

The Optoelectronic Swept-Frequency Laser and Its Applications in Ranging, Three-Dimensional Imaging, and Coherent Beam Combining of Chirped-Seed Amplifiers

Thesis by

Arseny Vasilyev

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy



California Institute of Technology

Pasadena, California

2013

(Defended May 20, 2013)

© 2013

Arseny Vasilyev

All Rights Reserved

Acknowledgments

I am deeply thankful to my advisor, Prof. Amnon Yariv, for taking me into his research group and providing an environment in which I had the freedom to pursue original ideas. Prof. Yariv's advice has been key in picking the direction of our work and his expertise in optical physics has been a continuous source of inspiration.

I thank Profs. Bruno Crosignani, Keith Schwab, Kerry Vahala, and Changhuei Yang for serving on my thesis committee.

I was trained to conduct experiments in optoelectronics by Dr. Naresh Satyan, and I am deeply thankful for his instruction and his patience. I also learned a great deal from Dr. George Rakuljic, and am thankful for his support and for the many technical discussions that we had over the years.

I would like to acknowledge our collaborators at the United States Army Research Laboratories. Dr. Jeffrey White made it possible for us to participate in an exciting research program, and on a few occasions hosted us at the US ARL. I am thankful to have had the opportunity to work with and learn from Dr. White's team and his colleagues: Dr. Eliot Petersen, Dr. Olukayode Okusaga, Dr. Carl Mungan, James Cahill, and Zhi Yang.

I am also thankful to our collaborators at the Jet Propulsion Laboratory: Dr. Baris Erkmen, Dr. John Choi, and Dr. William Farr.

My fellow Yariv group members have supported and encouraged me throughout the years, and I am deeply grateful to have been surrounded with such kind and talented individuals. I have enjoyed my time with Prof. Bruno Crosignani, Prof. Avi Zadok, Dr. Naresh Satyan, Dr. George Rakuljic, Dr. Jacob Sendowski, Dr. Christos Santis, Dr. Hsi-Chun Liu, Dr. Xiankai Sun, Scott Steger, Yasha Vilenchik, Mark

Harfouche, Marilena Dimotsantou, Sinan Zhao, and Dongwan Kim. I am particularly thankful to Dr. Reg Lee for the excellent technical advice that he has given to us over the years. I am grateful to Connie Rodriguez for taking care of all of us and for making sure that I started writing my thesis on time. I would also like to acknowledge Alireza Ghaari, Kevin Cooper and Mabel Chik for their support.

I want to thank my parents and family for their love, support, and patience with me on this journey. Lastly, I want to thank my new best friend, Debi, for filling my life with joy and happiness over these last several months.

Abstract

This thesis explores the design, construction, and applications of the optoelectronic swept-frequency laser (SFL). The optoelectronic SFL is a feedback loop designed around a swept-frequency (chirped) semiconductor laser (SCL) to control its instantaneous optical frequency, such that the chirp characteristics are determined solely by a reference electronic oscillator. The resultant system generates precisely controlled optical frequency sweeps. In particular, we focus on linear chirps because of their numerous applications. We demonstrate optoelectronic SFLs based on vertical-cavity surface-emitting lasers (VCSELs) and distributed-feedback lasers (DFBs) at wavelengths of 1550 nm and 1060 nm. We develop an iterative bias current predistortion procedure that enables SFL operation at very high chirp rates, up to 10^{16} Hz/sec. We describe commercialization efforts and implementation of the predistortion algorithm in a stand-alone embedded environment, undertaken as part of our collaboration with Telaris, Inc. We demonstrate frequency-modulated continuous-wave (FMCW) ranging and three-dimensional (3-D) imaging using a 1550 nm optoelectronic SFL.

We develop the technique of multiple source FMCW (MS-FMCW) reflectometry, in which the frequency sweeps of multiple SFLs are “stitched” together in order to increase the optical bandwidth, and hence improve the axial resolution, of an FMCW ranging measurement. We demonstrate computer-aided stitching of DFB and VCSEL sweeps at 1550 nm. We also develop and demonstrate hardware stitching, which enables MS-FMCW ranging without additional signal processing. The culmination of this work is the hardware stitching of four VCSELs at 1550 nm for a total optical bandwidth of 2 THz, and a free-space axial resolution of $75\text{ }\mu\text{m}$.

We describe our work on the tomographic imaging camera (TomICam), a 3-D

imaging system based on FMCW ranging that features non-mechanical acquisition of transverse pixels. Our approach uses a combination of electronically tuned optical sources and low-cost full-field detector arrays, completely eliminating the need for moving parts traditionally employed in 3-D imaging. We describe the basic TomICam principle, and demonstrate single-pixel TomICam ranging in a proof-of-concept experiment. We also discuss the application of compressive sensing (CS) to the TomICam platform, and perform a series of numerical simulations. These simulations show that tenfold compression is feasible in CS TomICam, which effectively improves the volume acquisition speed by a factor ten.

We develop chirped-wave phase-locking techniques, and apply them to coherent beam combining (CBC) of chirped-seed amplifiers (CSAs) in a master oscillator power amplifier configuration. The precise chirp linearity of the optoelectronic SFL enables non-mechanical compensation of optical delays using acousto-optic frequency shifters, and its high chirp rate simultaneously increases the stimulated Brillouin scattering (SBS) threshold of the active fiber. We characterize a 1550 nm chirped-seed amplifier coherent-combining system. We use a chirp rate of 5×10^{14} Hz/sec to increase the amplifier SBS threshold threefold, when compared to a single-frequency seed. We demonstrate efficient phase-locking and electronic beam steering of two 3 W erbium-doped fiber amplifier channels, achieving temporal phase noise levels corresponding to interferometric fringe visibilities exceeding 98%.

Contents

Acknowledgments	iii
Abstract	v
List of Figures	x
List of Tables	xviii
Glossary of Acronyms	xx
1 Overview and Thesis Organization	1
1.1 Introduction	1
1.2 Ranging and 3-D Imaging Applications	2
1.2.1 Optical FMCW Reflectometry	2
1.2.2 Multiple Source FMCW Reflectometry	3
1.2.3 The Tomographic Imaging Camera	3
1.3 Phase-Locking and Coherent Combining of Chirped Optical Waves	4
2 Optical FMCW Reflectometry	6
2.1 Introduction	6
2.1.1 Basic FMCW Analysis and Range Resolution	8
2.1.2 Balanced Detection and RIN	12
2.1.3 Effects of Phase Noise on the FMCW Measurement	15
2.1.3.1 Statistics and Notation	15
2.1.3.2 Linewidth of Single-Frequency Emission	16

2.1.3.3	Fringe Visibility in an FMCW Measurement	19
2.1.3.4	Spectrum of the FMCW Photocurrent and the SNR	23
2.1.3.5	Phase-Noise-Limited Accuracy	28
2.1.4	Summary	29
3	The Optoelectronic Swept-Frequency Laser	30
3.1	Introduction	30
3.2	System Analysis	31
3.2.1	The Optoelectronic SFL as a PLL	32
3.2.2	Small-Signal Analysis	35
3.2.3	Bias Current Predistortion	38
3.3	Design of the Optoelectronic SFL	42
3.3.1	SCL Choice	42
3.3.2	Amplitude Control	46
3.3.3	Electronics and Commercialization	46
3.4	Experimental Results	48
3.4.1	Precisely Controlled Linear Chirps	48
3.4.2	Arbitrary Chirps	50
3.5	Demonstrated Applications	53
3.5.1	FMCW Reflectometry Using the Optoelectronic SFL	53
3.5.2	Profilometry	55
3.6	Summary	55
4	Multiple Source FMCW Reflectometry	57
4.1	Introduction	57
4.2	Theoretical Analysis	58
4.2.1	Review of FMCW Reflectometry	58
4.2.2	Multiple Source Analysis	61
4.2.3	Stitching	63
4.3	Experimental Demonstrations	67
4.3.1	Stitching of Temperature-Tuned DFB Laser Sweeps	67

4.3.2	Stitching of Two VCSELs	76
4.3.3	Hardware Stitching of Four VCSELs	77
4.4	Summary	80
5	The Tomographic Imaging Camera	82
5.1	Introduction	82
5.1.1	Current Approaches to 3-D Imaging and Their Limitations . .	83
5.1.2	Tomographic Imaging Camera	87
5.1.2.1	Summary of FMCW Reflectometry	88
5.1.2.2	TomICam Principle	90
5.1.2.3	TomICam Proof-of-Principle Experiment	92
5.2	Compressive Sensing	96
5.2.1	Compressive Sensing Background	96
5.2.2	TomICam Posed as a CS Problem	97
5.2.3	Robust Recovery Guarantees	99
5.2.3.1	Random Partial Fourier Measurement Matrix	99
5.2.3.2	Gaussian or Sub-Gaussian Random Measurement Matrix	100
5.2.4	Numerical CS TomICam Investigation	101
5.3	Summary	106
6	Phase-Locking and Coherent Beam Combining of Broadband Linearly Chirped Optical Waves	108
6.1	Introduction	108
6.2	Coherent Beam Combining	109
6.3	Phase-Locking of Chirped Optical Waves	111
6.3.1	Homodyne Phase-Locking	112
6.3.2	Heterodyne Phase-Locking	118
6.3.3	Passive-Fiber Heterodyne OPLL	120
6.4	Coherent Combining of Chirped Optical Waves	124
6.4.1	Passive-Fiber CBC Experiment	124

6.4.2	Combining Phase Error in a Heterodyne Combining Experiment	127
6.4.3	Free-Space Beam Combining of Erbium-Doped Fiber Amplifiers	127
6.5	Summary	131
7	Conclusion	133
7.1	Summary of the Thesis	133
7.1.1	Development of the Optoelectronic SFL	133
7.1.2	Ranging and 3-D Imaging Applications	134
7.1.2.1	MS-FMCW Reflectometry and Stitching	134
7.1.2.2	The Tomographic Imaging Camera	135
7.1.3	Phase-Locking and CBC of Chirped Optical Waves	136
7.2	Current and Future Work	137
Appendices		
A	Time-Domain Phase Analysis Using I/Q Demodulation	140
B	Phase-Noise-Limited Tiled-Aperture Fringe Visibility	142
	Bibliography	143

List of Figures

2.1	Time evolution of the optical frequencies of the launched and reflected waves in a single-scatterer FMCW ranging experiment	9
2.2	Mach-Zehnder interferometer implementation of the FMCW ranging experiment	10
2.3	Michelson interferometer implementation of the FMCW ranging experiment	10
2.4	A balanced Mach-Zehnder interferometer implementation of the FMCW ranging experiment	14
2.5	A balanced Michelson interferometer implementation of the FMCW ranging experiment	14
2.6	Convergence of the Monte Carlo simulation of the baseband electric field spectrum (blue) to the theoretical expression (red). The angular linewidth is $\Delta\omega = 2\pi(1 \text{ MHz})$. N is the number of iterations used in calculating the PSD estimate.	20
2.7	Normalized frequency noise spectra (top panel) and corresponding baseband electric field spectra (bottom panel) for $\Delta\omega = 2\pi(900 \text{ kHz})$ (black), $2\pi(300 \text{ kHz})$ (blue), and $2\pi(100 \text{ kHz})$ (green). The spectra are averaged over N=1000 iterations. The red curves are plots of the theoretical line-shape for the three values of $\Delta\omega$	21
2.8	Baseband FMCW photocurrent spectra for four different values of τ/τ_c , normalized to zero-frequency noise levels. The scan time is $T = 1 \text{ ms}$ and the coherence time is $\tau_c = 1 \mu\text{s}$	26
2.9	FMCW SNR as a function of τ/τ_c for three different values of T/τ_c	27

3.1	Schematic diagram of the SCL-based optoelectronic SFL	32
3.2	Elements of the optoelectronic SFL lumped together as an effective VCO	34
3.3	Small-signal frequency-domain model of the optoelectronic SFL	35
3.4	Single predistortion results	39
3.5	Iterative predistortion results	41
3.6	Measured optical spectra of DFB and VCSEL SFLs at wavelengths of 1550 nm and 1060 nm	43
3.7	Schematic diagram of the amplitude controller feedback system	44
3.8	Comparison between the off(blue) and on(red) states of the SOA ampli- tude controller	45
3.9	Comparison between the off(blue) and on(red) states of the VOA am- plitude controller	45
3.10	Optoelectronic SFL printed circuit board layouts	47
3.11	The 1550 nm CHDL system.	47
3.12	MZI photocurrent spectrum during the predistortion process and in the locked state	49
3.13	Locked MZI spectra of various SFLs for different values of the chirp rate ξ . The x-axis in all the plots corresponds to the chirp rate.	51
3.14	Quadratic chirp spectrogram	52
3.15	Exponential chirp spectrogram	52
3.16	FMCW reflectometry of acrylic sheets using the VCSEL-based opto- electronic SFL with a chirp bandwidth of 500 GHz and a wavelength of ~ 1550 nm	54
3.17	Depth profile of a United States \$1 coin measured using the VCSEL- based optoelectronic SFL with a chirp bandwidth of 500 GHz and a wavelength of ~ 1550 nm	56
4.1	Schematic of an FMCW ranging experiment. PD: Photodetector . . .	58

4.2	Schematic representation of single-source FMCW reflectometry. Top panel: the window function $a(\omega)$ corresponding to a single chirp. Bottom panel: The underlying target function $y_{\text{target}}(\omega)$ (blue) and its portion that is measured during the single sweep (red)	60
4.3	Schematic representation of dual-source FMCW reflectometry. Top panel: the window function $a(\omega)$ corresponding to two non-overlapping chirps. Bottom panel: The underlying target function $y_{\text{target}}(\omega)$ (blue) and its portion that is measured during the two sweeps (red)	60
4.4	Multiple source model. (a) ω -domain description. The top panel shows a multiple source window function $a_N(\omega)$. This function may be decomposed into the sum of a single-source window function (middle panel) and a function that describes the inter-sweep gaps (bottom panel). (b) ζ -domain description. The three figures show the amplitudes of the ζ -domain FTs of the corresponding functions from part (a).	62
4.5	Schematic of a multiple source FMCW ranging experiment. A reference target is imaged along with the target of interest, so that the inter-sweep gaps may be recovered. BS: Beamsplitter. PD: Photodetector	64
4.6	Proposed multiple source FMCW system architecture. BS: Beamsplitter. PD: Photodetector	67
4.7	Optical spectra of the two DFB sweeps (blue and red) and the optical spectrum analyzer PSF (black)	68
4.8	Single-sweep and stitched two-sweep photocurrent spectra of a dual reflector target with a separation of 5.44 mm. No apodization was used.	68
4.9	Single-sweep and stitched two-sweep photocurrent spectra of a dual reflector target with a separation of 1.49 mm. No apodization was used.	69
4.10	Single-sweep and stitched two-sweep photocurrent spectra of a dual reflector target with a separation of 1.00 mm (a microscope slide). No apodization was used.	69

4.11	The gray and black curves correspond to single-sweep and stitched three-sweep photocurrent spectra, respectively. No apodization was used. (a) Single reflector spectrum. (b) Glass slide spectrum. The peaks correspond to reflections from the two air-glass interfaces. The slide thickness is 1 mm.	71
4.12	Dual VCSEL FMCW reflectometry system diagram. The feedback loop ensures chirp stability. A reference target is used to extract the intersweep gaps. PD: Photodiode, BS: Beamsplitter	72
4.13	Optical spectra of the two VCSEL sweeps in the 250 GHz experiment .	73
4.14	Optical spectra of the two VCSEL sweeps in the ~ 1 THz experiment .	73
4.15	Single-sweep and stitched two-sweep photocurrent spectra of dual reflector targets with various separations. The total chirp bandwidth is 250 GHz. No apodization was used.	74
4.16	Single-sweep and stitched two-sweep photocurrent spectra of dual reflector targets with various separations. The total chirp bandwidth is ~ 1 THz. No apodization was used.	75
4.17	Four channel 2 THz hardware stitching experiment	78
4.18	Optical spectra of the four 1550 nm VCSEL sweeps in the 2 THz hardware stitching experiment	78
4.19	Schematic representation of a family of locked states (red) of the optoelectronic SFL. In lock, the SCL (black) follows the locked state that most closely matches its free-running chirp. In hardware stitching, temperatures and currents are tuned so that all the MS-FMCW channels operate in the same locked state (blue).	79
4.20	Top panel: time-domain stitched photocurrent in the hardware stitching experiment. Bottom panel: Single-sweep (black) and stitched four-sweep (red) photocurrent spectra of a $150\ \mu\text{m}$ glass microscope coverslip suspended above a metal surface. The spectra are apodized with a Hamming window. The total chirp bandwidth is 2 THz.	81

5.1	Principle of FMCW imaging with a single reflector	83
5.2	(a) Volume acquisition by a raster scan of a single-pixel FMCW measurement across the object space. (b) Volume acquisition in a TomICam system. 3-D information is recorded one transverse slice at a time. The measurement depth is chosen electronically by setting the frequency of the modulation waveform.	87
5.3	(a) Spectrum of the FMCW photocurrent. The peaks at frequencies $\xi\tau_1$, $\xi\tau_2$, and $\xi\tau_3$, where ξ is the chirp rate, correspond to scatterers at τ_1 , τ_2 , and τ_3 . (b) The beam intensity is modulated with a frequency $\xi\tau_1$, shifting the signal spectrum, such that the peak due to a reflector at τ_1 is now at DC. This DC component is measured by a slow integrating detector.	88
5.4	(a) Single-pixel FMCW system. The interferometric signal is recorded using a fast photodetector, and reflector information is recovered at all depths at once. (b) Single-pixel TomICam. The beam intensity is modulated with a sinusoid, and the interferometric signal is integrated using a slow detector. This gives one number per scan, which is used to calculate the reflector information at a particular depth, determined by the modulation frequency.	89
5.5	A possible TomICam configuration utilizing a CCD or CMOS pixel array in a Michelson interferometer. Each transverse point (x, y) at a fixed depth (z) in the object space is mapped to a pixel on the camera. The depth (z) is tuned electronically by adjusting the frequency of the modulation waveform $W(t)$	92
5.6	Schematic diagram of the TomICam proof-of-principle experiment. A slow detector was modeled by a fast detector followed by an integrating analog-to-digital converter. The detector signal was sampled in parallel by a fast oscilloscope, to provide a baseline FMCW depth measurement.	93

5.7	The custom PCB used in the TomICam experiment. Implemented functionality includes triggered arbitrary waveform generation and high-bit-depth acquisition of an analog signal.	93
5.8	Comparison between FMCW (red) and TomICam (blue) depth measurements. The two are essentially identical except for a set of ghost targets at $\frac{1}{3}$ of the frequency present in the TomICam spectrum. These ghosts are due to the third-order nonlinearity of the intensity modulator used in this experiment.	95
5.9	Characterization of the FMCW and TomICam dynamic range. The signal-to-noise ratio was recorded as a function of attenuation in one of the interferometer arms. At low attenuations, the SNR saturates due to SFL phase noise and residual nonlinearity.	95
5.10	Flow diagram and parameters of the CS TomICam simulation	101
5.11	SER curves for a CS simulation with a Gaussian random matrix	102
5.12	SER curves for a CS simulation with a waveform matrix given by the absolute value of a Gaussian random matrix	102
5.13	SER curves for a CS simulation with a waveform matrix whose entries are uniformly distributed between 0 and 1	104
5.14	SER curves for a CS simulation with a waveform matrix whose entries are uniformly distributed between 0.5 and 1	104
5.15	SER curves for a CS simulation with a waveform matrix whose entries take on the values of 0.5 or 1 with equal probabilities	105
5.16	SER curves for an $N = 1000$ CS simulation with a waveform matrix whose entries are uniformly distributed between 0.5 and 1	105
6.1	Intuitive description of chirped-seed amplifier coherent beam combining. A path-length mismatch between amplifier arms results in a frequency difference at the combining point, and can therefore be compensated using a frequency shifter placed before amplifier 2.	111

6.2	Passive-fiber chirped-wave optical phase-locked loop in the homodyne configuration. PD: Photodetector	113
6.3	Small-signal frequency-domain model of the homodyne chirped-wave optical phase-locked loop. The model is used to study the effect noise and fluctuations (green blocks) on the loop output variable $\delta\theta_{12}(\omega)$	113
6.4	Passive-fiber chirped-wave optical phase-locked loop in the heterodyne configuration. PD: Photodetector	117
6.5	Small-signal frequency-domain model of the heterodyne chirped-wave optical phase-locked loop. The model is used to study the effect noise and fluctuations (green blocks) on the loop output variable $\delta\theta_{rn}(\omega)$	117
6.6	Locked-state Fourier spectrum of the measured beat signal between the reference and amplifier arms, over a 2 ms chirp interval. The nominal loop delay parameters are $\tau_d = 20$ m and $\tau_{r1} \approx 0$ m. The time-domain signal was apodized with a Hamming window.	120
6.7	(a) Phase difference between the reference and amplifier arms calculated using the I/Q demodulation technique. The three curves (offset for clarity) correspond to different values of the loop delay τ_d and the path-length mismatch τ_{r1} . (b) Transient at the beginning of the chirp. The locking time is determined by the loop bandwidth, which is limited by the AOFS to about 60 KHz.	121
6.8	Schematic diagram of the passive-fiber chirped-seed CBC experiment with two channels. Heterodyne optical phase-locked loops are used to lock the amplifier (blue, green) and reference (black) arms. The outputs of the amplifier arms are coupled to a microlens (μ -lens) array to form a two-element tiled-aperture beam combiner. The far-field intensity distribution of the aperture is imaged on a CCD camera.	123
6.9	Characterization of the two heterodyne OPLLs in the locked state. $\tau_d \approx 0$ m, $\tau_{r1} = \tau_{r2} \approx 0$ cm.	125
6.10	Characterization of the two heterodyne OPLLs in the locked state. $\tau_d \approx 18$ m, $\tau_{r1} = \tau_{r2} \approx 0$ cm.	125

6.11	Characterization of the two heterodyne OPLLs in the locked state. $\tau_d \approx 18$ m, $\tau_{r1} = \tau_{r2} \approx 32$ cm.	125
6.12	Experimental demonstration of electronic phase control and beam steering of chirped optical waves. (a) Far-field intensity profiles for the unlocked and phase-locked cases. The position of the fringes is controlled by varying the phase of the electronic oscillator in one loop. (b) Horizontal cross sections of the far-field intensity patterns	126
6.13	Schematic diagram of the dual-channel CSA coherent-combining experiment. PD: Photodetector, PM: Back-scattered power monitor	128
6.14	Far-field intensity distributions of the individual channels and the locked aperture. $\tau_{r1} = -19$ mm, and $\tau_{r2} = 1$ mm	130
6.15	Steering of the combined beam through emitter phase control. $\theta_{os,12}$ is the relative DDS phase.	130
6.16	I/Q-demodulated phase differences between the amplifier channels and the reference. $\theta_{os,12}$ is the relative DDS phase.	131
7.1	(a) Hybrid Si/III-V DFB laser bar. (b) Scanning electron microscope (SEM) image of a 1×3 multimode interference (MMI) coupler, (c) SEM image of a 2×2 MMI coupler. (d) SEM closeup of the a spiral delay line for the loop Mach-Zehnder interferometer (MZI)	137
7.2	Schematic of the hybrid Si/III-V high-coherence semiconductor laser. (a) Side-view cross section. (b) Top-view of the laser and the modulated-bandgap resonator	138
7.3	Schematic representation of the label-free biomolecular sensing system	139

List of Tables

5.1	Recent three-dimensional (3-D) camera embodiments	86
6.1	Measured OPLL phase error standard deviation and phase-locking efficiency for different values of the loop delay τ_d and the differential delay τ_{r1}	122
6.2	OPLL phase errors and phase-noise-limited fringe visibilities in the dual-channel active CBC experiment	132

Glossary of Acronyms

2-D two-dimensional

3-D three-dimensional

AOFS acousto-optic frequency shifter

CBC coherent beam combining

CHDL chirped diode laser

CS compressive sensing

CSA chirped-seed amplifier

DDS direct digital synthesis

DFB distributed-feedback laser

EDFA erbium-doped fiber amplifier

FDML Fourier-domain mode-locked

FM frequency modulation

FMCW frequency-modulated continuous-wave

FSR free spectral range

FT Fourier transform

FWHM full width at half maximum

GRIN gradient-index

I/Q in-phase and quadrature

lidar light detection and ranging

MEMS microelectromechanical

MOPA master oscillator power amplifier

MS-FMCW multiple source FMCW

MZI Mach-Zehnder interferometer

OPLL optical phase-locked loop

PCB printed circuit board

PD photodetector

PLL phase-locked loop

PSD power spectral density

PSF point spread function

radar radio detection and ranging

RF radio frequency

RIN relative intensity noise

SBS stimulated Brillouin scattering

SCL semiconductor laser

SER signal-to-error ratio

SFL swept-frequency laser

SNR signal-to-noise ratio

SOA semiconductor optical amplifier

SS-OCT swept-source optical coherence tomography

TOF time-of-flight

TomICam tomographic imaging camera

VCO voltage-controlled oscillator

VCSEL vertical-cavity surface-emitting laser

VOA variable optical attenuator