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ABSTRACT

To realize the large-scale deployment of solar power, new materials and strategies must be developed for the fabrication of economical and sustainable artificial photosynthetic devices. These systems have multiple constraints, which are typically met by employing expensive, multi-junction solar cells coupled to noble-metal catalysts. However, to supply and store power on a global, terawatt scale, these technologies must shift towards utilizing abundant elements and low-cost deposition techniques, while maintaining device efficiency. Driven by these challenges, this thesis presents achievements in Si microwire arrays to realize cost-competitive and sustainable artificial photosynthetic devices.

The device performance of Si microwire arrays, a thin-film photovoltaic technology, was investigated using photoelectrochemical methods. Both n-type and lightly doped Si microwire arrays demonstrated improved performance as photoanodes, and may be used in an artificial photosynthetic device to perform oxidative reactions. In addition, lightly doped Si microwire arrays operating under high-level injection conditions achieved performance comparable to that of optimally doped p-type Si microwire array photocathodes, with $V_{oc}$ values exceeding 450 mV and carrier-collection efficiencies of ~0.85. A model of these devices operating under high-level injection conditions was developed, using finite-element device physics simulations. These simulations predicted that the carrier-collection efficiencies of the devices should deviate from unity, even for minority-carrier diffusion lengths greater than the radius. Such behavior was confirmed by experimental internal quantum yield measurements, reaffirming that these devices are limited by axial transport of carriers along the length of the wire. However, optimized arrays have the potential to generate voltages that exceed those generated by arrays operating under low-level injection conditions. Such studies offer increased understanding of the performance of structured, concentrator photovoltaics and considerations for structuring lightly doped materials on the nano- and microscale.
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Chapter 1

ARTIFICIAL PHOTOSYNTHETIC DEVICES
The inability to store electricity is one of the most significant barriers to achieving the large-scale penetration of electricity generation from renewable resources, such as solar and wind power. Such renewable energy sources vary substantially over time, making their generation difficult to forecast and subsequently integrate into the electric grid. In particular, solar photovoltaic plants can experience variation in output on the timescale of seconds to minutes, with variations in output of ± 50% in a 30–90 second time frame and ± 70% in a five to ten minute time frame under partly cloudy conditions. Wind power generation, while relatively more stable than generation from photovoltaic plants, is more difficult to forecast. Moreover, onshore wind power can be inversely correlated with demand, attaining peak generation during hours of limited demand at night. In contrast, conventional energy sources, such as coal and nuclear, provide constant, reliable base-load power for the entire electricity grid, while power from natural gas-fired and hydroelectric plants can be dispatched at high ramp rates to meet demand on the short time scales required.

Electricity generation from renewable energy resources is both uncertain and variable, allowing for limited control to maintain stable and reliable grid operation at high penetration. From these characteristics, its average contribution to electricity generation in

![Figure 1.1](http://buildingdashboard.net/caltech/)  
**Figure 1.1.** Power generation from Caltech’s photovoltaic installations, on the day I wrote this introduction, September 11, 2012  
Acquired from: [http://buildingdashboard.net/caltech/](http://buildingdashboard.net/caltech/)
the U.S. will be approximately limited to 30%.\textsuperscript{1, 5} To ultimately achieve large-scale penetration of renewable power in electricity generation, storage technologies are needed that are energy dense, cost-effective, and amenable to most locations. However, no current energy storage technology meets all of these three criteria.

Given these demands, directly collecting and storing solar energy in energy-dense chemical bonds, as nature accomplishes through photosynthesis, is a highly desirable approach.\textsuperscript{6, 7} Photosynthetic organisms, however, are very inefficient at converting energy from photons into stored chemical energy, with a typical average yearly energy-conversion efficiency of $< 1\%$.\textsuperscript{8} Given this low efficiency, plants compete for valuable and scarce resources, including arable land, fertilizer, and fresh water, making the large-scale storage of energy from sunlight through photosynthesis not currently viable.\textsuperscript{9, 10} To improve upon this efficiency, inorganic solar cells, which typically achieve efficiencies of $\sim 15\text{–}20\%$, and robust inorganic catalysts can be employed to create an artificial photosynthetic device, where solar energy can be directly converted to a chemical fuel (Figure 1.2). In its simplest

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{fig1.png}
\caption{Schematic of a planar, artificial photosynthetic device. The device harnesses energy from the incident light to generate hydrogen fuel and oxygen. The photoexcited electrons and holes are separated, and are subsequently coupled with catalysts at the surface of the cell, generating H\textsubscript{2} and O\textsubscript{2} from H\textsubscript{2}O.}
\end{figure}
design, the artificial photosynthetic device would reduce water (H₂O) to form hydrogen (H₂) fuel and oxygen (O₂), with sunlight providing the energy for the reaction, which under standard conditions is \( \Delta G = 237.2 \text{ kJ mol}^{-1} \), or \( \Delta E^\circ =1.23 \text{ V} \) per electron transferred.

Wireless, artificial photosynthetic devices have gained solar to fuel efficiencies from over 2% to 18%, greatly improved over the energy-conversion efficiencies of photosynthetic organisms.\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^{11-15}\) However, these devices are fabricated with expensive, crystalline solar cells and/or noble-metal catalysts such as Pt and RuO₂, making these devices not viable for commercialization. To supply and store power on a global, terawatt scale, these technologies must shift towards utilizing abundant elements and low-cost deposition techniques, while maintaining device efficiency. Driven by these challenges, this thesis presents achievements in the fabrication and characterization of a structured semiconductor, Si microwires, to realize cost-competitive and sustainable artificial photosynthetic devices.

1.1 Structuring semiconductors for efficient artificial photosynthetic devices

The structuring of semiconductors on the nano- and microscale is a promising approach for the fabrication of scalable and efficient devices for the production of electricity and fuels from sunlight.\(^7\)\(^,\)\(^{16,17}\) In contrast to a traditional geometry that is characterized by planar light absorbers and planar electrical junctions, wire-based architectures orthogonalize the directions of light absorption and carrier collection (Figure 1.3).\(^18\) Such a structure provides both a long optical path length \( (\alpha^{-1}) \) for efficient light absorption and a short distance for minority-carrier \( (L) \) collection, therefore allowing the incorporation of inexpensive, defective materials with short minority-carrier diffusion lengths into devices that can produce high energy-conversion efficiencies. For semiconductors with characteristically short diffusion lengths, including GaP, Fe₂O₃, and WO₃, improvements have been observed in the carrier-collection efficiency by structuring these semiconductors,\(^19-21\) making this an important strategy for fabricating both an economical and efficient artificial photosynthetic device.
In addition to improving the carrier collection in low-quality, inexpensive semiconductor materials, structuring the semiconductor should allow for the use of lower loadings of the catalyst material or the use of an inexpensive catalyst that is less efficient. Currently, one of the main barriers to the efficient conversion of solar energy to hydrogen fuel is the significant energy loss associated with overcoming the kinetic barriers to performing the multi-step, multi-electron reactions for the generation of oxygen and hydrogen. The most efficient electrocatalysts are typically expensive, noble metals, such as RuO$_2$ and IrO$_2$, and Pt, for the oxygen and hydrogen evolution reaction, respectively. However, even the highest activity water oxidation catalyst RuO$_2$ requires an applied voltage in excess of the reversible potential for the reaction, or an overpotential, of $\eta \sim 400$ mV to achieve a current density $J$ of $\sim 1$ A cm$^{-2}$, as a compact film with no geometric enhancement.\(^{22}\) The catalyst’s exchange current density $J_o$, or the forward reaction rate under dynamic equilibrium (i.e., at $\eta = 0.0$ V), is extremely low, on the order of $J_o \sim 1 \times 10^{-5}$ to $1 \times 10^{-6}$ A cm$^{-2}$.\(^{22}\) The best catalyst for the hydrogen evolution reaction, Pt metal, has been shown to require an $\eta$ less than 100 mV to operate at a $J \sim 1$ A cm$^{-2}$ in acidic conditions, with $J_o \sim 1 \times 10^{-2}$ to $1 \times 10^{-3}$ A cm$^{-2}$.\(^{23}\) Thus, to electrolyze water at current densities of 1 A cm$^{-2}$, an additional voltage of $\sim 500$ mV would be required, in addition to the thermodynamic requirement of 1.23 V per electron transferred. Even to operate at more reasonable current densities matched to the solar flux at $\sim 10$ mA cm$^{-2}$, an additional

---

**Figure 1.3.** Schematic of a planar and a wire array solar cell. In a traditional planar device, the minority-carrier diffusion length $L$ should comparable to the absorption length $1/\alpha$ for maximum efficiency. Using a radial geometry, the minority carrier is collected radially, while light can be absorbed along the length of the wire.
potential exceeding 250 mV would be required.\textsuperscript{24-26} The most active non-noble, earth-abundant metal catalysts have substantially lower activities, and, thus, high catalyst loadings are required to achieve substantial turnover frequencies.

However, by employing a structured semiconductor, the flux of photogenerated carriers to the surface, to perform the fuel–forming reactions, is distributed over a larger area. Thus, the electrocatalyst at the semiconductor surface will ultimately have to support a decreased carrier flux from this geometric enhancement, allowing for the use of catalysts with lower activity. By employing a structured semiconductor, earth-abundant catalysts with lower activities can achieve comparable performance, as has been previously demonstrated for a Ni-Mo alloy hydrogen evolution catalyst on Si microwires.\textsuperscript{27}

Thus, structuring semiconductors allows for both the use of inexpensive semiconductors and catalysts in an artificial photosynthetic device. Such a device has been schematically depicted (Figure 1.4), with a dual–material system consisting of a separate

\begin{figure}[h]
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\caption{Schematic of a structured artificial photosynthetic device}
\end{figure}
photoanode and photocathode, to allow for the most efficient absorption of the solar spectrum and to generate the photovoltage required to split water; earth-abundant catalysts, such as those based on alloys of Ni, Co, and Mn; and an integrated proton or hydroxide conducting membrane, to manage the flux of these generated species while minimizing the \(iR\) drop in the device and simultaneously separating the generated \(O_2\) and \(H_2\) gases. Many variations on this basic device structure are possible, to optimize for light absorption and transport of reactants and products, in addition to allowing for the use of new materials systems.

1.2 Si microwires for scalable, efficient devices

1.2.1 Si microwire photocathodes

Recently, Si wire arrays grown by the vapor–liquid–solid (VLS) technique have emerged as a promising technology for the fabrication of efficient and potentially inexpensive artificial photosynthetic devices. Crystalline, planar Si decorated with Pt nanoparticles has been extensively studied as a photocathode material to reduce \(H_2O\), demonstrating stable performance with photon to \(H_2\) conversion efficiencies of \(\sim 6\%\).\(^{28,29}\) However, the growth of planar Si for efficient devices is both capital and energy intensive, requiring extremely pure Si and high temperatures for extended periods of time for the crystallization of Si, with large associated losses in material when forming the resulting wafers.\(^{30}\) In contrast, the growth of Si wire arrays utilizes an atmospheric pressure, rapid chemical vapor deposition growth process; inexpensive Si precursors; and earth-abundant VLS catalysts. The resulting arrays of p-Si microwires have already demonstrated promising performance in regenerative\(^{31,32}\) and fuel–forming\(^{33}\) photoelectrochemical cells, as well as in photovoltaic devices.\(^{34,35}\) In particular, arrays of radial junction n\(^+\)p-Si microwire photocathodes have demonstrated thermodynamically based photovoltaic energy-conversion efficiencies of \(> 5\%\) for the production of \(H_2\) from \(H_2O\).\(^{33}\)
1.2.2 Si microwire photoanodes

Even with the excellent demonstrated performance of n’p-Si microwire photocathodes, an additional photovoltaic device or photoanode must be placed in series, to supply the additional voltage needed to split water at an appreciable current density. This photoanode must meet several criteria, including that this semiconductor must absorb in the visible spectrum, possess good material properties, and be stable under extremely oxidizing conditions. Currently, there is no ideal candidate for providing the other half of this structured artificial photosynthetic device; metal oxides typically possess wide-band gaps and poor mobilities, and smaller band gap materials, such as GaAs and Si, are unstable under anodic conditions and also unable to provide the additional voltage in excess of 1.0 V to split water. To begin fabricating wireless devices that utilize new structured materials, more thermodynamically and kinetically facile reactions than the splitting of water can be attempted, including HBr to H₂ and Br₂/Br⁻, and HI to H₂ and I₂/I⁻, which thermodynamically require $\Delta E^\circ =1.05 \text{ V}$ and $\Delta E^\circ =0.536$ per electron transferred, respectively.\textsuperscript{36,37} In these devices, Si microwires may be used as the photoanode (Figure 1.5), and both the operational challenges and fundamental properties of a dual-material,

\textbf{Figure 1.5.} Scanning electron microscopy (SEM) image of undoped Si microwires, scale bar = 30 µm, with a schematic of the potential photoanodic, fuel-forming reactions that could be accomplished using undoped or n-Si microwire arrays
membrane-based, artificial photosynthetic device can begin to be explored.

Thus, this thesis presents achievements in improving the device performance of Si microwire arrays, which can be used as either a photoanode or photocathode in an artificial photosynthetic device. Arrays of n-Si microwires were fabricated and subsequently measured for their photoanodic performance using regenerative non-aqueous photoelectrochemistry, as presented in Chapter 2. The use of these non-aqueous redox systems allowed for a well–defined, conformal junction to the highly structured arrays. This electrochemical characterization obviates the need to fabricate a diffusion metallurgical junction, and, thus, the material properties of the as-grown wires and incremental processing steps could be easily monitored.

Moreover, to potentially improve upon the device performance of Si microwire anodes and cathodes, undoped wires, as opposed to doped n-type or p-type microwires, can be utilized. As discussed in Chapter 3, lightly-doped microwires under the conditions of high-level injection have the potential to match or exceed the photovoltages produced by optimally doped Si microwires operating under low-level injection conditions. The device performance of the undoped Si microwire arrays was extensively probed using regenerative non-aqueous photoelectrochemistry, to gain understanding of the \( J-E \) performance, carrier-collection efficiency, and effect of processing on the behavior of these arrays. In addition, a device physics model of these devices operating under high-level injection conditions was developed, using finite-element device physics simulations. Such studies offer increased understanding of the performance of structured, concentrator photovoltaics and considerations for structuring lightly-doped materials on the nano- and microscale.
1.3 References

Chapter 2

SI MICROWIRE ARRAY PHOTOANODES

Components of this chapter were published in

Summary

Arrays of n-Si microwires have to date exhibited low efficiencies when measured as photoanodes in contact with a 1-1’-dimethylferrocene (Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$)–CH$_3$OH solution. Using high-purity Au or Cu catalysts, arrays of crystalline Si microwires were grown by a vapor–liquid–solid process without dopants, which produced wires with electronically active dopant concentrations of 1 x 10$^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$. When measured as photoanodes in contact with a Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH solution, the lightly doped Si microwire arrays exhibited greatly increased fill factors and efficiencies as compared to n-Si microwires grown previously with a lower purity Au catalyst. In particular, the Cu–catalyzed Si microwire array photoanodes exhibited open-circuit voltages of ~ 0.44 V and an energy-conversion efficiency of 1.4% under simulated air mass 1.5 G illumination.

Arrays of n-Si microwires were also obtained using PH$_3$ as an in situ dopant source, allowing for the growth of microwires with electronically active dopant concentrations of 1 x 10$^{15}$ – 1 x 10$^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$. However, when measured contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH, the n-Si microwire arrays displayed poor fill factors and demonstrated little variation in photoresponse with changes in measured dopant density. Subsequent oxidation of the n-type microwire arrays improved their electrochemical performance, resulting in open-circuit voltages of exceeding 0.40 V and fill factors of ~ 0.5. Thus, both lightly doped Cu–catalyzed Si microwire array photoanodes and n-Si microwire arrays have demonstrated performance that is comparable to that of optimally doped p-type Si microwire array photocathodes in photoelectrochemical cells.
2.1 Introduction and motivation

Arrays of crystalline p-Si microwires grown by the vapor–liquid–solid (VLS) process have demonstrated promising performance in regenerative\textsuperscript{1-3} and fuel–forming\textsuperscript{4} photoelectrochemical cells, as well as in photovoltaic devices.\textsuperscript{5, 6} For example, p-type Si microwire array photoelectrodes in contact with an aqueous methyl viologen (MV\textsuperscript{2+/+}) redox system have yielded open-circuit voltages ($V_{oc}$) approaching 0.45 V under 100 mW cm\textsuperscript{-2} of simulated air mass (AM) 1.5 G illumination, with near-unity internal quantum yields, demonstrating the efficient radial collection of carriers in the wire-array geometry. Arrays of radial junction n\textsuperscript{+}p-Si microwires have demonstrated thermodynamically based photoelectrode energy-conversion efficiencies of > 5% for the production of H\textsubscript{2} from H\textsubscript{2}O. Analogous arrays in solid-state photovoltaic devices have achieved an efficiency of 7.8%, with $V_{oc}$ values exceeding 0.5 V, under simulated AM 1.5 G illumination.

In contrast, initial experiments of n-Si microwire array photoanodes in contact with a 1-1’-dimethylferrocene (Me\textsubscript{2}Fc\textsuperscript{+/0})–CH\textsubscript{3}OH solution under simulated AM 1.5 conditions only exhibited $V_{oc}$ values of 0.39 V, in conjunction with low fill factors and low short-circuit photocurrent densities ($J_{sc}$), resulting in photoelectrode efficiencies, $\eta$, of ~ 0.1\%.\textsuperscript{7} Given that the Me\textsubscript{2}Fc\textsuperscript{+/0}–CH\textsubscript{3}OH electrolyte in contact with planar, crystalline n-Si photoanodes produces $V_{oc}$ values that are only limited by bulk recombination/generation,\textsuperscript{8} the comparatively low performance of n-Si microwire array photoanodes is presumably indicative of the inferior material quality of the n-Si microwires.

Two factors may have contributed to the poor electronic quality of the VLS–grown n-Si microwires: the purity of the catalyst used and the choice of metal catalyst. The n-Si microwire arrays were grown with a 99.999% (5N) Au VLS catalyst,\textsuperscript{7, 9-11} as compared to the higher purity 99.9999% (6N) Cu catalyst that has been used to grow p-Si microwires.\textsuperscript{1, 2, 4, 6, 12-14} Although the measured n-Si microwire array photoanodes possessed an electronically active dopant density, $N_{D}$, of $2.5 \times 10^{16}$ cm\textsuperscript{-3}, four-point resistance measurements of several arrays of 5N Au–catalyzed microwires revealed that the wires had high variability in the observed $N_{D}$, with values ranging $1 \times 10^{14} - 1 \times 10^{20}$ cm\textsuperscript{-3}.\textsuperscript{13} This doping cannot be attributed to the Au itself, which has been shown to actually
compensate n-type dopants in Si.\textsuperscript{15} Uncontrolled impurities in the lower purity 5N catalyst may have resulted in the variable n-type doping of the as-grown Si microwires, and the cross-contamination of several metals that were formerly used in the reactor including Al, Mg, In, Zn, and 4N purity VLS catalysts could have also been the source of the unintentional doping. Additionally, the use of Au as the VLS catalyst, as opposed to Cu, may have limited the efficiency of the Si microwire arrays. Although both Au and Cu form mid-gap traps in Si, in planar Si solar cells Cu has a less detrimental effect than Au, with a minority-carrier lifetime degradation threshold concentration of $4 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for Cu as compared to $3 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ for Au.\textsuperscript{16,17}

The focus of this work was to determine whether the low photoelectrode efficiencies observed for n-Si microwire arrays in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH are an inherent, fundamental property of the system or whether improved performance could be obtained through control over the electronic properties of the bulk and surface properties of Si wire array photoelectrodes. We report herein the photoelectrochemical behavior of Si microwire arrays that have been fabricated using a 6N VLS catalyst, for both Au and Cu. Si microwire arrays were grown both with and without an in situ dopant source, to produce both relatively undoped Si wires, and intentionally doped n-Si wires. The device performance of the Si microwire arrays was subsequently probed using the Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH junction. This system provides a conformal contact to the microwires, and obviates the need to fabricate a diffused metallurgical junction. Additionally, the Si/Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH interface has been previously shown to have a low effective surface recombination velocity and to produce high $V_{oc}$ values that are only limited by the bulk properties of the Si.\textsuperscript{18} Thus, though these photoelectrochemical measurements, the highly structured Si microwire arrays can be easily contacted with a well-defined redox system, which should result in a photoresponse that is indicative of the material properties of the Si microwire arrays.
2.2 Growth and characterization of 6N Au–catalyzed Si microwire arrays

To produce the desired photoanodes, arrays of square–packed Si microwires were grown on a planar n⁺-Si(111) substrate using the VLS process, without dopants, but with 6N Au as the growth catalyst. The resulting Si wires were oriented in the (111) direction, with diameters of 2.25–3.0 µm and heights of 65–75 µm, with an average areal packing fraction ($\eta_f$) of 11.0% (Figure 2.1 and Figure 2.2).

Four-point resistance and gate-dependent conductance measurements indicated that the undoped silicon microwires were nominally p-type, with consistent resistivities of ~1000 Ω-cm, corresponding to an acceptor concentration, $N_a$, of ~$1 \times 10^{13}$ cm⁻³ (Figure 2.3). Moreover, several different wire arrays were sampled to measure the variability in wire dopant density among growths, and, for each growth, at least ten wires were measured. The measured resistivities of wires from three different arrays were $1400 \pm 900$ Ω-cm, $800 \pm 700$ Ω-cm, and $600 \pm 300$ Ω-cm, demonstrating increased control of the impurity concentration in the Si microwires.
2.3 Photoanodic response of 6N Au–catalyzed Si microwire arrays

Current density vs. potential ($J-E$) measurements of Au–catalyzed Si microwire array photoanodes, and of control photoanodes in which the wires had been physically removed after growth on the n$^+$-Si substrate, were measured in contact with 200 mM Me$_2$Fc-0.4 mM Me$_2$FcBF$_4$ in CH$_3$OH under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ of simulated AM 1.5 G illumination (Figure 2.4). The simulated AM 1.5 G illumination was produced by a 150 W
Xe lamp, coupled to an AM 1.5 G filter (see Appendix A for experimental details). The Si wire array photoanodes exhibited $V_{oc} = 334 \pm 21$ mV, $J_{sc} = 10.0 \pm 1.3$ mA cm$^{-2}$, and fill factors, $ff = 0.34 \pm 0.05$, with a photoelectrode energy-conversion efficiency $\eta = 1.1 \pm 0.3\%$. The n$^+$-Si(111) control substrates for which the wires had been physically removed after growth produced $V_{oc} = 233 \pm 38$ mV, $J_{sc} = 1.0 \pm 0.2$ mA cm$^{-2}$, and $ff = 0.20 \pm 0.04$. The observed properties of the Si microwire array photoelectrodes can therefore predominately be attributed to the behavior of the VLS–grown crystalline Si wires in contact with the Me$_2$Fc$^{+0}$–CH$_3$OH electrolyte.

In the previously published electrochemical experiments with 5N Au–catalyzed wire arrays, the control photoelectrodes consisted of the patterned, oxidized wafers, without deposited Au or subsequent wire growth. This control should result in an overestimation of the contributing photoresponse of the growth substrate, since the substrate will not have any lifetime degradation resulting from saturation with the VLS catalyst during the growth process. However, since the degenerate growth wafer is in direct contact with solution, which is not the case for Si microwire arrays with an existing oxide buffer, this control may actually overestimate the contribution of the growth substrate.

![Figure 2.4](image.png)  
Figure 2.4. $J$-$E$ data for Au–catalyzed Si microwire array photoelectrodes in contact with the Me$_2$Fc$^{+0}$–CH$_3$OH redox system under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ of simulated AM 1.5 G illumination
substrate in the photoreponse of the Si microwire arrays. In contrast, mechanically removing the wires from the substrate allows for the measurement of the photoreponse of the exact, contributing substrate after the measurement of the wires for their photoelectrochemical behavior. By employing non-abrasive mechanical force to the array, the wires were removed at the base, potentially leaving some Si growth on the substrate within the patterned holes which does not then expose the degenerate Si of the growth substrate.

The behavior of the same Au–catalyzed Si microwire photoanodes was also measured in contact with a higher concentration of the oxidized form of the redox couple, 25 mM Me₂FcBF₄, to reduce the concentration overpotential losses at the photoelectrode. The photoelectrodes were illuminated using an 808 nm laser diode, such that the $J_{sc}$ value matched the value of $J_{sc}$ that was obtained at low Me₂Fc⁺ concentrations under 100 mW cm⁻² of simulated AM 1.5 G illumination. Figure 2.5 shows the performance of the arrays in the presence of either 0.4 or 25 mM Me₂FcBF₄, with the latter cell exhibiting a fill factor of $ff_{808} = 0.47 \pm 0.04$ and an efficiency $\eta_{808} = 2.7 \pm 0.7\%$. After correcting both the 0.4 and
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**Figure 2.5.** $J$-$E$ data for Au–catalyzed Si microwire array photoelectrodes in contact with the Me₂Fc⁺/–CH₃OH redox system with varying amounts of Me₂FcBF₄, to demonstrate and correct for resistance losses in the cell. The $J$-$E$ behavior was measured under both 100 mW cm⁻² of simulated AM 1.5 G illumination and under 808 nm illumination, with 0.4 and 25 mM of Me₂FcBF₄, respectively.
25 mM Me$_2$FcBF$_4$ $J$-$E$ data for concentration overpotential and uncompensated resistance losses, the corrected fill factor and photoelectrode efficiency values were $ff_{corr} = 0.57 \pm 0.05$ and $\eta_{corr} = 2.0 \pm 0.5\%$, respectively. The corrected $J$-$E$ data are indicative of the inherent performance of the Si microwire arrays, without experimental artifacts arising from measurement in an unoptimized electrochemical cell configuration.

The photoelectrode efficiency of Si microwire arrays that were grown using the 6N–purity Au VLS catalyst represents a significant improvement relative to initial measurements of photoanodic performance of n-Si microwire arrays in contact with the Me$_2$Fc$^{+/-}$–CH$_3$OH system. However, the $V_{oc}$ of the Si microwire arrays grown with Au was still substantially less than the $V_{oc}$ values produced by either p-type or n$^+$p-Si microwire array photocathodes that were grown with Cu and tested in contact with the MV$^{2+/+}$(aq) redox system. For Si microwires grown with a Au VLS catalyst, bulk Au concentrations up to 1.7 x 10$^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$ have been previously measured, corresponding to the thermodynamic equilibrium concentration of Au in Si at the growth temperature.$^{11}$ The concentration of Au within the wires thus greatly exceeded the degradation threshold concentration of Au in planar Si solar cells, and could have contributed to the lower $V_{oc}$ values that were measured for wires that were grown with a Au catalyst. Indeed, Si microwire arrays that were grown by a Au–catalyzed VLS process have previously shown $V_{oc}$ values up to 500 mV in photovoltaic device structures, but only after repeated thermal oxidation and etching steps that should getter Au at the surfaces of the Si wires.$^5$ Given the low tolerance for Au in Si solar cells and the relative cost and scarcity of Au, Cu was subsequently used exclusively for the VLS growth of Si microwire arrays, and no attempts were made to getter the Au to improve an array’s device performance.
2.4 Growth and characterization of 6N Cu–catalyzed Si microwire arrays

Thus, 6N Cu was subsequently used for VLS–catalyzed Si wire growth. Cu catalyzed, hexagonally–packed Si microwire arrays were fabricated on an n+ substrate without dopants. The resulting wires were 2.0–2.5 µm in diameter and 70–80 µm in height, providing an average areal packing fraction (\(\eta_f\)) of 9.4% (Figure 2.6 and 2.7).

![Figure 2.6](image1.png)

**Figure 2.6.** A) Side view SEM image of a cleaved array of Cu–catalyzed Si microwires, scale bar = 40 µm. B) Top view of a Si microwire hexagonal array, scale bar = 20 µm

![Figure 2.7](image2.png)

**Figure 2.7.** SEM images with an angled view of a Cu–catalyzed Si microwire array prior to catalyst removal for a A) quickly cooled array and B) a slowly cooled array. Scale bar = 2 µm.
Similar to the Au–catalyzed wires, the Cu–catalyzed wires were slightly p-type, with resistivities of $\sim 1000 \ \Omega\text{-cm}$, as measured by four-point resistance measurements, corresponding to $N_a$ of $\sim 1 \times 10^{13} \ \text{cm}^{-3}$ (Figure 2.8). To measure the variability in wire dopant density among growths, the resistivities of several different wire arrays from different growths were measured, and, for each growth, at least ten wires were measured. The measured resistivities of wires from three different arrays were $310 \pm 70 \ \Omega\text{-cm}$, $1000 \pm 600 \ \Omega\text{-cm}$, and $600 \pm 400 \ \Omega\text{-cm}$. Even though these wire arrays were grown in a different, new reactor (Dorothy), the Cu–catalyzed wires possessed similar resistivities to the previous Au–catalyzed microwires. This consistency attests to the minimal contamination of the Watson reactor (Big Blue), after more vigorous procedures were implemented to separate evaporation boats, growth tubes, and growth boats for different metal catalysts, as well as no longer employing lower purity catalysts (3N, 4N, 5N) for VLS growth.

**Figure 2.8.** A) Two-point and four-point $I$-$V$ characteristics of a representative undoped Cu–catalyzed Si microwire. B) $I$-$V$ behavior of a Cu–catalyzed Si microwire, with varying back-gate bias, indicating p-type doping. 
2.5 Photoanodic response of 6N Cu–catalyzed Si microwire arrays

*J*-*E* measurements of the Cu–catalyzed Si microwire arrays in contact with the Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH electrolyte under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ of simulated AM 1.5 G illumination (Figure 2.9) showed $V_{oc} = 437 \pm 8$ mV, $J_{sc} = 7.9 \pm 0.5$ mA cm$^{-2}$, and $ff = 0.40 \pm 0.02$, with a photoelectrode efficiency of $\eta = 1.4 \pm 0.1\%$. The Cu–catalyzed Si microwire array photoanodes measured herein exhibited a slightly smaller $J_{sc}$ than the Au–catalyzed Si microwire array photoanodes, consistent with the Cu–catalyzed wire arrays possessing a smaller areal packing fraction than the Au–catalyzed wire arrays. The n$^+$-Si(111) control substrates with the wires physically removed produced $V_{oc} = 262 \pm 17$ mV, $J_{sc} = 1.3 \pm 0.3$ mA cm$^{-2}$, and $ff = 0.21 \pm 0.01$, again demonstrating that the wafer substrate did not contribute substantially to the photoresponse of Si microwire photoelectrodes.

The same photoelectrodes were also measured under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ of ELH-type W halogen illumination. When illuminated with this different illumination source, which has a warmer spectrum than the AM 1.5 G spectrum, the photoelectrodes produced $J_{sc}$ values that were ~ 10–15% higher than under AM 1.5 G illumination, with $J_{sc} = 9.2 \pm 1.0$ mA cm$^{-2}$ (Figure 2.10). This increase in current was consistent with previous measurements on n$^+$p-Si microwires, which showed a 15% increase in $J_{sc}$ under ELH-type W illumination.$^4$

![Figure 2.9. *J*-*E* data for Cu–catalyzed Si microwire array photoelectrodes in contact with the Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH redox system under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ of simulated AM 1.5 G illumination](image)
The difference between the photoresponse of the microwire arrays under these two illumination sources should vary strongly with angle, and the difference should diminish when the wires are measured at normal incidence, where the spectral response is more uniform across the visible spectrum.\(^{(19)}\)

The Cu–catalyzed Si microwire array photoanodes measured under 808 nm illumination in contact with 25 mM Me\(_2\)FcBF\(_4\), to reduce concentration overpotential losses, exhibited a fill factor of \(f_{\text{fill}} = 0.60 \pm 0.02\) and an efficiency of \(\eta_{\text{808}} = 3.4 \pm 0.2\%\) (Figure 2.11). After correcting for concentration overpotential and uncompensated resistance losses, the corrected fill factor and efficiency were \(f_{\text{corr}} = 0.61 \pm 0.04\) and \(\eta_{\text{corr}} = 2.1 \pm 0.1\%\), respectively, for Si microwire photoanodes measured under AM 1.5 G illumination.
illumination. Thus, the undoped Cu–catalyzed Si wire array photoanodes in contact with Me₂Fc⁺/0–CH₃OH not only exhibited improved performance relative to the initial n-Si wire array measurements, but also yielded efficiencies that were very similar to those observed for optimally doped p-Si wire arrays photocathodes in contact with MV²⁺/⁺(aq) (Table 2.1).¹,²
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<tr>
<th>Table 2.1 Figures of merit of Si microwire array cells</th>
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<td></td>
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</tr>
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</table>

2.6 Growth and characterization of n-Si microwire arrays using in situ PH₃

With enhanced control over the material properties of undoped wires grown with a high-purity Cu catalyst, intentionally doped n-Si microwires were subsequently fabricated using a 6N Cu growth catalyst and PH₃ introduced in situ during the VLS growth. A PH₃ source (100 ppm in H₂, Matteson) was added to the Dorothy reactor, with the capability to flow in two different regimes using two mass flow controllers (MFC) with maximum flow rates of 2 and 20 sccm. Initial calibration of the PH₃ flow rate using the 20 sccm MFC showed that electronically active doping concentrations N_D of 1 x 10¹⁵ – 1 x 10¹⁸ cm⁻³ could be attained using this MFC (Figure 2.12). Using a flow rate of 1 sccm, microwires with an N_D of ~ 5 x 10¹⁷ cm⁻³ were produced, which should be a near optimal carrier concentration for the Si microwires. Given that the accuracy of the MFCs
are ±1% or ±0.2 sccm for a 20 sccm MFC, a second MFC with a flow rate of 2 sccm was added to the reactor, to increase control over the possible doping levels below an $N_D$ of $1 \times 10^{17}$ cm$^{-3}$. Using this MFC, carrier concentrations of $1 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$ could be attained, opening up the possibility of conducting experiments on the dependence of the $J$-$E$ behavior, particularly variation of the photoelectrodes’ $V_{oc}$ values, on the $N_D$ of the microwire arrays.

Within the calibration of the PH$_3$ dopant source, it should be noted that the effective carrier concentration measured at a flow rate of 0 sccm varied from $\sim 5 \times 10^{14}$ – $1 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$. These values were significantly higher than the $N_a$ values typically measured for undoped Si microwires as grown in both Dorothy and Big Blue. For resistivity measurements conducted using the 20 sccm MFC, the growths at 0 sccm produced lower fidelity arrays than would typically be measured for both resistivity and $J$-$E$ measurements, and these arrays may have possessed markedly different electronic properties from high-fidelity arrays. In addition, the number of the growth run for each array was not closely tracked and may be significant, with variable contamination arising from the quartz tube or the reactor itself.

![Figure 2.12](image.png)

**Figure 2.12.** Calibration of the resulting effective carrier concentration of Si microwires with the flow rate of the PH$_3$ dopant source, using a 20 sccm mass flow controller (red squares) and with a 2 sccm mass flow controller (blue dots). *Calibration of PH$_3$ by Shane Ardo and Elizabeth Santori.*
2.7 \textit{J-E} response of n-Si microwire arrays

Initial measurements of the photoresponse of the PH$_3$ doped Si microwire arrays were made on arrays grown with a PH$_3$ flow rate of 1 sccm. From single-wire measurements, the microwires from these electrodes possessed an $N_D$ of \( \sim 5.0 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), a near optimal carrier concentration for the base material (Figure 2.13B). When measured in contact with the Me$_2$Fc$^{+0}$–CH$_3$OH redox system, the n-Si microwire array photoelectrodes produced $V_{oc} = 487 \pm 12 \text{ mV}$, $J_{sc} = 13.2 \pm 1.2 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$, and $ff = 0.16 \pm 0.01$ under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ of simulated 1 Sun’s (ELH-type) illumination (Figure 2.13A). The photoelectrodes exhibited \textit{J-E} behavior consistent with the expected behavior of a degenerate semiconductor, with low \textit{ff} values, large $V_{oc}$ values, and large dark current in reverse bias.

Thus, to further investigate the relationship between the measured effective carrier concentration in the wires and their \textit{J-E} performance, and to potentially optimize the performance of the n-Si microwire arrays, wire arrays were subsequently grown at several PH$_3$ flow rates, ranging from 0.10–0.40 sccm. The \textit{J-E} behavior of representative n-Si photoelectrodes in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+0}$–CH$_3$OH is shown in Figure 2.14. The electrodes’ photoresponse, particularly their \textit{ff}, varied little with the PH$_3$ flow rate used during growth,

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{figure2.13.png}
\caption{A) Representative \textit{J-E} performance of n-Si photoelectrodes in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+0}$–CH$_3$OH under 1 Sun’s ELH-type illumination. B) Corresponding \textit{I-V} characteristics of wires from the array grown at a 1 sccm PH$_3$ flow rate, with wires possessing a $N_D$ of \( \sim 5.0 \times 10^{17} \text{ cm}^{-3} \).}
\end{figure}
with $ff \sim 0.16 \pm 0.02$ across all electrodes measured. The $V_{oc}$ of the photoelectrodes increased with increasing $N_D$, with $V_{oc}$ values increasing from 330 mV to 470 mV, with corresponding $N_D$ of $\sim 1 \times 10^{15}$ (0.1 sccm PH$_3$) to $5 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$ (0.4 sccm PH$_3$), respectively. Chemical etching of the arrays with KOH(aq) to remove the near-surface region of the wires ($\sim 50$ nm radially) generally increased the measured $V_{oc}$, but did not result in an improvement of the $ff$ of the photoelectrodes. The degenerate behavior of the n-Si microwire arrays, regardless of measured $N_D$, suggested that an inhomogeneous doping profile existed in the wires, either radially or axially, with P-enriched surfaces potentially located along the length or at the base of the wire.

To investigate the radial doping profile of the microwires, the resistivity of microwires from a single array was repeatedly measured as a function of KOH chemical etching (experiment conducted by Shane Ardo). Preliminary measurements showed that the resistivity would increase with increased chemical etching with KOH, indicating non-uniform radial doping and higher P concentrations at the surface. Further work is
ongoing to determine the radial doping profile, as well as the doping profile along the length of the wire.

### 2.8 J-E response of thermally oxidized n-Si microwire arrays

To improve upon the device performance of the n-Si microwire arrays, thermal oxide ‘boots’ were fabricated, as demonstrated previously. Following a standard catalyst removal procedure and subsequent KOH etch, arrays of n-Si microwires were thermally oxidized at 1100°C for ~ 100 min, to produce a thermal oxide with a thickness of ~ 120 nm. To expose the majority of the Si wire, a polymer mask was employed, using polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS) infilled into the array to a height of ~ 10 µm from the base of the wires. The exposed thermal oxide was etched using BHF, and the PDMS was subsequently removed, resulting in wire arrays with selective oxide passivation at the bottom of the wire.

Figure 2.15 shows the J-E response of a representative n-Si electrode grown under 0.2 sccm PH₃, with a thermal oxide boot, measured in contact with the Me₂Fc⁺/0–

![Figure 2.15](image)

**Figure 2.15.** J-E performance of a n-Si microwire photoelectrode with a thermal oxide ‘boot’ in contact with Me₂Fc⁺/0–CH₃OH under ELH illumination and in the dark. *Experiment conducted by Shane Ardo.* Included is a representative SEM image of a Si microwire array with a thermal oxide boot, scale bar = 20 µm.
CH₃OH redox system under ELH-type W halogen illumination. The photoresponse of the n-Si electrodes drastically improved with the subsequent processing, with the representative electrode producing a $J_{sc} = 12.6 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}$, $V_{oc} = 475 \text{ mV}$, and a $ff = 0.49$. Due to the small electrode area of $\sim 0.007 \text{ cm}^{-2}$ and thus lower operating currents, the fill factors were slightly improved relative to the undoped Si microwire arrays, which had larger electrode areas of $\sim 0.025 \text{ cm}^{-2}$. The n-Si microwire/Me₂Fc⁺/0 contact was more rectifying in dark, as compared to the contact with as-grown n-Si microwire arrays, consistent with the improved response of these electrodes.

From this experimental result, it is unclear whether the improvement in the device properties of the n-Si microwire arrays was the result of gettering of P at the surface of the wires from the growth of a thermal oxide, or from eliminating direct contact of a highly doped base region of the wire from solution. To distinguish between these two possibilities, an insulating, Si nitride ($a$-SiNₓ:H) boot could be fabricated, which proceeds through a plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition at relatively low substrate temperatures for short times ($\sim 350^\circ \text{C}$, 25 min deposition). In addition, the $a$-SiNₓ:H should produce a surface with a lower surface recombination velocity than the dry thermal oxide, and could thus improve the performance of this device.

### 2.9 Conclusions

For both undoped and n-Si microwire arrays photoanodes, improvements have been made in their photoelectrochemical performance by gaining control over the material properties of the microwires, in particular by employing higher purity VLS catalysts. The n-Si microwires, although demonstrating poor photoresponse as grown, showed greatly improved $J-E$ response when subject to subsequent thermal oxidation to form an insulating oxide at the bottom of the array.

For the undoped microwire arrays, due to their very low dopant concentration, the Si wires are expected to be operating under high-level injection conditions, in which the concentration of photogenerated carriers exceeds the equilibrium concentration of majority carriers in the wire. The initial device physics model only encompassed wires under
low-level injection that were not fully depleted, making the model potentially not
applicable to undoped microwires operating under field-free conditions. Modeling of
radial junction nanowire devices under high-level injection conditions predicts that these
devices should have poor carrier-collection efficiencies, due to the full depletion within the
nanowire resulting in large majority-carrier recombination losses.\textsuperscript{13, 21} Work is currently
underway to understand in more detail the properties of both nano- and microscale radial
geometry devices with low dopant densities that are operating under high-level injection
conditions, as presented in subsequent chapters of this thesis.

2.10 Experimental methods

2.10.1 Synthesis of 5N Au–catalyzed Si microwire arrays

Si microwire arrays were grown using the vapor–liquid–solid (VLS) growth
method, using thermally evaporated Au (ESPI, 99.999\%) as the VLS growth catalyst.
Degenerately doped (111)–oriented n-Si wafers with a resistivity of $\rho \sim 0.004 \ \Omega \cdot \text{cm}$ were
thermally oxidized to produce an oxide film with a thickness of 285 nm. A positive
photoresist (Microchem S1813) was used to pattern the wafers with 3 $\mu$m diameter circular
holes, with a 7 $\mu$m center-to-center spacing, in a square array. The exposed thermal oxide
was etched in buffered HF(aq) (BHF, Transene Inc.) for 4 min. Immediately following the
HF etch, 500 nm of Au was thermally evaporated onto the patterned growth substrate and
lift-off was performed in acetone. To perform VLS growth, the samples were introduced to
the CVD reactor (Watson, ‘Big Blue’) at 1050º C under N\textsubscript{2} flow, and subsequently
annealed in H\textsubscript{2} for 20 min at a flow rate of 1000 sccm at atmospheric pressure. Wire
growth was induced by introduction of a mixture of 20 sccm of SiCl\textsubscript{4} (6N, Strem) and 1000
sccm of H\textsubscript{2} (Research grade, Matheson) into the reactor for 20 min.

After VLS growth, the Au VLS catalyst was subsequently removed by a 10 s 10\%
HF etch followed by etching in a Au etchant solution (gold etch TFA, Transene Inc.) for 10
min. The wire array samples were subsequently dipped in 1 M HCl(aq) and rinsed with
H\textsubscript{2}O. The samples were then etched for 10 s in 10\% HF(aq) to remove the native oxide,
rinsed with H₂O, and dried under a stream of N₂.

2.10.2 Synthesis of 6N Au–catalyzed Si microwire arrays

Arrays of Si microwires were grown using thermally evaporated Au (ESPI, 99.9999%) as the VLS growth catalyst, and degenerately doped (111)–oriented n-Si wafers with a resistivity of ρ < 0.007 Ω·cm and with 300 nm of thermal oxide (Addison Engineering, Inc.) were used as the growth substrates. A positive photoresist (Microchem S1813) was used to pattern the wafers with 3 μm diameter circular holes, with a 7 μm center-to-center spacing, in a square array. The exposed thermal oxide was etched in buffered HF(aq) (BHF, Transene Inc.) for 4 min. Immediately following the HF etch, 400 nm of Au was thermally evaporated onto the patterned growth substrate. Lift-off was performed in acetone, and the patterned wafers were then cleaved into 1.3 x 1.5 cm pieces. To perform VLS growth, the samples were introduced to the CVD reactor (Watson, ‘Big Blue’) at 1000º C under N₂ flow, and subsequently annealed in H₂ (Research Grade, Matheson) for 20 min at a flow rate of 1000 sccm at atmospheric pressure. Wire growth was induced by introduction of a mixture of 5 sccm of SiCl₄ (6N, Strem) and 1000 sccm of H₂ into the reactor for 45 min. Following growth, the tube was purged with N₂ at 200 sccm and was allowed cool to ~ 650 ºC over the course of ~ 20 min. The wires were subsequently quickly cooled to RT for 5 min and removed from the reactor. After VLS growth, the Au VLS catalyst was removed by a 10 s BHF etch followed by etching in a Au etchant solution (gold etch TFA, Transene Inc.) for 45 min. Following a 5s BHF etch to remove the native oxide on the microwires, the arrays were etched in 30% KOH(aq) at room temperature (~20ºC) for 2 min. Given a corresponding Si etch rate of ~ 1.5–2.1 μm/hr, approximately 60 nm of Si was removed radially from the wires.

2.10.3 Synthesis of 6N Cu–catalyzed Si microwire arrays

Si microwire arrays were grown using the vapor–liquid–solid (VLS) growth method, using thermally evaporated Cu (ESPI, 99.9999%) as the VLS growth catalyst.
Degenerately doped (111)–oriented n-Si wafers with a resistivity of $\rho < 0.007$ $\Omega$-cm and with 300 nm of thermal oxide (Addison Engineering, Inc.) were used as the growth substrates. The wafers were patterned as described previously, with 3 $\mu$m x 7 $\mu$m hexagonal array of 400 nm of evaporated Cu catalyst. To perform VLS growth, the samples were annealed in a tube furnace (‘Dorothy’) at 1000ºC for 20 min with 500 sccm of $H_2$ (Research grade ‘AlphaGaz 2,’ Air Liquide) at atmospheric pressure. Wire growth was induced by the introduction of SiCl$_4$ (6N, Strem) in 50 sccm of He (Research grade, Air Liquide) into the reactor for 20 min. The wires were subsequently cooled to 750ºC under $H_2$ for 15 min, then cooled to RT under He for 20 min and subsequently removed from the reactor. After VLS growth, the Cu growth catalyst was removed by a 10 s BHF etch, immediately followed by an etch in 6:1:1 (by volume) of $H_2O:HCl:H_2O_2$ at 70ºC (RCA 2) for 15 min. A KOH etch was not employed on the Cu–catalyzed wires, as the $J$-$E$ performance of the microwires was not shown to improve with subsequent etching. In fact, increasing the total time of HF etching generally decreased the observed $V_{oc}$, from increased etching of the Si oxide buffer layer.

### 2.10.4 Synthesis of n-Si microwire arrays with in situ PH$_3$

Si microwire arrays were grown using the vapor–liquid–solid (VLS) growth method, using thermally evaporated Cu (ESPI, 99.9999%) as the VLS growth catalyst. Degenerately doped (111)–oriented n-Si wafers with a resistivity of $\rho < 0.001$–0.003 $\Omega$-cm and with 450 nm of thermal oxide (University Wafer) were used as the growth substrates. These wafers were subsequently patterned with a 3 x 7 $\mu$m hexagonal array of the Cu metal catalyst, with a thickness of 450 nm. To perform VLS growth, the samples were annealed in a tube furnace (‘Dorothy’) at 1000ºC for 20 min with 500 sccm of $H_2$ (Research grade, Air Liquide) at atmospheric pressure. Wire growth was induced by introduction of SiCl$_4$ (6N, Strem) in 50 sccm of He (Research grade, Air Liquide) into the reactor for 20 min, in conjunction with 0.1–15.0 sccm of PH$_3$ (100 ppm in $H_2$, Matheson). The wires were subsequently cooled to 750ºC under $H_2$ for 15 min, then cooled to RT under He for 20 min and subsequently removed from the reactor. After VLS growth, the Cu growth
catalyst was removed by a 5 s BHF etch, immediately followed by an etch in 6:1:1 (by volume) of H₂O:HCl:H₂O₂ at 70º C (RCA 2) for 15 min (‘BHF/RCA2 cleaning procedure’). This BHF/RCA2 step was repeated once more, to ensure that all the catalyst had been removed. No KOH etch steps were performed prior to electrochemical measurement.

2.10.5 Four-point resistance and gate-dependent measurements

Four-point resistance measurements were performed as described previously.⁹ After removal of the VLS catalyst, a thin surface region of the Si microwires arrays of ~60 nm in thickness was chemically removed using a 30% KOH etch at RT (~20ºC) for 2 min. The wire arrays were thoroughly rinsed in H₂O to terminate the chemical etch, and dried under N₂. Various regions of the Si microwire arrays were mechanically removed from the growth substrate with a razor blade and were suspended in isopropanol. Care was taken not to remove wires from the edge of the array, where the wires were generally non-uniform and highly tapered. The wires were then spin-coated onto a silicon wafer that had been coated with 300 nm of Si₃N₄ (University Wafer). Contacts were patterned on individual wires using a lift-off resist (LOR10A, Microchem) and a positive photoresist (S1813, Microchem). Immediately following a 5 s BHF etch, 800 nm of Al (5N, Kurt J. Lesker) and 200 nm of Ag (4N, Kurt J. Lesker) were deposited by electron-beam evaporation onto the patterned wafer, to form ohmic contacts to the wires. The conductivity of the wires was measured with varying back-gate bias potentials, between -15 V and +15 V, to determine the carrier type in the wires.

2.10.6 Photoelectrochemical measurements

Please refer to Appendix A for the standard configuration of the Me₂Fc⁺/Me₂Fc zero-electrochemical cell, under AM 1.5 G, ELH-type W halogen, and 808 nm illumination. For results given in 2.3 and 2.5, data were collected and averaged for six wire array samples, for both Au– and Cu–catalyzed Si microwire arrays. For the 200 mM Me₂Fc/25
mM Me₂FcBF₄ cell, ~ 60 mW cm² of 808 nm illumination was required to match each electrode’s $J_{sc}$ as measured under 100 mW cm² simulated 1 Sun’s illumination. For correction of the Au- and Cu-catalyzed $J$-$E$ data, the limiting anodic current density was 80 mA cm⁻² and the limiting cathodic current densities were 0.15 and 9.8 mA cm⁻², for 0.4 mM and 25 mM Me₂FcBF₄, respectively. The measured values of the uncompensated series resistance of the cell, $R_s$, ranged from 50–150 Ω. A value of $R_s = 50$ Ω was used in the correction of the $J$-$E$ data, to avoid overcorrecting any individual photodeode’s response. For $J$-$E$ measurements of n-Si microwire arrays in 2.7, the electrochemical cell was operated under standard conditions (see Appendix A), with 200 mM Me₂Fc/0.4 mM Me₂FcBF₄ under 100 mW cm⁻² of ELH-type W halogen illumination. Data was collected for n-Si grown for PH₃ flow rates of 0.10, 0.20, 0.25, 0.30, 0.40, and 1.00 sccm, with three electrodes measured at each PH₃ flow rate.

2.10.7 Oxidation and formation of a thermal oxide ‘boot’ on n-Si microwire arrays

A Cu-catalyzed, n-Si microwire array was grown with 0.2 sccm PH₃ and the resulting wires were 60 µm in height. The Cu catalyst was subsequently removed by the BHF/RCA2 cleaning procedure, followed by etching in 30 % wt. KOH(aq) for 90 s. The wire arrays were then subjected to the BHF/RCA2 cleaning procedure again, to fully remove the Cu catalyst and also any resulting metal contamination from the KOH etching step. The array was then oxidized at 1100°C for 100 min under a pure O₂ ambient (2 lpm flow rate), producing a conformal, dry SiO₂ layer with a thickness of ~120 nm. To produce the polymer mask, the array was then coated with a solution that contained 1 g polydimethylsiloxane PDMS and 0.10 g of PDMS curing agent (Sylgard 184, Dow Corning) in 4.35 g (5 mL) of toluene. These samples were then spun at 30 s at 150 RPM, 30 s at 750 RPM, and 60 s at 1500 RPM. The array was then placed in a vacuum oven at 40–60°C overnight, and was then fully cured at 150 °C for 30 min, producing a 10 µm thick PDMS layer at the base of the wire array. Residual PDMS was removed from the tops of the wires by first wetting the array with N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone (NMP), and then subsequently employing a ~ 5 s etch in a 1:3 mixture of 75 wt. % tetrabutylammonium
fluoride in water (Sigma-Aldrich): NMP (‘PDMS’ etch), followed by a H₂O rinse. The arrays were then etched for 5 min in BHF to remove the exposed thermal oxide. The PDMS was completely removed by etching for 0.5–2 hr in the PDMS etch, followed by a H₂O rinse. To remove residual organics on the array, a piranha etch (3:1 aq. conc. H₂SO₄:H₂O₂) was used, for at least 10 min.
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Chapter 3

SI MICROWIRE ARRAYS OPERATING UNDER HIGH-LEVEL INJECTION CONDITIONS
Summary

The device properties of undoped Si microwire arrays were further investigated, using single-wire and photoelectrochemical measurements. Wires grown with a Cu VLS catalyst on both n\(^+\) and p\(^+\) degenerate, planar substrates were slightly p-type, displaying resistivities of \(\sim 100–1000 \ \Omega\text{-cm}\) corresponding to electronically active dopant concentrations of \(1 \times 10^{13}–1 \times 10^{14} \ \text{cm}^{-3}\). Photoconductivity measurements of single wires showed a decrease in resistance of the wires under illumination, consistent with an increase in carrier concentration under illumination for lightly doped Si.

Arrays of such wires were characterized electrochemically, using non-aqueous 1,1\(^{\prime}\)-dimethylferrocene (Me\(_2\)Fe\(^{+/0}\)) and cobaltocene (CoCp\(^{+/0}\)) redox couples, which produce conformal, radial, high barrier-height contacts to n-Si and p-Si, respectively. In particular, arrays with a n\(^+\) back contact measured in radial contact with Me\(_2\)Fe\(^{+/0}\) exhibited photovoltages of 0.45 V and an energy-conversion efficiency of 2.3% under simulated 1 Sun’s illumination, with diode quality factors of 1.90 ± 0.06. Similar behavior was observed for an identical array with a p\(^+\) back contact in radial contact with CoCp\(^{+/0}\), demonstrating that the arrays were operating under high-level injection conditions, in which kinetic asymmetries at the back contact determined the charge separation in the device.
3.1 Introduction and motivation

Si wire arrays grown by the vapor–liquid–solid (VLS) process have emerged as a promising technology for the fabrication of efficient, scalable photovoltaics and artificial photosynthetic devices. However, to ultimately achieve efficiencies comparable to wafer-based solar cells, higher open-circuit voltages must be obtained. One potential strategy to improve the attainable photovoltage of Si microwire arrays is to operate under the condition of high-level injection using lightly doped Si, where the change in the concentration of photogenerated electrons and holes (Δn and Δp, respectively) greatly exceeds their equilibrium concentration in the dark (n₀ and p₀). Under these conditions, the Shockley–Read–Hall recombination rate (eq. 3.1) for a single, mid-gap trap reduces to eq 3.2,

\[ R_{SLT} = \frac{pn - n_i^2}{\tau_{SLT,n} \left( p + n_i e^{-E_i/kT} \right) \tau_{SLT,p} \left( n + n_i e^{-E_i/kT} \right)} \]  

(3.1)

\[ R_{SLT} \approx \frac{n}{\tau_{SLT,n} + \tau_{SLT,p}} \approx \frac{p}{\tau_{SLT,n} + \tau_{SLT,p}} \text{ when } p \approx n \gg n_0, p_0 \]  

(3.2)

where n and p are the concentrations of electrons and holes under illumination, respectively; and \( \tau_{SLT,n} \) and \( \tau_{SLT,p} \) are the carrier lifetimes of electrons and holes, respectively. Under high-level injection conditions, the recombination lifetime is proportional to the sum of both the carrier lifetimes, and is thus longer than the lifetime under low-level injection conditions for a doped semiconductor, where the lifetime is proportional to the minority-carrier lifetime.

Planar devices operating under these conditions, such as Si point-contact solar cells (Figure 3.1), have achieved the highest efficiencies for a single-junction Si photovoltaic, with cell efficiencies of exceeding 27% under concentrated illumination. These devices utilize lightly doped, float-zone Si, and are fabricated with small interdigitated n⁺ and p⁺ back point-contacts for the selective collection of electrons and holes, respectively, and low saturation currents. Photogenerated carriers are driven by diffusion, not drift, within the device, which does not possess an electric field in the bulk of the semiconductor. Thus, to
elicit an efficient device, the Si must possess an extremely long lifetime exceeding 1 ms, and high-quality surface passivation on both the front and back of the cell are necessary to minimize recombination. This highly optimized device also benefits from having a highly reflective back surface, an antireflection coating on the front surface, and no shadowing from a top contact to achieve high efficiencies.

To translate the operational principles of planar devices operating under high-level injection conditions to a structured device, lightly doped, selectively contacted Si wire arrays were fabricated, by growing Cu–catalyzed Si microwires without dopants, on a n⁺ or p⁺-Si(111) substrate (Figure 3.2). Using non-aqueous redox couples with varying electrochemical potentials, including the Me₂Fc⁺/0–CH₃OH and CoCp₂⁺/0–CH₃CN redox systems, the J-E behavior of the wire arrays was systematically probed, following previous experiments using planar, modified point-contact solar cells.⁶,⁷ Both the Me₂Fc⁺/0–CH₃OH and CoCp₂⁺/0–CH₃CN systems have been shown to generate an inversion layer in contact with Si, resulting in semiconductor/liquid interfaces with high selectivity for holes and electrons, respectively, and low effective surface recombination velocities, S.⁸

However, unlike these planar devices, the lightly doped Si microwires are expected to be fully depleted in contact with the stated redox couples, for $N_A \sim 1 \times 10^{-13} - 1 \times 10^{-14}$ cm⁻³ and wire diameters of $\sim 2.5–3.0 \, \mu$m. For doped wires, device physics simulations predict that depleted wires should have extremely low carrier-collection efficiencies, due to the absence of an electric field within the wire and the lack of majority carriers to facilitate axial carrier transport.⁹,¹⁰ For undoped Si microwires in contact with Me₂Fc⁺/0–

**Figure 3.1.** Schematic of a Si point-contact cell
CH$_3$OH, in contrast, the surface of the wire is also expected to be strongly inverted, as previously demonstrated for the n-Si/Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH junction.\textsuperscript{8, 11, 12} Thus, undoped microwires may possess an electric field, with a large concentration of holes at the surface, to enable efficient carrier collection. Moreover, prior device physics models have examined fully depleted materials with relatively short lifetimes, with effective diffusion lengths on the order of, or smaller than, the length of the wire. Given the longer anticipated lifetime of the lightly doped Si microwires operating under high-level injection conditions as compared to doped Si microwires, which have demonstrated effective diffusion lengths $>> 30$ µm,\textsuperscript{13} further modeling of structured materials with lifetimes exceeding 1 µs are needed to fully understand the device properties of these systems.

It is clear from preliminary experiments of undoped Si microwires in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH that these arrays have high carrier-collection efficiencies, producing $J$-$E$ performance similar to optimally doped wire arrays. Chapters 3–5 within this thesis will provide a thorough investigation of the device properties of these microwire arrays, through electrochemical experiments in contact with varying electrochemical systems; modification of the as-grown wires with thermal processing, surface passivation for the formation of axial devices, and the formation of diffused emitters; spectral response measurements; and device physics modeling of the undoped wires in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH. These experiments will provide fundamental insight into the performance lightly doped,
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**Figure 3.2.** Schematic of a selectively contacted, undoped Si microwire array, in contact with redox couples with very positive (Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$) and negative (CoCp$_2$$^{+/0}$) electrochemical potentials. With the ability to vary both the solution contact and the back contact, several combinations of devices are possible to fully investigate the behavior of undoped microwires operating under high-level injection conditions.
structured semiconductor electrodes that are operated under high-level injection conditions.

3.2 VLS–catalyzed Si microwire growth and characterization

Arrays of lightly doped Si microwires were grown on a planar $n^+$-Si(111) substrate using the VLS process, without dopants, but with 6N Cu as the growth catalyst. The resulting Si wires were oriented in the (111) direction, with diameters of 2.7–2.9 µm and heights of 67–80 µm, with an average areal packing fraction ($\eta_f$) of 12.5% (Figure 3.3 and Figure 3.4). To potentially improve upon their performance, wires with larger diameters of ~3 µm were intentionally fabricated, by increasing the amount of deposited Cu metal catalyst from the typical thickness of 450 nm to 750 nm. An interfacial region between the faceted Si microwire and the metallic Cu catalyst existed at the top of the wires, with a minimum thickness of ~100 nm. This region was visually characterized by its scalloped appearance, in contrast to the highly faceted faces of the Si microwire. The interfacial region appeared in all wires grown in the Dorothy reactor, regardless of cooling conditions, and could not be removed with repeated HF/RCA2 chemical etching.

![Figure 3.3.](image)

**Figure 3.3.** A) Side view SEM image of a cleaved array of square-packed Si microwires, scale bar = 30 µm. B) Top view of the same Si microwire array, scale bar = 20 µm.
Four-point resistance measurements indicated that the Si microwires grown without in situ dopants had resistivities of $\sim 800 \pm 500 \ \Omega \text{-cm}$, and gate-dependent conductance measurements demonstrated that the microwires were slightly p-type, with the wires showing an increase in conductivity with a negative applied gate-bias. Thus, the as-grown microwires possessed an electronically active acceptor concentration, $N_a$, of $\sim 1 \times 10^{13} \ \text{cm}^{-3}$ (Figure 3.5). These measurements were in good agreement with previous measurements on undoped Si microwires grown on degenerate $n^+$ substrates, as discussed in Chapter 2.4.
In addition, under illumination, the single-wire devices with native oxide passivation showed a decrease in resistance relative to their resistance in the dark (Figure 3.6), with a factor of 1.5 decrease of resistance under several Suns of ELH-type W halogen illumination. The small change in conductance of the microwires, even under several Suns of illumination, could be attributed to the low absorption of light by a single microwire. The ELH-type W halogen spectrum contains very little short wavelength radiation, with a maximum irradiance at $\lambda_{\text{max}} \sim 660$ nm. The spectrum’s lack of short wavelength radiation, in conjunction with the thin (~ 3 µm) optical path length of a single wire, limited the absorption within the wire and, thus, its overall change in conductivity. Also, the native oxide surface of the microwire was expected to have a high surface recombination velocity. With this dominant recombination mechanism, the concentration of photogenerated carriers should decrease due to an overall increased recombination rate, resulting in a small change in conductance under illumination. The surface recombination velocity, $S$, of microwires with various surface passivations have been extrapolated from measurements of the effective diffusion length $L_{\text{eff}}$ of single-wire Si p-n junctions. In particular, a very high $S \geq 4 \times 10^5$ cm s$^{-1}$ was calculated for the native oxide surface, from a measured $L_{\text{eff}} \leq 0.5$ µm. A very low $S < 10$ cm s$^{-1}$ was similarly computed for silicon nitride ($a$-SiN$_x$:H) surface passivation of the device from a measured $L_{\text{eff}} >> 30$ µm, with all carriers being collected.

**Figure 3.6.** $I$-$V$ behavior of a four-point contacted single-wire device with native oxide passivation, in the dark and under several Suns of ELH-type illumination, with a corresponding schematic of the device.
from axial region of the device.

Given the known, high-quality passivation of the Si microwires by $a$-SiN$_x$:H, single-wire devices with low-stress, plasma-enhanced chemical vapor deposition (PECVD) $a$-SiN$_x$:H passivation were fabricated (Figure 3.7). After standard catalyst removal and subsequent cleaning of the Si microwire arrays by an RCA1/RCA2 procedure, PECVD $a$-SiN$_x$:H was deposited on the arrays. The $a$-SiN$_x$:H film was grown using SiH$_4$ (5% in N$_2$) and NH$_3$ precursors, with the substrate held at 350°C. For a typical 25 min deposition, the thickness of the deposited $a$-SiN$_x$:H film on the Si microwires ranged from ~120 nm at the wire tips to ~60 nm at the wire bases, as measured previously.$^{13}$ The PECVD film was also deposited on planar, high-resistivity, double side polished, float-zone n-Si(111) with a lifetime > 2 ms. A $S$ of ~25 cm s$^{-1}$ was measured using microwave-frequency photoconductivity decay measurements, indicating the high quality of the deposited film.$^8,11$

To obtain single wires with both ohmic terminal contacts and $a$-SiN$_x$:H passivation over the entirety of the non-contacted area, a polymer mask was employed to allow for the selective removal of the Si nitride from the wire tips. At the base of the Si wire, contact could be made to the center of the wire once removed from the substrate in the fabrication of the single-wire device. Figure 3.7 shows the schematic for an $a$-SiN$_x$:H
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**Figure 3.7.** $I$-$V$ behavior of a Si nitride coated microwire in the dark and under illumination, with a corresponding schematic of the single-wire device
passivated single-wire device with two-point contacts, as well as the $I$-$V$ response of the $a$-SiN$_x$:H coated wire in the dark and under several Suns of ELH-type W halogen illumination. The $a$-SiN$_x$:H coated wire under illumination decreased in resistance by a factor of $\sim 2.5$; however, the real decrease in resistance cannot be properly calculated, given the presence of a rectifying contact in the device. To really measure the change in conductivity under illumination, a four-point contacted wire should be fabricated, to both maximize the change in resistance by eliminating contact resistance and to produce a linear response in the device. However, the change in conductivity for a single-wire device would not be representative of the actual change in conductivity of a wire in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+}/0$–CH$_3$OH, since this junction should create an accumulation layer at the surface of the lightly doped p-type microwires.

3.3 $J$-$E$ response of lightly doped Si microwire arrays:

$n^+$-i-Si/Me$_2$Fc$^{+}/0$–CH$_3$OH

Current density vs. potential ($J$-$E$) measurements of the undoped Si microwire arrays grown on a $n^+$ substrate ($n^+$-i-Si) were measured in contact with 200 mM Me$_2$Fc-0.4 mM Me$_2$FcBF$_4$ in CH$_3$OH under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ of simulated 1 Sun illumination, using an ELH-type W halogen illumination source (Figure 3.8). The lightly doped Si wire array electrodes exhibited $V_{oc} = 445 \pm 13$ mV, $J_{sc} = 12.8 \pm 2.1$ mA cm$^{-2}$, and fill factors, $ff = 0.41 \pm 0.03$, with a photoelectrode energy-conversion efficiency $\eta = 2.3 \pm 0.3\%$. The arrays demonstrated photoanodic behavior even though the wires were slightly p-type, indicating that the $J$-$E$ behavior of the arrays was not dominated by their doping but by the formation of ohmic-selective contacts at the back of the wire through the $n^+$ substrate and through the conformal, high barrier-height contact to Me$_2$Fc$^{+}$.0.

From the $J$-$E$ performance of this particular array of larger diameter wires, overall trends in the device performance of Si microwires can be observed. The larger diameter wires with $D \sim 2.7$–2.9 $\mu$m produced more photocurrent than wires previously measured, with $D \sim 2.0$–2.5 $\mu$m and a resulting $J_{sc} = 7.9 \pm 0.5$ mA cm$^{-2}$ under AM 1.5 G illumination and a $J_{sc} = 9.2 \pm 1.0$ mA cm$^{-2}$ under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ ELH-type W illumination (Chapter 2.4).
However, these larger diameter wires were particularly sensitive to repeated HF etching, with an observed decrease in $V_{oc}$ of $\sim 10$ mV for each 5 s 5% HF etching step. The increased quantity of deposited Cu metal (750 nm versus the typical 450 nm) may have increased the concentration of metal inclusions or Cu silicides formed in the planar substrate or around the base of the wire, which could provide a direct shunt between the degenerate growth substrate and the redox solution when exposed. This effect should be most pronounced when measuring these arrays in an electrochemical configuration, which provides a conformal contact to the whole array, and may not ultimately diminish the observed $V_{oc}$ in a solid-state device.

To estimate the contribution of the degenerate n$^+$-Si(111) growth substrate to the photoresponse of the wire array photoelectrodes, the wires were subsequently removed from the electrode through non-abrasive mechanical force, and the same electrodes were measured for their photoresponse. These n$^+$-Si(111) control substrates produced $V_{oc} = 7.5 \pm 0.7$ mV, $J_{sc} = 0.86 \pm 0.01$ mA cm$^{-2}$, and $ff = 0.34 \pm 0.07$. With the demonstrated small photoresponse of the crystalline growth substrate, the observed photoresponse of the Si microwire array electrodes can be primarily attributed to the wires and not to the underlying growth substrate. For this particular experiment, the photoresponse of the n$^+$-Si
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**Figure 3.8.** $J$-$E$ behavior of lightly doped Si microwire arrays grown on a n$^+$-Si substrate, in contact with the Me$_2$Fc$^{+0}$-CH$_3$OH redox system under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ simulated 1 Sun’s illumination
growth substrate was greatly diminished, potentially due to the increased quantity of Cu metal that was deposited to achieve larger diameter wires.

After measuring the photoresponse of the Si microwire electrodes under 1 Sun’s illumination, the concentration of the oxidized form of the redox couple, Me₂FcBF₄, was increased in the cell, to decrease the effect of concentration overpotential losses within the cell. The photoelectrodes were illuminated using an 808 nm laser diode, such that the $J_{sc}$ value matched the value of $J_{sc}$ that was obtained at low Me₂Fc⁺ concentrations under 100 mW cm⁻² of simulated 1 Sun illumination. Approximately 55 mW cm⁻² of 808 nm illumination, as measured by a calibrated diode in the cell, was required to current match the photoelectrodes. Figure 3.9 shows the performance of the arrays in the presence of either 0.4 or 40 mM Me₂FcBF₄, with the latter cell exhibiting a fill factor of $ff_{808} = 0.58 \pm 0.02$ and an efficiency $\eta_{808\text{nm}} = 5.9 \pm 1.0\%$ under 55 mW cm⁻² of 808 nm illumination. After correcting both the 0.4 Me₂FcBF₄ $J$-$E$ data for concentration overpotential and uncompensated resistance losses, the corrected fill factor and photoelectrode efficiency values were $ff_{\text{corr}} = 0.62 \pm 0.04$ and $\eta_{\text{corr}} = 3.5 \pm 0.6\%$, respectively.

Figure 3.9. $J$-$E$ behavior of lightly doped Si microwire arrays grown on a n⁺-Si substrate, in contact with the Me₂Fc⁺/-CH₃OH redox system, with an increased concentration of Me₂FcBF₄ and the corrected $J$-$E$ response
3.4 Diode quality factor measurement

To determine the diode quality factor of the undoped Si microwire photoelectrodes in contact with Me₂Fc²⁺/CH₃OH, the electrodes were measured at a series of light intensities under 808 nm illumination. At each light intensity, a photoelectrode’s $V_{oc}$ was initially measured using a Keithley 4-digit voltmeter, and the $J_{sc}$ was measured from the $J-E$ behavior of the electrode. The $V_{oc}$ is expected to have the general form:

$$V_{oc} = \frac{n k T}{q} \ln \left( \frac{J_{ph}}{J_0} \right)$$  \hspace{1cm} (3.1)

where $n$ is the diode quality factor, $k$ is Boltzmann’s constant, $T$ is the temperature, $q$ is the charge on an electron, $J_{ph}$ is the photocurrent density, and $J_0$ is the dark saturation current density. Therefore, a plot of $V_{oc}$ versus $J_{ph}$ should be linear with a slope of $nkT/q$, and the value of $n$ can be readily determined. The Si microwire array photoelectrodes were measured under light intensities ranging from ~13 mW cm⁻² to 165 mW cm⁻² of 808 nm illumination, corresponding to ~0.24–3.0 Suns of illumination (Figure 3.10). Diode quality factors of $n = 1.90 \pm 0.06$ were subsequently calculated from the variation of $V_{oc}$ with $J_{sc}$ (Figure 3.11).

These particular photoelectrodes showed typically lower $ff$ values than previously measured, due to small variations in the Luggin capillary in the cell, and due to the electrodes’ slightly larger active areas, > 0.033 cm⁻² versus 0.024 cm⁻² for electrodes previously measured. Higher concentration of Me₂FcBF₄ present in the cell (40 mM versus 25 mM) made aligning the electrodes relative to the Luggin capillary difficult, and most likely was the cause of the increase in the apparent resistance of the $J-E$ behavior. As a comparison, the n⁺-i-Si photoelectrodes described in Chapter 2.4 were also measured for their $J-E$ behavior at various light intensities, from ~17–255 mW cm⁻² of 808 nm illumination, from ~0.28–4.3 Suns (Figure 3.12). Diode quality factors of $n = 1.78 \pm 0.01$ were extrapolated from the electrodes’ photoresponse (Figure 3.13).
**Figure 3.10.** $J-E$ data as a function of 808 nm illumination intensity for a representative $n^+\text{-}i\text{-}Si$ microwire array photoelectrode measured in contact with 200 mM Me$_2$Fc/40 mM Me$_2$FcBF$_4$ in methanol

**Figure 3.11.** The corresponding natural logarithm of the short-circuit photocurrent density vs. the open-circuit photovoltage for the $n^+\text{-}i\text{-}Si$ microwire array photoelectrode, yielding a diode quality factor of $A = 1.82$
Photoelectrodes of n⁺-i-Si microwires in contact with Me₂Fc⁺/⁻–CH₃OH consistently demonstrated measured diode quality factors of $n \sim 1.8–2.0$, which is characteristic of devices operating under the conditions of high-level injection. Diode quality factors of $\sim 2.0$, ranging from $n = 1.6–1.8$ have been measured previously for planar $p$-$i$-$n$ concentrator devices in contact with the Me₂Fc⁺/⁻–CH₃OH system.⁶,⁷ In contrast, previous measurements of p-type Si microwire arrays and diffused radial junction n⁺$p$-Si microwire arrays measured in photoelectrochemical cells have produced diode quality factors closer to 1.0. Arrays of p-Si microwires in contact with MV²⁺/⁺ have displayed $n = 1.5–1.6$ and Pt/$n$⁺$p$-Si wire arrays in contact with aq. 0.5 M H₂SO₄ produced $n = 1.10 \pm 0.04$.¹⁵,¹⁶ In addition, single-wire radial p-n junction wires have demonstrated $n$ values between 1.0–1.2, indicating high-quality, low-recombination p–n junctions operating under low-level injection conditions.¹³
3.5 Variation of $ff$ with light intensity

The change in the $ff$ values of each electrode was calculated as a function of light intensity from the electrode’s $J-E$ behavior under 808 nm illumination. For these measurements, high concentrations of both the reduced and oxidized species were present in the cell, to reduce the total $R_s$ of the cell. Under light intensities ranging from $\sim 13\, \text{mW cm}^{-2}$ to $165\, \text{mW cm}^{-2}$, the $n^+-i$-Si microwire photoelectrodes showed a maximum $ff$ under approximately 1 Sun’s illumination, with lower $ff$ observed at low and high light intensities. The decreased $ff$ at higher light intensities can be attributed to the dominance of uncompensated series resistance and concentration overpotential losses within the cell at higher operating currents. These particular $n^+-i$-Si electrodes possessed lower $ff$ than were typically measured, due to the increased shunting from the degenerate substrate with increased HF etching. The decrease in $ff$ at low light intensities was consistent with what is predicted by the diode equation. Thus, any decrease in the resistance of the wires would be convoluted with the diode behavior of the device, and the change in resistance cannot be calculated from this experiment.

3.6 Growth and characterization of Si microwires on $p^+$ substrates

To further investigate the effect of changing the back contact on the photoresponse of the undoped Si microwires, the microwires were grown without dopants on a $p^+$ substrate. The Si microwire arrays were grown with a similar procedure to that described in 3.2, using the Cu–catalyzed VLS process without dopants, but employing a degenerate $p^+$ substrate for the microwire growth. The resulting Si wires had diameters of $1.65–1.75 \, \mu\text{m}$ and heights of $90–97 \, \mu\text{m}$ (Figure 3.14). Four-point resistance and gate-dependent conductivity measurements demonstrated that the wires were nominally $p$-type, with resistivities of $200 \pm 100 \, \Omega\cdot\text{cm}$, corresponding to a $N_a$ of $\sim 5 \times 10^{13} \, \text{cm}^{-3}$ (Figure 3.15). Thus, wires grown on degenerate $p^+$ substrates possessed similar electronic properties to those grown under the same conditions, on a $n^+$ substrate. Dopant atoms from the substrate were not expected to migrate a substantial distance into the wires, given that the wires were only held at high temperatures during their actual growth, for $\sim 20$ min.
**Figure 3.14.** SEM images of undoped Si microwires grown on a p$^+$ substrate, with A) a side view of the microwire array, scale bar = 30 µm, and B) a magnified view of the top of an individual wire, scale bar = 2 µm.

**Figure 3.15.** A) Two-point and four-point $I$-$V$ behavior of an undoped Si microwire grown on an p$^+$ substrate and B) $I$-$V$ behavior of the same Si microwire, with varying back-gate bias, indicating p-type doping.
3.7 J-E response of undoped Si microwire arrays on a p\textsuperscript{+} substrate:

p\textsuperscript{+}-i-Si/CoCp\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+/0}–CH\textsubscript{3}CN

Undoped Si microwires grown on planar p\textsuperscript{+} substrates, with similar electronic properties to those grown on planar n\textsuperscript{+} substrates, were subsequently measured for their photoelectrochemical performance. The wires arrays were initially measured in contact with the CoCp\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+/0}–CH\textsubscript{3}CN redox system, which forms a high barrier-height contact to p-Si. The J-E performance of the p\textsuperscript{+}-i-Si microwire array electrodes in contact with 50 mM of CoCp\textsubscript{2}PF\textsubscript{6}/5.0 mM of CoCp\textsubscript{2} in acetonitrile under 100 mW cm\textsuperscript{-2} of ELH-type W halogen illumination is shown in Figure 3.16. The electrodes behaved as photocathodes in contact with the CoCp\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+/0} redox couple, demonstrating $V_{oc} = 421 \pm 14$ mV, $J_{sc} = -10.9 \pm 0.3$ mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}, and fill factors, $ff = 0.32 \pm 0.02$, with a photoelectrode energy-conversion efficiency $\eta = 1.5 \pm 0.1\%$. The planar p\textsuperscript{+} substrates with the wires mechanically removed produced $V_{oc} = 253 \pm 1$ mV, $J_{sc} = -1.75 \pm 0.11$ mA cm\textsuperscript{-2}, and fill factors, $ff = 0.27 \pm 0.05$.

The p\textsuperscript{+}-i-Si microwires in contact with CoCp\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+/0}–CH\textsubscript{3}CN typically produced lower $V_{oc}$ values than their n\textsuperscript{+}-i-Si/Me\textsubscript{2}Fc\textsuperscript{+/0} counterparts. This slight difference in the photoresponse could be attributed to slight differences in the effective surface
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**Figure 3.16.** J-E performance of p\textsuperscript{+}-i-Si microwire arrays with and without the wires removed, in contact with the CoCp\textsubscript{2}\textsuperscript{+/0}–CH\textsubscript{3}CN redox system under 100 mW cm\textsuperscript{-2} of ELH-type W halogen illumination
recombination velocities for Si in contact with these redox couples, with previously measured \( S \sim 20 \text{ cm s}^{-1} \) and \( S \sim 55 \text{ cm s}^{-1} \) for Si in contact with the \( \text{Me}_2\text{Fc}^{+/0}–\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \) and \( \text{CoCp}_2^{+/0}–\text{CH}_3\text{CN} \) redox systems, respectively.\(^8\) Even for planar n-type and p-type Si, the performance of the n-Si/Me\(_2\text{Fc}^{+/0}–\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \) electrochemical junction has typically produced higher \( V_{oc} \) values than the p-Si/Co\(_2\text{Cp}^{+/0}–\text{CH}_3\text{CN} \) junction.\(^{17-19}\) Recently, p-Si with a resistivity of \( \sim 0.24 \, \Omega\text{-cm} \) in contact with Co\(_2\text{Cp}^{+/0}–\text{CH}_3\text{CN} \) produced \( V_{oc} \) values of \( \sim 540 \) mV, while n-Si with the same resistivity measured in contact with Me\(_2\text{Fc}^{+/0}–\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \) has produced \( V_{oc} \) values of \( \sim 635 \) mV. In addition, redox couples with more negative electrochemical potentials, such as dimethylcobaltocene\(^+/0\) in acetonitrile, have elicited higher \( V_{oc} \) values from p-Si, demonstrating that the cobaltocene redox system is not completely optimized to produce the maximum photoresponse in Si.

3.8 \textit{J-E response of lightly doped Si microwire arrays:}\n
\[ \text{n}^+\text{-i-Si/CoCp}_2^{+/0}–\text{MeCN} \] and \[ \text{p}^+\text{-i-Si/Me}_2\text{Fc}^{+/0}–\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \]

To further investigate the effect of altering both the contacting junction and the back contact, n\(^+\)-i-Si and p\(^+\)-i-Si microwire arrays were measured in contact with the Co\(_2\text{Cp}^{+/0}–\text{MeCN} \) and Me\(_2\text{Fc}^{+/0}–\text{CH}_3\text{OH} \) redox systems, respectively. Figures 3.17 shows the response of these same electrodes under 100 mW\(^2\) of ELH-type W halogen illumination and in the dark. These electrodes demonstrate no apparent photoresponse in contact with their respective redox systems, when the contacting junction and the back contact of the growth substrate were selective for the same carrier.

These combinations of electrochemical experiments and variation of the growth substrate demonstrated that the back contact of the array, in addition the electrochemical junction, ultimately determined the photoresponse of the wires. Kinetic asymmetries introduced into the device by the junction and the back contact were critical to achieve a photoresponse in the microwires, similar to previous observations with \( p-i-n \) type cells in contact with non-aqueous redox systems.
Figure 3.17. \( J-E \) performance of A) \( n^+\)-i-Si microwire arrays in contact with the CoCp\(_2^{+0}\)–CH\(_3\)CN and B) \( p^+\)-i-Si microwire arrays in contact with the CoCp\(_2^{+0}\)–CH\(_3\)CN under 100 mW cm\(^{-2}\) of ELH-type W halogen illumination and in the dark.
3.9 Experimental methods

3.9.1 Reagents

For the cobaltocene electrochemical cell, acetonitrile (99.8% anhydrous, Sigma-Aldrich) was purified first by sparging with nitrogen for 15 minutes, and then by passing the solvent under nitrogen pressure through a column of activated A2 alumina (Zapp’s). Bis(cyclopentadienyl)cobalt(II) (CoCp₂, 98%, Strem) was purified by vacuum sublimation at 65 °C. Cobaltocenium hexafluorophosphate (Cp₂CoPF₆, 98%, Sigma-Aldrich) was recrystallized from an ethanol/acetonitrile mixture (ACS grade, EMD) and dried under vacuum. Water was obtained from a Barnstead Nanopure system and had a resistivity of 18.3 MΩ-cm. VLS–catalyzed Si microwire arrays were grown on both n⁺ and p⁺-Si (111)-oriented substrates, employing degenerately doped n⁺-Si substrates with ρ ~ 0.001–0.004 Ω-cm and 450 nm of thermal oxide (University Wafer) and p⁺-Si substrates with ρ ~ 0.001–0.005 Ω-cm and 500 nm of thermal oxide (International Wafer Service). Reagents for the 1,1’-dimethylferrocene (Me₂Fc)–MeOH cell were prepared and used as described in
Appendix A.

3.9.2 VLS–catalyzed Si microwire growth

Arrays of square– or hexagonally–packed Si microwires were grown on planar n⁺- and p⁺-Si(111) substrates using the vapor–liquid–solid (VLS) growth method with a Cu catalyst (6N, EPSI) and without dopants. A positive photoresist (Microchem S1813) was used to pattern the degenerately doped growth wafers with 3 µm diameter circular holes, with a 7 µm center-to-center spacing, in a square or hexagonal array. The exposed thermal oxide was etched in buffered HF(aq) (BHF, Transene Inc.) for 5 min. Immediately following the HF etch, 450–750 nm of Cu was thermally evaporated onto the patterned growth substrate. Lift-off proceeded in acetone, and the patterned wafers were then cleaved into 1.3 x 2.0 cm pieces. To perform VLS growth, the samples were annealed in a tube furnace at 1000° C for 20 min with 500 sccm of H₂ (Research grade, ‘Alpha Gaz 2’, Air Liquide) at atmospheric pressure. Wire growth was induced by introduction of SiCl₄ (6N, Strem) in 50 sccm of H₂ (Research grade, ‘Alpha Gaz 2’, Air Liquide) into the reactor for 20–45 min. After VLS growth, the Cu growth catalyst was removed by a 5 s BHF etch, immediately followed by an etch in 6:1:1 (by volume) of H₂O:HCl:H₂O₂ at 70° C (RCA 2) for 15 min. This BHF/RCA2 procedure was repeated an additional time, to ensure that all of the metal catalyst had been removed (‘BHF/RCA2 x 2’). The removal of the metal catalyst was subsequently confirmed by SEM. Prior to photoelectrochemical measurements, the Si wire arrays were etched for 5 s in 5% HF(aq), rinsed with > 18 MΩ-cm resistivity H₂O, and dried thoroughly under a stream of N₂(g).

3.9.3 Four-point resistance and gate-dependent measurements

Four-point resistance measurements were performed as described previously. After removal of the VLS catalyst, an area of 3 x 3 mm of Si microwires was mechanically removed from the growth substrate with a razor blade, and the microwires were suspended in isopropanol. The wires were then spin-coated onto a silicon wafer that had been coated with 300 nm of Si₃N₄ (University Wafer). Four-point contacts with an 30 µm and 60 µm inner and outer spacing, respectively, were patterned on individual wires using a lift-off
resist (LOR10A, Microchem) and a positive photoresist (S1813, Microchem). Immediately following a 5 s BHF etch, 800 nm of Al (5N, Kurt J. Lesker) and 200 nm of Ag (4N, Kurt J. Lesker) were deposited by electron-beam evaporation onto the patterned wafer, to form ohmic contacts to the wires. The conductivity of the wires was measured with varying gate bias potentials, typically between -10 V and +10 V, to determine the carrier type in the wires. For each wire growth, at least ten wires were measured. The resistivities of wires from the arrays measured for their J-E performance are provided in the main article.

3.9.4 Single-wire conductivity measurements under illumination

**PECVD a-SiNₓ:H deposition.** The Cu VLS growth catalyst was initially removed through the standard BHF/RCA2 x 2 cleaning procedure and KOH etch. The Si microwire arrays were further cleaned for 15 min in 6:1:1 by volume H₂O:H₂O₂ (30 % in H₂O):conc. aq. NH₃OH at 70 °C (RCA 1), followed by a 5 s BHF etch, and then by 15 min etch in RCA 2. The arrays were then etched for 5 s in BHF, rinsed in H₂O, and dried before immediately placing under vacuum in the PECVD chamber. To ensure uniform deposition over the array, the Si microwire array chip was surrounded on each side by planar Si chips in the deposition chamber. The low-stress PECVD a-SiNₓ:H was grown from SiH₄ (5% in N₂) and NH₃ at 350ºC, with a deposition time of ~ 25 min.

**Mounting wax infill.** The wire array sample was initially mounted on a glass slide using a small amount of mounting wax (Quickstick 135, South Bay Technology) at ~ 150ºC on a hot plate, to provide a stable handle for the array during processing. The sample remained at 150°C on the hot plate, and small chips of mounting wax (Quickstick 135, South Bay Technology) were melted into the array. Excess wax was placed in the array, to ensure that the wires were completely infilled with the polymer, and the sample was allowed to rest on the hot plate for at least 10 min, to allow air to escape from the array. The excess wax was removed from the array by gently applying lens paper to the array (Thorlabs), and placing a glass slide (1” x 3”) evenly on top of the array. This process was repeated multiple times, until a newly applied piece of lens paper did not absorb any wax. The sample was then placed on a clean glass slide, without any additional mounting wax.
Due to local variations in the heights of wires by \( \pm 2.5 \, \mu m \), the lowest wires were completely covered in wax, while the tallest wires in close proximity were fully exposed. To remove residual mounting wax from the surface of the wires, the sample on the glass slide was subsequently etched in an \( O_2 \) plasma (400 W, 300 mTorr) for \(~ 5 \) min. The array was subsequently removed from the glass slide (should not be attached), and etched in BHF for \(~ 5 \) min, to remove the exposed \( \alpha-SiN_x:H \). The mounting wax was then removed in acetone, and residual organics were removed by a 20 min piranha etch (3:1 aq. conc. \( H_2SO_4:H_2O_2 \)).

The wires were subsequently removed from the growth substrate and single-wire measurements were made as described previously in 3.9.3., but using a different lithographic mask to define two-point contacts. The illumination was provided by a ELH-type W halogen lamp without a diffuser, but was uncalibrated, with the lamp’s output \(~ 5 \) inches from the device.

![Figure 3.18. Schematic of the fabrication of \( \alpha-SiN_x:H \) coated Si microwire arrays](image)

### 3.9.5 Electrode fabrication

Arrays of Si microwires were cleaved into \(~ 4 \times 4 \) mm samples, to fabricate multiple electrodes for photoelectrochemical measurements. The electrodes were made as described in Appendix A, for both electrodes measured in the \( \text{CoCp}_2^{+/-0} – \text{CH}_3\text{CN} \) and \( \text{Me}_2\text{Fc}^{+/-0} – \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \) electrochemical cells. Prior to electrochemical measurements, the electrodes were placed for \(~ 4 \) h in an oven heated to \( 70^\circ \) C, to further cure the epoxy to obtain enhanced chemical stability in both the \( \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \) and \( \text{CH}_3\text{CN} \) solutions. Electrode
areas were ~ 0.03 cm², as measured using a high-resolution scanner and Adobe Photoshop software.

3.9.6 Photoelectrochemical measurements

All non-aqueous photoelectrochemical $J$-$E$ measurements were performed with bottom illumination in air-tight, flat-bottomed glass cells. The Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH electrolyte solution consisted of 200 mM of Me$_2$Fc, 0.4 mM of Me$_2$FcBF$_4$, and 1.0 M LiClO$_4$ in 30 mL of methanol. The cell was assembled and sealed under an inert atmosphere (< 10 ppm O$_2$) before being placed under positive Ar pressure outside of the N$_2$ box. A methanol bubbler was used to prevent evaporation of the solution during an Ar purge. The three-electrode cell consisted of a high-area Pt mesh as the counter electrode, a Pt wire in a Luggin capillary filled with the cell’s solution as the reference electrode, and a Si working electrode. The solution potential versus the reference was continuously monitored using a 4-digit voltmeter (Keithley), and deviated from the reference by < 10 mV. $J$-$E$ measurements were obtained at a scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$.

The CoCp$_2$$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$CN electrolyte solution consisted of 50 mM of CoCp$_2$PF$_6$, 5.0 mM of CoCp$_2$, and 1.0 M LiClO$_4$ in 20 mL of acetonitrile. The cell was assembled and utilized under an inert, dry atmosphere (< 0.50 ppm O$_2$; 0.5 ppm H$_2$O). The three-electrode cell consisted of a high-area Pt mesh as the counter electrode, a Si working electrode, and a Pt wire in the bulk of the solution as the reference electrode. A Luggin capillary was not used for as reference, due to the instability and relatively low concentrations of CoCp$_2$ present in the cell. The $J$-$E$ measurements were obtained at a scan rate of 30 mV s$^{-1}$, to limit the solution absorption from the generated CoCp$_2$ species at the working electrode.

Both the Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH and CoCp$_2$$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$CN cells were illuminated using ELH-type W halogen solar simulation. The incident light intensity was calibrated using a Si photodiode that was placed in the solution at the position of the working electrode. The light intensity was adjusted until the short-circuit photocurrent density on the Si diode was the same as the value produced by 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ of AM 1.5G illumination.
To reduce concentration overpotential losses within the Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH cell and demonstrate the validity of corrections for these losses, 40 mM Me$_2$FcBF$_4$ was added to the cell. A 1 W 808 nm diode laser (Thorlabs) was used as the illumination source, and $J$-$E$ data were collected by matching the $J_{sc}$ value to the value of $J_{sc}$ that was obtained under simulated 1 Sun’s illumination. This process required ~ 55 mW cm$^{-2}$ of 808 nm illumination, as measured by a calibrated photodiode placed in the working electrode’s position within the electrochemical cell.

Prior to photoelectrochemical measurements, the Si wire arrays were etched for 5 s in 5% HF(aq), rinsed with > 18 MΩ-cm resistivity H$_2$O, and dried thoroughly under a stream of N$_2$(g). The electrochemical cells were vigorously stirred during $J$-$E$ measurements. Data were collected and averaged for seven wire array samples, for both wire array photoelectrodes tested in Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$ and CoCp$_2^{+/0}$ electrochemical cells.

3.9.7 Corrections of $J$-$E$ data

Corrections for the concentration overpotential and series resistance losses were performed according to eq. A.1 and A.2, as described in Appendix A. The limiting anodic current density was 72 mA cm$^{-2}$ and the limiting cathodic current densities were 0.15 and 15 mA cm$^{-2}$, for 0.4 mM and 40 mM Me$_2$FcBF$_4$, respectively. The measured value of $R_s$ was dependent on the placement of the Pt working electrode with respect to the Luggin capillary, and typically varied from 40–300 Ω. A value of $R_s = 50$ Ω was used in the calculations to avoid overcorrection of the data, resulting in conservative values for the intrinsic fill factor and efficiencies of the Si microwire photoelectrodes in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH.
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Chapter 4

OPTIMIZATION OF THE PHOTOANODIC PERFORMANCE OF UNDOPED SI MICROWIRES
Summary

To improve the device performance of the undoped Si microwire arrays, strategies were employed to reduce the junction area of the photoelectrochemical devices, and to remove the potentially deleterious Si/Cu interfacial region at the tops of the microwires. To improve the $V_{oc}$ of the device, the junction area of the device was decreased by employing $a$-SiN$_x$:H and thermal oxide passivation along the side walls of the wires, to create wires with partial and full axial junctions. The resulting axial devices in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH typically showed a decrease in both photocurrent and photovoltage, and further investigation is required to understand the trade-off between increasing the $V_{oc}$ of the device and maximizing the carrier-collection efficiency. One particular sample of undoped Si microwires with thermal oxide passivation at the bottom of the wires produced large $V_{oc}$ values exceeding 500 mV, demonstrating that these wires were operating under high-level injection conditions. The interfacial region located at the top of the wire was also removed by mechanical polishing, and the resulting wire arrays showed increases in both the $J_{sc}$ and $V_{oc}$, with photovoltages again exceeding 500 mV. Thus, the interfacial region was indeed limiting the performance of i-Si photoelectrodes, as a region of low lifetime located at the top of the wires.

In addition, radial $p^+$ emitters were fabricated on $n^+$-i-Si microwire arrays, and the resulting junctions were measured in contact with the Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH redox system and other redox systems. In particular, these arrays with deposited Pt catalyst attained photoelectrode efficiencies for the oxidation of HI to I$_2$/I$_3^-$ of ~3.5%, making these buried junction arrays promising for use as photoanodes in fuel–forming reactions.
4.1 Introduction and motivation

Although radial junctions allow for enhanced carrier-collection efficiency in materials with short diffusion lengths, the photovoltage of structured semiconductors with radial junctions is expected to decrease relative to that of planar devices, given the increase in junction area. The dilution of the photogenerated carrier flux over an increased junction area results in a reduction in the quasi-Fermi level splitting, and therefore a lower $V_{oc}$ value. This relationship between the increase in geometric area and the expected $V_{oc}$ is expressed by eq. 4.1,

$$V_{oc} = \frac{n k T}{q} \ln \left( \frac{J_{ph}}{J_0} \right)$$

where $n$ is the diode quality factor, $k$ is the Boltzmann constant, $T$ is the temperature, $q$ is the unsigned charge on an electron, $J_{ph}$ is the photocurrent density, $J_0$ is the exchange current density, and $\gamma$ is the ratio of the actual junction area to the projected surface area of the device.\(^{1-4}\) Thus, for every 10-fold increase in junction area, the generated photovoltage of the electrochemical devices is expected to decrease by at least 59 mV, for devices with $n \sim 1.0$. Such a relationship has been confirmed in measurements of

*Figure 4.1.* Schematic of the progression from a radial to axial wire junction, using variable coverage of surface passivation, including thermal SiO$_2$ and $a$-SiN$_x$:H
macroporous n-Si in contact with the Me$_2$Fc$^{+}$/Me$_2$Fc$^{-}$, demonstrating the trade-off between an increase in the carrier-collection efficiency and a decrease in the photovoltage in structured devices.

The undoped Si microwire arrays, as typically grown, possess heights of ~75 µm and diameters of ~2.5 µm in a hexagonal array, resulting in a geometric enhancement $\gamma \sim 5.6$; this enhancement of the junction area should correspond to an expected decrease in the $V_{oc}$ of ~45 mV and ~89 mV, for diode quality factors $n$ of 1.0 and 2.0, respectively. This calculation does not take into account the concentration of light into the wires in an array, which would serve to mitigate the expected decrease in $V_{oc}$ with structuring.$^5$ However, it is clear that fabricating wires with a more axial junction should increase the expected photovoltage, within the limit that the photogenerated carriers can still be collected. Thus, n$^+$-i-Si microwire arrays with both thermal oxide (SiO$_2$) and silicon nitride (a-SiN$_x$:H) passivation on the sides of the wires were fabricated, to create devices with more axial junctions (Figure 4.1). The $J$-$E$ performance of these arrays was subsequently measured in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+}$/Me$_2$Fc$^{-}$–CH$_3$OH.

The presence of an interfacial Si/Cu region (Figure 4.2) at the tops of the wires may also be limiting the $J$-$E$ performance and the carrier-collection efficiency of the

*Figure 4.2.* SEM image of a Si microwire array after the BHF/RCA2 catalyst removal procedure, with the wires displaying a prominent Si/Cu interfacial region of ~800 nm in thickness, scale bar = 2 µm
undoped Si microwire photoanodes. This region was consistently present on wires grown in the Dorothy reactor, and varied in thickness from ~ 50–800 nm. This region was removed through the chemical–mechanical polishing of the tops of the wires in an array and the \( J-E \) performance of the polished microwire arrays was subsequently measured in the \( \text{Me}_2\text{Fc}^{+}/0 - \text{CH}_3\text{OH} \) system.

In addition, radial \( p^+ \) emitters were fabricated on \( n^+\)-i-Si microwire arrays, to produce optimized photoanodes to perform fuel–forming reactions such as the production of \( \text{H}_2 \) and \( \text{I}_2/\text{I}_3^- \) from HI. Previous work on radial junction \( p^+ n\)-Si microwire arrays has shown that these arrays demonstrated improved efficiencies as photocathodes for the reduction \( \text{H}_2^+ \) to \( \text{H}_2 \), as compared to \( p\)-Si microwire photocathodes.\(^3\) The formation of a metallurgical junction in the wires, as opposed to the formation of a semiconductor/liquid junction or a pinned semiconductor/metal junction with the deposited catalyst, resulted in a high photovoltage in the device that was decoupled from the energetics of the solution.\(^6\) The emitter also provided an ohmic contact to the deposited Pt metal catalyst, enabling the fabrication of higher efficiency devices. The understanding gained in the use of \( n^+p\)-Si microwire arrays for fuel–forming reactions can subsequently be applied to the photoanode, where \( n^+\)-i-Si microwire arrays with diffused \( p^+ \) emitters can be employed.

### 4.2 \( J-E \) response of thermally oxidized, undoped Si microwire arrays

To both decrease the junction area of the electrochemical Si microwire device and remove the interfacial region at the top of the wires, arrays of undoped Si microwires with \( \text{SiO}_2 \) ‘boots’ were fabricated. As described in previously in Chapter 2.8, after a standard catalyst removal procedure and subsequent KOH etch, the undoped Si microwire arrays were thermally oxidized at 1070–1100°C for > 1.5 hr, to produce a thermal oxide with a thickness of ~ 200 nm, as measured by SEM. To selectively etch the oxide at the tops of the wires, a PDMS polymer mask was infilled into the array at a height of ~ 10 \( \mu \)m from the base of the wires. The exposed Si oxide was subsequently etched in buffered HF, resulting in wire arrays with oxide passivation at the bottom of the wires (Figure 4.3A).
Current density vs. potential ($J$-$E$) measurements of the undoped Si microwire arrays with SiO$_2$ passivating boots were measured in contact with 200 mM Me$_2$Fc-0.4 mM Me$_2$FcBF$_4$ in CH$_3$OH under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ of simulated 1 Sun’s ELH-type illumination (Figure 4.3B). The Si wire array electrodes demonstrated $V_{oc} = 563 \pm 17$ mV, $J_{sc} = 4.28 \pm 0.74$ mA cm$^{-2}$, and fill factors, $ff = 0.35 \pm 0.06$, with a photoelectrode energy-conversion efficiency $\eta = 0.83 \pm 0.12\%$. With the thermal oxide boot, the wire array electrodes displayed large photovoltages, with $V_{oc}$ values more than 100 mV higher than typically measured for undoped wire arrays in contact with the Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH system. This increase in photovoltage may be attributed to several factors, including the formation of an insulating layer between the degenerate growth substrate and the redox solution; the decrease in the geometric enhancement from $\gamma \sim 8.6$ to 6.9 ($\sim 14$ mV expected increase, assuming $n = 2.41$); and potential improvement in the bulk properties of the wires with thermal oxidation.

The same electrodes were also measured at varying light intensities, under 808 nm illumination from $\sim 0.11$ to 2.7 Suns (Figure 4.4). The array showed diode quality factors $n = 2.41 \pm 0.35$ (Figure 4.5). As shown for other undoped Si microwire arrays, the $ff$ reached a
maximum value at ~ 0.25 Sun’s illumination intensity, and subsequently decreased at higher light intensities due to the parasitic $R_s$ of the electrochemical cell.

Unfortunately, multiple attempts to repeat this result fell short, with the arrays of undoped wires with SiO$_2$ boots producing both lower $V_{oc}$ and $J_{sc}$ values as well as more resistive devices, as compared to as-grown undoped wires (Figure 4.6). For this particular wire array, the as-grown wires produced $V_{oc} = 395 \pm 19$ mV, $J_{sc} = 13.9 \pm 0.8$ mA cm$^{-2}$, and $\text{ff} = 0.36 \pm 0.03$, while the portion of the array with thermal oxide boots produced $V_{oc} = 374 \pm 10$ mV, $J_{sc} = 11.0 \pm 2.0$ mA cm$^{-2}$, and $\text{ff} = 0.32 \pm 0.03$. It is likely that the initially high $V_{oc}$ values can be attributed to an improvement of the material properties of the wires themselves, with potential gettering of Cu within the wire and the removal of the top interfacial region with thermal oxidation. The decrease in junction

**Figure 4.4.** $J-E$ data at various light intensities under 808 nm illumination for a representative ‘booted’ $n^+-i-Si$ microwire array photoelectrode measured in contact with 200 mM Me$_2$Fc/0.4 mM Me$_2$FcBF$_4$ in methanol

**Figure 4.5.** The corresponding natural logarithm of the short-circuit photocurrent density vs. the open-circuit photovoltage for the $n^+-i-Si$ microwire array photoelectrode with a thermal oxide boot, yielding a diode quality factor of $A = 2.14$. Experiment conducted by Nick Strandwitz
area should result in modest increases in $V_{oc}$ ($\sim 15$ mV). In addition, all of the electrodes with thermal oxide boots displayed lower dark currents in reverse bias than as-grown Si microwires, attesting to the formation of an insulating barrier between the degenerate substrate and the conformal electrochemical contact. Further attempts to repeat this promising result should focus on improving and monitoring the quality of the dry thermal oxide, as well as potentially growing thicker thermal oxides, on the order of $\sim 200$ nm. In particular, annealing a dry thermal oxide in Ar has been shown to improve decrease its surface recombination velocity and was standard procedure in the fabrication of Si point-contact cells.\textsuperscript{7,8} From single-wire measurements, the effective diffusion length of radial junction $n^+p$-Si microwires with SiO$_2$ diffusion barriers improved by annealing the grown oxide under Ar.\textsuperscript{9}

\textbf{Figure 4.6.} The typical $J$-$E$ behavior of an array of $n^+i$-Si microwires, with and without a thermal oxide boot, measured in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$-$\text{CH}_3\text{OH}$
4.3 J-E response of undoped Si microwire arrays with axial a-SiNₓ:H passivation

To improve the photovoltage of n⁺-i-Si microwire arrays, more axial devices were fabricated, through passivation of the length of the wire with a-SiNₓ:H. In contrast to the dry thermal oxide, the PECVD SiHₓ:H films deposited on Si microwires are expected to create a highly passivated surface with a low surface recombination velocity. The wires with axial passivation using a-SiNₓ:H were fabricated as described in Chapter 3, using mounting wax as a mask for the chemical etching of the deposited a-SiHₓ:H films. Figure 4.7A shows an array of n⁺-i-Si microwires, with 1–3 µm of exposed Si surface at the tops of the wires, and the remaining length of the wire and substrate coated with an a-SiNₓ:H film. A control array with an almost fully radial junction was also measured for comparison, with a-SiNₓ:H passivation on ~ 5 µm of the bottom length of the wire and on the substrate (Figure 4.7B).

The J-E behavior of these wire arrays is shown in Figure 4.8. These undoped wires with a full axial junction demonstrated significantly reduced photocurrents, with $J_{sc} \sim 2$ mA cm⁻², while the control wires with a more radial junction displayed a J-E response similar to that typically produced by as-grown n⁺-i-Si microwire arrays. The axial devices were also significantly more resistive than the radial devices, consistent with the relatively high resistance of the wires and the increased distance that a collected carrier must traverse to be collected at the top of the wire. The increase in the observed resistance of the device might also be attributed to the lack of a high concentration of holes along the length of the wire, with the passivation of the surface insulating the wire from the Me₂Fc⁺/Me₂Fc⁻/CH₃OH contact. Further investigations are warranted to fully understand the source of the observed increase in resistance. Certainly, within these wires, the effective carrier-collection length was less than the total length of the wire (~ 50 µm), due to the significant loss in photocurrent with the formation of the axial junction. From this experiment, it is unclear whether the wires have a diffusion length shorter than the approximate length of the wire, or whether the Si/Cu interfacial region at the top of the wire severely diminished the carrier-collection efficiency, as a region of lower lifetime than the bulk lifetime of the wire.
Figure 4.7. SEM images of the n$^+$-i-Si microwire array with a-SiNx:H surface passivation A) almost to the tops of the wires to form a full axial junction, and B) covering the bottom 5 µm of the wires, with PEVA at the bottom of the array, for an almost fully radial junction. Scale bars = 2 µm and 20 µm, respectively.

Figure 4.8. J-E performance of the two n$^+$-i-Si microwire arrays shown in Figure 4.7, with high coverage and low coverage of a-SiN$_x$:H along the length of the wires, in contact with Me$_2$Fe$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH
4.4 Removal of the interfacial region through mechanical polishing

To preferentially remove the top of the wires, arrays of Si microwires were fully infilled with mounting wax (Quickstick 135, South Bay Technology) and hand polished, ultimately removing several microns of Si from the top of the array. For a particular Si microwire array growth, after removing the Cu catalyst, the array was cleaved in half length-wise, and half of the array was reserved for control photoelectrodes. The other portion of the array was fully infilled with mounting wax just to the tops of the wires (Figure 4.9), as previously described for the fabrication of axial junction wires with a-SiNₓ:H passivation. This wax provided mechanically support for the wires, in addition to selectively exposing the tops of the wires for polishing. The wires were then polished by hand, using a polishing cloth and Al₂O₃ power suspensions with decreasing sizes, from 3–0.3 μm, ultimately finishing with a chemical–mechanical polish of 0.02–0.06 μm colloidal silica (SBT, South Bay Technology). The wax was subsequently removed using acetone, followed by a piranha etch to remove all residual organics.

This method was favored over employing chemical etches, which are not typically anisotropic for the <111> face of Si due to its higher relative stability. In particular, KOH preferentially etched the 100 and the 110 faces of Si,¹⁰,¹¹ while etches for Si

![Figure 4.9](image)

**Figure 4.9.** SEM image of a wire array with a mounting wax infill, without plasma etching in O₂, scale bar = 3 μm
defects, such as the Secco etch using HF/Cr(VI), created etch pits along the length and at the base of the wires.\footnote{Chromium is also one of the top contaminants of concern found in Superfund sites in the United States and Cr(VI), in particular, is extremely toxic and a known carcinogen. I drew the line.} Also, hand polishing was favored over using a polishing wheel for several reasons. First, the high filling fraction of wax within the array made polishing on a wheel challenging, as the array would tend to ‘stick’ to the lapping films and immediately destroy a nicely polished surface. To ameliorate this problem, harder waxes (Crystalbond 590, Ted Pella) that could still be removed with solvent were employed, though still unsuccessfully. Second, a precision of on the order of microns is required to uniformly polish a sample of Si microwires, and could not be attained on the polishing wheel. The process was further complicated by the non-uniformity of wire heights over an array, such that the tallest wires would have a considerable amount of material removed and the shortest wires would not be polished at all.

Through hand polishing, ~ 5 µm of material was removed from the top of the array, resulting in arrays with locally uniform heights. The amount of material removed from each wire varied, given their initial heights. As grown, wires in close proximity vary in heights by ± 2–3 µm; thus, a considerable amount of material was removed from the tallest wires, while the shortest wires were only slightly polished. Some portions of the polished arrays still possessed wires with the interfacial region (Figure 4.10).

\begin{figure}[h]
\centering
\includegraphics[width=\textwidth]{image}
\caption{SEM images of the same array of Si microwires A) prior to polishing, displaying an interfacial layer at the top of the wires, and B) after polishing.}
\end{figure}
Moreover, the most highly polished wires were rounded at the top, due to the higher polishing rate of the surrounding wax relative to that of the Si.

The $J$-$E$ behavior of both the polished and control $n^+\text{-}i$-Si microwire array was measured in contact with 200 mM Me$_2$Fc-0.4 mM Me$_2$FcBF$_4$ in CH$_3$OH under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ of simulated 1 Sun’s ELH-type illumination (Figure 4.11). The polished Si wire array electrodes demonstrated $V_{oc} = 523 \pm 5$ mV, $J_{sc} = 10.8 \pm 0.9$ mA cm$^{-2}$, and $ff = 0.37 \pm 0.02$, while the unpolished, control electrodes produced $V_{oc} = 420 \pm 10$ mV, $J_{sc} = 8.6 \pm 0.4$ mA cm$^{-2}$, and $ff = 0.39 \pm 0.01$. The measured photoresponse of the as-grown control was consistent with what has been previously measured for undoped Si microwires with heights of $\sim 70$ µm. However, the measured photovoltage of the polished electrodes greatly exceeded the $V_{oc}$ values of all $n^+\text{-}i$-Si electrodes that had been previously measured, definitively demonstrating that the Si/Cu region adversely impacts the device performance of the Si microwire arrays. The considerable increase in the measured $V_{oc}$ indicated that the interfacial region was a region of low lifetime within the wire, and not just a source of parasitic absorption at the top of the wires. In addition, other unpolished portions of the array with longer wires of $\sim 140$ µm in height were also measured, and produced $V_{oc} = 467 \pm 12$ mV and $J_{sc} = 15.4 \pm 0.3$ mA cm$^{-2}$. Thus, the significant increase in photovoltage of the polished array was not from the wires being slightly longer or absorbing more light due

![Figure 4.11](image-url)  

**Figure 4.11.** The $J$-$E$ behavior of polished and unpolished electrodes from the same array of $n^+\text{-}i$-Si microwires, and the corresponding dark curve for the unpolished wires.
to their slightly different morphology. Given that there were still some wires remaining on the substrate that had not been polished, the $V_{oc}$ could be expected to increase with total removal of the tops of every wire.

In addition, a piece of the n⁺-i-Si microwire array as measured in Chapter 3.3 was also mechanically polished and the $J$-$E$ performance of the polished and unpolished electrodes is given in Figure 4.12. The polished photoelectrodes showed $V_{oc} = 463 \pm 12$ mV, $J_{sc} = 13.9 \pm 1.2$ mA cm⁻², and $ff = 0.46 \pm 0.02$, while the unpolished electrodes, as described in Chapter 3.3, displayed $V_{oc} = 445 \pm 13$ mV, $J_{sc} = 12.8 \pm 2.1$ mA cm⁻², and $ff = 0.41 \pm 0.03$. Thus, these electrodes also demonstrated an increase in the photovoltage with the removal of the interfacial region at the top of the wires. However, as shown in Figure 4.12, the amount of the Si/Cu interfacial region was not significant in this wire array (< 100 nm), leading to the less pronounced difference in the response of the polished and unpolished photoelectrodes. Also, approximately 25% of the wires in the polished electrodes remained unpolished; thus, the increase expected for the complete removal of the interfacial region was most likely underestimated in these experiments.

**Figure 4.12.** $J$-$E$ behavior of undoped Si microwire array electrodes, with unpolished and polished tops of the wires, in contact with Me₂Fc⁺/0, with the corresponding SEM image of the top of the unpolished microwires, scale bar = 2 µm
4.5 Radial p⁺ emitter on n⁺-i-Si microwire arrays

To fabricate microwire arrays that can be employed to perform fuel–forming reactions, radial p⁺ emitters were fabricated on arrays of n⁺-i-Si microwires, to form radial junction p⁺-i-n⁺-Si microwire arrays. These arrays were fabricated using techniques previously employed for fabricating radial junction n⁺-p-Si microwire arrays.³,¹³,¹⁴ After the Cu VLS catalyst was removed and the wires were thoroughly cleaned, a conformal, dry thermal oxide was grown on the undoped Si microwire arrays. The thermal oxide was selectively etched by employing a PDMS polymer mask, as described in Section 4.2, to form a SiO₂ ‘boot’ that would serve as the diffusion barrier during the formation of the p⁺ emitter. The arrays were thoroughly cleaned, to remove residual PDMS, other organics, and trace metal contaminants. To form the radial p⁺ emitter, the wire arrays were etched for 5 s in 10% HF(aq) and thermal B diffusion was performed using solid-source boron nitride wafers (Saint-Gobain).

The J-E performance of a p⁺-i-n⁺-Si photoelectrode measured in contact with Me₂Fc⁺/0–CH₃OH (200 mM Me₂Fc, ~ 3.3 mM Me₂FcBF₄) under ~ 0.73 Suns ELH-type illumination is shown in Figure 4.13. This array produced $V_{oc}$ values of ~ 520 mV with a
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Figure 4.13. J-E behavior of a radial junction p⁺-i-n⁺-Si array electrode measured in contact with Me₂Fc⁺/0 under ELH-type illumination and in the dark. Experiment conducted by Shane Ardo
greatly increased $ff$ value of $\sim 0.64$. However, in contact with other redox couples such as aq. MV$^{2+/+}$ and CoCp$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$CN, the $J$-$E$ performance varied considerably, with the photoelectrodes producing greatly diminished $V_{oc}$ values of $\sim 200$–300 mV, indicating that the junction formed in these measurements was both electrochemical and metallurgical. Even with the lack of a completely buried junction, processing to form the emitter greatly improved the material properties of the Si microwires, as indicated by the increase in the photovoltage of the p$^+$-i-n$^+$-Si in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH.

With the promising performance of the p$^+$-i-n$^+$-Si arrays in regenerative electrochemical cells, the p$^+$-i-n$^+$-Si arrays were also measured in fuming HI, to performing the oxidation of HI to I$_2$/$I_3^-$. To facilitate the reaction, Pt nanoparticles ($\sim 100$ mC cm$^{-2}$) were deposited on the arrays, using an electrochemical deposition process. Under 1 Sun’s illumination, a photoelectrode of a Pt/p$^+$-i-n$^+$-Si wire array produced a $V_{oc}$ $\sim 430$ mV, $J_{sc}$ $\sim 13.1$ mA cm$^{-2}$, $ff$ $\sim 0.62$, and a photoelectrode energy-conversion efficiency of $\sim 3.5\%$ (Figure 4.14). The performance of the photoelectrode did not diminish significantly with multiple (5) $J$-$E$ scans, demonstrating the stability of the Pt/p$^+$-i-n$^+$-Si microwire arrays under oxidizing conditions in aqueous solution.

![Figure 4.14](image.png)

*Figure 4.14*. $J$-$E$ behavior of a radial junction p$^+$-i-n$^+$-Si array electrode measured in contact with fuming HI under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ ELH-type W illumination and in the dark. Multiple scans are shown, showing the stability of the photoelectrode. *Experiment conducted by Shane Ardo*
4.6 Experimental methods

4.6.1 Fabrication of undoped Si microwires with a thermal oxide boot

A 6N Cu–catalyzed, undoped Si microwire array was grown under standard conditions, and the resulting wires had diameters of ~ 2.6–2.7 µm in a square 3 x 7 µm geometry, and were 45 µm in height. The Cu catalyst was subsequently removed by HF/RCA2 etching procedure, completed twice to ensure the removal of all of the Cu catalyst, followed by etching in 30 % wt. KOH(aq) for 60 s. The array was then oxidized at 1100ºC for 1.5 hr under a pure O₂ ambient, producing a conformal, dry SiO₂ layer with a thickness of ~200 nm. To produce the polymer mask, the array was then coated with a solution that contained 1 g polydimethylsiloxane PDMS and 0.10 g of PDMS curing agent (Sylgard 184, Dow Corning) in 4.35 g (5 mL) of toluene. These samples were then spun at 1000 RPM for 30 s and immediately cured at 150 ºC for 30 min to produce a 10 µm thick PDMS layer at the base of the wire array. Residual PDMS was removed from the tops of the wires by employing a ~ 5 s etch in a 1:3 mixture of 75 wt. % tetrabutylammonium fluoride in water (Sigma-Aldrich) and N-Methyl-2-pyrrolidone, followed by a H₂O rinse. The arrays were then etched for 5 min in BHF to remove the exposed thermal oxide. The PDMS was then completely removed by etching for 30 min in a 1:1 mixture of 1.0 M tetrabutylammonium fluoride in THF (Sigma-Aldrich) and dimethylformamide, followed by a H₂O rinse. To remove residual organics on the array, a 10 min piranha etch (3:1 aq. conc. H₂SO₄:H₂O₂) was used.

4.6.2 Fabrication of Si microwire arrays with axial a-SiNₓ:H passivation

To fabricate arrays of Si microwires with axial a-SiNₓ:H passivation, the procedures given in Chapter 3.9.4 were followed, for the PECVD a-SiNₓ:H deposition, mounting wax infill, etching of the exposed a-SiNₓ:H, and final cleaning of the arrays to remove residual organics.
4.6.3 Chemical–mechanical polishing of Si microwire arrays

After removing the Cu VLS catalyst, arrays of Si microwires of dimensions of ~ 3 cm x 2 cm were cleaved in half longitudinally. Half of the array was reserved for the fabrication of unpolished, control electrodes. The other half of the array was again cut in half, to create to smaller chips for more uniform polishing. All consumable materials for polishing were supplied by South Bay Technology. Each chip was mounted on a flat, 1 inch diameter stainless steel mounting block using a small amount of mounting wax (Quickstick 135, South Bay Technology) on a hot plate at ~ 150ºC. The array was subsequently infilled with mounting wax, and the wax was allowed to equilibrate and flow in the array until the array was slightly shiny; lens paper was not used to remove excess wax, as this removal resulted in rounding of the exposed Si microwires. The array was also not subjected to O₂ plasma ashing. After completion of the mounting wax infill procedure, where the array is completely filled to the tops of the wire arrays with wax, small chips of mounting wax were melted on the mounting block, around the array, but not touching the array. The mounting block was subsequently taken off the hot plate, and the surrounding wax was pushed to the edge of the array, to form a perimeter of wax directly around the array. The wax at the edge was only slightly higher than the wires and infilled ~ 0.5 mm into the array. This wax served as small barrier during polishing, to prevent the removal of wires at the edge of the array.

After the wax cooled, the array was polished using a succession of aluminum oxide suspensions of 3 µm, 1 µm and 0.3 µm. Pieces of polishing cloth (MultiTex™, South Bay Technology) affixed to a glassy-carbon working electrode (outer diameter ~ 6 mm) served as the hand-held polishing implement, so that the sample could be polished by applying downward force over small areas across the sample. Polishing the array ‘face down’ on the cloth resulted in uneven polishing across the sample. A new polishing cloth was employed for each grit size, and the array was also thoroughly rinsed in > 18 M Ω-cm resistivity H₂O periodically and between grits. The sample was closely observed in an optical microscope, using the focal planes of the top most wires and the shortest wires to gauge the polishing rate. Polishing was terminated when all the wires were the same height, and the array was finally polished using a colloidal silica suspension (SBT, 0.02–0.06 µm). Both Hulu and
Netflix streaming (Gossip Girl: Seasons 1–3) were employed for entertainment, and passers-by were questioned about the weather, current affairs, and their experiments to pass the time. The polishing of an individual chip proceeded for ~ 4 hours. However, from SEM images of the polished arrays, the interfacial region was not polished on the shortest wires within the array; in future attempts, the wire array should be polished a few microns below the shortest wires in the array.
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Chapter 5

ANGLE–RESOLVED SPECTRAL RESPONSE OF N⁺-I-SI MICROWIRE ARRAYS

Components of this chapter were published in

Summary

To investigate the carrier-collection efficiency of the undoped Si microwire arrays, the external quantum yield, $\Gamma_{\text{ext}}$, of the arrays in contact with $\text{Me}_2\text{Fe}^{+/0} - \text{CH}_3\text{OH}$ was measured. Given the angularly anisotropic optical properties of the microwire arrays, the $\Gamma_{\text{ext}}$ was measured as a function of incident illumination. The arrays displayed low external quantum yields at normal incidence, with $\Gamma_{\text{ext}}$ of $\sim 0.28$ under visible illumination. However at higher angles of incident illumination, the external quantum yield greatly increased with a maximum measured $\Gamma_{\text{ext}}$ of $\sim 0.86$. The measured external quantum yield values of the undoped Si microwire arrays were similar to those of optimally doped p-Si microwires. Corresponding measurements of the optical properties of the arrays were also made, and an internal quantum yield of $\sim 0.75$ was subsequently calculated.

Additionally, complementary device physics simulations of wires in radial contact with $\text{Me}_2\text{Fe}^{+/0}$ showed that the lightly doped wires are completely depleted of electrons, with a hole-rich inversion layer in the near-surface region, $\sim 100$ nm in depth into the wires. As a consequence, small diameter ($D < 200$ nm) wires suffer extremely low quantum yield values, due to strong inversion throughout the radial dimension. Larger diameter wires ($D > 2 \mu\text{m}$) are not strongly inverted in the core of the wire, providing a collection pathway for electrons that is relatively free of holes, and resulting in near-unity quantum yield for wire lifetimes exceeding 5 $\mu$s. These numerical simulations can be further leveraged to optimize the device geometry of lightly doped, 1-D semiconductors operating under high-level injection conditions.
5.1 Introduction and motivation

As discussed in Chapter 1, structuring semiconductors with short lifetimes in a radial device geometry should result in an increase in the device efficiency relative to that of a planar device, with the decreased collection lengths allowing for the efficient collection of photogenerated carriers. Device physics modeling of Si wires with radial p-n junctions predicts unity carrier collection for a device with a minority-carrier diffusion length \( L_n \) exceeds the radius \( R \) of the wire.\(^1\),\(^2\) Internal quantum yields greater than 0.9 have been previously measured for p-Si microwire photocathodes in contact with aq. MV\(^{2+/+}\), in good agreement with radial junction theory.\(^3\)

The undoped Si microwires, with diameters of \( \sim 3 \) \( \mu \)m and \( N_a \sim 1 \times 10^{13} - 1 \times 10^{14} \) cm\(^{-3}\), are fully depleted. Device physics modeling of radial p-n junction wire arrays has indicated that the carrier-collection efficiency precipitously drops off, when the depletion width is greater than the radius of the wire and the wires are thus fully depleted.\(^4\) However, as discussed in Chapter 3, there are several differences between the n\(^+\)-i-Si/Me\(_2\)Fc–CH\(_3\)OH junction and the modeled p-n junction that ultimately warrant an expansion of the model, specifically for the electrochemical device. Therefore, a model of the semiconductor/liquid junction was developed in Sentaurus, to model the n\(^+\)-i-Si/Me\(_2\)Fc–CH\(_3\)OH electrochemical device. In particular, the carrier profile was modeled within an undoped wire in contact with Me\(_2\)Fc–CH\(_3\)OH. Measurements of the wire’s carrier-collection efficiency as a function of distance from the selective back contact were made, by simulating a scanning photocurrent measurement for a single wire.

To investigate the carrier-collection efficiency of the undoped Si microwire arrays, in comparison to doped p-Si microwires, measurements of the external quantum yield with respect to the wavelength of incident illumination (‘spectral response’) were made on n\(^+\)-i-Si photoelectrodes in contact with the Me\(_2\)Fc\(^{+/0}\)–CH\(_3\)OH redox system. Given the anisotropy of light absorption within an array with respect to the angle of incident illumination, the spectral response measurements were also performed with respect to the angle of incident illumination. Using measurement techniques developed by M. Kelzenberg,\(^3\),\(^4\) both the angle–resolved external quantum yield and the absorption of an array can be measured experimentally. From these two measurements, the internal
quantum yield of an array in electrochemical contact can be determined, providing insight into the carrier-collection efficiency of the undoped Si microwire arrays.

5.2 Device physics model of $n^+\text{-Si/Me}_2\text{Fe–CH}_3\text{OH}$

5.2.1 Carrier concentration within a single wire

The carrier concentration within a single $n^+\text{-Si}$ microwire in contact with $\text{Me}_2\text{Fe–CH}_3\text{OH}$ in the dark was calculated using device physics simulations in Sentaurus Device, for a wire with a $N_d = 1 \times 10^{13}$ cm$^{-3}$. As shown in Figure 5.1, the lightly doped n-Si wires, with diameters $D = 0.2$ and 2.4 µm, possessed high concentrations of holes throughout the diameter of the wires. The smaller diameter $D = 0.2$ µm wire was strongly inverted, with a background concentration of holes exceeding $1 \times 10^{16}$ cm$^{-3}$ within the core and approaching $1 \times 10^{20}$ cm$^{-3}$ at the surface of the wire. The larger diameter $D = 2.4$ µm wire was less strongly inverted, but still possessed hole carrier concentrations exceeding the background concentration of the wire when not in contact with $\text{Me}_2\text{Fe–CH}_3\text{OH}$.

![Figure 5.1](image)

**Figure 5.1.** Concentration of holes within a single undoped wire in contact with $\text{Me}_2\text{Fe}^{+0}\text{–CH}_3\text{OH}$ system in the dark, as a function of the distance radially within the wire, for two different wire diameters $D = 0.2$ and 2.4 µm. *Simulations performed by Nicholas Strandwitz.*
5.2.2 Scanning internal quantum yield measurement

The internal quantum yield $\Gamma_{\text{int}}$ of a single wire was calculated as a function of the distance of the excited carriers from the top of the wire $D_T$, as illustrated in Figure 5.2. This type of ‘scanning’ simulation was preferred to a measurement of the full spectral response or the $I$-$V$ characteristics of a single wire, since the actual excitation profile is not well known, for wires with diameters on the microscale and particularly for wires within an array. The absorption profile would also vary considerably for wires from the nano- to micron-range; a scanning illumination measurement effectively removes the unknown variable of the excitation profile within the wire, measuring the efficiency of carrier-collection at each point along the axial direction of a single wire.

![Figure 5.2. Schematic of the scanning internal quantum yield simulation for a single wire, where the internal quantum yield is calculated as a function of the distance of the excitation from the top of the wire](image)

5.2.2A Internal quantum yield vs. $N_d$

The carrier-collection efficiency within a single wire was calculated for a wire with a typical diameter of $D = 2.4$ µm, varying the dopant density from $N_d = 1 \times 10^{11} – 3 \times 10^{19}$ cm$^{-3}$ (Figure 5.3). A Shockley–Read–Hall lifetime was fixed at a value of $\tau_{\text{SRH}} = 1$ µs, which corresponds to an effective diffusion length for electrons of ~ 60 µm assuming an electron mobility $\mu_e \approx 1400$ cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$. This lifetime was a realistic value for a first simulation, given that $L_{\text{eff}}$ ranging from 10 µm to $>> 30$ µm have been measured for single-
wire p-n junctions. The use of this particular lifetime also guaranteed that, for the fixed $D$ employed, radial collection would be unity for moderately doped wires, in agreement with previous work on radial p-n junction theory. However, for wires with $N_d < 1 \times 10^{15}$ cm$^{-3}$, the carrier-collection efficiency deviated from unity, particularly for carriers generated at the top of the wire. This result can be understood in light of the carrier concentration within the wire; given that the wires were fully inverted under the simulation conditions, with a high concentration of holes throughout the n-type wire, the recombination rate for electrons increased within the wire. The photogenerated electrons at the top of the wire, which must be transported down the length of the wire to be collected at the back contact, will recombine with the large concentration of holes throughout the wire. Thus, under these simulation conditions, this device architecture was limited by electron transport down the length of the wire.

Wires with $N_d \sim 1 \times 10^{15} - 1 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$ possessed $I_{\text{int}} = 1$, in agreement with previous simulations for wires with radii $R < L_{\text{eff}}$, providing validation of the current model. For wires with $N_d$ exceeding $\sim 5 \times 10^{18}$ cm$^{-3}$, other recombination mechanisms such as Auger recombination began to dominate, decreasing the overall lifetime and ultimately limiting the radial collection of carriers.

![Figure 5.3. Variation of the carrier-collection efficiency along the axial direction of a single wire with the dopant density $N_d$, for a wire with a typical diameter $D = 2.4$ µm and a fixed lifetime of 1 µs. Simulations performed by Nicholas Strandwitz.](image)
5.2.2B Internal quantum yield vs. wire radius and lifetime

The carrier-collection efficiency within a single, lightly doped wire was also calculated as a function of the radius of the wire and the Shockley–Read–Hall lifetime (Figure 5.4). Both varied parameters had a significant effect on the internal quantum yield; for wires with \( R < 0.5 \ \mu\text{m} \), the carrier-collection efficiency precipitously decreased. This result was consistent with the expected complete depletion of electrons within the wire at these diameters, and the presence of a hole-rich inversion layer in the near-surface region, \( \sim 100 \ \text{nm} \) in depth into the wires. At these radii, the wires are strongly inverted throughout the radial dimension, resulting in high recombination rates for electrons traversing down the length of the wire.

In addition, the internal quantum yield demonstrated a strong dependence on the fixed lifetime within the range of 1–10 \( \mu\text{s} \), with \( \Gamma_{\text{int}} \) approaching values > 0.9 in wires with \( \tau_{\text{SRH}} = 10 \ \mu\text{s} \). Again, assuming an electron mobility \( \mu_e \sim 1400 \ \text{cm}^2 \ \text{V}^{-1} \ \text{s}^{-1} \), this lifetime corresponds to \( L_{\text{eff}} = 60–190 \ \mu\text{m} \). Thus, to collect the majority of carriers in a 70 \( \mu\text{m} \) long wire, the effective diffusion length must be \( \sim 3 \) times greater than the length of the wire. Thus, even though the device is structured to facilitate the radial collection of carriers, the axial transport of electrons ultimately limits the carrier-collection within the device, necessitating the use of a material with a long diffusion length.
Figure 5.4. Variation of the carrier-collection efficiency along the axial direction of a single wire with the change in the radius of the wire for a fixed dopant density $N_d = 6.3 \times 10^{13} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ and for lifetimes of A) 1 $\mu$s, B) 5 $\mu$s, and C) 10 $\mu$s. Simulations performed by Nicholas Strandwitz.
5.3 Angle–resolved spectral response of n⁺-i-Si microwire arrays

To investigate the carrier-collection efficiency of n⁺-i-Si microwires in contact with Me₂Fc⁺/–CH₃OH, the external quantum yield, $\Gamma_{\text{ext}}$, of the Si microwire photoanodes was recorded as a function of the incident angle of illumination. A custom electrochemical cell was constructed, to allow for the rotation of the electrode about a single axis ($\theta_y$) within an Ar purged electrochemical cell (Figure 5.5). Large photoelectrodes were fabricated from high-fidelity arrays of undoped Si microwires grown on degenerate n⁺ substrates in a hexagonal array. The lightly doped Si microwire arrays were as given in Section 2.4, with microwire diameters of 2.0–2.5 µm and heights of 70–80 µm, with an average areal packing fraction ($\eta_f$) of 9.4% (Figure 5.6). The photoelectrodes were rotated about the $\theta_y$

![Figure 5.5. Schematic of the electrochemical cell used for angle-resolved spectral response with the Me₂Fc⁺/–CH₃OH system](image)

![Figure 5.6. A) SEM image of the array of i-Si microwires measured for their spectral response, scale bar = 40 µm. (b) Top view of the i-Si microwire hexagonal array, and the noted axis of rotation $\theta_y$, scale bar = 20 µm](image)
axis of the wire array, as shown in Figure 5.6B, from $\theta_y = 0 – 60^\circ$.

At normal incidence of illumination ($\theta_x, \theta_y = 0^\circ$), the arrays showed the lowest external quantum yield, with $\Gamma_{\text{ext}} \sim 0.28$ under visible illumination (Figure 5.7), corresponding to high transmission through an array that had an average $\eta_f = 9.4\%$. The prominent resonant peaks in the external quantum yield can be attributed to whispering-gallery modes in the hexagonal wires, in which light can circularly propagate at the periphery due to multiple total internal reflections. These peaks had the highest magnitude around normal incidence, and were greatly diminished at higher angles of incident illumination. In addition, in transmission measurements of the peeled wire arrays, these oscillations were only present when the array was illuminated first from the tops of the wires, as opposed to illumination the array with backside illumination (Figure 5.8). This difference could be due to the differing morphologies of the top versus the back of the wire, particularly when the wires are peeled from the substrate, with tops of the wires retaining their circular shape, and the bottom of the wires are strongly tapered or even broken at the base, diminishing the coupling into the cylindrical structures.

Despite the low packing fraction of wires, the arrays effectively collected 28% of

![Figure 5.7](image)

**Figure 5.7.** Angle–resolved spectral response of n$^+$-i-Si microwire photoelectrodes in contact with the Me$_2$Fc$^{+0}$–CH$_3$OH system
the incident photons, demonstrating optical concentration within the array. The spectral response of the wire arrays strongly depended on the angle of incident illumination, with a peak $\Gamma_{\text{ext}} = 0.86$ at $\theta_y > 52^\circ$. In contrast, photoanodes for which the Si wires had been physically removed from the substrate exhibited negligible photocurrent, with $\Gamma_{\text{ext}} < .03$. The current of such electrodes also did not vary with angle, further indicating that the degenerately doped Si substrate did not substantially contribute to the response of the Si wire array photoanodes. The significant increase in $\Gamma_{\text{ext}}$ as $\theta_y$ increased indicates that the $J_{\text{sc}}$ of the wire arrays previously measured under 1 Sun’s illumination was primarily limited by light absorption in the array, and not by carrier collection. Convolution of the spectral response at $\theta_y > 52^\circ$ with the AM 1.5 G spectra resulted in a predicted $J_{\text{sc}}$ value of 26 mA cm$^{-2}$. As has been demonstrated recently, this calculated $J_{\text{sc}}$ can be attained by incorporating light-trapping elements such as a back-reflector, anti-reflective coatings, and/or scattering particles into the device structure.$^3,^7$

![Figure 5.8](image_url) Transmission measurements of a peeled array of Si microwires, illuminated from the front and back side of the array

### 5.4 Angle-resolved optical measurements of n$^+$-i-Si microwire arrays

To calculate the internal quantum yield, $\Gamma_{\text{int}}$, of the Cu–catalyzed Si microwire array photoanodes, optical absorption measurements as a function of wavelength and angle
were performed on the same wire arrays that were used for collection of the external quantum yield data. An integrating sphere was used to perform optical transmission and reflection measurements on peeled films of wires that had been embedded in polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS), as described previously. As expected, the measured absorption was lowest at normal incidence, corresponding to large transmission through the sparsely packed, highly oriented array (Figure 5.9). The absorption rapidly increased with increasing angle of incident illumination, reaching a plateau value of 0.86.

Figure 5.9. Angle–resolved optical measurements of the peeled array of Si microwires
5.5 Internal quantum yield of n\textsuperscript{+}-i-Si microwire arrays

The value of $\Gamma_{\text{int}}$ for the Si microwire array photoelectrodes was subsequently calculated by dividing the $\Gamma_{\text{ext}}$ at normal incidence by the absorption of the array at normal incidence. Figure 5.10 compares the value of $\Gamma_{\text{ext}}$ and absorption at normal incidence, resulting in a peak $\Gamma_{\text{int}}$ value of $0.73 \pm 0.05$. Thus, the measured internal quantum yield for the n\textsuperscript{+}-i-Si microwire arrays in contact with Me\textsubscript{2}Fc\textsuperscript{+}/0–CH\textsubscript{3}OH deviated from the value of unity previously measured for p-type Si microwire photocathodes, and from the unity value that is predicted by radial junction theory for a wire having a radius smaller than the minority-carrier diffusion length. The $\Gamma_{\text{int}}$ of the wire array photoanodes at normal incidence was also lower than the $\Gamma_{\text{ext}}$ value that was measured at high angles, implying a change in $\Gamma_{\text{int}}$ with a change in the angle of incident illumination. The Si microwires, however, are not expected to have a minority-carrier diffusion length smaller than their 1.25 $\mu$m radius, given that 30 $\mu$m minority-carrier diffusion lengths have been reported previously for Cu–catalyzed VLS–grown Si microwires.

These apparent discrepancies can be explained by the presence of the interfacial Si/Cu silicide located at the tops of the wires, as visualized by a high-contrast region in

![Figure 5.10](image-url)  

**Figure 5.10.** The absorption and external quantum yield at normal incidence, with the corresponding calculated internal quantum yield at normal incidence, for n\textsuperscript{+}-i-Si microwire arrays
scanning electron microscopy images, as shown in Chapter 4. This region persisted after chemical etching to remove the metallic Cu VLS catalyst, and varied in thickness from 200–900 nm, depending on the cooling conditions after VLS growth. This silicide most likely acted as a region of low lifetime for carriers that were generated at the tops of the wires, where a significant fraction of the light is absorbed, thus decreasing the internal quantum yield, particularly at normal incidence. The quantum yield would therefore not only deviate from unity due to this electronically defective region, but would also vary as the excitation profile changes with incident angle of illumination, consistent with the observations reported herein.

However, the device physics modeling of these wires in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+}/0$–CH$_3$OH predicted that unity quantum yields were only attainable in wires with long lifetimes, exceeding 5 µs. Thus, the measured non-unity quantum yield may be a convolution of the increased recombination from the presence of the Cu/Si interfacial region or other localized defects in the wires, and the decrease in carrier-collection efficiency expected in this device, from the recombination of electrons before they are collected axially.

From the values of $\Gamma_{\text{int}}$ and the optical absorption coefficient $\alpha(\lambda)$, the minority-carrier diffusion length $L_n$, for a planar device can be approximated by use of eq 5.1.

$$
\Gamma_{\text{int}} = \frac{1}{1 + \frac{1}{\alpha(\lambda)L_n}}
$$

Analysis of $\Gamma_{\text{int}}$ for the Cu–catalyzed Si microwire array photoanodes in the near-infrared region (800 nm $\leq \lambda \leq$ 950 nm), in which the optical penetration depth $\alpha^{-1}$ did not exceed the length of the wires, yielded an effective diffusion length, $L_{\text{eff}}$, of 75–85 µm. This value is not a true diffusion length, given that the assumptions of eq 5.1 do not apply in a radial geometry, but rather a comparison to the diffusion length that would be needed to produce similar near-IR carrier collection efficiencies in a planar Si device structure (Figure 5.11).

---

1 Assuming an electron mobility $\mu_e$ consistent with the doping density of the wires of $\sim$ 1400 cm$^2$ V$^{-1}$ s$^{-1}$, this lifetime corresponds to an effective diffusion length of electrons of $\sim$ 130 µm.
The calculated $L_{\text{eff}}$ value is significantly larger than the 30 µm minority-carrier diffusion length that has been measured previously for moderately doped, Cu–catalyzed, VLS–grown Si microwires.\textsuperscript{7,10} This observation further demonstrates the advantages of using a radial junction, which produces a longer effective diffusion length than the actual minority-carrier diffusion length, by extending the device response further into the near-IR region of the spectrum as compared to planar Si-based devices with comparable bulk electronic properties.

![Graph](image)

**Figure 5.11.** A comparison of the measured $\Gamma_{\text{int}}$ of the n$^+$-i-Si microwire array photoanodes and several calculated $\Gamma_{\text{int}}$ responses for planar Si photoelectrodes, with $L_n$, ranging from 5–200 µm

### 5.6 IQY of mechanically polished n$^+$-i-Si microwire array photoanodes

To determine the effect of the Cu/Si interfacial region on the carrier-collection efficiency of undoped Si microwires, this region located at the tops of the wires was selectively removed through chemical-mechanical polishing. Arrays of n$^+$-i-Si microwires were fully embedded with mounting wax and subsequently polished by hand using powder Al$_2$O$_3$ and silica suspensions (see Chapter 4 for polishing experimental details). Half of each array was reserved as a control, to provide a direct comparison between the same wires in measurements of polished and unpolished electrodes and films. The polishing technique employed removed material at an even rate across the entire array, despite large height variations > 40 µm across the chip. Locally, where neighboring wires possess
height differences of $\pm 2 \, \mu\text{m}$, material was removed from the tallest wires first, while the shorter wires remained fully embedded in the protective mounting wax infill. Thus, to polish the shortest wires, a total of $\sim 6 \, \mu\text{m}$ of Si was removed from the tops of the wires, with the tallest wires polished by $\sim 6 \, \mu\text{m}$ and the shortest polished by $2 \, \mu\text{m}$. The resulting wires had locally uniform heights with flat, non-rounded tops (Figure 5.12).

Figure 5.12. A) Side view SEM image of a mechanically polished Si microwire array, scale bar = 20 $\mu\text{m}$, and B) Top view SEM image of the same array, scale bar = 3 $\mu\text{m}$
The external quantum yield and optical properties of arrays of the unpolished and polished n⁺-Si microwire photoelectrodes were subsequently measured, and the $\Gamma_{\text{int}}$ were calculated, as described in Section 5.5. Figure 5.13 shows the measured $\Gamma_{\text{int}}$ for an array of n⁺-Si microwire photoelectrodes in contact with the Me₂Fc⁺/−Me₃OH system, for both polished and as grown wires. The $\Gamma_{\text{int}}$ showed no change between as grown wires with the Cu/Si interfacial region and polished wires where the silicide had been removed. Thus, for the undoped Si microwire arrays, the presence of the interfacial region at the tops of the wires had no effect on the carrier-collection efficiency within the wires.

With this measurement, deviations in the measured internal quantum yield from unity can more confidently be attributed to the limiting axial transport of electrons in the inverted microwires. Other localized defects, such as defects present at the base of the wire from a high concentration of Cu metal, or from crystallographic defects at the strongly tapered base, could also be contributing to the lower observed internal quantum yield. Indeed, experiments using defect etchants, such as the Secco etch, have revealed a high concentration of defects at the base of the Si microwires. However, these defects would also be present in p-type Si microwires, and should also have a negative impact on the carrier-collection efficiency within radial p-n junction wires. Further modelling and
Experimental work is required to understand the effect that these defects would have on the device physics properties of both radial p-n and n⁺-i-Si microwire devices.

5.7 Conclusions

The carrier-collection efficiency of lightly doped Si microwires in contact with a high barrier-height contact, Me₂Fc⁺/0–CH₃OH, was determined to deviate from unity, through both simulation and experiments. The Cu silicide region at the top of the wires, which had previously been hypothesized to contribute to the photoanode’s low internal quantum yield, was demonstrated to have no effect on the carrier-collection efficiency within these devices. The experimental non-unity internal quantum yield was consistent with results from the device physics model of the electrochemical system, where strong inversion through the radial dimension of the Si wire limited the axial transport of carriers. The relatively high, experimentally measured, carrier-collection efficiency of the n⁺-i-Si/Me₂Fc⁺/0–CH₃OH system, with peak Γₑₓ exceeding 0.8, suggests that the Cu VLS–grown Si microwires were of high electronic quality, with lifetimes exceeding 5 µs.

Despite the limitations in carrier transport, the n⁺-i-Si microwire arrays in contact with Me₂Fc⁺/0–CH₃OH have demonstrated both J-E and external quantum yields similar to optimally doped p-Si microwire array photocathodes. This type of device, which could include solid-state radial junction p⁺-i-n⁺-Si or n⁺-i-p⁺-Si microwire arrays, has the advantage of increased process simplicity, without the need for doping optimization and calibration within a nano- or microstructured device. However, if one were to further pursue this device architecture, wires must be fabricated on the microscale using a material with a moderately long lifetime; in addition, highly selective contacts for both carriers and low effective surface recombination velocity are required to fabricate an efficient device. Future work should potentially be directed at fabricating wire devices on the nanoscale, to experimentally verify that complete depletion or inversion within the structure results in a precipitous decay in the device performance.
5.8 Experimental methods

5.8.1 Angle-resolved spectral response

For angle-resolved spectral response measurements, side-facing electrodes of high-fidelity Si microwire arrays with dimensions of ~ 7 x 7 mm were fabricated. The electrodes were fabricated so that the Si microwire arrays would ultimately be eucentric with respect to the rotational axes, $\theta_y$ and $\theta_x$. First, the Cu wire coil was threaded through the glass tube and the wire coil was centered with respect to the glass tube (x and y directions) and with little to no pitch ($\theta_x \sim 0^\circ$). The wire was then secured at the opposite end of the glass tube with tape, to prevent the wire coil from moving during electrode fabrication. The backs of the samples were scratched with a SiC scribe that was coated in Ga:In eutectic (76:24 Ga:In wt.), to make ohmic contact to the Si substrate. The samples were then mounted with Ag print (GC Electronics) onto the coiled wire. Care was taken to ensure that all electrodes had the same orientation in all three dimensions, but examining and adjusting the microwire array under an optical microscope during the fabrication of electrodes. For hexagonally patterned arrays, the long 14 $\mu$m axis was oriented vertically, as the axis of rotation ($\theta_y$) (Figure 5.14A). For square patterned arrays, the square pattern of the array was oriented such that the 7 $\mu$m axis was at 0 or 90$^\circ$ from the axis of rotation ($\theta_y$) (Figure 5.14B).

![Figure 5.14. Top views of a Si microwire arrays for spectral response, with the noted axis of rotation $\theta_y$, for A) square arrays and B) hexagonal arrays, scale bars = 20 $\mu$m](image-url)
Angle-resolved spectral response measurements were performed using an apparatus that has been described previously, which consisted of a chopped ($f = 30$ Hz) Fianium supercontinuum laser coupled to a monochromator, with two rotational stages to allow for rotation around both the $\theta_x$ and $\theta_y$ axes. A custom, air-tight, round-bottom flask with a side window was utilized for angle-resolved spectral response measurements (Figure 2). The electrochemical cell was constructed from a 25 mL round-bottom, heavy wall, three-neck flask with 19/22 fittings (Chemglass). To add a side-facing window, the side of the round-bottom flask was sanded off using a polishing wheel, and a Pyrex glass optical window was adhered onto the modified round-bottom flask with epoxy (Hysol 1C). The side-facing window was positioned to allow for the working electrode to rotate a full 90º within the cell, while minimizing the optical path length within the cell. To allow for an Ar purge in the sealed cell, a small diameter polyethylene tube was threaded through the reference electrode glass tube, along with the reference electrode Pt/Cu wire. The tube was subsequently sealed with epoxy (Hysol 1C) at both ends, providing an inlet for Ar into the cell.

The electrolyte solution consisted of 10 mM of Me$_2$Fc, ~0.4 mM of Me$_2$FcBF$_4$, and 1.0 M LiClO$_4$ in 25 mL of methanol. The solution was made under inert atmosphere (<10 ppm O$_2$), and added to the cell in air with a strong Ar flow through the electrochemical cell. The electrochemical cell was subsequently held under positive Ar pressure, and a methanol bubbler was used to prevent evaporation of the solution during an Ar purge. The three-electrode cell consisted of a high-area Pt mesh as the counter electrode, a Pt wire directly in solution as the reference electrode, and a Si working electrode. The working electrode was poised at the solution potential of the cell, referenced by a Pt wire in solution. To allow for free rotation of the working electrode in the cell, the thermometer adaptor fitting for the electrode was loosened for the duration of the experiment. The photodevice was aligned in the cell by utilizing the reflected optical diffraction pattern, and normal incidence ($\theta_{x,y} = 0^\circ$) was determined by minimizing the photocurrent of each electrode. A calibrated Si photodiode (FDS-100, Thorlabs) that was positioned inside the cell was used to calculate the $\Gamma_{\text{ext}}$ of the Si microwire array photodevices. The cell was constantly purged with Ar, with the outlet provided by the
loosened fitting of the working electrode. No degradation of the response of the Si working electrode was observed over the duration of the experiment (> 4 h).

5.8.2 Angle-resolved optical measurements

Optical measurements were made on peeled arrays from both photoelectrodes and from pieces of the Si microwire array that were adjacent to those pieces used measured for their spectral response (Figure 5.11). Since the heights of the wires can vary considerably across one growth chip, care was taken to measure wires with the same heights for the optical and photoelectrochemical measurements, by using adjacent portions of the same array with equal distance from the growth front on the chip. For measurements of the wires from photoelectrodes, after electrochemical measurements, the electrodes were thoroughly rinsed with methanol, water and isopropyl alcohol, and dried under N₂. Polydimethylsiloxane (PDMS; Sylgard 184, Dow Corning) was drop-cast into the electrodes. To ensure a uniform thin film, a transparency was placed on top of the curing PDMS, to create a thin film (< 1 mm) of PDMS that exhibited little optical distortion. The PDMS was allowed to cure at room temperature for 48 h, and then at 80ºC for 2 h. The transparency was then removed from the top of the film. Si wires embedded in PDMS were subsequently peeled off of the electrode using a scalpel blade, which when compared to using a razor blade, more consistently peeled the bases of the wire arrays. To temporarily mount the films onto the quartz slide, the films were wetted with a drop of

![Figure 5.15](image-url)

Figure 5.15. Schematic of the approximate height distribution on a chip of Si microwire arrays, with indication of how nearby portions of a chip were used for spectral response and optical measurements
methanol and allowed to dry on the slide. This method provided good adhesion of the film to the slide, with little air in between the film and the glass.

Optical transmission and reflection measurements as a function of wavelength ($\lambda$) and incident angle of illumination ($\theta_i$) were performed on the peeled–off films using an integrating sphere. The optical diffraction patterns of the arrays were used to orient the films relative to the rotational axes ($\theta_x, \theta_y$). The maximization of transmission in the films was taken to be normal incidence to the wire array.

5.8.3 Device physics simulations

Device physics simulations were carried out using Sentaurus Device from Synopsis Inc. Wires were defined in 2D using cylindrical coordinates. A liquid contact was simulated using a Schottky-type contact that formed a high barrier-height contact with n-Si. Default values were used for interfacial properties unless otherwise noted. Scanning photocurrent simulations were conducted by scanning a simulated light beam axially along a wire. The contacts for the wire included the high barrier-height contact, which was applied radially to the wire, and an n$^+$ back surface field as an electron selective contact. Distance = 0 $\mu$m was defined as the tip of the wire (far from the n$^+$ back surface field), and the wire was 70 $\mu$m in length. The quantum yield for carrier collection at zero applied voltage was determined by integrating the total number of excitations per unit time in the wire and dividing that quantity by the number of electrons collected per unit time derived from the current at the contacts. The dopant density was uniform throughout the wire except at the base. The mobility assumed bulk values that decreased with increasing dopant densities according to empirically developed relationships.\(^{11}\) A Shockley–Read–Hall lifetime was set for each simulation ($\tau_n = \tau_p$) and adjusted based on the empirical relationship with the dopant density given in eq. 5.2

$$\tau_{SRH} = \frac{\tau_n}{\left(1 + \frac{N}{N_{ref}}\right)}$$  \hspace{1cm} (5.2)
where \( N \) is the dopant density, \( N_{\text{ref}} = 1 \times 10^{16} \text{ cm}^{-3} \), \( \tau_0 \) is the initial value set for the carrier lifetime, and \( \tau_{\text{SRH}} \) is the final value used in computing recombination rates.

### 5.9 References

Appendix

NON-AQUEOUS DIMETHYLFERROCENE ELECTROCHEMICAL MEASUREMENTS
A.1 Introduction and motivation

The non-aqueous 1,1′-dimethylferrocene (Me$_2$Fc)$^{+/0}$ redox system in methanol (CH$_3$OH) makes a reproducible, high barrier-height contact with n-Si, making it a well-defined regenerative electrochemical system in which to measure the photoanodic properties of n-type and undoped silicon electrodes. The Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH redox system has been shown to yield excellent junctions with n-type Si, producing open-circuit voltage, $V_{oc}$, values that are only limited by bulk recombination/generation.$^1$ For single crystalline, planar n-Si in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH, energy conversion efficiencies of over 10%$^{2-4}$ and photovoltages in excess of 670 mV under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ of air mass (AM) 1.5 conditions have been attained.$^1$

Additionally, the Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH system generates an inversion layer in contact with n-Si, and the resulting semiconductor/liquid interface has a low effective surface recombination velocity $S$ of $\sim$ 20 cm s$^{-1}$. This low $S$ remained when the samples were removed from solution and measured under a N$_2$ atmosphere, indicating surface functionalization of n-Si in methanol in the presence of a one-electron oxidant, most likely due to the formation of a monolayer of methoxy groups on the Si surface.

Recent studies on the $J$-$E$ behavior of methoxy–terminated n-Si(111) surfaces in Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$ have further elucidated the critical role of the surface chemistry of the Si surface
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**Figure A.1.** Typical $J$-$E$ performance of n-Si in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–MeOH with 0.4 mM and 25 mM of the oxidized form of the redox couple
on its photoelectrochemical performance in this redox system. Planar, hydride (H)-terminated n-Si electrodes initially measured in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+\text{0}}$–CH$_3$CN displayed $V_{oc}$ values of ∼ 330 mV (Figure A.2). The same electrodes subsequently measured in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+\text{0}}$– CH$_3$OH attained $V_{oc}$ values of ∼ 550 mV, close to the bulk recombination/generation limited photovoltage for the Si used in this system. The electrodes measured in the original Me$_2$Fc$^{+\text{0}}$– CH$_3$CN redox solution displayed photovoltages similar to those measured in the Me$_2$Fc$^{+\text{0}}$– CH$_3$OH cell. In addition, placing the Si electrodes in methanol prior to measuring those electrodes in the Me$_2$Fc$^{+\text{0}}$– CH$_3$CN cell resulted in $V_{oc}$ values of ∼ 550 mV, confirming that the surface reaction of the H-terminated Si with methanol led to the demonstrated increase in the photovoltage. In contrast, n-Si photoelectrodes with methyl (CH$_3$)-termination demonstrated little variation in photovoltage with changes in solvent. These results indicated that surface methoxylation is critical to achieving maximum performance in Me$_2$Fc$^{+\text{0}}$ electrochemical systems.

The well-defined junction properties of Me$_2$Fc$^{+\text{0}}$–CH$_3$OH make it an ideal system to test new n-type materials or the surface properties of existing materials. Herein, key

Figure A.2. Sequence of $J$-$E$ scans under illumination for A) H-terminated and B) CH$_3$-terminated n-Si(111) in contact with Me$_2$Fc$^{+\text{0}}$ in both methanol and acetonitrile. The same electrodes are (i) initially measured in a Me$_2$Fc$^{+\text{0}}$–CH$_3$CN cell, (ii) subsequently measured in a Me$_2$Fc$^{+\text{0}}$–CH$_3$OH cell, and (iii) finally measured back in the Me$_2$Fc$^{+\text{0}}$–CH$_3$CN cell.
experimental conditions will be given explicitly, to guide future users of this cell.

A.2 Experimental methods

A.2.1 Materials

Methanol (BakerDRY, Mallinckrodt Baker) and lithium perchlorate (LiClO$_4$, battery grade, Sigma-Aldrich) were used as received, without further purification. LiClO$_4$ (95%, Sigma-Aldrich) can also be subsequently dried and used, but such further purification was not necessary to obtain stable, reproducible performance of Si photoelectrodes in the Me$_2$Fc$^{0}$/–CH$_3$OH system. For planar Si control electrodes, wafers of Czochralski-grown, single-side polished, P-doped n-Si(100) with $\rho \sim$ 4–8 $\Omega$-cm and thicknesses of 500 ± 25 $\mu$m (Wacker Siltronic) were employed.

A.2.2 Purification of LiClO$_4$

LiClO$_4$ (95%, Sigma-Aldrich) was fused in a custom quartz vessel under vacuum (5 mT) at $\sim$ 240°C. To prepare the quartz vessel, the quartz vessel was initially rinsed with solvent, to remove residual grease from the glass joint. After thoroughly rinsing the vessel with water, the vessel was filled with an aqua regia (1:3 HNO$_3$:HCl) solution for 1 h, and rinsed with H$_2$O. Subsequently, a piranha solution (7:3 H$_2$SO$_4$:H$_2$O$_2$) was used to remove all organics from the vessel. This step was critical, given that any residual organics will react with the liquid LiClO$_4$ in a highly exothermic and explosive reaction. The vessel was then rinsed with H$_2$O and dried in the low temperature oven at $\sim$ 80°C. Using a custom glass funnel with a long stem, $\sim$ 10 g of LiClO$_4$ were placed in the quartz vessel, such that the reagent fills approximately 1 inch of the vessel. Attempting to fuse more reagent than specified will result in increased hazard when cooling the reagent (see ‘dangerous step’ below). Using the funnel ensures that the LiClO$_4$ is placed at the bottom of the vessel, where the vessel will be heated. LiClO$_4$ on the sidewalls of the vessel will not reach a sufficiently high temperature to be fused, resulting in incomplete drying of the reagent. A small amount of grease (Dow Corning high vacuum grease) was placed at the bottom of the
ground glass joint on the vessel. This small amount of grease was sufficient to make a
good seal, while preventing grease from entering the top of the vessel where the grease has
a higher likelihood of encountering liquid LiClO₄ during the ‘dangerous step.’

The vessel was subsequently immersed in a heating mantle with a sand bath to as
great a depth as possible, to expedite the heating of the LiClO₄. A thermometer was placed
in the sand bath, in close proximity to the quartz vessel. The quartz vessel was then placed
under vacuum on the Schlenk line using a liquid nitrogen trap, to prevent water from
entering the vacuum pump. A blast shield was placed in front of the reaction, and a Variac
used to control the heating mantle was set to ~ 45 V, which should slowly bring the sand
bath to ~ 240º C in 1.5 h. To check if all of the LiClO₄ had melted, a large mirror was used
to examine the bottom of the quartz vessel. *Do not* remove the blast shield or peer around
the blast shield while the LiClO₄ is being heated. Use the mirror.

Once all of the LiClO₄ had melted, the quartz vessel was removed from the sand
bath, using the high temperature–compatible white gloves for protection. **Dangerous step:**
keeping the quartz vessel behind the blast shield, *carefully* tilt and rotate the vessel, to
allow for the LiClO₄ to cool on the middle sidewalls of the vessel. By employing this step,
the LiClO₄ was therefore assessable for removal, instead of cooling as a big, hard block at
the very bottom of the vessel. Once the vessel had cooled to close to room temperature, the
vessel was isolated from vacuum to remain under passive vacuum, and brought into the dry
box. The LiClO₄ was removed from the sidewalls using a metal spatula, and was stored in
the dry box until use.

### A.2.3 Sublimation of Me₂Fc

Me₂Fc (95%, Sigma-Aldrich) was sublimated at room temperature and reduced
pressure (~ 10 mT). Me₂Fc sublimes at atmospheric pressure, so heating the reagent is
not strictly necessary. To facilitate the sublimation, the reagent can be sublimated at ~
45ºC. The reagent was stored under an inert atmosphere in the dry box until use.
A.2.4 Synthesis of Me$_2$FcBF$_4$

Dimethylferrocenium tetrafluoroborate (Me$_2$FcBF$_4$) was synthesized as described previously. Briefly, Me$_2$FcBF$_4$ was synthesized from Me$_2$Fc (either sublimated or used as received) by addition of excess tetrafluoroboric acid (HBF$_4$, 55% w/w in diethyl ether, Sigma-Aldrich) in the presence of 0.5 equivalents of p-benzoquinone (reagent grade ≥ 98%, Sigma Aldrich) in THF (ACS grade). First, the Me$_2$Fc (2.55 g) was dissolved in THF (80 mL). The solution was stirred in an ice water bath until thoroughly chilled. The reaction was successfully run under Ar (as published) or in air (JRM III, book 2, p. 129). The p-benzoquinone (0.66 g) was added with stirring, and the solution subsequently changed to a brown color. HBF$_4$ (8 mL) was subsequently added and the solution immediately turned a dark blue. The reaction was stirred on ice for ~30 min, then vacuum filtered and washed 3 times with 30 mL of cold THF. The resulting solid was dried under vacuum and stored under an inert atmosphere in the dry box until use.

A.2.5 Electrode fabrication

To fabricate electrodes for photoelectrochemical measurements, wire arrays were cleaved into 4 x 4 mm samples. The backs of the samples were scratched with a SiC scribe that was coated in Ga:In eutectic (76:24 Ga:In wt.), to make ohmic contact to the Si substrate. The samples were then mounted with Ag print (GC Electronics) on a coiled wire that was passed through a glass tube, so that the electrode was positioned in a face-down configuration to ultimately mitigate solution absorption. The glass tube has a z-like bend, to enable the working electrode’s overlap with the Luggin capillary in the electrochemical cell. The active areas of the electrodes were defined using Loctite 9460 F epoxy, which does not significantly creep into the microwire arrays or form a chemical residue on the surface of planar electrodes. The epoxy was applied as a thin layer (< 0.5 mm) on the face of the electrode, so that mass transport into the electrode was not significantly hindered. The back contact and wire coil were typically insulated using Hysol 1C epoxy, which has increased chemical stability over Loctite 9460 F in methanol. Prior to electrochemical measurements, the electrodes were placed in an oven heated to 70º C for at least 2 h.
(usually overnight), to further cure the epoxy to obtain enhanced chemical stability. Electrode areas were measured with a high-resolution scanner, and were calculated using Adobe Photoshop software. Electrode areas were less than 0.03 cm$^2$, to limit resistance losses within the electrochemical cell, but greater than 0.02 cm$^2$, such that the electrode areas could be accurately measured. Smaller electrode arrays had increasing effects from epoxy creeping into the array, and also artificially enhanced photocurrent from light scattering into the array from the surrounding epoxy.

**A.2.6 Photoelectrochemical measurements**

Current density vs. potential ($J-E$) measurements were performed with bottom illumination in an air-tight, flat-bottomed glass cell (Figure 3). The cell was thoroughly

---

**Figure 3.** Schematic of the non-aqueous Me$_2$Fe$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH electrochemical cell
cleaned with piranha and aqua regia solutions, thoroughly rinsed with H₂O, and ultimately dried at high temperatures of ~ 120°C. The Pt wire and Pt mesh counter electrodes were etched for 5 s in aqua regia, thoroughly rinsed in H₂O and dried at ~ 80°C. For measurements under 1 Sun’s illumination, the electrolyte solution consisted of 200 mM of Me₂Fc, ~ 0.4 mM of Me₂FcBF₄, and 1.0 M LiClO₄ in 30 mL of methanol. Small quantities of the oxidized form of the redox couple, Me₂FcBF₄, were employed due to its strong absorption peak in the visible at λ ~ 650 nm. The cell was assembled and sealed under an inert atmosphere (< 10 ppm O₂) before being placed under positive Ar pressure outside of the dry box. A methanol bubbler was used to prevent evaporation of the solution during an Ar purge. The three-electrode cell consisted of a high-area Pt mesh as the counter electrode, a Pt wire in a Luggin capillary filled with the cell’s solution as the reference electrode, and a Si working electrode. In particular, the use of a Luggin capillary allowed for a well-defined, stable reference in the non-aqueous electrochemical cell, and allowed for the reference and working electrode to be in close proximity to limit resistance losses in the cell. The working electrode was consistently placed as close as possible to the Luggin capillary, typically within less than 1 mm of the electrode surface. At these distances, the Luggin capillary was in contact with the epoxy of the working electrode, providing the ultimate limit of the proximity of the working and reference electrodes. When changing working electrodes, the Ar flow was increased, to prevent O₂ from entering the electrochemical cell. The Ar flow was increased to the point that thermometer adaptor could ‘float’ in the glass fitting. After the electrode was changed, the high Ar flow was maintained for a few seconds, to purge the headspace of the electrochemical cell. The Ar flow was subsequently decreased, to maintain positive Ar pressure to the cell.

The solution potential (as measured at the counter electrode) versus the reference was continuously monitored using a 4-digit voltmeter (Keithley), and deviated from the reference by < 10 mV. If large deviations in potential occurred between the working and reference electrodes, the Luggin was re-equilibrated with the bulk solution by temporarily applying increased Ar pressure to the cell. A Princeton Applied Research (PAR) Model 273 potentiostat in conjunction with CoreWare software was typically employed for electrochemical experiments. The J-E behavior of an electrode was initially measured in the dark at a scan rate of 10 mV s⁻¹. By measuring the electrode’s dark behavior prior to its
$V_{oc}$ or $J-E$ response under illumination, the electrode demonstrated a stable response under illumination, presumably from the completion of surface reactions during these dark scans. The $V_{oc}$ was then measured in the dark and then under illumination. Finally, the $J-E$ behavior of an electrode was measured under illumination, with a typical voltage sweep consisting of the following voltage steps: 1) the $V_{oc}$, 2) -0.05 V vs. $V_{oc}$, 3) 0.2 V vs. reference, and 4) $V_{oc}$. A scan rate of 5 mV s$^{-1}$ was employed for the measurement of Si microwire photoelectrodes, to limit hysteresis associated with poor mass transport in the microwire array. In addition, the cell was vigorously stirred during $J-E$ measurements (stir motor setting $\geq$ 35), and the stir bar was located in close proximity to the working electrode. Prior to photoelectrochemical measurements, the Si electrodes were etched for 5 s in 5% HF(aq), rinsed with $> 18$ MΩ-cm resistivity H$_2$O, and dried thoroughly under a stream of N$_2$(g).

For electrochemical measurements under simulated 1 Sun’s illumination, a 300 W ELH-type tungsten halogen bulb with a dichroic rear reflector was used as the illumination source. The lamp was coupled to a ground glass diffuser, to produce a more uniform field of illumination, and the illumination was directed directly through the bottom of the glass cell without the use of a mirror. The incident light intensity was calibrated using a Si photodiode (FDS-100, Thorlabs) that was placed in the solution at the position of the working electrode. The light intensity was adjusted until the short-circuit photocurrent density on the Si diode was the same as the value produced by 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ of ELH-type illumination. This Si photodiode was previously calibrated relative to a secondary standard Si solar cell (Solarex), by measuring the current of the Si photodiode when placed under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ ELH-type illumination, as measured by the Solarex calibrated Si solar cell. To confirm this calibration, a planar, single crystal n-Si photoelectrode was also measured in the electrochemical cell, and the light intensity was adjusted such that the $J_{sc}$ of the working electrode was $\sim 27$ mA cm$^{-2}$, as was previously measured for shiny, n-Si photoelectrodes under 100 mW cm$^{-2}$ illumination.$^{3,4}$ This method proved to be the most accurate way to calibrate the light intensity at the working electrode position, since the lamps generally have large intensity variations across the illumination area.
For electrochemical measurements under simulated AM 1.5 G illumination, the cell was illuminated using a 150 W Xe lamp with an AM 1.5 G filter (Newport/Oriel) coupled to a UV-enhanced Al mirror (PF10-03-F01, Thorlabs), to direct the light through the bottom of the cell. The illumination intensity was calibrated as described above. For experiments using the Xe lamp, the measurement of a planar, n-Si photoelectrode in solution gave the most accurate calibration of the incident light intensity, given the arc lamp’s non-uniform light intensity.

To demonstrate the correction for concentration overpotential losses, 25 mM Me$_2$FcBF$_4$ was added directly to the cell after completing measurements under 1 Sun illumination. A 1 W 808 nm diode laser (Thorlabs) was used as the illumination source, and $J$-$E$ data were collected by matching the $J_{sc}$ value to the value of $J_{sc}$ that was obtained under simulated 1 Sun (ELH-type or AM 1.5 G) illumination. This process typically required ~ 60 mW cm$^{-2}$ of 808 nm illumination, as measured by a calibrated photodiode (FDS-100, Thorlabs), which was underfilled with the incident illumination at the position of the working electrode.

A.2.7 Corrections for series resistance and concentration overpotential losses

Corrections for the concentration overpotential ($\eta_{\text{conc}}$) and series resistance ($R_s$) losses were performed according to eqs. A.1 and A.2.

\[
\eta_{\text{conc}} = \frac{k_B T}{n q} \left\{ \ln \left( \frac{J_{l,a}}{J_{l,c}} \right) - \ln \left( \frac{J_{l,a} - J}{J - J_{l,c}} \right) \right\} \\
E_{\text{corr}} = E_{\text{meas}} - iR_s - \eta_{\text{conc}}
\]  

(A.1)  

(A.2)

where $k_B$ is Boltzmann’s constant; $T$ is the absolute temperature; $q$ is the (unsigned) charge on an electron; $n$ is stoichiometric number of electrons transferred in the electrode reaction ($n = 1$ for Me$_2$Fc$^{+0}$); and $J_{l,a}$ and $J_{l,c}$ are the anodic and cathodic mass transport–limited current densities, respectively. A Pt foil (0.025 mm thick, 99.9% Alfa Aesar) working electrode of comparable area and geometric configuration to the Si working electrodes was used to measure the $J_{l,a}$, $J_{l,c}$, and $R_s$ of the cell. Typically, the Pt electrode’s measured $J_{l,a}$
was \~ 80 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}, and \textit{J}_{\text{i,c}} were \~ 0.15 and \~ 10 \text{ mA cm}^{-2}, for 0.4 \text{ mM} and 25 \text{ mM Me}_2\text{FcBF}_4, respectively. To obtain the series resistance of the cell, the \textit{J-E} data of the Pt electrode was first corrected for \eta_{\text{conc}} losses using eq. 1. The inverse of the slope of the linear portion of the resulting \textit{J-E} data was then evaluated to produce a value for \textit{R}_s. The measured value of \textit{R}_s was dependent on the placement of the working electrode with respect to the Luggin capillary, and typically varied from 50–150 \text{ \Omega}. A value of \textit{R}_s = 50 \text{ \Omega} was used in the calculations to avoid overcorrection of the data, resulting in conservative, potentially underestimated, values for the intrinsic fill factor and photoelectrode efficiency of the Si/Me$_2$Fc$^{+/0}$–CH$_3$OH contact.
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