FINITE OVA

THESIS

bу

A.R. Poole

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy,
California Institute of Technology,
Pasadena, California,
1935.

FINITE OVA

Introduction.

In this thesis systems consisting of a finite number of elements and one binary commutative associative rule of combination are considered. Such systems are called ova. The distinctness of ova is first discussed. The elements of ova are then classified according to their behavior when raised to powers. A necessary and sufficient condition that an ovum have no associate elements is found, and ova having no associate elements are discussed in detail. A necessary and sufficient condition that an ovum be a finite Abelian group is also found. All the distinct ova of orders 2,3,4, have been computed and are listed in the course of the paper. There are 3 distinct ova of order 2, 12 of order3, and 56 of order 4. All concepts introduced in the discussion are illustrated in these ova.

Before passing to the aetailed development of the theory I wish here to express my thanks to Professor E. T. Bell for his helpful suggestions in the course of the preparation of this thesis.

FINITE COMMUTATIVE ASSOCIATIVE MARK OVA

The concepts assumed for a finite mark ovum are:

1. A finite set U of distinct marks (u, u, u, ..., u,).

2. A binary rule of combination which to each double mark u, u, formed from any ordered pair of marks of U, and to each mark u, formed from any mark of U, associates or makes correspond a unique mark of U.

We call the rule of combination multiplication. If to u, u, is associated u, we write u, u, = u, or u, = u, u, .

We say that u, is equal to the product of u, and u, in that order. If to u, is associated u, we write u, = u, or u, = u, and say that u, is equal to the product of u, by itself, or to u, squared.

Having thus by postulation established the possibility of forming the product of ordered pairs of elements of U, and the product of an element of U by itself, we define the mark u; (u, u,) to be the product of u; by the product of u, and u, .We define the mark u; u, to be the product of the product of u; by itself by u, . In a similar manner we define the marks u; (u, u,) and (u, u,)u, .

Thus we establish a correspondence between the compound marks of the above forms and the marks of U. If two of these compound marks correspond to the same mark of U. we say that they are equal to one another, and conversely equality of such marks only has this significance.

For a finite commutative associative mark ovum we further postulate:

u;(u,u,)=(u,u,)u,.

From 3, 4, and 5 it follows * that in a finite commutative associative ovum we can form the product of any number $r \le n$ of marks (u_1, \ldots, u_n) of U, any integral power p of a mark u_1 of U, and the product of integral powers a_1, \ldots, a_n , of marks u_1, \ldots, u_n , $q \le n$, of U, and that these products will be unique and will depend only on the elements which occur and the powers to which they occur, and not on the order in which the products are formed. We use the marks u_1, \dots, u_n , u_1, \dots, u_n , and u_1, \dots, u_n to indicate these respective products.

We thus have a correspondence between any compound mark of the form $u_1^{(2)} \dots u_{n-1}^{(d)}$, $(q \le n)$, where a_1, \dots, a_n are integers, and the marks of U. If such a compound mark corresponds to u_k , we write $u_1^{(2)} \dots u_{n-1}^{(d)} = u_k$. Two such compound marks are said to be equal when and only when they correspond to the same mark of U.

The set of marks (u_1, u_2, \ldots, u_n) of a finite mark ovum will be called the mark set of the ovum. The number of marks in the set will be called the order of the ovum, and the marks will be called the elements of the ovum.

Concept 2 implies that for every finite mark ovum there are n relations giving products u_{\cdot} , and n(n-1) relations giving products $u_{\cdot}u_{\cdot}$. These n^2 relations will be called the multiplication table of the ovum.

* Van der Waerden, modeune Algebra p. 20-22.

A finite mark ovum is , then, completely determined by its mark set and its multiplication table. It can be conveniently pictured as a square matrix of order n, where the element in the ith row and jth column is equal to the product u, u, and the diagonal elements are equal to the squares of the elements of the ovum. It must be remembered, however, that by the multiplication table of the ovum we mean the n² relations mentioned above, and not the square matrix itself.

SIMPLE ISOMORPHISMS OF MARK OVA.

Two mark ova which have the same mark set will be said to be simply isomorphic to each other if and only if the multiplication table of one can be obtained from that of the other by a permutation of the elements of the mark set.

Example: The ove A
$$u_{_{/}}u_{_{/}}u_{_{/}}u_{_{/}}u_{_{/}}$$
 and B $u_{_{/}}u_{_/}$

are simply isomorphic to one another. The substitution taking the multiplication table of A into that of B is $\begin{pmatrix} u_{_{1}}u_{_{2}}u_{_{3}}u_{_{4}}\\ u_{_{1}}u_{_{3}}u_{_{4}}\end{pmatrix}$ or, written as a permutation on the subscripts, is (234).

Two mark ova of order n with different mark sets (u_1, u_2, \dots, u_n) and (v_1, v_2, \dots, v_n) will be said to be simply isomorphic if when every v_i is replaced by u_i

(i=1,...,n,) the two mark ova with the set u, ,...,u, are simply isomorphic. Mark ova which are not simply isomorphic will be said to be distinct.

In this discussion we will henceforth be treating only finite commutative associative mark ova, and will for brevity designate such systems merely as ova. It is evident that due to the commutative property of the ova the matrices representing them will be symmetric.

POWERS OF ELEMENTS IN OVA.

Consider the chain of elements obtained by taking successive powers $u_1, u_2, u_3, \dots, u_n, \dots$ of an element of an ovum. Due to the finite order of the ovum the successive powers can not be all distinct. Let the p^{th} power be the first one which gives an element previously occurring in the chain, and suppose $u_{i}^{r} = u_{i}^{r}$. Let p-r=s, so that we have $u_{i}^{r} = u_{i}^{r}$. There are four possibilities: 1. r=1 s=1

2. r > 1 s = 1

3. r=1 s>1

4. r>1 s>1

Idempotent Elements.

If u; is an element which comes under case 1 above,

then up will be called an idempotent element. Evidently

then all powers of u; are equal to u;.

Elements of Type a.

If u; is an element which comes under case 2 above, we shall call u; an element of type a.

We have u; u; u; ...,u; , distinct and u; = u;.

Hence all powers greater than r of u; are equal to u;.

The integer r will be called the index of the element u; and if u; = u, we shall call u, the index element of u;. Since u, = u; = u, u, is an idempotent element. Moreover it is the only idempotent element occurring in the chain of powers of u;.

For, let t be any integer less than r and let

$$u_{i}^{t} = u_{j}$$
.

Then

$$u_f^s = u_A$$

for all integers s for which s $t \ge r$. Let s, be the least s for which s $t \ge r$. Then s,>1 and

$$u_j, u_j^2, \dots, u_j^{s-1}$$

are distinct and all higher powers of u_{ℓ} are equal to u_{ℓ} . So u_{ℓ} is an element of type a with index element u_{ℓ} . Hence we see that if u_{ℓ} is an element of type a of index r and index element u_{ℓ} , then u_{ℓ} $(t=1,\ldots,r-1)$ are elements of type a with index element u_{ℓ} and indices less than r.

Elements of type b.

If u; is an element which comes under case 3 above,

u. will be called an element of type b. We then have

distinct, and

$$u_{\perp}^{S+/} = u_{\perp}$$

We shall call s the period of the element u; $\mathbf{u}_{\cdot}^{s+t} = \mathbf{u}_{\cdot}^{t} \quad (t \ge 1),$ Evidently

and in particular

so we see that u; is an idempotent element.

If $u_{\mathcal{L}}^{\mathcal{S}} = u_{\mathcal{B}}$, we shall call $u_{\mathcal{R}}$ the period element of $u_{\mathcal{L}}$. We show that ug is the only idempotent element occurring in the chain of powers of u; .

For if $h \le s/2$, u_*^k can not be an idempotent element, since $u_{j}, u_{j}^{2}, \dots, u_{s}^{s}$ are distinct. If s > h > s/2, and u is an idempotent element, then

$$u_{i} = u_{i}$$

But since

80

$$u = u$$

u = u.

However, 2h-s < h and so u precedes u in the chain of powers and so can not equal u. Hence we have a contradiction, so that u, h < s can not be an idempotent element.

For p > s, if p is not a multiple of s we have p = st + q, q∠s and

$$\mathbf{u}_{i}^{p} = \mathbf{u}_{i}^{st_{+}q} = \mathbf{u}_{i}^{q}$$

Hence u is not an idempotent element.

If p > s and p is a multiple of s, say p = ts

we have
$$u_{i}^{p} = u_{i}^{ts} = u_{k}$$

Hence $u_{\mathcal{A}}$ is the only idempotent element in the chain of powers of $u_{\mathcal{A}}$.

Now let t < s and let

$$\mathbf{u}_{:}^{t} = \mathbf{u}_{f}$$

Let h be an integer such that sh is a multiple of t.

Then if k = 1 + sh/t we have

$$u_{t}^{k} = u_{i}^{t+sh} = u_{i}^{t} = u_{f}^{t}$$

and since u is not an idempotent element it must be an element of type b.

Also
$$u_{f}^{\ell-\ell} = u_{f}^{sh} = u_{f}^{sh}$$

Hence every element u_{\perp} , t < s, is an element of type b and has the same period element u as u_{\perp} .

Elements of Type c.

If u is an element which comes under case 4 of the above, we call u an element of type c.

$$u_i, u_i^2, \dots, u_i^{t+s-t}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{\perp}^{++5} = \mathbf{u}_{\perp}^{+}$$

whence for an integer $p \ge r$ and h any integer

We will call ${\bf r}$ the index and ${\bf s}$ the period of the element ${\bf u}_{i,\bullet}$

The elements u_1, u_2, \dots, u_{j-1} form the unrepeated part of

the chain of powers of u_i , the elements u_i^{r+s-j} form the repeated part of the chain.

Let m be the least integer such that $ms \ge r$.

Then
$$(u_i^m)^2 = u_i^{s+ms} = u_i$$

then u_{μ} will be called the period element of u_{μ} . We show that u, is the only idempotent element occurris ing in the chain of powers of u; .

 $\mathbf{u}_{i}^{t} = \mathbf{u}_{f}$ Let t be any integer less than r and let Then since $\mathbf{u}_{_{\mathcal{T}}}$ occurs in the unrepeated part of the chain of u, u cannot be an idempotent element or an element of type b. Hence it must be a type a or a type c element and it is easy to see that it is a type a element if and only if s is a divisor of t.

Now let t be an integer such that $r \ge t < r + s$ and let t not be a multiple of s. If $u_{\perp} = u_{\perp}^{x}$ $\mathbf{u}_{j}^{s+\prime} = \mathbf{u}_{i}^{ts+t} = \mathbf{u}_{i}^{t} = \mathbf{u}_{j}$ So u_{γ} is either an idempotent element of a type b element.

If now u_{j} were an idempotent element we would have $u_{j}^{2t} = u_{j}^{t}$

whence 2t = t + ks where k is some integer, or t = ks. But this is in contradiction to the fact that t was not a multiple of s. So up is a type b element.

We thus see that the chain of powers of an element of

type c, index r and period s consists of an unrepeated part of r-l elements of type a or of type c, and a repeated part of s elements, s-l of which are type b elements and one of which is an idempotent element.

From considerations of powers of non-idempotent elements in an ovum we can immediately state

THEOREM I. Any ovum contains at least one idempotent element.

DEFINITIONS.

At this stage we find it convenient to introduce the following terms and concepts.

The idempotent element of a non-idempotent element.

If u is a non-idempotent element it has been shown that in the chain of powers of u there occurs one and only one idempotent element, say u . The idempotent element u will be called the idempotent element of u .

Sub ovum.

Suppose S is a sub-set of r elements of the mark-set U of an ovum O of degree n, $r \le n$, and suppose the product of every pair of elements of S and the square of every element of S as determined by O is an element of S. Then multiplication is defined for S, and this multiplication is evidently commutative and

associative. The set S together with the multiplication relations forth the elements of S form an ovum P of order r. The ovum P is said to be a sub-ovum of O of order r.

Zero Element.

If an ovum contains an idempotent element u; such ui u = ui

for every other element u in the ovum, the element u is called a zero element of the ovum. There is evidently not more than one zero element in an ovum.

Identity Element.

If an ovum contains an idempotent element u; such that u; u = u

for every other element u, in the ovum, u; is called an identity element of the ovum. There is evidently not more than one identity element in an ovum.

Divisor of an Element.

Let u and u be any two elements of an ovum.

$$\mathbf{If} \qquad \mathbf{u}_{i} = \mathbf{u}_{i} \mathbf{u} \qquad (1)$$

If
$$u_{i} = u_{i} u_{j}$$
 (1) or if $u_{i} = u_{j}$ (2)

or if there exists in the ovum a third element u such that

u: = u, u, then \mathbf{u}_{\neq} is said to divide or to be a divisor of \mathbf{u}_{\perp}

and we write uy ui

If no such relations as (1),(2),or (3) exist between

u; and u we say that u does not divide u; and write

$$\begin{array}{ccc}
\mathbf{u}_{1} & \mathbf{u}_{2} \\
\mathbf{u}_{2} & \mathbf{u}_{3}
\end{array} \tag{4}$$

or if there exists in the ovum an element u, such that

$$\mathbf{u}_{j} = \mathbf{u}_{j} \quad \mathbf{u}_{j} \tag{5}$$

the element u_{\perp} is said to divide itself and we write $u_{\perp} \mid u_{\perp}$

If u_i is non-idempotent and no relation such as (5) holds for u_i , u_i is said to not divide itself and we write $u_i + u_i$

Proper Divisor.

If u_{\perp} and u_{\parallel} are any two elements of an ovum such that u_{\parallel}/u_{\perp} but u_{\parallel}/u_{\perp} , then u_{\parallel} is said to be a proper divisor of u_{\perp} .

Irreducible Elements.

If an element u of an ovum has no proper divisors other than the identity element of the ovum (if one exists) u is said to be an irreducible element, otherwise a reducible element.

Associate Elements.

If u_i and u_j are any two elements of an ovum and if $u_j \mid u_i$ and $u_i \mid u_j$, the elements u_i and u_j are said to be associated to one another and we write $u_i \sim u_j$

Evidently if
$$u_i \sim u_k$$
 and $u_k \sim u_p$ then $u_i \sim u_p$

We extend the use of the symbol \sim so that $u_i \sim u_j$ will mean u_i / u_j . We reserve the word "associated" for pairs of elements only.

Reduced Ovum.

An ovum in which no pair of elements are associated to one another is called a reduced ovum. Evidently in a reduced ovum every divisor of an element, which is not that element itself, is a proper divisor of that element.

HOMOMORPHISMS IN OVA CONTAINING NON IDEMPOTENT ELEMENTS

In an ovum which contains at least one non-idempotent element, consider the correspondence formed by letting each idempotent element correspond to itself and each non-idempotent element correspond to its idempotent element. We see that such a correspondence is preserved under multiplication. For if u and u are two non-idempotent elements having the idempotent elements u and u respectively, then u is either equal to the idempotent element u u or is a non-idempotent element whose idempotent element is u u. For, for some integers r and s

$$u_{i}^{\dagger} = u_{k}$$

$$u_{j}^{\dagger} = u_{m}$$

$$so \qquad (u_{i}u_{j})^{\dagger s} = u_{i}u_{j}^{\dagger s} = u_{k}u_{m}.$$

If u and u both have u as their idempotent element, evidently u u has u as its idempotent element or else is equal to the idempotent element u . Similarly, if u is an

^{*} Van der Waerden, Moderne Algebra, page 32.

idempotent element, u, u, either equals u, u, or is a non-idempotent element having u, u, as its idempotent element, and u, u, either equals u, or has u, as its idempotent element.

ment.

We have therefore

THEOREM 2. Any ovum which contains at least one non-idempotent element is homomorphic to the sub-ovam formed by its idempotent elements.

ASSOCIATE ELEMENTS IN OVA.

THEOREM 3. In any ovum no two idempotent elements can be associated.

Let u; and u be two idempotent elements. Suppose u; and u are associated. Then

$$u_{\perp} \mid u_{\uparrow}$$
 (1)

and

$$u_{\perp} | u_{\dot{\perp}}$$
 (2)

From (1) there must exist in the ovum an element whose product with u; is equal to u, . This element is evidently not u; itself, so we either have

$$\mathbf{u}_{i}\mathbf{u}_{j}=\mathbf{u}_{j} \tag{3}$$

or there exists a third element u_{ℓ} in the ovum such that $u_{\ell}u_{\ell}=u_{\ell}$ (4)

However, if we multiply both sides of (4) by u we immediately get (3), so we see that (1) implies(3). Similarly (2) implies

$$\mathbf{u}_{i}\mathbf{u}_{j}=\mathbf{u}_{i} \tag{5}$$

But (3) and (5) are contradictory, so the theorem follows.

THEOREM 4. In any ovum no two non-idempotent elements which have not the same idempotent element can be associated.

For, if u and u are two non-idempotent elements whose idempotent elements are u and u respectively and if r and s are integers such that

$$u_{i}^{r} = u_{k}$$
 $u_{j}^{r} = u_{m}$

then $u_i \mid u_i$ and $u_j \mid u_i$ imply that $u_i \mid u_j$ and $u_j \mid u_i$. Hence if u_i and u_j are associated, it follows that the idempotent elements u_j and u_j are associated, which is impossible by theorem 3.

THEOREM 5. In any ovum a non-idempotemt element can not be associated to an idempotent element which is not its idempotent element.

The proof of this theorem is similar to that of theorem 4.

THEOREM 6. In any ovum, no type a or type c element can be associated to its idempotent element, but every type b element is associated to its idempotent element.

Let u; be a non-idempotent element and let u, be its idempotent element. Then if

$$\mathbf{u}_{k} | \mathbf{u}_{i}$$
 (1)

we have either

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{u}}\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{z}}=\mathbf{u}_{\mathbf{z}} \tag{2}$$

or there exists a third element u_m in the ovum such that $u_n u = u_n$ (3)

Multiplying (3) by $u_{\mathcal{A}}$ however immediately gives (20, so (1) implies (2).

Now if u is a type a element of index r, u is its index element and we have

$$u_{i} = u_{k}$$

$$u_{i} u_{k} = u_{i}^{++} = u_{k}$$

$$(4)$$

If u is a type c element, u is its period element and we know that u u is equal to a type b element, as it equals a non-idempotent element in the repeated part of the chain of u:.

So, (1) is impossible if u is either a type a or a type c element.

However, if u is a type b element, with period r, u is its period element so that

$$u_{i} = u_{k} \tag{5}$$

whence

and we see that (1) holds. Moreo ver from (5)

$$\mathbf{u}_{i} \mid \mathbf{u}_{k}$$
 (6)

and from (1) and (6) $u_i \sim u_k$.

THEOREM 7. In any ovum no type a or type c element can be associated to an idempotent element or to an element of type b.

This follows immediately on combining theorems 5,6.

THEOREM 8. In any ovum, two type b elements are associated

if and only if they have the same period element.

This follows on combining theorems 4,6.

THEOREM 9. In any ovum, two type a elements, two type c elements, or an element of type a and an ele-

ment of type c, can not be associated if they do not have the same index.

Let u; and u be two elements, either of which is either an element of type a or an element of type c, with different indices r; and r respectively.

Assume $u_{1} \sim u_{1}$ (1)
Then $u_{1} \sim u_{2}$ (2)
and $u_{1} \sim u_{2}$ (3)

First we consider the case in which u_i and u_j are both type a elements. If $r_i < r_j$, u_i is an idempotent element, while u_j is a type a element, so that (2) is in contradiction to theorem 7. If $r_j < r_i$ we find similarly that (3) is in contradiction to theorem 7.

Secondly, let u_i and u_j both be elements of type c. Then if $r_i < r_j$, u_i^* is a type b element or an idempotent element while u_j^* is a type a or a type c element. Again, then, (2) contradicts theorem 7. Similarly if $r_j < r_i$ we arrive at a contradiction.

Thirdly, If u_i is a type a element and u_j is a type c element and if $r_i < r_j$, $u_i^{(j)}$ is an idempotent element while $u_j^{(j)}$ is a type a or a type c element. If $r_j < r_i$, $u_i^{(j)}$ is a type a element, while $u_j^{(j)}$ is a type b element or an idempotent element. Again theorem 7 is contradicted in either case by (2) and (3) respectively.

Thus in all cases (1) leads to contradictions, so we conclude that u_{\downarrow} can not be associated to u_{\downarrow} .

OVA OF TYPE I.

We now discuss properties of those ova which contain only elements of certain of the four possible types, and first consider those elements which contain no elements of type b and no elements of type c. Such ova will be called ova of type I. An ovum of type I, then, may consist only of idempotent elements, or it may have idempotent elements and elements of type a. A reduced ovum is easily seen to be an ovum of type I *. Conversely we now prove

THEOREM 10. Every type I ovum is a reduced ovum.

From theorems 3,4,7,9, it is seen that the theorem will follow if we show that in an ovum of type I, no two type a elements which have the same index element, and the same index, can be associated.

To do this we make use of the following lemmas:

LEMMA 1. In any ovum, if u; is a type a element with index element u, and u is another idempotent element such that u,u = u (a)

element such that
$$u_{\underline{a}}u_{\underline{m}} = u_{\underline{m}}$$
 (a)
then $u_{\underline{a}}u_{\underline{m}} = u_{\underline{m}}$ (b)

For, from properties of a type a element

$$u_i u_i = u_i$$
 (c)

Multiplying both sides of (c) by u and using (a) immediately gives (b).

LEMMA 2. In any ovum, if u and u are two type a elements with the same index element u and the same index, then none of the relations

 $^{^{}st}$ A. Clifford, Thesis for Ph.D. Degree, C.I.T., 1933.

$$u_i u_k = u_i$$
 (a)

$$u_i u_k = u_k$$
 (b)

$$u_{i} u_{j} = u_{j}$$
 (c)
 $u_{i}^{2} = u_{j}$ (d)

$$u_{i}^{2} = u_{f} \qquad (d)$$

is possible.

From properties of a type a element

so that (a) and (b) are false.

Let r be the index of u_i and of u_j . If r=2, then $u_i = u_1 = u_2$

Assuming that (c) holds and multiplying both sides of (c) by u; gives

$$u_{\downarrow}u_{\downarrow}=u_{\downarrow}u_{\downarrow}=u_{\downarrow}$$
 (e)

which contradicts (c), so that (c) can not hold if r equals 2.

If r>2 and we assume that (c) holds, we get

$$\mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u} = \mathbf{u}$$
 (f)

But u_i and u_f for r > 2 are type a elements of index 2, and so (f) is not possible, by what we have just shown above. Hence (c) does not hold for any value of r.

Relation (d) implies that the index of u; is less than that of u which is contrary to hypothesis.

To return to the main theorem, let u and u be two type a elements with index element u and index r, in an ovum 0 of type I.

Then
$$u_i u_{k} = u_{k}$$
 (1)

$$u_1 u_2 = u_2$$
 (2)

Assume
$$u_{i} \sim u_{j}$$
 (3)

Then there exists in 0 an element whose product with $u_{:}$ is equal to $u_{:}$, and by lemma 2 this element is neither $u_{:}$, $u_{:}$, nor $u_{:}$. There must therefore exist in 0 another element, say $u_{:}$, which is such that $u_{:}$ $u_{$

From (3) there must also exist in 0 an element whose product with u_j is equal to u_i . From lemma 2 this element is neither u_i , u_j , nor u_k . We show that it cannot be u_k .

Assume
$$u_{\sharp} u_{\varrho} = u_{\sharp}$$
 (5)

and combine this with (4) and we have

$$u_{\mathcal{J}} u_{\ell}^{2} = u_{\mathcal{J}} \tag{6}$$

$$u_{i} u_{\ell}^{2} = u_{i} \qquad (7)$$

Now, $u_{\rho}^2 = u_{\rho}$

implies from (6) $u_j u_e = u_j$

in contradiction to (5), so that u_{ℓ} can not equal u_{ℓ} . From lemma 2 and (6) and (7) it follows that u_{ℓ} can not equal any of u_{ℓ} , u_{ℓ} , or u_{ℓ} . Hence if (5) holds 0 must contain another element u_{ℓ} such that

$$u_{\ell} = u_{m}$$
 (8)

$$u_{j} u_{m} = u_{j}$$
 (9)

$$\mathbf{u}_{\perp} \mathbf{u}_{m}^{2} \mathbf{u}_{\perp} \tag{10}$$

From (8) u_m is either the index element of u_ℓ or is a type a element having the same index element as u_ℓ . Hence, for s sufficiently large ($s \ge 1$ if u_m is idempotent, $s \ge t$ where t is the index of u_m if u_m .

is a type a element)

$$u_{\ell} u_{m}^{s} = u_{m} \tag{11}$$

From (9) and (10) we have

$$u_{j}u_{m}^{s}=u_{j}u_{m}^{s-1}$$
 ... $u_{j}u_{m}=u_{j}$ (12)

and

$$u_{i} u_{m}^{s} = u_{i} u_{m}^{s-1} \dots u_{i} u_{m}^{s} = u_{i}$$
 (13)

Multiplying both sides of (4) by u_m^s gives

which on employing (11) and (12) gives

in contradiction to (13).

Thus (5) is impossible, so 0 must contain besides u_i , u_i , u_i , an element u_i which is such that

$$u_{\chi} u_{n} = u_{\dot{\chi}}$$
 (14)

From (4) and (14)

$$u_n u_l = u_{pr} \tag{15}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{\cdot} \mathbf{u}_{\cdot} = \mathbf{u}_{\cdot} \tag{16}$$

$$u_j u_j = u_j$$
 (17)

We show now that of the three elements \mathbf{y}_{ℓ} , \mathbf{u}_{m} , and \mathbf{u}_{p} , no one can be the index element of any other, no pair of them can have the same index element, and no one of them has index element \mathbf{u}_{p} .

For if u_{μ} is the index element of u_{μ} , or if u_{μ} is the index element of u_{μ} , or if u_{μ} and u_{μ} both have the same index element, for t sufficiently large, ($t \ge 1$ if u_{μ} is an idempotent element, t greater than or equal to the index of u_{μ} if u_{μ} is a type a element)

$$u_n u_p = u_p \tag{18}$$

Moreover from (16) and (17) we have

$$\mathbf{u}_{\dot{z}} \quad \mathbf{u}_{\dot{z}}^{\dot{z}} = \mathbf{u}_{\dot{z}} \tag{19}$$

$$u \quad u \quad v = u \qquad (20)$$

and from (14)

which using (18) and (19) gives

in contradiction to (20).

Similarly u can not be the index element of u .ue can not be the index element of u . and u and u can not have the same index element.

Also, if u and u had the same index element, u would be that index element or would have the same index element by (15), in contradiction to what we have just shown. If u were the index element of u or if u were the index element of u or if u were the index element of u or if u were

in contradiction to (15).

or

To show that no one of u_ℓ , u_n , or u_p has index element u_ℓ , we first assume that u_p has index element u_ℓ , so that

for t greater than or equal to the index of u_r ,

whence from (1)

in contradiction to (19); hence up can not have up as its index element.

Assume that u has index element u. Then, by the above u does not have index element u, and does not have index element u. So u is either an idempotent element or there exists in 0 another element u which is the index element of u. If u is an idempotent element, from (14) and theorem 2 follows

$$\mathbf{u}_{\theta} \quad \mathbf{u}_{m} = \mathbf{u}_{\theta} \tag{21}$$

otherwise for u_n a type a element , from (14) and theorem 2 , u_k $u_j = u_k$ (22)

From (15), for any integer s

$$u_n u_l = u_p$$

and for s sufficiently large

$$u_n u_{\mathcal{A}} = u_{\mathcal{P}} \tag{23}$$

if u is an idempotent element, otherwise

$$u_q u_k = u_p \tag{24}$$

For u an idempotent element, combining (21) and (23)

gives
$$u_{p} = u_{k}$$
 (25)

and for u a type a element, combining (22) and (24) also gives (25).

But (25) indicates that u is the index element of u, which we have proved impossible. Hence u and similarly

un can not have un as its index element.

We have now shown that assumption (3) implies that 0 contains besides the three elements u_i , u_j , u_k , at least three more elements u_i , u_n , u_n , and that these six elements satisfy the seven relations

$$\mathbf{u}_{i} \quad \mathbf{u}_{k} = \mathbf{u}_{k} \tag{1}$$

$$\mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{L}} \mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{R}} = \mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{R}} \tag{2}$$

$$u_{i} u_{\ell} = u_{\ell}$$
 (4)

$$u_{j} u_{n} = u_{i}$$
 (14)

$$u_{\ell} u_{n} = u_{pr}$$
 (15)

$$\mathbf{u}_{\dot{z}} \mathbf{u}_{\dot{z}} = \mathbf{u}_{\dot{z}}$$
 (16)

$$u_{j}u_{j}=u_{j}$$
 (17)

Moreover, of the three elements u, u, u, no one can be the index element of any other, no pair of them can have the same index element, and no one of them can have index element u, .

Now let u_{ℓ} denote the index element of u_{ℓ} if u_{ℓ} is a type a element, and let u_{ℓ} denote u_{ℓ} itself if u_{ℓ} is idempotent. Let u_{ℓ} and u_{ℓ} have similar significance with respect to u_{ℓ} and u_{ℓ} .

Then from (15) and theorem 2 follows

$$\mathbf{u}_{1} \quad \mathbf{u}_{2} = \mathbf{u}_{2} \tag{26}$$

Multiplying both sides of (26) by u gives

$$\mathbf{u}_{\rho} \ \mathbf{u}_{\mathcal{L}}^{\tau} \ \mathbf{u}_{\rho} \tag{27}$$

which by lemma 1 gives

$$\mathbf{u}_{\rho} \ \mathbf{u}_{\ell} = \mathbf{u}_{\rho} \tag{28}$$

From (16) for any integer t.

which for t sufficicently large gives

$$\mathbf{u}_{\rho} \mathbf{u}_{\dot{\varrho}} = \mathbf{u}_{\dot{\varrho}} \tag{29}$$

Similarly from (17)

$$u \quad u = u \tag{30}$$

From (4) multiplying both sides by \mathbf{u}_{\wp}

which on employing (28) and (30) tields

in contradiction to (29).

Thus assumption (3) leads to a contradiction so that we conclude that in a type I ovum no type a elements having the same index and the same index element can be associated. The proof of the theorem is now complete.

THEOREM 11. A reduced ovum must contain a zero element.

Let 0 be a reduced ovum having the idempotent elements u_j , u_{j_2} ,..., u_{j_m} . The product of these idempotent elements is one of them, say u_{j_1} . That is

and for any other one of them, say u , evidently

$$u_{x_{k}} u_{x_{i}} = u_{x_{i}} \tag{1}$$

For a type a element u with index element u, fromm properties of type a elements

$$u_{i} \quad u_{ji} = u_{ji} \tag{2}$$

and for a type a element u_{ℓ} with index element u some other idempotent say u_{ℓ} , by lemma 1 of the previous theorem u_{ℓ} u_{ℓ} = u_{ℓ} . (3)

(1),(2),(3), show that u_{χ_2} is the zero element of 0.

THEOREM 12. If a reduced ovum has an identity element, that element is an irreducible element.

Let u_i be the identity element in a reduced ovum 0. If u_j is another element of 0 with index element u_i , we have $u_i u_j = u_i$

in contradiction to the fact that u; is the identity element. Thus, u; can not equal the power of any element in 0.

Moreover, there exist in 0 no elements u_{χ} and u_{χ} such that $u_{\chi} u_{\chi} = u_{\chi}$

For, this implies

whence u_{j}/u_{i} u_{j}/u_{i} u_{k} or u_{j}/u_{k}

Also, in a similar manner,

But 0 is a reduced ovum. Thus, u has no proper divisors and hence is an irreducible element.

From theorem 12 follows:

- COROLLARY 1. A reduced ovum 0 containing an identity element has a reduced sub-ovum of order n-1, consisting of all the elements of 0 except the identity.
- COROLLARY 2. From a reduced ovum 0 of order n, mark set

 (u, u, ..., u,), we can form a reduced ovum

 of order n+1, containing an identity element,

 by adjoining to the mark set of 0 an element un+1,

 and to the multiplication table of 0 the relations

$$u_{n+1}^{2} = u_{n+1}$$
 $u_{n+1} = u_{n+1} = u_{n+1}$
 $u_{n+1} = u_{n+1} = u_{n+1}$
(i = 1,2,3,...,n)

THEOREM 13. A reduced ovum has at least one irreducible element which is not an identity element.

First let 0 be a reduced ovum which contains ho identity element, and assume that 0 possesses no irreductible element. Then any element up has a proper divisor up, which in turn has a proper divisor up, and so on, so that we get a chain of elements each of which is a proper divisor of all those elements which precede it. However, due to the finite number of elements in 0 we must eventually come to an element up which has occurred earlier in the chain. Suppose the chain is up, up, up, up, up, ..., up, .

and $u_{i_{j+1}} \setminus u_{i_{j}}$

in contradiction to the fact that $u_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{J}^{+r}}}$ was a proper divisor of $u_{\mathcal{L}_{\mathcal{J}}}$.

Thus, 0 contains an irreducible element which is not an identity element.

If 0 has an identity element, consider the sub-ovum 0' formed of all the elements of 0 except the identity. Then, as above 0' possesses an irreducible element which is also irreducible in 0 and which is not the identity element of 0. Thus, 0 contains an irreducible

element which is not the identity element.

Since in a reduced ovum an irreducible element possesses no divisors except itself and the identity element if one exists in the ovum, we can conclude from theorem 13

COROLLARY 1. Every reduced ovum of order n has at least one reduced sub-ovum of order n-1.

Cyclic Reduced Ova.

Consider an ovum of order n in which every element is a power of a certain element. Such an ovum will be said to be cyclic. We prove

THEOREM 14. For a given n there exists one and only one cyclic reduced ovum of order n...

Let the n elements be powers of one u, . That is

$$u_{i} = u_{i}^{i}$$
 (- i = 1,2,...,n)

The zero of the ovum is evidently u_n , since if u_i i<n, were a zero element we should have

and the ovum would not contain n elements.

Then, the multiplication table is given by the relations

$$u_{i}^{2} = u_{2i}$$

$$u_{i}^{2} = u_{2i}$$

$$2i < n$$

$$2i \ge n$$

$$u_{i} = u_{j}$$

$$i + j < n$$

$$u_{i} u_{j} = u_{n}$$

$$i + j \ge n$$

It is evident that such a multiplication table is both commutative and associative so that the theorem follows.

Construction of Reduced or Type I Ova.

Corollary I, theorem 13 shows that from all possible distinct reduced ova of order n-1 we can obtain all possible distinct ova of order n by adjoining to the ova of order n-1 another idempotent or type a element, making multiplication of this element with itself and with the original elements commutative and associative, and examining the ova thus formed to see which are simply isomorphic to one another.

In forming thus reduced ova of order n from reduced ova of order n-1 theorems 2 and 11 are found to be useful.

The distinct reduced ova of order 2 are two in number.

$$\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda}$$
 $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda}$
 $\mathbb{R} \mathcal{Z}_{\lambda$

From R2, adjoining the element u_3 we obtain the following reduced ova of order 3.

From R $\mathcal{Z}_{\mathcal{Z}}$ we obtain only two more reduced ova of order 3, which

are distinct from one another and from the ova already obtained from R 2, . They are

Proceeding in this way from R3, adjoining the element u_4 , we get the distinct reduced ova of order 4.

From $R3_{3}$ we get the further distinct ova

R47

u, u, u, u, u,

u, u₂ u₃ u₃

u, u₂ u₃ u₄

R 49
u, u, u, u,
u, u, u,
u, u, u,
u, u, u,
u, u, u,
u, u, u,

R 4,,
u, u, u,
u,
u, u₂ u₃ u₃
u,
u, u₂ u₃ u₃

R 4,3

u, u, u, u,

u, u₂ u₃ u₃

u, u₂ u₃ u₃

R 4,5

u, u, u, u,

u, u, u,

u, u, u,

u, u, u,

u, u, u,

R 48

u, u, u, u,

u, u, u,

u, u, u,

u, u, u,

u, u, u,

u, u, u,

R 4,2

u, u, u, u,

u, u₂ u₂ u₂

u, u₂ u₃ u₄

u, u₂ u₄ u₂

R 4,4 u, u,

R 4/6
u, u, u, u,
u, u, u,
u, u, u,
u, u, u,

From $R3_{\zeta}$ we get

From R3, we get

From $R3_{\epsilon}$ we get

From $R3_7$ we get only one further distinct ovum

OVA OF TYPE II.

An ovum which contains no elements of type a or of type c, but at least one element of type b will be called an ovum of type II.

If G is a finite Abelian group 1 of order n>1 and if i is its identity element, every element a of G has the property 2 $\mathbf{a}^{n}=\mathbf{i}$

whence a = 8

and so G is an ovum of type II with only one idempotent element, the identity.

conversely, an ovum 0 of type II containing only one idempotent element is a group. For, let the idempotent element of 0 be u_k. Then u_k is the period element of any type b element u_k in 0, and so for some integer s

so that $\mathbf{u}_{\mathbb{A}}$ is an identity element.

Moreover, $u_{\dot{i}} u_{\dot{i}}^{s-\prime} = u_{\dot{k}}$

so that u; has an inverse in 0. Thus 0 is a group.

The product of two type b elements having period element u_{ℓ} , or of a type b element and its period element u_{ℓ} is either u_{ℓ} or a type b element having period element u_{ℓ} . Thus all type b elements with period element u_{ℓ} together with u_{ℓ} form a sub-ovum of 0. By what we have just shown, such a sub-ovum is a group. Remembering also that an idempotent element is itself a group of orderly, we can then state THEOREM 15. Every ovum 0 of type II is either a group or

consists of sub-ovawhich have no element in common and each of which is a group. Each of these groups consists of an idempotent element and of all the type b elements which have this idempotent element for period element.

1. Van Der Waerden, moderne Algelera p. 15. 2 " " p. 27. THEOREM 16. From a finite Abelian group G of order n-1 we can obtain two and only two ova of order n, by the adjunction of an idempotent element.

For, let the identity element of ((u,...,u,...) be u. and suppose u, is an idempotent element which we wish to attach to G to form an ovum of order n. The product u. u, is then an idempotent element and hence must equal either u. or u, . From theorem 2 it then follows that u, must either have properties of a zero element or of an identity element in any ovum formed from u, and G. Letting u, be either a zero or an identity gives us commutative and associative multiplication for the mark set (u, ,...,u,) and thus we can form the two ova of order n each of which has G as a sub-ovum.

In a group every element divides every other element so that every element is associated to every other element. From theorems 3,6 it follows that an ovum in which every element is associated to every other element can have only one idempotent element and type b elements and is therefore a group. So we have

THEOREM 17. A sufficient condition that an ovum be a group is that every element be associated to every other element.

It must be noted that the condition given in this theorem is not the same as the condition given by Van der Waerden

in his postulates for groups *. His postulate 5 not only demands that every element be associated to every other element but also that every element divide itself.

Construction of Ova of Type II.

From theorem 15 we know that all type II ova of order n can be obtained by compounding groups of order \leq n, only those combinations being taken the sum of whose orders is n. The commutative and associative laws must be satisfied and ova simply isomorphic to one already listed must be thrown out. In particular, to groups of order n-1 we adjoin one idempotent element, and to groups of order n-2 we adjoin 2 idempotent elements, and so on. We use the letter S to designate type II ova.

Thee only type II ovam of order 2 is the Abelian group

Type II ova of order 3 are 3 in number:

and the two ova obtained from S2, on adjoining an idempotent element

^{*} Van der Waerden Moderne Algebra, page 19, 5.

Type II ova of order 4 are 11 in number. The two Abelian

The two ova obtained from the group S3, by adjoining an idemprotent element

Two ova obtained on compounding two groups simply isomorphic to S2,

Adjoining two idempotent elements to SZ, which is the same thing as adjoining one idempotent element to S3 and S3 gives, from S3

and from S3,

FURTHER OVA OF ORDER N 4

We list here the remaining distinct ova of order 2,3,4. There are no more of order 2.

There are only two more of order 3:

and 15 of order 4.

T 43

u, u, u, u, u, u₂ u, u₄ u, u, u, u₄ u₄ u₄ u₄

T 45

u, u₂ u, u₄
u₂ u₂ u₂
u₄ u₂ u₄
u₄ u₄ u₄

T 4γ

u, u, u, u,
u,
u, u, u,
u,
u, u, u,
u,
u, u, u,

T 49

T 4,,

T 44

u, u, u₃ u₃
u, u₂ u₃ u₄
u₃ u₄ u, u,

T46

u, u₂ u₄ u₄
u₂ u₂ u₂
u₄ u₂ u, u,
u₄ u₂ u, u,

T 48

u, u, u, u,
u,
u, u₂ u₃ u₄
u, u₃ u, u₃
u, u₄ u₃ u₂

T 4,0

u, u,

T4,2

u, u,

u, u, u₃ u,
u, u₄ u,
u, u₅ u,
u₇ u₈ u,
u₈ u, u₈ u₈

T 4,4

u, u, u₃ u₃
u, u, u₃ u₃
u, u, u, u,
u₃ u₃
u, u,

T 415

u, u, u, u, u,
u, u, u,
u, u, u,
u, u, u,
u, u, u,