

Geodynamics of Earth's Deep Mantle

Thesis by

Dan J. Bower

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy



California Institute of Technology

Pasadena, California

2012

(Defended May 1st, 2012)

© 2012

Dan J. Bower

All Rights Reserved

Geology is the study of pressure and time. That's all it takes really, pressure, and time. That, and a big goddamn poster.

—*Ellis Boyd 'Red' Redding, The Shawshank Redemption*

Acknowledgements

Embarking on an intrepid voyage of discovery to the deep Earth would not have been possible without the inspiring guidance of my Navigation Officer, Mike Gurnis. I thank you for posing thought-provoking, challenging, and diverse research questions and ensuring safe passage through uncharted waters. Whenever I felt lost at sea our discussions would invariably steer me back on course. Furthermore, I appreciate the supportive, collaborative, and vibrant research environment that you foster and your timely, focused feedback on manuscripts.

I am most grateful to Jennifer Jackson for ensuring that I did not run aground in the shallow waters of mineral physics. And Don Helmberger for instilling confidence that I would not capsize in the unpredictable waves of seismology. Additionally, thanks for your frequent reminders that “the Earth is real complicated”. The freedom to venture into these disciplines greatly broadened my depth of knowledge and ability to tackle multifaceted research problems.

My watchmen, Paul Asimow and Dave Stevenson, ensured plain sailing by maintaining a clear view of the horizon to spot uncertain weather and hazards from afar. I appreciate your measured advice, and several of your insights and suggestions feature in this Captain’s Log. Field trip leaders including Jason Saleeby, John Eiler,

Jean-Philippe Avouac, Rob Clayton, and Joann Stock, enticed me to flirt with the “shallow subsurface” (less than 670 km depth), although my heart always remained true to structure below the transition zone.

Senior explorers, Drs. Eh Tan and Daoyuan Sun, graciously provided mentorship and expertise when I was a fledgling adventurer learning the ropes. A gifted and extensive crew also offered encouragement throughout the mission: in particular, discussions with Dr. Lijun Liu, June Wicks, Aaron Wolf, and Laura Alisic uncovered common ground between my own research and other fields. My office mates and I engaged in stimulating discussions and good-spirited humor: Dr. Eun-Seo Choi, Dr. Ravi Kanda, Dr. Michelle Selvans, Zhongwen Zhan, Dongzhou Zhang, Yingdi Luo, and Chris Rollins.

The warm and positive atmosphere within the Seismological Laboratory and GPS division solidifies their reputation as truly extraordinary venues for conducting research. This environment is amplified by the thoughtful and caring staff, including Viola Carter and Dian Buchness. I thank the Computational Infrastructure for Geodynamics (CIG), GPlates developers, and collaborators at the University of Sydney, for supplying source code and datasets. I am grateful to Naveed Near-Ansari, John Lilley, Mike Black, and Scott Dungan for their exceptional administration of computing resources and rapid response to e-mail queries. Mark Turner introduced me to the power of Python and programming paradigms.

Involvement with numerous campus organizations and activities ensured that I remained on an even keel during my journey to the deep Earth. These include athletic

endeavours, dance, social and environmental activism, and student council. Through these activities I have built a global friendship circle of talented individuals who passionately champion a cause alongside their academic obligations. It is impossible to acknowledge the many friendships that enrich my life; for brevity I include the Chapmans, Matzens, Kelbers (soon to be), Dolbys (also soon to be), Steve Chemtob, and Kristin Phillips. I thank Pratyush Tiwary and Patrick Sanan for spearheading regular exoduses to mountainous terrain and the camaraderie within the Caltech Alpine Club.

Frequent radio contact with friends and family at my home port helped me to ride out stormy weather during my adventure. I am indebted to my parents and sister for always believing I could walk on water and enabling me to venture to the new world. I remain buoyed up by your love and support. Final thanks to my stowaway, Danielle Bower, for providing endless distractions en route, and for sailing into the sunset with me.

Abstract

Seismic tomography and waveform modeling reveal several prominent structures in the Earth's lower mantle: (1) the D'' discontinuity, defined by a seismic velocity increase of 1–3% about 250 km above the core-mantle boundary (CMB), (2) Ultralow-velocity zones (ULVZs), which are thin, isolated patches with anomalously low seismic wavespeed at the CMB, and (3) two large, low-shear velocity provinces (LLSVPs) beneath Africa and the Pacific Ocean. The geodynamics of these structures are investigated using numerical convection models that include new discoveries in mineral physics and recent insight from seismology. In addition, I assess the influence of an iron spin transition in a major lower mantle mineral (ferropericlase) on the style and vigor of mantle convection.

A phase change model for the D'' discontinuity produces significant thermal and phase heterogeneity over small distances due to the interaction of slabs, plumes, and a phase transition. Perturbations to seismic arrivals are linked to the evolutionary stage of slabs and plumes and can be used to determine phase boundary properties, volumetric wavespeed anomaly beneath the discontinuity, and possibly the lengthscale of slab folding near the CMB.

I simulate convection within D'' to deduce the stability and morphology of a

chemically distinct iron-enriched ULVZ. The chemical density anomaly largely dictates ULVZ shape, and the prescribed initial thickness (proxy for volume) of the chemically distinct layer controls its size. I synthesize the dynamic results with a Voigt-Reuss-Hill mixing model to provide insight into the inherent seismic trade-off between ULVZ thickness and wavespeed reduction.

The dynamics of the LLSVPs are investigated using global 3-D models of thermochemical structures that incorporate paleogeographic constraints from 250 Ma to present day. The structures deform and migrate along the CMB, either by coupling to plate motions or in response to slab stresses. Slabs from Paleo-Tethys and Tethys Ocean subduction push the African structure further to the southwest than inferred from tomography. Dense and viscous slabs can severely compromise the stability of thermochemical structures with a high bulk modulus at the CMB.

Finally, I explore the consequences of the intrinsic density change caused by the Fe^{2+} spin transition in ferropericlase on the style and vigor of mantle convection. The transition generates a net driving density difference for both upwellings and downwellings that dominantly enhances the positive thermal buoyancy of plumes in 2-D cylindrical geometry. Although the additional buoyancy does not fundamentally alter large-scale dynamics, the Nusselt number increases by 5–10%, and vertical velocities increase by 10–40% in the lower mantle. Advective heat transport is more effective and temperatures in the CMB region are reduced by up to 12%.

Contents

Acknowledgements	iv
Abstract	vii
List of Figures	xii
List of Tables	xiv
1 Introduction	1
2 Dynamic origins of seismic wavespeed variation in D''	8
2.1 Abstract	8
2.2 Introduction	9
2.3 Numerical convection models	13
2.3.1 Equations	13
2.3.2 Rheology	15
2.3.3 Model setup	16
2.3.4 Post-perovskite phase transition	17
2.4 Seismic waveform modeling	18
2.5 Results	22

2.5.1	Overview of D'' slab dynamics	22
2.5.2	Overview of seismic wavespeed anomalies	24
2.5.3	Dynamic and seismic variations	28
2.5.4	Waveform predictions	32
2.6	Discussion	39
2.7	Conclusions	41
3	A model of a solid-state ultralow-velocity zone	44
3.1	Abstract	44
3.2	Introduction	45
3.3	Numerical models	48
3.3.1	Equations and solution methods	48
3.3.2	Domain and rheology	51
3.3.3	Boundary and initial conditions	52
3.4	Results	53
3.4.1	Transient period	53
3.4.2	Steady state	54
3.5	Discussion	62
3.6	Summary and conclusions	74
4	Geodynamic consequences of the spin transition in ferropericlase	76
4.1	Abstract	76
4.2	Introduction	77
4.3	Numerical models	78

4.3.1	Spin buoyancy formulation	78
4.3.2	Model setup	79
4.3.3	Procedure	80
4.4	Results	80
4.5	Discussion and conclusions	85
5	Paleogeographically constrained dynamic Earth models	87
5.1	Abstract	87
5.2	Introduction	88
5.3	Numerical models	95
5.3.1	Governing equations	95
5.3.2	Model setup	98
5.3.3	Data assimilation	102
5.3.4	Parameter space	104
5.3.5	Initial condition	105
5.4	Results	108
5.5	Discussion	116
5.6	Conclusions	125
A	Derivation of the mineral physics derivatives	127
	Bibliography	130

List of Figures

2.1	Geotherms and reference adiabat for D'' models	21
2.2	Evolution of a slab in D''	25
2.3	P- and S-wave velocity of a slab in D''	26
2.4	D'' dynamic outcomes from parameter variation	29
2.5	S-wave velocity from parameter variation	30
2.6	Synthetic seismograms for a slab in D''	33
2.7	Synthetic seismograms from parameter variation I	34
2.8	Synthetic seismograms from parameter variation II	35
3.1	Representative behavior of a model during the transient period	55
3.2	Relief of the structures at steady state (all models)	58
3.3	Relief of the structures at steady state (subset of models)	59
3.4	Half-width of the structures at steady state	60
3.5	Aspect ratio (relief/half-width) of the structures at steady state	61
3.6	Steady-state behavior of a thin (Mg,Fe)O-containing layer	64
3.7	Voigt-Reuss-Hill wavespeed determinations and relief of structures	68
3.8	Comparison of P-wave seismic data and solid-state model prediction	71
3.9	Comparison of S-wave seismic data and solid-state model prediction	72

4.1	Snapshot from case 13 at quasi-steady state	81
4.2	Fractional change in vertical velocity and temperature	82
5.1	Seismic tomography data for the LLSVPs	90
5.2	Snapshots of the plate tectonic reconstruction	101
5.3	Progressive data assimilation example (model EX1)	103
5.4	Thermochemical extended-Boussinesq reference model (EX1)	107
5.5	Thermochemical domes and thermal plumes (EX1)	109
5.6	Unstable domes at the core-mantle boundary (BO1)	111
5.7	Model comparison of assimilation (BO2) and kinematic only (BO3)	112
5.8	Thermochemical Boussinesq reference model (BO5)	113
5.9	Motion and deformation of the domes	117
5.10	3-D view of the domes (BO5)	120
5.11	Radial profiles for viscosity, sinking speed, and age-depth relation	123

List of Tables

2.1	Generic model parameters for D'' slabs	19
2.2	Model specific parameters for D'' slabs	19
3.1	ULVZ model parameters	50
4.1	Input and output characteristics of the models with spin buoyancy. . .	83
5.1	Generic geodynamic parameters for thermochemical structures	97
5.2	Model-specific parameters for thermochemical structures	105

Chapter 1

Introduction

Unveiling the nature of the deep Earth demands a multidisciplinary approach and there are exciting new research directions at the intersection of mineral physics, seismology, and geodynamics. Seismic methods provide the most comprehensive sampling of the mantle and constrain wavespeeds, the sharpness of features, and the geographical distribution of heterogeneity. The dominant lower mantle minerals are aluminous ferromagnesian silicate perovskite, $(\text{Mg,Fe,Al})(\text{Si,Al})\text{O}_3$, and magnesium iron oxide, $(\text{Mg,Fe})\text{O}$, which occupy about 75 and 15 volume percent of the lower mantle, respectively (*Ringwood*, 1991). Recent advancements in mineral physics, notably diamond anvil cell technology, are enabling experimentalists to determine the wavespeeds and material properties of these phases to compare with seismic and dynamic inferences. New discoveries in the behavior of $(\text{Mg,Fe})\text{SiO}_3$ and $(\text{Mg,Fe})\text{O}$ at extreme conditions motivate the research presented in three chapters of this thesis. Convection calculations elucidate how mass and heat transfer influence the morphology, stability, and longevity of structures in the mantle.

The core-mantle boundary (CMB) (3300–4400 K) separates the liquid iron-rich outer core from the solid silicate mantle at ~ 2890 km depth (e.g., *Lay et al.*, 2008).

This interface defines the largest contrast in material and dynamic properties in the Earth. The high viscosity subsolidus lower mantle deforms by slow, creeping flow (~ 1 cm/yr) (e.g., *Davies*, 1999), whereas the inviscid outer core is rapidly convecting (~ 1 mm/s) (e.g., *Glatzmaier and Roberts*, 1995). Heat transfer across the CMB occurs predominantly by conduction and mass exchange is negligible. Total heat flow from the core to the mantle controls the power available to drive the geodynamo, the cooling rate of the core, and the growth rate of the inner core. The outer core boundary behaves as an isothermal free-slip surface to mantle convection because of the vastly different timescales associated with core and mantle dynamics.

Seismic waveform modeling elucidates the fine-scale features of the CMB region through the analyses of wavetrains that arrive before or after well-identified reference phases on seismograms. These wavetrains are sometimes visible on individual seismograms or may require data stacking to increase the signal-to-noise ratio. Arrivals with neighboring raypaths can be analyzed together to eliminate source dependency and constrain mantle heterogeneity along a particular segment of a propagation path. Waveform modeling can often distinguish between volumetric heterogeneity and sharp seismic gradients and has proven instrumental in shaping our view of the CMB region.

Lay and Helmberger (1983) discovered the D'' discontinuity, a seismic interface characterized by a shear velocity increase of 1–3% approximately 250 km above the CMB. The boundary is inferred from an extra phase, known as SdS, that arrives between the direct S-wave, S, and the core-reflected S-wave, ScS, at post-critical distances. SdS turns in the higher velocity layer below the discontinuity, which produces

a triplication in seismic data. Seismologists identify the triplication beneath regions of inferred paleosubduction including Alaska, the Caribbean, Central America, India, and Siberia. Detections beneath the seismically slow central Pacific are contrary to this trend and may suggest that the discontinuity height above the CMB or velocity increase are modulated by composition.

There are three probable explanations for the discontinuity, which are not necessarily mutually exclusive: phase change, thermal heterogeneity, or a chemical boundary. A phase change best explains the seismic data (*Sidorin et al.*, 1998). Contrary to observations, thermal heterogeneity alone does not produce a strong SdS arrival, and a pre-existing chemical layer is pushed away from downwelling regions. Subsequent experimental and theoretical verification of a phase transition in MgSiO_3 from silicate perovskite, the major phase of the lower mantle, to a new phase, termed “post-perovskite”, supports the phase change interpretation (*Murakami et al.*, 2004; *Oganov and Ono*, 2004). Furthermore, a “double crossing” of the phase boundary may explain neighboring seismic discontinuities (*Hernlund et al.*, 2005).

In Chapter 2 I investigate the fine-scale interaction of a slab as it descends through the phase transition and perturbs the thermal boundary layer at the CMB. The temperature anomalies of slabs and plumes elevate and suppress the phase boundary, respectively. I elucidate the expected perturbations to temperature and phase that map to variations in seismic structure and produce waveform complexity in synthetic seismograms. Modern three-component broadband seismic arrays such as USArray will provide data to compare with the predictions.

Ultralow-velocity zones (ULVZs) are thin (< 100 km), isolated patches with anomalously low seismic wavespeed (reductions of ~ 10 – 30%) at the CMB originally detected beneath the western Pacific but since discovered in other regions. They were identified by the late arrival of the seismic phase SPdKS relative to SKS for distances between 105 and 120 degrees. SKS travels through the mantle and outer core and SPdKS follows a similar path except for a short diffracted segment (Pd) along the CMB. Waveform modeling using differential travel time and amplitude therefore constrains the P-wave velocity for this segment. Additionally, precursors and postcursors to core-reflected phases (PcP, ScP, ScS) can potentially constrain the P and S wavespeed, thickness, and density of ULVZs, although data stacking is required to enhance the signal-to-noise ratio.

Iron enrichment of solid phases, specifically the increase in $\text{Fe}/(\text{Fe}+\text{Mg})$ ratio, can simultaneously increase density and reduce compressional and shear velocity (e.g., *Karato and Karki, 2001*). This partly inspired the notion of solid, iron-rich ULVZs, such as a metal-bearing layer (*Knittle and Jeanloz, 1991; Manga and Jeanloz, 1996*), subducted banded iron formations (*Dobson and Brodholt, 2005*), or iron-enriched post-perovskite (*Mao et al., 2006; Stackhouse and Brodholt, 2008*). Iron-rich systems are typically denser than the surrounding mantle, which is required to explain the locations of ULVZs at the base of the mantle.

Recent high-pressure experiments have uncovered very low sound velocities in iron-rich $(\text{Mg,Fe})\text{O}$ that could explain the origin of some ULVZs (*Wicks et al., 2010*). I explore this hypothesis in Chapter 3 by developing a thermochemical convection model

of a solid-state ULVZ that contains a small volume fraction of iron-rich (Mg,Fe)O. A mineral physics mixing model combines the material properties for the oxide phase and ambient material using select chemical partitioning models to determine the thermoelastic parameters of the assemblage. The model satisfies current seismic modeling constraints.

The behavior of (Mg,Fe)O at high pressure and temperature conditions also motivates the study presented in Chapter 4. A high-spin (four unpaired d electrons) to low-spin (no unpaired d electrons) electronic transition of ferrous iron in an octahedral local environment increases the density of (Mg,Fe)O ferropericlase by 2–4% at mid-lower mantle pressure around 50 GPa (*Sturhahn et al.*, 2005; *Badro et al.*, 2003). This transformation occurs over a pressure range that is small at ambient temperature (~ 300 K) and broad at high temperature (~ 3000 K). The spin transition is continuous along a lower mantle geotherm (*Sturhahn et al.*, 2005; *Tsuchiya et al.*, 2006). However, downwellings and upwellings may generate substantial temperature anomalies in the mantle, so that convective flow may be modified by buoyancy forces arising through the spin-state of the material.

I investigate how the spin transition in (Mg,Fe)O impacts the large-scale style and vigor of mantle convection in Chapter 4. The body-force due to the spin-state of the material is included in the momentum equation. To determine the influence of the spin transition I observe the pattern of convection, time average heat flux, and time average depth profiles for the horizontally averaged temperature and RMS vertical velocity.

Seismic tomography reveals two antipodal large, low-shear velocity provinces (LLSVPs) at the base of the mantle beneath the Pacific Ocean and Africa. The circum-Pacific belt of fast material is attributed to relic slabs from paleosubduction (*Richards and Engebretson, 1992*). The LLSVPs occupy approximately 20% of the surface area of the CMB and contain about 1.6 vol. % and 1.9 mass % of the mantle (e.g., *Burke et al., 2008*). *Ni and Helmberger (2003a)* model the 3-D geometry of the African LLSVP as a ridge-like structure approximately 1200 km high and 1000 km wide that extends 7000 km from central Africa to the Indian Ocean. The Pacific LLSVP may be divided into a western province that is 1000 km wide and rises 740 km above the CMB and an eastern section that is 1800 km wide and 340–650 km high (*He and Wen, 2009*).

A thermochemical origin is necessary to explain anti-correlated shear wave and bulk sound velocity anomalies (*Su and Dziewonski, 1997; Masters et al., 2000*), putative anti-correlated shear wave and density anomalies (*Ishii and Tromp, 1999, 2004*), multipathing for waves sampling its steep edges (*Ni et al., 2002*), and geological inferences of stability over 200–300 Myr (*Burke and Torvik, 2004*). These observations are suggestive of a material with a higher bulk modulus and higher zero-pressure density than ambient mantle (*Tan and Gurnis, 2005*). Furthermore, surface plate history influences the morphology and location of the LLSVPs (*McNamara and Zhong, 2005*).

In Chapter 5 I investigate the response of high bulk modulus structures in the lower mantle to evolving surface tectonics from 250 Ma to present day. A novel time-dependent thermal and kinematic boundary condition is derived from a high-

resolution plate history model that encodes global plate motions and paleosubduction locations. These paleogeographic constraints are incorporated into 3-D spherical convection models with a high bulk modulus material with a higher zero-pressure density than ambient mantle.