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## Abstract

For a toric Del Pezzo surface $S$, a new instance of mirror symmetry, said relative, is introduced and developed. On the $A$-model, this relative mirror symmetry conjecture concerns genus 0 relative Gromov-Witten of maximal tangency of $S$. These correspond, on the $B$-model, to relative periods of the mirror to $S$. Furthermore, two conjectures for BPS state counts are related. It is proven that the integrality of BPS state counts of $K_{S}$, the total space of the canonical bundle on $S$, implies the integrality for the relative BPS state counts of $S$. Finally, a prediction of homological mirror symmetry for the open complement is explored. The $B$-model prediction is calculated in all cases and matches the known $A$-model computation when $S=\mathbb{P}^{2}$.
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## Chapter 1

## Introduction

The study of mirror symmetry in mathematics originated in theoretical physics and has, since the 1980s, led to an intensive interaction between the two fields. An essential ingredient of mirror symmetry is the curve counting theory of Gromov-Witten invariants. Denote by $S$ a Del Pezzo surface and by $D$ a smooth effective anti-canonical divisor on it. Furthermore, let $\beta \in \mathrm{H}_{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ be an effective curve class. This data is associated with the genus 0 GromovWitten invariants $I_{\beta}\left(K_{S}\right)$ of $K_{S}$, the total space of the canonical bundle on $S$. Local mirror symmetry for $K_{S}$ asserts that these Gromov-Witten invariants are computed via periods on its mirror variety. Alternatively, one considers genus 0 relative Gromov-Witten invariants of $S$ relative to $D$. Such invariants are virtual counts of genus curves in $S$ with a variety of tangency conditions along $D$. These are indexed by the weight partition of the cohomology of $D$. One such choice governs the genus 0 relative Gromov-Witten invariants of maximal tangency, denoted by $N_{\beta}(S, D)$. This requires that the curves meet $D$ in exactly one point, thus assures that the relevant moduli space is zero-dimensional and hence removes the need for insertions. In chapter 4, we introduce a conjecture relating these relative invariants of maximal tangency to relative periods on the mirror to $S$. We call this new instance relative mirror symmetry and prove it when $S$ is toric. A formulation of relative mirror symmetry for general genus 0 relative Gromov-Witten invariants is under development by the author. The proof we present for the invariants of maximal tangency relies on the following theorem, which was proven for $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ by Gathmann in [1], and then extended by Graber-Hassett to all Del Pezzo surfaces (unpublished):

Theorem 1. (Gathmann, Graber-Hassett) With the above notation,

$$
N_{\beta}(S, D)=(-1)^{\beta \cdot D}(\beta \cdot D) I_{\beta}\left(K_{S}\right)
$$

We explore further ramifications of this formula in chapters 2 and 5 . In chapter 2 we consider BPS state counts, which are refinements of Gromov-Witten invariants. Whereas Gromov-Witten invariants are rational numbers in general, BPS state counts are expected to be integers. For Calabi-Yau three-folds, of which $K_{S}$ are examples, this was conjectured by Gopakumar-Vafa in [2] and [3]. Relative BPS state counts for log Calabi-Yau surface pairs, of which $(S, D)$ are examples, were introduced by Gross-Pandharipande-Siebert in [4]. The authors conjecture that these invariants are integers as well. We prove that the conjecture for $K_{S}$ implies the conjecture for $(S, D)$. In chapter 5 , we are interested in the homological mirror symmetry conjecture for the open complement $S-D$. The conjecture states that the derived Fukaya category of $S-D$ ought to be equivalent to the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves of its mirror $M_{S}$. Taking Hochschild cohomology on both sides yields the expectation that the Hochschild cohomology of $M_{S}$ is isomorphic to the symplectic cohomology of $S-D$. As a step towards verifying this prediction of homological mirror symmetry, we calculate the Hochschild cohomology of $M_{S}$ as a module over the polynomial ring. For $\mathbb{P}^{2}-D$, this matches up with the calculation of its symplectic cohomology by Nguyen-Pomerleano.

## Chapter 2

## Local and relative BPS state counts

This chapter are the results of joint work with Tony W. H. Wong and Gjergji Zaimi. Denote by $S$ a Del Pezzo surface, by $D$ a smooth effective anti-canonical divisor on it and by $K_{S}$ the total space of the canonical bundle on $S$. Furthermore, let $\beta \in \mathrm{H}_{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ be an effective non-zero curve class. On one side are the genus 0 degree $\beta$ relative Gromov-Witten invariants of maximal tangency $N_{\beta}(S, D)$ of $(S, D)$. On the other side are the genus 0 degree $\beta$ local Gromov-Witten invariants $I_{\beta}\left(K_{S}\right)$ of $K_{S}$. Theorem 1 relates these two sets of invariants via

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{\beta}(S, D)=(-1)^{\beta \cdot D}(\beta \cdot D) I_{\beta}\left(K_{S}\right) . \tag{2.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

In general, Gromov-Witten invariants are rational numbers, since the relevant moduli spaces are Deligne-Mumford stacks. Since $K_{S}$ is Calabi-Yau, generically genus 0 curves are embedded with normal bundle isomorphic to $\mathcal{O}(-1) \oplus \mathcal{O}(-1)$. For $d \geq 1$, a degree $d$ cover of such a curve will contribute to the degree $d \beta$ invariant $I_{d \beta}\left(K_{S}\right)$. This contribution is quantified by the Aspinwall-Morrison formula to be $1 / d^{3}$, proven by Manin in [5]. The BPS state counts $n(\beta)$ are the rational numbers defined via

$$
\begin{equation*}
I_{\beta}\left(K_{S}\right)=\sum_{k \mid \beta} \frac{n(\beta / k)}{k^{3}} . \tag{2.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

If all embedded genus 0 curves were of the above form, the $n(\beta)$ would count actual genus 0 degree $\beta$ curves in $K_{S}$. This is false in general. It is nevertheless conjectured by Gopakumar-

Vafa that $n(\beta) \in \mathbb{Z}$ for all Calabi-Yau 3-folds. This was proven in the case where $K_{S}$ is toric by Peng in [6]. On the other side, in [4] Gross-Pandharipande-Siebert introduce relative BPS state counts for log Calabi-Yau surface pairs, of which $(S, D)$ are examples. Assume that $\beta$ is primitive and set $w=D \cdot \beta$. For $d \geq 1$, consider the relative GW invariant $N_{d \beta}(S, D)$. Adopting the same notation as in [4], we write

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{S}[d w]=N_{d \beta}(S, D) \tag{2.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

The authors consider the generating series

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{S}=\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} N_{S}[d w] q^{d} \tag{2.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

Computing multiple cover contributions leads the authors to define the relative BPS numbers $n_{S}[d w] \in \mathbb{Q}$ via

$$
\begin{equation*}
N_{S}=\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} n_{S}[d w] \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}}\binom{k(d w-1)-1}{k-1} q^{d k} \tag{2.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

Analogously to the local case, Gross-Pandharipande-Siebert conjecture that the $n_{S}[d w]$ are integers for all $d \geq 1$. In this chapter, we prove the following theorem.

Theorem 2. (Garrel-Wong-Zaimi) Let $\beta \in \mathrm{H}_{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ be an effective non-zero primitive curve class. For $d \geq 1$, consider the two sequences of rational numbers $N_{d \beta}(S, D)$ and $I_{d \beta}\left(K_{S}\right)$ and assume that they satisfy equation (2.1) for all $d \beta$. Define two sequences of rational numbers $n_{S}[d w]$ and $n(d)$ by means of the equations (2.2), (2.3), (2.4) and (2.5). Then:

$$
n_{S}[d w] \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall d \geq 1 \Longleftrightarrow d w \cdot n(d) \in \mathbb{Z}, \forall d \geq 1
$$

An immediate consequence then is:
Corollary 3. The conjecture on the integrality of the local BPS invariants of $K_{S}$ implies the conjecture on the integrality of the relative BPS invariants of $(S, D)$.

Moreover, the result in [6] on the integrality for the toric local case implies:

Corollary 4. If $S$ is toric, then its relative BPS numbers are integers.

We prove theorem 2 in the next two sections.

### 2.1 A formula relating the invariants

Assuming that $\beta$ is primitive, formula (2.2) applied to $d \beta$ gives

$$
\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} I_{d \beta}\left(K_{S}\right) q^{d}=\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} \sum_{k \mid d} \frac{1}{k^{3}} n\left(\frac{d}{k} \beta\right) q^{d}
$$

Combining this with formula (2.1) and noting that $d \beta \cdot D=d w$ yields

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{S} & =\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} N_{S}[d w] q^{d} \\
& =\sum_{d=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{d w} d w I_{d \beta}\left(K_{S}\right) q^{d} \\
& =\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^{d}(-1)^{d w} d w \sum_{k \mid d} \frac{1}{k^{3}} n_{S}\left(\frac{d}{k} \beta\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 5. The $n_{S}[d w]$ are related to the $n(d \beta)$ by the formula

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{S} & =\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^{d} \sum_{k \mid d} \frac{1}{k^{2}}\binom{k\left(\frac{d}{k} w-1\right)-1}{k-1} n_{S}\left[\frac{d}{k} w\right] \\
& =\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} q^{d}(-1)^{d w} d w \sum_{k \mid d} \frac{1}{k^{3}} n_{S}\left(\frac{d}{k} \beta\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. This follows from the change of variable $\tilde{d}=d k$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
N_{S} & =\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} n_{S}[d w] \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}}\binom{k(d w-1)-1}{k-1} q^{d k} \\
& =\sum_{\tilde{d}=1}^{\infty} q^{\tilde{d}} \sum_{k \mid \tilde{d}} \frac{1}{k^{2}}\binom{k\left(\frac{\tilde{d}}{k} w-1\right)-1}{k-1} n_{S}\left[\frac{\tilde{d}}{k} w\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

Fix a positive integer $m$. We write the formula of lemma (5), capped in degree $m+1$ and larger, in matrix form: Let row $d$ encode the terms in $q^{d}$, and let $\frac{d}{k}$ parametrize the columns. Then, the above $m$ equations turn into:

$$
\begin{equation*}
R\left[n_{S}[d w]\right]_{d}=A \cdot L \cdot A^{-1}\left[(-1)^{d w} d w n(d)\right]_{d} \tag{2.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& R_{i j}:=\left\{\begin{array}{ll}
\frac{1}{(i / j)^{2}} \begin{array}{cc}
\left.i_{i / j}^{i / j w-1)-1} i\right) & \text { if } j \mid i, \\
0 & \text { else } ;
\end{array} \\
A_{i j}:=(-1)^{i w} i w \cdot \delta_{i j} ; \\
L_{i j}:= \begin{cases}\frac{1}{(i / j)^{3}} & \text { if } j \mid i, \\
0 & \text { else. }\end{cases}
\end{array} .\left\{\begin{array}{l}
\text { in }
\end{array}\right.\right.
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that multiplying with $A^{-1}$ yields a matrix of determinant $\pm 1$.
Notation. For a square-free integer $n$, let $\#_{p}(n)$ denote the number of primes in the prime factorization of $n$. Moreover, for integers $k$ and $m$, write $k \in I(m)$ to mean that $k$ divides $m$ and that $m / k$ is square-free.

Lemma 6. Define the $m \times m$ matrix $C$ as follows. If $t \mid s$, let

$$
\begin{equation*}
C_{s t}:=\frac{(-1)^{s w}}{(s / t)^{2}} \sum_{k \in I(s / t)}(-1)^{\#_{p}(s / k t)}(-1)^{k t w}\binom{k(t w-1)-1}{k-1}, \tag{2.7}
\end{equation*}
$$

If $t$ does not divide $s$, set $C_{\text {st }}=0$. Then the invariants $\left\{n_{S}[d w]\right\}$ and $\left\{(-1)^{d w} d w n(d)\right\}$, for $1 \leq d \leq m$, are related via

$$
C \cdot\left[n_{S}[d w]\right]_{d}=\left[(-1)^{d w} d w n(d)\right]_{d} .
$$

Moreover, $C$ has determinant $\pm 1$ and is lower triangular. It follows by Cramer's rule that

$$
C \text { integral } \Longleftrightarrow C^{-1} \text { integral. }
$$

Proof. We start by writing $L=B \cdot \tilde{L} \cdot B^{-1}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
\tilde{L}_{i j} & = \begin{cases}1 & \text { if } j \mid i, \\
0 & \text { else }\end{cases} \\
B_{i j} & =\frac{1}{i^{3}} \cdot \delta_{i j}
\end{aligned}
$$

By Möbius inversion, the inverse of $\tilde{L}$ is given by

$$
\tilde{L}_{i j}^{-1}= \begin{cases}(-1)^{\#_{p}(i / j)} & \text { if } j \mid i \text { and } i / j \text { is square-free } \\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

Moreover,

$$
(A B)_{i j}=(-1)^{i w} \frac{w}{i^{2}} \cdot \delta_{i j}
$$

and

$$
\left((A B)^{-1}\right)_{i j}=(-1)^{i w} \frac{i^{2}}{w} \cdot \delta_{i j} .
$$

It now follows from formula (2.6) that the matrix C is given by

$$
C=A B \cdot \tilde{L}^{-1} \cdot(A B)^{-1} \cdot R .
$$

We calculate that

$$
\left(A B \cdot \tilde{L}^{-1}\right)_{s r}= \begin{cases}(-1)^{s w} \frac{w}{s^{2}}(-1)^{\#_{p}(s / r)} & \text { if } r \mid s \text { and } s / r \text { is square-free, } \\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

and that

$$
\left((A B)^{-1} \cdot R\right)_{r t}= \begin{cases}(-1)^{r w} \frac{r^{2}}{w} \frac{1}{(r / t)^{2}}\binom{r / t(t w-1)-1}{r / t-1} & \text { if } t \mid r \\ 0 & \text { else }\end{cases}
$$

If $t$ does not divide $s$, then there is no integer $r$ such that $t|r| s$, so that $C_{s t}=0$. If, however, $t \mid s$, then

$$
\begin{aligned}
C_{s t} & =\sum(-1)^{s w}(-1)^{\#_{p}(s / r)}(-1)^{r w} \frac{1}{(s / t)^{2}}\binom{r / t(t w-1)-1}{r / t-1} \\
& =(-1)^{s w} \frac{1}{(s / t)^{2}} \sum(-1)^{\#_{p}(s / r)}(-1)^{r w}\binom{r / t(t w-1)-1}{r / t-1},
\end{aligned}
$$

where the sum runs over all $r$ such that $t|r| s$ and such that $s / r$ is square-free. Set $k=r / t$, so that, for $t$ dividing $s$,

$$
C_{s t}=(-1)^{s w} \frac{1}{(s / t)^{2}} \sum_{k \in I(s / t)}(-1)^{\#_{p}(s / k t)}(-1)^{k t w}\binom{k(t w-1)-1}{k-1}
$$

finishing the proof.

Lemma 6 reduces theorem 2 to proving that the coefficients of the matrix $C$ are integers. We show this in lemmas 9 and 10.

### 2.2 Integrality of $C$

The following lemma follows directly form the proof of lemma $A .1$ of [6]. ${ }^{1}$
Lemma 7. (Peng) Let $a, b$ and $\alpha$ be positive integers and denote by $p$ a prime number. If $p=2$, assume furthermore that $\alpha \geq 2$. Then

$$
\binom{p^{\alpha} a-1}{p^{\alpha} b-1} \equiv\binom{p^{\alpha-1} a-1}{p^{\alpha-1} b-1} \quad \bmod \left(p^{2 \alpha}\right)
$$

[^0]In the case that $p=2$ and $\alpha=1$, we have the following lemma:

Lemma 8. Let $k \geq 1$ be odd and let a be a positive integer. Then

$$
\binom{2 k a-1}{2 k-1}+(-1)^{a}\binom{k a-1}{k-1} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod (4)
$$

Proof. Note that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\binom{2 k a-1}{2 k-1} & =\frac{2 k a-1}{2 k-1} \cdot \frac{2 k a-2}{2 k-2} \cdots \frac{2 k a-2 k+2}{2} \cdot \frac{2 k a-2 k+1}{1} \\
& =\frac{2 k a-1}{2 k-1} \cdot \frac{k a-1}{k-1} \cdots \frac{k a-k+1}{1} \cdot \frac{2 k a-2 k+1}{1} \\
& =\frac{(k a-1)(k a-2) \cdots(k a-k+1)}{(k-1)(k-2) \cdots 1} \cdot \frac{(2 k a-1)(2 k a-3) \cdots(2 k a-2 k+1)}{(2 k-1)(2 k-3) \cdots 1} \\
& =\binom{k a-1}{k-1} \cdot \frac{(2 k a-1)(2 k a-3) \cdots(2 k a-2 k+1)}{(2 k-1)(2 k-3) \cdots 1}
\end{aligned}
$$

and hence

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \binom{2 k a-1}{2 k-1}+(-1)^{a}\binom{k a-1}{k-1} \\
= & \binom{k a-1}{k-1}\left((-1)^{a}+\frac{(2 k a-1)(2 k a-3) \cdots(2 k a-2 k+1)}{(2 k-1)(2 k-3) \cdots 1}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

It thus suffices to show that

$$
\begin{equation*}
\frac{(2 k a-1)(2 k a-3) \cdots(2 k a-2 k+1)}{(2 k-1)(2 k-3) \cdots 1} \equiv(-1)^{a+1} \quad \bmod (4) . \tag{2.8}
\end{equation*}
$$

Suppose first that $a$ is even, so that the left-hand-side of (2.8) is congruent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{(-1)(-3) \cdots(-(2 k-3))(-(2 k-1))}{1 \cdot 3 \cdots(2 k-3)(2 k-1)} \\
\equiv & (-1)^{k} \equiv(-1)^{a+1} \quad \bmod (4),
\end{aligned}
$$

where the last congruence follows form the fact that $k$ is odd. Suppose now that $a$ is odd.

Then the left-hand-side of the expression (2.8) is congruent to

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \frac{2 k(a-1)+(2 k-1)}{2 k-1} \cdot \frac{2 k(a-1)+(2 k-3))}{2 k-3} \cdots \frac{2 k(a-1)+1}{1} \\
\equiv & 1 \equiv(-1)^{a+1} \quad \bmod (4) .
\end{aligned}
$$

We return to the proof of theorem 2. If $s=t$, then $C_{s t}= \pm 1$ and is therefore an integer. We assume henceforth that $t \mid s$, but $t \neq s$. Let $p$ be a prime number and $\alpha$ a positive integer. For an integer $n$, we use the notation

$$
p^{\alpha} \| n
$$

to mean that $p^{\alpha} \mid n$, but $p^{\alpha+1} \nmid n$. In order to show that $C_{s t} \in \mathbb{Z}$, we show, for every prime number $p$, that if

$$
p^{\alpha} \| \frac{s}{t}
$$

then

$$
p^{2 \alpha} \left\lvert\, \sum_{k \in I(s / t)}(-1)^{\#_{p}(s / k t)}(-1)^{k t w}\binom{k(t w-1)-1}{k-1} .\right.
$$

Fix a prime number $p$ and a positive integer $\alpha$ such that

$$
p^{\alpha} \| \frac{s}{t}
$$

For $k \in I(s / t)$ to be square-free, it is necessary that $p^{\alpha-1} \mid k$. This splits into the two cases

$$
k=p \cdot l, \text { or } k=l,
$$

where

$$
l \in I(s / p t)
$$

Regrouping the terms of the expression of (2.7) accordingly yields

$$
\sum_{l \in I(s / p t)} \sum_{k \in\{l, p l\}}(-1)^{\not \#_{p}(s / k t)}(-1)^{k t w}\binom{k(t w-1)-1}{k-1} .
$$

Thus, it suffices to prove that for all $l \in I(s / p t)$,

$$
f(l):=\sum_{k \in\{l, p l\}}(-1)^{\not p_{p}(s / k t)}(-1)^{k t w}\binom{k(t w-1)-1}{k-1} \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \left(p^{2 \alpha}\right)
$$

which we proceed in showing. There are two cases: either the sign $(-1)^{k t w}$ in the above sum changes or not. The only case where the sign does not change is when $p=2, \alpha=1$, and both $t$ and $w$ are odd.

Lemma 9. Assume that either $p \neq 2$ or, if $p=2$, that $\alpha>1$. Then

$$
f(l) \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \left(p^{2 \alpha}\right) .
$$

Proof. In this situation,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(l) & = \pm\left(\binom{p l(t w-1)-1}{k-1}-\binom{l(t w-1)-1}{k-1}\right) \\
& \equiv 0 \quad \bmod \left(p^{2 \alpha}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

by lemma 7 .

Lemma 10. Assume that $p=2$ and that $\alpha=1$. Then

$$
f(l) \equiv 0 \quad \bmod (4)
$$

Proof. In this case,

$$
\begin{aligned}
f(l) & = \pm\left(\binom{2 l(t w-1)-1}{2 l-1}+(-1)^{t w-1}\binom{l(t w-1)-1}{l-1}\right) \\
& \equiv 0 \quad \bmod (4)
\end{aligned}
$$

follows from lemma 8.

## Chapter 3

## Mirror geometries to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$

Broadly speaking, mirror symmetry for a Calabi-Yau mirror pair $(X, \check{X})$ states that the association

$$
\check{X} \stackrel{\text { mirror symmetry }}{\leftrightarrows} X,
$$

exchanges the complex and symplectic geometries of $X$ and $\check{X}$. The complex side is called the $B$-model, while the symplectic side is referred to as the $A$-model. The classical formulation of mirror symmetry in addition produces a recipe for computing Gromov-Witten (GW) invariants of $X$ in terms of the periods of $\check{X}$, schematically:

$$
\text { Periods of } \check{X} \longleftrightarrow \text { GW invariants of } X \text {. }
$$

The first part of this chapter consists in reviewing some of the mirror constructions and mirror symmetry statements relating to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$. This will serve to motivate the result on relative mirror symmetry of the next chapter, and the result on the consequence of homological mirror symmetry in the chapter after that. Additionally, in section 3.5 we explore how two families of affine elliptic curves, serving as mirrors for $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$, are related. Throughout this chapter we emphasize an enumerative perspective. From this viewpoint, local mirror symmetry calculates the genus 0 local Gromov-Witten invariants of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, which are the genus 0 Gromov-Witten invariants of $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$. This terminology is justified by the fact that genus 0 stable maps into $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ factor through $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.

### 3.1 Mirror symmetry statements for $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$

Denote by $\theta_{z}$ the logarithmic differential $z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. For holomorphic functions

$$
f: \Delta^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

consider the differential equation

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} f=0 \tag{3.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{L}=\theta_{z}^{3}+3 z \theta_{z}\left(3 \theta_{z}+1\right)\left(3 \theta_{z}+2\right)
$$

This is called the $A$-hypergeometric differential equation associated to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Chiang-Klemm-Yau-Zaslow in [8] show that (the Taylor coefficients of) solutions of this equation, via change of variable and analytic continuation, calculate the local GW invariants of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. The solutions to the above equation are expressed as periods of various mirror geometries, which in turn are based on the mirror constructions developed by Batyrev in [9] and [10]. In [8], the authors consider the family of affine elliptic curves

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\phi}:=\left\{P_{\phi}(x, y):=x y-\phi\left(x^{3}+y^{3}+1\right)=0 \mid x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}\right\} \tag{3.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\phi \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$and periods of the 1-form

$$
\operatorname{Res}_{P_{\phi}=0}\left(\log P_{\phi}\right) \frac{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y}{x y}
$$

Using the same family, Takahashi in [11] expresses mirror symmetry with relative periods. These are integrals of the relative cohomology class $\frac{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y}{x y} \in \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, B_{\phi} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ over relative homology classes in $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, B_{\phi} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Based on [9], Stienstra in [12] proves that periods of the family

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{\check{q}}^{0}:=\left\{\left.0=1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{\check{q}}{t_{1} t_{2}} \right\rvert\,\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}\right\} \tag{3.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

for $\check{q} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, correspond to solutions of (A.1). Here, the author considers integrals over
the cohomology class $\frac{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y}{x y} \in \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, M_{\tilde{q}}^{0} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$. We show how the two families are related in lemma 11 and proposition 13 below. Hori-Iqbal-Vafa in [13] consider the related family of open 3-folds

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{\check{q}}:=\left\{x y=F_{\check{q}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right): \left.=1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{\check{q}}{t_{1} t_{2}} \right\rvert\,(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{2},\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}\right\} \tag{3.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

and integrals of the holomorphic 3-form

$$
\text { Res } \frac{1}{x y-F_{\tilde{q}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{d} t_{1} \mathrm{~d} t_{2}}{t_{1} t_{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y \in \mathrm{H}^{3}\left(Z_{\tilde{q}}, \mathbb{Z}\right) .
$$

Hosono in [14] proves that these periods yield solutions to the differential equation (A.1). The mirror to $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ of this form was constructed by Gross-Siebert in [15], see also [16], by passing through tropical geometry. A construction avoiding tropical geometry and emphasizing semiflat coordinates was elaborated by Chan-Lau-Leung in [17]. Geometrically, $M_{\tilde{q}}^{0}$ is the fixed locus of the $\mathbb{C}^{\times}$-action on $Z_{\check{q}}$ given by

$$
\lambda \cdot\left(x, y, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\left(\lambda x, \lambda^{-1} y, t_{1}, t_{2}\right)
$$

By instead taking the GIT-quotient of the same action, one gets a correspondence of the periods of each family. This was described by Gross in [18] and thoroughly developed by Konishi-Minabe in [19]. The latter authors describe the variation of mixed Hodge structures on $M_{\tilde{q}}^{0}$ and $Z_{\tilde{q}}$, yielding a natural language for the local $B$-model. Denote by $\phi$ a third root of $\check{q}$. After the coordinate change

$$
t_{i} \mapsto \phi t_{i}
$$

the family $M_{\tilde{q}}^{0}$ is described as

$$
\left\{\left.0=1+\phi\left(t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{1}{t_{1} t_{2}}\right) \right\rvert\, t_{1}, t_{2} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}\right\} .
$$

Setting

$$
W_{0}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right):=t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{1}{t_{1} t_{2}},
$$

we can rewrite $M_{\tilde{q}}^{0}$ as the family parametrized by the fibers

$$
W_{0}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=-1 / \phi
$$

of the superpotential

$$
\begin{equation*}
W_{0}: \mathbb{T}^{2} \longrightarrow \mathbb{C} \tag{3.5}
\end{equation*}
$$

A Landau-Ginzburg model consists of a complex manifold and a holomorphic function on it, called the superpotential. The present Landau-Ginzburg model, namely ( $\mathbb{T}^{2}, W_{0}$ ), is the mirror to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ constructed by Givental in [20]. In terms of periods, mirror symmetry in this setting sets up a correspondence between oscillatory integrals on $\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, W_{0}\right)$ and GromovWitten invariants of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Implementing the SYZ conjecture, the Gross-Siebert program relates both sides of this correspondence to tropical disk counts of tropical $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, see, e.g., [21]. Mirror symmetry for $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ also states that the quantum cohomology ring $\mathrm{Q}^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is isomorphic to the Jacobian ring $\operatorname{Jac}\left(W_{0}\right)$ of $W_{0}$. In terms of the homological mirror symmetry (HMS) conjecture introduced by Kontsevich at the ICM in Zürich (cf. [22]), this is categorified as follows. Define $M$ by compactifying the fibers of $W_{0}$, i.e.,

$$
M=\left\{t_{1}^{2} t_{2}+t_{1} t_{2}^{2}+t_{3}^{3}-s t_{1} t_{2} t_{3}\right\} \subset \mathbb{P}_{\mathbb{C}[s]}^{2},
$$

and denote by $W$ the extension of $W_{0}$ to $M$. The generic fiber of $W$ is an elliptic curve (mirror to an elliptic curve in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ ) and the three singular fibers are simple nodal curves of arithmetic genus 1. Homological mirror symmetry then predicts a set of correspondences

$$
(M, W) \leftrightarrow \mathbb{P}^{2}
$$

Complex geometry of $\operatorname{Crit}(W) \leftrightarrow$ Symplectic geometry of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$,
Symplectic geometry of $\operatorname{Crit}(W) \leftrightarrow$ Complex geometry of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$,
where $\operatorname{Crit}(W)$ denotes the critical locus of $W .{ }^{1}$ On one side, the symplectic geometry of $\operatorname{Crit}(W)$ is described by the derived category of Lagrangian vanishing-cycles $D \operatorname{Lag}_{v c}(W)$, while its complex geometry is encoded in its category of matrix factorizations $\mathrm{MF}(W)$. On the other side, the symplectic geometry of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is described by its Fukaya category $\mathcal{F}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$, whereas its derived category of coherent sheaves $D \operatorname{Coh}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ encodes its complex geometry. Kontsevich's HMS conjecture states that these categories ought to be equivalent: ${ }^{2}$

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{MF}(W) & \simeq \mathcal{F}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right) \\
D \operatorname{Lag}_{v c}(W) & \simeq D \operatorname{Coh}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The second correspondence was proven by Auroux-Katzarkov-Orlov in [23], building on work by Seidel of [24]. ${ }^{3}$ A proof of the first correspondence was announced by Abouzaid-Fukaya-Oh-Ohta-Ono. The data of Gromov-Witten invariants is encoded by these categories as well, albeit too abstractly to allow for calculations: $\mathrm{Q} \mathrm{H}{ }^{*}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$ is the Hochschild cohomology of $\mathcal{F}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)$; and $\operatorname{Jac}(W)=\operatorname{Jac}\left(W_{0}\right)$ is the Hochschild cohomology of $\operatorname{MF}(W)$.

### 3.2 The SYZ conjecture

The discussion in the previous section elaborates on an algebraic viewpoint of mirror symmetry. That is, we introduced different varieties that function as mirrors, i.e., their periods calculate Gromov-Witten invariants of the $A$-model. These statements do not explain why mirror symmetry holds. Enters the Strominger-Yau-Zaslow (SYZ) conjecture, see [26], which provides a geometric explanation of mirror symmetry in terms of dualizing Lagrangian torus fibrations. This conjecture is not expected to hold in full generality, but adaptations of it have led to a geometric understanding of mirror symmetry. The mirror constructions for $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ are schematically summarized as in the diagram below. As usual, denote by $D$

[^1]a smooth effective anti-canonical divisor on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, i.e., an elliptic curve, and denote by $T$ the toric divisor on $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, namely the union of the coordinate axes.


The geometric construction of the family $Z_{\check{q}}$ was carried out by Gross-Siebert using tropical geometry in [16]. The Gross-Siebert program aims at explaining mirror symmetry in terms of the SYZ-conjecture by passing through tropical geometry, cf. [15], [21] for $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and the book [27]. Chan-Lau-Leung in [17] give an alternative construction of the family $Z_{\check{q}}$ without passing through tropical geometry: The SYZ conjecture describes how to construct a mirror for an open subvariety $V$ of $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$. In order to get a mirror to the entire space, that mirror needs to be deformed by quantum/instanton corrections. These are encoded by open GromovWitten invariants that arise in the Lagrangian torus fibration constructed by Gross for $V$, see [18].

### 3.3 Batyrev's construction

In this section, we describe in more detail Batyrev's mirror constructions relating to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, following the exposition of Konishi-Minabe in [19]. The families $M_{\tilde{q}}^{0}$ of A. 3 and $Z_{\check{q}}$ of A. 4 are affine open subsets of the families we introduce here. Start with

$$
\mathbb{L}_{\text {reg }}=\left\{\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{C}^{4} \mid a_{1} a_{2} a_{3} \neq 0, \frac{a_{0}^{3}}{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}+27 \neq 0\right\}
$$

and consider the $\mathbb{T}^{3}$-action

$$
\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \cdot\left(a_{0}, a_{1}, a_{2}, a_{3}\right)=\lambda_{0}\left(a_{0}, \lambda_{1} a_{1}, \lambda_{2} a_{2}, \frac{1}{\lambda_{1} \lambda_{2}} a_{3}\right)
$$

as well as the character

$$
\begin{aligned}
\chi: \mathbb{T}^{3} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times}, \\
\left(\lambda_{i}\right) & \mapsto \lambda_{0}^{3} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote the associated GIT-quotient by $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$. All points of $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ are stable and $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ is identified to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\{-1 / 27\}$ via

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}} & \rightarrow \mathbb{P}^{1} \\
\left(a_{i}\right) & \mapsto\left[a_{0}^{3}, a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}\right] .
\end{aligned}
$$

For $a=\left(a_{i}\right) \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$, define the Laurent polynomial

$$
F_{a}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=a_{0}+a_{1} t_{1}+a_{2} t_{2}+\frac{a_{3}}{t_{1} t_{2}} .
$$

Denote by

$$
M_{a}^{0} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}
$$

the family of affine 3 -folds whose fibers are given by

$$
\left\{F_{a}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)+x y=0 \mid\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{2},(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{2}\right\}
$$

and by

$$
Z_{a} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}
$$

the family of affine curves whose fibers are given by

$$
\left\{F_{a}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=0 \mid\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}\right\}
$$

Consider the affine open $\left\{a_{0} \neq 0\right\} \subset \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ with coordinate

$$
\check{q}:=\frac{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{a_{0}^{3}},
$$

so that $\check{q}$ parametrizes $\mathbb{C}^{\times} \backslash\{-1 / 27\}$. This will yield the families $M_{\tilde{q}}^{0}$ and $Z_{\check{q}}$ with the singular fiber removed. Consider the change of variable

$$
t_{i} \mapsto \frac{a_{0}}{a_{i}} t_{i}
$$

to get

$$
F_{a}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=a_{0}\left(1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{\check{q}}{t_{1} t_{2}}\right) .
$$

If $a_{0} \neq 0$, then

$$
M_{\tilde{q}}^{0} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times} \backslash\{-1 / 27\}
$$

is given by

$$
\left\{\left.0=1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{\check{q}}{t_{1} t_{2}} \right\rvert\,\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}\right\}
$$

as in (A.3). On the other hand, by dividing, e.g., $y$ by $a_{0}$, the family

$$
Z_{\check{q}} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{\times} \backslash\{-1 / 27\}
$$

is described as

$$
\left\{\left.x y=1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{\check{q}}{t_{1} t_{2}} \right\rvert\,(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{2},\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}\right\}
$$

which agrees with (A.4).
Remark. Two points are in order:

1. Described this way, the GIT-quotient $\mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}$ may seem arbitrary. In fact, the authors
in [19] start by considering the respective families over $\mathbb{L}$ and then consider the GIT quotient induced by the action

$$
F_{a}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \mapsto \lambda_{0} F_{a}\left(\lambda_{1} t_{1}, \lambda_{2} t_{2}\right),\left(\lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}, \lambda_{3}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{3}
$$

The resulting families are the same as the ones described above.
2. Also, as detailed in [19], the construction readily generalizes to other toric Del Pezzo surfaces.

### 3.4 Local mirror symmetry for $\mathbb{P}^{2}$

We overview the mirror construction to $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ given by Chan-Lau-Leung in [17]. The authors consider as complex moduli of $Z_{\check{q}}$ the punctured unit open disk $\Delta^{\times}$with complex parameter $\check{q}$. The Kähler moduli of $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ is isomorphic to $\Delta^{\times}$and we denote by $q \in \Delta^{\times}$the Kähler parameter. Denote by $c(q)$ a certain generating series of open Gromov-Witten invariants. The instanton-corrected ${ }^{4}$ mirror to $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ is any one member of the family parametrized by $q$ of non-compact Calabi-Yau varieties

$$
\left\{\left.x y=1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{q}{c(q)^{3} t_{1} t_{2}} \right\rvert\,(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{2},\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}\right\}
$$

The complex parameter $q \in \Delta^{\times}$parametrizes the symplectic structure of $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$. The authors provide conjectural evidence that the map

$$
q \mapsto \check{q}:=\frac{q}{c(q)^{3}},
$$

[^2]provides an isomorphism between the Kähler moduli of $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ and the complex moduli of $Z_{\check{q}}$, thus being inverse to the mirror map
$$
\check{q} \mapsto \exp \left(-I_{2}(\check{q})\right),
$$
where $I_{2}(\check{q})$ is defined in the next chapter to be the logarithmic solution to the differential equation (A.1).

### 3.5 Relating two families by coordinate change

We end this chapter by describing how the families of (A.2) and (A.3) are related. Recall that, for $\check{q}, \phi \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, these two families were given by

$$
B_{\phi}=\left\{0=x y-\phi\left(x^{3}+y^{3}+1\right) \mid(x, y) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}\right\}
$$

and

$$
M_{\check{q}}^{0}=\left\{\left.0=1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{\check{q}}{t_{1} t_{2}} \right\rvert\,\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}\right\}
$$

Consider the following embeddings into projective space:

- Denote by $N_{\phi} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}$the family given by

$$
\begin{equation*}
X Y Z-\phi\left(X^{3}+Y^{3}+Z^{3}\right)=0 \tag{3.6}
\end{equation*}
$$

- Then $B_{\phi}$ is the affine variety $N_{\phi}-\{X Y Z=0\}$, so that

$$
\begin{gathered}
B_{\phi} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times} \\
B_{\phi}: x y-\phi\left(x^{3}+y^{3}+1\right)=0,
\end{gathered}
$$

with affine coordinates $x=\frac{X}{Z}, y=\frac{Y}{Z}$.

- On the other hand, denote by $M_{\check{q}} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}$ the family given by

$$
T_{1}^{2} T_{2}+T_{1} T_{2}^{2}+T_{3}^{3}-\check{q} T_{1} T_{2} T_{3}=0
$$

- Then $M_{\check{q}}^{0}$ is the affine variety $M_{\check{q}}-\left\{T_{1} T_{2} T_{3}=0\right\}$. Choosing affine coordinates $t_{1}=\frac{T_{1}}{T_{3}}$, $t_{2}=\frac{T_{2}}{T_{3}}$, we get

$$
\begin{gathered}
M_{\check{q}}^{0} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times} \\
M_{t}^{0}: t_{1}^{2} t_{2}+t_{1} t_{2}^{2}+1-\check{q} t_{1} t_{2}=0
\end{gathered}
$$

Comparing the Weierstrass forms of each families, we obtain the following result.
Lemma 11. For $\phi \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}, \phi^{3} \neq 1 / 27$; and for $\check{q} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}, \ddot{q}^{3} \neq 27$, the families

$$
N_{\phi^{3}} \text { and } M_{\tilde{q}^{3}},
$$

parametrized by $\phi^{3}$ and $\check{q}^{3}$, have isomorphic fibers via the identification

$$
\check{q}^{3}=\frac{-1}{\phi^{3}-1 / 27} .
$$

More precisely, the isomorphism of the fibers is given by the projective change of variable

$$
\begin{aligned}
& X=2 \sqrt{3} i T_{1}+(3+\sqrt{3} i) T_{2}-(1+\sqrt{3} i) \check{q} T_{3}, \\
& Y=\bar{X}=-2 \sqrt{3} i T_{1}+(3-\sqrt{3} i) T_{2}+(-1+\sqrt{3} i) \check{q} T_{3}, \\
& Z=6 \phi \check{q} T_{3}
\end{aligned}
$$

or, alternatively, by the projective change of variable

$$
\begin{aligned}
& T_{1}=-3(1+\sqrt{3} i) \phi \check{q} X+3(-1+\sqrt{3} i) \phi \check{q} Y+2 \check{q} Z, \\
& T_{2}=6 \phi \check{q}(X+Y)+2 \check{q} Z, \\
& T_{3}=6 Z .
\end{aligned}
$$

Proof. By direct verification.

We remove the fibers above $\phi^{3}=1 / 27$ and $\check{q}^{3}=27$, so that $M_{\check{q}}$ and $N_{\phi}$ have isomorphic fibers. Moreover, lemma 11 gives an explicit isomorphism between the families. Consider now the family $N_{\phi}$ to be embedded into $\mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, via the map

$$
M_{\check{q}} \rightarrow N_{\phi} \subset \mathbb{P}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times}
$$

We proceed to removing the divisor

$$
\{X Y Z=0\}
$$

from both families. On $N_{\phi}$, we get the above family $B_{\phi}$ with affine coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
x & =\frac{X}{Z}, \\
y & =\frac{Y}{Z} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Denote by $A_{\check{q}} \subset M_{\check{q}}$ the resulting family. Since $Z$ is never zero on $A_{\breve{q}}, T_{3}$ is never zero either and we we can use affine coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
t_{1} & =\frac{T_{1}}{T_{3}} \\
t_{2} & =\frac{T_{2}}{T_{3}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Lemma 12. For $\check{q} \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}, \check{q}^{3} \neq 27$ and affine coordinates $t_{1}$ and $t_{2}$, the fiber $A_{\check{q}}$ is obtained
by removing the union of two lines given by

$$
3\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)^{2}-3\left(t_{1}+\check{q}\right)\left(t_{2}+\check{q}\right)+4 \check{q}^{2} .
$$

This corresponds to the union of the coordinate axes $x y=0$ on a fiber of $B_{\phi}$ such that $\check{q}^{3}=\frac{-1}{\phi^{3}-1 / 27}$.

Denote by $\zeta$ a primitive third root of unity and consider the affine coordinates $x, y$ on

$$
B_{\phi} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times} \backslash\left\{\left.\frac{1}{27} \zeta^{k} \right\rvert\, k=0,1,2\right\}
$$

as well as $t_{1}, t_{2}$ on

$$
M_{\tilde{q}}^{0} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2} \times \mathbb{C}^{\times} \backslash\left\{27 \zeta^{k} \mid k=0,1,2\right\}
$$

For $\Gamma_{\phi}$ a 2-cycle in $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ with its boundary supported in $B_{\phi}$, Takahashi in [11] considers the relative periods

$$
I(\phi):=\int_{\Gamma_{\phi}} \frac{\mathrm{d} x \wedge \mathrm{~d} y}{x y},
$$

and shows that $I\left(\phi^{3}\right)$ satisfies the $A$-hypergeometric differential equation (A.1) associated to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. It follows that $I\left(\phi^{3}\right)$ calculates the local Gromov-Witten invariants of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. Using lemma 11 to move the above integral to the family $M_{\tilde{q}}^{0}$, we get the following result:

Proposition 13. Denote by $\Delta_{\check{q}}$ a 2 -cycle in $\mathbb{T}^{2}$ with boundary supported in $M_{\tilde{q}}^{0}$. Consider the relative periods

$$
\Psi(\check{q}):=\int_{\Delta_{\check{q}}} \frac{3 \sqrt{3} i \mathrm{~d} t_{1} \mathrm{~d} t_{2}}{3\left(t_{1}+t_{2}\right)^{2}-3\left(t_{1}+\check{q}\right)\left(t_{2}+\check{q}\right)+4 \check{q}^{2}} .
$$

Then the functions $\Psi\left(\frac{-1}{\phi^{3}-1 / 27}\right)$, for $\phi \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}, \phi^{3} \neq 0$, and for various 2-cycles $\Delta_{\tilde{q}}$, correspond to solutions of A.1.

## Conclusion

Starting from the family $M_{\check{q}}$, we can either remove the divisor $\left\{T_{1} T_{2} T_{3}=0\right\}$ and consider relative periods of $\frac{\mathrm{d} t_{1} \mathrm{~d} t_{2}}{t_{1} t_{2}}$ and get the result on relative periods from [12]. Or we can remove the divisor

$$
\left\{\left(3\left(T_{1}+T_{2}\right)^{2}-3\left(T_{1}+\check{q}\right)\left(T_{2}+\check{q}\right)+4 \check{q}^{2}\right) T_{3}=0\right\},
$$

and consider the above relative periods to get the same result.

## Chapter 4

## Relative mirror symmetry

The goal of this chapter is to introduce relative mirror symmetry. We start by stating the theorem and then verify it separately for all toric Del Pezzo surfaces. In the appendix, we describe the Gromov-Witten invariant calculations and the solutions to the system of $A$-hypergeometric differential equation more explicitely in the cases of $\mathbb{P}^{2}, \mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ blown up in one point. Recall the notation $\Delta^{\times}$for the closed punctured unit disk.

Definition. For a Landau-Ginzburg model $\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, W\right)$ and $x \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, let $\Gamma=\Gamma(x)$ be a coherent choice of relative 2 -cycles of the fibers of $W$, i.e.,

$$
\Gamma(x) \in \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, W^{-1}(x) ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

Consider moreover the integrals

$$
f_{\Gamma}^{W}(x):=\int_{\Gamma(x)} \omega_{0},
$$

where $\omega_{0}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{d} t_{1} \mathrm{~d} t_{2}}{t_{1} t_{2}}\right] \in \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, W^{-1}(x) ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$. Then the relative periods associated to $W$ and $\Gamma$ are defined, for $x \in \Delta^{\times}$, by

$$
I_{\Gamma}^{W}(x):=f_{\Gamma}^{W}(1 / x) .
$$

Theorem 14. Let $S$ be a toric Del Pezzo surface and let $D$ be a smooth effective anticanonical divisor on it. Denote by $\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, W^{S}\right)$ its mirror Landau-Ginzburg model and by $r$ the dimension of the Kähler moduli of $S$. Then there is an $(r-1)$-dimensional family $W_{\phi}^{S}$ of deformations of the superpotential $W^{S}$, parametrized by $\phi \in\left(\Delta^{\times}\right)^{r-1}$, with the following
property. For coherent choices of relative 2-cycles

$$
\Gamma_{\phi}=\Gamma_{\phi}(x) \in \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2},\left(W_{\phi}^{S}\right)^{-1}(x) ; \mathbb{Z}\right),
$$

consider the relative periods

$$
I_{\Gamma_{\phi}}^{W_{\phi}^{S}}(x),
$$

where $(x, \phi) \in \Delta^{\times} \times\left(\Delta^{\times}\right)^{r-1}$. Then these periods, via change of variable and analytic continuation, calculate the genus 0 relative Gromov-Witten invariants of maximal tangency of $(S, D)$.

Remark. Denote by $K_{S}$ the total space of the canonical bundle on $S$. Theorem 1 yields a correspondence between the genus 0 Gromov-Witten invariants of $K_{S}$ and the relative Gromov-Witten invariants of the conjecture. The solutions to the $A$-hypergeometric system of differential equations associated to $S$ calculate the genus 0 Gromov-Witten invariants of $K_{S}$. Therefore, it is enough to show that, via change of variable and analytic continuation, the above relative periods yield the solutions to the $A$-hypergeometric system of differential equations.

In the next section, we review some aspects of local mirror symmetry for toric Del Pezzo surfaces. In the sections thereafter, we prove theorem 14 separately for each toric Del Pezzo surface. Denote by $S_{i}$, for $i=1,2$ and 3 the toric Del Pezzo surface obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ in $i$ general points.

### 4.1 Reflexive polytopes and local mirror symmetry

We start by reviewing the mirror constructions by Batyrev of [10], as well as parts of the local mirror symmetry calculations of Chiang-Klemm-Yau-Zaslow in [8]. For a toric Del Pezzo surface $S$, denote by $\Delta_{S}$ the 2-dimensional integral reflexive polytope such that

$$
S=\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_{S}}
$$

Then these polytopes are as follows. Note that the number of 2 -simplices equals the number of independent solutions to the associated system of $A$-hypergeometric differential equations.


Starting from $\Delta_{S}$, Batyrev in [10] describes how to construct a family of affine elliptic curves whose mirror family is induced by the dual $\Delta_{S}^{*}$. For the periods of the family induced by $\Delta_{S}$, Chiang-Klemm-Yau-Zaslow in [8] derive associated systems of Picard-Fuchs equations. The authors moreover show how the solutions to these equations yield, via change of variable and analytic continuation, the genus 0 Gromov-Witten invariants of $K_{S}$. We proceed to describing how these families of affine elliptic curves are constructed. Denote by $m$ the number of vertices of $\Delta_{S}$. We label the vertices counterclockwise $v_{1}, \ldots, v_{r}$, starting with the vertex at $(1,0)$. Let moreover $v_{0}=(0,0)$ and set

$$
\bar{v}_{i}=\left(1, v_{i}\right), i=0, \ldots, m
$$

Denote by $r$ the dimension of the Kähler moduli of $S$. For $j=1, \ldots, r$, consider an integral basis of linear relations $\left\{l^{j}=\left(l_{0}^{j}, \ldots, l_{m}^{j}\right)\right\}$ among the $\bar{v}_{i}$. That is, such that

$$
\sum_{i=0}^{r} l_{i}^{j} \bar{v}_{i}=0 .
$$

In addition, the $l^{j}$ are required to span the Mori cone of $\mathbb{P}_{\Delta_{S}}$. This condition uniquely determines the $l^{j}$ for all $S$ except for $S_{3}$. The authors obtain the following relations:

1. For $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ :

$$
l^{1}=(-3,1,1,1)
$$

2. For $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ :

$$
l^{1}=(-2,1,0,1,0), l^{2}=(-2,0,1,0,1)
$$

3. For $S_{1}$ :

$$
l^{1}=(-2,1,0,1,0), l^{2}=(-1,0,1,-1,1)
$$

4. For $S_{2}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l^{1}=(-1,1,-1,1,0,0), l^{2}=(-1,0,1,-1,1,0) \\
& l^{3}=(-1,-1,1,0,0,1)
\end{aligned}
$$

5. For $S_{3}$ we use the following choice:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& l^{1}=(-1,1,-1,1,0,0,0), \quad l^{2}=(-1,0,1,-1,1,0,0) \\
& l^{3}=(-1,-1,2,-1,0,1,0), l^{4}=(-1,-1,1,0,0,0,1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

The authors furthermore describe how to obtain from this data the system of $A$-hypergeometric differential equations associated to $S$. We do not recall it, as it is not relevant here. Nevertheless:

Theorem 15. (Chiang-Klemm-Yau-Zaslow in [8]) The solutions to the A-hypergeometric system of differential equations associated to $S$ compute, via change of variable and analytic continuation, the genus 0 Gromov-Witten invariants of $K_{S}$.

Following [19] and [10], let $\mathbb{L}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$ be the space of Laurent polynomials with Newton polytope $\Delta_{S}$. Write $v_{i}=\left(v_{i}^{1}, v_{i}^{2}\right)$. Then $F_{a} \in \mathbb{L}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$ if

$$
F_{a}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=\sum_{i=0}^{m} a_{i} t_{1}^{v_{i}^{1}} t_{2}^{v_{i}^{2}}
$$

where $\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}$ and $a=\left(a_{0}, \ldots, a_{r}\right)$. In the case of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ for instance, this yields

$$
F_{a}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=a_{0}+a_{1} t_{1}+a_{2} t_{2}+\frac{a_{3}}{t_{1} t_{2}}
$$

Moreover, $F_{a}$ is defined to be $\Delta_{S}$-regular, written $F_{a} \in \mathbb{L}_{\text {reg }}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$, if in addition the following condition is satisfied. For any $0<m \leq 2$ and any $m$-dimensional face $\Delta^{\prime} \subset \Delta_{S}$, the equations

$$
\begin{aligned}
F_{a}^{\Delta^{\prime}} & =0 \\
\frac{\partial F_{a}^{\Delta^{\prime}}}{\partial t_{1}} & =0 \\
\frac{\partial F_{a}^{\Delta^{\prime}}}{\partial t_{2}} & =0
\end{aligned}
$$

have no common solutions. Here,

$$
F_{a}^{\Delta^{\prime}}:=\sum_{v_{i} \in \Delta^{\prime}} a_{i} t_{1}^{v_{i}^{1}} t_{2}^{v_{i}^{2}}
$$

Denote by $\mathcal{Z}_{S} \rightarrow \mathbb{L}_{\text {reg }}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$ the family of affine elliptic curves with fibers given by

$$
\left\{F_{a}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=0\right\} \subset \mathbb{T}^{2}
$$

Consider moreover the $\mathbb{T}^{3}$-action,

$$
F_{a}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \mapsto \lambda_{0} F_{a}\left(\lambda_{1} t_{1}, \lambda_{2} t_{2}\right),
$$

where $\left(\lambda_{0}, \lambda_{1}, \lambda_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{3}$, as well as the GIT-quotient

$$
\mathcal{Z}_{S} / \mathbb{T}^{3} \rightarrow \mathcal{M}\left(\Delta_{S}\right):=\mathbb{L}_{\text {reg }}\left(\Delta_{S}\right) / \mathbb{T}^{3}
$$

Then each of $l^{j}$ determines a $\mathbb{T}^{3}$-invariant complex structure coordinate

$$
z_{j}:=\prod_{i=0}^{r} a_{i}^{l_{i}^{j}},
$$

for $S$. This yields:

1. For $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ :

$$
z=\frac{a_{1} a_{2} a_{3}}{a_{0}^{3}} .
$$

2. For $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ :

$$
z_{1}=\frac{a_{1} a_{3}}{a_{0}^{2}}, z_{2}=\frac{a_{2} a_{4}}{a_{0}^{2}} .
$$

3. For $S_{1}$ :

$$
z_{1}=\frac{a_{1} a_{3}}{a_{0}^{2}}, z_{2}=\frac{a_{2} a_{4}}{a_{0} a_{3}} .
$$

4. For $S_{2}$ :

$$
z_{1}=\frac{a_{1} a_{3}}{a_{0} a_{2}}, z_{2}=\frac{a_{2} a_{4}}{a_{0} a_{3}}, z_{3}=\frac{a_{2} a_{5}}{a_{0} a_{1}} .
$$

5. For $S_{3}$ :

$$
z_{1}=\frac{a_{1} a_{3}}{a_{0} a_{2}}, z_{2}=\frac{a_{2} a_{4}}{a_{0} a_{3}}, z_{3}=\frac{a_{2}^{2} a_{5}}{a_{0} a_{1} a_{3}}, z_{4}=\frac{a_{2} a_{6}}{a_{0} a_{1}} .
$$

Requiring that $z \in\left(\Delta^{\times}\right)^{r}$, for $z=\left(z_{1}, \ldots, z_{r}\right)$, determines a subset of $\mathcal{M}\left(\Delta_{S}\right)$. Denote by $M_{z}^{S} \rightarrow\left(\Delta^{\times}\right)^{r}$ the restriction to this subset. This yields the following list.

$$
\begin{aligned}
M_{z}^{\mathbb{P}^{2}} & =\left\{0=1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{z}{t_{1} t_{2}}\right\}, \\
M_{z}^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}} & =\left\{0=1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{z_{1}}{t_{1}}+\frac{z_{2}}{t_{2}}\right\}, \\
M_{z}^{S_{1}} & =\left\{0=1+t_{1}+z_{1}\left(t_{2}+\frac{1}{t_{1}}\right)+\frac{z_{2}}{t_{1} t_{2}}\right\}, \\
M_{z}^{S_{2}} & =\left\{0=1+z_{1}\left(t_{1}+t_{1} t_{2}+t_{2}\right)+\frac{z_{2}}{t_{1}}+\frac{z_{3}}{t_{2}}\right\}, \\
M_{z}^{S_{3}} & =\left\{0=1+z_{1}\left(t_{1}+t_{1} t_{2}+t_{2}\right)+\frac{z_{2}}{t_{1}}+\frac{z_{3}}{t_{1} t_{2}}+\frac{z_{4}}{t_{2}}\right\} .
\end{aligned}
$$

We will need the following result by Stienstra. The theorem is initially stated for the family $\mathcal{Z}$, but it translates readily to the family $M_{z}^{S}$. Note that the result applies more generally.

Theorem 16. (Stienstra, in [12], see also [19]) Let $S$ be a toric Del Pezzo surface $S$ and consider the relative cohomology class $\omega_{0}=\left[\frac{\mathrm{d} t_{1} \mathrm{~d} t_{2}}{t_{1} t_{2}}\right] \in \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, M_{z}^{S} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$. For coherent choices of relative 2-cycles $\Gamma_{z} \in \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, M_{z}^{S} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$, the period integrals

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}^{S}(z):=\int_{\Gamma_{z}} \omega_{0}
$$

are in bijection with the solutions to the A-hypergeometric system of differential equations associated to $S$.

Combining this with theorem 1 readily yields:

Corollary 17. The period integrals $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}^{S}$, via change of variable and analytic continuation, compute the genus 0 relative Gromov-Witten invariants of $(S, D)$, where $D$ is a smooth effective anti-canonical divisor on $S$.

### 4.2 Proof of relative mirror symmetry

Denote by $\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, W^{S}\right)$ the Landau-Ginzburg model mirror to the toric Del Pezzo surface $S$. Various non-zero values can be taken for the coefficients, so we set them all to 1 . Then:

$$
\begin{aligned}
W^{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) & =1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{1}{t_{1} t_{2}}, \\
W^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) & =1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{1}{t_{1}}+\frac{1}{t_{2}}, \\
W^{S_{1}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) & =1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{1}{t_{1}}+\frac{1}{t_{1} t_{2}}, \\
W^{S_{2}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) & =1+t_{1}+t_{1} t_{2}+t_{2}+\frac{1}{t_{1}}+\frac{1}{t_{2}}, \\
W^{S_{3}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) & =1+t_{1}+t_{1} t_{2}+t_{2}+\frac{1}{t_{1}}+\frac{1}{t_{1} t_{2}}+\frac{1}{t_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

In light of corollary 17 , it suffices to show that the relative periods of $\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, W^{S}\right)$, via change of variable and analytic continuation, are in bijection with the periods $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}^{S}$. We prove theorem 14 for each surface separately.

## Proof for $\mathbb{P}^{2}$

Denote by $x$ a third root of the complex parameter $z$. The family

$$
M_{z}^{\mathbb{P}^{2}}=\left\{0=1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{z}{t_{1} t_{2}}\right\}
$$

after the coordinate change

$$
t_{i} \mapsto x t_{i}
$$

is described as the fibers

$$
W^{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=-1 / x
$$

Note that this coordinate change does not change $\omega_{0}$. Then

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{P}^{2}}(z)=I_{\Gamma}^{W^{\mathbb{P}^{2}}}\left(-x^{3}\right),
$$

that is, the periods $I_{\Gamma}^{W^{\mathbb{P}^{2}}}(x)$, after the change of variables $z=-x^{3}$, are in bijection with the solutions to the $A$-hypergeometric differential equation associated to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. This proves theorem 14 for $\mathbb{P}^{2}$.

## Proof for $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$

Denote by $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in\left(\Delta^{\times}\right)^{2}$ the complex parameter for $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. For $i=1,2$ and for a choice of square-roots $\phi_{i}$ of $z_{i}$, consider the coordinate change

$$
t_{i} \rightsquigarrow \phi_{i} t_{i} .
$$

Then the family $M_{z}^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}$ is described as

$$
\left\{0=1+\phi_{1}\left(t_{1}+\frac{1}{t_{1}}+\frac{\phi_{2}}{\phi_{1}}\left(t_{2}+\frac{1}{t_{2}}\right)\right)\right\} .
$$

Define, for $\psi \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$,

$$
W_{\psi}^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right):=t_{1}+\frac{1}{t_{1}}+\psi\left(t_{2}+\frac{1}{t_{2}}\right)
$$

so that $M_{z}^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}$ is given by

$$
\left\{0=1+\phi_{1} W_{\phi_{2} / \phi_{1}}^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}_{1}^{1}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)\right\}
$$

For a coherent choice of relative 2-cycles $\Gamma_{\psi}(z) \in \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, W_{\psi}^{-1}(z) ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$, relative periods are

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\Gamma}^{W_{\psi}^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}}(-, \psi): \Delta^{\times} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\
z & \mapsto \int_{\Gamma_{\psi}(1 / z)} \omega_{o} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Then

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}(z)=I_{\Gamma}^{W_{\psi}^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}}\left(-\phi_{1}^{2},\left(\phi_{2} / \phi_{1}\right)^{2}\right) .
$$

Therefore the relative periods $I_{\Gamma}^{W^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}}$, via change of variable and analytic continuation, correspond to the periods $\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}$, proving theorem 14 for $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$.

## Proof for $S_{1}$

Denote by $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \Delta^{\times} \times \Delta^{\times}$the complex parameter for $S_{1}$. By choosing a square root $\phi_{1}$ of $z_{1}$ and by considering the change of coordinates

$$
\begin{aligned}
& t_{1} \rightsquigarrow \phi_{1} t_{1}, \\
& t_{2} \rightsquigarrow \frac{1}{\phi_{1}} t_{2},
\end{aligned}
$$

the family $M_{z}^{S_{1}}$ is described by

$$
\left\{0=1+\phi_{1}\left(t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{1}{t_{1}}+\frac{z_{2}}{\phi_{1}} \frac{1}{t_{1} t_{2}}\right)\right\} .
$$

Equivalently, it is given by the fibers of

$$
W_{z_{2} / \phi_{1}}^{S_{1}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{\phi_{1}},
$$

where

$$
W_{\psi}^{S_{1}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right):=t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{1}{t_{1}}+\frac{\psi}{t_{1} t_{2}}
$$

Let $\Gamma_{\psi}(z) \in \mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, W_{\psi}^{-1}(z) ; \mathbb{Z}\right)$ be a coherent choice of relative 2-cycles. Relative periods for $S_{1}$ are

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{\Gamma}^{W_{\psi}^{S_{1}}}(-, \psi): \Delta^{\times} & \rightarrow \mathbb{C}, \\
z & \mapsto \int_{\Gamma_{\psi}(1 / z)} \omega_{o} .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}^{S_{1}}(z)=I_{\Gamma}^{W_{\psi}^{S_{1}}}\left(-\phi_{1}^{2}, z_{2} / \phi_{1}^{2}\right)
$$

so that, via change of variable and analytic continuation, the relative periods $I_{\Gamma}^{W_{\psi}^{S_{1}}}$ correspond to the solutions to the system of $A$-hypergeometric differential equations associated to $S_{1}$, yielding theorem 14 for $S_{1}$.

## Proof for $S_{2}$

The family $M_{z}^{S_{2}}$, with complex parameter $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{3}\right)$, is given by

$$
\left\{0=1+z_{1}\left(t_{1}+t_{1} t_{2}+t_{2}\right)+\frac{z_{2}}{t_{1}}+\frac{z_{3}}{t_{2}}\right\}
$$

which in turn is described as

$$
\left\{0=1+z_{1}\left(\left(t_{1}+t_{1} t_{2}+t_{2}\right)+\frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}} \frac{1}{t_{1}}+\frac{z_{3}}{z_{1}} \frac{1}{t_{2}}\right)\right\} .
$$

Setting

$$
W_{\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)}^{S_{2}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right):=t_{1}+t_{1} t_{2}+t_{2}+\frac{\psi_{1}}{t_{1}}+\frac{\psi_{2}}{t_{2}}
$$

it is given by the fibers of

$$
W_{\left(z_{2} / z_{1}, z_{3} / z_{1}\right)}^{S_{2}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{z_{1}} .
$$

Hence

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}^{S_{2}}(z)=I_{\Gamma}^{W_{\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)}^{S_{2}}}\left(-z_{1}, z_{2} / z_{1}, z_{3} / z_{1}\right),
$$

and the periods $I_{\Gamma}^{W_{\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}\right)}^{S_{2}}}$ have the desired property.

## Proof for $S_{3}$

Finally, denote by $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}, z_{2}, z_{4}\right)$ the complex parameter for the family $M_{z}^{S_{3}}$ given by

$$
\left\{0=1+z_{1}\left(t_{1}+t_{1} t_{2}+t_{2}\right)+\frac{z_{2}}{t_{1}}+\frac{z_{3}}{t_{1} t_{2}}+\frac{z_{4}}{t_{2}}\right\}
$$

or, equivalently by

$$
\left\{0=1+z_{1}\left(\left(t_{1}+t_{1} t_{2}+t_{2}\right)+\frac{z_{2}}{z_{1}} \frac{1}{t_{1}}+\frac{z_{3}}{z_{1}} \frac{1}{t_{1} t_{2}}+\frac{z_{4}}{z_{1}} \frac{1}{t_{2}}\right)\right\} .
$$

Now,

$$
W_{\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \psi_{3}\right)}^{S_{3}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right):=t_{1}+t_{1} t_{2}+t_{2}+\frac{\psi_{1}}{t_{1}}+\frac{\psi_{2}}{t_{1} t_{2}}+\frac{\psi_{3}}{t_{2}}
$$

yields the description of $M_{z}^{S_{3}}$ as the fibers of

$$
W_{\left(z_{2} / z_{1}, z_{3} / z_{1}, z_{4} / z_{1}\right)}^{S_{3}}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)=-\frac{1}{z_{1}} .
$$

Therefore

$$
\mathcal{P}_{\Gamma}^{S_{2}}(z)=I_{\Gamma}^{W_{\left(\psi_{1}, \psi_{2}, \psi_{3}, \psi_{4}\right)}^{S_{2}}}\left(-z_{1}, z_{2} / z_{1}, z_{3} / z_{1}, z_{4} / z_{1}\right),
$$

which yields relative mirror symmetry for $S_{3}$, and finishes the proof of theorem 14 .

## Chapter 5

## A prediction of homological mirror symmetry

In this chapter, we are interested in proving a prediction of the homological mirror symmetry conjecture for the open complement, yielding evidence for this conjecture in that setting. We perform the $B$-model side calculation for every Del Pezzo surface. The calculation of the $A$ model side in the case of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$, which matches our calculation, was done by Nguyen-Pomerleano and will be published in a forthcoming paper.

## Set up

Denote by $S$ a Del Pezzo surface and by $D$ a smooth effective anti-canonical divisor on it, i.e., in the present setting, an elliptic curve. We additionally denote by $S_{k}$ the Del Pezzo surface obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ in $0 \leq k \leq 8$ generic points. Note that every Del Pezzo surface but $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is obtained as such. If $k \leq 3$, then $S_{k}$ is toric. Auroux-Katzarkov-Orlov in [25] construct the Landau-Ginzburg model

$$
W_{k}: M_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}
$$

mirror to $S_{k}$. For $S$ toric, we considered in the preceding chapter the Landau-Ginzburg model mirror to $(S, T)$, where $T$ is the toric divisor. In this chapter though, we consider the mirror Landau-Ginzburg model with respect of the smoothing of $T$ to $D$. According to the
construction of [25], $W_{k}: M_{k} \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ is an elliptic fibration with $k+3$ nodal fibers. In the case of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$, it is an elliptic fibration with 4 nodal fibers. For toric $S$, these elliptic fibrations coincide with the fiber-wise compactification the superpotentials considered in the previous chapter. We will proceed with the following abbreviations.

Notation. Denote by $W: M \rightarrow \mathbb{A}^{1}$ the Landau-Ginzburg model mirror to the Del Pezzo surface $S$. Then $M$ is an elliptic fibration with $r$ nodal fibers, where $3 \leq r \leq 11$.

Homological mirror symmetry for $S$ (at least one direction) states that the derived Fukaya category of $S$ should be equivalent to the category of matrix factorizations of ( $M, W$ ):

$$
\operatorname{MF}(M, W) \simeq \mathcal{F}(S)
$$

Taking Hochschild cohomology of both categories yields that the Jacobian ring of $W$ is isomorphic to the quantum cohomology of $S$ :

$$
\operatorname{Jac}(W) \cong \mathrm{Q} \mathrm{H}^{*}(S)
$$

We need to understand how this statement is modified when the divisor $D$ is removed on $S$. The mirror operation consists in removing the superpotential. Thus, conjecturally, $M$ is the mirror to the open complement $S-D$. The homological mirror symmetry conjecture for $S-D$ states that the wrapped Fukaya category on $S-D$ ought to be equivalent to the bounded derived category of coherent sheaves on $M$ :

$$
\mathcal{D}^{b} \operatorname{Coh}(M) \simeq \mathcal{W} \mathcal{F}(S-D)
$$

Moreover, taking Hochschild cohomology on both sides yields the prediction that the Hochschild cohomology of $M$ is isomorphic to the symplectic cohomology of $S-D$ :

$$
\mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}^{*}(M) \cong \mathrm{SH}^{*}(S-D)
$$

We aim in the present chapter at investigating this statement.

## The Hochschild cohomology of $M$

Since $M$ is Calabi-Yau, its Hochschild cohomology takes a particularly nice form. Denote by $\Omega_{M}$ the cotangent bundle on $M$. Denote by $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right)$, respectively by $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(\Omega_{M}\right)$ the sheaf cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(M, \mathcal{O}_{M}\right)$, respectively $\mathrm{H}^{i}\left(M, \Omega_{M}\right)$. Denote moreover by $\mathrm{HH}^{i}(M)$ the Hochschild cohomology groups of $M$. Then, $\mathrm{HH}^{i}(M)=0$ when $i<0$ or $i>3$, and

$$
\begin{aligned}
\operatorname{HH}^{0}(M) & =\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right), \\
\operatorname{HH}^{1}(M) & =\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{M}\right), \\
\mathrm{HH}^{2}(M) & =\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Omega_{M}\right), \\
\mathrm{HH}^{3}(M) & =\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

Indeed, as vector spaces, we have the equality

$$
\operatorname{HH}_{*}(M)=\oplus_{p=0}^{2} \mathbf{R} \Gamma\left(M, \wedge^{p} \Omega_{M}\right) .
$$

This is the direct sum of the cohomology groups of the sheaves $\mathcal{O}_{M}, \Omega_{M}$ and $\wedge^{2} \Omega_{M}$. Moreover, $M$ is Calabi-Yau and thus $\omega_{M}=\wedge^{2} \Omega_{M}=\mathcal{O}_{M}$. In degree $i$,

$$
\mathrm{HH}_{i}(M)=\oplus_{q-p=i} \mathrm{H}^{q}\left(M, \wedge^{p} \Omega_{M}\right) .
$$

For $q>1$, the groups $\mathrm{H}^{q}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{q}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right)$ are zero as $M$ is a fibration over $\mathbb{A}^{1}$ of relative dimension 1. It follows that

$$
\begin{aligned}
\mathrm{H} \mathrm{H}_{-2}(M) & =\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right), \\
\mathrm{HH}_{-1}(M) & =\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{M}\right), \\
\mathrm{HH}_{0}(M) & =\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right) \oplus \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Omega_{M}\right), \\
\mathrm{HH}_{1}(M) & =\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right), \\
\mathrm{HH}_{i}(M) & =0 \text { for } i>1 \text { or } i<-2 .
\end{aligned}
$$

Note that the ring structure of $\mathrm{HH}^{*}(M)$ is not apparent in this description. Moreover, since $M$ is Calabi-Yau and of dimension 2, it follows that, after a translation of the degree by 2 , $\mathrm{HH}_{*}$ is isomorphic to $\mathrm{HH}^{*}$. Hence the above description. In this chapter we compute the Hochschild cohomology groups $\mathrm{HH}^{*}(M)$, as modules over the polynomial ring.

## Two short exact sequences and identities

Denote by $\Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}$ be the sheaf of relative differentials, forming an exact sequence

$$
W^{*} \Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1}} \rightarrow \Omega_{M} \rightarrow \Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Now, $\Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1}}$ is trivial, so that $W^{*} \Omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{M}$. Moreover, since $M$ is smooth, the above sequence is exact:

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M} \rightarrow \Omega_{M} \rightarrow \Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

Denote by $\omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}$ the relative dualizing sheaf, yielding a short exact sequence

$$
\begin{equation*}
0 \rightarrow \Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}} \rightarrow \omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}} \rightarrow \prod_{i=1}^{r} \mathcal{O}_{p_{i}} \rightarrow 0 \tag{5.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $r$ is the number of nodal fibers and where the $p_{i}$ are the ramification points of $W$. As mentioned above, $\omega_{M} \cong \mathcal{O}_{M}$. Moreover, $\omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}} \cong \omega_{M} \otimes W^{*}\left(\omega_{\mathbb{A}^{1}}^{\vee}\right)$, so that $\omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}$ is trivial as well. Then, $R^{2} \pi_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right)$ is zero. Thus, by the theorem of cohomology and base change, for all $s \in \mathbb{A}^{1}$, the natural morphism

$$
R^{1} W_{*}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right) \otimes_{\mathbb{C}} \kappa(s) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(M_{s}, \mathcal{O}_{s}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism. As all the curves in the family are of arithmetic genus 1 (the family is flat), $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(M_{s}, O_{s}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}$ and therefore $R^{1} W_{*}\left(O_{M}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(M, O_{M}\right)^{\sim} \cong(\mathbb{C}[t])^{\sim}$. By the same reasoning, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(M, O_{M}\right)$ is free of rank 1 over $\mathbb{C}[t]$. Consequently, the non-zero cohomology groups of $\omega_{M}$ and $\omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}$ are free of rank 1 over $\mathbb{C}[t]$ as well.

## Cohomology of $\Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}$

The short exact sequence of (5.2) turns into a long exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{r} \rightarrow \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}[t] \rightarrow 0 .
\end{aligned}
$$

For principal ideal domains, submodules of free modules are free and thus $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]$. We argue that the sequence

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}[t] \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}[t] \rightarrow \mathbb{C}^{r} \rightarrow 0
$$

is exact: Elements of $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right)$ correspond to the global sections of $R^{0} W_{*}\left(\omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right)$. As $\omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}} \cong \mathcal{O}_{M}, R^{0} W_{*}\left(\omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right) \cong R^{1} W_{*}\left(O_{M}\right)$ is locally free of rank 1 as well. It follows that its global sections correspond to functions on $\mathbb{A}^{1}$. Moreover, the map

$$
\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\prod \mathcal{O}_{p_{i}}\right),
$$

corresponds to evaluating a function at the $W\left(p_{i}\right)$ 's. Since a polynomial can be chosen to take on any value on any number of chosen points, this map is surjective. Hence $\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]$.

## Cohomology of $\Omega_{M}$

Recall the short exact sequence of (5.1):

$$
0 \rightarrow \mathcal{O}_{M} \rightarrow \Omega_{M} \rightarrow \Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}} \rightarrow 0
$$

Since $\Omega_{M}$ is locally free, but not $\Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}$, it follows that $\Omega_{M}$ is not the trivial extension. Hence the boundary map

$$
\mathbb{C}[t] \cong \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]
$$

is non-zero. Since it is a map of $\mathbb{C}[t]$-modules, it is therefore injective. It follows that (5.1) induces the long exact sequence

$$
\begin{aligned}
0 & \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}[t] \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{M}\right) \xrightarrow{0} \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}[t] \rightarrow \\
& \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}[t] \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Omega_{M}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}[t] \rightarrow 0
\end{aligned}
$$

so that

$$
\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{M}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}[t]
$$

Now, by Serre duality in families,

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Omega_{M}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{M}^{\vee} \otimes \omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right)^{\vee}=\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{M}^{\vee}\right)^{\vee}
$$

Finally, as $\Omega_{M}^{\vee}$ is locally free, $\mathrm{H}^{0}\left(\Omega_{M}^{\vee}\right)$ has no torsion. Thus the map

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\mathcal{O}_{M}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Omega_{M}\right)
$$

is trivial,

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Omega_{M}\right) \rightarrow \mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Omega_{M / \mathbb{A}^{1}}\right)
$$

is an isomorphism and

$$
\mathrm{H}^{1}\left(\Omega_{M}\right) \cong \mathbb{C}[t] .
$$

## Conclusion

We end by assembling the above cohomology groups together.
Theorem 18. Let $S$ be a Del Pezzo surface and denote by $M$ its mirror, as constructed in [25]. As $\mathbb{C}[t]$-modules, the Hochschild cohomology groups $\mathrm{H}^{0}(M)$ and $\mathrm{H}^{3}(M)$ are free of rank 1, $\mathrm{HH}^{1}(M)$ and $\mathrm{HH}^{2}(M)$ are free of rank 2, and $\mathrm{HH}^{i}(M)=0$ for $i<0$ or $i \geq 4$.

## Appendix A

## Local mirror symmetry for $\mathbb{P}^{2}$

In [1], Gathmann describes how mirror symmetry calculates the genus 0 relative GW invariants of maximal tangency of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$. This result, under a somewhat different form, is stated in corollary 20. We start by recalling some notions of the preceding chapters. Denote by $\theta_{z}$ the logarithmic differential $z \frac{\partial}{\partial z}$. For holomorphic functions

$$
f: \Delta^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}
$$

the $A$-hypergeometric differential equation associated to $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is

$$
\begin{equation*}
\mathcal{L} f=0 \tag{A.1}
\end{equation*}
$$

where

$$
\mathcal{L}=\theta_{z}^{3}+3 z \theta_{z}\left(3 \theta_{z}+1\right)\left(3 \theta_{z}+2\right)
$$

We start by recalling the following two families of affine elliptic curves. For $\phi \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}$, in [8] and [11] is considered the family

$$
\begin{equation*}
B_{\phi}:=\left\{0=x y-\phi\left(x^{3}+y^{3}+1\right) \mid x, y \in \mathbb{C}^{\times}\right\} . \tag{A.2}
\end{equation*}
$$

For $a \in \mathcal{M}_{\mathbb{C}}=\mathbb{P}^{1} \backslash\{-1 / 27\}$, the family of [12] reads as

$$
\begin{equation*}
M_{a}^{0}:=\left\{\left.0=1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{a}{t_{1} t_{2}} \right\rvert\,\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}\right\} . \tag{A.3}
\end{equation*}
$$

Finally, recall the family of open 3-folds

$$
\begin{equation*}
Z_{a}:=\left\{x y=F_{a}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right): \left.=1+t_{1}+t_{2}+\frac{a}{t_{1} t_{2}} \right\rvert\,(x, y) \in \mathbb{C}^{2},\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right) \in \mathbb{T}^{2}\right\} \tag{A.4}
\end{equation*}
$$

which was introduced in [13]. Following Konishi-Minabe in [19], we proceed to describe how the periods of the families $Z_{a}$ and $M_{a}^{0}$ are related. The periods of $Z_{a}$ are given by integrals of the relative cohomology class

$$
\omega_{0}=\frac{\mathrm{d} x \mathrm{~d} y}{x y} \in \mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, M_{a}^{0} ; \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

over relative 2-cycles. The periods of $M_{a}^{0}$ are given by integrating the 3-form

$$
\omega_{a}=\operatorname{Res} \frac{1}{x y-F_{a}\left(t_{1}, t_{2}\right)} \frac{\mathrm{d} t_{1} \mathrm{~d} t_{2}}{t_{1} t_{2}} \mathrm{~d} x \mathrm{~d} y \in \mathrm{H}^{3}\left(Z_{a}, \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

over 3-cycles. Konishi-Minabe in [19] describe an isomorphism of mixed Hodge structures

$$
\mathrm{H}^{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, M_{a}^{0} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}^{3}\left(Z_{a}, \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

that sends $\omega_{0}$ to $\omega_{a}$. This isomorphism respects the Gauss-Manin connection, which explains why periods of $\omega_{0}$ over relative 2 -cycles correspond to periods of $\omega_{a}$ over 3 -cycles. On the other hand, Gross in [18] describes an isomorphism

$$
\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathbb{T}^{2}, M_{\tilde{q}}^{0} ; \mathbb{Z}\right) \cong \mathrm{H}_{3}\left(Z_{\check{q}}, \mathbb{Z}\right)
$$

where $\check{q} \in \Delta^{\times}$. Put together, this yields a correspondence

$$
\text { periods of } M_{\tilde{q}}^{0} \longleftrightarrow \text { periods of } Z_{\check{q}} \text {. }
$$

We proceed by describing a basis of solutions to the equation (A.1), following Takahashi in [11]. See also [8] and [28]. The following functions are a constant solution, a logarithmic solution and a doubly logarithmic solution satisfying (A.1) as holomorphic functions (with possibly one or two branch cuts) $\Delta^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$ :

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}(z)=1 \\
& I_{2}(z)=\log z+I_{2}^{(0)}(z) \\
& I_{3}(z)=\left.\partial_{\rho}^{2} \omega(z ; \rho)\right|_{\rho=0}=(\log z)^{2}+\cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
I_{2}(z) & :=\sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{(-1)^{k}}{k} \frac{(3 k)!}{(k!)^{3}} z^{k}, \\
\omega(z ; \rho) & :=\sum_{k=0}^{\infty} \frac{(3 \rho)_{3 k}}{(1+\rho)_{k}^{3}}(-1)^{k} z^{k+\rho}, \\
(\alpha)_{k} & :=\alpha \cdot(\alpha+1) \cdots(\alpha+k-1) .
\end{aligned}
$$

Local mirror symmetry asserts that the solutions spanned by these functions are given as both periods of $Z_{\breve{q}}$ and relative periods of $M_{\check{q}}^{0}$. Translating these to Gromov-Witten invariants is achieved via the change of coordinate

$$
q:=-\exp \left(I_{2}(z)\right)
$$

Indeed:

Theorem 19. (Chiang-Klemm-Yau-Zaslow, in [8]) Denote by $K_{d}$ the genus 0 degree $d$ Gromov-Witten invariants of $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$. Written in the coordinate $q$ and via analytic continuation,

$$
I_{3}(q)=\frac{(\log (-q))^{2}}{2}-\sum_{d=1}^{\infty} 3 d K_{d} q^{d} .
$$

Recall the notation $N_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, D\right)$ for the genus 0 degree $d$ relative Gromov-Witten invariants
of maximal tangency of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, D\right)$, where $D$ is an elliptic curve. Recall also from chapter 2 the notation $n_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}[3 d]$ for the associated relative BPS numbers (here $w=3$ ). The two following results follow from theorem 1. The first was described by Gathmann in [1], albeit from a different perspective.

Corollary 20. With the same notation as above,

$$
I_{3}(q)=\frac{(\log (-q))^{2}}{2}-\sum_{d=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{d} N_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, D\right) q^{d}
$$

calculates the genus 0 relative Gromov-Witten invariants of maximal tangency of $\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, D\right)$.
In terms of BPS state counts, this yields:

## Corollary 21.

$$
I_{3}(q)=\frac{(\log (-q))^{2}}{2}-\sum_{d=1}^{\infty}(-1)^{d} n_{S}[d w] \sum_{k=1}^{\infty} \frac{1}{k^{2}}\binom{k(d w-1)-1}{k-1} q^{d k}
$$

## Appendix B

## Local mirror symmetry for $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $S_{1}$

Following the exposition of [8] and [19], we describe a basis of solutions to the $A$-hypergeometric differential equation associated to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ and $S_{1}$, which is the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ in one point. In both of these cases, the complex moduli is of dimension 2 , isomorphic to $\Delta^{\times} \times \Delta^{\times}$. Denote by $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)$ the complex parameter. For $i=1,2$, denote by $\theta_{i}$ the partial differential operator $z_{i} \frac{\partial}{\partial z_{i}}$. Then the $A$-hypergeometric differential system associated to $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ is of order 2. For functions $f: \Delta^{\times} \times \Delta^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, it reads

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{1} f=0 \\
& \mathcal{L}_{2} f=0
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{1}=\theta_{1}^{2}-2 z_{1}\left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\left(2 \theta_{1}+2 \theta_{2}+1\right), \\
& \mathcal{L}_{2}=\theta_{2}^{2}-2 z_{2}\left(\theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\left(2 \theta_{1}+2 \theta_{2}+1\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

A basis of solutions consists of

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=1 \\
& I_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\log z_{1}+H^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), \\
& I_{3}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\log z_{2}+H^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right), \\
& I_{4}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left.\partial_{\rho_{1}} \partial_{\rho_{2}} \omega^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}(z, \rho)\right|_{\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=0}=\log z_{1} \log z_{2}+\cdots,
\end{aligned}
$$

where

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) & =\sum_{\substack{n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 0 \\
\left(n_{1}, n_{2}\right) \neq(0,0)}} \frac{1}{n_{1}+n_{2}} \frac{\left(2 n_{1}+2 n_{2}\right)!}{\left(n_{1}!\right)^{2}\left(n_{2}!\right)^{2}} z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}}, \\
\omega^{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}(z, \rho) & =\sum_{n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 0} \frac{\left(2 \rho_{1}+2 \rho_{2}\right)_{2 n_{1}+2 n_{2}}}{\left(\rho_{1}+1\right)_{n_{1}}^{2}\left(\rho_{2}+1\right)_{n_{2}}^{2}} z_{1}^{n_{1}+\rho_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}+\rho_{2}} .
\end{aligned}
$$

The case of $S_{1}$ proceeds analogously. Denote again by $z=\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \in \Delta^{\times} \times \Delta^{\times}$the complex parameter. The $A$-hypergeometric system of differential equations associated to $S_{1}$ is

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{1} f=0, \\
& \mathcal{L}_{2} f=0,
\end{aligned}
$$

for functions $f: \Delta^{\times} \times \Delta^{\times} \rightarrow \mathbb{C}$, where

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \mathcal{L}_{1}=\theta_{1}\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right)-z_{1}\left(2 \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\left(2 \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}+1\right), \\
& \mathcal{L}_{2}=\theta_{2}^{2}+z_{2}\left(2 \theta_{1}+\theta_{2}\right)\left(\theta_{1}-\theta_{2}\right) .
\end{aligned}
$$

A basis of solutions is given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& I_{1}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=1 \\
& I_{2}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\log z_{1}+2 H^{S_{1}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \\
& I_{3}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\log z_{2}+H^{S_{1}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) \\
& I_{4}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right)=\left.\left(\frac{1}{2} \partial_{\rho_{1}}^{2}+\partial_{\rho_{1}} \partial_{\rho_{2}}\right) \omega^{S_{1}}(z, \rho)\right|_{\rho_{1}=\rho_{2}=0}
\end{aligned}
$$

for

$$
\begin{aligned}
H^{S_{1}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) & =\sum_{\substack{n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 0 \\
n_{1} \geq n_{2}}} \frac{\left(2 n_{1}+n_{2}-1\right)!}{n_{1}!\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right)!\left(n_{2}!\right)^{2}}(-1)^{n_{2}} z_{1}^{n_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}} \\
\omega^{S_{1}}\left(z_{1}, z_{2}\right) & =\sum_{n_{1}, n_{2} \geq 0} \frac{\left(2 \rho_{1}+\rho_{2}\right)_{2 n_{1}+n_{2}}}{\left(\rho_{1}+1\right)_{n_{1}}\left(\rho_{2}+1\right)_{n_{2}}^{2}} \frac{\Gamma\left(1+\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}\right)}{\Gamma\left(1+\rho_{1}-\rho_{2}+n_{1}-n_{2}\right)} z_{1}^{n_{1}+\rho_{1}} z_{2}^{n_{2}+\rho_{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

## Appendix C

## Some Gromov-Witten invariants

In this appendix, we provide some Gromov-Witten invariants of Del Pezzo surfaces. We consider local and relative invariants, as well as local and relative BPS state counts. We are not concerned with calculations via geometric tools or mirror symmetry. Rather, emphasis is put on how these numbers are related to each other. Denote by $S_{1}$ the (degree 8) Hirzebruch surface given by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ in one point and by $S_{2}$ the (degree 7) Del Pezzo surface given by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ in two general points. Alternatively, $S_{2}$ is obtained by blowing up $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ in one point. Denote by $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}, \mathcal{K}_{\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}}, K_{S_{1}}$ and $K_{S_{2}}$ the total spaces of the responding canonical bundles. Most of the computations below are performed with the open-source software Sage. Hu proves the following formula in [29], which we use as a means of checking some of our calculations. Let $S$ be a Del Pezzo surface, and assume that its blowup at one point, $p: \tilde{S} \rightarrow S$, is a Del Pezzo surface as well. Denote by $\beta \in \mathrm{H}_{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ an effective curve class. Denote moreover by

$$
p_{!}(\beta):=P D\left(p^{*}(P D(\beta))\right.
$$

its push-forward. Here $P D$ stands for Poincaré dual. Then Hu proves that the Gromov Witten invariant of $\mathcal{K}_{S}$ of class $\beta$ equals the Gromov-Witten invariant of $\mathcal{K}_{\tilde{S}}$ of class $p_{!}(\beta)$. In examples below, pulling back the class of a line in $S$ yields the class of a line in $\tilde{S}$ (away from the exceptional divisor) and the class of the exceptional divisor. We proceed to introducing some notation:

- $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z}$. For either groups, we denote by $d \geq 0$ an effective curve class
of degree $d$.
- $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(S_{1}\right)=\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(K_{S_{1}}\right) \cong \mathbb{Z} \oplus \mathbb{Z}$ is generated by the classes pulled back from $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ and by multiples of the exceptional divisor. We use as a basis $B$, the class of a line away from the exceptional divisor, and $F$, the fiber class, which is the class of the exceptional divisor. Moreover, we denote by $\left(d_{B}, d_{F}\right) \geq(0,0)$ the effective curve class $d_{B} \cdot B+d_{F} \cdot F$ of degree $d_{B}+d_{F}$. In particular, the pullback of a line in $\mathbb{P}^{2}$ is $(1,1)$.
- Denote by $l_{2}$ and $l_{3}$ the classes of two lines generating $\mathrm{H}_{2}\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}\right)$. In this setting, we use the notation $\left(d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$ to indicate the class $d_{2} \cdot l_{2}+d_{3} \cdot l_{3}$.
- Consider $S_{2}$ as the blow up of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$ in one point, denote by $L_{2}$ and $L_{3}$ the pullbacks of $l_{2}$ and $l_{3}$. Denote by $E$ the class of the exceptional divisor. In accordance with [8], we use the notation $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$ to mean

$$
d_{1} \cdot E+d_{2} \cdot L_{2}+d_{3} \cdot L_{3} .
$$

In particular, the pullback of $a \cdot l_{1}+b \cdot l_{2}$ is $(a+b, a, b)$.

- For whichever surface of the above surfaces, $D$ denotes its anti-canonical divisor.

Let $S$, respectively $K_{S}$, be one of the above varieties and let $\beta \in \mathrm{H}_{2}(S, \mathbb{Z})$ be an effective curve class. Recall the notation $I_{\beta}\left(K_{S}\right)$ for the genus 0 degree $\beta$ Gromov-Witten invariants of $K_{S}$, as well as the notation $N_{\beta}(S, D)$ for the genus 0 degree $\beta$ relative Gromov-Witten invariants of maximal tangency. These invariants are related by theorem 1 as

$$
N_{\beta}(S, D)=(-1)^{\beta \cdot D}(\beta \cdot D) I_{\beta}\left(K_{S}\right)
$$

For the Calabi-Yau threefold $K_{S}$, instanton numbers or BPS state counts $n_{\gamma}$ are defined via the equation

$$
K_{\beta}=I_{\beta}\left(K_{S}\right)=\sum_{a \mid \beta} \frac{n_{\beta / a}}{a^{3}}
$$

## Relative invariants of $\mathbb{P}^{2}$

In [30], p. 43, the authors compute the GW invariants for $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$. They get:

| $d$ | $K_{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 |
| 2 | $-\frac{45}{8}$ |
| 3 | $\frac{244}{9}$ |
| 4 | $-\frac{12333}{64}$ |
| 5 | $\frac{211878}{125}$ |
| 6 | $-\frac{102365}{6}$ |
| 7 | $\frac{64639725}{343}$ |
| 8 | $-\frac{1140830253}{512}$ |
| 9 | $\frac{6742982701}{243}$ |
| 10 | $-\frac{36001193817}{100}$ |

Now, $N_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, D\right)=(-1)^{3 d} \cdot 3 d \cdot I_{d}\left(K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}\right)$, so that:

| $d$ | $N_{d}\left(\mathbb{P}^{2}, D\right)$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -9 |
| 2 | $-\frac{135}{4}$ |
| 3 | -244 |
| 4 | $-\frac{36999}{16}$ |
| 5 | $-\frac{635634}{25}$ |
| 6 | -307095 |
| 7 | $-\frac{193919175}{49}$ |
| 8 | $-\frac{342490759}{64}$ |
| 9 | $-\frac{6742982701}{9}$ |
| 10 | $-\frac{108003581451}{10}$ |

The instanton numbers of $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$ are calculated in [8] to be:

| $d$ | $n_{d}$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | 3 |
| 2 | -6 |
| 3 | 27 |
| 4 | -192 |
| 5 | 1695 |
| 6 | -17064 |
| 7 | 188454 |
| 8 | -2228160 |
| 9 | 27748899 |
| 10 | 360012150 |

Applying the formulae discussed in chapter 2 yields the following relative BPS state counts:

| $d$ | $n[3 d]$ |
| :---: | :---: |
| 1 | -9 |
| 2 | -27 |
| 3 | -234 |
| 4 | -2232 |
| 5 | -25380 |
| 6 | -305829 |
| 7 | -3957219 |
| 8 | -53462160 |
| 9 | -749211021 |
| 10 | -10800167040 |

## Relative invariants of $S_{1}$

In [8], the authors calculate the instanton numbers of $\mathcal{K}_{S_{1}}$ to be:

| $n_{\left(d_{B}, d_{F}\right)}$ | $d_{F}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d_{B}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 |
| 2 |  | 0 | 0 | -6 | -32 | -110 | -288 | -644 |
| 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 27 | 286 | 1651 | 6885 |
| 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -192 | -3038 | -25216 |
| 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 1695 | 35870 |
| 6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -17064 |

The result by Hu explains why the above diagonal coincides with the instanton numbers of $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$. We proceed to calculate the Gromov-Witten invariants of $K_{S_{1}}$. As an illustration, we perform a few computations by hand. For instance, $K_{(0,1)}=n_{(0,1)}=-2$, since no class divides $(0,1)$ other than itself. The same holds for the line corresponding to $(1, i)$. But not so for $K_{(2,2)}$. Indeed

$$
K_{(2,2)}=\frac{n_{(2,2)}}{1}+\frac{n_{(1,1)}}{2^{3}}=-6+\frac{3}{8}=-\frac{45}{8} .
$$

For the same reason as above, $K_{(2,3)}=n_{(2,3)}=-32$. But not so for $(2,4)$ :

$$
K_{(2,4)}=\frac{n_{(2,4)}}{1}+\frac{n_{(1,2)}}{2^{3}}=-110+\frac{5}{8}=-\frac{875}{8} .
$$

Doing these calculation with the help of Sage, we get that:

| $K_{\left(d_{B}, d_{F}\right)}$ | $d_{F}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d_{B}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  | -2 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 |  | 1 | 3 | 5 | 7 | 9 | 11 | 13 |
| 2 |  | 0 | 0 | $-\frac{45}{8}$ | -32 | $-\frac{875}{8}$ | -288 | $-\frac{5145}{8}$ |
| 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{244}{9}$ | 286 | 1651 | $\frac{185900}{27}$ |
| 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-\frac{12333}{64}$ | -3038 | -25220 |
| 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{211878}{125}$ | 35870 |
| 6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-\frac{102365}{6}$ |

Since

$$
\left(d_{B}, d_{F}\right) \cdot D=3 \cdot\left(d_{B}+d_{F}\right)
$$

using theorem 1 yields:

| $N_{\left(d_{B}, d_{F}\right)}$ | $d_{F}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d_{B}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |
| 1 |  | -3 | 18 | -45 | 84 | -135 | 198 | -273 |
| 2 |  | 0 | 0 | $-\frac{135}{2}$ | 480 | $-\frac{7875}{4}$ | 6048 | -15435 |
| 3 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 488 | -6006 | 39624 | -185900 |
| 4 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $-\frac{36999}{8}$ | 82026 | -756600 |
| 5 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | $\frac{1271268}{25}$ | -1183710 |
| 6 |  | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | -614190 |

Relative invariants of $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$

As previously mentioned, the authors of [8] calculate the relevant instanton numbers. A very similar calculation as above yields the following relative Gromov-Witten invariants for $\left(\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}, D\right):$

|  | $d_{3}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 | 6 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  | 6 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 | 0 |  |
| 1 |  | 6 | -24 | 54 | -96 | 150 | -216 | 294 |
| 2 | 0 | 54 | -390 | 1650 | $-\frac{10395}{2}$ | 13524 | -30744 |  |
| 3 | 0 | -96 | 1650 | $-\frac{40832}{3}$ | 74676 | -313728 | 1089132 |  |
| 4 | 0 | 150 | $-\frac{10395}{2}$ | 74676 | -654435 | 4139748 | $-\frac{41424825}{2}$ |  |
| 5 | 0 | -216 | 13524 | -313728 | 4139748 | $-\frac{939030024}{25}$ | 259947930 |  |
| 6 | 0 | 294 | -30744 | 1089132 | $-\frac{41424825}{2}$ | 259947930 | $-\frac{7236946916}{3}$ |  |

## Relative invariants of $S_{2}$

In [8], the authors compute the following instanton invariants:


|  | $d_{3}$ |  |  |  | $d_{1}=4$ | $d_{3}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  | 3 | $d_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $d_{2}$ |  |  |  |  | $0$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  | 7 | 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | -32 | 135 | -110 |
| 2 |  |  |  | -32 | 3 |  |  | -8 | 135 | -400 | 286 |
| 3 |  | 7 | -32 | 27 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | 9 | -110 | 286 | -192 |


| $d_{1}=5$ | $d_{3}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | -10 | 11 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | -110 | 385 | -288 |
| 3 |  |  |  | -110 | 1100 | -2592 | 1651 |
| 4 |  |  | -10 | 385 | -2592 | 5187 | -3038 |
| 5 |  |  | 11 | -288 | 1651 | -3038 | 1695 |

The symmetry is due to the fact that we pulled back our classes from $\mathbb{P}^{1} \times \mathbb{P}^{1}$. Via similar calculations as above, we get for the local GW invariants:


| $d_{1}=3$ |  |  |  |  |  |  | $d_{1}=4$ | $d_{3}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | $d_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $d_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | -8 | 9 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | $-\frac{65}{2}$ | 135 | $-\frac{875}{8}$ |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | 35 | -32 | 2 |  |  |  |  | 135 | - 8 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 3 |  |  | -8 | 135 | -400 | 286 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 9 | 4 |  |  | 9 | $-\frac{875}{8}$ | 286 | $-\frac{12333}{64}$ |


| $d_{1}=5$ | $d_{3}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | -10 | 11 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | -110 | 385 | -288 |
| 3 |  |  |  | -110 | 1100 | -2592 | 1651 |
| 4 |  |  | -10 | 385 | -2592 | 5187 | -3038 |
| 5 |  |  | 11 | -288 | 1651 | -3038 | $\frac{211878}{125}$ |

Applying the appropriate formula, and noting that the degree of $\left(d_{1}, d_{2}, d_{3}\right)$ is $d_{1}+d_{2}+d_{3}$, we get the following relative invariants of $\left(S_{2}, D\right)$ :


| $=3$ |  |  |  |  |  | $d_{1}=4$ | $d_{3}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | $d_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| $d_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  | 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 1 |  |  |  |  | -192 | -243 |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | 2 |  |  |  | -780 | -3645 | - $\frac{13125}{4}$ |
| 2 |  |  | -108 | -735 | -768 |  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |
| 3 |  |  |  |  | -732 | 3 |  |  | -192 | -3645 | -12000 | -9438 |
|  |  |  |  |  |  | 4 |  |  | -243 | $-\frac{13125}{4}$ | -9438 | $-\frac{110997}{16}$ |
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| $d_{1}=5$ | $d_{3}$ | 0 | 1 | 2 | 3 | 4 | 5 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $d_{2}$ |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 0 |  |  |  |  |  |  |  |
| 1 |  |  |  |  |  | -300 | -363 |
| 2 |  |  |  |  | -3300 | -12705 | -10368 |
| 3 |  |  |  | -3300 | -36300 | -93312 | -64389 |
| 4 |  |  | -300 | -12705 | -93312 | -202293 | -127596 |
| 5 |  |  | -363 | -10368 | -64389 | -127596 | $-\frac{1906902}{25}$ |
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[^0]:    ${ }^{1}$ In the combinatorics literature, there are a significant number of results on the divisibility of binomial coefficients by prime powers, see e.g., [7].

[^1]:    ${ }^{1}$ Concerning the second equivalence, if $\operatorname{Crit}(W)$ is not symplectic, the statement is adapted.
    ${ }^{2}$ Kontsevich originally formulated the conjecture for pairs of mirror Calabi-Yau manifolds. The statements here are an adaptation to Fano varieties.
    ${ }^{3}$ See also the paper [25] by Auroux-Katzarkov-Orlov.

[^2]:    ${ }^{4}$ The SYZ conjecture describes how to construct a mirror for an open subvariety $V$ of $K_{\mathbb{P}^{2}}$. In order to get a mirror to the entire space, that mirror needs to be deformed by 'quantum/instanton corrections'. These are encoded by open Gromov-Witten invariants that arise in the Lagrangian fibration predicted by SYZ for $V$. (More precisely, a slightly different fibration needs to be considered.)

