

Contents

Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract	v
1 Introduction	1
1.1 Background on seismic early warning	2
1.2 Virtual Seismologist (VS) method for seismic early warning	6
1.3 Bayes' theorem in qualitative terms and a road map	7
1.4 Integrating the source estimation and user response problems in seismic early warning	9
1.5 Communicating the earthquake source estimates and their uncertainties	11
2 Characterizing ground motion envelopes as functions of magnitude, distance, and site	13
2.1 Database	13
2.1.1 Data processing	16
2.2 Envelopes of ground motion	17
2.2.1 Parameterization of ground motion envelopes	18
2.2.2 Nonlinearity of parameterization	20
2.3 Envelope attenuation relationships	25
2.3.1 A brief description of traditional strong motion attenuation re- lationships	25
2.3.2 Envelope attenuation relationships: amplitude-type parameters	26
2.4 Envelope attenuation relationships: rise time, duration, and decay pa- rameters	39
2.4.1 S-wave rise time, t_{rise_S}	44

2.4.2	S-wave duration, Δt_S	46
2.4.3	S-wave decay parameter τ_S	49
2.4.4	S-wave decay parameter γ_S	49
2.5	Summary	51
3	Average properties of Southern California ground motion envelopes over ranges of magnitudes, distances, and site conditions	55
3.1	High- versus low-frequency ground motions	56
3.2	Rock versus soil sites	65
3.3	Horizontal versus vertical ground motions	87
3.4	P- versus S-waves	93
3.5	Predicting envelopes of various channels of ground motion	116
4	Bayes' theorem and linear discriminant analysis applied to seismic early warning	123
4.1	Introduction to Bayes' theorem	126
4.2	Bayes' theorem in seismic early warning	129
4.3	Defining the likelihood, $prob(A M, R)$	131
4.3.1	A summary of the likelihood function, $prob(Y M, R)$	164
4.4	Defining the prior, $prob(M, R)$	166
4.5	Reliabilities of best estimates \hat{M} , \hat{R}	174
4.6	Summary	177
5	16 October 1999 M=7.1 Hector Mine, California, earthquake	179
5.1	Road map	179
5.2	SCSN stations in the epicentral region	181
5.3	Single station estimates: solving for magnitude and epicentral distance	183
5.4	Multiple station estimates: solving for magnitude and epicentral location	195
6	22 December 2003, M=6.5 San Simeon, California, earthquake	212
6.1	Road map	212
6.2	Station geometry in Central California	213

6.3	Single station estimates: solving for magnitude and epicentral distance	214
6.4	Multiple station estimates: solving for magnitude and epicentral location	220
7	28 September 2004 M=6.0 Parkfield, California, earthquake	243
7.1	Background: the Parkfield, California earthquake experiment	243
7.2	Road map	246
7.3	SCSN stations in the epicentral region	247
7.4	Single station estimates: solving for magnitude and epicentral distance	248
7.5	Multiple station estimates: solving for magnitude and epicentral location	254
8	3 September 2002 $M = 4.75$ Yorba Linda, California, earthquake	272
8.1	Road map	272
8.2	SCSN stations in the epicentral region	273
8.3	Single station estimates: solving for magnitude and epicentral distance	276
8.4	Multiple station estimates: solving for magnitude and epicentral location	281
9	How to use early warning information	299
9.1	A simple cost-benefit analysis	304
9.2	Using VS estimates with and without the Gutenberg-Richter relationship	311
9.3	Some user requirements	313
9.4	Some comments	314
10	Conclusions	316
10.1	A summary of the Virtual Seismologist (VS) method for seismic early warning	316
10.2	Implementation issues	320
10.2.1	Processing time	320
10.2.2	Station geometry information	321
10.2.3	Seismicity, fault locations, and foreshock/aftershock statistics .	323
10.2.4	Communicating source estimates and uncertainties	324

10.3 A unified earthquake information system:	
a possibility for the future	326
Bibliography	328
A Envelope operations	333
A.1 Stochastic process approach	333
A.2 Hilbert transform approach	336
B Neighbourhood Algorithm	339
B.1 Introduction to the Neighbourhood Algorithm	339
B.2 Applications in this thesis	340
B.3 Availability	340
C Coefficients for envelope parameters	341
D Maximizing the log likelihood analytically	348
E A discriminant for P- and S-waves	351
E.1 P/S discriminant using vertical and horizontal acceleration	352
E.2 P/S discriminant using vertical and horizontal velocity	353
E.3 P/S discriminant using vertical and horizontal acceleration and velocity	356
E.4 P/S discriminant using vertical and horizontal acceleration, velocity, and displacement	358
E.5 Summary	360
F Linear discriminant analysis on S-wave amplitudes	361
G Constraint on earthquake location implied by the observed time in- terval between the first two P wave arrivals	367