Abstract

In Chapter 4, we presented the v_1 (OH stretch) vibrational and A-X electronic spectra of the hydroxymethylperoxy radical (HOCH₂OO•, or HMP). The slight red shift in the v_1 absorption band suggests the formation of a weak intramolecular hydrogen bond, possibly leading to coupling of vibrational modes (similar to our observations of HOONO in Chapter 3). We observed four clear peaks in the A-X spectrum, consistent with assignment as the hot bands and combination bands with the OOCO torsional mode. We would like to make the most accurate assignment of the A-X spectrum and assessment of the torsional mode coupling. Although quantum chemistry methods for determining spectroscopic properties of alkyl peroxy radicals have been developed, these methods may or may not be applicable to substituted peroxy systems such as HMP.

In this thesis chapter, we predict the A-X electronic transition frequency and the extent of torsional mode coupling through quantum chemistry methods. We calculate potential energy surfaces as a function of the two torsional modes of HMP (OCOH, OOCO) for both the X and A states at a variety of levels of theory and bases. We also use composite methods to determine the A-X transition frequency. We also extend our methods to the product of HO_2 + acetone, $HOC(CH_3)_2OO$ • (2-hydroxyisopropylperoxy, 2-HIPP) to provide predictions of spectroscopic bands for future studies. Our potential energy surfaces indicate that further studies must be carried out to determine the best overall method for calculation of the spectroscopic properties of substituted alkyl peroxies such as HMP.

Introduction

In Chapter 4, we presented the mid-IR and near-IR spectra of the products of the $HO_2 + HCHO$ reaction. Based on our chemistry analysis, kinetics modeling, band simulations, and preliminary quantum chemistry calculations, we assigned both spectra to the primary product HOCH₂OO• (HMP, Reaction 5.1): the mid-IR spectrum to the v₁ (OH stretch) vibrational mode, and the near-IR spectrum to the A-X electronic transitions.

$$\overset{\circ}{\underset{H}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{\circ}{\underset{H}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{\circ}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{\circ}{\underset{H}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{\circ}{\underset{H}{\longrightarrow}} \overset{\circ}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}{\overset}}{\underset{H}$$

At the end of Chapter 4, we made two key observations about each spectrum when comparing to the spectra of similar molecules. First, the position of the v_1 band of HMP (3622 cm⁻¹) is red shifted from the v_1 bands of methanol (3681 cm⁻¹) and ethanol (3675 cm⁻¹).⁴⁰ We have already observed (HOONO, Chapter 3) that the formation of internal hydrogen bonds can cause red shifts in the OH stretch frequency. In HMP, interaction between the peroxy (OO) and hydroxy (OH) groups will cause this red shift. The bond is likely weaker than in HOONO due to the magnitude of the red shifts (60 cm⁻¹ in HMP, 270 cm⁻¹ in HOONO). Nonetheless, even a weak interaction may cause coupling between vibrational modes involving the peroxy and hydroxy groups, notably the two torsions OOCO (v_{15}) and HOCO (v_{13}), causing extra complexity in the vibrational spectrum.

Second, we observed some qualitative differences between the A-X spectrum of HMP and $CH_3OO \cdot$.¹²² In the HMP spectrum, we observe the OOCO torsional combination band 15_0^1 , but do not observe the sequence band 15_1^1 . The opposite holds true

in CH₃OO•: Chung et al. observe the methyl torsion sequence band 12_1^1 , but not the combination band 12_0^1 . Given this discrepancy, it is important to confirm the assignment of our HMP spectrum. The possible torsional coupling suggested by the v₁ spectrum makes this task harder, as all of the assigned bands could be affected by HMP's internal hydrogen bond.

Within the last 15 years, Terry Miller and co-workers have developed a general framework for modeling the electronic transitions of alkylperoxy radicals.⁴⁴ Miller uses G2,¹²³ a composite quantum chemistry method (explained in Appendix C) to obtain X and A state energies of alkylperoxies. The accuracy of these calculations is 10–200 cm⁻¹. Predictions are most accurate for small molecules (such as CH₃OO•), and get worse with increasing size (such as 3-pentylperoxy)⁴⁴ or halogenation of the alkyl chain (such as CF₃OO•).¹²⁴ Both molecules above can be thought of as substituted versions of methylperoxy (3-pentylperoxy replacing two of the H on CH₃OO• with C₂H₅, CF₃OO• simply replacing all hydrogens with fluorines). Substitution may affect the electronic structure and interactions of the peroxy group with the rest of the radical. **Thus, there is no guarantee that the G2 method commonly employed for prediction of peroxy spectra will be adequate for HMP, a substituted alkylperoxy with internal hydrogen bonding involving the peroxy group.**

The "holy grail" of the spectroscopic studies presented in Chapter 4 is to detect the primary product of HO_2 + acetone (Reaction 5.2): $HOC(CH_3)_2OO$ • (2-hydroxyisopropylperoxy, or 2-HIPP).



Recent studies have shown that HO_2 disappears faster in the presence of acetone; however, by monitoring HO_2 alone, these studies cannot differentiate between Reaction 5.2 and an enhancement of HO_2 self-reaction. Direct spectroscopic detection of 2-HIPP would provide evidence that Reaction 5.2 does in fact take place. However, there are no predictions as to where the spectroscopic bands of 2-HIPP should be located. In order to make a meaningful prediction of the 2-HIPP spectrum, we must first determine an appropriate method for modeling 2-HIPP.

In this thesis chapter, we present two dimensional potential energy surfaces for the ground (X) and first excited (A) states of HMP, as a function of the OCOH and OOCO dihedral angles. These surfaces were analyzed to determine the extent of torsiontorsion coupling due to internal hydrogen bonding. We also determine the A-X transition frequency on the basis of these calculations. By carrying these calculations out at a wide variety of levels of theory and bases, we can determine appropriate methods for modeling substituted alkyl peroxies such as HMP. We then extend our results to predict the v_1 and A-X spectra of 2-HIPP.

Methods

All quantum chemistry calculations in this chapter were performed in Gaussian 03W.⁷⁹ We used three single processor, single core, Windows XP PCs (Caltech). Potential energy surface data were compiled in GaussView 3.09,¹²⁵ and the resulting plots were generated in SigmaPlot 8.0.¹²⁶

We generated potential energy surfaces of HMP as a function of the two dihedral angles OCOH and OOCO by performing relaxed energy scans (i.e., for each set of dihedral angles (τ_{OCOH} , τ_{OOCO}), all other molecular coordinates were allowed to relax). A-X transition frequencies were obtained by performing a geometry optimization in both the A and X states, then subtracting the difference in energies. This transition frequency was scaled to HO₂ (Equation 5.3) to correct for systematic errors in the quantum chemistry method and zero point energy effects.

$$\left(E_{\text{A-X,HMP}} \right)_{\text{actual}} = \left(E_{\text{A-X,HMP}} \right)_{\text{calc}} \times \frac{\left(E_{\text{A-X,HO}_2} \right)_{\text{actual}}}{\left(E_{\text{A-X,HO}_2} \right)_{\text{calc}}}$$
(5.3),

where $(E_{A-X,HO2})_{actual} = 7029 \text{ cm}^{-1}.^{54}$

Based on the results of these potential energy surfaces, we examined the global minimum of the X state and the associated potential energy well of the A state in greater detail to obtain information about the A-X transition frequency. We calculated the geometries, energies, and vibrational frequencies of the wells in the X and A states, making use of anharmonic frequency calculations (VPT2) when available in Gaussian 03W. The resulting energy differences were scaled to HO₂ according to Equation 5.3 in order to make the best estimates of the A-X transition frequency.

Geometries and energies for the excited (A) state of HMP were obtained by "freezing" the electrons in the excited state configuration at each step of the self-consistent field calculation, similar to the method developed by Miller and co-workers (see Appendix C for details of this method).⁴⁴

The specific levels of theory and bases used for this study are summarized in Table 5.1. Note that in Gaussian 03W and 09W, anharmonic frequencies are only available at the HF, B3LYP, and MP2 levels of theory.

Level of Theory	Basis	A-X	Anharmonic	Potential Energy
-		Transition	Frequencies ^a	Surface
B3LYP	6-31+G(d,p)	Yes	Yes	Yes
	6-311++G(2df,2p)	Yes	Yes	
	cc-pVDZ	Yes	Yes	Yes
	aug-cc-pVDZ	Yes	Yes	
CCSD	6-31+G(d,p)	Yes	—	Yes
	cc-pVDZ	Yes		_
	aug-cc-pVDZ	Yes	—	—
	cc-pVTZ	Yes	—	—
MP2(FC)	6-31+G(d,p)	Yes	Yes	Yes
MP2(Full)	6-31+G(d,p)	Yes	Yes	Yes
MP4(SDQ)	6-31+G(d,p)	Yes		Yes
MP4(SDTQ)	6-31+G(d,p)	Yes		
QCISD	6-31+G(d,p)	Yes	—	—
CIS	6-31+G(d,p)	Yes	—	
TD-HF	6-31+G(d,p)	Yes	—	
TD-B3LYP	6-31+G(d,p)	Yes	—	—
	cc-pVDZ	Yes	—	—
EOM-CCSD	6-31+G(d,p)	Yes	—	—
	aug-cc-pVDZ	Yes	—	
	cc-pVTZ	Yes		_
G1	—	Yes	—	
G2		Yes		
CBS-QB3		Yes		
W1U		Yes		

Table 5.1. Summary of levels of theory and bases used for HMP calculations

a) Anharmonic frequencies not available in Gaussian 03W for CCSD, MP4, QCISD, or composite methods

Results

We present the results of our study in three parts. First, we present the 2-dimensional torsional potential energy surfaces of HMP. We show the molecular geometries of the local minima in both the X and A states. The potential energy surfaces show evidence of torsional mode coupling in the X state, but not the A state. These surfaces show that density functional theory and coupled cluster methods are able to

locate all three conformers of HMP on the X state, but Möller-Plesset perturbation theory is not able to locate one of the conformers. Second, we present the vibrational and A-X transition frequencies calculated using density functional, coupled cluster, perturbation, equation of motion, and composite quantum chemistry methods. We observe generally good agreement between experiment and theory across most of these methods. We conclude that density functional, coupled cluster, and composite methods based on these levels of theory are appropriate for modeling HMP. Third, we extend our study to 2-HIPP, predicting the vibrational and A-X bands. We predict 2-HIPP to have an A-X frequency in the range 7900–8000 cm⁻¹, significantly to the blue of HMP.

Torsion-Torsion Potential Energy Surfaces of HMP

Figures 5.1–5.3 show a series of 2-dimensional potential energy surfaces of HMP as a function of the two dihedral angles τ_{OCOH} and τ_{OOCO} . Figure 5.1 shows the B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) calculated X and A surfaces with the three minima and $(\tau_{OCOH}, \tau_{OOCO})=(0^{\circ}, 0^{\circ})$ transition state (TS) labeled. Figure 5.2 shows the X and A state potential energy surfaces for the remaining DFT and coupled-cluster calculations: B3LYP/cc-pVDZ and CCSD/6-31+G(d,p). Figure 5.3 shows the surfaces from the three perturbation theory calculations: MP2(FC)/6-31+G(d,p), MP2(Full)/6-31+(d,p), and MP4(SDQ)/6-31+G(d,p).



Figure 5.1. B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) potential energy surfaces of HMP for the X state (left) and A state (right), as a function of the dihedral angles τ_{OCOH} and τ_{OOCO} . The local minima (A, B, C) at the X state geometries, and C_s transition state (TS), are labeled on each surface. The listed energies (X state, A state) are relative to the X state of TS, unscaled. The geometries of these conformers are shown below the surfaces. Energies on the potential energy surfaces are in cm⁻¹, relative to the X state C_s transition state energy.

126



Figure 5.2. CCSD/6-31+G(d,p) (top) and B3LYP/cc-pVDZ (bottom) potential energy surfaces of HMP for the X state (left) and A state (right), as a function of the dihedral angles τ_{OCOH} and τ_{OOCO} . Energies on the potential energy surfaces are in cm⁻¹, relative to the X state C_s transition state energy.





Figure 5.3. MP2(FC)/6-31+G(d,p) (top of previous page), MP2(Full)/6-31+(d,p) (bottom of previous page), and MP4(SDQ)/6-31+G(d,p) (this page) potential energy surfaces of HMP for the X state (left) and A state (right), as a function of the dihedral angles τ_{OCOH} and τ_{OOCO} . Energies on the potential energy surfaces are in cm⁻¹, relative to the X state C_s transition state energy.

First, consider the X state surface and energies presented in Figure 5.1 (B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p)). There are three nonequivalent minima on the potential energy surface representing rotation of the peroxy group, similar to the three equivalent minima observed for CH₃OO•.¹²⁷ The global minimum conformer (Figure 5.1, labeled Conformer A) features an internal hydrogen bond. At B3LYP/6-31G(d,p), the energy stabilization provided by this hydrogen bond is 550 cm⁻¹, calculated by comparison to the two nonhydrogen bound conformers (B and C). The potential energy well is off axis (diagonal on the τ_{OCOH} , τ_{OCOO} plot), indicating that the two torsional modes are coupled to each other.

The TS is not a maximum on the surface; at least 1000 cm⁻¹ is required to move from TS to B. Rather, it is a saddle point, and any HMP in the TS conformer will convert to conformer A without any energy barrier. Although the TS appears to have an internal hydrogen bond, it is actually higher in energy than the three minima, likely due to unfavorable orbital overlap from placing the OH and OO groups' relative orientation. Clearly there is interaction between these two groups in TS: the minimum energy path is also off axis.

Next consider the A state surface and energies in Figure 5.1. There are still three minima, but there are several qualitative differences compared to the X state surface. First, we observe that all three minima are on-axis, and the energy of Conformer A is approximately equal to Conformer B (in fact, Conformer B is now the global minimum by 150 cm⁻¹). These two facts imply that there is no hydrogen bonding in the A state of HMP. Second, TS is now a maximum on the surface rather than a saddle point. This affords the possibility of TS being converted into either Conformer A or B. Finally, we note that the positions of the minima on the X state (the positions of the letters) do not match exactly with the minima on the A state. In particular, the wells for conformer A are slightly shifted in τ_{OOCO} .

The potential energy surfaces in Figure 5.2, when compared to Figure 5.1, show the effects of increasing the level of theory (from B3LYP to CCSD) while keeping the basis set constant (6-31+G(d,p)), and changing the basis set (from 6-31+G(d,p) to cc-pVDZ) while keeping the level of theory constant (B3LYP). The CCSD/6-31+G(d,p)surfaces have the same qualitative features as the B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) surfaces. On the X state surface, we still observe three local minima with one hydrogen bonded conformer (normal modes coupled) stabilized by 550 cm⁻¹ compared to the other two conformers. The A state still shows three minima, none with normal mode coupling, and Conformer B is slightly lower in energy than Conformer A (-200 cm^{-1}). The main difference between the CCSD/6-31+G(d,p) and B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) surfaces is the relative energy of TS (900 cm⁻¹ above conformer A at B3LYP, 1700 cm⁻¹ at CCSD). Nonetheless, the qualitative agreement between the B3LYP and CCSD surfaces suggests that B3LYP may be a good method for modeling our HO₂ + carbonyl systems.

Comparison of the B3LYP/cc-pVDZ surface to the B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) surface reveals some similarities (three minima, one hydrogen bound) and some significant qualitative changes: widening of the TS saddle point and reduced barrier heights between conformers. This is likely due to the relative inflexibility of the cc-pVDZ basis set (no polarization or diffuse functions) compared to the 6-31+G(d,p) basis. Similar surfaces at aug-cc-pVDZ (not shown here) did agree qualitatively with the 6-31+G(d,p) surface, indicating that polarization and diffuse functions are required to model the HMP radical properly.

Finally, there is one disturbing difference between the perturbation method surfaces (Figure 5.3) and the B3LYP/CCSD surfaces (Figures 5.1 and 5.2). The barrier between Conformers C and A was 75 cm⁻¹ (B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p)) or 100 cm⁻¹ (CCSD/6-31+G(d,p)), and we can therefore calculate properties of conformer C at these two levels of theory. In contrast, at MP2(FC), MP2(Full), or MP4(SDQ), Conformer C is no longer a minimum; rather, it is a shelf (similar to the cis-perp region of HOONO from Chapter 3).

Calculated A-X Transition Frequencies

The most important conformers of HMP in our experiments will be conformers A and B. These potential energy wells are relatively deep (Figures 5.1–5.3); a radical in these conformations will be bound by >900 cm⁻¹ (conformer A) or 280 cm⁻¹ (conformer B), as calculated at B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p). We therefore focus our attention on calculating the A-X transition frequencies out of these two conformations, with the global minimum (conformer A) being the most important due to its very deep well depth.

Tables 5.2–5.5 summarize the calculated A-X frequencies, OOCO torsion frequencies in the A and X states, and OH stretch frequencies. In Table 5.2, we scale all of the transition frequencies to HO₂ using Equation 5.3. In Tables 5.3-5.5, we calculate anharmonic frequencies using two methods: scaling the harmonic frequencies using known scaling factors,¹²⁸ or directly calculating anharmonic frequencies in Gaussian 09.¹²¹ In Figures 5.4–5.7, we show the deviations of each method's calculated frequencies to the experimentally determined values (Chapter 4). We discuss the data in these tables and figures after Figure 5.7.

Level of Theory	Basis	A-X, scaled, Conformer A ^a	A-X, scaled, Conformer B	$(\mathrm{HO}_2)_{\mathrm{actual}}$
				$(HO_2)_{calc}$
B3LYP	6-31+G(d,p)	7272	6598	0.969
	6-311++G(2df,2p)	7182	6590	0.970
	cc-pVDZ	7326	6603	0.964
	aug-cc-pVDZ	7318	6697	0.962
CCSD	6-31+G(d,p)	7428	6634	1.040
	cc-pVDZ	7434	—	1.047
	aug-cc-pVDZ	7455	_	1.031
HF	6-31+G(d,p)	7061	6361	1.435
MP2(FC)	6-31+G(d,p)	7413	6574	1.028
MP2(Full)	6-31+G(d,p)	7409	6574	1.025
MP4(SDQ)	6-31+G(d,p)	7381	—	1.091
CIS	6-31+G(d,p)	6944	6446	1.110
TD-HF	6-31+G(d,p)	6941	6346	1.306
TD-B3LYP	6-31+G(d,p)		6548	0.879
	cc-pVDZ	7388	6607	0.885
EOM-CCSD	6-31+G(d,p)	—	—	1.073
	aug-cc-pVDZ		—	1.057
	cc-pVTZ		—	1.055
G1		7349 ^b	—	N/A ^b
G2		7424 ^b	—	N/A ^b
CBS-QB3	—	7479 ^b		N/A ^b
W1U	—	7443 ^b	—	N/A^b

Table 5.2. Calculated A-X transition frequencies (cm⁻¹) of HMP conformers A and B, scaled to HO₂ (7029 cm⁻¹).⁵⁴

a) Observed A-X frequency of Conformer A is 7391 cm⁻¹ (Chapter 4)
b) Composite methods are not scaled to HO₂



Figure 5.4. Deviation of calculated A-X frequency of HMP from experiment (CRDS, Chapter 4).

134

ri, numonite, numonite seureu, and unnumonite.							
Level of Theory	Basis	v_{15} A, harmonic ^a	v_{15} A, harmonic, scaled ^a	v_{15} A, anharmonic ^a			
B3LYP	6-31+G(d,p)	175	168	168			
	6-311++G(2df,2p)	176	170	168			
	cc-pVDZ	176	170	169			
	aug-cc-pVDZ	173	168	168			
CCSD	6-31+G(d,p)	173	162	—			
	cc-pVDZ	178	169	—			
	aug-cc-pVDZ	175	167	—			
HF	6-31+G(d,p)	176	159	—			
MP2(FC)	6-31+G(d,p)	176	165	172			
MP2(Full)	6-31+G(d,p)	177	165	173			
MP4(SDQ)	6-31+G(d,p)	173	165	—			
MP4(SDTQ)	6-31+G(d,p)	168	160	—			
G2		175 ^b	165 ^b				
CBS-QB3		177 ^b	171 ^b				

Table 5.3. Calculated v_{15} (OOCO torsion) frequency for the A state of HMP conformer

 A, harmonic, harmonic scaled, ¹²⁸ and anharmonic.

a) Observed v₁₅ A state frequency is 170 cm⁻¹ ($(15_0^1 - 0_0^0)$, Chapter 4)

b) Composite method frequencies taken from the zero-point energy calculation



Figure 5.5. Deviation of calculated v_{15} A state frequency of HMP from experiment (CRDS from $15_0^1 - 0_0^0$, Chapter 4).

somormer <i>T</i> , narmonie, narmonie seared, and annarmonie.								
Level of Theory	Basis	v ₁₅ X,	$v_{15} X$, $v_{15} X$,					
		harmonic ^a	harmonic, scaled ^a	anharmonic ^a				
B3LYP	6-31+G(d,p)	112	108	111				
	6-311++G(2df,2p)	109	105	105				
	cc-pVDZ	111	108	91				
	aug-cc-pVDZ	109	106	108				
CCSD	6-31+G(d,p)	140	131	—				
	cc-pVDZ	143	136	—				
	aug-cc-pVDZ	139	131	—				
HF	6-31+G(d,p)	149	135	142				
MP2(FC)	6-31+G(d,p)	124	116	116				
MP2(Full)	6-31+G(d,p)	124	116	116				
MP4(SDQ)	6-31+G(d,p)	132	126					
G2	—	149 ^b	141 ^b	—				
CBS-QB3		113 ^b	109 ^b					

Table 5.4. Calculated v_{15} (OOCO torsion) frequencies (cm⁻¹) for the X state of HMP conformer A, harmonic, harmonic scaled, ¹²⁸ and anharmonic.

a) Observed v_{15} X state frequency is 116 cm⁻¹ ($(0_0^0 - 15_1^0)$, Chapter 4)

b) Composite method frequencies taken from the zero-point energy calculation



Figure 5.6. Deviation of calculated v_{15} X state frequency of HMP from experiment (CRDS from $0_0^0 - 15_1^0$, Chapter 4).

comorner A, narmone, narmone searce, and annarmone.							
Level of Theory	Basis	ν ₁ Χ,	$v_1 X$, $v_1 X$,				
		harmonic ^a	harmonic, scaled ^a	anharmonic ^a			
B3LYP	6-31+G(d,p)	3800	3663	3602			
	6-311++G(2df,2p)	3805	3676	3604			
	cc-pVDZ	3730	3619	3519			
	aug-cc-pVDZ	3783	3669	3580			
CCSD	6-31+G(d,p)	3882	3626	—			
	cc-pVDZ	3840	3637	—			
	aug-cc-pVDZ	3825	3622				
HF	6-31+G(d,p)	4175	3770	4001			
MP2(FC)	6-31+G(d,p)	3859	3615	3667			
MP2(Full)	6-31+G(d,p)	3861	3606	3669			
MP4(SDQ)	6-31+G(d,p)	3886	3711	—			
G2	_	4097 ^b	3864 ^b	—			
CBS-QB3	—	3797 ^b	3672 ^b				

Table 5.5. Calculated v_1 (OH stretch) frequencies (cm⁻¹) for the X state of HMP conformer A, harmonic, harmonic scaled, ¹²⁸ and anharmonic.

a) Observed v_1 frequency is 3622 cm⁻¹ (Chapter 4) b) Composite method frequencies taken from the zero-point energy calculation



Figure 5.7. Deviation of calculated v_1 frequency of HMP from experiment (CRDS, Chapter 4).

A-X transition frequency (Table 5.2, Figure 5.4) – Our desired accuracy for the calculated A-X bands is $\pm 50 \text{ cm}^{-1}$. Based on the existing studies of alkyl peroxy electronic transitions, we expect that this level of accuracy for HMP will allow us to make predictions of larger systems (2-HIPP) within 100 cm⁻¹. According to Figure 5.4, CCSD (across three basis sets), MP_x, and the composite chemistry methods all satisfy this requirement. B3LYP is only able to come within this accuracy using certain basis sets

(cc-pVDZ and aug-cc-pVDZ) or the time dependent formulation TD-B3LYP. We observe that CIS and TD-HF both predict the A-X frequency too low by 400 cm⁻¹, completely unacceptable.

 $v_{15}(A)$ torsional frequency (Table 5.3, Figure 5.5) – We immediately note that nearly all of the methods tested can reproduce the v_{15} A state frequency to within 10 cm⁻¹, with the exception of HF/6-31+G(d,p). The majority of the calculated torsional frequencies are lower than the observed frequency (170 cm⁻¹). Overall, the B3LYP calculations are the most consistent and accurate (-2 cm⁻¹).

 $v_{15}(X)$ torsional frequency (Table 5.4, Figure 5.6) – In general, B3LYP (and even the associated composite method CBS-QB3) and MP2 can reproduce the v_{15} X state frequency within 10 cm⁻¹. We see more signs of cc-pVDZ being too inflexible for modeling HMP: the B3LYP/cc-pVDZ anharmonic frequency is underpredicted by 25 cm⁻¹. Meanwhile, all of the CCSD calculations overpredict the torsional frequency by 15–20 cm⁻¹.

 $v_1(X)$ torsional frequency (Table 5.5, Figure 5.7) – CCSD does an excellent job of modeling the OH stretch, reproducing the v_1 frequency within 15 cm⁻¹ across all of the basis sets used. Scaled MP2 also is quite accurate. However, the anharmonic MP2 calculations, all B3LYP calculations, and the MP4 calculations perform poorly, with predictions off by at least 40 cm⁻¹.

Looking at all of the data in Tables 5.2–5.5 and Figures 5.4–5.7, we notice that each level of theory perform differently for each spectroscopic property. Table 5.6 summarizes which methods were considered acceptable for each spectroscopic band of HMP (A-X, v_{15} A, v_{15} X, and v_1). Methods were considered acceptable if the results generally fit into the "acceptable range" (discussed above and listed in the table). There is no "perfect" method to model all four relevant bands. Nonetheless, with the exception of CIS and TD-HF calculations, reasonably accurate spectroscopic frequencies for HMP can be generated by using any of the quantum chemistry methods presented in this chapter.

Table 5.6. Summary of levels of theory that gave acceptable and unacceptable predictions of HMP spectroscopic bands

1				
Band	Expt. (Chapter	Acceptable	Acceptable levels of	Unacceptable
	4) (cm ⁻¹)	range (cm ⁻¹)	theory	levels of theory
A-X	7391	±100	B3LYP (Dunning) ^a	B3LYP (Pople) ^a
			CCSD	CIS
			MP _x	TD-HF
			TD-B3LYP	
			G1/G2	
			CBS-QB3	
			W1	
$v_{15}(A)$	170	±10	B3LYP	
			CCSD	
			MP _x	
$v_{15}(X)$	116	±10	B3LYP	CCSD
			MP _x	
ν_1	3622	±20	CCSD	B3LYP
-				MP _x

a) Dunning type basis sets yielded errors of -65 and -73 cm⁻¹. Pople type basis sets yielded errors of -119 and -209 cm⁻¹.

Electronic, Torsional, and OH Stretch Frequencies for HMP Conformer B

We now turn our attention to HMP Conformer B, the second conformer that exists in a (relatively) deep potential well. We have not detected this conformer on our CRDS apparatus. Our calculations on this conformer serve as a prediction for future spectroscopic experiments.

We summarize the calculated A-X, v_{15} (A), v_{15} (X), and v_1 frequencies in Table 5.7. We note that the A-X transition frequency for Conformer B is about 800 cm⁻¹ lower than Conformer A. This arises directly from Conformer B being higher in energy than Conformer A on the X state (600 cm⁻¹) and lower on the A state (-200 cm⁻¹), as observed in Figures 5.1–5.3. The vibrational frequencies for Conformer B are similar to Conformer A.

On the basis of Table 5.7, we estimate the A-X frequency to be $6600 \pm 50 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, v_{15} (A) to be $170 \pm 5 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, v_{15} (X) to be $105 \pm 10 \text{ cm}^{-1}$, and v_1 to be $3630 \pm 20 \text{ cm}^{-1}$.

scaled, and annamome violational nequencies reported.											
Level of	Basis	A-X,	$v_{15} A$		$\nu_{15} X$		ν_1				
Theory		scaled	(harm	n/sc/anl	narm)	(harm/sc/anharm)		(harm/sc/anharm)			
B3LYP	6-31+G(d,p)	6598	174	168	166	109	105	92	3813	3675	3617
	6-311++G(2df,2p)	6590	174	168	170	109	105	92	3820	3690	3616
	cc-pVDZ	6603	173	168	167	113	109	103	3760	3647	3567
	aug-cc-pVDZ	6697	173	168	167	108	105	99	3798	3684	3606
CCSD	6-31+G(d,p)	6635	173	162		132	124	_	3888	3632	
HF	6-31+G(d,p)	6361	179	162	174	154	139	146	4170	3766	3996
MP2(FC)	6-31+G(d,p)	6574	177	166	174	122	114	111	3868	3625	3680
MP2(Full)	6-31+G(d,p)	6574	178	167	175	123	115	112	3870	3615	3681
CIS	6-31+G(d,p)	6445						_			
TD-HF	6-31+G(d,p)	6346						_			
TD-B3LYP	6-31+G(d,p)	6548		_	_			_			
	cc-pVDZ	6607									

Table 5.7. Calculated A-X, v_{15} (OOCO torsion, A and X states), and v_1 (OH stretch) frequencies (cm⁻¹) of HMP Conformer B. A-X scaled to HO₂ (7029 cm⁻¹). Harmonic, scaled, and anharmonic vibrational frequencies reported.⁵⁴

Calculated Potential Energy Surfaces and Spectroscopic Frequencies of 2-HIPP

Finally, we extend the methods presented in this chapter to generate potential energy surfaces and to predict of the spectroscopic properties of 2-HIPP. 2-HIPP has a greater number of atoms (13 vs 7) and electrons than HMP (49 vs 33). At a given level of theory and basis, calculations on 2-HIPP will be more expensive than HMP. Because of this, we report less data for 2-HIPP than for HMP.

Figure 5.8 shows the X state potential energy surface for 2-HIPP as a function of the two dihedral angles τ_{OCOH} and τ_{OOCO} , at B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p), HF/6-31+G(d,p) MP2(FC)/6-31+G(d,p), and MP2(Full)/6-31+G(d,p). Figure 5.9 shows the A state surface of 2-HIPP at HF/6-31+G(d,p). Similar to Figures 5.1–5.3, all energies are in cm⁻¹, relative to the (τ_{OCOH} , τ_{OOCO}) = (0, 0) transition state.



Figure 5.8. 2-HIPP X State potential energy surfaces as a function of the dihedral angles τ_{OCOH} and τ_{OOCO} . Surfaces calculated at B3LYP/6-31+G(d,p) (top left), HF/6-31+G(d,p) (top right), MP2(FC)/6-31+G(d,p) (bottom left), and MP2(Full)/6-31+G(d,p) (bottom right). Energies on the potential energy surfaces are in cm⁻¹, relative to the X state C_s transition state energy.



Figure 5.9. 2-HIPP A State potential energy surface as a function of the dihedral angles τ_{OCOH} and τ_{OOCO} . Surface calculated at HF/6-31+G(d,p). Energies in cm⁻¹, relative to the X state C_s transition state energy.

Comparing the 2-HIPP surfaces (Figures 5.8 and 5.9) to HMP (Figures 5.1–5.3), we note several similar features. On the X state, there are three energy minima. The global minimum, equivalent to Conformer A, is off-axis, indicating that hydrogen bonding is leading to coupling of normal modes (although this coupling is not evident on the low-quality HF surface). The A state of 2-HIPP also has three minima. Similar to HMP, the global minimum of the A state corresponds to the equivalent of Conformer B.

The main qualitative difference between HMP and 2-HIPP are the energetics of Conformers B and C. In 2-HIPP, Conformer C is more stable than Conformer B $(-200 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ for C vs } 0 \text{ cm}^{-1} \text{ for B})$, and has a higher barrier to conformational change via the OOCO torsion (600 cm⁻¹ for C, 500 cm⁻¹ for B). In HMP, the opposite held true: Conformer B was more stable energetically and had a higher barrier to conformational change.

Table 5.8 summarizes calculated spectroscopic parameters of Conformer A of 2-HIPP: the A-X transition frequency (scaled to HO₂), v_{33} (OOCO torsion) frequency in the A and X states, and v_1 (OH stretch) frequency. These parameters were only calculated at selected levels of theory and bases due to the increased computational expense of 2-HIPP calculations compared to HMP. We note that at the most reliable levels of theory (B3LYP, G1, G2), the A-X transition is predicted to be in the range 7900–8000 cm⁻¹, well to the blue of HMP (7391 cm⁻¹). The B3LYP vibrational calculation predicts that the v_1 band should appear in a similar location as HMP (3620 cm⁻¹), red shifted from a typical alcohol due to the internal hydrogen bond.

frequencies (cm	[•]) of 2-HIPP. A-	of 2-HIPP. A-X scaled to HO_2 (7029 cm ⁻).						
Level of	Basis	A-X, scaled	v ₃₃ A	v ₃₃ X	ν_1			
Theory			(harm / sc)	(harm / sc)	(harm / sc)			
B3LYP	6-31+G(d,p)	7913	132 / 128	113 / 109	3756 / 3621			
HF	6-31+G(d,p)	7572	137 / 124	151 / 136	4168 / 3764			
CIS	6-31+G(d,p)	7195	—	_	—			
TD-HF	6-31+G(d,p)	7204	—	_	—			
TD-B3LYP	6-31+G(d,p)	7362	—	_	—			
	cc-pVDZ	7440	—	_	—			
G1	_	7877		—	—			
G2		7988						

Table 5.8. Calculated A-X, v_{33} (OOCO torsion, A and X states), and v_1 (OH stretch) frequencies (cm⁻¹) of 2-HIPP. A-X scaled to HO₂ (7029 cm⁻¹).⁵⁴

Discussion

Preliminary Thoughts on the Appropriate Level of Theory for Substituted Alkyl Peroxies

The data presented in Figures 5.2–5.8 and Tables 5.1–5.9 show that no one single method can model all aspects of HMP accurately. Nonetheless, we can make preliminary

recommendations as to what methods should be used for modeling our HO₂+carbonyl products on the basis of our HMP data.

Regarding the A-X electronic transition frequencies of HMP (Table 5.2, Figure 5.4), we note that CCSD, MPx, G2, and W1 perform similarly (within 50 cm⁻¹ of the observed value). However, the MPx surfaces (Figure 5.3) do not predict X state Conformer C to be bound, in contrast to all of the other calculated surfaces. Consequently, G2 cannot predict a transition frequency for Conformer C, since it uses an MP2 geometry for all of its energy calculations. ¹²³ At this time, we recommend CCSD or W1 for calculation of the A-X transition frequencies and potential energy surface calculations. Note that for larger systems such as 2-HIPP, these calculations will become very expensive, likely only accessible with a supercomputer. B3LYP can reproduce the qualitative features of the CCSD X and A surfaces and is much cheaper than CCSD. However, the absolute accuracy of the A-X transition suffers (±150 cm⁻¹). B3LYP is likely an appropriate starting point for larger systems before embarking on the more expensive CCSD or W1 calculations.

Regarding the low lying OOCO torsional modes, we observe that B3LYP and MP2 are able to reproduce the frequency within 10% of the observed values. CCSD overestimates the v_{15} X state significantly (15%) across all of the basis sets used. Although limited data exist at this time, we recommend B3LYP or MPx for calculation of torsional mode frequencies.

Regarding the OH stretch, only CCSD can consistently reproduce the observed frequency within 15 cm⁻¹. For B3LYP and MPx, the significant discrepancies between

the anharmonic and scaled harmonic frequencies raise questions about those methods' accuracy. We recommend only CCSD for calculation of OH stretch frequencies.

Normal Mode Coupling and its Effect on Vibrational Frequencies, Sequence Bands

The vibrational frequency analysis presented in Tables 5.2–5.5, 5.7, and 5.8 make use of the normal mode frequencies reported by Gaussian 09.¹²¹ The two torsional modes OOCO and OCOH are reported as pure torsions. However, the potential energy surfaces presented in Figures 5.1–5.3 show that the two modes should be coupled together due to the internal hydrogen bond. We therefore expect the actual vibrational modes to be mixed together, resulting in changes in the vibrational energy levels similar to HOONO (Chapter 3).

We also expect the internal hydrogen bond to affect the v₁ spectrum. We may observe the formation of sequence bands due to torsionally excited HMP breaking the internal hydrogen bond, again similar to HOONO (Chapter 3). Unlike HOONO, this effect will likely not cause intensity to be shifted to the blue of the observed v₁ band, because the frequency difference between HMP and similar alcohols is small (55 cm⁻¹, Chapter 4). Rather, we may observe significant sequence band formation to the red of the main band because the OH stretch frequency should decrease significantly as the geometry of HMP approaches the (τ_{OCOH} , τ_{OOCO}) = (0, 0) TS state, where there is even greater interaction between the OH and OO groups.

In order to fully understand how hydrogen bonding and coupling between vibrational modes affects HMP, we would have to explicitly calculate the energy levels based on the potential energy surfaces presented in this chapter. This study would be interesting and worthwhile; we have already observed slight discrepancies in the width of the simulated and observed v_1 spectra (Chapter 4). Unfortunately, such a project is outside the scope of our research, and would have to be performed in collaboration with a theorist (such as we did for the HOONO project in Chapter 3).

Conclusions

In this chapter, have modeled spectroscopic properties of we hydroxymethylperoxy (HMP) by computing the ground (X) and excited (A) state 2-dimensional potential energy surfaces as a function of the two dihedral angles $(\tau_{OCOH}, \tau_{OOCO})$, A-X electronic transition frequency, OOCO torsional frequency, and OH stretch vibrational frequency. Our potential energy surfaces reveal coupling between the OCOH and OOCO torsions on the X state (an effect of internal hydrogen bonding in HMP), but not in the A state. We locate three conformers of HMP on each surface, although the third conformer on the X state is either a shallow well or a shelf depending on the level of theory used. Our calculated electronic and vibrational frequencies are in excellent agreement with the experimentally observed HMP vibrational and electronic spectra (Chapter 4). On the basis of our results, we make the following recommendations for modeling our HO₂ + carbonyl systems: CCSD for potential energy surfaces, CCSD or W1 for modeling electronic transitions, B3LYP or MPx for torsional frequencies, and CCSD for OH stretch frequencies. We applied these methods to 2-HIPP (HO₂ + acetone) and predicted the A-X, OOCO torsion, and OH stretch frequencies. The torsion-torsion coupling observed on our X state surfaces indicates that explicit calculation of torsional energy levels may be required to accurately simulate HMP spectra.

Acknowledgements

We thank Heather L. Widgren for computing some of the G2 energies. The computations performed in this chapter were funded under NASA Upper Atmosphere Research Program Grants NAG5-11657, NNG06GD88G, and NNX09AE21G2, California Air Resources Board Contract 03-333 and 07-730, National Science Foundation CHE-0515627, and a Department of Defense National Defense Science and Engineering Graduate Fellowship (NDSEG).