

SYNTHETIC REGULATION AND GENETIC CONTROL OF ECOLOGICAL
STRATEGY

Thesis by
Travis Scott Bayer

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy

California Institute of Technology

Pasadena, California

2009

(Defended 19 December 2007)

© 2009

Travis Scott Bayer

All Rights Reserved

ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

It is quite rare to have the good fortune to be surrounded by so many excellent people.

First, I would like to thank Christina Smolke for advising, employing, tolerating, and encouraging me over the past years. I appreciate the environment that she has created, which allowed me to spend hours at the whiteboard (and hoods, and windows) drawing things that would probably never work, coming up with insanely ambitious plans, and giving me enough rope to hang myself with (which happened often).

I am grateful for the guidance and good science my committee (Frances Arnold, Paul Sternberg, and Michael Elowitz) has taught me, whether by gentle persuasion, critical reading, or challenging questions. One of the first experiences I had at Caltech was Frances encouraging me to come here, saying “this is a place to get science done.” Caltech has not disappointed.

It is always a memorable experience starting in a lab, and I believe more so when the lab itself is starting. I would like to thank the “original crew” – Stephanie Culler, Kristy Hawkins, Maung Win, and Andrew Babiskin for allowing a biologist into the lab, for racking tips by hand, and for teaching each other how to clone. We have all come a long way. Thanks to all the members of the Smolke group for making the lab a productive, functioning unit.

I have been incredibly fortunate to remain friend and colleague to the Ellington group at UT-Austin. Nine years ago, Andy Ellington convinced me to abandon the philosophy degree I was working on and take up science, and has remained an advisor and mentor ever since. Matt Levy and Amos Yan are the types of scientists and people I aspire to be: kind, conscientious, knowledgeable, technical, visionary.

I would like to acknowledge the support and wisdom I have received from everyone in our field. Drew Endy, Chris Voigt, Zach Simpson, and Wendell Lim have helped me develop ideas, test hypothesis, troubleshoot projects, and think big. Chase Beisel taught me that models demand one's respect, but reward amply; and that you can watch the World Cup and talk science at the same time. Steph Culler and Kristy Hawkins teach by example: they excel at everything they do, and still produce great work.

Jeff Tabor and Kevin Hoff have always been my second and third advisors, at least in spirit. I am grateful for the countless coffee breaks and happy hours I have spent talking science, writing, complaining, and thinking with them. Jeff showed me that synthetic biology can and should be fun, and that we should take a hacker approach to it. Kevin has pushed me as much as anyone to do good work, always think big, and always be the toughest critic of your own work.

I would not be writing this thesis today if not for my (extended) family: Scott, Linda, Tyler, Andrea, Robert, Lynn, and Erika. They have listened, advised, supported, cajoled, and convinced me when to stick with things and when to take breaks. It is all too easy to

lose perspective of things at Caltech, and all of them have helped me stay focused on the fact that having a full life should be balanced with having a full lab notebook.

Finally, this work is as much Laura's as it is mine – she knows about every failed experiment, every late night, and every frustration that I went through. It is difficult to predict whether investments of time and effort will payoff in the end, which is especially true in science. I am certain that every day I have spent with her has been worthwhile.

ABSTRACT

The construction of synthetic gene regulatory circuits inside living cells has illuminated how organisms process environmental signals, and has suggested that biological systems can be engineered for useful purposes. However, these lines of inquiry are limited by a lack of technologies for programming gene expression and an understanding of the adaptive or ecological consequences of manipulating gene expression. Here, I describe the design of noncoding RNA regulators of gene expression in *Saccharomyces cerevisiae*. These regulators are able to regulate gene expression in response to a small molecule ligand, which offers the ability to tailor control devices for a variety of applications. In light of this, an open question is the dependence of organism fitness on the levels of a regulator, which has seldom been measured. I found that the expression level of a transcriptional regulator of nitrogen metabolism mediates a trade-off between growth in resource abundant and resource limited environments in *S. cerevisiae*. Redundancy in the metabolic pathways of ammonia assimilation allowed noise, or random fluctuations in the amount of protein present, to dictate whether cells specialized in maximizing fitness in abundant or limiting environments. These results show how gene expression may be programmed via noncoding RNA regulators, and that the manipulation of regulator levels can affect the strategy by which organisms adapt to their environments.

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract	vi
Table of Contents	vii
Chapter 1. Synthetic Approaches to Understanding Biology	1.1
Chapter 2. Programmable Ligand Controlled Riboregulators of Eukaryotic Gene Expression	2.1
Chapter 3. The Regulation of Noise in a Metabolic Gene Determines an Ecological Strategy in Yeast	3.1
Chapter 4. Yeast Use a Tit-For-Tat Strategy in Ammonia Metabolism to Establish Cooperation	4.1
Appendix A. Riboregulator methods and materials	A.1
Appendix B. Ecological strategy methods and materials	B.1