THE CELLULAR UPTAKE OF LUMINESCENT RUTHENIUM COMPLEXES

Thesis by

Cindy A. Puckett

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy in Chemistry

California Institute of Technology

Pasadena, California

2010

(Defended October 28, 2009)

©2010

Cindy A. Puckett

All Rights Reserved

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

I must first acknowledge my research advisor, Professor Jacqueline Barton, without whom none of this work would have been possible. I appreciate the support and encouragement you have provided me over the years. I also thank you for bringing together such an intelligent group of people who are always eager to help each other out. I have a learned a great deal in your lab—Thank you for making me a chemist.

My journey to Caltech would not have happened without the guidance from my undergraduate professors. I thank Professor Jeffrey Charonnat for getting me started in chemistry research and helping me with the transition to graduate school.

I would like to express my appreciation for my faculty committee, Professors

Dennis Dougherty, Nate Lewis, and Doug Rees, for all of their feedback and suggestions.

I was fortunate to work with a wonderful collaborator, Professor Nick Turro, who led me briefly but fruitfully into the world of molecular beacons.

I owe many thanks to Maureen Renta, who works extraordinarily hard to keep the Barton group running smoothly. I appreciate her advice, assistance, and humor. I am also indebted to Shelley Diamond of Caltech's Flow Cytometry Facility for her generous assistance with my research.

Many members of the Barton group, past and present, deserve much thanks for their help and support: Anne Petitjean, Jon Hart, and Irv Lau for getting me started in the group; Fangwei Shao for her great sense of humor; Jens Brunner, for synthetic assistance on peptide couplings; Valerie Pierre, for her friendship and advice; Marisa Buzzeo, for guidance; Mi Hee Lim, for her synthetic expertise; Amie Boal, for advice and sharing her tasty fruit pies; Brian Zeglis, for his willingness to donate compounds and organizing numerous Barton group activities; Russ Ernst, for being a great cell culture room labmate and review collaborator; Hang Song, for her positive energy; Curtis Schneider, for helpful discussions on my proposals; Pam Sontz, for making the lab more fun; Jason Slinker, for hosting group get-togethers; Paul Lee and Wendy Mercer, for being good friends; and Eddie Merino, for advice, mentorship, and friendship.

I must also thank my friends Antek Ignatowicz and Diane Stucker, whom I could call at any time for moral support, and who kept me aware of life outside graduate school. Over the last couple years, I have had a terrific roommate, Erisa Hines, who is a source of encouragement and has not once protested Joey's constant presence at our apartment.

Another anchor throughout my graduate career was my family. I would like to thank my brother Roger for all his help, including setting up my apartment. I thank my parents for their support and providing me a place to relax. I also appreciate the family of Joey Genereux, especially Beverly, for their encouragement.

Finally, my love and thanks go to Joey Genereux. You are kind and generous. You have always been there for me. I cannot thank you enough.

ABSTRACT

Transition metal complexes have enormous potential as diagnostic and therapeutic agents, but their internalization and distribution in living cells are only poorly understood. Here, we perform one of the few systematic explorations of the uptake efficiency and mechanism of a class of metal complexes: luminescent dipyridophenazine (dppz) complexes of ruthenium(II). Substitution of the ancillary ligands permits variation in the overall complex charge, size, and hydrophobicity. We find that internalization of these complexes occurs mostly through passive diffusion, driven by the membrane potential, and that hydrophobicity, rather than size, is the most important determinant of compound accumulation. Across different cell types with all compounds, mostly uneven cytoplasmic staining is observed with near exclusion from the nucleus. Conjugation to cell-penetrating peptides, such as D-octaarginine, increases uptake efficiency, but leads to trapping in endosomes below a threshold concentration. Above this threshold concentration, substantial staining of the nucleus as well as the cytosol is observed. An appended fluorescein tag lowers the threshold concentration, indicating the importance of payload to the internalization and distribution of cell-penetrating peptides. Shorter peptides, including the nuclear targeting signal RrRK (where r = D-arginine), are also studied, though none have as high a degree of uptake nor as low a threshold concentration as the octaarginine conjugate. These studies provide a basis for the future design and optimization of metal complexes for biological application.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Chapter 1: Methods to explore the cellular uptake of luminescent

ruthenium complexes	.1
1.1: Introduction	.1
1.1.1: Significance of uptake	.1
1.1.2: Methods to examine cellular accumulation of metal complexes	2
1.2: Experimental protocols	5
1.2.1: Materials and instrumentation	.5
1.2.2: Ru complex synthesis	6
1.2.2.1: Synthesis of 4-Ethoxy-4'-methyl-2,2'-bipyridine	
(CO ₂ Et-bpy)	7
1.2.2.2: Synthesis of 4-NH-Fmoc-4'-methyl -2,2'-bipyridine	7
1.2.2.3: Synthesis of $[RuL_2dppz]Cl_2$; $L = 2,2'$ -bipyridine (bpy),	
1,10-phenanthroline (phen), or 4,7-diphenyl-1,10-	
phenathroline (DIP)	.8
1.2.2.4: Synthesis of [Ru(Me ₄ phen) ₂ dppz]Cl ₂	8
1.2.2.5: Synthesis of [Ru(CO ₂ Et-bpy) ₂ dppz]Cl ₂	.9
1.2.2.6: Synthesis of Ru(mcbpy) ₂ dppz	.9
1.2.2.7: Synthesis of [Ru(NH ₂ -bpy) ₂ dppz]Cl ₂	10
1.2.3: Cell culture.	11
1.2.4: Flow cytometry	11

1.2.5: Confocal microscopy	12
1.2.6: Isolation of nuclei	13
1.3: Results and discussion	14
1.3.1: Strategy to measure uptake	14
1.3.2: Characteristics of the ruthenium complexes	15
1.3.3: Flow cytometry analysis of uptake	18
1.3.4: Confocal microscopy imaging.	19
1.3.4.1: Uptake and localization of the Ru complexes	19
1.2.4.2: Live versus fixed cell imaging	23
1.3.5: Analysis of isolated nuclei	26
1.3.6: Cell line comparison.	31
1.4: Conclusions.	35
1.5: References	38
Chapter 2: Mechanistic studies of ruthenium complex cellular uptake	41
2.1: Introduction	41
2.2: Experimental protocols.	44
2.2.1.: Materials	44
2.2.2: Synthesis of Ru complexes	45
2.2.3: Cell culture	45
2.2.4: Inductively coupled plasma mass spectrometric (ICP-MS)	
detection of Ru	45

2.2.5: A	ssay of enantiomeric preference in Ru uptake	46
2.2.6: M	fetabolic inhibition	46
2.2.7: T	emperature dependence of uptake	47
2.2.8: C	ation transporter inhibition	47
2.2.9: M	Modulation of membrane potential	48
2.2.10: 1	Flow cytometry	48
2.3: Results		49
2.3.1: S	trategy to measure uptake	49
2.3.2: 10	CP-MS measurement of Ru uptake	49
2.3.3: E	nantiomeric preference in uptake	50
2.3.4: E	nergy-dependent uptake mechanisms	51
2.3.5: E	ffect of organic cation transporter inhibitors	54
2.3.6: E	ffect of membrane potential	55
2.4: Discussion		58
2.5: References	5	61
Chapter 3: Directing	the subcellular localization of a ruthenium con	nplex with
octaarginine		63
3.1: Introductio	on	63
3.2: Experimen	tal protocols	64
3.2.1: M	Naterials and instrumentation	64
3.2.2: S	ynthesis of Ru-peptide conjugates	65

3.2.3: Cell culture	66
3.2.4: Confocal microscopy	66
3.3: Results and discussion	67
3.3.1: Synthesis of the conjugates	67
3.3.2: Subcellular localization of Ru-octaarginine	70
3.3.3: Effect of fluorescein on Ru-octaarginine localization	74
3.4: Conclusions	77
3.5: References	79
Chapter 4: Targeting a ruthenium complex to the nucleus with short peptides	81
4.1: Introduction	81
4.2: Experimental protocols	82
4.2.1: Materials and instrumentation.	82
4.2.2: Synthesis of Ru-peptide conjugates	82
4.2.3: Cell culture	83
4.2.4: Confocal microscopy	84
4.2.5: Flow cytometry	84
4.3: Results and discussion.	85
4.3.1: Synthesis of the conjugates	85
4.3.2: Cellular uptake of Ru-RrRK	85
4.3.3: Effect of sequence variations on short peptides	93
4.4. Conclusions	99

4.5: References	100
Chapter 5: Conclusions	102
Appendix: Synthesis of a long-lifetime binary molecular beacon	106
A1.1: Introduction	106
A1.2: Experimental protocols	106
A1.2.1: Probe sequence	106
A1.2.2: Probe synthesis	108
A1.2.2.1: Synthesis of [Ru(DIP) ₂ (bpy')]Cl ₂	108
A1.2.2.2: Synthesis of the Ru-probe	109
A2.2.2.3: Synthesis of the Cy5-probes	110
A1.3: References	112

LIST OF FIGURES AND TABLES

Figure 1.1: Dipyridophenazine complexes of Ru(II)	16
Table 1.1: Characteristics of the Ru complexes	17
Figure 1.2: Flow cytometry analysis of ruthenium complex cellular uptake	20
Table 1.2: Cellular uptake of ruthenium complexes assayed by flow cytometry	21
Figure 1.3: Confocal microscopy of HeLa cells incubated with dipyridophenazine	
complexes of Ru(II)	22
Figure 1.4: Quantitation of nuclear uptake	24
Figure 1.5: Subcellular localization of Ru(bpy) ₂ dppz ²⁺	25
Figure 1.6: Effect of fixation on ruthenium complex subcellular localization	27
Figure 1.7: Flow cytometry analysis of nuclei isolated from HeLa cells incubated	
with 5 or 10 μM Ru(DIP) ₂ dppz ²⁺ for 2 h at 37 °C	29
Figure 1.8: Confocal microscopy of nuclei isolated from HeLa cells incubated with	
5 μ M Ru(DIP) ₂ dppz ²⁺ for 2 h at 37 °C	30
Figure 1.9: Accumulation of Ru(bpy) ₂ dppz ²⁺ by different cell lines	32
Figure 1.10: Accumulation of Ru(phen) ₂ dppz ²⁺ by different cell lines	33
Figure 1.11: Accumulation of Ru(bpy) ₂ dppz ²⁺ in DU-145 cells	34
Figure 2.1: A luminescent ruthenium probe used to examine metal complex uptake	43
Figure 2.2: Flow cytometry measuring Ru incorporation used to examine the effect	
of metabolic inhibition on Ru(DIP) ₂ dppz ²⁺ cellular uptake	52

Figure 2.3: Effect of incubation temperature on Ru(DIP) ₂ dppz ²⁺ cellular uptake	
measured by flow cytometry5	;3
Figure 2.4: Effect of organic cation transporter inhibitors on Ru(DIP) ₂ dppz ²⁺ cellular	
uptake measured by flow cytometry5	6
Figure 2.5: Effect of modulating the plasma membrane potential on Ru(DIP) ₂ dppz ²⁺	
cellular uptake determined by flow cytometry5	7
Figure 3.1: Chemical structures of the ruthenium conjugates	8
Figure 3.2: Synthesis of Ru-D-R8-fluor	9
Figure 3.3: Cellular distribution of Ru-D-R8 following different durations of	
incubation7	' 1
Figure 3.4: Cellular distribution of Ru-D-R8 at higher concentration	¹ 2
Table 3.1: Percentage of HeLa cells with nuclear staining by Ru-octaarginine	
conjugates	3
Figure 3.5: Cellular distribution of Ru conjugates	15
Figure 3.6: Spectral confocal imaging (10.7 nm bandwidth) of HeLa cells	
incubated with 10 μM Ru-D-R8-fluor for 60 min	6
Figure 4.1: Structures of Ru-RrRK conjugates	6
Figure 4.2: Subcellular distribution of Ru-RrRK	7
Table 4.1: Cellular uptake of ruthenium conjugates assayed by flow cytometry89	9
Table 4.2: Percentage of cells with nuclear staining by Ru-RrRK	1

Figure 4.3: Subcellular distribution of Ru-D-R4	92
Figure 4.4: Subcellular distribution of Ru-RrRK in serum-free medium	94
Figure 4.5: Subcellular distribution of Ru-RrRK-fluor	95
Figure 4.6: Subcellular distribution of Ru-KKKK and Ru-SrSr	97
Figure 4.7: Subcellular distribution of Ru-NLS conjugates	98
Figure A1.1: Detection of DNA by a binary molecular beacon	107
Figure A1.2: Synthesis of the Ru-probe	111