a group of events can be seen to abruptly decrease in depth from west (shallowly dipping slab) to east (more steeply dipping slab), contrary to what would be expected for this change in geometry. The deeper events in the west are coincident with the location of increased intraslab seismicity depth noted above for the ISC catalog data. The focal planes across this zone are oriented roughly normal or oblique to the change in dip line.

In addition to the GCMT and SSN CMT catalog data, we perform source mechanism inversions for the 75 earthquakes of interest in this study using the Cut and Paste (CAP) source estimation technique. This waveform modeling method, detailed in Zhao and Helmberger (1994) and Zhu and Helmberger (1996), divides broadband seismograms into body wave and surface wave segments and inverts them independently in an effort to maximize the benefits and minimize the limitations of using long- and short-period portions of broadband records. The source mechanism is obtained by applying a direct grid search of strike, dip, rake, magnitude, and depth through all possible solutions to find the global minimum of misfit between the observations and synthetics, allowing time shifts between portions of seismograms and synthetics (Zhu and Helmberger, 1996). One of the advantages of this technique is that it proves insensitive to velocity models and lateral crustal

Figure 3.7 (following page): Focal mechanism maps for intraslab earthquakes which occurred in the study region. (a) Map of focal mechanisms from the 1/1976–11/2012 Global CMT (GCMT) catalog (Dziewonski et al., 1981; Ekström et al., 2012) and the 1/2000–11/2012 SSN CMT catalog. Events analyzed in this study are labeled for comparison. (b) Map of focal mechanisms inverted for using the Cut and Paste (CAP) technique in this study for the 75 earthquakes of interest. Source parameters can be found in Table 3.1. The black dashed lines mark the locations of trench-perpendicular (at the sharp transition in slab dip) and trench-parallel cross-sections. Mechanisms are color-coded by event depth.
variation, making it possible to generate accurate source mechanisms with less than perfect Green’s functions (Zhao and Helmberger, 1994; Zhu and Helmberger, 1996; D’Amico et al., 2010, 2011). The focal mechanisms obtained using CAP are shown in Figure 3.7b and detailed in Table 3.1. As with the GCMT and SSN CMT catalog data, the CAP focal mechanisms show a general predominance of normal faulting events in the flat slab and transitional dip regions, although a few thrust and several strike-slip faulting events can also be seen. The patch of deeper events west of the sharp transition in slab dip also recurs in our data; however, the eastward shallowing is not as abrupt. Contrary to the catalog data, a few of the CAP source mechanisms located near the sharp transition in slab dip show focal planes orientated roughly parallel to the change in dip line, which may have important implications for the morphology of the S Cocos slab in this region.

Cross-sections of the CAP focal mechanisms along trench-normal (i.e., change in dip line) and trench-parallel transects that sample the transition from flat to normal subduction are analyzed for additional details of the slab morphology (Figure 3.8). Hypocenters located within 50 km of each respective transect are projected on vertical planes with focal mechanisms shown as viewed from the side. For clarity, mechanisms are distinguished by faulting type following the classification of Zoback (1992), which is based on the plunge of P, T, and B axes. The faulting types include normal faulting (NF), predominantly normal faulting with a component of strike-slip (NS), strike-slip faulting (SS), predominantly thrust faulting with a component of strike-slip (TS), thrust faulting (TF), and unknown (U). The unknown faulting type describes events which do not clearly fit into any of other categories and generally applies to smaller and/or less well constrained focal mechanisms (Zoback, 1992). The trench-normal and trench-perpendicular cross-sections further demonstrate the predominance of normal (NF and NS) mechanisms in this region that was noted above. Near the point of intersection of these two cross-sections, a slight vertical spread in the distribution of events can be observed, which may be related to the nature of the sharp transition in slab dip located here. From the trench-parallel profile (Figure 3.8b), a concentration of strike-slip mechanisms can also be observed near the abrupt dip change, which may have further implications for the nature of this zone.
Figure 3.8: Cross-sections of CAP focal mechanisms along the (a) trench-normal and (b) trench-parallel lines in Figure 3.7. Focal mechanisms located within 50 km of the respective cross-section line are included and shown as viewed from the side. Mechanisms are colored by faulting type following Zoback (1992). The faulting types include normal faulting (NF), predominately normal with strike-slip component (NS), strike-slip faulting (SS), predominately thrust with strike-slip component (TS), thrust faulting (TF), and unknown (U). The majority of events shown exhibit normal (NF or NS) mechanisms. Heavy black lines indicate the top of the slab from the isodepth contours. Thin dashed lines mark the point of intersection of the two cross-sections.
3.4.5 1D Velocity Modeling

The shallow seismic structure of the eastern central Mexico subduction zone is examined in 1D using frequency-wave number forward modeling techniques with CAP focal mechanisms (Table 3.1). The sensitivity of observed waveforms to the subduction zone structure is tested using 15 different P- and S-wave velocity models, five of which are presented here: (1) Furumura and Singh (2002) velocity model for central Mexico without the slab (FSa) (Figure 3.9a); (2) Furumura and Singh (2002) velocity model including the slab (FSb) (Figure 3.9b); (3) New central Mexico (ncM) velocity model from Dougherty et al. (2012) (Figure 3.9c); (4) Composite velocity model comprised of the overriding plate structure from model FSa and the slab structure from model ncM (ncM_FSa) (Figure 3.9d); (5) Modified ncM velocity model with re-calculated P-wave velocities (ncMc) from this study (Figure 3.9e). These five models are the most relevant of those tested as they provide the closest approximations of the observed waveforms. The remaining ten models can be found in Figure 3.18. The FSa model does not include slab structure, while the other four models discussed here contain a multilayered, somewhat complex slab (Figure 3.9). The ncM, ncM_FSa, and ncMc models also include the USL (3 km thick, $V_S$ of 2.6 km/s) that was imaged by the MASE array. The P-wave velocities in the ncMc model are calculated using the FSa $V_P/V_S$ ratio of 1.7 and ncM S-wave velocities, with the thicknesses and depths of the layers held constant between the ncM and ncMc models (Figure 3.9e). The FSa crustal model tests the sensitivity of the observed waveforms to the crustal structure only, while the remaining four models test the waveform sensitivity to combined crustal and slab structure.

A comparison of the synthetics produced for each of these five models to the data for event M1 at three stations is shown in Figure 3.10. The waveforms have been bandpass filtered to 0.01–0.1 Hz in order to increase the signal-to-noise ratio and accentuate the major phases (e.g., P, sP, S). Overall, the ncMc model provides the most accurate prediction of the data on both vertical and horizontal components, with the best fits to P, sP, and SH phases at all distances (Figures 3.10 and 3.11). The FSa model provides a slightly improved fit to the sP and SV phases on the vertical component, but a poorer fit to all phases on the radial component (Figure 3.10). The ncM model provides a
Figure 3.9: 1D P (blue) and S (red) wave velocity models tested in this study. (a) Furumura and Singh (2002) velocity model for central Mexico without the slab (FSa). The Moho depth is indicated by the black dashed line. (b) Furumura and Singh (2002) velocity model including the slab (FSb). (c) New central Mexico (ncM) velocity model from Dougherty et al. (2012). Ultra-slow velocity layer (USL) is indicated at the top of the subducted plate. (d) Composite velocity model comprised of the overriding plate structure from model FSa and the slab structure from model ncM (ncM_FSa). (e) Modified ncM velocity model with re-calculated P-wave velocities (ncMc) from this study.

comparable fit to the ncMc model for the major phases on the radial component, with a slightly worse fit on the vertical component (Figure 3.10). The uppermost slab structure in the ncMc model, particularly the USL, is likely responsible for reproducing the observed horizontal waveforms that the simpler FSa model fails to accurately predict. Additionally, the re-calculated P-wave velocities of the ncMc model using the $V_P/V_S$ ratio of the FSa model can be credited for improved predictions of the vertical component waveforms over the ncM model.

### 3.4.6 2D Velocity Modeling

To further investigate the shallow structure of the subducted S Cocos plate along the transition from flat to normal subduction, we produce synthetic seismograms with a 2D finite-difference wave propagation algorithm for particular velocity and slab geometry models and compare these to the data for 16 events. As with the 1D velocity modeling, we use source parameters from the CAP focal mechanisms (Table 3.1) to generate the synthetics. Models for the 16 events tested are oriented along 17 different profiles throughout the study region, concentrated across the USL, possible USL,
Figure 3.10: Comparison of 1D modeling results of event M1 for the five models tested at three stations. Waveforms are filtered to 0.01–0.1 Hz. Data are in black, synthetics are in red. P, sP, and S phases are indicated by dashed lines.
Figure 3.11: 1D modeling results of event M1 for the ncMc velocity model filtered to 0.01–0.1 Hz. Data from MASE and SSN stations are in black, synthetics are in red. P, sP, and S phases are indicated by dashed lines. See Figure 3.19 of the auxiliary material for results plotted without station overlap.
and no USL zones in order to examine the validity of their boundaries (Figure 3.12a). Note that for event V2 both a NW-SE oriented profile and an E-W profile are modeled. All of the models investigated consist of P- and S-wave velocities from the ncMc model with subducted slab geometries estimated from the isodepth contours (Pérez-Campos et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2010, 2011; Melgar and Pérez-Campos, 2011). The 2D modeling results for all of the events tested are summarized in Figure 3.12b, where profile lines are colored to indicate locations of confirmed presence of the USL, possible presence of the USL, and lack of the USL. In general, the USL is shown to be present (or possibly present) across the entire width of the previously defined possible USL zone, from the coast to its northernmost estimated extent, although the profile furthest from the coast indicates that only a small patch of the USL is present (event M2). Two parallel transects across this zone (events M9 and M3) are exceptions to this result, instead indicating that no USL is present. Additionally, the modeling results for the event M22 profile across this zone are inconclusive. Transects located to the north and east of the possible USL zone confirm that the USL is not present in these regions.

The preferred 2D velocity model along the E-W profile for event V2 is presented in Figure 3.13 as an example. Data along this transect were recorded by OXNET and SSN stations. The USL in this model ends at the approximate eastern boundary of the possible USL (USL?) zone. The synthetics produced from this model (i.e., end1) are compared to the data from three stations in Figure 3.14. The model predicts the P and sP phases reasonably well at all distances and the S-wave at shorter distances. A later large amplitude phase, presumed to be an S-wave multiple, is predicted reasonably well by the model at all stations.

In order to test the effect of the presence or absence of the USL and the location of its eastern lateral extent on the synthetic seismograms produced, we examine nine other models with the same velocity structure, including six which assess our model’s sensitivity to the absolute location of the end of the USL: (1) USL continues across the entire width of model without an end (ncMc); (2) USL stops at approximate western boundary of the no USL zone (end2); (3) no USL present, thicker lower oceanic crust to compensate; (4) USL stops at approximate eastern boundary of the USL? zone shifted by 10 km to the west (end1+10); (5) USL? boundary shifted 25 km to the west...
Figure 3.12: (a) Map of locations of 2D velocity model cross-sections (dark grey lines) for the sixteen events modeled (focal mechanisms). Focal mechanisms are from this study. Thick dashed lines mark the boundaries of the USL (cyan), possibly USL (orange), and no USL (red) zones from Figure 3.5. (b) Same as (a) with cross-section lines colored to reflect 2D modeling results. Confirmed presence of the USL is shown in green and possible presence of the USL is shown in blue. Red lines indicate that a model without the USL provides the best representation of the data.
Figure 3.13: 2D velocity model of the shallow subduction zone structure across the OXNET (blue squares) and SSN (green square) arrays along the E-W profile for event V2 in Figure 3.12. P- and S-wave velocities are from the ncMc model. Subducted slab shape is estimated from the isodepth contours. Locations of the approximate end of the possible USL (USL?) zone, the sharp transition in slab dip, and the coastline are indicated for reference. The location of event V2 used in the modeling is shown by the black star.
(end1+25); (6) USL? boundary shifted 25 km to the east (end1−25); (7) USL? boundary shifted 30 km to the west (end1+30); (8) USL? boundary shifted 50 km to the west (end1+50); (9) USL? boundary shifted 50 km to the east (end1−50). A comparison of the synthetics produced for each of the nine models and the preferred (end1) model to the data at three stations is shown in Figure 3.14. A ∼40–53 sec segment of the waveform after the S-wave that includes the large amplitude, presumed S-wave multiple illustrates the greatest variance among the models, indicating that this phase is most sensitive to the USL. The synthetic waveform for each model is cross-correlated with the data for this segment. Due to the increased complexity of the waveforms observed on the radial component, we note that the correlation coefficients for all of the models are generally reduced relative to the vertical component and recommend weighing the vertical component results more heavily than the radial component. While there is some variance between the vertical and radial components, in general, the correlation coefficients indicate that the end1 (i.e., preferred) model synthetics are better representations of the data than the end2 and no USL models. The correlation coefficients for the majority of the stations located along the profile clearly indicate that the end1 model synthetics fit the data better than those produced by the complete USL (i.e., ncMc) model on both the vertical and radial components. In comparison to the shifted boundary models, overall the correlation coefficients indicate increased accuracy for synthetics produced with boundary shifts of 10–30 km to the west relative to those produced by the end1 model. On the other hand, boundary shifts of 25–50 km to the east produce worse fits to the data than the end1 model. These results suggest the confirmed presence of the USL to a location 30 km west of the eastern USL? boundary.

Figure 3.14 (following page): Comparison of 2D modeling results of event V2 along the E-W profile for ten different models at three stations, filtered to 0.01–0.1 Hz. Data are in black, synthetics are in red. Vertical (Z) and radial (R) components are shown. P (dashed line), sP (green arrow), and S (pink arrow) arrivals are indicated. The primary variance among the models was the USL: ncMc = USL continues across entire width of model without an end; end1 = USL stops at approximate eastern boundary of the USL? zone; end2 = USL stops at approximate western boundary of the no USL zone; noUSL = no USL present, thicker lower oceanic crust to compensate; end1+10, end1+25, end1+30, end1+50 = USL? boundary shifted 10 km, 25 km, 30 km, 50 km, respectively, to the west; end1−25, end1−50 = USL? boundary shifted 25 km, 50 km, respectively, to the east. Segment of waveform illustrating greatest variance among the models is shaded grey. Cross-correlation coefficients (X) for each model with the data for the selected segment are shown.
and the possible presence of the USL between this location and the USL? boundary (as indicated in Figure 3.12b).

The preferred 2D velocity model along the event M2 profile and a comparison of the synthetics produced for each of the models tested to the data are shown in Figures 3.20 and 3.21, respectively, as an additional example.

3.5 Discussion

The abrupt end of the TMVB coupled with the interruption of arc volcanism near the transition from flat to normal subduction in eastern central Mexico suggests a possible slab tear located within the subducted S Cocos plate. In this study, we provide further evidence for such a tear based on seismic observations, source mechanism analysis, and modeling of the velocity structure of the subduction zone. From estimating the lateral variation in slab dip using Wadati-Benioff zone seismicity, we find a sharp increase in slab dip indicative of a possible tear in the S Cocos plate. Due to the short distance over which this increase in dip occurs (~50 km), it is unlikely to be accommodated by a smooth contortion of the slab, as suggested by Pardo and Suárez (1995). The location of this sharp transition in slab dip near the end of the TMVB may have important implications for the observed gap in arc volcanism. With the increase in slab dip eastward from the TMVB, it would be expected that the arc volcanism would shift trenchward, following the slab, but the lack of observed volcanism instead suggests that a slab source for melt generation could be missing beneath this area. A tear in the S Cocos slab that begins downdip and extends trenchward to <100 km depth (i.e., the point of decompression melting) could explain the suggested lack of a slab source. Alternatively, the truncation of the S Cocos slab observed to the east by the Yucatán slab, which restricts flow in the mantle wedge, may also explain the unusual configuration of arc volcanism in the region (Kim et al., 2011; Chen and Clayton, 2012).

Examination of the eastern lateral extent of the USL shows a complex pattern that cannot be mapped as a single linear feature, as was done by Dougherty et al. (2012) for the western extent. The observed boundary between the USL and no USL zones that is coincident with the end of the
TMVB suggests a change in plate structure here and could indicate a possible tear in the S Cocos slab. East of here there is a transitional, weakening USL zone, evident in the overlapping possible USL and no USL regions closer to the coast. This patchy petering out of the USL in the updip portion of the slab further implies that the possible slab tear is currently concentrated downdip, as the hydrous minerals and potential pore fluid of the USL would not be maintained across a break in the slab at shallower depths. This mapping of the USL extent contradicts similar mapping by Song et al. (2009), which showed no observed USL in the region of our USL zone. The events analyzed by Song et al. (2009) in this region of overlap were recorded by a single station prior to the MASE array deployment, while we utilize data from multiple arrays with events recorded by a minimum of 24 (and up to 94) stations. The increased quantity and quality of our data relative to that of Song et al. (2009) likely accounts for the discrepancy in results and favors our mapping of the USL. Additionally, the 2D finite-difference modeling confirmed the location of the USL across the entire width of the USL and possible USL zones, further solidifying our mapping.

The intraslab seismicity patterns observed across the study region provide further evidence for a possible tear in the S Cocos slab. The margin of a zone of decreased seismicity located to the west of the sharp transition in slab dip is coincident with both the end of the TMVB and the boundary between the USL and no USL zones. The decreased seismicity of this zone suggests a change in plate structure, and its coincidence with the TMVB and USL boundaries further suggests that this change could indicate a tear in the slab. If the slab was undergoing plate flexure along a smooth contortion instead, we would expect an increase in seismicity, not a decrease (McCrory et al., 2012). The clustering of events within this zone (bins 12 and 13) may imply focusing of stress from a tear that is propagating updip from a deeper point, as has been observed for slab tears in other subduction zones (Gutscher et al., 1999; Miller et al., 2004; Clark et al., 2008; Meighan et al., 2013b). The abrupt increase in depth at the point of rotation of the downdip seismicity band near the end of the TMVB also suggests a structural change indicative of a possible slab tear.

Focal mechanisms of the intraslab seismicity elucidate the nature of the flat-to-normal transition in slab dip beyond that of the epicentral ISC catalog locations. The observed decrease in depth of
events from the shallowly dipping to the more steeply dipping portion of the slab in both the GCMT and SSN CMT catalog data and the CAP focal mechanisms indicates a change in stress distribution across this region. The deeper events on the shallowly dipping segment may be due to a localized stress concentration as a result of the steeper adjacent segment (Pacheco and Singh, 2010) and/or downdip tearing of the slab. Both of these scenarios could produce a vertical column of seismicity like that suggested based on the cross-sections of the CAP focal mechanisms. Such a column has been observed along slab tears in the southern Lesser Antilles (Clark et al., 2008), the northeast Caribbean (Meighan et al., 2013b), and Tonga (Millen and Hamburger, 1998). In eastern central Mexico, the intraslab seismicity is generally sparse, making it difficult to conclude that a vertical column of seismicity exists here, yet the implications of the possibility are relevant to our discussion.

In addition to the patterns in their spatial distribution, the orientations of the focal mechanisms also provide evidence for a possible slab tear. While normal faulting mechanisms are generally predominant, the orientations of these mechanisms are highly variable near the sharp transition in slab dip, suggesting a complex stress distribution (Pardo and Suárez, 1995; Rebollar et al., 1999). The observed concentration of strike-slip mechanisms in this region indicates further complexity of the stress field. Such variation in stress orientations suggests that the earthquakes are either accommodating the strain that is necessary for the slab to fail and tear or failure of the slab has already taken place, and the seismicity is the result of faulting as adjacent mantle is drawn into the gap (Miller et al., 2004). The strike-slip focal mechanisms may be accommodating the shear motion that is thought to be associated with the slab-tearing process (Burbach et al., 1984; Rosenbaum et al., 2008; Meighan et al., 2013b; Vargas and Mann, 2013). This range of earthquake mechanisms and orientations observed near the possible tear in the S Cocos slab is consistent with observations at slab tears in other subduction zones (e.g., Russo et al., 1993; Bilich et al., 2001). Additionally, the approximate alignment of steeply dipping focal planes for some CAP mechanisms along the strike of the change in dip line suggest tearing of the slab per Gutscher et al. (1999)'s classification of “tearing events”.

The ∼50–75-km-wide offset between the eastern end of the TMVB and the sharp transition
in slab dip suggests the existence of a downdip tear zone which encompasses the various seismic observations presented here. The western margin of this tear zone is defined by the termination of the TMVB and its coincidence with the boundary between the USL and no USL regions and the limit of an area of decreased intraslab seismicity, indicating that this margin is a marked structural boundary in the downdip portion of the slab. The eastern margin of the tear zone is delineated by the sharp transition in slab dip and, based on the spatial distribution and orientations of the focal mechanisms analyzed in this study, the locus of active tearing of the S Cocos slab is proposed to occur along here. The continuation of a weakening USL zone in the updip portion of the slab across this tear zone and the sharp transition in slab dip demonstrates that the presence of the USL is not controlled simply by geometry, consistent with observations in western central Mexico (Dougherty et al., 2012). Rather, the presence of the USL is strongly controlled by the structure of the S Cocos slab, which is still continuous in the shallow updip region.

The lack of surficial expression of the possible tear (e.g., rifting or magmatism) implies that it is a less developed or young feature and supports the theory that it is currently localized to the downdip, aseismic portion of the slab. P-wave tomography shows a gap in the imaged slab at the eastern end of the TMVB at 380 km depth (Gorbatov and Fukao, 2005), which is interpreted as a tear in the slab. A similar observation has been made along the transition from flat to normal subduction in Chile, where a gap in fast velocities at depths of 220–340 km suggests a local tear in the downdip portion of the slab (Pesicek et al., 2012). The lack of surface volcanism further implies that any asthenospheric mantle material which may be flowing through the tear is not rising to a shallow enough depth to have an effect on the overriding plate (Miller et al., 2009).

The possible tear in the S Cocos slab may be the result of the subduction of several parallel ridges of seamounts off the coast of Oaxaca. Seamount subduction is a common process that is often related to large earthquake ruptures, although the role of seamounts as asperities or barriers to rupture propagation is controversial (see, e.g., Cloos, 1992; Scholz and Small, 1997; Wang and Bilek, 2011; Kopp, 2013; Yang et al., 2013, and references therein). The subduction of seafloor heterogeneities, which induce an abrupt variation of the mechanical properties of the oceanic plate,
may provide a preferred location to initiate a tear within the slab (Chatelain et al., 1992; Bonnardot et al., 2009; Mason et al., 2010). These inhomogeneities may reduce the strength of the lithosphere, resulting in a weak zone along which a tear will propagate (Hale et al., 2010). In the case of seamounts, this weak zone is located along the margin of the strengthened lithosphere (i.e., along the chain or ridge) (Hale et al., 2010), where seamount parallel faults have been observed offshore central Mexico (Kanjorski, 2003). The eastern margin of the Puerto Escondido seamount cluster is located near 97.5°W at the MAT, coincident with the sharp transition in slab dip. This spatial correlation supports seamount subduction as a cause of the possible slab tear. In addition to (or instead of) this proposed cause, the accommodation of a considerable amount of strain in the slab due to the abrupt variation in geometry (i.e., sharp transition in slab dip) may promote tearing (Miller et al., 2004, 2005; Yang et al., 2009).

In conjunction with the tear in western central Mexico (Dougherty et al., 2012; Stubailo et al., 2012), the possible slab tear in the S Cocos plate proposed here may play an important role in the rollback process by allowing the slab to rollback in segments, resulting in observed along-trench variations in slab dip (Figure 3.15). As slab rollback begins on only a segment of the plate, the first slab tear develops, allowing mantle flow through the gap. Over time, slab rollback continues, steepening the initial segment and inducing rollback in another segment of the plate, where a second slab tear develops, allowing further mantle flow between the segments. This steepening of the slab during rollback pushes material laterally from underneath the slab around an edge and into the overlying mantle wedge (Russo and Silver, 1994; Schellart, 2004; Jadamec and Billen, 2010). This flow is presumably currently accommodated by the gap between the N Cocos and Rivera plates (Soto et al., 2009). The proposed tears in the plate would significantly short-cut this process. Additionally, the toroidal flow through the tear introduces less dense and viscous asthenosphere material into the mantle wedge, promoting rapid rollback of the slab segment (Schellart et al., 2007; Soto et al., 2009).
Figure 3.15: 3D schematic of the slab rollback process and plate tearing through time. (top) Start with a flat slab. (middle) Slab rollback begins on only a segment of the plate; first plate tear develops, allowing mantle flow through the gap (red arrow). (bottom) Slab rollback continues and a second segment of the plate begins rolling back; second plate tear develops, allowing further mantle flow between the segments.
3.6 Conclusions

The nature of the transition from flat to normal subduction in eastern central Mexico is interro-
gated using intraslab seismicity patterns, an analysis of P waveform complexities, and 1D and 2D
waveform modeling techniques. The results show that the subducted S Cocos plate is a complicated,
multilayered structure with a thin USL atop the slab. The lateral extent of this USL is marked by a
boundary between the USL and no USL zones, followed by a diffuse weakening USL region closer to
the coast. A sharp transition in slab dip near the abrupt end of the TMVB suggests a possible tear
in the S Cocos slab. The coincidence of the boundary between the USL and no USL zones with the
margin of a zone of decreased seismicity along this change in dip and the end of the TMVB implies a
change in structure which we interpret as evidence of a possible tear. Additional observed intraslab
seismicity patterns of clustering, sudden increase in depth, variable focal mechanism orientations
and faulting types, and alignment of source mechanisms along the sharp transition in slab dip fur-
ther support this conclusion. We propose the subduction of parallel ridges of seamounts and/or
stress due to the abrupt change in geometry as potential causes of the possible slab tear in the S
Cocos plate. Further imaging of the subduction zone structure with denser station coverage over
the downdip aseismic portion of the slab may provide a clearer picture of the possible tear at depth.
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3.7 Supplemental Figures
Figure 3.16: Map showing the locations of MASE, VEOX, SSN, and OXNET stations along with their respective station names. The dark grey shaded area indicates the Trans Mexican Volcanic Belt (TMVB). Slab isodepth contours based on local seismicity and teleseismic receiver functions (Pérez-Campos et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2010, 2011; Melgar and Pérez-Campos, 2011) are shown in thin lines.
Figure 3.17: Enlarged map of the eastern lateral extent of the USL using MASE, VEOX, SSN, and OXNET P waveforms, including event labels. Events which indicate the presence of the USL are shown in cyan. Those which possibly indicate the USL is present are shown in orange. Red events indicate no USL is present.
Figure 3.18: Additional 1D P (blue) and S (red) wave velocity models tested in this study. (a) Composite central Mexico velocity model from *Kim et al.* (2012) (K12). The Moho depth is indicated by the black dashed line. (b) Central Mexico velocity model from surface wave tomography study by *Iglesias et al.* (2010) (I10) using the MASE array. (c) Central Mexico velocity model from seismic refraction study by *Valdes et al.* (1986) (V86). (d) *Furumura and Singh* (2002) velocity model including the slab and 10 km of intervening mantle (FSc). (e) *Furumura and Singh* (2002) velocity model including the slab and 5 km of intervening mantle (FSd). (f) Central Mexico velocity model from group velocity dispersion study by *Campillo et al.* (1996) (C96). (g) Central Mexico velocity model from waveform modeling study along the MASE array by *Song et al.* (2009) (S09). Ultra-slow velocity layer (USL) is indicated at the top of the subducted plate. (h) New central Mexico velocity model from *Dougherty et al.* (2012) with a 10-km gap between the slab and overriding plate (ncMg). (i) Modified ncM velocity model with re-calculated P-wave velocities for the overriding plate (ncMb). (j) Modified ncM velocity model with re-calculated P-wave velocities for all layers and decreased P- and S-wave velocities in the lower crust of the overriding plate (ncMd).
Figure 3.19: 1D modeling results of event M1 for the ncMc velocity model filtered to 0.01–0.1 Hz and plotted without station overlap. Data from MASE and SSN stations are in black, synthetics are in red.
Figure 3.20: 2D velocity model of the shallow subduction zone structure across the SSN (green square) and MASE (red squares) arrays along the NW-SE profile for event M2 in Figure 3.12. P- and S-wave velocities are from the ncMc model. Subducted slab shape is estimated from the isodepth contours. Locations of the approximate end of the possible USL (USL?) zone, the intersection of the boundaries of the USL and no USL zones, the sharp transition in slab dip, and the TMVB are indicated for reference. The location of event M2 used in the modeling is shown by the black star.
Figure 3.21 (following page): Comparison of 2D modeling results of event M2 along the NW-SE profile for twelve different models at three stations, filtered to 0.01–0.1 Hz. Data are in black, synthetics are in red. Vertical (Z) and radial (R) components are shown. P (dashed line), sP (green arrow), and S (pink arrow) arrivals are indicated. The primary variance among the models was the USL; ncMc = USL continues across entire width of model without an end; end1 = USL stops at approximate northern boundary of the USL zone; end2 = USL stops at approximate northern boundary of the USL? zone; bound1 = USL present between the northern boundary of the USL zone and the intersection of the USL and no USL zone boundaries; bound2 = USL present between the northern boundary of the USL? zone and the intersection of the USL and no USL zone boundaries (preferred model); noUSL = no USL present, thicker lower oceanic crust to compensate; end1+25, end1+50 = USL boundary shifted 25 km, 50 km, respectively, to the northwest; end1−25, end1−50 = USL boundary shifted 25 km, 50 km, respectively, to the southeast; end2−25 = USL? boundary shifted 25 km to the southeast; bound2−25 = intersection of the USL and no USL zone boundaries shifted 25 km to the southeast. Segment of waveform illustrating greatest variance among the models is shaded grey. Cross-correlation coefficients (X) for each model with the data for the selected segment are shown.
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Appendix

This appendix presents a summary map of the lateral extent of the ultra-slow velocity layer (USL) in central Mexico that was not included in the published papers (Figure 3.22). The events which occurred in western central Mexico and were analyzed in Chapter 2 are re-plotted here such that the status of the USL at each epicentral location is indicated. This is done to be consistent with the data presentation for eastern central Mexico shown in Chapter 3. The status of the USL at each epicentral event location can be either present, possibly present, or not present. Note that there are no events in western central Mexico which indicate the USL is possibly present; all events indicate that the USL is either there or not. Shaded contours of the USL and no USL zones in the west are drawn for comparison with the zones previously identified in the east. Additionally, the contour of the possible USL zone in the east is extended west of the MASE line to include events which were not contoured to be within the zone previously. A small no USL zone is also identified and contoured in this region west of the MASE line.

Overall, the USL and possible USL zones are located within the flat slab region, extending laterally across the shallow portions of the transitions from flat to normal subduction located on either side. These zones do not reach the more steeply dipping regions to the northwest and southeast, where the no USL zones are concentrated. The small no USL zone near the MASE line may reflect the lateral heterogeneity of the layer. Interestingly, the strongest presence of the USL is identified ~50 km to the west and to the east of the MASE line, while a weaker USL is located along the array, where the layer was first identified and imaged (Pérez-Campos et al., 2008; Song et al., 2009; Kim et al., 2010).
Figure 3.22: Summary map of the lateral extent of the USL in central Mexico using MARS (grey dots), MASE (red dots), VEOX (pink dots), SSN (green dots), and OXNET (blue dots) P waveforms. (top) Events which indicate the presence of the USL are shown in blue. Those which possibly indicate the USL is present are shown in orange. Red events indicate no USL is present. (bottom) Shaded contours of USL, possible USL, and no USL zones. The boundary between bins 13 and 14 of Figure 3.3, demarking the significant change in slab dip in the east, is denoted by the dark grey dashed line. The approximate location of the western edge of the USL atop the slab proposed in Figure 2.7 is indicated by the blue dashed line. The projected path of the Orozco Fracture Zone (OFZ) beneath the North American plate is shown as a thick, red dashed line, with thinner red dashed lines to either side delineating the estimated 100-km width of the fracture zone (Blatter and Hammersley, 2010). The dark grey shaded area indicates the Trans Mexican Volcanic Belt (TMVB), and the black triangles denote active volcanoes. Slab isodepth contours based on local seismicity and teleseismic receiver functions (Pérez-Campos et al., 2008; Kim et al., 2010, 2011; Melgar and Pérez-Campos, 2011) are shown in thin lines. Other abbreviations shown in the map are EPR, East Pacific Rise; MAT, Middle America Trench; EGG, El Gordo Graben.