Spinal Cord Injury Therapy through Active Learning

Thesis by
Thomas Desautels

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy



California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California

2014 (Defended July 1, 2013)

To Caroline. We made it!

Acknowledgments

There are, of course, many people who deserve to be acknowledged for helping me in my studies and in the completion of this dissertation. Foremost among those who have helped me academically is my advisor, Dr. Joel Burdick, who has been insightful, patient, and wise, providing mentorship in many different ways. I am profoundly indebted to him. Now, if I could only get him to read my emails. I also owe a particular debt to Dr. Andreas Krause, who has offered his considerable expertise on diverse matters in the field of machine learning and who worked closely with me to crack many of the theoretical problems addressed here during a research stay at ETH Zürich.

The experimental work presented here would also not have been possible without the contributions of Dr. Reggie Edgerton of UCLA; he has provided excellent advice and a superb collaborative environment. Among his research group, I would particularly like to recognize Jaehoon Choe and Parag Gad, who carried out the animal experiments described here. Their contributions, both conceptual and in blood, sweat, and wiped-up rat droppings, brought my work to life.

From Caltech, I would also like to thank Yanan Sui, who helped nurse the code through some of the rat experiments, and the rest of the members of the Burdick group, especially Jeff Edlund and Zhao Liu, for many productive discussions. I would also like to extend my thanks to Maria Koeper, the Burdick group's administrative assistant. Without Maria, we would never get anything done. As I move on to new pursuits, I will miss her, her invaluable aid, and her kindness.

Also from Caltech, Dr. Y. C. Tai's input has always been very valuable. His group fabricated the parylene microelectrode arrays; Monty Nandra spent many long hours making these devices. I am also very thankful for the advice and support of Dr. James Beck.

A number of ideas which inspired the work in Chapter 3 are from the work of Dr. Daniel Golovin, with whom Dr. Krause has had a number of collaborations, and to whom I owe a debt of thanks. The theoretical work presented in that chapter was partially supported by SNSF grant 200021_137971, NSF IIS-0953413, and DARPA MSEE FA8650-11-1-7156. My personal support has been derived from NIH/NINDS grant R01NS062009, NIH/NIBIB grant R01 EB007615, CDRF contract ESH1-2012(JB), and the ThinkSwiss Research Scholarship.

Even with all of the aid I received academically, I never would have stayed sane enough to make it through this process without the support of my friends and family. I would particularly like to acknowledge the many contributions of my parents, who set me on the path to learning, drove their sons to the library over and over again, and always tolerated my desire to disassemble everything in sight, even when it placed the house in peril. They have continued to provide advice, love, and support, even now that I am off in the wider world and consequently present less danger to their home and sanity.

No list of those who have supported me would be complete without my wife, Caroline. She has kept me from going off the deep end many a time, and without her ever so subtle urging, I would never have been able to make myself attack this seemingly insurmountable task. Every day, she makes me challenge myself and keep growing. My dear, I love you more than I can say.

Abstract

Therapy employing epidural electrostimulation holds great potential for improving therapy for patients with spinal cord injury (SCI) (Harkema et al., 2011). Further promising results from combined therapies using electrostimulation have also been recently obtained (e.g., van den Brand et al., 2012). The devices being developed to deliver the stimulation are highly flexible, capable of delivering any individual stimulus among a combinatorially large set of stimuli (Gad et al., 2013). While this extreme flexibility is very useful for ensuring that the device can deliver an appropriate stimulus, the challenge of choosing good stimuli is quite substantial, even for expert human experimenters. To develop a fully implantable, autonomous device which can provide useful therapy, it is necessary to design an algorithmic method for choosing the stimulus parameters. Such a method can be used in a clinical setting, by caregivers who are not experts in the neurostimulator's use, and to allow the system to adapt autonomously between visits to the clinic. To create such an algorithm, this dissertation pursues the general class of active learning algorithms that includes Gaussian Process Upper Confidence Bound (GP-UCB, Srinivas et al., 2010), developing the Gaussian Process Batch Upper Confidence Bound (GP-BUCB, Desautels et al., 2012) and Gaussian Process Adaptive Upper Confidence Bound (GP-AUCB) algorithms. This dissertation develops new theoretical bounds for the performance of these and similar algorithms, empirically assesses these algorithms against a number of competitors in simulation, and applies a variant of the GP-BUCB algorithm in closed-loop to control SCI therapy via epidural electrostimulation in four live rats. The algorithm was tasked with maximizing the amplitude of evoked potentials in the rats' left tibialis anterior muscle. These experiments show that the algorithm is capable of directing these experiments sensibly, finding effective stimuli in all four animals. Further, in direct competition with an expert human experimenter, the algorithm produced superior performance in terms of average reward and comparable or superior performance in terms of maximum reward. These results indicate that variants of GP-BUCB may be suitable for autonomously directing SCI therapy.

Contents

A	cknowledgments				
A	Abstract				
1	Inti	roduction	1		
	1.1	Spinal Cord Injury	2		
	1.2	Epidural Electrostimulation	4		
	1.3	Active Learning	6		
	1.4	Objective Statement: Major Problem	7		
	1.5	Contributions	9		
	1.6	Organization	10		
2	Bac	ekground	11		
	2.1	Spinal Cord Injury	11		
	2.2	Existing Therapeutic Approaches	13		
		2.2.1 Functional Electrical Stimulation	13		
		2.2.2 Regenerative Therapies	13		
		2.2.3 Cord-Rehabilitative Approaches	14		
		2.2.3.1 Epidural Electrostimulation	15		
		2.2.4 Combined Approaches	15		
	2.3	Active Learning and Bandits	15		
		2.3.1 Bandit Algorithms	16		
		2.3.1.1 Classical Setting	16		
		2.3.1.2 Making Large Problems Tractable: Structural Assumptions	17		
		2.3.2 Bayesian Optimization	17		
		2.3.3 Parallel Selection	17		
		2.3.4 Active Learning in the Face of Time Variation	18		
		2.3.5 Learning Systems and Control Algorithms in Biological Contexts	19		
	2.4	Caussian Processes	20		

		2.4.1	Regression Using Gaussian Processes	21	
2.5 Covariance Functions			iance Functions	22	
		2.5.1	Reproducing Kernel Hilbert Spaces	24	
		2.5.2	Stationary Covariance Functions on \mathbb{R}^d	25	
		2.5.3	Non-stationary Covariance Functions on \mathbb{R}^d	27	
		2.5.4	Constructing Covariance Functions	27	
3	The	eoretic	al Contributions	29	
	3.1	Introd	luction	29	
	3.2	Proble	em Setting and Background	31	
		3.2.1	The Problem: Parallel or Delayed Selection	31	
		3.2.2	Modeling f via Gaussian Processes (GPs)	33	
		3.2.3	Conditional Mutual Information	33	
		3.2.4	The GP-UCB approach	34	
	3.3	GP-BU	JCB Algorithm and Regret Bounds	36	
		3.3.1	GP-BUCB: An Overview	36	
		3.3.2	General Regret Bound	39	
		3.3.3	Suitable Choices for C	40	
		3.3.4	Corollary Regret Bound: GP-BUCB	41	
		3.3.5	Better Bounds Through Initialization	42	
	3.4	Adapt	sive Parallelism: GP-AUCB	43	
		3.4.1	GP-AUCB Algorithm	44	
		3.4.2	Local Stopping Conditions Versus Global Stopping Conditions	47	
	3.5	Lazy '	Variance Calculations	48	
	3.6	Comp	utational Experiments	49	
		3.6.1	Experimental Comparisons	49	
		3.6.2	Data Sets	51	
			3.6.2.1 Synthetic Benchmark Problems	51	
			3.6.2.2 Automated Vaccine Design	52	
			3.6.2.3 Spinal Cord Injury (SCI) Therapy	53	
		3.6.3	Computational Performance	54	
		3.6.4	Parallelism: Costs and Tradeoffs	55	
	3.7	Concl	usions	56	
4	Ani	mal St	zudies	64	
	4.1	Introd	luction	64	
	4.2	Animal Studies 64 1 Introduction 64			

		4.2.1	Injury, Implantation, and Animal Care	
		4.2.2	Parylene Arrays	
		4.2.3	Wire-based Spinal Stimulating Arrays	
		4.2.4	Animal Testing Procedures	
	4.3	Objec	tive Function	
	4.4	Modif	ications to the GP-BUCB algorithm	
		4.4.1	Time Variation of the Reward Function	
		4.4.2	Redundancy Control and Repeated Observations	
	4.5	Kerne	l and Mean Functions	
	4.6	Result	ss	
		4.6.1	Wire-Based Array Animals: Results	
		4.6.2	Parylene Microarray Animals: Results	
		4.6.3	Computational Performance	
	4.7	Discus	ssion	
		4.7.1	Wire-based Array Animals	
		4.7.2	Cross-animal Comparisons	
		4.7.3	Parylene Array Animals	
		4.7.4	Therapeutic Relevance	
		4.7.5	Kernels and Hyperparameters	
	4.8	Concl	usions	
5	Tow	ard H	uman Studies 104	
	5.1	Organ	ization	
	5.2	Prior	Human Experiments	
	5.3	Pilot A	Applications of GP-BUCB to Human SCI Therapy: Introduction 105	
	5.4	Mathe	ematical Methods	
		5.4.1	Performance Measures	
			5.4.1.1 Subjective Ratings	
			5.4.1.2 Grading Vector-valued EMG	
		5.4.2	Algorithmic Extensions	
			5.4.2.1 Divorcing Reward from the Function Regressed Upon 110	
			5.4.2.2 Making Decisions Using Vector-Valued Functions 111	
			5.4.2.3 Choosing Paths	
		5.4.3	Novel Covariance Functions	
	5.5	Prelim	ninary Results and Discussion	
	5.6	Exten	sions	

		5.6.1	Time Series Information and Coordination of Muscles	119
		5.6.2	Dynamical Systems Approaches: Cost Functions and LQG $\ \ldots \ \ldots \ \ldots$	120
		5.6.3	Alternative Covariance Functions	121
		5.6.4	Expansions of the Decision Set	122
6	Sun	nmary	Conclusions	123
	6.1	Concl	usions	123
	6.2	Future	e Work	124
\mathbf{A}	The	oretic	al Results: Proofs	136
	A.1	Theor	em 1	136
	A.2	Theor	em 4: Initialization Set Size Bounds	138
		A.2.1	Initialization Set Size: Linear Kernel	139
		A.2.2	Initialization Set Size: Matérn Kernel	140
		A.2.3	Initialization Set Size: Squared Exponential (RBF) Kernel	140
	A.3	GP-AL	JCB: Finite Batch Size	141
В	Tab	ulated	Computational Results	143
	B.1	Tables	s of Results from Experiments	143
\mathbf{C}	Act	ion-ma	atched Animal Plots	148
D	Tow	ard H	uman Studies: Mathematical Results	150
	D.1	Decisi	on-making with an Aggregated Objective	150
	D.2	Proof	Multi-Muscle Uncertainty Term is Non-Increasing	152
	D.3	Path-l	Based Decision Rules	153
\mathbf{E}	Cod	le A va	ilability	155

List of Figures

1.1	The Vertebral Column and Spinal Cord	2
1.2	The Spinal Cord in Cross-section	3
1.3	EES-Based SCI Therapy: Schematic	5
3.1	GP-BUCB: Confidence Interval Containment	38
3.2	Regret: Batch Size = 5	57
3.3	Regret: Delay = 5	58
3.4	Regret: Non-adaptive Algorithms, Batch Sizes = 5, 10, & 20 \dots	59
3.5	Regret: Adaptive Algorithms, Batch Sizes = 5, 10, & 20 \dots	60
3.6	Regret: Delays = 5, 10, & 20	61
3.7	Elapsed Computational Time By Algorithm	62
3.8	Cost Parameterization: Algorithmic Tradeoffs	63
4.1	Parylene Array Device	68
4.2	Placement of the Array Device Relative to the Spinal Cord	69
4.3	Experimental System Diagram	77
4.4	Animal Experiment Overview: All Observed Evoked Potentials	91
4.5	Reward: Animal 2, Run 1	92
4.6	Reward: Animal 2, Run 2	93
4.7	Reward: Animal 2, Run 3	94
4.8	Reward: Animal 5, Run 1	95
4.9	Reward: Animal 5, Run 2	96
4.10	Reward: Animal 3	97
4.11	Reward: Animal 7	98
4.12	One Testing Day: Animal 5, Run 2, P35 Responses	96
4.13	Animal 5: Retrospective	100
4.14	Animal 7: Final Day Rewards	101
4.15	Cross-animal Comparisons	102
4.16	The Consequences of Kernel Mis-specification	103

5.1	Preliminary Search Paths, Ratings, and EMG Features in Humans	116
C.1	Action-matched Plots for Animal 5, Run 1 and Animal 7	149

List of Tables

3.1	Initialization Set Sizes for Theorem 4	44
3.2	Kernel Functions and Parameters: Computational Experiments	51
4.1	Stimulus Latency Windows	71
4.2	Kernel and Hyperparameter Choices by Experimental Run	78
4.3	Actions Taken Per Run	79
4.4	Repeatability Between Individual Animals	86
4.5	Proportion of Stimuli Yielding Satisfactory Responses	88
B.1	Regret: Batch Size = 5	143
B.2	Regret: Delay = 5	143
B.3	Regret: Non-adaptive Algorithms, Batch Sizes = $5, 10, \& 20$	144
B.4	Regret: Adaptive Algorithms, Batch Sizes = 5, 10, & 20 \dots	145
B.5	Regret: Delays = $5, 10, \& 20$	146
B.6	Elapsed Computational Time By Algorithm	147