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Abstract

This thesis describes studies surrounding a ligand-gated ion channel (LGIC): the serotonin type 3A receptor (5-HT₃AR). Structure-function experiments using unnatural amino acid mutagenesis are described, as well as experiments on the methodology of unnatural amino acid mutagenesis. Chapter 1 introduces LGICs, experimental methods, and an overview of the unnatural amino acid mutagenesis.

In Chapter 2, the binding orientation of the clinically available drugs ondansetron and granisetron within 5-HT₃A is determined through a combination of unnatural amino acid mutagenesis and an inhibition based assay. A cation-π interaction is found for both ondansetron and granisetron with a specific tryptophan residue (Trp183, TrpB) of the mouse 5-HT₃AR, which establishes a binding orientation for these drugs.

In Chapter 3, further studies were performed with ondansetron and granisetron with 5-HT₃A. The primary determinant of binding for these drugs was determined to not include interactions with a specific tyrosine residue (Tyr234, TyrC2). In completing these studies, evidence supporting a cation-π interaction of a synthetic agonist, meta-chlorophenylbiguanide, was found with TyrC2.

In Chapter 4, a direct chemical acylation strategy was implemented to prepare full-length suppressor tRNA mediated by lanthanum(III) and amino acid phosphate esters. The derived aminoacyl-tRNA is shown to be translationally competent in Xenopus oocytes.

Appendix A.1 gives details of a pharmacological method for determining the equilibrium dissociation constant, $K_B$, of a competitive antagonist with a receptor, known as Schild analysis. Appendix A.2 describes an examination of the inhibitory activity of
new chemical analogs of the 5-HT₃A antagonist ondansetron. Appendix A.3 reports an organic synthesis of an intermediate for a new unnatural amino acid. Appendix A.4 covers an additional methodological examination for the preparation of amino-acyl tRNA.
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Chapter 1

A Molecular-Scale Approach to Neuroscience

1.1 INTRODUCTION

Neuroscience is one of the most interdisciplinary fields of science, and for good reason: the brain is the most complex structure on earth.\(^1\) The open question of understanding of the brain is approached at many levels, from the broadly macroscopic view of psychology and medicine, all the way down to the molecular studies of biochemists and chemists. Each approach has its own merits and revelations, but it is the molecular approach that this thesis covers. In order to add context to the following chapters of this thesis, information regarding receptors and the experimental techniques used are covered here.

Communication that occurs in the brain can be viewed as both electrical and chemical (Figure 1.1). A signal, the action potential, is an electrical impulse that propagates down the axon of a neuron. A second neuron slated to receive this signal is not in electrical contact with the sender; the cells are separated at a junction called the synapse. For the neurons to communicate, the electrical signal is converted to a chemical signal. Depolarization of the presynaptic neuron results in the release of small-molecule neurotransmitters into the synaptic cleft, which then passively diffuse to the postsynaptic neuron. These small-molecule neurotransmitters then bind to receptor proteins on the membrane of the postsynaptic neuron. Depending on the nature of the neurotransmitter and the receptor, the electrical signal is regenerated and a new action potential propagates in the postsynaptic neuron.
The binding of the neurotransmitter to the receptor protein is a molecular event governed by non-covalent interactions. After the binding event, a cascade of conformational changes within the receptor protein occurs, which are also governed by non-covalent interactions. What then, is the nature of these non-covalent interactions? A question such as this is tackled in Chapter 2 regarding ligand-receptor interactions. In the Dougherty group, similar molecular-scale questions have been asked and answered many times over.\textsuperscript{2} Understanding these receptors is more than just scientific curiosity: these receptors are central to human health, and their dysfunction plays roles in diseases such as epilepsy and schizophrenia.\textsuperscript{3,4}
The receptors covered in this thesis are ligand-gated ion channels (LGICs). LGICs allow the passage of ions across a cell membrane in response to the binding of a ligand. The Cys-loop superfamily of LGICs includes the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR), serotonin (5-hydroxytryptamine) type 3 receptor (5-HT3R), γ-aminobutyric acid receptor (GABA), and glycine receptor (GlyR). The 5-HT3R is the subject of study in Chapter 2 and Chapter 3. Subtypes of the nAChR make an appearance in Chapter 4.

![Figure 1.2. A cartoon representing a Cys-loop receptor. Left: General dimensions and a representation of the pentameric nature of Cys-loop receptors. Right: Topology of a Cys-loop receptor, with a general indication of the ligand binding site and a disulfide linkage to form the eponymous “Cys-loop.”](image)

The strategy used for studying these receptors in this thesis is through structure-function experiments: a structural change is made and its effect on function is determined. While such experiments can be performed without prior structural information, design of experiments certainly benefits if some structural information is known. In all cases, Cys-loop receptors form an ion pore by the assembly of five subunits (Figure 1.2). There is a large, extracellular N-terminal domain that is the site of neurotransmitter binding, followed by four membrane-spanning α-helices, and finally an
extracellular C-terminus. Early structural information came from cryo-electron microscopy (cryo-EM) images of receptors from the electric organ of the *Torpedo* ray.\(^6\) Other insights came from X-ray structures of a soluble protein found in the snail cholinergic synapse, which is homologous to the extracellular domain of Cys-loop receptors.\(^7\) Finally, an X-ray structure of the glutamate-gated chloride channel from *C. elegans* (GluCl) gave high resolution information to complement the cryo-EM images (Figure 1.3).\(^8\)

![Crystal structure of GluCl](PDB:3RHW) (a Cys-loop receptor)  
\[\text{PDB: 2BG9} \]  
\[\text{PDB: 2WNC} \]  
\[\text{Ligand Binding Site} \]  
\[\text{in} \]  
\[\text{membrane} \]  
\[\text{out} \]  

**Figure 1.3.** Representative structures of Cys-loop receptors. Protein Data Bank codes for each structure shown.

This structural information, while useful, does not provide *functional* insight into the Cys-loop receptors. For that, a functional assay, electrophysiology, is used. The mRNA coding for the appropriate subunits of the LGIC of interest is microinjected into the oocyte of *Xenopus laevis*, which is a large (~ 1 mm) and mostly dormant living cell (Figure 1.4a). After mRNA injection, *Xenopus* oocytes synthesize the LGIC subunit(s),
assemble them into receptors, and transport them to the cell surface. From there, a two-electrode whole-cell voltage clamp experiment, where the potential across the cell membrane is held constant during the experiment, can be performed. In this setup, the membrane potential measured is by one electrode. An activating ligand, called an agonist, is washed over the cell surface, resulting in the opening of a population of receptors. The flow of ions across the cell surface is exactly equivalent to an electric current, and so the second electrode maintains the cell potential by applying an opposing current, which is readily measured. By applying increasing concentrations of agonist to the oocyte, an increase in signal is detected (Figure 1.4b). Plotting these concentration-response data in a semi-log plot and fitting the data to the Hill equation allows one to determine a parameter called the EC$_{50}$ (Figure 1.4c-d). The EC$_{50}$ is the concentration of agonist required to elicit half-maximal current, and is reflective of channel function.

![Figure 1.4. Aspects of the functional assay. (a) A *Xenopus* oocyte. (b) Representative increases in measured current in response to increases in the concentration of applied agonist. (c) The Hill equation. (d) A concentration-response curve, and the location of the EC$_{50}$ parameter.](image)

With a functional assay in hand, molecular-scale insight is achieved through structural changes to the receptor. This is done through mutagenesis. Individual amino acids
within the protein are substituted for other amino acids by changing the mRNA code. In its most basic form, this only allows the substitution of an amino acid for one of the 20 naturally occurring amino acids. To obtain truly chemical-scale information, a technique called nonsense suppression is used to install unnatural amino acids, which allows for extremely subtle structural changes.\textsuperscript{9} In this technique, at the site of interest is mutated to a UAG codon (called amber), which is normally interpreted at a “stop” codon. A suppressor tRNA bearing the desired unnatural amino acid, which recognizes the amber codon, is co-injected into the \textit{Xenopus} oocyte (Figure 1.5). In this manner, the unnatural amino acid is installed site-specifically during protein translation. An important feature of the suppressor tRNA is a property called orthogonality: after delivering its amino acid payload, the amino acid synthetases in the \textit{Xenopus} oocyte do not recharge the tRNA with another amino acid. The orthogonality of the tRNAs used in nonsense suppression are not perfect, and so controls using uncharged tRNA should be performed. As the suppressor tRNA does not get recharged, it is a limiting reagent in this process. Chapter 4 explores the methodology for preparing this important component.

Once a structural change is made to a receptor, the functional effect is then measured. A concentration-response plot is constructed for the mutant receptor and the $EC_{50}$ value is determined. If the structural change was detrimental to the function of the receptor (for the agonist used), a rightward shift in the concentration-response curve is seen, with a correspondingly higher $EC_{50}$ value (Figure 1.6a). A larger $EC_{50}$ is referred to as a “loss of function,” while the opposite case (a leftward curve shift and lower $EC_{50}$) is referred to as a “gain of function.” In this manner, functional changes with respect to activating ligands (agonists) are directly determined. Another class of ligands, antagonists, inhibits
Figure 1.5. Overview of expression of receptors bearing unnatural amino acids in *Xenopus* oocytes. (1) The mRNA for the receptor containing a stop codon at the site of interest and (2) the suppressor tRNA bearing the unnatural amino acid are (3) co-injected into the *Xenopus* oocyte. (4) The translational machinery synthesizes the receptor and inserts the unnatural amino acid into the peptide chain, and after transport to the cell surface, (5) the receptors are probed by electrophysiology.

channel function. Structural changes to the receptor can also affect the ability of an antagonist to inhibit the receptor, but this is not determined through an EC$_{50}$ measurement. As measureable signal is only obtained in the presence of an agonist, an assay involving application of both agonist and antagonist to the receptor is needed. Chapter 2 discusses this measurement and its parameter, the IC$_{50}$. The IC$_{50}$ is the concentration needed to achieve half-maximal *inhibition* of the signal (Figure 1.6b). In a manner similar to the EC$_{50}$, the ability of an antagonist to inhibit a receptor with respect to a structural change is determined through increases or decreases in the IC$_{50}$ value.
Figure 1.6. Idealized concentration-response curves showing a shift in response as a result of a structural change in the receptor. (a) Shifts activation ability of an agonist. (b) Shifts in inhibition ability of an antagonist.

With the discussion thus far regarding structure-function studies of receptors, the case has not been made as to the need for unnatural amino acid mutagenesis. A particular non-covalent interaction, the cation-π interaction, is well suited for study by unnatural amino acid mutagenesis. A cation-π is a mainly electrostatic interaction between a cation and the π face of an aromatic ring (Figure 1.7a).\textsuperscript{10,11} With conventional mutagenesis, there are only three aromatic amino acids: tryptophan, tyrosine, and phenylalanine. Probing for a cation-π interaction requires more subtle probes than these three amino acids can provide. For example, in mutating a tryptophan to a phenylalanine, there is a change in the cation-π binding ability, but there are also steric perturbations that would complicate the analysis. With unnatural amino acid mutagenesis, a much more subtle change, such as fluorination of the aromatic ring, can be used to probe for this interaction. The steric perturbation of the addition of a fluorine atom to an aromatic ring is minimal, but the electronegative nature of fluorine decreases the cation-π binding ability of the ring system. This effect is additive, and so additional fluorine atoms results in further decreases in ring cation-π binding ability (Figure 1.7b). When the cation-π binding
ability of an aromatic ring is plotted against changes in channel function, information as
to the existence of a cation-π interaction can be obtained. This strategy is employed in
Chapter 2 and Chapter 3.

![Figure 7](image)

**Figure 7.** The cation-π interaction. (a) A representation of a Na⁺ cation binding to the face of benzene. (b) Ab initio calculated cation-π binding energies of indoles and fluorinated indoles.¹²

### 1.2 SUMMARY

The field of neuroscience benefits from the contributions of a broad range of
disciplines. The molecular-scale approach taken in this thesis utilizes a variety of
techniques, including organic synthesis, molecular biology, and electrophysiology.
Chapter 2 probes the interaction of the antagonists granisetron and ondansetron with the
Cys-loop receptor 5-HT₃A. Chapter 3 expands upon the work of Chapter 2 with further
examination of antagonist interactions, as well as the synthetic agonist meta-
chlorophenylbiguanide. Chapter 4 examines a chemical methodology for the preparation
of charged suppressor tRNA. There are four appendices which cover: (1) a methodology
for measuring competitive antagonist/receptor affinities using pharmacology; (2) an
examination of the inhibitory activity of new chemical analogs of the 5-HT₃A antagonist
ondansetron; (3) the organic synthesis of an intermediate for a new unnatural amino acid;
and (4) an additional methodological examination of the preparation of charged
suppressor tRNA.
1.3 REFERENCES


Chapter 2

Ondansetron and Granisetron Binding Orientation in the 5-HT3 Receptor Determined by Unnatural Amino Acid Mutagenesis.

Noah H. Duffy†, Henry A. Lester‡, Dennis A. Dougherty†

†Division of Chemistry and Chemical Engineering and ‡Division of Biology, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

2.1 ABSTRACT

The serotonin type 3 receptor (5-HT3R) is a ligand-gated ion channel that mediates fast synaptic transmission in the central and peripheral nervous systems. The 5-HT3R is a therapeutic target, and the clinically available drugs ondansetron and granisetron inhibit receptor activity. Their inhibitory action is through competitive binding to the native ligand binding site, although the binding orientation of the drugs at the receptor has been a matter of debate. Here we heterologously express mouse 5-HT3A receptors in Xenopus oocytes, and use unnatural amino acid mutagenesis to establish a cation-π interaction for both ondansetron and granisetron to tryptophan 183 in the ligand binding pocket. This cation-π interaction establishes a binding orientation for both ondansetron and granisetron within the binding pocket.

2.2 INTRODUCTION

The serotonin type 3 receptor (5-HT₃R)<sup>1,2</sup> is a ligand-gated ion channel in the Cys-loop (pentameric) family of receptors, which also includes GABA<sub>A</sub>, glycine, and nicotinic acetylcholine (nACh) receptors.<sup>3</sup> The 5-HT₃ receptor is a cation selective channel found in the central and peripheral nervous systems. Conduction occurs through a central pore, formed by the pseudo-symmetric assembly of five subunits (Figure 2.1). There are five known 5-HT₃R subunits (A – E),<sup>4</sup> with the best characterized receptors being the homomeric 5-HT₃A receptor (5-HT₃AR) and the heteromeric 5-HT₃AB receptors.<sup>5</sup>

![Figure 2.1. Basic layout of a Cys-loop (pentameric) receptor. The structure is that of the GluCl α subunit<sup>6</sup> (pdb 3RIF). Left: The full receptor, with two subunits highlighted; one (blue) contributes principal agonist binding site residues, found on loops A (red), B (green), and C (blue). The complementary subunit (black) contributes loops D (purple), E (orange), and F (yellow). The α-helical region corresponds to the transmembrane domain; the region above it is extracellular. Right: Detail of agonist binding site, noting approximate locations of key residues considered here.](image)

The 5-HT₃ receptor has been validated as a therapeutic target—antagonists are currently used to control chemotherapy-induced nausea, as well as to treat irritable bowel
Beyond current clinical uses, there is evidence that compounds targeting the 5-HT₃ receptor could be useful for the treatment of a variety of disorders including schizophrenia and substance abuse, as well as management of pain associated with, for example, fibromyalgia. Prototype antagonists of the 5-HT₃ receptor are ondansetron (Zofran®) and granisetron (Kytril®) (Figure 2.2a).

There is no high-resolution structure of the 5-HT₃ receptor. There is, however, structural information from a number of sources, including cryoelectron microscopy images of the nACh receptor,⁹ high resolution structures of the homologous acetylcholine binding protein,¹⁰ and the recently published x-ray structure of the glutamate-gated chloride channel from C. elegans, GluCl.⁶ These data, along with homology modeling and biochemical studies, have provided a putative binding site for 5-HT₃ antagonists that coincides with the binding site of the native agonist, serotonin (5-HT, Figure 2.2b). This binding site is formed by a series of β-strands and connecting loops (labeled A-F), with loops A-C contributed by the “principal” subunit and β-strands D-F contributed by the
“complementary” subunit (Figure 2.1). Docking studies using homology models have found multiple energetically favorable poses of the antagonist granisetron within this binding site, and the orientation of the drug and the identities of the interacting residues are not constant across poses.\textsuperscript{11-14} For example, Thompson \textit{et. al.} reported two classes of poses of granisetron.\textsuperscript{13} In one class, the cationic ammonium was oriented between Trp183 (loop B) and Tyr234 (loop C), while the aromatic indazole was oriented between Trp90 (β-strand D) and Phe226 (loop C). In the second class of poses, this orientation was reversed, with the cationic ammonium towards Trp90 and Phe226. Experimentally, both Trp183 and Trp90 have been established to be important for granisetron binding. In other experimental work, Yan and White found that Trp90 is important for both ondansetron and granisetron binding, and they interpreted their results as providing evidence that the cationic ammonium of granisetron was oriented towards Trp90.\textsuperscript{14}

The accepted pharmacophore model for 5-HT\textsubscript{3}R includes an amine group on the ligand. Past studies in our laboratory have established the primary amine of 5-HT to make a cation–π interaction with a conserved tryptophan residue on loop B (Trp183).\textsuperscript{15} Mutation of Trp183 (as well as Trp90, Glu129 (loop A), and Tyr234) to alanine abolishes binding of \textsuperscript{[3}H\textsuperscript{]}granisetron.\textsuperscript{13} Taken together, these results identify Trp183 as a prime candidate for more detailed studies. Moreover, the docking studies of Thompson \textit{et. al.} provide us with testable guidelines as to other possible interactions; specifically, with Trp90.\textsuperscript{13} In the present work, we set out to better understand the binding of the high affinity antagonistic drugs ondansetron and granisetron to the 5-HT\textsubscript{3}AR. The cationic center of granisetron is a tertiary ammonium ion in a granatane moiety (pK\textsubscript{a} = 9.6), but ondansetron has a structurally distinct N-alkylimidazolium moiety (pK\textsubscript{a} = 7.4).\textsuperscript{16} We
sought to determine if this structural difference leads to different binding orientations for the two drugs.

2.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

2.3.1 Ondansetron and Granisetron Schild Analysis. In examining the interaction of a competitive antagonist with a receptor, equilibrium dissociation constants, $K_b$, provide the most direct indicator of binding interactions.\textsuperscript{17} Previous reports have indicated that granisetron and ondansetron act competitively with 5-HT at the 5-HT\textsubscript{3}AR.\textsuperscript{2,18} Both antagonists bind reversibly, in that after a several minute washout of either ondansetron or granisetron, agonist responses recover completely. Previous studies have established dissociation rate constants of 0.58 min\textsuperscript{-1} for ondansetron, and 0.13 min\textsuperscript{-1} for granisetron,\textsuperscript{19} consistent with our observations. It has also been shown that granisetron and ondansetron directly compete with each other for the same binding site.\textsuperscript{19} We attempted to determine $K_b$ for ondansetron and granisetron using Schild (dose-ratio) analysis;\textsuperscript{17} this requires measurements of dose-response relationships (Figure 2.3). Schild analysis of ondansetron applied to preparations of rat vagus nerves gave parallel shifts, indicative of a competitive interaction, but efforts to perform similar studies with granisetron were not successful.\textsuperscript{20} As shown in Figure 2.3, during our standard 15 second agonist application (see Methods), the inhibition by ondansetron and granisetron was insurmountable by 5-HT—full receptor activity could not be restored even with high concentrations of 5-HT. We attribute the insurmountable inhibition to the slow off rates. The granisetron data are better behaved than the ondansetron data, but in either case we face a requirement of agonist applications several minutes in duration. However, the 5-HT\textsubscript{3}AR desensitizes on this time scale before full equilibrium is achieved. Thus, for the high-affinity 5-HT\textsubscript{3}AR
antagonists ondansetron and granisetron, determination of true $K_b$ by functional measurements is not possible.

Thus, the concentration required for 50% receptor inhibition ($IC_{50}$) was the only viable functional measurement, and so modified procedures were developed to render $IC_{50}$ values a good direct measure of antagonist binding. The $IC_{50}$ measurement is taken in the context of multiple equilibria, including both agonist and antagonist binding/dissociation, conformational changes in the protein, and the “gating” equilibria between the open and closed states of the receptor. We sought to reduce the contribution from changes in agonist potency, while retaining an index of antagonist potency. When evaluating competitive antagonists, it is useful to consider more than one agonist, so that one can be sure that $IC_{50}$ determinations are not distorted by agonist-receptor interactions. To
determine IC$_{50}$ values for ondansetron and granisetron, we studied both 5-HT and an additional agonist, meta-chlorophenylbiguanide (mCPBG, Figure 2.2b).$^{21}$

2.3.2 Agonist behavior at Trp183 and Trp90. The effective concentrations for 50% receptor activation (EC$_{50}$) were determined for both the native agonist 5-HT, as well as the potent partial agonist mCPBG. The EC$_{50}$ values were also determined for a series of fluorinated tryptophan derivatives (Figure 2.2c) introduced by nonsense suppression at Trp183 (Table 2.1). The EC$_{50}$ values confirm the previously reported$^{15}$ cation-π interaction for 5-HT at Trp183. Interestingly, we have recently found that mCPBG does not respond to fluorination at Trp183 in the same manner as 5-HT,$^{22}$ and the data of Table 2.1 produced for this work confirm that result. Detailed experiments and discussion concerning the activation of 5-HT$_3$ receptors by mCPBG will be presented in a separate publication.$^{22}$ For the present purposes, the key point is that mCPBG responds to fluorination at Trp183 differently than 5-HT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agonist</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>N$^a$</th>
<th>EC$_{50}$ (µM)$^b$</th>
<th>Fold Shift$^c$</th>
<th>Hill$^d$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>5-HT</td>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>$1.6 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$2.1 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W183F$_1$W (5)</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>$3.4 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>$2.0 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W183F$_2$W (5,7)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$18 \pm 1$</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>$2.9 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W183F$_2$W (4,7)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$36 \pm 2$</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>$2.2 \pm 0.3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W183F$_3$W (5,6,7)</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>$250 \pm 10$</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>5 ± 1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W90F$_1$W (5,6,7)</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>$0.23 \pm 0.01$</td>
<td>1 / 6.8</td>
<td>$2.0 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W90F$_2$W (4,5,6,7)</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>$0.64 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>1 / 2.4</td>
<td>$2.3 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$0.50 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>$2.2 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W183F$_1$W (5)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$5.8 \pm 0.4$</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>$1.6 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W183F$_2$W (5,7)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>$2.6 \pm 0.1$</td>
<td>5.3</td>
<td>$1.8 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W183F$_2$W (4,7)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>$0.34 \pm 0.03$</td>
<td>1 / 1.5</td>
<td>$1.4 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W183F$_3$W (5,6,7)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$6.6 \pm 0.2$</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>$2.6 \pm 0.2$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W183F$_3$W (4,5,6,7)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>$8.4 \pm 0.6$</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>$1.5 \pm 0.1$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W90F$_1$W (5,6,7)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>$0.092 \pm 0.004$</td>
<td>1 / 5.4</td>
<td>$3.2 \pm 0.5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>W90F$_2$W (4,5,6,7)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>$0.20 \pm 0.02$</td>
<td>1 / 2.5</td>
<td>$2.1 \pm 0.3$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Number of oocytes averaged in EC$_{50}$ determination.  $^b$The effective concentration for half-maximal receptor activation.  $^c$EC$_{50}$(mutant)/EC$_{50}$(wild type).  $^d$The Hill coefficient, $n_H$, as determined from fitting the Hill equation.
When the fluorinated tryptophans F₃Trp and F₄Trp were installed at Trp90, both 5-HT and mCPBG show a gain of function. These data are consistent with no cation-π interaction for 5-HT or mCPBG with Trp90.

2.3.3 Ondansetron and Granisetron at Trp183 and Trp90. An important aspect of the complex nature of measuring IC₅₀ in receptors is the concentration of agonist used for receptor activation. For a competitive interaction, a measured IC₅₀ value will depend on the agonist concentration. In order to make meaningful comparisons of IC₅₀ values across mutant receptors, the concentration of agonist used was kept at a constant value of twice EC₅₀. The choice of a constant ratio of twice EC₅₀ was made to ensure sufficient signal, even in cases of low receptor expression. We also emphasize that the series of mutations being introduced represents a much more subtle variation in structure than is possible with conventional mutagenesis. This provides further confidence that no dramatic changes in receptor-antagonist interactions are occurring in the study. We also exploited the difference in the binding modes of 5-HT and mCPBG to control for possible artificial trends in IC₅₀ measurements.

Dose-response/inhibition relations were determined for ondansetron and granisetron, using both 5-HT and mCPBG as agonists, with representative voltage-clamp traces shown in Figure 2.4. These measurements were performed on the wild type 5-HT₃AR, as well as for a series of fluorinated tryptophan derivatives at Trp183. Agonist concentrations were 2 x EC₅₀ for each agonist at each receptor, and data were fit to the Hill equation (Figure 2.4). The resultant IC₅₀ values are presented in Table 2.2. Inhibition data for F₄Trp could not be gathered using 5-HT as the agonist, because of channel block by high concentrations of 5-HT.
Figure 2.4. Representative voltage-clamp traces and dose-inhibition curves for antagonists for wild type and Trp183 mutant 5-HT_{3A} receptors. Representative traces for inhibition of (a) wild-type and (b) W183F_{3A} receptors by increasing doses of granisetron. Time of application and concentration noted by black bars. Channels opened by addition of mCPBG (red bars) at (a) 1 µM and (b) 13 µM. Hashes indicate wash times. Ondansetron inhibition curves shown for receptors activated by (c) mCPBG, and (d) 5-HT. Granisetron inhibition curves shown for receptors activated by (e) mCPBG, and (f) 5-HT. Data fit to the Hill equation; fit parameters (IC_{50} and n_{H}) are in Table 2.2.
Table 2.2. IC$_{50}$ data for wild type and mutant 5-HT$_3$AR for granisetron and ondansetron in response to 5-HT or mCPBG.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antagonist</th>
<th>Agonist$^a$</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>$N^b$</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (nM)$^c$</th>
<th>Fold Shift$^d$</th>
<th>Hill$^e$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Granisetron</td>
<td>5-HT</td>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.4 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_1$W (5)</td>
<td>17</td>
<td>2.9 ± 0.3</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>-1.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_2$W (5,7)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>100 ± 10</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>-1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_2$W (4,7)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>3.4 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>-1.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_2$W (5,6,7)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>61 ± 2</td>
<td>78</td>
<td>-1.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W90F$_1$W (5,6,7)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1 / 3.9</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W90F$_2$W (4,5,6,7)</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.64 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1 / 1.2</td>
<td>-1.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granisetron</td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_1$W (5)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td>-1.4 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_2$W (5,7)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>140 ± 10</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>-1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_2$W (4,7)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.3</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>-1.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_2$W (5,6,7)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>41 ± 2</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_2$W (4,5,6,7)</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>110 ± 10</td>
<td>74</td>
<td>-0.9 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W90F$_1$W (5,6,7)</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.20 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1 / 7.6</td>
<td>-1.2 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W90F$_2$W (4,5,6,7)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.38 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1 / 4</td>
<td>-1.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondansetron</td>
<td>5-HT</td>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.6 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_1$W (5)</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>6.2 ± 0.4</td>
<td>7.1</td>
<td>-1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_2$W (5,7)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>140 ± 10</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>-2.2 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_2$W (4,7)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>67 ± 6</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>-1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_2$W (5,6,7)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>160 ± 10</td>
<td>180</td>
<td>-1.8 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W90F$_1$W (5,6,7)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.40 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1 / 2.2</td>
<td>-1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W90F$_2$W (4,5,6,7)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-1.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondansetron</td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_1$W (5)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10 ± 1</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>-1.9 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_2$W (5,7)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>140 ± 10</td>
<td>160</td>
<td>-1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_2$W (4,7)</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>73 ± 6</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>-1.7 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_2$W (5,6,7)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>89 ± 5</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W183F$_2$W (4,5,6,7)</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>590 ± 80</td>
<td>650</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W90F$_1$W (5,6,7)</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>0.37 ± 0.03</td>
<td>1 / 2.4</td>
<td>-1.5 ± 0.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>W90F$_2$W (4,5,6,7)</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>1.5 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>-1.3 ± 0.1</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Agonist used in the presence of antagonist for channel activation. $^b$Number of oocytes averaged in IC$_{50}$ determination. $^c$The effective concentration for half-maximal receptor inhibition. $^d$IC$_{50}$(mutant)/IC$_{50}$(wild type). $^e$The Hill coefficient, $n_H$, as determined from fitting the Hill equation.

The effect of fluorine substitution in modulating a cation-$\pi$ interaction has been well established.$^{15,23-25}$ For both ondansetron and granisetron, incremental substitutions of fluorine to Trp183 increased IC$_{50}$. As in previous studies of fluorination trends, IC$_{50}$ fold-shift values were plotted against cation-$\pi$ binding ability of fluorinated indoles, producing the “fluorination plots” shown in Figure 2.5. Ondansetron inhibition linearly correlates with the energy of cation-$\pi$ binding, regardless of whether 5-HT or mCPBG was used as an agonist. Granisetron also displayed a strong correlation with respect to degree of fluorination, regardless of agonist identity.
Figure 2.5. Fluorination plots. Calculated cation-π binding ability versus log([IC₅₀]/IC₅₀(wt)) for a series of fluorinated tryptophan derivatives at Trp183. Ondansetron fluorination plots for receptors activated by (a) mCPBG, and (b) 5-HT. Granisetron fluorination plots for receptors activated by (c) mCPBG, and (d) 5-HT. Red lines are linear fits (y = mx + b) inclusive of all points. Fit parameters (a) m: -0.15 ± 0.03, b: 5.1 ± 0.6, R: 0.94; (b) m: -0.18 ± 0.03, b: 6.0 ± 0.8, R: 0.96; (c) m: -0.11 ± 0.04, b: 3 ± 1, R: 0.77; (d) m: -0.14 ± 0.06, b: 5 ± 2, R: 0.82.

We interpret these results as establishing a cation-π interaction between each drug and Trp183. The results of Figure 2.5 highlight the value of having two distinct agonists to evaluate an antagonist. With 5-HT as the agonist, we see linear fluorination plots for the antagonists. The agonist alone, 5-HT, shows a similar plot in a study of its EC₅₀. We corrected for this by always using a 5-HT dose of 2 x EC₅₀ for the particular fluorination
mutant. Nevertheless, there could be concern about deconvoluting the effect of fluorination on the agonist vs. the antagonist. With mCPBG as the agonist, there is no such concern, as it does not respond to fluorination at Trp183 in a manner consistent with a cation-π interaction. Thus, the fluorination trends seen in Figure 2.5 can confidently be assigned as reflecting the response of the antagonist to the mutation. We also note that the fluorination effect remains regardless of the structural identity of the cation. Ondansetron, with a N-akylimidazolium moiety, and granisetron, with a tertiary ammonium, show similar trends at the same residue, which is evidence that their binding orientations are similar.

While the general trends in our data are clear, the detailed behaviors of the F₂Trp unnatural amino acids present interesting details. In the fluorination plots, 5,7-F₂Trp, a residue that we have used extensively, deviates from the line set by the other derivatives, especially for granisetron. The effect is evident, but much less pronounced, in studies of ondansetron. We considered the possibility that an additional unique, perhaps steric, feature of 5,7-F₂Trp was influencing the analysis.

As such, we prepared 4,7-F₂Trp (Scheme 2.1), which should have the same cation-π binding ability, but different steric requirements. Synthesis began by direct formation of 4,7-difluoroindole (1) in a Bartoli reaction between the appropriate nitrodifluorobenzene and vinyl magnesium bromide. In a sequence similar to Gilchrist et. al. the difluoroindole (1) was then reacted with ethyl-3-bromo-2-hydroxyiminopropanoate to yield the oxime (2), which was then reduced using aluminum/mercury amalgam. The amine of the resulting amino acid ester was protected with the photocleavable 2-nitroveratryloxycarbonyl (NVOC), and the ester hydrolyzed with sodium hydroxide.
Conversion to the cyanomethyl ester (3) gave material suitable for acylation of the dinucleotide dCA, and for preparation of tRNA necessary for incorporation into the 5-HT₃R (methods described previously). The unnatural amino acid prepared by this route is, of course, racemic, but only the natural L configuration will be incorporated; the ribosome of the *Xenopus* oocyte in effect performs a kinetic resolution.

Scheme 2.1.

From an electrostatic point of view, 5,7-F₂Trp and 4,7-F₂Trp are, to first order, indistinguishable, and so they should be equivalent in a cation-π interaction. This is born out in the EC₅₀ data for serotonin (Table 2.1), where the two F₂Trp residues differ only by a factor of two, while the full fluorination series spans more than a factor of 150. In contrast, the EC₅₀ values for mCPBG differ by 8-fold for the two F₂Trps. Recall that mCPBG does not make a cation-π interaction to the Trp. This again suggests that specific steric interactions at Trp183 may be involved.

In the granisetron IC₅₀ plots, 4,7-F₂Trp gives a quite different response than 5,7-F₂Trp. We have suggested the possibility of a special steric effect with 5,7-F₂Trp, but 5,6,7-F₃Trp and 4,5,6,7-F₄Trp both have fluorine atoms at the positions in 5,7-F₂Trp, yet follow the trend indicative of electrostatics as the major determinant to binding. As such, we cannot provide a simple rationalization of the behaviors of the two difluoro-Trp residues. Nevertheless, the consistent linear trend of Trp, F₁Trp, F₂Trp, and F₄Trp
(Figure 2.5) provides compelling evidence for a cation-π interaction to Trp183 for both granisetron and ondansetron.

Both ondansetron and granisetron either increase their potencies or retain their potencies when Trp90 is mutated to F3Trp or F4Trp, respectively. This holds for both 5-HT and mCPBG used as the agonist, which indicates no cation-π interaction at that site. A loss of potency would be expected if a cation-π interaction were present. We noted above that Yan and White concluded that Trp90 is important for binding of ondansetron and granisetron. Based on the observation that granisetron and ondansetron responded differently to a W90F mutation, the authors concluded that the bicyclic amine of granisetron interacts with Trp90. Our results position this moiety in contact with Trp183, and we conclude that the importance of Trp90 is for reasons other than a cation-π interaction.

The present results provide evidence that the cationic centers of ondansetron and granisetron are oriented towards Trp183, and not towards Trp90. Establishing a cation-π interaction with ondansetron and granisetron at Trp183 determines a binding orientation for these antagonists. Docking studies of granisetron performed in other laboratories have generated a series of poses, some of which are consistent with the cation pointed towards Trp183. Our data provide evidence that these poses are the most viable, while those with the cation pointed away from Trp183 are not likely to be relevant.

2.4 SUMMARY

We have identified a cation-π interaction with the antagonists ondansetron and granisetron to Trp183 in the 5-HT3AR. This interaction is consistent with the binding mode of 5-HT, but not mCPBG. The use of agonists with alternate binding modes
validates our data as direct measurements of ondansetron and granisetron. Thus, the common antagonists follow the basic pharmacophore established by 5-HT, and not the structurally dissimilar agonist mCPBG.

2.5 METHODS

Procedures for incorporating unnatural amino acids, expressing receptors in Xenopus oocytes, and characterization by electrophysiology followed established protocols.29

2.5.1 Protein Expression in Xenopus Oocytes. The mouse 5-HT₃A receptor in the pGEMHE vector was linearized with the restriction enzyme SbfI (New England Biolabs). mRNA was prepared by in vitro transcription using the mMessage Machine T7 kit (Ambion). Unnatural mutations were introduced by the standard Stratagene QuickChange protocol using a TAG mutation at W183 and W90. Stage V-VI Xenopus laevis oocytes were injected with mRNA. Each cell was injected with 50 nL containing only mRNA (5 ng) for wild type 5-HT₃AR or a mixture of mRNA (5-32 ng, typically ~12 ng) and tRNA (18-30 ng, typically ~18 ng) for unnatural amino acids. Uncharged full length tRNA was injected as a negative control.

2.5.2 Electrophysiology. Electrophysiological experiments were performed 24-48 hours after injection using the OpusXpress 6000A instrument (Axon Instruments) in two-electrode voltage clamp mode at a holding potential of -60 mV. The running buffer was Ca²⁺-free ND96 solution (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl₂ and 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.5). Serotonin hydrochloride (5-HT) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. 1-(3-Chlorophenyl)biguanide (mCPBG) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Granisetron hydrochloride and ondansetron hydrochloride were purchased from Tocris Bioscience.
For EC\textsubscript{50} determinations, oocytes were superfused with running buffer at 1 mL/min for 30 s before application of 5-HT or mCPBG for 15 s followed by a 116 s wash with the running buffer. Data were sampled at 125 Hz and filtered at 50 Hz. Dose-response data were obtained for ≥ 9 concentrations of 5-HT or mCPBG on ≥ 9 cells. All EC\textsubscript{50} and Hill coefficient values were obtained by fitting dose-response relations to the Hill equation \( (I_{\text{norm}} = 1 / [1 + (\text{EC}_{50}/[\text{agonist}])^n]) \), and are reported as means ± standard error of the fit.

For IC\textsubscript{50} determinations, oocyte response to either 5-HT or mCPBG at 2 x EC\textsubscript{50} for each receptor was measured before application of antagonists by application of the agonist for 15 s, followed by 116 s of wash with the running buffer. Granisetron or ondansetron doses were then pre-applied and the oocyte allowed to incubate for 60 s, followed by application of a mixture of the antagonist dose with 5-HT or mCPBG at 2-fold EC\textsubscript{50}. The oocytes were then washed with the running buffer for 116 s. Every four antagonist doses, the oocytes were washed for 10 min, and oocyte response reconfirmed using either 5-HT or mCPBG at 2-fold EC\textsubscript{50}. Oocytes that did not give consistent responses to 5-HT or mCPBG alone throughout the experiment were discarded. Dose-response data were obtained for ≥ 8 concentrations of granisetron or ondansetron on ≥ 8 cells. All EC\textsubscript{50} and Hill coefficient values were obtained by fitting Dose-response relations to the Hill equation \( (I_{\text{norm}} = 1 / [1 + (\text{EC}_{50}/[\text{antagonist}])^n]) \), and are reported as means ± standard error of the fit.

For Schild analysis, the protocol for EC\textsubscript{50} determinations was repeated with the following changes: during the course of the experiment (after each minimal EC\textsubscript{50} curve was determined) running buffer containing granisetron or ondansetron was used for the
subsequent EC<sub>50</sub> determinations. Agonist applications in the subsequent EC<sub>50</sub> determinations contained the same concentration of antagonist as the running buffer.

2.5.3 Synthesis. 4,7-Difluoroindole (1)– A solution of 3.5 mL (32.3 mmol) of 1,4-difluoro-2-nitrobenzene in 30 mL of dry THF was cooled in an acetone/dry ice bath to -78 °C under argon. A 1 M solution of vinylmagnesium bromide in THF (100 mL, 100 mmol, 3 eq.) was added via cannula over 20 min. The reaction was stirred for 1 h at -78 °C. Reaction quenched by the addition of 20 mL of saturated aq. NH₄Cl. Upon warming to room temperature, 20 mL of water was added, which formed a thick emulsion. The reaction was filtered through a layer of sand and washed copiously with ethyl acetate. The organic layer was separated and dried over Na₂SO₄. The solvent was removed under reduced pressure to yield a brown oil containing multiple compounds. Purification by silica gel chromatography using a gradient of 3% to 10% ethyl acetate in hexanes yielded a slightly volatile amber oil. 871 mg (18%). Silica TLC (4% EtOAc in hexanes) R<sub>f</sub> = 0.26, stains red/pink using p-anisaldehyde. <sup>1</sup>H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 8.47 (br, 1H), 7.18 (t, <i>J</i> = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (ddd, <i>J</i> = 10.3, 8.6, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.71 – 6.60 (m, 2H). <sup>19</sup>F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃): δ -124.1 – -129.8 (m), -139.4 – -142.3 (m). <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃): δ 152.2 (dd, <i>J</i> = 239, 2.4 Hz), 145.8 (dd, <i>J</i> = 238.6, 3.0 Hz), 126.0 (dd, <i>J</i> = 15.9, 11.5 Hz), 124.7, 119.9 (dd, <i>J</i> = 24.9, 5.7 Hz), 106.4 (dd, <i>J</i> = 18.9, 8.2 Hz), 103.9 (dd, <i>J</i> = 18.9 8.2 Hz), 99.8. HRMS EI(+) m/z for C₈H₅NF₂ found 153.0395, calculated 153.0390 (M++)

Ethyl 3-(4,7-difluoro-1H-indol-3-yl)-2-(hydroxyimino)propanoate (2)– A solution of 424 mg (2.8 mmol, 2 eq.) of 4,7-difluoroindole in 10 mL CH₂Cl₂ was added to 290 mg (1.4 mmol, 1 eq.) of ethyl 3-bromo-2-(hydroxyimino)propanoate and 205 mg (1.9 mmol,
1.4 eq.) of Na$_2$CO$_3$. The mixture was stirred overnight under argon at room temperature. The reaction was diluted with 50 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and 50 mL of ethyl acetate, and washed with 50 mL of water, then 50 mL of brine. The organic phase was separated and dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$. Purification was performed by silica chromatography, gradient of 25% to 40% EtOAc in hexanes, to yield a white solid. 195 mg (50%). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CD$_3$CN): $\delta$ 9.93 (s, 1H), 9.64 (br, 1H), 7.01 (m, 1H), 6.81 (ddd, $J = 10.5, 8.5, 3.5$ Hz, 1H), 6.67 (ddd, $J = 10.7, 8.5, 3.2$ Hz, 1H), 4.21 (q, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 2H), 4.12 (d, $J = 1.1$ Hz, 2H), 1.23 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H). $^{19}$F NMR (282 MHz, CD$_3$CN): $\delta$ -130.99 – -131.20 (m), -141.02 – -141.19 (m). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 164.80, 153.77 (dd, $J = 240.4, 2.3$ Hz), 152.58, 146.84 (dd, $J = 238.1, 2.9$ Hz), 127.18 (dd, $J = 16.4, 12.1$ Hz), 125.12, 119.55 (dd, $J = 22.1, 5.7$ Hz), 110.05 (dd, $J = 3.7, 1.6$ Hz), 106.94 (dd, $J = 19.7, 9.1$ Hz), 104.42 (dd, $J = 22.9, 7.5$ Hz), 62.28, 21.96 (d, $J = 3.1$ Hz), 14.33. HRMS FAB(+) $m/z$ for C$_{13}$H$_{13}$O$_3$N$_2$F$_2$ found 283.0888, calculated 283.0894 (M+H).

N-(2-nitroveratryloxycarbonyl)-4,7-difluorotryptophan cyanomethyl ester (3)– In a beaker 1.5 g of 8-20 mesh aluminum was stirred under 15 mL of 2 M NaOH for 5 min. After decanting, the aluminum was rinsed with water and 15 mL of a 2% HgCl$_2$ solution was added and stirred slowly. The solution was decanted after formation of the Hg-Al (~10 minutes) and added to 195 mg (0.69 mmol) of 2 in 20 mL of 9:1 dioxane:water. The reaction was stirred slowly at room temperature overnight (~24 h). The reaction was filtered through fluted paper, then applied to a silica plug, eluting with EtOAc followed by 4% MeOH in EtOAc. After concentration under reduced pressure, the resulting oil was used directly. The oil was dissolved in 20 mL of 1:1 THF:water and 151 mg of Na$_2$CO$_3$ (1.42 mmol), and 248 mg of 4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzyl chloroformate (0.9
mmol) was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 3 h, followed by dilution with 20 mL CH₂Cl₂ and 20 mL 1 N HCl. The organic phase was separated, washed with brine, and dried over Na₂SO₄. Initial purification by silica chromatography 20% to 40% EtOAc in hexanes did not separate the product from nitroveratryl side products. This mixture was dissolved in 3 mL dioxane and 3 mL 2N NaOH and stirred for 15 min. The reaction was quenched with 6 mL 1 N HCl and diluted with 20 mL EtOAc. The organic phase was separated, and the aqueous phase washed with 20 mL CH₂Cl₂. The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄, concentrated under reduced pressure, and filtered through a silica plug, eluting with EtOAc followed by 0.5 % acetic acid in EtOAc. This residue (~35 mg, 0.07 mmol) was dissolved in 1 mL DMSO, and added to a reaction flask containing 0.5 mL of chloroacetonitrile (7.9 mmol) and 0.5 mL of triethylamine (3.6 mmol). The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 5 h. The reaction was poured onto a dry column of silica and eluted with EtOAc to recover 23 mg of a yellow solid (6%, 4 steps). ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 11.71 (s, 1H), 8.21 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 2.0 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (s, 1H), 6.92 – 6.80 (m, 1H), 6.74 – 6.62 (m, 1H), 5.39 – 5.21 (m, 2H), 4.99 (s, 2H), 4.48 – 4.35 (m, 1H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.83 (s, 3H), 3.32 – 2.99 (m, 2H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ -130.18 (dd, J = 22.4, 9.9 Hz), -138.53 (ddd, J = 22.6, 10.6, 3.1 Hz). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d₆): δ 171.56, 156.03, 153.83, 152.62 (d, J = 239.6 Hz), 148.15, 146.10 (dd, J = 238.5, 2.2 Hz), 139.55, 128.09, 126.70 (dd, J = 16.1, 12.2 Hz), 126.36, 118.82 – 118.30 (m), 116.08, 110.61, 109.18, 108.59, 106.23 (dd, J = 18.8, 8.7 Hz), 103.90 – 103.23 (m), 63.15, 56.55, 55.30, 49.97, 27.84. HRMS FAB(+) m/z for C₂₃H₂₀O₈N₄F₂ found 518.1271, calculated 518.1249 (M⁺).
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Chapter 3

Continuing Studies of 5-HT$_3$A

3.1 ABSTRACT

The serotonin type 3 receptor (5-HT$_3$R) is a ligand-gated ion channel that mediates fast synaptic transmission in the central and peripheral nervous systems. The 5-HT$_3$R is a therapeutic target, and the clinically available drugs ondansetron and granisetron inhibit receptor activity. Their inhibitory action is through competitive binding to the native ligand binding site, and Chapter 2 established a binding orientation. In this chapter, mouse 5-HT$_3$A receptors are heterologously expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes using unnatural amino acid mutagenesis to probe for other interactions in the ligand binding pocket. No substantive interactions for inhibition were found for granisetron and ondansetron. During the course of these studies, an interesting interaction between the partial agonist *meta*-chlorophenylbiguanide and 5-HT$_3$A was discovered.
3.2 INTRODUCTION

The work in Chapter 2 established a cation-π interaction for the antiemetic drugs granisetron and ondansetron (Figure 3.1) with tryptophan 183 (W183, TrpB) of the serotonin type 3A (5-HT3A) receptor. Although this work established a binding orientation of granisetron and ondansetron, it only established one direct interaction to the 5-HT3AR. The soluble acetylcholine binding protein (AChBP) found in the snail cholinergic synapse is homologous to the extracellular ligand-binding domain of 5-HT3A.\(^1\) A high resolution x-ray structure of AChBP from *Aplysia californica* in complex with the ligand tropisetron (Figure 3.2) shows a cation-π interaction at both TrpB and Tyrosine C2 (TyrC2, Y234 in 5-HT3A).\(^2\) A more recent structure of AChBP with granisetron also shows a cation-π with TrpB and TyrC2.\(^3\) With the binding orientation of ondansetron and granisetron established, we sought to determine additional interaction(s) to 5-HT3A, including a possible cation-π interaction at TyrC2.

\[\text{Figure 3.1. Chemical structures of drugs used in this study. (a) 5-HT3AR antagonists. (b) 5-HT3AR agonists.}\]

In probing a cation-π interaction at a tyrosine residue, one must consider that direct fluorination results in decreasing \(pK_a\) of the side chain hydroxyl group; the \(pK_a\) of tyrosine is 10.0 while the \(pK_a\) of tetrafluorotyrosine is 5.3.\(^4\) While it is possible for receptor function to be unaffected by this shift in \(pK_a\) (e.g. Nowak *et al.* \(^5\)), determining
functional changes resulting from a cation-π interaction versus hydroxyl ionization is not straightforward. To circumvent this complication, one strategy is to mutate the tyrosine to a phenylalanine, which is then successively fluorinated. Although this strategy simplifies cation-π analysis, there may be functional consequences resulting from removal of a hydrogen bond acceptor/donor.

**Figure 3.2.** Crystal structure of tropisetron binding to *Aplysia californica* AChBP (PDB 2WNC). Detail of tropisetron cation-π interactions with TyrC2 and TrpB (Y234 and W183 in 5-HT₃A numbering) and TrpB backbone carbonyl shown.

In 5-HT₃A, TyrC2 has been previously studied with the agonist serotonin (5-HT, Figure 3.1).⁶ The concentration of agonist required to achieve 50% of the maximum signal (EC₅₀) could not be measured for the full complement of fluorinated phenylalanine mutations; only the EC₅₀ 4-F-Phe could be determined. Measurement of a possible
cation-π for ondansetron and granisetron with only two data points (Phe and 4-FPhe) would not be very compelling, and so a twofold strategy was employed. First, the partial agonist meta-chlorophenylbiguanide (mCPBG, Figure 3.1) has been shown to make different interactions with 5-HT\textsubscript{3}A than 5-HT\textsuperscript{7}, and so EC\textsubscript{50} measurements of fluorinated phenylalanines using mCPBG were attempted. Second, other mutations beyond fluorinated phenylalanines were made to probe for a cation-π interaction (Figure 3.3). These efforts resulted in interesting insights into the binding of mCPBG directly, which were explored independently from antagonist binding.

![Chemical structures](image)

**Figure 3.3.** Chemical structures of the natural and unnatural amino acids used in this study.

In addition to the putative cation-π interaction at TyrC2, both 5-HT\textsubscript{3}A antagonist-containing AChBP crystal structures show a hydrogen bond between the ligand and the backbone carbonyl of TrpB.\textsuperscript{2,3} The functional importance of this interaction was also examined. The strategy employed for this measurement was conversion of the backbone amide to a backbone ester. This amide to ester mutation was made by nonsense suppression of the N+1 residue using an α-hydroxy acid in place of an α-amino acid.\textsuperscript{8}
As in Chapter 2, the functional data collected for the antagonists is the concentration required to inhibit 50% of the signal (IC$_{50}$) generated from application of 2-fold the EC$_{50}$ of an agonist. When possible, an IC$_{50}$ is separately measured for a particular mutant receptor using 5-HT and mCPBG to control for possible effects of the agonist behavior.$^9$

### 3.3 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

#### 3.3.1 Interactions of Ondansetron and Granisetron with 5-HT$_3$A.

At TyrC2, mutation to 3,5-F$_2$Phe and 3,4,5-F$_3$Phe results in no detectable signal from 5-HT as the agonist. Fortunately, fluorine is not the only electron-withdrawing group at our disposal. Installation of a cyano group onto a benzene ring reduces the calculated cation-π binding ability of the ring to a gas phase sodium ion (Na$^+$) to 15.7 kcal/mol from 27.1 kcal/mol, which is less deactivating towards binding than F$_3$-benzene (12.4 kcal/mol), but more deactivating than F$_2$-benzene (16.8 kcal/mol).$^{10}$ A cyano group, however, is larger than a fluorine, so steric effects may be observed in a receptor experiment. The sterically similar bromo group, which is less deactivating (21.6 kcal/mol) than cyano, can be used to test for a possible steric perturbation.

When 5-HT is the agonist, the IC$_{50}$ for granisetron at TyrC2 with 4-BrPhe is essentially wild type, with only a 1.4-fold loss of inhibition (Table 3.1). For ondansetron, the IC$_{50}$ for 4-BrPhe is also wild type In order to take into account possible steric effects, 4-BrPhe rather than the wild type receptor is used as the reference point when examining the change in cation-π binding ability. Mutation of TyrC2 to 4-CN-Phe slightly reduces the inhibition of granisetron and ondansetron by 2.2-fold and 1.8-fold, respectively, from 4-BrPhe (Table 3.2). From these data alone, we would not consider electrostatics at TyrC2 to play a significant role in the function of granisetron and ondansetron. For
example, acetylcholine makes a cation-π interaction with TrpB (W149) of the muscle nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR), and the loss of function in going from 5-BrTrp to 5-CNTrp is 57-fold.\textsuperscript{11}

**Table 3.1.** $IC_{50}$ data for wild type and mutant 5-HT\(_3\)AR for granisetron and ondansetron in response to 5-HT or mCPBG.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antagonist</th>
<th>Agonist</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>(N^a)</th>
<th>(IC_{50}) (nM)(^b)</th>
<th>Fold Shift(^c)</th>
<th>Hill(^d)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>Granisetron</strong></td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>0.78 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.40 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-BrPhe</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.4</td>
<td>-1.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-CNPh</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>-1.5 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L184 Lah</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>2.52 ± 0.08</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>-2.0 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>27</td>
<td>1.51 ± 0.07</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.49 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-BrPhe</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1 / 6.3</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-CNPh</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>-1.40 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Ondansetron</strong></td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.56 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-BrPhe</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.06</td>
<td>1.0</td>
<td>-1.18 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-CNPh</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>-1.47 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>L184 Lah</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>3.8 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>-1.8 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>0.91 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.47 ± 0.08</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-BrPhe</td>
<td>12</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1 / 4.1</td>
<td>-1.1 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-CNPh</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.08</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>-1.30 ± 0.06</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Number of oocytes averaged in IC\(_{50}\) determination. \(^b\)The effective concentration for half-maximal receptor inhibition. \(^c\)IC\(_{50}\)(mutant)/IC\(_{50}\)(wild type). \(^d\)The Hill coefficient, \(n_H\), as determined from fitting the Hill equation.

The inhibition of granisetron and ondansetron at TyrC2 was then examined using mCPBG as the agonist (Table 3.1). Both antagonists were better at inhibiting the 4-BrPhe mutant receptor than the wild type receptor by 6.3-fold for granisetron and 4.1-fold for ondansetron. Converting 4-BrPhe into 4-CNPh reduced the ability of granisetron and ondansetron to inhibit the receptor by 11-fold and 8.1-fold, respectively (Table 3.2). Considering these data independently from the IC\(_{50}\) data using 5-HT, there is a slight electrostatic dependence of the inhibitory ability of the antagonists to TyrC2. This electrostatic dependence is not as strong as one would expect if TyrC2 were the primary determinant of binding, and can be contrasted to the strong electrostatic dependence of inhibition measured for TrpB in Chapter 2.
Examine the TyrC2 results of granisetron and ondansetron when using the agonists 5-HT and mCPBG independently, one might come to different conclusions—namely, a lack of electrostatic dependence versus a weak electrostatic dependence. This reiterates the discussion in Chapter 2 regarding the importance of having two distinct agonists to evaluate an antagonist. In examining the electrostatic dependence of inhibition at TrpB, the analysis benefited from the trend of many mutations. In the case of TyrC2, we are limited to comparing a single mutation pair. To better understand the discrepancy of the 5-HT and mCPBG results, it is worth discussing the magnitude of the discrepancy. The fold-shift discrepancy (FSD) will be defined as the ratio of the mutation pair fold-shift for one agonist to the mutation pair fold-shift to the second agonist, e.g.

\[
FSD = \frac{IC_{50} \text{(mutant, agonist 1)}}{IC_{50} \text{(reference, agonist 1)}} / \frac{IC_{50} \text{(mutant, agonist 2)}}{IC_{50} \text{(reference, agonist 2)}}
\]

Starting with granisetron, the TyrC2 IC_{50} fold shift of 4-CNPhe/4-BrPhe goes from 2.2-fold using 5-HT to 11-fold using mCPBG, resulting in a FSD of 5-fold comparing mCPBG over 5-HT. For ondansetron, the IC_{50} for 4-CNPhe/4-BrPhe is 1.8-fold using 5-HT to 8.1-fold using mCPBG, giving a FSD of 4.5-fold. These FSD values are close to

---

**Table 3.2.** Fold shift of antagonist IC_{50} referenced to 4-BrPhe.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antagonist</th>
<th>Agonist</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>IC_{50} (nM)</th>
<th>Fold Shift</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Grainsetron</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>Y234 4-BrPhe</td>
<td>1.06 ± 0.06</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>Y234 4-BrPhe</td>
<td>0.24 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondansetron</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>Y234 4-BrPhe</td>
<td>0.90 ± 0.06</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>Y234 4-BrPhe</td>
<td>0.22 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-CNPhe</td>
<td>2.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>2.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-CNPhe</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-CNPhe</td>
<td>1.58 ± 0.08</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-CNPhe</td>
<td>1.78 ± 0.08</td>
<td>8.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\) IC_{50} data from Table 3.1. \(^b\) IC_{50}(mutant)/IC_{50}(reference). \(^c\) Reference IC_{50} value used in place of wild type.
the FSD values measured in Chapter 2. For example, for granisetron at TrpD (W90), the FSD for 4,5,6,7-F₄Trp/Trp is 3.3 comparing mCPBG over 5-HT. For the IC₅₀ values measured thus far, this variability in the data is common. These data indicate that interpretations of small shifts in IC₅₀ should be made with caution; even an 11-fold 4-CNPhe/4-BrPhe ratio for TyrC2 may be insufficient to claim an electrostatic effect.

The backbone carbonyl of TrpB (W183) was then examined by mutation of L184 to the corresponding α-hydroxy acid of leucine (Lah, Table 3.1). The mutation L184Lah is known to completely abolish agonist activity for mCPBG, so the IC₅₀ values for granisetron and ondansetron could only be made using 5-HT as the agonist. The L184Lah fold-shifts for granisetron and ondansetron are 3.2-fold and 4.4-fold, respectively. These shifts are fairly small compared to previous measurements, e.g. in the nAChR subtype (α4)₃(β2)₂ the fold-shift for the drug cytisine is 27-fold. This relatively small fold-shift shows a hydrogen bond to the backbone carbonyl of TrpB is not a strong functional determinant for inhibition of 5-HT₃A.

3.3.2 Examination of agonists 5-HT and mCPBG at TyrC2. Considering the results of our studies of granisetron and ondansetron with TyrC2, fluorinated phenylalanine mutations were reexamined using 5-HT. As shown in Table 3.3, removal of the 4-hydroxy substituent by mutation of Tyr to Phe resulted in a 7-fold loss of function. The loss of function in replacement of the 4-hydroxy with a 4-methyl was too small to be considered significant, indicating that the hydrogen-bonding features of an alcohol are not important for channel function with 5-HT. This point is recapitulated by the wild type behavior of 4-BrPhe. As seen in previous studies, mutation to 4-FPhe gave a measureable EC₅₀, but 3,5-F₂Phe did not respond to 5-HT. It was reasoned that the lack
of a 4-position substituent, along with the change in ring electronic structure, was the cause of non-responsive receptors.  

**Table 3.3.** EC\textsubscript{50} data for wild type and mutant 5-HT\textsubscript{3}AR in response to 5-HT or mCPBG.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agonist</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>N\textsuperscript{a}</th>
<th>EC\textsubscript{50} (µM)\textsuperscript{b}</th>
<th>Fold Shift\textsuperscript{c}</th>
<th>Hill\textsuperscript{d}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>1.57 ± 0.05</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 Phe</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>11</td>
<td>10.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.9</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 4-MePhe</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>2.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 4-F1Phe</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>6.3 ± 0.2</td>
<td>4.0</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 3,5-F2Phe</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>NR\textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 3,4,5-F3Phe</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NR\textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 4-BrPhe</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>1.48 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1 / 1.1</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 4-CNPhe</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>20.8 ± 0.8</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>2.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 OMeTyr</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>2.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.8 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 3-F-OMeTyr</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>10.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>6.8</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 3,5-F2-OMeTyr</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>NR\textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 2,3,5,6-F4-OMeTyr</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NR\textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>L184 Lah</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>14.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>9.4</td>
<td>2.11 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Wild Type</td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.50 ± 0.02</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>2.2 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 Phe</td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>8.8 ± 0.4</td>
<td>18</td>
<td>1.62 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 4-MePhe</td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>13</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.04</td>
<td>1.7</td>
<td>1.40 ± 0.07</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 4-F1Phe</td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>3.2</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 3,5-F2Phe</td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>NR\textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 3,4,5-F3Phe</td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>2.0 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 4-BrPhe</td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>9</td>
<td>0.049 ± 0.002</td>
<td>1 / 10</td>
<td>3.5 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 4-CNPhe</td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>14</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.02</td>
<td>1.3</td>
<td>1.9 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 OMeTyr</td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.002</td>
<td>1 / 8.3</td>
<td>4.1 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 3-F-OMeTyr</td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1 / 5</td>
<td>3.0 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 3,5-F2-OMeTyr</td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>9.6</td>
<td>2.1 ± 0.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Y234 2,3,5,6-F4-OMeTyr</td>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>NR\textsuperscript{e}</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}Number of oocytes averaged in EC\textsubscript{50} determination. \textsuperscript{b}The effective concentration for half-maximal receptor activation. \textsuperscript{c}EC\textsubscript{50}(mutant)/EC\textsubscript{50}(wild type). \textsuperscript{d}The Hill coefficient, n\textsubscript{H}, as determined from fitting the Hill equation. \textsuperscript{e}No measurable response

TyrC2 was then examined using mCPBG as the agonist (Table 3.3). Like 5-HT, removal of the 4-hydroxy by mutation to Phe resulted in a loss in channel function, although to a larger extent (18-fold). Replacement of the 4-hydroxy substituent with a 4-methyl gives nearly wild type behavior for mCPBG, which indicates the need of a 4-position substituent. As with 5-HT, mutation to 3,5-F2Phe (which lacks a 4-position substituent) gave no response to mCPBG. Interestingly, 3,4,5-F3Phe gave a measurable
EC$_{50}$ of 16 µM, while remaining unresponsive to 5-HT. It has been previously shown that mCPBG does not make a cation-π interaction at TrpB.$^7$ Such an interaction may exist at TyrC2, but determination with competing steric effects adds an additional challenge.

Mutation of TyrC2 to 4-BrPhe results in a 10-fold gain of function for mCPBG. Wild type function is measured for 4-CNPhe, but when referenced to 4-BrPhe the 4-CN substituent causes a 13-fold increase in EC$_{50}$. In addition to the importance of sterics of the 4-position, the polarity of the substituent also plays a role. These data provided the motivation to attempt a series of unnatural amino acids that were previously untested in the Dougherty group—namely successive fluorination of tyrosine residues in which the 4-hydroxyl has been methylated.

The amino acids 3-F-OMeTyr and 3,5-F$_2$-OMeTyr were purchased and used without purification. The amino group was protected by 6-nitroveratryl chloroformate, followed by formation of the cyanomethyl ester (Scheme 3.1). Coupling to deoxycytidine-adenosine (dCA) and then ligation by enzymatic T4 ligase as previously described$^{13}$ gave suitable tRNA for unnatural amino acid mutagenesis. The amino acid 2,3,5,6-F$_4$-OMeTyr was kindly provided by Matthew Rienzo.
Evidence for a cation-π interaction in a receptor is often obtained by a ‘fluorination plot’ in which the \textit{ab initio} quantum mechanical binding energy of Na\(^{+}\) to the π face of the ring system is plotted against the log of the functional change in EC\(_{50}\).\(^{11}\) In preparation for construction of such a plot, the Na\(^{+}\) binding energies of the fluorinated tyrosines were calculated at the 6-311G** level using Spartan 08.\(^{14}\) Electrostatic potential surfaces were calculated and contoured to -25 to +25 kcal/mol (Figure 3.4).\(^{15}\) The cation-π binding energies of the O-methylated Tyr amino acids start at 27.3 kcal/mol for OMeTyr, and remain weakly attractive to Na\(^{+}\) in the fully fluorinated 2,3,5,6-F\(_4\)-OMeTyr at 12.6 kcal/mol (similar to 3,4,5-F\(_3\)Phe at 12.4 kcal/mol).
Mutation of TyrC2 to OMeTyr results in a 8.3-fold gain of function for mCPBG, which reinforces the notion that both polarity and size is important at the 4-position. Using OMeTyr as the reference point, a single fluorine (3-F-OMeTyr) results in a small 1.7-fold loss of function, and two fluorines (3,5-F₂-OMeTyr) results in a large 63-fold loss of function.
loss of function. In addition to the loss of function (EC\textsubscript{50}), the expression levels of 3,5-F\textsubscript{2}-OMeTyr at TyrC2 are very low; extended oocyte incubation times (48 hours vs. 24 hours) and doubling mRNA/tRNA injections gives receptors that average \(~\text{100 nA}\) of response (vs. multiple \(\mu\text{A}\) for other unnatural amino acid mutations). This low level of measureable signal precludes the use of 3,5-F\textsubscript{2}-OMeTyr for determination of antagonist binding. No signal was detected for mCPBG or 5-HT when 2,3,5,6-F\textsubscript{4}-OMeTyr was installed at TyrC2.

The steric and polar requirements for substituents at the 4-position of TyrC2 complicate the analysis of a possible cation-\(\pi\) interaction. Two fluorination plots were constructed using different reference amino acids, but each mutation contains a substituent in the 4-position. The first plot (Figure 3.5a) was constructed referencing 4-MePhe, and contains the hydrophobic residues 4-FPhe and 3,4,5-F\textsubscript{3}Phe (Table 3.4). The second plot (Figure 3.5b) was constructed using OMeTyr as the reference, and contains the mutations with polar groups in the 4-position (3-F-OMeTyr; 3,5,-F\textsubscript{2}-OMeTyr; 4-CNPhe; 4-BrPhe; Table 3.4).

Table 3.4. Fold Shift of EC\textsubscript{50} data referenced to 4-MePhe or OMeTyr.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Agonist</th>
<th>Mutation</th>
<th>EC\textsubscript{50} ((\mu\text{M}))</th>
<th>Fold Shift\textsuperscript{b}</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>Y234 4-Me-Phe\textsuperscript{c}</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-F1-Phe</td>
<td>1.6 ± 0.1</td>
<td>1.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 3,4,5-F\textsubscript{3}-Phe</td>
<td>16 ± 1</td>
<td>18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>mCPBG</td>
<td>Y234 OMeTyr\textsuperscript{d}</td>
<td>0.06 ± 0.002</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 3-F-OMeTyr</td>
<td>0.10 ± 0.01</td>
<td>1.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 3,5-F\textsubscript{2}-OMeTyr</td>
<td>4.8 ± 0.3</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-Br-Phe</td>
<td>0.049 ± 0.002</td>
<td>0.82</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Y234 4-CN-Phe</td>
<td>0.63 ± 0.02</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\textsuperscript{a}EC\textsubscript{50} data from Table 3.3. \textsuperscript{b}EC\textsubscript{50(mutant)}/EC\textsubscript{50(reference)}. \textsuperscript{c}Reference EC\textsubscript{50} value used in place of wild type for hydrophobic substituents in the 4-position. \textsuperscript{d}Reference EC\textsubscript{50} value used in place of wild type for polar substituents in the 4-position.
The “hydrophobic” fluorination plot in Figure 3.5a is indicative of a cation-π interaction for mCPBG at TyrC2, albeit with a small number of measurements. The “polar” fluorination plot in Figure 3.5b follows a similar trend with 3-F-OMeTyr and 4-CNPhe, but both 4-BrPhe and 3,5-F₂-OMeTyr are considerably outside the trend. The slope of the fit for a fluorination plot has been interpreted to correlate with the strength of a cation-π interaction.¹⁶ The equivalent slopes of both fluorination plots suggests the measurement of the same interaction: the biguanide cation of mCPBG with TyrC2. The same slope in both fluorination plots also justifies the construction of the third fluorination plot in Figure 3.5c. In this plot, the same reference amino acids for polar and hydrophobic groups in the 4-position are used; however, the fit includes all points from both fluorination plots in Figure 3.5a and Figure 3.5b. This combined fluorination plot gives evidence that a cation-π interaction plays a functional role for mCPBG with TyrC2, and the scatter in measurements are from imperfect control of substituent effects in the 4-position.

The cation-π interaction for mCPBG at TyrC2 is atypical; for cation selective Cys-loop channels agonists tend to make a cation-π with a Trp rather than a Tyr.¹⁷ The native agonist 5-HT follows this trend by making a cation-π with TrpB. This again shows that mCPBG and 5-HT uniquely respond to structural changes within the 5-HT₃A binding site.
Figure 3.5. “Fluorination plots” of 5-HT₃AR mutagenesis. The horizontal axis is the calculated cation-π binding energy (kcal/mol) values from Figure 3.4. The vertical axis is the log of fold shift values from Table 3.4. Linear fits of the data shown; inset is the fit parameters. (a) Fit of hydrophobic 4-position residues referenced to 4-MePhe. (b) Fit of polar 4-position residues referenced to OMeTyr. (c) Fit containing all points from (a) and (b) while maintaining respective reference residues.

3.4 SUMMARY

Slight changes in inhibition were found when probing TyrC2 and the backbone carbonyl of TrpB for the antagonists granisetron and ondansetron. These small changes
in IC$_{50}$ indicate these sites are not primary determinants of inhibition for 5-HT$_3$A. In probing for antagonist interactions, an interesting binding mode for the partial agonist mCPBG was discovered: a cation-π interaction between mCPBG and TyrC2, which is distinct from the native agonist 5-HT. This interaction illustrates the differing requirements of 5-HT and mCPBG for activation of 5-HT$_3$A.

3.5 METHODS

Procedures for incorporating unnatural amino acids, expressing receptors in *Xenopus* oocytes, and characterization by electrophysiology followed established protocols.$^{13}$

**3.5.1 Protein Expression in Xenopus Oocytes.** The mouse 5-HT$_3$A receptor in the pGEMHE vector was linearized with the restriction enzyme *Sbf* I (New England Biolabs). mRNA was prepared by *in vitro* transcription using the mMessage Machine T7 kit (Ambion). Unnatural mutations were introduced by the standard Stratagene QuickChange protocol using a TAG mutation at W183 and W90. Stage V-VI *Xenopus laevis* oocytes were injected with mRNA. Each cell was injected with 50 nL containing only mRNA (5 ng) for wild type 5-HT$_3$AR or a mixture of mRNA (5-32 ng, typically ~12 ng) and tRNA (18-30 ng, typically ~18 ng) for unnatural amino acids. Uncharged full length tRNA was injected as a negative control.

**3.5.2 Electrophysiology.** Electrophysiological experiments were performed 24-48 hours after injection using the OpusXpress 6000A instrument (Axon Instruments) in two-electrode voltage clamp mode at a holding potential of -60 mV. The running buffer was Ca$^{2+}$-free ND96 solution (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl$_2$ and 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.5). Serotonin hydrochloride (5-HT) was purchased from Alfa Aesar. 1-(3-
Chlorophenyl)biguanide (mCPBG) was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich. Granisetron hydrochloride and ondansetron hydrochloride were purchased from Tocris Bioscience.

For EC\textsubscript{50} determinations, oocytes were superfused with running buffer at 1 mL/min for 30 s before application of 5-HT or mCPBG for 15 s followed by a 116 s wash with the running buffer. Data were sampled at 125 Hz and filtered at 50 Hz. Dose-response data were obtained for \( \geq 9 \) concentrations of 5-HT or mCPBG on \( \geq 6 \) cells. All EC\textsubscript{50} and Hill coefficient values were obtained by fitting dose-response relations to the Hill equation

\[ I_{\text{norm}} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{\text{EC}_{50}}{[\text{agonist}]}\right)^n} \]

and are reported as means \pm standard error of the fit.

For IC\textsubscript{50} determinations, oocyte response to either 5-HT or mCPBG at 2 x EC\textsubscript{50} for each receptor was measured before application of antagonists by application of the agonist for 15 s, followed by 116 s of wash with the running buffer. Granisetron or ondansetron doses were then pre-applied and the oocyte allowed to incubate for 60 s, followed by application of a mixture of the antagonist dose with 5-HT or mCPBG at 2-fold EC\textsubscript{50}. The oocytes were then washed with the running buffer for 116 s. Every 4 antagonist doses, the oocytes were washed for 10 min, and oocyte response reconfirmed using either 5-HT or mCPBG at 2-fold EC\textsubscript{50}. Oocytes that did not give consistent responses to 5-HT or mCPBG alone throughout the experiment were discarded. Dose-response data were obtained for \( \geq 8 \) concentrations of granisetron or ondansetron on \( \geq 5 \) cells. All EC\textsubscript{50} and Hill coefficient values were obtained by fitting Dose-response relations to the Hill equation

\[ I_{\text{norm}} = \frac{1}{1 + \left(\frac{\text{EC}_{50}}{[\text{antagonist}]}\right)^n} \]

and are reported as means \pm standard error of the fit.

3.5.3 Synthesis. NVOC-3-F-4-OMe-DL-phenylalanine (2a). In a round bottom flask, 3-F-4-OMe-DL-phenylalanine (100.7 mg, 0.472 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mL of 1:1
THF:water, and 101.8 mg of Na$_2$CO$_3$ (0.960 mmol), and 171.3 mg of 4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzyl chloroformate (0.621 mmol) were added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 4.5 h. The reaction was concentrated to ~10 mL under reduced pressure, followed by dilution with 10 mL H$_2$O. The reaction was extracted 2 x 10 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and the organic phases discarded. The aqueous phase was acidified to ~pH 2 with 1 N HCl and extracted 2 x 10 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The organic phase was separated, washed with brine, and dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$. During slow concentration under reduced pressure, crystallization was induced to recover 66 mg (31%) of the desired product. Additional material present in mother liquor could be recovered if desired. $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.00 – 6.81 (m, 5H), 5.49 (s, 2H), 4.64 (dt, $J = 8.3, 5.9$ Hz, 1H), 3.92 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.15 (dd, $J = 14.3, 5.4$ Hz, 1H), 3.02 (dd, $J = 14.3, 6.6$ Hz, 1H). $^{19}$F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ -134.72 (m). ESI-MS(+) m/z for C$_{20}$H$_{21}$F$_2$N$_2$O$_9$Na found 471.1, calculated 475.1 (M+Na$^+$).

**NVOC-3,5-F$_2$-4-OMe-DL-phenylalanine (2b).** In a round bottom flask, 3,5-F$_2$-4-OMe-DL-phenylalanine (102.7 mg, 0.444 mmol) was dissolved in 20 mL of 1:1 THF:water, and 94.5 mg of Na$_2$CO$_3$ (0.892 mmol), and 159.7 mg of 4,5-dimethoxy-2-nitrobenzyl chloroformate (0.579 mmol) were added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 15.5 h. The reaction was concentrated under reduced pressure, followed by dilution with 15 mL H$_2$O. The reaction was extracted 3 x 10 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and the organic phases discarded. The aqueous phase was acidified to ~pH 2 with 1 N HCl and extracted 1 x 10 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The emulsion was broken by addition of EtOAc. The organic phase was separated, washed with brine, and dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$. The solution was concentrated under reduced pressure, and the residue recrystallized from CH$_2$Cl$_2$ to give
the desired product (113 mg, 54%). Additional material present in mother liquor could be recovered if desired. Material carried on to the next step without characterization.

**NVOC-3-F-4-OMe-DL-phenylalanine cyanomethyl ester (3a).** Compound 2a (66mg, 0.146 mmol) was dissolved in 1 mL (15.8 mmol) of chloroacetonitrile and 100 µL (0.721 mmol) of triethylamine was added. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 28 h. After evaporating to dryness under high vacuum, the crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with 1:1 EtOAc/hexanes to yield 71.8 mg (100%) of the desired product. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.71 (s, 1H), 6.94 (s, 1H), 6.93 – 6.83 (m, 3H), 5.57 (d, J = 15.0 Hz, 1H), 5.48 (d, J = 14.7 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 4.84 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 4.70 (d, J = 15.7 Hz, 1H), 4.72 – 4.65 (m, 1H), 3.97 (s, 3H), 3.95 (s, 3H), 3.87 (s, 3H), 3.18 – 3.01 (m, 2H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -134.19 (m).

**NVOC-3,5-F₂-4-OMe-DL-phenylalanine cyanomethyl ester (3b).** Compound 2b (113 mg, 0.240 mmol) was dissolved in 1 mL (15.8 mmol) of chloroacetonitrile and 150 µL (1.08 mmol) of triethylamine was added. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 16.5 h. After evaporating to dryness under high vacuum, the crude material was purified by silica gel chromatography eluting with 1:1 EtOAc/hexanes to yield 115 mg (94%) of the desired product. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ 8.16 (d, J = 8.1 Hz, 1H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.27 – 6.88 (m, 3H), 5.32 (s, 2H), 5.02 (s, 2H), 4.71 – 4.30 (m, 1H), 3.86 (br, 6H), 3.33 (s, 3H), 3.05 (dd, J = 13.8, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.98 – 2.78 (m, 1H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, DMSO-d₆) δ -129.32 , -129.34. ESI-MS(+) m/z for C₂₂H₂₁F₂N₃NaO₉ found 532.2, calculated 532.1 (M+Na⁺).
dCA- NVOC-3-F-4-OMe-DL-phenylalanine (4a). Compound 3a (9.3 mg, 0.019 mmol) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of dry DMF and added to premixed dCA (5.9 mg, 0.009 mmol) and tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (4.2 mg, 0.021 mmol). The reaction was stirred under argon at room temperature for 18 h. It was diluted with 1:1 MeCN:water and purified by reverse phase HPLC running a gradient of 95% to 0% aq. NH₄OAc pH 4.5 in MeCN to obtain 2.4 mg (24%) of the desired product. HRMS ES(+) m/z for C₃₉H₄₅FN₁₀O₂₁P₂ found 1071.2289, calculated 1071.2293 (M+H).

dCA- NVOC-3,5-F₂-4-OMe-DL-phenylalanine (4b). Compound 3b (10 mg, 0.019 mmol) was dissolved in 0.5 mL of dry DMF and added to premixed dCA (5.9 mg, 0.009 mmol) and tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (4.2 mg, 0.021 mmol). The reaction was stirred under argon at room temperature for 18 h. It was diluted with 1:1 MeCN:water and purified by reverse phase HPLC running a gradient of 95% to 0% aq. NH₄OAc pH 4.5 in MeCN to obtain 2.2 mg (22%) of the desired product. HRMS ES(+) m/z for C₃₉H₄₄F₂N₁₀O₂₁P₂ found 1089.2201, calculated 1089.2199 (M+H).
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4.1 ABSTRACT

Nonsense codon suppression for unnatural amino acid incorporation requires the preparation of a suppressor aminoacyl-tRNA. Chemical acylation strategies are general, but inefficient and arduous. A recent report (J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2007, 129, 15848) showed acylation of RNA mediated by lanthanum(III) using amino acid phosphate esters. The successful implementation of this methodology to full-length suppressor tRNA is described, and it is shown that the derived aminoacyl-tRNA is translationally competent in Xenopus oocytes.

4.2 INTRODUCTION, RESULTS, AND DISCUSSION

Structure-function studies using unnatural amino acid mutagenesis provide precision beyond that which can be obtained with the 20 natural amino acids.\textsuperscript{1-3} This is usually achieved through nonsense suppression, in which the site of interest is mutated to a stop codon, and an aminoacyl-tRNA bearing the appropriate anticodon delivers the unnatural amino acid during translation. The aminoacyl-tRNA is critical to this methodology, and several approaches to generating it have been developed.\textsuperscript{2,4,5} Native aminoacyl-tRNAs are generated via reactions of ATP-activated amino acids with the cognate tRNA, mediated by the corresponding aminoacyl-tRNA synthetase (aaRS).\textsuperscript{6} In some cases, the natural system can be hijacked to charge an unnatural amino acid onto a tRNA, if the difference between the unnatural and cognate amino acids is not large. Methods for generating orthogonal aaRS/tRNA pairs that accept unnatural amino acids have been shown to be a viable source of aminoacyl-tRNA.\textsuperscript{7} Alternatively, amino acids may be appended to tRNA using chemical synthesis. All strategies have particular advantages and disadvantages, and the chemical acylation approach is the focus of the present work.

The standard chemical aminoacylation strategy begins with chemically synthesized dinucleotide pdCpA (dCA), which is then acylated with the desired amino acid.\textsuperscript{4,8} The derived aminoacyl-dCA is then enzymatically appended to tRNA via T4 ligase. This method provides maximal flexibility, as virtually any amino acid can be used. When combined with an appropriate expression system, the chemically synthesized aminoacyl-tRNA does not get recharged by an endogenous aaRS (an intentional aspect of the experimental design), and so the aminoacyl-tRNA is a stoichiometric reagent. Since the synthesis of the aminoacyl-tRNA is arduous, the quantity of protein that can be produced
using the chemical aminoacylation strategy is limited. For the most part, the methodology has been exploited to study ion channels and neuroreceptors, where the extraordinary sensitivity of electrophysiology overcomes the quantity limitation.¹

Scheme 4.1. La³⁺ mediated acylation of the 3’ end of tRNA.

Tzvetkova and Kluger reported selective acylation of the 3’ end of RNAs through the use of La³⁺ salts and amino acids activated as ethyl phosphate esters (Scheme 4.1).⁹ We will refer to this biomimetic strategy as the La³⁺/EP approach. If direct aminoacylation of full length tRNA can produce translationally competent tRNAs, the synthesis of dCA, one of the most laborious aspects of the chemical aminoacylation strategy, would become unnecessary. As such, we have evaluated the La³⁺/EP strategy, focusing on two questions: (1) can we optimize the direct acylation of full length, suppressor tRNAs; and (2) are the resulting aminoacyl-tRNAs translationally competent in protein synthesis? In particular, our application of nonsense suppression to studies of neuroreceptors and ion channels requires expression in a vertebrate cell, the *Xenopus laevis* oocyte.⁴ This adds additional challenges to the methodology, compared to *in vitro* protein synthesis strategies.
To address these questions, we prepared a variety of amino acids as derivatives 3a–3f (Scheme 4.2), in which the carboxylate is activated as an ethyl phosphate, and the amino group is protected with NVOC. This protecting group has been previously established to be compatible with the Xenopus oocyte expression system – it is removed photochemically before use in translation. The amino acid ethyl phosphates (aaEP) were prepared by protection of the amino group, followed by coupling of bis(tetraethylammonium) ethyl phosphate to the carboxylate using DCC (Scheme 4.2).

As a consequence of our selected protecting groups, the NVOC-amino acids were insoluble in CH₂Cl₂, but readily soluble in THF. Addition of THF as a co-solvent to CH₂Cl₂ was required to alleviate solubility issues, and a system only using THF was not viable because of DCC solubility issues. Water solubility of the resulting aaEP is an important factor in purification of the aaEP as well as later reactivity. Protection of the phenolic OH on tyrosine was not necessary under these reaction conditions for preparation of TyrEP (3b). Tetraethylammonium salts of aaEPs 3a–3f were obtained by extraction into water, followed by lyophilization. The resulting foamy materials were used directly without further purification.
Before attempting La$^{3+}$ mediated acylation to tRNA, a preliminary screen of acylation of uridine (4) was performed (Scheme 4.3). Initial conditions were based on literature precedent,$^9$ using PheEP (3e). Reactions were quantified by integration of HPLC or LC/MS traces using a UV detector. Acylation of uridine to form 2′/3′ esters (5e) was inefficient (Table 4.1). Variations in reaction time or temperature (Table 4.1, entry 1–5), or the buffer (Table 4.1, entry 9–14) did not significantly improve the yield of 2′/3′ esters. Addition of La$^{3+}$ to the reaction caused significant precipitation of a solid material, and therefore a co-solvent was introduced to alleviate solubility issues (Table 4.1, entry 15–23). Observing the increases in yield by addition of a co-solvent lead to further reaction optimization. In anticipation of optimization experiments using tRNA as the substrate, further reactions were run with LysEP (3f) as the amino acid (see below).

**Scheme 4.3.** Acylation of uridine using amino acid ethyl phosphates.
Applying La\(^{3+}\) mediated acylation conditions to uridine with LysEP (3f) gave 2\'/3' esters (5f) in low overall conversion (Table 4.2, entry 46–47). Initial inclusion of DMSO as a co-solvent decreased yield (Table 4.2, entry 40–45), however increasing equivalents of LysEP and La\(^{3+}\) while concurrently increasing the \%DMSO (v/v) in the reaction lead to increased yields (Table 4.2, entry 1–39, Figure 4.1). Acetonitrile also improved 2\'/3' ester yield, but not to the same extent as DMSO. At high \%DMSO (v/v) conditions, some acylation of the reaction buffer was detected (by LC/MS); however, under no conditions were multiple acylations of uridine detected. It was reasoned that generation of these additional side products would not pose a problem when acylating tRNA, as they would be removed during tRNA purification.
Table 4.2. Reaction screen for acylation of uridine (4) using LysEP (3f).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Entry</th>
<th>Equivalents</th>
<th>Equivalents/ La&lt;sup&gt;3+&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
<th>Co-solvent</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>Notes</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>aaEP</td>
<td>Co-solvent%</td>
<td>Co-solvent</td>
<td>Time</td>
<td>Temp.</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>50% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>45 °C</td>
<td>57%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>25</td>
<td>67</td>
<td>20% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>45 °C</td>
<td>25%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>49%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>45% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>42%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>35%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>29</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>60% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>45 °C</td>
<td>37%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>30</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>32%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>31</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>45% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>45 °C</td>
<td>36%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>32</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>20% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>45 °C</td>
<td>16%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>60% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>45 °C</td>
<td>17%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>36</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>37</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>45% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>45 °C</td>
<td>19%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>13%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>39</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>20% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>45 °C</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>40</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>7%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>41</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>45 °C</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>42</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>6%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>43</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>45 °C</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>45</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20% DMSO</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>45 °C</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>46</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>60 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>11%</td>
<td>Sonicate reaction</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>47</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>- -</td>
<td>300 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>12%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>48</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>60% MeCN</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>21%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>49</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>60% MeCN</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>5%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>50</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>45% MeCN</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>4%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>51</td>
<td>1</td>
<td>20% MeCN</td>
<td>90 min</td>
<td>25 °C</td>
<td>3%</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Further increases to beyond ~66 equivalents of LysEP and La<sup>3+</sup> were impractical for detection of 2’/3’ esters by HPLC and LC/MS, because at this ratio the analytical aliquots reached the limit of total material capable of being injected onto the respective instrument columns. Further dilution of the reaction aliquot reduced the observable signal of 2’/3’ esters beyond detection.
In their report, Tzvetkova and Kluger detected acylation of RNA by careful selection of the amino acid — specifically, a fluorinated amino acid (19F-NMR detection) or a dansylated amino acid (fluorescence detection). Our aaEPs were not selected with these detection methods in mind, and therefore we needed a more general method of detecting acylation. Previously our laboratory developed a MALDI-TOF mass spectrometry method for detecting enzymatic ligation of a dCA-amino acid to a tRNA transcript lacking the 3’-terminal pCpA.11 Runoff transcription of tRNA gave a mixture of tRNA lengths (N+1, N+2, etc.) from addition of non-templated nucleotides at the 3’ end.12 LysEP (3f) was used for reaction screening with tRNA, as the mass of NVOC-BOC-Lys acylated tRNA does not coincide with the mass of N+ species (Figure 4.2). We anticipated that with this shift in the MALDI signal, acylated tRNA could be detected even if conversion was incomplete. A report by Kao et al.13 was adapted to tRNA by Ethan Van Arnem in the Dougherty lab to generate tRNA with greatly reduced N+.
activity from modified DNA oligos (Figure 4.2). Without the interfering signals from N+ activity, tRNA generated following this preparation was used exclusively for further acylation reaction screens.

Following the trends established in the uridine acylations, acylations were then applied to a full length tRNA (Figure 4.2). Very large excesses of aaEPs and La$^{3+}$ with respect to the tRNA (>1000 equivalents) were required to detect any acylation in the MALDI mass spectra. Key to the successful application of MALDI was treatment of the reaction mixture with the well-known lanthanide chelator diethylenetriamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA).$^{14,15}$ While the standard tRNA precipitation protocols removed most of the excess La$^{3+}$, enough remained (~500 µM, as measured by ICP-MS), presumably strongly associated with the tRNA, to disrupt the MALDI. DTPA application followed by size exclusion chromatography resulted in clean samples ($\leq$100 nM La$^{3+}$). A typical microinjection volume of 50 nL exposed oocytes to a negligible $\leq$5 fmol of La$^{3+}$. It seems likely that an injection of large amounts of La$^{3+}$ into the Xenopus oocyte would be detrimental to receptor synthesis and/or function, further justifying the DTPA treatment.

Even though a large excess of aaEP was used, products arising from multiple acylations were not detected in the MALDI mass spectra; only unacylated and monoacylated tRNA was seen (Figure 4.2). Our previous work has shown that the aminoacyl-tRNA ionizes differently than the free tRNA, and therefore exact quantitation of acylation via MALDI is not possible. Therefore, reference mixtures with known ratios of tRNA:aminoacyl-tRNA were prepared and evaluated by MALDI (Figure 4.3). Mass spectra of reaction products then allowed us to estimate conversion of tRNA to aminoacyl-tRNA to be 5-25%.
Figure 4.2. MALDI mass spectra of THG73 74mer or 76mer tRNA prepared by runoff transcription from native DNA (top left) or modified oligos (top right) followed by exposure to La$^{3+}$ mediation acylations conditions using LysEP (3f, bottom left) or LeuEP (3a, bottom right). Observed masses shown, theoretical masses in parentheses. A new peak indicative of monoacylation appears, along with unacylated tRNA. (*) Additional peak at 24,438 m/z present from transcription of tRNA, which remains unaffected by the acylation conditions.

The monoacylation detected in the MALDI mass spectra was consistent with, but does not prove, the notion that only the 3’ end of the tRNA was acylated. As further evidence, as well as to determine if these La$^{3+}$/EP derived tRNAs could be used in unnatural amino acid mutagenesis, we sought a functional test. Nonsense suppression has been extensively employed to probe the nicotinic acetylcholine receptor (nAChR) expressed in *Xenopus* oocytes, involving hundreds of experiments with scores of unnatural amino acids.$^{1,16}$ The nAChR is a membrane-bound, ligand gated ion channel that can be functionally interrogated by whole cell electrophysiology. Briefly, aminoacyl-tRNA and mRNA coding for the nAChR and containing a strategically positioned stop codon are microinjected into *Xenopus* oocytes. Following an incubation time of 24-48 hours, expressed nAChRs are probed by application of acetylcholine (ACh) and measuring the resulting current. Channel function is typically expressed as EC$_{50}$, the concentration of
ACh required to achieve the half-maximal response. As a negative control, injection of unacylated suppressor tRNA produces no measurable current as a result of the introduction of the stop codon for nonsense suppression.

**Figure 4.3.** MALDI mass spectra of THG73-76mer tRNA mixed with THG73-dCA-NVOC-Trp, in 1:3 (top left), 1:1 (middle left), and 3:1 (bottom left). Acylated tRNA signal consistently smaller than expected. MALDI mass spectra of THG73-76mer after exposure to La$^{3+}$ mediated acylation conditions of TyrEP (3b, top right), TrpEP (3c, middle right), or F1-TrpEP (3d, bottom right) used for nonsense suppression experiments. Observed masses shown, theoretical masses in parentheses.

Initial studies focused on so-called wild type recovery experiments, in which the amino acid appended to the suppressor tRNA is the amino acid conventionally found at the site where the stop codon was inserted. This experiment should produce wild type receptor, with behaviors indistinguishable from conventionally expressed receptor. As shown in Table 4.3, using three different amino acids (Leu, Tyr, and Trp), two different tRNAs (THG73$^{17}$ and TQOpS$^{18,19}$), and two variants of the nAChR (the neuronal
(α4)2(β2)3 and the muscle-type (α1)2β1δγ), wild type receptors were prepared. This provides strong evidence that the La3+/EP methodology produces translationally competent aminoacyl-tRNAs. To confirm compatibility with unnatural amino acids, 5-fluorotryptophan (F1-Trp) was incorporated at the cation-π binding site of the muscle-type receptor (Trp149 of the α subunit).20 The 4-fold shift in EC50 produced in previous studies was recapitulated (Figure 4.4). These results clearly establish that the aminoacyl-tRNA derived from the La3+/EP method produced ion channels whose functional responses match those of channels produced by the dCA method.

Table 4.3. Data for suppression experiments using La3+/EP or dCA methods.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Leu</th>
<th>Tyg</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receptor</td>
<td>α4β2;</td>
<td>α1β1δγ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation(s)</td>
<td>αL9′Aβ119TAG</td>
<td>α1Y190TAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNA</td>
<td>TQOpS′</td>
<td>THG73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oocytes (N)</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC50 (µM)</td>
<td>0.55 ± 0.02</td>
<td>0.47 ± 0.01</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Imax (µA)</td>
<td>2 ± 2</td>
<td>4 ± 2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Imax/ngtRNA (µA/ng)</td>
<td>0.5 ± 0.3</td>
<td>0.8 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Trp</th>
<th>F1-Trp</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Receptor</td>
<td>α1β1δγ</td>
<td>α1β1δγ</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mutation(s)</td>
<td>α1W149TAG</td>
<td>α1W149TAG</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tRNA</td>
<td>THG73</td>
<td>THG73</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oocytes (N)</td>
<td>52</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>EC50 (µM)</td>
<td>55 ± 2</td>
<td>230 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Imax (µA)</td>
<td>8 ± 8</td>
<td>15 ± 11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mean Imax/ngtRNA (µA/ng)</td>
<td>2 ± 2</td>
<td>3 ± 2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Imax values normalized to ng of acylated tRNA injected into oocytes. Acylated tRNA estimated as fraction of total tRNA injected based on percent conversion measured by MALDI-MS. The La3+/EP method yielded 5-25% of total tRNA acylated; the dCA method yielded 100% of total tRNA acylated.

A notable difference between the dCA and La3+/EP experiments relates to protein yield. The whole cell maximal currents measured for dCA-derived aminoacyl-tRNAs were generally higher than those from La3+/EP-derived tRNAs (Table 4.3). We suspected that this reflects the decreased quantity of aminoacyl-tRNA being injected with the La3+/EP method because of the incomplete acylation. Indeed, when we correct for the amount of acylated tRNA injected, the yields of receptor from La3+/EP-derived tRNAs...
are comparable to dCA-derived (Table 4.3). It is worth reiterating that control experiments show that THG73 and TQOpS’ are not appreciably acylated by an endogenous aaRS, and injection of unacylated tRNA yields no meaningful signal. Injection of a mixture of acylated and unacylated tRNA from the La\textsuperscript{3+}/EP method therefore simply produces an effective dilution of acylated tRNA.

![Nonsense Suppression of Mouse Muscle Nicotinic Receptor](image)

**Figure 4.4.** Dose-response curves of mouse muscle nAChR, [α1(W149TAG)]\textsubscript{2}β1δ7 when injected with suppressor tRNA. Source of tRNA indicated.

In summary, we have shown that direct acylation of tRNA is a viable alternative to the more labor-intensive dCA methodology. Although acylation yields are not high, in appropriately chosen systems the unacylated tRNA does not interfere with ion channel characterization. The low acylation yield gives rise to a comparable reduction in protein expression, suggesting the approach will only be viable for systems that express well in the *Xenopus* oocyte or other cell of choice. Importantly, ion channels derived from aminoacyl-tRNA prepared by the La\textsuperscript{3+}/EP method are functionally indistinguishable from channels derived from the dCA method, establishing the viability of the approach for
whole-cell expression of proteins. Further work will seek to improve protein yield by improving acylation efficiency, or alternatively by separation and concentration of the aminoacyl-tRNA.
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4.4 METHODS

4.4.1 Acylation of tRNA. Acylation reaction conditions as follows: 10-30 µg tRNA (~4-12 µM), 80 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 15-80 mM amino acid ethyl phosphate, and 15-80 mM La(OTf)₃ (1 equivalent to aaEP) in a final volume of 100 µL. Addition of La³⁺ started the reaction and generated insoluble complexes. The reaction was stirred vigorously at room temperature for 30 min. The reaction was quenched by addition of 50 µL of 300 mM diethyleneetriamine pentaacetic acid (DTPA) and 1.25 M NH₄OAc pH 7.5 (final concentrations 100 mM DTPA and 417 mM NH₄OAc). Excess amino acid was extracted with 1 volume of 25:24:1 phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol, pH 5.2. The organic layer was then extracted with 0.5 volume of DTPA/NH₄OAc quench solution. The combined aqueous solutions were then washed with 1 volume of 24:1 chloroform:isoamyl alcohol. tRNA was then precipitated by addition of 3 volumes of ethanol and stored at -20 °C overnight. tRNA was pelleted by centrifugation and dissolved in 1 mM NaOAc pH 4.5, followed by removal of co-precipitated DTPA-La³⁺ using a CHROMA SPIN DEPC-water gel filtration column (Clontech, Mountain View, CA). The resulting tRNA solution was used directly for MALDI-MS analysis or protein expression.
4.4.2 **Protein Expression in Xenopus Oocytes.** Mouse muscle α1, β1, δ, and γ; and rat neuronal α4 and β2 nAChR cDNA in the pAMV vector was linearized with the restriction enzyme Not I. mRNA was prepared by *in vitro* transcription using the mMessage Machine T7 kit (Ambion, Austin, TX). Unnatural mutations were introduced by the standard Stratagene QuickChange protocol using a TAG or TGA mutation at the site of interest. The α4 subunit contained a known mutation in the M2 transmembrane helix (L9’A). Stage V-VI *Xenopus laevis* oocytes were injected with mRNA in a 10:2:1:1 ratio for α1β1δγ or 1:20 for α4β2. Stoichiometry of α4β2 was confirmed by monitoring I-V relationships of acetylcholine-induced currents, as described previously. Each cell was injected with 50 nL of a mixture of mRNA (10-19 ng, typically ~13 ng): tRNA (3-42 ng, typically ~27 ng). Uncharged full length tRNA was injected as a negative control.

4.4.3 **Electrophysiology.** Electrophysiology experiments were performed 24-48 hours after injection using the OpusXpress 6000A instrument (Axon Instruments, Union City, CA) in two-electrode voltage clamp mode at a holding potential of -60 mV. The running buffer was Ca$^{2+}$-free ND96 solution (96 mM NaCl, 2 mM KCl, 1 mM MgCl$_2$ and 5 mM HEPES, pH 7.5). Oocytes were superfused with running buffer at 1 mL/min for 30 s before application of acetylcholine for 15 s, followed by a 116 s wash with the running buffer. Data were sampled at 125 Hz and filtered at 50 Hz. Acetylcholine chloride was purchased from Sigma-Aldrich/RBI (St. Louis, MO). Does-response data were obtained for ≥ 10 acetylcholine concentrations on ≥ 15 cells. All EC$_{50}$ and Hill coefficient values were obtained by fitting does-response relations to the Hill equation ($I_{\text{norm}} = 1 / [ 1 + (\text{EC}_{50}/[\text{ACh}])^n])$, and are reported as means ± standard error of the fit. A detailed error
analysis of nonsense suppression experiments reveals data are reproducible to ±50% in EC$_{50}$.\textsuperscript{21}

4.4.4 Synthesis. Tetraethylammonium NVOC-leucine ethyl phosphate (3a). A round bottom flask was charged with \(\alpha\)-NVOC-leucine (170 mg, 0.46 mmol) and \(N,N\)'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (94 mg, 0.46 mmol) in 10 mL of dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The reaction was allowed to stir for 10 min at room temperature. A separately prepared solution of bis(tetraethylammonium) ethyl phosphate (175 mg, 0.46 mmol) in 10 mL dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ was added via syringe. The reaction was allowed to stir for 4 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated and resuspended in 5 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Upon filtration, the organic solution was extracted three times with 5 mL of water. Saturated NaCl solution was added dropwise to aid in emulsion breaking. The combined aqueous extracts were washed with 5 mL CHCl$_3$ followed by lyophilization. The resulting solid was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and filtered. Concentration of the CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution gave 182 mg (66 %) of a yellow foam. Analytical sample obtained by reverse phase HPLC. \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (300 MHz, DMSO-d$_6$) \(\delta\) 7.76 (d, \(J = 8.9\) Hz, 1H), 7.68 (s, 1H), 7.17 (s, 1H), 5.34 (s, 2H), 4.03 – 3.91 (m, 1H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 3.84 (s, 3H), 3.70 (dt, \(J = 14.3, 7.2\) Hz, 2H), 3.17 (q, \(J = 7.3\) Hz, 8H), 1.72 – 1.58 (m, 1H), 1.54 – 1.45 (m, 2H), 1.18 – 1.08 (m, 12H), 1.03 (t, \(J = 7.1\) Hz, 3H), 0.85 (dd, \(J = 12.9, 6.5\) Hz, 6H). \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) \(\delta\) 169.69, 169.62, 155.57, 154.01, 147.91, 139.09, 128.76, 109.53, 107.99, 63.35, 61.81, 61.76, 56.80, 56.43, 53.62, 53.58, 52.59, 41.35, 24.82, 23.08, 21.61, 16.64, 16.58, 7.78. HRMS ES (−) \textit{m/z} for C$_{18}$H$_{26}$N$_2$O$_{11}$P, found 477.1259, calculated 477.1274.
Tetraethylammonium N-NVOC-tyrosine ethyl phosphate (3b). A round bottom flask was charged with α-NVOC-tyrosine (150 mg, 0.36 mmol) and N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (147 mg, 0.71 mmol) in 1.5 mL of dry THF and 1 mL of dry CH₂Cl₂. The reaction was allowed to stir for 4 min at room temperature. A separately prepared solution of bis(tetraethylammonium) ethyl phosphate (91 mg, 0.24 mmol) in 4 mL dry CH₂Cl₂ was added via syringe. The reaction was allowed to stir for 19 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was filtered, and extracted three times with 5 mL of water. Saturated NaCl solution was added dropwise to aid in emulsion breaking. The combined aqueous extracts were lyophilized. The resulting solid was extracted with acetonitrile and filtered. Concentration of the acetonitrile solution gave a yellow oil, 92 mg (63 %). Analytical sample obtained by reverse phase HPLC. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 8.93 (br, 1H), 7.69 (s, 1H), 7.07 (d, J = 8.6 Hz, 3H), 6.78 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 2H), 6.13 (d, J = 8.5 Hz, 1H), 5.39 (s, 2H), 4.44 – 4.32 (m, 1H), 4.05 – 3.79 (m, J = 12.5, 5.1 Hz, 8H), 3.18 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 8H), 3.14 – 3.05 (m, 1H), 2.99 – 2.84 (m, 1H), 1.28 – 1.15 (m, 15H). ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CD₃CN) δ 168.88, 168.80, 157.53, 155.99, 154.27, 147.96, 139.02, 130.28, 128.93, 127.23, 117.50, 115.60, 109.63, 108.09, 62.96, 61.45, 61.40, 57.13, 56.62, 56.00, 52.24, 52.22, 52.19, 35.89, 16.18, 16.12, 6.93. ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CD₃CN) δ -8.52. HRMS ES (−) m/z for C₂₁H₂₄N₂O₁₂P, found 527.1062, calculated 527.1067.

Tetraethylammonium NVOC-tryptophan ethyl phosphate (3c). A round bottom flask was charged with α-NVOC-tryptophan (107 mg, 0.24 mmol) and N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (50 mg, 0.24 mmol) in 6 mL of dry THF. The reaction was allowed to stir for 10 min. A separately prepared solution of bis(tetraethylammonium)
ethyl phosphate (93 mg, 0.25 mmol) in 5 mL CH₂Cl₂ was then added via syringe. The reaction allowed to stir overnight at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated on a rotary evaporator and resuspended in 2.5 mL of CH₂Cl₂. Filtration of the suspension gave an organic solution that was extracted three times with 2 mL of water. Combined aqueous extracts were washed with 2 mL of CHCl₃ followed by lyophilization to give a yellow foam, 87.9 mg (55%). Analytical sample obtained by reverse phase HPLC. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.41 (s, 1H), 7.67 (s, 1H), 7.62 – 7.42 (m, 3H), 7.12 – 6.88 (m, 3H), 5.58 (d, J = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 5.47 (s, 2H), 4.80 – 4.60 (m, 1H), 4.18 – 4.01 (m, 2H), 3.96 (s, 3H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 3.42 (d, J = 4.6 Hz, 2H), 2.93 (q, J = 7.3 Hz, 8H), 1.28 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 3H), 1.01 (t, J = 7.1 Hz, 12H). ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.76, 168.63, 155.30, 153.81, 148.02, 139.33, 136.58, 128.41, 128.36, 125.17, 120.96, 118.74, 117.72, 112.43, 110.09, 108.07, 107.85, 77.45, 77.02, 76.60, 63.40, 62.13, 62.04, 56.60, 56.39, 52.25, 27.15, 16.68, 16.58, 7.25. ³¹P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl₃) δ -7.76. HRMS ES (–) m/z for C₂₃H₂₅N₃O₁₁P, found 550.1229, calculated 550.1227.

_Tetraethylammonium NVOC-5-fluorotryptophan ethyl phosphate (3d)._ A round bottom flask was charged with α-NVOC-5-fluorotryptophan (82 mg, 0.18 mmol) and _N,N’-_dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (42 mg, 0.20 mmol) in 5 mL of dry THF. The reaction was allowed to stir for 10 min at room temperature. A separately prepared solution of bis(tetraethylammonium) ethyl phosphate (72 mg, 0.19 mmol) in 5 mL dry CH₂Cl₂ was added via syringe. The reaction was allowed to stir for 24 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated and resuspended in 3 mL of CH₂Cl₂. Upon filtration, the organic solution was extracted three times with 4 mL of water. Saturated NaCl
solution was added dropwise to aid in emulsion breaking. The combined aqueous extracts were lyophilized. The resulting solid was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and filtered. Concentration of the CH$_2$Cl$_2$ solution gave a yellow foam 55 mg (44 %). Analytical sample obtained by reverse phase HPLC. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 11.71 (s, 1H), 7.66 (s, 1H), 7.58 (d, $J = 2.1$ Hz, 1H), 7.53 (dd, $J = 8.7, 4.6$ Hz, 1H), 7.09 (dd, $J = 9.9, 2.3$ Hz, 1H), 6.95 (s, 1H), 6.79 (td, $J = 9.1, 2.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.59 (d, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 1H), 5.45 (d, $J = 4.0$ Hz, 2H), 4.70 – 4.62 (m, 1H), 4.14 – 4.04 (m, 2H), 3.93 (s, 3H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.35 (ddd, $J = 20.0, 14.9, 4.6$ Hz, 2H), 2.98 (q, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 8H), 1.28 (t, $J = 7.1$ Hz, 3H), 1.03 (t, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 12H). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 168.75, 168.68, 158.52, 156.67, 155.32, 153.79, 148.02, 139.42, 133.12, 128.51, 128.44, 128.12, 127.17, 113.18, 113.11, 110.08, 109.25, 109.04, 108.02, 107.84, 107.81, 102.35, 102.16, 63.52, 62.19, 62.14, 56.53, 56.37, 52.23, 27.08, 16.62, 16.56, 7.23. $^{31}$P NMR (162 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ -7.83.

HRMS ES (–) $m/z$ for C$_{23}$H$_{24}$N$_3$O$_{11}$FP, found 568.1110, calculated 568.1133.

Tetraethylammonium NVOC-phenylalanine ethyl phosphate (3e). A round bottom flask was charged with α-NVOC-phenylalanine (90 mg, 0.22 mmol) and $N,N'$-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (47 mg, 0.23 mmol) in 3 mL of dry THF. The reaction was allowed to stir for 10 min at room temperature. A separately prepared solution of bis(tetraethylammonium) ethyl phosphate (76 mg, 0.20 mmol) in 3 mL of dry THF and 6 mL of dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ was added via syringe. The reaction allowed to stir for 2.75 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated and resuspended in 4.5 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Upon filtration, the organic solution was extracted three times with 5 mL of water. The combined aqueous extracts were lyophilized to give 96.5 mg (76 %). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, D$_2$O) δ 7.48 (s, H) 7.13 (br, 5H), 6.69 (s, 1H), 5.07 (br, 2H), 4.43 (m,
1H), 3.83 (m, 2H), 3.72 (s, 3H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.11 (q, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 8H), 2.82 (m, 2H), 1.11 (m, 12H). $^{31}$P NMR (121 MHz, D$_2$O) δ -6.14.

*Tetraethylammonium Na-NVOC-Nε-BOC-lysine ethyl phosphate (3f).* A round bottom flask was charged with Na-NVOC-Nε-BOC-lysine (105 mg, 0.22 mmol) and $N,N'$-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide (46 mg, 0.22 mmol) in 10 mL of dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The reaction was allowed to stir for 10 min at room temperature. A separately prepared solution of bis(tetraethylammonium) ethyl phosphate (66 mg, 0.17 mmol) in 5 mL of dry CH$_2$Cl$_2$ was added via syringe. The reaction was allowed to stir for 2 h at room temperature. The reaction mixture was concentrated and resuspended in 3 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$. Upon filtration, the organic solution was extracted with 6 mL of water. An emulsion formed which was broken with 10 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and 1 mL saturated aqueous NaCl. The organic layer was additionally extracted 2x with 10 mL water. The combined aqueous extracts were lyophilized to give a solid mixed with NaCl. The solid was extracted with chloroform, which upon concentration gave 75.2 mg of yellow foam (60 %). $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.59 (s, 1H), 7.04 (s, 1H), 6.27 (d $J = 8.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.38 (m, 2H), 5.02 (br, 1H), 4.83 (br, 2H), 4.18 (br, 1H), 3.94 (s, 3H), 3.85 (s, 3H), 3.29 (q, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 8H), 2.98 (br, 2H), 1.73 (m, 3H), 1.32 (m, 15H), 1.25 (t, $J = 7.3$ Hz, 12H). $^{31}$P NMR (121 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ -7.89. HRMS TOF-ES (+) m/z for C$_{23}$H$_{37}$N$_3$O$_{13}$P, found 594.2063, calculated 594.2064.

### 4.5 REFERENCES


Appendix A1

Schild Analysis

A1.1 INTRODUCTION

The concept of a “receptor” was established well before any molecular basis of protein-ligand interactions were recognized.\(^1\) The action of a ligand-gated ion channel (LGIC) can be described by a basic model separating binding and activation steps, and this model was also proposed before establishing LGICs as proteins.\(^2\) We now regularly examine the molecular basis of LGIC function, and agonist-receptor interactions are well established.\(^3\) Many of these agonist-receptor interactions were determined through a functional measure known as the EC\(_{50}\), which is the effective concentration to induce half-maximal response. Measurement of an antagonist-receptor interaction, however, requires special consideration. By definition, an antagonist does not elicit receptor activation, and an assay using electrophysiology cannot consist of the antagonist and receptor alone. In Chapter 2, a methodology called Schild analysis was introduced for measuring an equilibrium dissociation constant of an antagonist, \(K_b\).\(^4\) This appendix gives a more thorough discussion of Schild analysis and attempts at its implementation.

In situations where Schild analysis is applicable (discussed below), the Schild equation is as follows:

\[
 r = 1 + \frac{[B]}{K_B} \tag{1}
\]

where \(r\) is the dose-ratio (or concentration-ratio), \([B]\) is the concentration of antagonist, and \(K_B\) is the equilibrium binding constant.\(^5\) The dose-ratio is the ratio of agonist
required to achieve the same signal for a given concentration of antagonist to the agonist required in the absence of antagonist. In this equation, there are no terms for the agonist.

A series of concentration-response curves can be constructed, each in the presence of a constant dose of the antagonist, which should result in shifts of the concentration-response curve regardless of the nature of the agonist (Figure A1.1a). From these data, the EC$_{50}$ is usually taken to determine the dose-ratio, $r$. For example, suppose a hypothetical receptor has an EC$_{50}$ value of 2 µM for an agonist. In the presence of 1 µM of an antagonist, the EC$_{50}$ for the agonist for the hypothetical receptor might shift to 10 µM. The dose-ratio for 1 µM antagonist is then 10 µM / 2 µM = 5.

![Diagram of concentration-response curves and Schild plot](image)

**Figure A1.1.** Idealized data for Schild analysis. (a) Parallel shifts of concentration-response curves of an ideal receptor in the presence of different concentrations of an antagonist, B. (b) Plot of log($r$-1) derived from EC$_{50}$ data against log([B], µM). Extrapolation of a linear fit with slope = 1 to the ordinate intercept gives the $K_B$. For these idealized data, the antagonist $K_B$ is 10 µM.

Rearranging the Schild equation and taking the logarithm gives:

$$\log(r - 1) = \log([B]) - \log(K_B)$$

(2)

A plot of log($r-1$) versus log([B]) gives the so-called Schild plot, which is a straight line with a slope of 1, and an extrapolation to the intercept gives $K_B$ (Figure A1.1b).
The $K_B$ for granisetron, the antagonist examined in Chapter 2, has been determined for the serotonin type 3A receptor (5-HT$_3$AR) by radioligand binding. Schild analysis, though, provides a method for determining the $K_B$ of an antagonist by direct functional measurements of the receptor. In addition, the nonsense suppression method of introducing an unnatural amino acid reduces the efficiency of transcription. Electrophysiological measurements are sensitive enough to easily detect small amounts of protein, and coupled with Schild analysis, the $K_B$ values of a receptors bearing unnatural amino acids appear to be easily obtained.

In order for Schild analysis to be used, there are requirements that must be met. Described by Colquhoun, they are as follows:

1. The antagonist, B, is a true antagonist that, alone, does not change the conformation of the receptor.
2. Binding of agonist, A, and antagonist, B, is mutually exclusive at every binding site.
3. B has the same affinity for every binding site.
4. The observed response is the same if the occupancy of each site by A is the same, regardless of how many sites are occupied by B.
5. Measurements are made at equilibrium.

Systems that do not meet all the requirements can be identified either by the behavior of the shifts in the concentration-response curves, or the Schild plot itself. First, increasing concentration of antagonist should shift the concentration-response curve in a parallel manner; there should be no large change in Hill slope. Second, inhibition should be surmountable; a sufficiently large dose of agonist should be able to overcome inhibition and give the same maximal signal as measured in the absence of antagonist. An antagonist displaying insurmountable inhibition by covalent attachment, allosteric inhibition, or for non-equilibrium measurements will display concentration-response curves, as shown in Figure A1.2. Finally, when the Schild plot is constructed, a large
deviation of the slope from unity is indicative of the system not meeting all the requirements for Schild analysis.

Figure A1.2. Idealized data showing insurmountable inhibition by an antagonist.

In order to implement Schild analysis for the determination of $K_B$ values in receptors subjected to unnatural amino acid mutagenesis, data were collected by parallel multichannel electrophysiology for various combinations of antagonists of the 5-HT$_3$AR.

A1.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

In Chapter 2, a Schild analysis of the 5HT$_3$ receptor was attempted with the antagonists granisetron and ondansetron. As shown in Figure A1.3, granisetron and ondansetron display insurmountable inhibition of 5-HT$_3$A. This was attributed to a non-equilibrium condition related to the slow off rates of the antagonists. Following this conclusion, Schild analysis was attempted for antagonists of lower affinity.
Figure A1.3. Dose-response curves of wild-type 5-HT$_3$A receptor. Responses to 5-HT with increasing concentrations of (a) ondansetron, and (b) granisetron. Data fit to the Hill equation.

The $K_B$ at 5-HT$_3$A is 1 nM and 6 nM for granisetron and ondansetron, respectively.$^{10}$ Serotonin (5-HT) binds to 5-HT$_3$A with a $K_D$ of 110 nM, while the agonist 5-fluorotryptamine (5-FT) is 830 nM.$^{11}$ For the mutant 5-HT$_3$A receptor E129Q, 5-FT was shown to become an antagonist.$^{12}$ If the inability to reach full equilibrium simultaneously with 5-HT and granisetron/ondansetron was the cause of the apparent insurmountable inhibition, a Schild analysis of 5-FT might be possible. Extended incubation of granisetron or ondansetron with 5-HT to reach full equilibrium is not possible, as the receptor enters a quiet state in the presence of agonist in a process called desensitization. As shown in Figure A1.4a, inhibition of 5-FT was insurmountable by 5-HT. The signal for the four doses of 5-HT at 8.6 µM and 14.3 µM 5-FT indicates a plateau without increasing to the level seen for 5-HT in the absence 5-FT.
Figure A1.4. Dose-response curves of (a) E129Q mutant and (b) wild-type 5-HT\textsubscript{3}A receptors. Responses to (a) 5-HT or (b) mCPBG with increasing concentrations of (a) 5-FT and (b) quinine. Data fit to the Hill equation.

While the affinity of 5-FT for 5-HT\textsubscript{3}A is considerably less than those for granisetron or ondansetron, it is possible that a very low affinity antagonist might still be suitable for Schild analysis. The antimalarial drug quinine was reported to inhibit 5-HT\textsubscript{3}A with an affinity of 15 \(\mu\text{M}\), and Schild analysis was applied to 5-HT\textsubscript{3}A for quinine in *Xenopus* oocytes.\textsuperscript{13} Attempting to replicate these results, however, was not successful. As shown in Figure A1.4b, inhibition by quinine was insurmountable. This is in direct contrast to the results of Thompson *et al.*\textsuperscript{13} The discrepancy of the data in Figure A1.4b and the data from Thompson *et al.*\textsuperscript{13} is not easily explained. The agonist used in the appendix was meta-chlorophenylbiguanide (mCPBG), but in Schild analysis the identity of the agonist should not matter.

Looking at just the data in this appendix, there are multiple possible explanations as to the inapplicability of Schild analysis. The 5-HT\textsubscript{3}AR is a pentameric LGIC that has five identical orthosteric binding sites (where the agonist binds). It is known that for the
antagonists examined, the site of action is the orthosteric binding site. What is unknown, however, is what level of occupancy by antagonist versus agonist leads to channel inhibition. In other words, it is unknown if binding of the antagonist at a remote orthosteric site is sufficient for inhibition of channel opening, even upon binding of an agonist at an unoccupied orthosteric site. This can also be related to point (1) above. The occupation of an orthosteric site by an antagonist could result in a conformational change that stabilizes the closed state, in which case the antagonist is not a true antagonist, but rather an “inverse agonist.” While this discussion is speculative, it is clear that whatever the true mechanism of inhibition is, it cannot be determined through Schild analysis.

A1.3 SUMMARY

While Schild analysis held the possibility of a straightforward method for determining the $K_B$ of an antagonist for a receptor bearing an unnatural amino acid, its inapplicability to the antagonists measured at conventional 5-HT$_3$ARs suggests this is not the case. Measurement of $K_B$ values using radioligand binding assays is a viable alternative, noting that the decreased expression of receptor under nonsense suppression conditions will have to be overcome.

A1.4 METHODS

Molecular biology, *Xenopus* oocyte injection, and electrophysiology were described in Chapter 2. In order to measure shifts in the concentration-response curves, a constant concentration of the antagonist of interest was applied in all solutions exposed to the oocyte including the wash buffer and all agonist solutions. Concentrations of antagonist were measured from lowest to highest.
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Appendix A2

The Screening of Ondansetron Analogs

A2.1 INTRODUCTION

As a validated therapeutic target,\(^1\)\(^,\)\(^2\) the serotonin type 3A receptor (5-HT\(_3\)AR) continues to be an attractive target for further drug development. Ondansetron is a prototypical 5-HT\(_3\)A antagonist,\(^3\) which is currently used as an antiemetic drug. Ondansetron is currently sold as the racemate, and both enantiomers are reported as equipotent on rat vagus nerve.\(^3\) The adverse effects of racemic ondansetron treatment, however, may be a result of the S(\(\text{--}\)) enantiomer.\(^4\) Enantiopure analogs of ondansetron may therefore have the potential for improved 5-HT\(_3\)AR antagonism and possible therapeutic applications.

The Stoltz research group developed an asymmetric allylic alkylation reaction,\(^5\) which was later applied to lactam substrates.\(^6\) Following this line of inquiry, Douglas Duquette adapted this reaction for enaminone substrates (Figure A2.1), which upon conversion to an indole gave compounds with structural similarities to ondansetron (Figure A2.2). This chemistry allows for exploration of functionality at the stereocenter of ondansetron in a stereoselective fashion. An initial series of compounds synthesized by Douglas Duquette was tested for 5-HT\(_3\)AR antagonism, starting with an exploration of the moiety that makes a cation-\(\pi\) interaction with TrpB (Chapter 2). At this point in testing, enantiopure compounds had not been prepared.
A2.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

To quickly assess the inhibitory ability of the compounds, a single-point inhibition assay was developed. The assay also measured the whether the compounds cause activation of 5-HT₃A. Channel function was determined through three initial EC₂₅ (1 µM) doses of serotonin (5-HT), where the first, priming dose was excluded from the analysis. The compound of interest as a 10 µM solution was then applied to the channels, and allowed to incubate for 1 minute. During this period, any possible channel activation was noted. Immediately following compound incubation, a mixture of 10 µM of the compound and an EC₂₅ dose of 5-HT was applied. The signal from this co-application was compared to the average of the initial EC₂₅ doses of 5-HT to determine the inhibitory ability of each compound (Figure A2.3). The structures of the tested compounds and the percentage of signal inhibition of an EC₂₅ dose of 5-HT are shown in Table A2.1. Receptor recovery from inhibition was then assessed by two additional doses of 5-HT, which were averaged. A 1 µM dose of ondansetron and a representative solution without compound were used as controls.
With a 10 µM dose, the compounds tested inhibited an EC25 dose of 5-HT to varying degrees. None of the compounds activated 5-HT3A. As expected, the methoxyamine DCD006 did not inhibit 5-HT signal, as it is likely not protonated at pH 7.4 and cannot make a cation-π interaction with TrpB. It is interesting that the morpholine DCD003 also did not inhibit 5-HT signal, especially when compared to the piperidine DCD001. The best inhibition was seen with the smallest of the measured amines (DCD005 and DCD007). When channel recovery was assessed, an increase in signal was noted for all

**Figure A2.3.** Average signal of 5-HT3A to 1 µM 5-HT (EC25). The first column shows the 1 µM 5-HT doses applied before compound testing. The second column shows the co-application of 1 µM 5-HT and 10 µM compounds, compound-free, or 1 µM ondansetron. The third column shows the 1 µM 5-HT doses applied after compound testing. Number of oocytes for each measurement and the structure of the tested compounds are shown in Table A2.1.
compounds tested (Figure A2.3). This increase in EC$_{25}$ signal was also noted in the compound-free control, and therefore is not potentiation by the tested compounds. Inhibition from 1 µM ondansetron was complete and did not wash out over the standard 2 minute superfusion time.

**Table A2.1.** The percent inhibition of the EC$_{25}$ (1 µM) 5-HT signal from co-application with 10 µM solutions of DCDxxx compounds of the 5-HT$_3$AR.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Compound</th>
<th>Structure</th>
<th>Mouse 5-HT$_3$AR 10 µM DCDxxx Dose</th>
<th>% inhibition of 5-HT signal at EC$_{25}$ (1 µM)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>DCD-001</td>
<td><img src="image1.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>33 ± 6</td>
<td>$N = 8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCD-002</td>
<td><img src="image2.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>50 ± 2</td>
<td>$N = 8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCD-003</td>
<td><img src="image3.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>8 ± 4</td>
<td>$N = 7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCD-004</td>
<td><img src="image4.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>32 ± 4</td>
<td>$N = 7$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCD-005</td>
<td><img src="image5.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>76 ± 2</td>
<td>$N = 8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCD-006</td>
<td><img src="image6.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>-3 ± 4</td>
<td>$N = 8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCD-007</td>
<td><img src="image7.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>84 ± 3</td>
<td>$N = 8$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mock (0.125% DMSO)</td>
<td>--</td>
<td>7 ± 4</td>
<td>$N = 4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ondansetron</td>
<td><img src="image8.png" alt="Structure" /></td>
<td>99.57 ± 0.01</td>
<td>$N = 2$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Compound codes and structures shown. The number of oocytes for each measurement shown in italics as $N = x$. 
An IC$_{50}$ value for the compounds with the best inhibition, DCD005 and DCD007, was measured in order to more directly compare with ondansetron. As described in Chapter 2, the IC$_{50}$ values were determined at 2-fold EC$_{50}$ 5-HT (3.14 μM). Both compounds had IC$_{50}$ values in the tens of μM, which contrasts with the IC$_{50}$ value of 0.87 nM measured for ondansetron (Table A2.2).

### Table A2.2. IC$_{50}$ data for 5-HT$_3$AR for ondansetron and DCDxxx compounds in response to 5-HT.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Antagonist</th>
<th>Agonist</th>
<th>N$^a$</th>
<th>IC$_{50}$ (nM)$^b$</th>
<th>Hill$^c$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Ondansetron</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>0.87 ± 0.04</td>
<td>-1.6 ± 0.09</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCD005</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>22 x 10$^3$ ± 1 x 10$^3$</td>
<td>-2.4 ± 0.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DCD007</td>
<td>5HT</td>
<td>7</td>
<td>18.3 x 10$^3$ ± 0.6 x 10$^3$</td>
<td>-2.7 ± 0.1</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Number of oocytes averaged in IC$_{50}$ determination. $^b$The effective concentration for half-maximal receptor inhibition. $^c$The Hill coefficient, $n_H$, as determined from fitting the Hill equation.

### A2.3 SUMMARY

Data has been collected for new inhibitors of 5-HT$_3$A that have structural similarity to ondansetron. Additional analogs, including enantiopure compounds, will be necessary to further develop a structure-activity relationship for development of an inhibitor that can rival ondansetron.

### A2.4 METHODS

Detailed descriptions of oocyte protein expression and electrophysiology methods are found in Chapter 2. DCDxxx compounds were dissolved as stock solutions in aqueous DMSO (10-20%) such that diluted oocytes would not be superfused with a solution containing more than 0.125% DMSO. Solubility was aided by conversion to the HCl salt by addition of 1 eq. of 1 N aq. HCl.

For the single point inhibition assay, oocytes were superfused with running buffer at 1 mL/min for 30 s before application of EC$_{25}$ 5-HT (1 μM) for 15 s followed by a 116 s
wash with the running buffer. This dose was applied three times. DCDxxx compounds or ondansetron was then pre-applied and the oocyte allowed to incubate for 60 s, followed by application of a mixture of the antagonist dose with EC$_{25}$ 5-HT (1 μM) for 15 s. The oocytes were then washed with the running buffer for 116 s. Channel recovery was determined by application of two doses of EC$_{25}$ 5-HT (1 μM) for 15 s followed by a 116 s wash with the running buffer.

IC$_{50}$ values for DCDxxx compounds were determined at described in Chapter 2.
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Appendix A3

Synthesis of 4,7-Difluoroindole

A3.1 INTRODUCTION

In Chapter 2, the synthesis of 4,7-F$_2$Trp was described using 4,7-difluoroindole. The direct formation of 4,7-difluoroindole from a nitrodifluorobenzene and vinyl magnesium bromide was not the initial route examined. The need for 4,7-difluoroindole provided an opportunity to test the utility of the late stage fluorination chemistry reported by Ritter.$^1$ This appendix reports an alternate synthesis of 4,7-difluoroindole.

A3.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The fluorination conditions reported by Ritter use a tributylarylstannane species to participate in a Ag(I) catalytic cycle.$^1$ Starting with commercially available 4-bromo-7-fluoroindole, a stannylation using Pd(PPh$_3$)$_4$ was performed to generate the arylstannane 2 (Scheme A3.1). Purification of 2 was performed using alumina chromatography, as decomposition on silica was observed. Submitting 2 to fluorination conditions did not result in the desired difluoroindole 5. It was reported that basic amines, sulfides, and protic functional groups are incompatible with the reaction conditions,$^1$ so it was theorized that the failure to fluorinate was a result of the indole nitrogen.
Scheme A3.1.

Arylstannane 2 was protected using di-\(t\)-butyl dicarbonate, and then subjected to fluorination conditions. Boc protected difluoroindole 4 was formed; however, destannylation to form the monofluoro also occurred. Separation of the monofluoroindole and the difluoroindole was difficult. The mixture was subjected to deprotection using sodium hydroxide in methanol, followed by silica gel purification to yield 4,7-difluoroindole 5 as a slightly volatile dark oil.

A3.3 SUMMARY

Starting from 4-bromo-7-fluoroindole, the desired 4,7-difluoroindole 5 was prepared in 20% overall yield. The yield could be improved with optimization of the stannylation and the Boc deprotection. Direct formation as described in Chapter 2 was performed in 18% yield; however, the desired 4,7-difluoroindole required purification from a mixture of many side products. The preparation in this appendix provided authentic material as a reference to aid in the isolation from the mixture obtained in Chapter 2.

A3.4 METHODS

A3.4.1 Synthesis. 7-fluoro-4-(tributylstannyl)indole (2). In a dry round bottom flask 518 mg (2.42 mmol) of 4-bromo-7-fluoroindole was added. A solution of 140 mg (0.121
mmol, 5 mol%) of Pd(PPh₃)₄ in 10 mL of dry toluene was added to the round bottom flask. Hexa-n-butylditin (1.8 mL, 3.57 mmol) was added via syringe. The reaction was stirred at 100 °C for 19 h under argon. The reaction was filtered and purified using an alumina column running 5% CH₂Cl₂ in hexanes to 10% CH₂Cl₂ in hexanes to yield 488 mg (48%) of a clear to slightly yellow oil. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₃OD) δ 7.27 (d, J = 3.1 Hz, 1H), 7.00 (dd, J = 7.6, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 6.80 (dd, J = 12.0, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 6.44 (dd, J = 3.6, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 1.66 – 1.45 (m, 6H), 1.42 – 1.23 (m, 6H), 1.21 – 1.08 (m, 6H), 0.86 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 9H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, Methanol-d₄) δ -131.63 – -144.53 (m).

7-fluoro-4-(tributylstannyl)-N-(Boc)indole (3). In a round bottom flask 364 mg (0.858 mmol) of indole 2 was dissolved in 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂. A solution of 289 mg (1.32 mmol) of di-t-butyl carbonate and 5.3 mg (0.043 mmol) of DMAP in 5 mL of CH₂Cl₂ was added to the reaction. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 2.5 h. After concentration under reduced pressure, the material was dissolved in 10% CH₂Cl₂ in hexanes and passed through an alumina plug to recover 431 mg (96%) of the desired product. ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 7.70 (dd, J = 3.7, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (dd, J = 7.7, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (dd, J = 13.2, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 6.58 (dd, J = 3.7, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 1.67 (s, 9H), 1.64 – 1.51 (m, 6H), 1.45 – 1.28 (m, 6H), 1.24 – 1.14 (m, 6H), 0.91 (t, J = 7.3 Hz, 9H). ¹⁹F NMR (282 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ -117.08 – -117.27 (m).

4,7-difluoro-N-(Boc)indole (4). Into a round bottom flask, solid silver(I) oxide (10.5 mg, 0.045 mmol, 5 mol%), sodium triflate (143 mg, 0.829 mmol), sodium bicarbonate (142 mg, 1.69 mmol), and Selectfluor (1-chloromethyl-4-fluoro-1,4-diazaonia-bicyclo[2.2.2]octane bis(tetrafluoroborate)) (439 mg, 1.24 mmol) were added. A solution of 431 mg (0.821 mmol) of indole 3 in 20 mL of DMF was added to the reaction.
Methanol (167 µL, 4.13 mmol) was added to the reaction, and the flask was immediately sealed. The sealed reaction flask was heated to 65 °C and stirred for 4 h. The reaction was cooled to room temperature and then filtered. Silica gel chromatography eluting with 2% EtOAc in hexanes to 4% EtOAc in hexanes yielded 148 mg of a mixture of the desired difluoroindole and monofluoroindole as detected by $^{19}$F NMR. The mixture was carried on to the next reaction. $^{19}$F NMR (282 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$): $\delta$ -121.32 – -122.90 (m), -128.48 – -129.81 (m).

$\textbf{4,7-difluoroindole (5).}$ The mixture 4 was dissolved in 8 mL methanol and 0.1 mL of concentrated NaOH was added. The reaction was stirred at 60 °C for 1 h and then concentrated under reduced pressure. To the concentrated oil was added 10 mL of water and 10 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The aqueous layer was separated and washed with 1 x 10 mL of CH$_2$Cl$_2$. The combined organics were washed with 1 x 10 mL of brine, dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$ and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude oil was run down a silica column eluting with a gradient starting at 1% EtOAc in hexanes to 10% EtOAc in hexanes to give 54 mg (60%) of a volatile dark oil. H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 8.47 (br, 1H), 7.18 (t, $J$ = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 6.78 (ddd, $J$ = 10.3, 8.6, 3.5 Hz, 1H), 6.71 – 6.60 (m, 2H). $^{19}$F NMR (282 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ -124.1 – -129.8 (m), -139.4 – -142.3 (m). $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$): $\delta$ 152.2 (dd, $J$ = 239, 2.4 Hz), 145.8 (dd, $J$ = 238.6, 3.0 Hz), 126.0 (dd, $J$ = 15.9, 11.5 Hz), 124.7, 119.9 (dd, $J$ = 24.9, 5.7 Hz), 106.4 (dd, $J$ = 18.9, 8.2 Hz), 103.9 (dd, $J$ = 18.9 8.2 Hz), 99.8. HRMS EI(+) m/z for C$_8$H$_5$NF$_2$ found 153.0395, calculated 153.0390 (M+•).
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Appendix A4

Preparation of Acylated tRNA Through Adenosine Phosphoroanhydrides

A4.1 INTRODUCTION

In Chapter 4, a chemical method for acylation of tRNA was discussed as an alternative to acylation of the dinucleotide deoxycytidine-adenosine (dCA, Figure A4.1). As the chemical acylation of tRNA remains a challenging problem, many research labs continue to seek new methods. A publication by the Hecht lab describing the preparation of diacylated tRNA implemented a method using adenosine phosphoroanhydrides (AppA) shown in Figure A4.1. Attachment of both acylated dCA and acylated AppA is accomplished using T4 RNA ligase. The tRNA substrates, however, are of differing length: a 74mer is used with dCA and a 75mer is used with AppA. Use of AppA to generate acylated tRNA was explored as an alternative to the use of dCA.

![dCA and AppA structures](image_url)

**Figure A4.1.** Structures of nucleotide species for preparation of acylated tRNA.

A4.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

The preparation of acylated AppA and its ligation to tRNA was described before the use of dCA was described. Adenosine mono-phosphate (AMP) was acylated using the cyanomethyl ester of nitroveratryl protected tryptophan similarly to previously published
procedures (Scheme A4.1).\textsuperscript{1,3} The tryptophan phosphoroanhydride (AppA-Trp) was prepared by coupling to AMP using 1,1'-carbonyldiimidazole following a procedure described by Hecht.\textsuperscript{2} The reaction generated AppA-Trp as a minor component, but enough material was synthesized for the examination of the T4 RNA ligase reaction. Additional synthesis of AppA-Trp would likely benefit from more modern coupling methods.\textsuperscript{4,5}

Scheme A4.1.

To obtain 75 mer tRNA, a synthetic DNA oligonucleotide for the THG73 tRNA\textsuperscript{6} was purchased from Eurofins Operon Genomics and mixed with a short complementary strand for T7 polymerase promoter region (Figure A4.3).\textsuperscript{7} This oligo contains 2’-OMe modifications on the last two bases, and this modification reduces non-templated N+1 addition at the 3’ end of the tRNA.\textsuperscript{8,9}
Figure A4.3. Sequence of the synthetic oligonucleotides used to transcribe THG75-75mer tRNA. Bases marked (*) contain a 2’-OMe modification.

Ligation of AppA-Trp to THG73-75mer was attempted using T4 RNA ligase as previously described without ATP in the reaction mix.\textsuperscript{1} Ligation efficiency was determined using MALDI-TOF-MS.\textsuperscript{11} As shown in Figure A4.4, ligation efficiency is very low. The identity of the MALDI peak was confirmed by using this sample in a Xenopus oocyte nonsense suppression experiment of the 5-HT\textsubscript{3}A receptor at W183TAG, as described in Chapter 4 (Figure A4.5a). As a positive suppression control, an acylated THG73 derived from dCA was also examined (Figure A4.5b). No signal was detected in response to serotonin (5-HT) when untreated THG73-75mer was used in the suppression experiment. These data indicate tryptophan was selectively incorporated at W183 in 5-HT\textsubscript{3}A, and the identity of the small peak in Figure A4.4 is confirmed to be THG73-76mer-Trp. The difference in maximal currents measured for the dCA and AppA-Trp derived tRNAs are similar to those obtained in Chapter 4, again reiterating the low ligation efficiency.
Figure A4.4. MALDI mass spectra of THG73 75mer (top) or 75mer tRNA after treatment with AppA-Trp and T4 RNA ligase (bottom). Observed masses shown, theoretical masses in parentheses.

Figure A4.5. Dose-response curves of mouse 5-HT₃A, W183TAG when injected with THG73 tRNA bearing marked amino acid derived from ligation with T4 RNA ligase and (a) AppA-Trp, or (b) dCA-5-F₁Trp.
A4.3 SUMMARY

The exact conditions reported by Hecht\(^1\) were successful in preparing acylated tRNA that can participate in nonsense suppression in \textit{Xenopus} oocytes. These results show that AppA can be a substrate for T4 RNA ligase; however, ligation efficiency is extremely low, especially as compared to the nearly quantitative ligation of dCA by T4 RNA ligase.

A4.4 METHODS

Molecular biology, \textit{Xenopus} oocyte injection, and electrophysiology were described in Chapter 2.

A4.4.1 Synthesis. \textit{AMP-Trp}. In a round bottom flask, 70.7 mg of NVOC-Trp-CH\textsubscript{2}CN (0.146 mmol) and 41.3 mg of AMP•H\textsubscript{2}O (0.113 mmol) were dissolved in 4 mL of dry DMF. Using a micropipette, 93 µL of 1.54 M tetrabutylammonium hydroxide (0.143 mmol) was added. The reaction was stirred at room temperature for 48 h. AMP-Trp isolated by multiple rounds of reverse phase HPLC. Recovered 24.5 mg (28%). ESI-MS(+) \textit{m/z} for C\textsubscript{31}H\textsubscript{34}N\textsubscript{8}O\textsubscript{14}P found 773.0, calculated 773.6 (M+H\textsuperscript{+}).

\textit{AppA-Trp}. In a round bottom flask, 54 mg of AMP•H\textsubscript{2}O (0.148 mmol) and 73.5 mg of CDI (0.453) were dissolved in 2.5 mL of dry DMF. The reaction was allowed to stir at room temperature for 15 h. AMP-Trp (10 mg, 0.013 mmol) was added and the reaction allowed to stir an additional 72 h. AppA-Trp was isolated by multiple rounds of reverse phase HPLC to recover 2 mg (14%). HRMS ES (+) \textit{m/z} for C\textsubscript{108}H\textsubscript{145}N\textsubscript{32}O\textsubscript{49}P\textsubscript{5}, found 1102.2457, calculated 1102.2452 (M+H).

A4.4.2 Ligation of tRNA. Ligation reaction conditions as follows: 35 µg tRNA (~15 µM), 100 mM HEPES pH 7.5, 41 µM AppA-Trp, 15 mM MgCl\textsubscript{2}, and 200 units T4 RNA ligase in a final volume of 100 µL with 15% DMSO. The reaction was kept at 37 °C for
30 min. The reaction was quenched by addition of 12.5 µL of 3.0 M NaOAc pH 5.0.
Protein was extracted away with 1 volume of 25:24:1 phenol:chloroform:isoamyl alcohol, pH 5.2. The organic layer was then extracted with 0.5 volume of NaOAc quench solution. The combined aqueous solutions were then washed with 1 volume of 24:1 chloroform:isoamyl alcohol. tRNA was then precipitated by addition of 3 volumes of ethanol and stored at -20 °C overnight. tRNA was pelleted by centrifugation and dissolved in 1 mM NaOAc pH 4.5. The resulting tRNA solution was used directly for MALDI-MS analysis or protein expression.
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