# A STUDY OR "SHEAR LAG" PHENOMENON IN A STIFRENED 

 FLAT PANEL BY PHOTOELASTIC METHODS.by<br>Hsu Tsi Fan

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Mester of Science in Aeronautical Bngineering

> Califomia Institute or Technology Pasadena, Califormia

1939

## ACKNOMLIDGEHITNT

The author wishes to express his gratitude to Drs. Theodore von Karman and $\mathrm{T}_{\mathrm{o}} \mathrm{E}$. Sechler for the suggestion of this research subject and their helpful criticism during the course of the work. Grateful acknowledgement is also made to Dr. H.S. Tsien for his constant help during the year.

## REFERENCES

(1) WoM. Bell and J.K. Bussey:

A Photoelastic Investigation of the Distribution of Shearing Stresses in a Stiffened Plat Panel
M. S. Thesis at Celifomia Institute of Technology. 1938
(2) C. Maxwell: Trans. Roy Soc. Edinburgh. Vol. 20, 1850 See also Timoshenko. Theory of Blasticity. p. 128
(3) Timoshenko: Theory of Tlasticity. $p .129$
(4) ReD. Mindlin: A Review of the Photoelastic Method of Stress Anelysis. I. Joumal of Appl. Phy. Vol. 10. pp. 222-241, 1939
(5) M. Fif Frocht: Recent Advances in Photoelesticity Trens. A.S.M.E. Vol. 53, po. 135-153, 1931
(6) G.H. Lee and C.W. Amstrong: Effect of Temperature on Physical and Optical Properties of Photoelastic materials. Trans. A.S.M.E.Vol. 60, pp.A11-A12 , 1938
(7) A. Solekign: Discussion on E.E. Weibel's Studies in Photoelastic Stress Determination. Vol. 56. pp. 652. 653,1934

In the construction of modern metal airplenes there are many locations where a more or less concentrated load is transferred to a large area of material. Therefore the designeengineer is frequently confronted with the problem of "shear lag". This problem can be treated in a few simple cases by the mathematical theory of elasticity, especially by Airy's stress functions, but in many other cases, in order to avoid mathematical complexities certain assumptions must be mede which will simplify the analytical solution of the problem. These assumptions usually do not agree with the actual conditions therefore the results are not adequate. By the photoelastic method, however, the results obtained by the mathematical theory can be checked. It is the purpose of this experiment to check the results which have been obe tained by the theoretical investigation for a few simple cases.

1) Propagation of light in isotropic and crystalline media.

Optical wave theories describe light as a transverse wave motion. The wave front of on optical disturbance advancing through a transparent medium is considered to be plane in the neighborhood of any point in the medium. In an isotropic medium there is only one wave velocity and it is the same regardless of the direction of travel of the light. In a crystalline medium however, there are, two wave velocities for each wave normal and these two velocities are different for wave normals through the point, in different directions. This is the phenomenon of double refraction. Therefore there will be two parallel weve fronts for each wave normal, furthermore, each of the waves is plane-polarized; that is, the vio bration corresponding to each of the waves lies in a plene. and the two waves are perpendicular to each other and to the wave normal. If light of weve-Iength $\lambda$ is passed at normal incidence through a crystalline plate of thiclness $d_{\text {s }}$ the two resulting plane-polarized weves undergo phase retardations, $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$, with respect to an unimpeded wave, given by

$$
\begin{aligned}
& \Delta_{1}=\frac{2 \pi d}{\lambda}\left(n_{1}-n\right) \\
& \Delta_{2}=\frac{2 \pi d}{\lambda}\left(n_{2}-n\right)
\end{aligned}
$$

where $n$ is the index of refraction of the medium outside the plate and $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ are measured in radians. Their relative phase difference $\Delta$ is:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\Delta=\Delta_{1}-\Delta_{2}=\frac{2 \pi d}{\lambda}\left(n_{1}-n_{2}\right) \tag{2}
\end{equation*}
$$

2) The photoelastic effect.

It was discovered by Brewster, that almost all transe parent materials, when stressed, become optically doubly refrecting, and later Maxwell observed that the relation bea tween stress and double refraction is similar to the stress and strain relation Therefore, we may write, by analogy with the stress and strain relation:

$$
\begin{align*}
& n_{1}-n_{0}=C_{1} P+C_{2} Q  \tag{3}\\
& n_{2}-n_{0}=C_{1} Q+C_{2} P
\end{align*}
$$

in which $C$, and $C$ are the stress-optical coefficients, $P$ and Q are principal stresses respectively. $n_{0}$ is the original optical index of the unstressed meterial. Hence, if we measure the absolute phase retardations $\Delta_{1}$ and $\Delta_{2}$ we can calculate the principal stresses $P$ and $Q$, and if we measure the rele tive phase retardation $\Delta$ we can calculate the principal stress difference $P Q$. for from the eqse 2 and. 3 we have

$$
\Delta=\frac{2 \pi d C}{\lambda}(P-Q)
$$

in which $C C_{1}-C_{2}$ is the relative stress optical coefficiente If we determine the directions of the principal axis of the optical symmetry, we shall have the directions of the prin= cipal stresses.
3) Test procedure:
a) Apparatus.

The apparatus consists of a light source, a polarizer, and an analyzer, with their respective quarter-wave plates, a camera, end a loading frame. Two spherical mirrors and con densing lenses are employed to give a large beam of light through the model. The two polaroids are mounted in individual stands free to rotate 180 degrees as required in deter mining the isoclinic lines. Attached to each poleroid is the quarter-wave plate inclined at 45 degrees to the axis of the polaroid. These quarterwave plates are quickly detachable as the procedure demands. The camera is mede of a wooden box attached with ordinary cemera shutter and plate holder made to slide on a rail for focusing.
b) Model

The material used for making the model is Bakelite BT61893 manufactured by the Bakelite Corporation, 247 Park Avenue, New York City. Among the desirable properties of this material are: 1. linear stressmstrain relation, 2. hich modulus of elasticity, 3. physical and optical homogeneity, 4. high trensparency. The physical properties of this Bakelite is given by $G_{0} H_{\text {. }}$ Lee and C.W. Armstrong (Ref. 6), Young $s$ Modum 1us $=615$ pounds per square in. Poissons Retio $=0.373$. Concerning the thickness of the model. it is understood that a true state of plane stress is only approximately simulated
in a plate: however, the smallex the thickness of the plate in comparison with a representative linear dimension in the plane of the plate, the closer is the similarity. It is also true that the larger the thickness of the plate, in comparison with a representative linear dimension in the plane of the plate, the closer does the stress distribution approach a state of plene strain. It is only when the thickness and other dimensions of the plate are of the same order of magnia tude that a twoodimensional state of the stress is not. in general. realized. In the present case the dimension of the plate is $3 \times 5 \mathrm{in}$. the thickness of the plate is .23 in. The model is machined to the desired shape and the finishing is accomplished by coating the model with lacquer, thus saving the time of grinding and polishing.
c) Loading.

Creeping action in bakelite under moderately high stresses is one of the important phases of the physical properties of the matexial. A thorough study of the various characterise tics of bakelite has been carried out at the Testing Laboram tories of Columbia Unitersity, and it was pointed out by A.G. Solakian (Ref.7) that in a specimen $\frac{1}{4}$ inch in thickness, stresses corresponding to a fringe of eleventh order can be used safely. In the present case, the model is loaded in two different weys: Type I: The load is applied to the stiffener at the top end absorbed as a distributed loed across the bottom.

Type II: The load is applied to the stiffener at the top and absorbed as a distributed load across the webs only.

The load applied in each type of loading is 2000 Ibs. corresponding approximately to a meximum rringe order of eleven.

The loading frome in which the model is loaded consists of a machined flat as a base, a method of applying the load, end a means of determining the load. The loading beam is calibrated giving dial reading againgt load. Some diffi= culty was found with the loading frame, therefore, if fure thex experiments are to be made, a loading machine should be designed.
d) Network of Reference.

In order to transfer the observed isochromatic and isom clinic lines to the drawing board the photographic method is used.

1) Isochromatic lines.

Isochromatic lines by definition are the shear contour Iines: i.e. lines along which the maximum shearing stress is a constant. The photographs of the isochromatic lines are taken by using the circularly polarized light. The light source is a monochromatic 60 watt Sodium Lab-Arc. The monochromatic light together with the quarter wave plates prom duce circularly polarized light and allows the isochromatic lines of the model to be observed without the presence of the isoclinic lines.
2) Isoclinic lines

The isoclinic lines lines by definition are the lines along which the directions of the principal stresses axe conm stant. Previously (Ref. 1), these lines have been recorded by means of monochromatic light. Then the isoclinic lines can only be detected by comparing two plates, one taken with planemplarized light and another teken with circularly poo larized light. In order to eliminate this rather tedious work, the present author used plane-polarized white light and panchromatic photographic plates. In this case, the isom chromatic lines will appear as bands of different color but of approximately the some intensity, therefore they will not be registered on the photographic plate when developed. Thus the record is not only easier to use but also more acm curate. However in using white light, because of its strong intensity the model will be heated. The test performed by G. H. Lee and C.W. Amstrong (Ref. 6) on Bakelite BT61893 indicates that the relationship between the fringe order and the stress are linear over the temperature range from $20^{\circ}$ to $140^{\circ}$. . however the effect of ereep of the material is noticed at the temperature $96^{\circ}=106^{\circ} \mathrm{F}$. In order to avoid this undesirable effect a water cell made of glass is placed between the light source and the specimen. Complete series of isoclinic pictures are taken from zere to 90 degrees in 10 degree intervals by rotation of the polaroids and additional pictures were made in the range from $70^{\circ}$ to $80^{\circ}$ where the Iine changes rapidly.
3) Isotropic Points.

At an isotropic point. (Ref. 4) the parameter of the isoclinic is indeterminate. Hence all isoclinics pass through an isotropic point and there is some difficulty in constructing the isostatics in the neighborhood of such a point. Rilon. Föpl and Neuber and Von Mises have shown that the configum ration of the isotropic point depends upon the number and orientation of the directions through the point for which a tangent to the isoclinic mokes an angle with the $x$ axis equal to the parmeter of the isoclinic. There are one, two or three such asymptotic directions in the cases which occur most often. These types, with their corresponding isom statics, are illustrated in Figs. below:


To determine to which type a particular isotropic point belongs, it is necessary to find the asymptotic directions. In the present cases there is one isotropic point on the
right hand corner between the free edges, in each type of loading. In Fig. 4 The isoclinics through such a point are drawn to an enlarged scale. Calling $\zeta$ the angle which the isoclinic through $O$ makes with the $\mathcal{Y}$ axis and plotting a graph for which the perameter $\phi$ of the isoclinic is the abscissa and $\zeta$ is the ordinate. A line $A B$ on this diagram meets the $C D$ curve at a number of points equal to the number of asymptotic airections, in this case oniy one, at $81^{\circ}$ Hence the isotropic point is of type 2 .
4) Procedure of Calculation.
a) Principal stress trajectories.

The directional distribution of each of the principal stresses $P$ and $Q$ may be plotted after the isoclinic lines have been determined; the two systems forming a network of orthogonal curves.
b) Maximum shearing stress trajectories.

Since the maximum shearing streas at any point acts at an angle $\phi$ to the directions of $P$ and $Q$ the directional distribution of $\zeta_{\max }$ may be similarly plotted.
c) Separation of the principal stresses.

1) Calibration beam.

The fringe order of the photoelastic material is deter mined by applying a pure moment to a comparison beam. By using the flexure fomula, the stress at any distance from the neutral exis is calculated, and hence the stress determination per fringe line is know; i.es:

$$
\sigma=\frac{M y}{I}
$$

Since $\sigma=P$ and $Q=O$ the expression for maximum shearing stress becomes:

$$
\tau_{\max }=\frac{\sigma}{2}
$$

Therefore, the increment of shearing stress per fringe is
the shearing stress at a given point divided by the fringe order $n$ at the same point, that is,

$$
\Delta \tau_{\max }=\frac{\tau_{\max }}{n}
$$

From the work done by previous investigators on the same problem the $\Delta \tau_{m a x}$ has been determined with the same material of the same thickness $(t \approx 0.23 \mathrm{in}$. $)$

$$
\Delta \tau_{\max }=206.6 \mathrm{lbs} / \mathrm{im}^{2} / \text { line }
$$

This has been later checked with the case of the second type of loading. The shearing force at the stiffener calculated by using the above value, equels 927 pounds which is a quite satisfectory result comparing with 1000 pounds as it should be.
2) Determination of $P-Q$ at any point.

We have obtained the values of $P=Q$ on the isocromatics which correspond to a tint of passage, but in the case of a strained model, we require $P-Q$ at other points. This is dee termined by taking pictures of isochromatic lines of prow gressive loading. Since the load is increased in the ratio and the material obeys Hookes law (strained within elastic limit), all the stresses in the seme ratio. This gives $P-Q_{i}$ and we note that the point in question lay oxiginally on the isochromatic of fractional order $n / k$. In this way the isochromatics of fractional orders cen be plotted thus detemines

Pob at any point of the plate.
3) Determination of the separate stresses.

Two methods were used in determining the seperate stresses. For the first type of loading a graphical-integration method is used which has been suggested by L. N. G. Filon (Ref. 2). The equations used in this method are given in the following forms:

$$
\begin{align*}
& P=P_{0}+\int(P-Q) \cot \psi d \phi \\
& Q=Q_{0}+\int(Q-P) \cot \psi d \phi \tag{4}
\end{align*}
$$

where $\psi$ is the angle through which the stress trajectory of $P$ at a point has to be rotated in order to bring it upon the isoclinic at the same point.

The values of $P-Q$ and $\psi$ for the various points having been found by method described. $P=Q$ cot $\psi$ can be calculated and plotted with respect to $\phi$. The area of this diagram between any two angles $\phi$ can be found, thus gives $\int(P-Q) \cot \psi d \phi$ which is equal to $\mathrm{P}_{\mathrm{a}} \mathrm{P}_{0}$. In this case the author has started from points on the free edge and followed inwards the line of Poprincipal stress since $P$ is zero on the free edge. When Postress is known everywhere on the plate the $Q$ stress is calculated, since we know the value of P-G everywhere on the plate. It is advisable to integrate along both principal stress lines to reach the same point, as a check on the
accuracy of the work, however due to the limited time the author has only integrated along Postress lines, and only a few points have been checked by integration along the Qustress lines, the results agreeing satisfactorily with each other.

Another way of obtaining the principal stresses is by a calculation which was indicated first by Clark Maxwell (Ref. 3) From the equations of equilibrium for the case when no body forces are acting on the plate, we have:

$$
\frac{\partial \sigma_{x}}{\partial x}=-\frac{\partial \tau_{x y}}{\partial y} \quad, \quad \frac{\partial \sigma_{y}}{\partial y}=-\frac{\partial \tau_{x y}}{\partial x}
$$

from which we obtain by integration,

$$
\begin{align*}
& \sigma_{x}=\left(\sigma_{x}\right)_{0}-\int_{0}^{x} \frac{\partial \tau_{x y}}{\partial y} d x  \tag{5}\\
& \sigma_{y}=\left(\sigma_{y}\right)_{0}-\int_{0}^{y} \frac{\partial \tau_{x y}}{\partial x} d y
\end{align*}
$$

In this case, the $\sigma_{x}$ is equal to zero at the free boundaries, therefores our equation cen be written as

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x}=\int_{x}^{h} \frac{\partial \tau_{x y}}{\partial y} d x \tag{6}
\end{equation*}
$$

where $h$ is width of the plate. In order to find the shearing stress consider the condition of equilibrium of a small triangular prism fig. 1 and 2.


Fig.a)


From Figs (a )we have:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& -\sigma_{x}+\tau_{x y} \tan \alpha+Q \cos \alpha \frac{1}{\cos \alpha}=0 \\
& -\tau_{x y}+\sigma_{y} \tan \alpha-Q \sin \alpha \frac{1}{\cos \alpha}=0
\end{aligned}
$$

Hence we have:

$$
\begin{align*}
-\sigma_{x}+\tau_{x y} \tan \alpha+Q & =0  \tag{7}\\
\sigma_{y}-\tau_{x y} \operatorname{ctn} \alpha-Q & =0 \tag{8}
\end{align*}
$$

From Fig. (b )we have:

$$
-\sigma_{x} \tan \alpha-\tau_{x y}+P \sin \alpha \frac{1}{\cos \alpha}=0
$$

Hence we have:

$$
\begin{equation*}
-\sigma_{x}-\tau_{x y} \operatorname{ctn} \alpha+P=0 \tag{9}
\end{equation*}
$$

From equations 7 and 9 we have:

$$
\tau_{x y}(\tan \alpha+\operatorname{ctn} \alpha)=P-Q
$$

Therefore the shearing stress is equal to:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\tau_{x y}=\frac{P-Q}{2} \sin 2 \alpha \tag{10}
\end{equation*}
$$

Integrating $\frac{\partial \tau_{x y}}{\partial y}, \frac{\partial \tau_{x y}}{\partial x}$, graphically along the $X$ and $Y$ axes, $\sigma_{x}$ and $\sigma_{y}$ at each point can be calculated by equation (5) and knowing the shearing stress $\tau_{x y}$ at those points by equation (10) we can Ind the principal stresses $P$ and $Q$ by using Mohris circle ox by the relations:

$$
\begin{aligned}
& P=\frac{\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}}{2}+\sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}}{2}\right)^{2}+\tau_{x y}^{2}} \\
& Q=\frac{\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}}{2}+\sqrt{\left(\frac{\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}}{2}\right)^{2}+\tau_{x y}^{2}}
\end{aligned}
$$

The author has developed a method directly from this by which the $P$ and $Q$ can be obtained by a single integration. From equations (7) and (8) we get:

$$
\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}=\tau_{x y}(\tan \alpha-\operatorname{ctn} \alpha)=-\tau_{x y} \operatorname{ctn} \alpha
$$

therefore substituting the value of $C_{x y}$ from equation (10):

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}=-\frac{(P-Q)}{2} \cos 2 \alpha \tag{/I}
\end{equation*}
$$

From the equations (8) and (9) the following relation is obtained:

$$
\begin{equation*}
\sigma_{x}+\sigma_{y}=P+Q \tag{12}
\end{equation*}
$$

Therefore by integrating along $X$ axis only $\sigma_{x}$ is obtained. and knowing $\sigma_{x}$ by the equation (11) $\sigma_{y}$ cen be found. Then using equation (12) the sum of the principal stresses
$P-Q$ can be calculated. Since $P-Q$ is known from the evalum ation of the isocromatics, therefore the separate stresses can be easily calculated by simple addition and subtraction.

## CONCLUSION

The model used in this project has been investigated sufficiently to give a complete picture of the stress characteristics of the sheet reinforced with a stiffener. In calculating the separate stresses the author finds the second method is more suitable, since the slope of the one curves nemely $\frac{\partial T_{x y}}{\partial y}$, is easier to find than the angle between the slopes of two curves, namely the slope of the principal stress Iines and the isoclinics. Furthermore in the first case cot $\psi$ is involved which is liable to give considerable error if the angle is inaccurately measured. But in the second case only sin $\alpha$ and $\cos \alpha$ are involved which would not give toomuch errox in case the angle measured is little off of its proper value.

## AEPENDIX A.

## Photographs. Pertinent data is given on each picture. The following photoelastic photographs are shown:

| Pages | 1-111. | The model under progressive stages of Type I loading (isochromatics). |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Pages | IV-VIII. | Isoclinics of the model under Type I loading. |
| Pages | IX - XIV. | Isochromaticsand isoclinics of the model under Type II loading. |
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Table III (continued)

| (1) | (2) | (3) | $\left(\begin{array}{l}(4) \\ \left(5_{x}-\sigma_{y}\right)\end{array}\right.$ | (5) | $(6)$ | $\begin{aligned} & (7) \\ & (p+\theta) \end{aligned}$ | $\begin{gathered} (8) \\ (p-\theta) \end{gathered}$ | $(9)$ | $\underset{\theta}{(10)}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| x/h, | $\underline{y / h_{2}}$ | $\tau_{x y}$ | ( $S_{x}-\sigma_{y}$ ) | $\sigma_{x}$ | $\sigma_{y}$ | $\|P+\theta\|$ | ( ${ }^{(17-Q}$ ) | ${ }^{P}$ |  |
| 1.0 | . 5 | 0 | 465 | - 0 | -465 | -465 | 475 | 5.0 | $-470$ |
| .9 | .5 | 71.6 | 352 | 6.52 | -345.5 | -339 | 420 | 40.5 | $-379.5$ |
| . 8 | .5 | 160.0 | 447 | 27.00 | -420.0 | -393 | 550 | 78.5 | $-471.5$ |
| .7 | .5 | 250.0 | 708 | 47.85 | -660.2 | -612.3 | 832 | 109.85 | -722.2 |
| . 6 | . 5 | 336.6 | 900 | 62.85 | -837.2 | $-774.3$ | 1115 | 170.35 | $-944.7$ |
| .5 | . 5 | 417.3 | 1016 | 59.90 | -956.1 | -896.2 | 1318 | 210.9 | -1107.1 |
| . 4 | . 5 | 488.0 | 1135 | 22.10 | -1112.9 | -1090.8 | 1502 | 205.6 | 296.4 |
| . 3 | .5 | 533.3 | 1325 | 26.7 | $-1351.7$ | $-1378.4$ | 1685 | 153.3 | $-1531.7$ |
| .2 | $\because 5$ | 552.7 | 1506 | 70.7 | $-1576.7$ | $-1597.4$ | 1838 | 120.3 | $-1717 \cdot 7$ |
| . 1 | 45 | 560.0 | 1617 | 108.7 | $-1725.7$ | $-1834.4$ | 1945 | 55.3 | $-1889.7$ |
| .0 | . 5 | 560.8 | 1665 | 140.0 | -1805 | - 1945 | 2018 | 3.65 | $-1948 \cdot 7$ |
| 1.0 | . 6 | 0.0 | 290 | 0 | - 290 | - 290 | 310 | 10 | 300 |
| . 9 | .6 | 48.0 | 222 | 16.93 | -205.1 | - 188.2 | 275 | 43.4 | 231.6 |
| .8 | 86 | 112.7 | 316 | 40.7 | - 275.3 | - 234.6 | 380 | 72.7 | - 307.3 |
| . 7 | 8 | 212.0 | 483 | 66.8 | - 416.2 | - 349.4 | 636 | 143.3 | - 492.7 |
| .6 | -6 | 350.0 | 633 | 81.5 | - 551.5 | - 470.0 | 928 | 229.0 | - 699.0 |
| .5 | 16 | 475.3 | 760 | 78.2 | - 681.8 | - 603.6 | 1192 | 294.2 | -897.8 |
| . 4 | 6 | 565.3 | 905 | 58.7 | - 846.3 | - 787.6 | 1436 | 324.2 | -1111.8 |
| .3 | 86 | 623.4 | 1145 | 27.4 | -1117.6 | -1090.2 | 1672 | 290.7 | $-1381.1$ |
| . 2 | ${ }_{6} 6$ | 645.3 | 1400 | 42.7 | $-1442.7$ | -1485.4 | 1883 | 198.8 | -1684.2 |
| .1 | * 6 | 638.7 | 1627 | 112.6 | -1739.6 | -1852.0 | 2053 | 100.5 | -1952.5 |
| .0 | . 6 | 608.0 | 1815 | 169.9 | -1984.9 | -2154.8 | 2190 | 17.6 | 2172.4 |
| 1.0 | .7 | 0.0 | 86 | 0.0 | - 86 | - 86 | 170 | 42 | - 128 |
| .9 | .7 | 6.66 | 50 | 22.8 | - 27.2 | - 4.4 | 67 | 31.3 | 6 |
| .8 | .7 | 60.0 | 190 | 59.9 | - 130.1 | - 70.2 | 187 | 58.4 | - 27 |
| .7 | .7 | 180.6 | 300 | 86.6 | - 213.4 | $-126.8$ | 427 | 150 | - 374.8 |
| . 6 | .7 | 320.0 | 290 | 117.2 | - 172.8 | 55.6 | 694 | 494 | - 462.0 |
| .5 | . 7 | 453.3 | 290 | 161.0 | - 129.0 | 32 | 956 7248 | 494.0 | -624:8 |
| . 4 | .7 | 574.5 | 400 | 199.2 | - 200.8 | $-2.6$ | 1248 | 623.2 | - 956.0 |
| . 3 | .7 | 733.5 | 694 | 200.0 | - 494.0 | -294 | 1618 | 540 | 1429.8 |
| .2 | .7 | 810.0 | 1145 | 127.7 | $-1017.3$ | - 889.6 | 1970 | 540.2 | 8 |
| .1 | .7 | 800.0 | 1600 | 35.2 | $-1564.8$ | $-1529.6$ | 2860 2489 | 126.2 | 2362.8 |
| .0 | .7 | 718.0 | 2100 | - 68.3 | $-2168.3$ | -2236.6 | 2489 | 126.2 | -2362.8 |
| 1.0 | .8 | 0.0 | $-100$ | 0.0 | 100 | 100 | 0 | 50 |  |
| .9 | .8 | 0.0 | $-70$ | 0.0 | 70 | 70 | 0 | 35 | - |
| .8 | . 8 | 12.7 | 0 | 9.12 | 9.1 | 18.22 | 78 | 48.11 | - 29.9 |
| .7 | . 8 | 119.0 | 109 | 29.95 | 79.1 | - 49.15 | 243 | 96.9 | 146. |
| -6 | . 8 | 222.6 | 143 | 110.5 | 32.5 | 78.0 | 430 | 254 | - 176.0 |
| . 5 | . 8 | 341.4 | 136 | 177.1 | 41.1 | 218.2 | 676 | 447.1 | 22 |
| . 4 | .8 | 500.0 | 90 | 397.0 | 307 | 704.0 | 995 | 849.5 | 145. |
| .3 | .8 | 770.0 | 157 | 706.0 | 549 | 1255.0 | 1420 | 1337.5 | 82 |
| .2 | - 8 | 930.6 | 412 | 953.0 | 541 | 1494.0 | 1957 | 1725.5 | - 231.5 |
| .1 | . 8 | 986.6 | 1000 | 873.0 | - 127 | 746 | 2460 | 1603.0 | 857 |
| . 0 | . 8 | 1004.7 | 2650 | 646.0 | -2004 | - 1358 | 2926 | 784 | -1009. |

Teble III (continued)

| (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) | (9) | (10) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| $x / h_{1}$ | $3 / h_{2}$ | $\tau_{x y}$ | $\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}$ | $\sigma_{x}$ | $\sigma_{y}$ | $(P+Q)$ | $(\boldsymbol{D}-\theta)$ | P | 2 |
| 1.0 | 4 | 0 | - 410 | 0 | 410 | 410 | 0 | 205 | 205 |
| .9 | 3 | 0 | - 250 | 0 | 250 | 250 | 0 | 125 | 125 |
| - 8 | \% | 0 | - 20 | 0 | 90 | 90 | 0 | 45 | 45 |
| . 9 | \% | 14.6 | - 10 | 5.07 | - 4.9 | -17 | 41 | 20.6 | $-20.4$ |
| . 6 | \% | 77.5 | 48 | 96.3 | 48.3 | 144.5 | 150 | 147.3 | - 2.7 |
| - 5 | 1 | 143.0 | 52 | 192. 7 | 140.7 | 333.4 | 330 | $331{ }^{79}$ | 2.7 |
| - 4 |  | 205.0 | -200 | 422.0 | 522.0 | 944.0 | 580 | 762.0 | 182.0 |
| - 3 | ${ }^{8}$ | 310.0 | -240 | 932.0 | 1172.0 | 2104.0 | 965 | 1534.5 | 569.5 |
| - 2 | 8 | 733.4 | -17 | 1270.0 | 1287.0 | 2557 | 1630 | 2093.5 | 463.5 |
| -1 | ${ }^{1}$ | 1316. 7 | 450 | 1200.0 | 750.0 | 1950 | 2450 | 2200 | - 250.0 |
| 0 | 11 | 1455.0 | 3760 | 1019.0 | -2741 | -1722 | 3570 | 924 | -2646.0 |



## IV


$7 A \quad P=2000 \mathrm{lbs} \quad \alpha=0^{\circ}$



## V/I


$13 \mathrm{~A} \quad P=2000 \mathrm{lbs} \alpha=60^{\circ}$
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## APPENDIX B.

Curves. From the results obtaind, the following curves are plotted:

| Figs 1 and 12 | Evaluation of isochromatic Ines for type $I$ and Type II loading respectively. |
| :---: | :---: |
| Figs. 2 and 13 | Trajectories of the principal stresses for Type $I$ and Type II loading respectively. |
| Figs. 3 and 14 | $n-x / h$, curves for Type I and Type II loading respectively. |
| Figs. 4 and 5 | Detemination of the isotropic point for the Type I loading. |
| Figs. 6 and 16 | Three dimentional diagrams of the principal stress $-Q$ for Type I and II loading respectively. |
| Figs. 7 and 15 | Three dimentional diegrams of the principal atress -P for Type I and II loading respectively. |
| Figs. 8 and 17 | Three dimentional diagrams of the shearing stress $\tau_{x y}$ for Type I and II loading respectively. |
| Figs. 9,10,11 | Contour lines of the principal stresses $P, Q$ and the shearing stress $\tau_{x y}$ respectively, for Type I loading. |
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## APPENDIX C .

Tables. Results obtaind from the tests and calculations are tabulated.

| Table | 1 | ```The integration of (P-0)cot\psi along the lines of principal stress P for Type I loading.``` |
| :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Table | II | The values of the principal stress Pand Q and the shearing stress calculated from table 1 for Type I loading. |
| Table | III | The values of principal stress $P, Q$ and shearing stress $\tau_{x y}$ obtaind by using the second method of integration, for Type II loading. |

Table I.
INTEGRATION ALONG P-STRESS LINES
Line (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6) (7) (8)
Number $\phi \quad x / h_{1} \quad y / h_{2} \quad n \quad \psi \quad \cot \psi \quad(\mathcal{P} \theta) \quad(\mathcal{P}-\theta) \cot \psi$

| 1 | 80 | . 963 | .070 | 2.50 | 98 | -. 1405 | 1033 | -146 |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
|  | 75 | .800 | .061 | 2.52 | 108 | -. 3249 | 1041 | -338 |
|  | 70 | .870 | .055 | 2.53 | 124.5 | -. 6873 | 1046 | -718 |
|  | 60 | .760 | .0278 | 2.56 | 128 | -. 7813 | 1059 | -826 |
| 2 | 80 | . 915 | . 133 | 2.30 | 92 | -. 0349 | 950 | - 33.1 |
|  | 75 | -843 | . 122 | 2.39 | 92.5 | -. 0.0437 | 987 | - 43.2 |
|  | 70 | .780 | .111 | 2.50 | 100 | -. 1763 | 1034 | -182.4 |
|  | 60 | . 633 | .067 | 2.51 | 106 | -. 4877 | 1078 | -526 |
| 3 | 80 | .907 | .198 | 1.97 | 80 | .1763 | 815 | 144 |
|  | 75 | . 824 | .185 | 2.17 | 81 | .1584 | 896 | 223 |
|  | 70 | .740 | . 172 | 2.41 | 60.2 | .5820 | 996 | 440 |
|  | 60 | . 537 | .117 | 2.66 | 102 | -. 2126 | 1100 | -234 |
| 4 | 80 | .925 | .296 | 1.40 | 64.5 | .4770 | 579 | 277 |
|  | 75 | .855 | . 286 | 1.90 | 55 | .7002 | 786 | 551 |
|  | 70 | .720 | .257 | 2.39 | 49 | - 8693 | 975 | 846 |
|  | 60 | .463 | .191 | 2.82 | 77 | .2309 | 1165 | 267 |
|  | 70 | .315 | .158 | 2.90 | 118 | -. 5317 | 1200 | -638 |
|  | 75 | 181.5 | .133 | 2.97 | 107.5 | -. 3153 | 1230 | -388 |
| 5 | 80 | .966 | . 395 | 1.06 | 68 | . 4040 | 439 | 177.5 |
|  | 75 | .925 | . 389 | 1.07 | 52.5 | .7673 | 443 | 341 |
|  | 70 | .740 | . 355 | 2.08 | 56 | . 6745 | 860 | 580 |
|  | 60 | . 435 | . 267 | 3.00 | 61.5 | .5430 | 1240 | 674 |
|  | 70 | .300 | .236 | 3.11 | 101 | -.3000 | 1288 | -386 |
|  | 75 | . 129 | . 206 | 3.16 | 96 | -. 1051 | 1309 | $-137.5$ |
| 6 | 80 | .990 | . 527 | . 97 | 75.5 | . 2586 | 401 | 103.9 |
|  | 60 | . 444 | .347 | 3.06 | 51 | . 8098 | 1266 | 1024 |
|  | 70 | . 268 | . 300 | 3.37 | 98.5 | -. 1495 | 1395 | -209 |
|  | 75 | .102 | .269 | 3.50 | 92 | . . 0349 | 1450 | $-50.6$ |
| 7 | 80 | 1.000 | . 628 | .81 | 90 | .0000. | 334 | 0 |
|  | 70 | . 852 | . 580 | . 89 | 52 | . 7813 | 368 | 287 |
|  | 60 | .485 | . 425 | 2.95 | 41 | 1.1504 | 1220 | 1405 |
|  | 70 | .241 | . 356 | 3.55 | 88.5 | . 0262 | 1470 | 148.5 |
|  | 75 | .065 | . 318 | 3.75 | 96.5 | -. 1139 | 1552 | -176.7 |
| 8 | 60 | - 577 | . 545 | 2.41 | 29 | 1.8040 | 994 | 1794 |
|  | 70 | .196 | . 420 | 3.82 | 92 | -. .0349 | 1580 | $-55.2$ |
|  | 75 | . 020 | .388 | 4.07 | 99 | -. .0699 | 1685 | $-117.9$ |
| 9 | $60$ | . 361 | .567 | 3.36 | 106 | -. 2867 | 1388 | -398 |
|  | 70 | .139 | . 498 | 4.26 | 92 | -. 0349 | 1760 | -61.5 |
|  | 75 | .000 | . 466 | 4.50 | 91 | -. 0175 | 1860 | - 33.0 |


| Line | (1) | (2) | (3) | (4) | (5) | (6) | (7) | (8) |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| Number | $\phi$ | $x / h_{\text {, }}$ | $4 / h_{2}$ | , | $\psi$ | $\cot \psi$ | $(\rho-\theta)$ | $(P-\theta) \cot 4$ |
| 10 | 50 | . 520 | . 733 | 1.82 | 150 | -1.7321 | 753 | -1306 |
|  | 60 | . 268 | . 628 | 3.27 | 111 | -. 3839 | 1352 | - 519 |
|  | 70 | . 065 | . 574 | 4.85 | 95.5 | -. 0965 | 2010 | - 193.6 |
| 11 | 40 | . 485 | . 840 | 1.20 | 140 | -1. 1918 | 496 | - 592 |
|  | 50 | . 333 | . 746 | 3.13 | 120 | -. 5774 | 1295 | - 748 |
|  | 60 | . 185 | . 688 | 4.50 | 98 | -. 1405 | 1860 | - 261 |
|  | 70 | . 0248 | . 640 | 5.35 | 95.5 | -. 0063 | 2210 | - 213 |
| 12 | 30 | . 361 | . 900 | 1.55 | 127.5 | -. 7673 | 641 | - 492 |
|  | 40 | . 297 | .837 | 2.85 | 117 | -. 5206 | 1179 | - 614 |
|  | 50 | . 213 | . 790 | 4.24 | 104 | -. 2493 | 1751 | - 437 |
|  | 60 | . 1165 | . 750 | 5.26 | 93 | -. 0524 | 2175 | - 114 |
|  | 70 | - | . 710 | 6.00 | 92 | -. 0349 | 2480 | - 86.5 |
| 13 | 20 | . 245 | . 946 | 1.55 | 106.5 | -. 2962 | 641 | - 190 |
|  | 30 | . 213 | .911 | 2.93 | 103 | -. 2309 | 1212 | - 280 |
|  | 40 | .176 | . 880 | 4.36 | 97.5 | -. 1317 | 1802 | - 237 |
|  | 50 | . 116 | . 840 | 5.50 | 95.5 | -. 0963 | 2270 | - 219 |
|  | 60 | .0463 | . 811 | 6.26 | 92.5 | -. 0437 | 2590 | - 113.2 |
| 14 |  |  |  | 3.75 | 93 | -. 0524 | 1550 | - 81.5 |
|  | 20 | . 0833 | . 970 | 4.65 | 92.5 | -. 0437 | 1922 | - 84 |
|  | 30 | .0740 | . 947 | 6.43 | 90 | . 0000 | 2600 | - 0 |
|  | 40 | . 0555 | . 932 | 6.90 | 92 | -. 0349 | 2850 | - 99.5 |
|  | 50 | .0150 | -910 | 7.65 | 94 | -. 0699 | 3160 | - 221 |
|  | 60 | - | . 887 | 7.70 | 95 | -. 0875 | 3180 | - 278 |

Table II.


Line (1) (2) (3) (4) (5) (6)
(8)
(9) Number $\phi \quad x / h_{1} \quad y / h_{2} \quad n$ $10 \quad 90^{\circ} \quad 7591.00$ $\begin{array}{lll}65^{\circ} & 703 & .861 \\ 50^{0} & .519 & .734\end{array}$ $33^{\circ} \cdot 333.646$ $\begin{array}{ll}24^{\circ} \cdot 148 \\ 16^{\circ}-.037 & .587 \\ \end{array}$ $16^{\circ}-.037$ - $547 \quad 4.93$
.18 $(P-\theta) \quad P$ $\theta$ $\sin 2 \alpha$ $\tau_{x y}$



$\begin{array}{llllllllll}13 & 90^{\circ} & .259 & 1.000 & 0 & & 0 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 44^{\circ} & .148 & .855 & 4.95 & 2044 & 145.2 & -1898.8 & .9994 & 102.1 \\ & 19^{\circ} & .037 & .792 & 6.75 & 2790 & 203 & -2587 & .6157 & 859.0\end{array}$

| (1) | (2) | ${ }_{\text {( }}^{\text {( }}$ ) | $(4)$ $\left(\sigma_{x}-\sigma_{y}\right)$ | (5) $\sigma_{x}$ | ${ }_{6}^{(6)}$ | $\begin{gathered} (7) \\ (P+Q) \end{gathered}$ | $\begin{gathered} (8) \\ (p-Q) \end{gathered}$ | (9) | $\begin{gathered} (10) \\ Q \end{gathered}$ |
| :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: | :---: |
| 1.0 | . 1 | 0 | 1100 | 0 | - 1100 | -1100 | 1118 | 9 | -1109 |
| -9 | " | 126.7 | 914 | 21.5 | -8925 | - 871.0 | 960 | 44.5 | -9155 |
| - 8 | \% | 233.3 | 830 | 49.4 | -7806 | -731.0 | 943 | 106.0 | -837.0 |
| 7 | \% | 310.0 | 810 | 66.7 | -743.3 | -676.6 | 1000 | 161.7 | -838.3 |
| - 6 | \% | 354.6 | 865 | 74.85 | $5-790.2$ | -715.35 | 1095 | 189.8 | -905.2 |
| - 5 |  | 352.0 | 1015 | 84.00 | - $=931$ | -947 | 1210 | 131.5 | 1078.5 |
| 4 | \% | 273.3 | 1230 | 87.6 | -1142.4 | -1054.8 | 1350 | 147.6 | -1202.4 |
| . 3 | " | 255.3 | 1473.0 | 81.4 | $-1391.6$ | - 1310.2 | 1518 | 103.9 | -1414.1 |
| -2 | " | 285.3 | 1568.5 | 63.4 | -1505.1 | -1441.7 | 1671. 1 | 114.7 | -1556.4 |
| . 1 | " | 366.7 | 1559 | 41.3 | -1517.7 | -1476.4 | 1756 | 139.8 | -1616.2 |
| 0 | 4 | 504.0 | 1490 | 27.0 | -1463 | -1436.0 | 1800 | 182.0 | -1618.0 |
| 1.0 | . 2 | 0 | 958 |  | - 958 | - 958 | 958 | 0 | - 958 |
| -9 | 1 | 82.7 | 835 | 2.93 | -832.1 | - 829.2 | 842 | 6.4 | -835.6 |
| . 8 | " | 179.4 | 798 | 10.72 | -787-3 | - 776.6 | 871 | 47.2 | - 823.8 |
| -7 | 11 | 274.0 | 822 | 15.95 | -806 | - 790.0 | 970 | 90.0 | - 880.0 |
| - 6 | \% | 326.0 | 917 | 14.64 | - 902 | - 887.4 | 1120 | 116.3 | -1003.7 |
| - 5 | \% | 346.6 | 1080 | 4.88 | -1075 | -1070.1 | 1282 | 105.95 | -1176.0 |
| . 4 | \% | 368.0 | 1243 | -6.51 | -1249.5 | -1256.0 | 1446 | 95.0 | -1351.0 |
| -3 | \% | 420.7 | 1345 | -24.44 | $4-1369.4$ | -1393.8 | 1520 | 63.1 | $-1456.9$ |
| - 2 | \% | 512.0 | 1420 | -51.1 | -1461.1 | -1512.2 | 1710 | 98.9 | -1611.1 |
| . 1 | 8 | 534.7 | 1458 | -84.4 | -15424 | -1627.8 | 1792 | 82. 1 | -1709.9 |
| 0 | ${ }^{\prime}$ | 516.7 | 2528 | -203.0 | -1631.0 | -1734 | 2842 | 53.5 | $-1787.5$ |
| 1.0 | . 3 | 0 | 810 | 0 | -810 | - 810 | 810 | 0 | - 810 |
| . 9 |  | 96.0 | 650 | - 4.88 | -654.9 | - 659 | 700 | 20.5 | - 679.5 |
| - 8 | \% | 200.0 | 671 | -11.07 | -682.1 | - 693.2 | 770 | 38.4 | - 731.6 |
| - 7 | \% | 281.3 | 802 | -14.00 | -816.0 | - 830 | 965 | 67.5 | - 897.5 |
| . 6 | " | 340.7 | 934 | -19.52 | $2-953.5$ | - 973 | 1180 | 103.5 | -1076.5 |
| . 5 | " | 405.7 | 1047 | - 35.8 | - -1082.8 | -1118.5 | 1330 | 205.9 | $-1224.3$ |
| -4 | \% | 475.4 | 1146 | -42.9 | -1183.9 | -1231.8 | 1480 | 124.1 | $-1355.9$ |
| - 3 | 1 | 518.6 | 1253 | -40.7 | $-1293.7$ | $-1334.4$ | 1634 | 149.8 | $-1484 \cdot 2$ |
| -2 | 8 | 540.0 | 1362 | $-37.1$ | -1399.1 | -1436.0 | 1749 | 156.5 | -1592.5 |
| -1 | 8 | 542.0 | 1465 | $-32.2$ | -1497.2 | $-1529.4$ | 1828 | 149.3 | -1678.7 |
| 0 | \% | 524.7 | 1552 | -29.3 | -1581.3 | -1610.6 | 1869 | 129.2 | -1739. |
| 1.0 | -4 | 0 | 658 | 0.1 | 9. 658 | -658 | 658 | 0 | - 658 |
| . 2.9 | - | 92.0 | 504 | 3.91 | . -500.1 | $-496.2$ | 545 | 24.4 | -520.6 |
| 8 | 1 | 185.6 | 598 | 14.32 | - -583.7 | -569. 1 | 677 | 53.8 | -623.2 |
| . 7 | \% | 272.6 | 790 | 24.1 | -765.9 | - 741.8 | 935 | 96.6 | -838.4 |
| -6 | 8 | 360.0 | 926 | 31.6 | -894.4 | -862.8 | 1166 | 151.6 | -1014. |
| . 5 | 4 | 412.0 | 1063 | 36.8 | -1026.2 | 2-989.4 | 1345 | 17\%.8 | -1167. |
| -4 | " | 439.4 | 1220 | 28.1 | -1181.9 | - -143.8 | 1515 | 185.6 | -1329.4 |
| . 3 | ${ }^{4}$ | 466.6 | 1360 | 51.8 | $-1308.2$ | -1256.4 | 1670 | 206.8 | -1463.2 |
| . 2 | 3 | 497.0 | 1482 | 55.8 | -1426.9 | - 1371.8 | 1792 | 210.1 | -1581.9 |
| .1 |  | 519.0 | 1563 | 51.2 | - 1511.8 | S 1460.6 | 1879 | 209\%2 | -1669.8 |
| 0 |  | 537.3 | 1591 | 45.3 | $-1545 \%$ | 7 -150. 4 | 1920 | 209.8 | -1710.2 |

