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Abstract

The decay of $^{147}$Sm to $^{143}$Nd allows $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd to be used to trace Sm/Nd fractionation in long time-scale geologic processes. Since Sm/Nd is a sensitive indicator of many aspects of the overall chemistry of rocks, the Sm-Nd system provides an excellent tool for the study of the chemical evolution of the earth's crust and mantle. $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd has been measured in terrestrial rock samples of different ages to establish the characteristics of Nd isotopic evolution in the crust and mantle. The evolution of $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd in the mantle indicates Sm/Nd equal to that of chondrites, and implies a chondritic REE distribution for the earth. Young basalts show a significant dispersion in $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd indicating the existence of distinct mantle reservoirs with characteristic $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd. $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd in average crustal rocks today is much lower than in mantle samples and reflects their age and low Sm/Nd. Continental flood basalts and mid-ocean ridge (MOR) tholeiitic basalts have distinctly different $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd which may permit a priori distinction between "continental" and "oceanic" igneous rocks. Ocean island basalts have $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd intermediate between MOR and continental flood basalts. Initial $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd of many continental igneous rocks through time fall on a Sm/Nd evolution curve with chondritic REE abundance ratio. Oceanic igneous rocks are derived from a different ancient reservoir which has Sm/Nd higher than chondritic. These observations indicate that many continental igneous rocks are derived from a reservoir with chondritic REE pattern which may represent primary undifferentiated material
remaining since the formation of the earth, while oceanic igneous rocks are derived from highly differentiated reservoirs. The mantle beneath the oceans appears to be more depleted in crustal components than is the mantle which is subjacent to the continental crust. In general, basalts are not derived from mantle reservoirs which have been light REE-enriched for long times.

Initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in young basalts from both oceans and continents show a strong correlation suggesting that Sm-Nd and Rb-Sr fractionation events in the mantle may be correlative and caused by the same process. From this correlation Rb/Sr for the earth is inferred to be 0.029.

Initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in lunar igneous rocks show much more dispersion than in terrestrial rocks of similar age. The data suggest that the earth, unlike the moon, did not undergo an early differentiation event which greatly fractionated the rare earth elements, or if it did, a mixing process operated during the subsequent AE to erase the variation of Sm/Nd produced in this event.

Nd and Sr isotopes indicate that if the earth is made of a mixture of achondrites (low Rb/Sr) and chondrites (high Rb-Sr) that these two components must have been thoroughly mixed. The present-day isotopic heterogeneity of the earth's mantle is unrelated to accretional heterogeneity.

Transport calculations and material balance considerations for simple models of formation of the continental crust indicate that only a small portion of the earth's total budget of Sm and Nd are
found in the crust. Highly differentiated mantle reservoirs such as those from which MOR basalts are derived must represent only a small portion of the mantle, perhaps one-fourth to one-sixth or less. The data are consistent with the existence of large volumes of undifferentiated (possibly undegassed) material in the mantle. The data also suggest that the continental crust has a low Rb/Sr (less than 0.10) implying a highly layered structure for the crust, with the lower crust having a much lower Rb/Sr than the upper crust.

Island arc lavas from New Britain and the Marianas have $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ similar to other oceanic basalts and distinctly different from continental flood basalts and thus appear to be derived from a high Sm/Nd, light-REE-depleted reservoir. Consideration of both Nd and Sr isotopes suggests seawater involvement in the generation of some island arc lavas and thus indicates that they may be derived from altered subducted oceanic crust. Other island arc lavas show no evidence of seawater involvement and may be derived from mantle reservoirs with affinities to the sources of ocean island basalts. Nd and Sr in some basaltic and ultrapotassic continental lavas and in some Andean volcanics indicate that some magmas in continental regions may be derived from old low-Sm/Nd reservoirs or are heavily contaminated with old continental crustal material. Fish debris from the ocean floor provides an estimate of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in seawater and indicates that light-REE in the marine environment are derived mainly from continents.
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I. INTRODUCTION

Purposes of the investigation

Broadly stated, the aims of this investigation are to study the chemical structure of the earth and how it has developed using the variations of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ found in igneous rocks. More specifically the aim is to identify the existence of distinct reservoirs in the earth and to try to deduce the locations and extents of these reservoirs by searching for patterns in the isotopic variations in igneous rocks which are related to geographic location, tectonic setting or rock type. Thus it is possible to deduce large scale structure in the earth and estimate its age or time of formation. This combination of information on both the existence of structure and its age is extremely powerful and is unique to the study of earth structure using radiogenic isotopes. It is hoped that ultimately this information can be combined with geophysical evidence for present-day large-scale motions in the earth to better understand the dynamics of the earth's mantle.

In addition, the data collected in this study provide new insight into long-standing problems in igneous petrogenesis. These include questions such as which chemical characteristics of magmas are inherited from parental reservoirs, which result from variations in the process of magma formation and emplacement, and which are due to contamination with wallrock. Also, the question of whether young segments of continental crust are mantle-derived or represent remelting of pre-existing crust is addressed. A question related to this last
one is whether crustal material, if derived from the mantle, is always extracted from the same limited volume of mantle, which must then change composition with time, or instead is always derived from previously untapped parts of the mantle.

A further purpose of this study, which is inseparable from the previously mentioned aims, is to determine the relative REE abundance pattern in the outer parts of the earth, and to compare this to the abundances found in chondritic meteorites. This will indicate whether any substantial REE fractionation occurred during the formation and early differentiation of the earth. Such fractionation could occur between the upper and lower mantle, between the mantle and a possible protocrust or even, perhaps, between the earth's mantle and the moon if the moon was somehow derived from the earth's mantle as has been suggested.

It has also been possible to compare Nd evolution in the earth and the moon to try to understand the possible effects of differing size and chemistry on the differentiation history of a planetary body. This has been possible by using Nd data previously measured on lunar rocks by other workers (Lugmair et al., 1975a,b; 1976) and additional measurements made as part of this thesis work (Papanastassiou et al., 1977). Sm-Nd results have shown interesting contrasts between the early histories of the two bodies.

Isotopic studies of magma sources using the Rb-Sr and U-Th-Pb systems have provided abundant information about the origin of magmas and chemical structure in the interior of the earth. Many of the Nd results are confirmatory of these earlier findings. However,
a particularly interesting result of the Nd studies, which was not foreseen at the beginning of this study, is that variations of initial Nd in young basalts are remarkably strongly correlated with variation of initial Sr in these basalts. This correlation indicates that Rb/Sr and Sm/Nd may be to a large extent covariant in the earth, and this result provides important information on the nature of the processes responsible for the formation of different reservoirs in the earth. A simple correlation between Sr and Pb isotopes in basalts did not exist. The correlation between Rb-Sr and Sm-Nd suggests that the differentiation processes at work within the earth may be simple and consistent. Therefore a portion of this study is devoted to assessing the possible origins of this correlation and its implications. As examples of the possible scope of the implications, from this correlation it has been possible to estimate Rb/Sr for undifferentiated mantle and therefore possibly also Rb/Sr of the bulk earth, to assess contamination of magmas due to assimilation of crustal material, and to evaluate the role of subducted oceanic crust in the generation of island arc lavas.

As a start towards understanding the implications of the Nd isotopic data for mantle dynamics and the origin of the continental crust, simple mathematical models of the transport of Sm and Nd between reservoirs are used to estimate the relative volumes of different reservoirs in the mantle, to illustrate possible genetic relationships between these reservoirs, and to try to assess the evidence for the existence of undifferentiated material in the earth and estimate its composition.
Previous work

The principles behind the use of the Sm-Nd radioactive system are the same as those for Rb-Sr. The first use of Rb-Sr to study the differentiation of the earth was by Gast (1955, 1960). Summaries of the relevant principles can be found in Gast (1967) and Wassenburg (1966) and in several other sources. In many respects this thesis represents a return to the problems first addressed by Gast (1955, 1960) using Rb-Sr. Similarly, the U-Th-Pb system has also been used to study the earth's differentiation (c.f. Patterson, 1964).

The Sm-Nd system was not used earlier due to the technical difficulties in making the measurements. The $\alpha$ decay of $^{147}$Sm to $^{143}$Nd has a long time constant ($t_{1/2} = 1.06 \times 10^{11}$ yr) and variations of Sm/Nd in nature are small, so the variations in the natural abundance of $^{143}$Nd are small. The total range of measured $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd is approximately 1%. At the time the first Rb-Sr studies were undertaken, the precision of isotope ratio measurements was about ±0.5%. Thus, use of the Sm-Nd system was impossible. The development of a computer interfaced mass spectrometer with digital data acquisition by Wasserburg et al. (1969) allowed a factor of 100 improvement in the precision of isotope ratio measurements and made Nd isotopic studies feasible. However, before such high-precision measurements could be made, methods for the clean chemical separation of individual rare-earth elements had to be developed and adapted to the analysis of rocks. Methods for the chemical separation of rare-earths were originally developed in the 1940s to separate U fission products produced in the early reactors. These methods were not exploited by
geochemists until about two decades later. In the early 1960s simplified chemical procedures were employed to separate REE for the purpose of determining their abundances in rocks by neutron activation (cf., Haskin et al., 1966a). This work required only rough separations to achieve nominal radiochemical purity of the separate elements. The methods for high-purity separation of individual rare-earths were first introduced into the field in the late 1960s by Eugster et al. (1970) at Caltech. They used these methods to separate Gd and Sm for isotopic analysis to measure neutron capture effects in meteorites and lunar soils. Thus by 1969 all of the necessary technical capabilities for the measurement of Nd isotopic variations were in existence. It was not until five years later that any measurements were made.

Using the chemical methods of Eugster et al. (1969), Lugmair (1974) and Lugmair, Scheinin, and Marti (1975a) first used the Sm-Nd method to date the meteorite Juvinas and a lunar basalt. Their work demonstrated that the system could be used to obtain precise ages of old rocks and also showed its potential usefulness in studying planetary differentiation. The thesis work described here has involved applying this method to problems of terrestrial petrogenesis.

The study of Nd isotopic variations in terrestrial rocks is unique among isotopic investigations in that it is preceded by more than a decade of intensive study of the distribution of Sm and Nd and other rare-earth elements (REE) in rocks and minerals (cf., Haskin et al., 1978 for review, also Haskin et al., 1966a). These studies have shown that although the REE are chemically very similar, significant
fractionation occurs in nature within the rare earth group and the relative fractionation within the group is in general a smooth function of atomic number. REE patterns in some terrestrial rocks are shown in Figure 1. In this graph the abundances measured in a rock are normalized to the abundances measured in chondritic meteorites, which are thought to represent solar system relative abundances, and plotted against atomic number from La to Lu. The upper curve is the REE abundances in a composite of North American shales. The pattern shown, with light REE enriched relative to heavy REE, is typical of the vast majority of continental crustal rocks and is thus probably representative of continental crust. The lower curve represents a sample of a peridotite intrusion at Lizard, England. Peridotite is thought to approximate in composition the earth's upper mantle and it is possible that this REE pattern may be representative of parts of the upper mantle. Figure 1b shows REE patterns in three basic volcanic rocks. It shows that Sm/Nd is highly variable even between rocks which are generally classed as "basalts." Note also that the Sm/Nd ratio is closely coupled with the overall bulk chemistry of these lavas as indicated by the rock names, and that both Sm and Nd are highly enriched in the basalts compared to chondrites. It is clear from these graphs that significant natural variation of relative REE abundances is common and can be used to characterize large lithic reservoirs in the earth. Figure 1 also shows that the Sm/Nd ratio is a sensitive indicator of the overall REE pattern, low
Figure 1: (a) Graph of rare-earth element abundances in two rock samples normalized to the abundances in ordinary chondritic meteorites. Normalized abundances are usually used in REE geochemistry in order to eliminate the odd-even effect or Otto-Harkins rule where even-numbered elements are generally more abundant than the odd-numbered elements of similar atomic number. The upper curve gives REE abundances in a composite of North American shales (cf. Haskin et al., 1966a) and is probably representative of average upper crust, i.e., that part of the crust which normally becomes exposed to erosion. The lower curve is a spinel peridotite sample from the Lizard peridotite intrusion in southern Great Britain, which is regarded as a solid state intrusion of upper mantle rock into the crust (Frey et al., 1971). The value of Sm/Nd for each sample is given and illustrates the range in this ratio found in nature. (b) REE patterns of three basic lavas compared to chondrites and Lizard peridotite. Note that the lavas are generally enriched in REE and that the REE patterns are smooth functions of atomic number. F values indicate the fractional enrichment or depletion of Sm/Nd in each rock relative to chondrites. Thus the leucitite, with F = -0.61, has Sm/Nd 61% lower than chondritic. Note also that the REE abundances and fractionation pattern are closely related to the overall rock composition. Going from top to bottom, the decreasing light REE concentrations and increasing Sm/Nd ratio correlate with a decrease in the abundances of K, Rb, Sr, U, Th, Pb, Ti, P, and many other elements. This close tie between Sm/Nd and the overall chemistry of rocks makes the Sm-Nd system a valuable tracer in geologic
processes. The data in Figure 1b for the leucitite and alkali basalt are from Kay and Gast (1973), for the tholeiite from O'Nions et al. (1976), and for the peridotite from Frey (1970).
Sm/Nd is indicative of a light-REE-enriched pattern and high Sm/Nd is characteristic of a light-REE-depleted pattern. Thus information about the Sm/Nd ratio of terrestrial reservoirs derived from study of Nd isotopes also yields much broader information about the chemistry of these reservoirs. Because of the previous work on the distribution of REE, some of the Nd isotopic results could be anticipated. But important questions, such as whether the variation in REE patterns observed in rocks were inherited from parent reservoirs which formed in an early terrestrial differentiation or were merely the results of the immediate short-term magmatic processes which formed the rocks, could be answered only by the time control provided by the Sm-Nd radiometric clock.

**Original experiment design**

At the time of commencement of this project there existed no published Sm-Nd isotopic data on any terrestrial rocks. Therefore, the plan of the investigation was neither constrained nor guided by any previous Nd isotopic data. The abundant data on REE patterns, however, gave a rough indication of the probable magnitude and sign of effects. In particular, it was supposed that the present isotopic composition of the continental crust would be distinctly different from that of the mantle (as suggested by Figure 1a for instance), and that this contrast might be valuable as a tool for locating the sources of magmas. Another immediate aim was to try to determine when the differentiation events took place which produced the variations in REE patterns as shown in Figure 1. The age of the crust has been a
long-standing problem in geology, but the general problem of the nature of any early terrestrial differentiation was also at hand. Frey et al. (1971) had posed the question with regard to REE. They suggested that the REE patterns in the earth might be a function of depth, with perhaps the upper levels being enriched in light REE (low-Sm/Nd) and the deeper levels depleted in light REE (high Sm/Nd), or vice versa. This layering could have formed recently or near the time of formation of the earth or could have developed gradually through time. These questions seemed to have a good possibility of being answered by the Nd isotope data.

It was also known at the outset that Sr and Pb isotopic variations existed in young basalts and appeared to be useful for studying mantle structure. It was thought possible that Nd isotopes might be similarly useful. However, the probable magnitude of the Nd effects was not at all clear, and it seemed as likely as not that they would be too small to measure or else measurable but totally random. This type of application of Nd isotopes did not look to be too promising. The rather surprising regularities in the basalt data which were found during the course of this study proved this initial assessment to be far off the mark.

In addition to the problems mentioned above, it was also recognized that the Sm-Nd system could be a useful geochronological tool. From general geochemical considerations it appeared that many rocks which were not easily dateable with Rb-Sr or U-Th-Pb could be dated by Sm-Nd. In particular this applied to ancient basic and
ultrabasic rocks. However, it was decided from the outset that the
main thrust of this thesis would not be the dating of rocks. Instead,
samples would be used which were already well-dated by other methods,
and the above-mentioned general geochemical questions would be
directly addressed.

In most respects, the course taken by this thesis work has
closely followed the original plans. The one exception is the extent
of the investigation of Nd isotopic variations in young volcanic
rocks. Several well-dated Precambrian rocks were available at Caltech
from past investigations. However, an extensive library of
chemically characterized young volcanic rocks was not available at
Caltech. Consequently, over the past two years considerable effort
has gone into obtaining such samples.

During the course of this work a significant amount of data
has been published by investigators from other laboratories (Richard
et al., 1976; O'Nions et al., 1977; Hawkesworth et al., 1977;
Hamilton et al., 1977). These investigations mainly entailed
measurements of Nd isotopes in young oceanic basalts. The appearance
of these data have caused this investigation to be diverted away
from study of oceanic basalts to avoid duplication of effort at a time
when the overall data base is still small. The data of these other
investigators is herein used freely in conjunction with data produced at
Caltech. The basic patterns in the data, first set forth by DePaolo
and Wasserburg (1976a,b), would be fully evident without the additional
data, but inclusion of the other data greatly strengthens the statistical significance of these patterns.

During the course of this thesis four papers were published outlining the results. These papers are included as Appendixes one through four.

**Basic principle**

The principle on which this investigation is based is illustrated in Figure 2. Stated in words the principle is as follows. If an original homogeneous rock reservoir, which has the same Sm/Nd and \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) throughout, is differentiated by magmatic processes into two reservoirs with differing chemical and mineralogical compositions, these two reservoirs will have different Sm/Nd ratios and subsequently they will evolve different \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) ratios. The Sm/Nd ratios in the two resulting reservoirs are a function of the details of the differentiation process. These reservoirs, if sampled today, contain information about the time of the differentiation event and its nature.

An example is given in Figure 2. At time \(T_o\) homogeneous rock reservoir UR is formed with \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} = I_{UR}(T_o)\) and \(\text{Sm/Nd} = \text{Sm/Nd}_{UR}(T_o)\). The \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) of UR will increase with time due to the decay of \(^{147}\text{Sm}\) to \(^{143}\text{Nd}\), and \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) in UR as a function of time (\(I_{UR}(T)\)) is given by

\[
I_{UR}(T) = I_{UR}(T_o) + \left(\frac{^{147}\text{Sm}}{^{144}\text{Nd}}\right)_{UR}(T) \left[e^{\lambda_{\text{Sm}}(T_o - T)} - 1\right] \\
\approx I_{UR}(T_o) + C(\text{Sm/Nd})_{UR} \lambda_{\text{Sm}}(T_o - T)
\]
Figure 2: An illustration showing how differentiation processes can be traced through time using Sm-Nd isotopes. In a through d the differentiation of an originally homogeneous volume of rock into two chemically distinct layers as a result of melting and fractional crystallization is shown. The differentiation takes place at a time $T_1$ years ago, and the time necessary to accomplish the differentiation ($\Delta T_2$) is assumed to be small compared to $T_1$. Figure 2e shows how $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ changes with time in the uniform reservoir of rock (UR) and in the two reservoirs (A and B) formed from UR at $T_1$. The slopes of the evolution lines for each reservoir are proportional to Sm/Nd in the reservoir. Therefore if $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in a reservoir can be sampled at different points in time, the Sm/Nd of the reservoir can be deduced. It is this principle which is used to study reservoirs in the earth's interior. Thus, for instance, we can determine Sm/Nd in the mantle by measuring the initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in basalts of different ages which provide samplings of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in the mantle. Figure 2f is a Nd evolution diagram showing what the measured $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ and $^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ would be like in reservoirs A and B if they could be measured today.
Fig. 2
where C is a constant and T is measured backward from today (i.e., \( T = \text{Age} \)). As shown in Figure 2e, \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) in UR evolves along an essentially straight line with a slope proportional to its Sm/Nd ratio. Now, at \( T_1 \), UR is partially melted. Both the melt and the crystals have the same \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\), but the chemical differences between the melt and crystals are such that the crystals have a different Sm/Nd than the melt. The crystals then settle to the bottom, the melt is displaced to the top, and the whole system then solidifies and cools in a short time. Now, in place of UR, there exist two reservoirs A and B which are chemically distinct with respect to all elements and thus (incidentally) have different Sm/Nd ratios. Immediately after this differentiation event A and B still have identical \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} = I_1\). But, as shown in Figure 5e, subsequent to \( T_1 \), \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) in A, which has \( \text{Sm/Nd}_A < \text{Sm/Nd}_{\text{UR}} \) (say), will evolve more slowly due to its lower Sm/Nd, and \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) in B will evolve more rapidly due to its higher Sm/Nd. As shown in Figure 5f, if we sample A and B today and measure their \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) and \(^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd}\), the slope of the line connecting the two points gives \( T_1 \) and the y intercept gives \( I_1 \). As shown on Figure 5e and 5f, if a reservoir formed at \( T_1 \) with Sm/Nd = 0, then today its measured ratio would be equal to \( I_1 \). This simple example illustrates the basic principal of geochronology. \( T_1 \) is interpreted as the "age" of reservoirs A and B. It represents the time that they could last have had the same \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\).

Let us consider Figure 2 as an example of an igneous intrusion which is dated using Sm-Nd. In this case the intrusion is exposed
at the earth's surface, and can be mapped and perhaps divided into
two units (Reservoirs A and B) on the basis of chemical, mineralogical,
and textural differences. Let us say that it is concluded that this
intrusion was emplaced as a homogeneous magma, that it began to
crystallize slowly, and the crystals sank to the bottom of the magma
chamber. After about half the magma had crystallized the remaining
melt crystallized quickly and then the entire intrusion cooled to
ambient temperature. In this case the formation of Reservoirs A and
B has been reconstructed by direct observation of the rocks themselves.
Evidence can be drawn from various disciplines (mineralogy, geochemistry,
petrology, and structure) until the processes responsible for the
formation of these reservoirs are understood. When it has been
concluded that A and B were formed from a single parent magma, then we
can measure $^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ and $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in A and B and feel confident
that the slope of the line they define represents the crystallization
age of the intrusion as shown in Figure 2. Thus, since we can under-
stand the formation of A and B by direct observation, the Sm-Nd
isotope system would be needed only as a tool to determine the age.

Now, instead, suppose that Reservoirs A and B are large
reservoirs or layers in the earth's mantle or the lower crust and are
not exposed at the surface. However, suppose also that magmas are now
and then produced by partial melting of parts of these reservoirs, and
these magmas are erupted or emplaced into the upper crust and
therefore are observable. When they are erupted the magmas will have
$^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ which is equal to that in the reservoir from which they were
derived, but their Sm/Nd ratios may be different due to fractionation during melting or during differentiation of the magma on its way to the surface. Thus a lava derived today from A will have $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} = I_A(0)$ and a lava from B will have $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} = I_B(0)$. The difference in $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ between these two lavas informs us of the existence of two reservoirs deep in the earth. However, unlike in the case of the intrusion we cannot directly observe the chemistry of these reservoirs. But from the measured $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in the lavas we can infer much about the chemistry, formation, and age of these reservoirs at depth. For instance, the reservoir with the higher $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ must also have the higher Sm/Nd, and since, as discussed earlier, Sm/Nd is closely tied to many other chemical parameters, we can infer much about the chemical differences between the reservoirs and therefore also infer how they may have formed. Although the exact age of the reservoirs cannot be determined we can place limits on their age. If the difference in $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ between the two reservoirs is $\Delta I$, then the difference in Sm/Nd ($\Delta\text{Sm/Nd}$) and the time $T_1$ since they were fractionated from a common parent reservoir are related by

$$\Delta I \approx C(\Delta\text{Sm/Nd})T_1.$$  

Thus if $\Delta\text{Sm/Nd}$ is small $T_1$ must be large whereas if $\Delta\text{Sm/Nd}$ is large $T_1$ must be small. The inferred age of the reservoirs is inversely proportional to the magnitude of the chemical contrast between them.

Thus using these principles the variations of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in
igneous rocks can be used to study the chemical structure of the earth's interior. The igneous rocks themselves simply provide isotopic samplings of the earth's interior.

**Planetary differentiation and the isotopic record**

The primary aim of this study has been to use the Nd isotopic composition of igneous rocks of different ages to trace the differentiation of the outer earth through time. A somewhat detailed illustration of how the Sm-Nd system can be used in this manner is given in Figure 3 and the following discussion. Figure 3a depicts in a highly schematic way the differentiation history of a planet, while the evolution of Nd isotopic composition with time in the reservoirs within this planet are shown in Figure 3b. The ensuing discussion exhibits in a simplified manner most of the ideas which form the basis for this investigation, provides a framework for later discussion of the data, and foreshadows some of the important findings.

Following Lugmair (1974) the isotope ratio $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ is used to monitor the variations in the relative abundance of $^{143}\text{Nd}$ due to the decay of $^{147}\text{Sm}$. The growth of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ as a function of time in a reservoir where Sm/Nd is constant except for changes due to $^{147}\text{Sm}$ decay is given by:

\[
\frac{^{143}\text{Nd}}{^{144}\text{Nd}}(\tau_2) = \frac{^{143}\text{Nd}}{^{144}\text{Nd}}(\tau_1) + \frac{^{147}\text{Sm}}{^{144}\text{Nd}}(\tau_2) \left[ e^{\lambda(\tau_1 - \tau_2)} - 1 \right]
\]

For the Sm-Nd system, generally $\lambda(\tau_1 - \tau_2) << 1$ so the change in $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ over the time interval $\tau_2 - \tau_1$, is given approximately by:
Figure 3: (a) A schematic illustration of the differentiation of a planet. At $T_c$ the planet condenses from the solar nebula (SN) as a uniform homogeneous sphere (UR). At time $T_f$ the outer parts of the planet are internally differentiated into two chemically distinct layers (A and B) with different Sm/Nd as shown. The deep interior of the planet remains undifferentiated. At times $T_{m_1}$ and $T_{m_2}$ there is volcanic activity during which magmas generated by melting in the planet's interior are brought to the surface. The solid circular area in the interior of the planet represents the region of melting, the solid slabs on the surface represent lava flows and these are connected by the conduit through which the magma passed to the surface. In this illustration these magmas are thought of as simply isotopic samplings of the interior of the planet. However, in actuality the removal of magma from a certain volume within the planet can cause a change in the composition of that volume and create, in effect, a new layer. Thus, in a more general example, the magmatism would be intimately linked with the differentiation process. (b) Graph of the evolution of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ with time in the different reservoirs in the planet. The square symbols represent the initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ and age of the lavas. Initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ of an igneous rock is the ratio that was in the rock when it first crystallized from the liquid state.
Fig. 3a
Fig. 3b
\[
\frac{143_{\text{Nd}}}{144_{\text{Nd}}} = \frac{143_{\text{Nd}}}{144_{\text{Nd}}} (\tau_2) - \frac{143_{\text{Nd}}}{144_{\text{Nd}}} (\tau_1) \approx \frac{147_{\text{Sm}}}{144_{\text{Nd}}} \lambda (\tau_1 - \tau_2)
\]

which is accurate to \(\approx 1.5\%\) for \(\tau_1 - \tau_2 = 4.5\ \text{AE}\). Thus, the rate of change of \(\frac{143_{\text{Nd}}}{144_{\text{Nd}}}\) is directly proportional to \(\frac{147_{\text{Sm}}}{144_{\text{Nd}}}\).

Referring to Figure 3b, consider a planet which condenses from the solar nebula at time \(T_c\) when the solar nebula has \(\frac{143_{\text{Nd}}}{144_{\text{Nd}}} = I_c\). From \(T_c\) until the present the evolution of \(\frac{143_{\text{Nd}}}{144_{\text{Nd}}}\) in the planet as a whole will be described by an essentially straight line such as that labelled \(I_{\text{UR}}(T)\) whose slope is proportional to the Sm/Nd of the planet. A reservoir such as the bulk planet, whose Sm/Nd is constant through time and in which \(\frac{143_{\text{Nd}}}{144_{\text{Nd}}}\) changes along a simple, essentially straight-line trajectory, is herein termed a "uniform reservoir" (UR) and its \(\frac{143_{\text{Nd}}}{144_{\text{Nd}}}\) at any time \(T\) in the past \((I_{\text{UR}}(T))\) is given by:

\[
I_{\text{UR}}(T) = I_{\text{UR}}(0) - \left(\frac{\frac{147_{\text{Sm}}}{144_{\text{Nd}}}}{\text{UR}}\right)^0 \left(e^{\lambda T} - 1\right)
\]

where \(I_{\text{UR}}(0)\) is the value of \(\frac{143_{\text{Nd}}}{144_{\text{Nd}}}\) in UR today, \(\left(\frac{147_{\text{Sm}}}{144_{\text{Nd}}}\right)^0\) is that in UR today and \(T\) is measured backwards from the present. As shown in Figure 3, in the general case Sm/Nd in the planet could be different from that in the solar nebula due to fractionation during condensation.

Figure 3a depicts a sequence of events in the history of a planet in the form of a series of cross-sectional views through the planet. At time \(T_c\) the planet has just condensed and accreted and is
assumed to be a compositionally uniform sphere which has the same Sm/Nd throughout. At time \( T_{m_1} \), there is an episode of magmatism where small volumes of the interior of the planet are melted and the magmas thus formed ascend to the surface of the planet and are erupted as lava flows. The shaded "plumb-bobs" in Figure 3a represent the magmas; the region of melting in the planet's interior is represented by the circular "blob"; a conduit to the surface and the lava flow on the surface are also shown. Since at time \( T_{m_1} \), the planet is still homogeneous, both lava flows 1a and 1b will have the same \( ^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} \) at the time of eruption and their \( ^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} \) will lie on the evolution curve of the bulk planet as shown in Figure 3b. However, the lavas could have Sm/Nd different from the bulk planet, so subsequent to \( T_{m_1} \), their \( ^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} \) could evolve away from that of the bulk planet as is shown by the two unshaded arrows on Figure 3b. If these two lava flows could be sampled today, their measured \( ^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} \) would be much different from the value in the bulk planet today \( (I_{UR}(0)) \). By measuring Sm/Nd in the lava we can correct for the \( ^{143}\text{Nd} \) produced since the lava was erupted \( T_{m_1} \) years ago (determined for example by some other dating method) and calculate its initial \( ^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} \), which would in this case be equal to \( I_{UR}(T_{m_1}) \) for both lava 1a and 1b. For a rock sample of age \( T \) the initial \( ^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} \) \( (I_{sample}(T)) \) is calculated from

\[
(6) \quad I_{\text{sample}}(T) = \frac{^{143}\text{Nd}}{^{144}\text{Nd}}_{\text{meas}} - \frac{^{147}\text{Sm}}{^{144}\text{Nd}}_{\text{meas}} \left[ e^{\lambda T} - 1 \right],
\]
The initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ and the age of the sample can also be determined concurrently by determining a Sm-Nd internal isochron on the sample.

If the volume of magma extracted from the planet's interior is very small with respect to the volume of the entire planet, the removal of the magma will not affect the composition of the interior. If the planet remains a compositionally homogeneous sphere throughout its history, then all lavas derived by melting of its interior will have initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ which lie on the UR evolution curve. In this case $I_{\text{UR}}(T)$ would be the locus of I values of all igneous rocks as a function of time, hence the notation.

At time $T_f$ the outer part of the planet is differentiated into two layers A and B. Layer A has Sm/Nd lower than the bulk planet and layer B has higher Sm/Nd. Following the course of events on Figure 1b we see that immediately after the differentiation layers A and B and the remaining undifferentiated part of the planet still have identical $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$, but subsequently they evolve different $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$.

Layer A evolves along a line of lower slope due to its lower Sm/Nd while in layer B $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ evolves more rapidly due to its higher Sm/Nd.

At time $T_m^2$, there is a second episode of magmatism in which magmas are derived from each of layers A, B, and UR. Lava 2a, melted from layer B, will have an initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ lying above the UR evolution curve, while the initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ of lava 2c, melted from layer A will lie below the UR curve. Thus, unlike the rocks produced
in the melting event at $T_m^1$, where all lavas had identical initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$, at $T_m^2$, we find that different lavas have different initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$.

To trace the differentiation history of the planet we therefore attempt to determine the initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ of igneous rocks of different ages. If these I values should fall along a single straight line such as $I_{UR}(T)$ in Figure 3b then we would conclude that the magma sources in the interior of the planet have remained essentially undifferentiated throughout its history and from the slope of the line we could infer the Sm/Nd of the planet. However, if we see dispersion in I values, then we would conclude that the planet's interior is comprised of chemically distinct reservoirs which remain isolated from each other for long periods of time.

For the simple planetary history shown in Figure 3 we would find that igneous rocks of ages greater than $T_f$ would all fall on a single evolution curve and would thus give an indication of the Sm/Nd of the planet. Subsequent to $T_f$ we would find that many igneous rocks would have I values which did not lie on this evolution curve. We might wish to ask the question of whether undifferentiated material still existed in the planet subsequent to $T_f$. This question might be important for attempting to reconstruct the thermal history of the planet. If a large number of igneous rocks with ages less than $T_f$ also fell on the UR evolution curve, then we might conclude that undifferentiated material did still exist in the planet. However, we can see from Figure 1a that magmas derived from deep layers must pass
through the shallower layers in order to reach the surface. Thus
these magmas could incorporate material from these shallower layers
resulting in a change in the isotopic composition of the magmas. For
example, a magma derived from layer B and having $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ lower
than UR could, while rising to the surface, incorporate material from
layer A which has $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ higher than UR so that the resulting
magma erupted at the surface would have $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ lying close to the
UR curve. This magma would thus appear to be derived from
undifferentiated UR material when in fact it had not been. It is also
clear from Figure 3a that magmas derived from the deepest layers will
have the greatest chance of having their isotopic compositions
altered on the way to the surface. In general, the problem of magma
contamination during ascent will always provide a complicating factor
in the interpretation of the isotopic data. Because of this the
existence of undifferentiated material in a planet which is
differentiated must be argued mainly on statistical grounds, that is
on the frequency of occurrence of igneous rocks with isotopic
compositions lying on a simple UR evolution curve.

The determination of the evolution curve for undifferentiated
material is important because, if it is known, then $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ of a
reservoir can provide information on what processes were involved in
forming that reservoir. As noted earlier, for Sm-Nd, if a rock is
partially melted, the liquid fraction will generally have a lower Sm/Nd
and the crystalline residue will have a higher Sm/Nd than the starting
material. Thus as in the example in Figure 2 we see that it would be
possible to identify layer A with a liquid and layer B with a residue if the bulk planet evolution curve is known. Thus layers A and B could have formed when the original UR material comprising the outer parts of the planet was partially melted and gravitationally differentiated. Such a simple interpretation may be applicable to the early differentiation of the moon. The complementary relationship between layers A and B could only be surmised if the bulk planet UR evolution curve was known. Consider, for instance, that if the UR curve actually lay below the I value of lava 2c, then it would appear that all the lavas formed at $T_{m2}$ were derived from material with Sm/Nd higher than the bulk planet and they could thus all be interpreted as having been derived from material which was a crystalline residue from some previous partial melting event. Thus in piecing together the differentiation history of a planet from isotopic data it is necessary to know the bulk Sm/Nd of the planet as well as possible to be able to fully interpret the variations of initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$.

Figure 3 can be interpreted in terms of a simple history of the earth. Consider UR to represent undifferentiated homogeneous mantle after formation of the earth's core early in earth history. This mantle material will have Sm/Nd equal to that of the bulk earth if the core has very low concentrations of Sm and Nd. At time $T_f$ the outer parts of the mantle are differentiated into a low-Sm/Nd crust represented by layer A and a high-Sm/Nd complementary residue in the mantle layer B. The remaining UR material represents parts of the mantle which were not involved in the formation of the crust and are
therefore still undifferentiated. We would interpret the initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ of lava 2c as indicating that this lava has formed by melting of crustal rocks while the I value of lava 2a would suggest it to be the product of remelting of the residual mantle material left after formation of the crust at time $T_f$. Lava 2b could be taken as indicating the existence of undisturbed mantle, and the isotopic difference between 2a and 2b indicates an isotopically inhomogeneous mantle. The preservation of reservoir B separate from the remaining UR material over the time interval from $T_f$ to $T_{m2}$ also conveys information about mantle dynamics. If the mantle were rapidly convecting, we might expect that layer B would be rapidly remixed with the remaining UR material resulting in a homogeneous mantle. Thus the preservation of isotopic differences in the mantle over long periods of time limits the amount of mixing which can occur as a result of convection.

The value of the Sm-Nd system—Comparison to Rb-Sr and U-Th-Pb

Some questions may arise as to why it is necessary to measure Nd isotopic variations to study the differentiation of the earth when the Rb-Sr and U-Th-Pb systems can and have been used for the same purpose in the past. There are several reasons why the Sm-Nd system can be useful and may complement studies of other isotopic systems.

The Sm-Nd system differs from the other isotopic systems currently in use in that both parent and daughter element are refractory and both are lithophile elements. In contrast Rb and Pb are volatile while Sr, U, and Th are refractory, thus the parent/daughter ratios
for these systems can be drastically changed during, for instance, nebular condensation while Sm/Nd may not be affected (Boynton, 1975). Variations in Rb/Sr or U/Pb in the earth could be the result of fractionations which occurred during condensation of the material which accreted to form the earth, while variations of Sm/Nd could not. Pb also is a chalcophile element and thus formation of a core with significant (Fe, Ni)S could have drastically changed U/Pb and Th/Pb in the outer earth (Ringwood, 1966; Gancarz and Wasserburg, 1977), but would not affect Sm/Nd since neither Sm nor Nd would be expected to partition into the Fe-FeS phase. Thus while both the Rb-Sr and the U-Th-Pb systems can be affected during condensation and core formation, the Sm-Nd system is probably not affected. Therefore, the variations observed in $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in the earth are probably solely the result of variations of Sm/Nd which were caused by magmatic processes involving silicate crystal-melt equilibria. Also, large parent/daughter fractionations caused by poorly understood processes such as volatile transfer will likely not affect Sm and Nd but could affect Rb and Pb.

One of the primary ways in which the earth has differentiated is through magmatic processes. Portions of the earth's interior are continually being partially melted and the magmas thus produced ascend and are emplaced into the crust. During the partial melting process Sm and Nd may be fractionated so that the melt has Sm/Nd different from the crystalline residue (see Chapter III). The partitioning of Sm and Nd between a melt phase and a crystal assemblage depends on the composition of the melt and the particular crystal phases present and
their proportions. The partitioning of REE between coexisting minerals and between minerals and coexisting melts has been extensively studied (cf., Schnetzler and Philpotts, 1968, 1970; Weill and McKay, 1975; Drake and Weill, 1975). For this reason, the behavior of Sm and Nd during partial melting and fractional crystallization is fairly well understood. In general, if a rock of the probable composition of the earth's mantle (peridotite) is partially melted, the melt will be greatly enriched in both Sm and Nd and will have a lower Sm/Nd than the crystalline residue. As an example, an average basalt may be enriched in Nd and Sm by factors of 25 and 15 respectively over the concentrations found in the mantle.

A radioactive parent/daughter system can be useful as a tracer in magmatic processes if the parent and daughter element are fractionated by the crystalline phases. Sm and Nd will be fractionated by different residual mineral phases than are Rb-Sr or U-Pb. The U-Pb system is sensitive to sulfides and feldspar which concentrate Pb and to amphibole and several minor minerals such as zircon, apatite, and sphene which concentrate U. For Rb-Sr, plagioclase, phosphates, and clinopyroxene concentrate Sr while micas and K-feldspar are enriched in Rb. Sm and Nd are most strongly fractionated by clinopyroxene and garnet which tend to concentrate Sm more than Nd. Sm-Nd is thus particularly interesting in that they are fractionated by minerals which may be important constituents of much of the upper mantle.

Sm-Nd will also be useful for dating ancient rocks and should be complementary to the Rb-Sr and U-Th-Pb systems. It will be
especially powerful for the determination of precise ages of ancient basic and ultrabasic rocks, which have proven difficult to date by other methods. For instance, in layered mafic intrusions such as the Stillwater intrusion in Montana (cf. Hess, 1960), and the Muskox intrusion (Irvine and Smith, 1967) of the NW Territories, Canada, sufficient Sm/Nd fractionation occurs between the major mineral phases olivine, pyroxene, plagioclase, magnetite and apatite, so that a precise internal isochron could be obtained.

In general, Sm-Nd should prove to be a fruitful method for dating ancient rocks because both Sm and Nd are found mainly in the primary rock-forming mineral phases, and their lithophile geochemical character may result in their being less prone to post-crystallization redistribution than are the possibly more mobile elements Rb, Sr, U, and Pb. The success of Lugmair (1974) and Lugmair, et al. (1975a,b) in their pioneering work on basaltic achondrites and lunar basalts clearly demonstrated the potential of this method. Papanastassiou, DePaolo and Wasserburg (1977) also showed that the Sm-Nd method could be used to obtain precise crystallization ages of lunar basalts which are very poor in Rb and thus difficult to date by Rb-Sr. Due to the limited variation of Sm/Nd in minerals the Sm-Nd method will be restricted to the dating of Precambrian rocks unless substantial improvements in analytical precision are made.
II. DATA REPRESENTATION

$^{143}_{\text{Nd}}/^{144}_{\text{Nd}}$ data are expressed in this work using a notation which differs significantly from that which has been used for Sr and Pb isotopic data. This notation grew out of discussions of the data as it became clear that a concise vehicle for communication was lacking. The following is an explanation of this notation, and following it is a discussion of why this type of notation was selected. Although, it was originally tailor-made for the Sm-Nd system, an analogous notation may be found to be quite useful in other isotopic systems, and we have ventured to introduce such notation for Rb-Sr also (DePaolo and Wasserburg, 1977).

Figure 4 is a graphical illustration of the notation. $^{143}_{\text{Nd}}/^{144}_{\text{Nd}}$ are always normalized to $^{143}_{\text{Nd}}/^{144}_{\text{Nd}}$ in a "uniform reservoir", as defined previously. For our purposes we have chosen this reservoir to have the average chondritic Sm/Nd for all time. The evolution of $^{143}_{\text{Nd}}/^{144}_{\text{Nd}}$ in this CHondritic Uniform Reservoir (CHUR) is tentatively assumed to be identical to that in the Juvinas achondrite. Juvinas has a crystallization age of 4.56 AE (Lugmair, et al., 1976) and has a present-day Sm/Nd (0.307 atomic) which is almost identical to the average value of Sm/Nd measured in chondritic meteorites (0.309 ± 0.005 1σ) (Masuda, et al., 1973, Nakamura, 1974). Thus $^{143}_{\text{Nd}}/^{144}_{\text{Nd}}$ in CHUR as a function of time $T_\perp$ measured backward from today, is given by:

$$I_{\text{CHUR}}(T) = I_{\text{CHUR}}(0) - \left(^{147}_{\text{Sm}}/^{144}_{\text{Nd}}\right)^0_{\text{CHUR}} \left[e^{\frac{\lambda_{\text{Sm}}}{\tau} T_\perp} - 1\right]$$
Figure 4: (a) Graph of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ versus time (age) illustrating the notation used to present Nd isotope data. Meas $\equiv$ measured. 

$T_c \equiv$ time of condensation or original formation of the earth, CHUR $\equiv$ Chondritic Uniform Reservoir, $S \equiv$ sample, $T_x \equiv$ crystallization age. $I_{\text{CHUR}}(T)$ and $I_s(T)$ are defined in the text. (b) Graph of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ versus time. The line $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = 0$ corresponds to the line $I_{\text{CHUR}}(T)$ in Figure 4a. This diagram simply presents a magnified view of the data so that the deviations of the data from the $I_{\text{CHUR}}(T)$ line can be more closely examined.
\[ \varepsilon_{Nd}(O) \equiv \left[ \frac{(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd})_{\text{meas}}}{I_{\text{CHUR}}(O)} - 1 \right] \times 10^4 \]

\[ \varepsilon_{Nd}(T_x) \equiv \left[ \frac{I_S(T_x)}{I_{\text{CHUR}}(T_x)} - 1 \right] \times 10^4 \]

Fig. 4a
Fig. 4b
\(^{143}\)Nd/\(^{144}\)Nd in CHUR today is \(I_{CHUR}(0) = 0.511836\) (Lugmair, pers. comm.; normalized to \(^{150}\)Nd/\(^{142}\)Nd = 0.2096, see Appendix 5) and \(147\)Sm/\(^{144}\)Nd\(^0\)\(_{CHUR} = 0.1936\). The evolution curve is calculated backward in time from measured values since the measured values are well-defined observable quantities. Initial \(^{143}\)Nd/\(^{144}\)Nd for Juvinas, or a "best" initial for Nd which would be similar to BABI in the Rb-Sr system (Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1969), are presently poorly defined due to the fact that no mineral phases exist which have near-zero Sm/Nd. As shown in Figure 4, \(^{143}\)Nd/\(^{144}\)Nd measured in a sample is expressed as the fractional deviation in parts in 10\(^4\) from the present value in CHUR.

This fractional difference is termed \(\epsilon_{Nd}(0)\) and defined:

\[
\epsilon_{Nd}(0) = \left[ \frac{\left(\frac{^{143}Nd}{^{144}Nd}\right)_{meas}}{I_{CHUR}(0)} - 1 \right] \times 10^4
\]

Generally, we are interested in the initial \(^{143}\)Nd/\(^{144}\)Nd of igneous rocks, which is the value in the rock at the time it first crystallized. For a rock of age \(T_x\), its initial \(^{143}\)Nd/\(^{144}\)Nd (\(\equiv I_s(T_x)\)) can be calculated from the measured values of \(^{143}\)Nd/\(^{144}\)Nd and \(^{147}\)Sm/\(^{144}\)Nd by the relation:

\[
I_s(T_x) = \left(\frac{^{143}Nd}{^{144}Nd}\right)_{meas} - \left(\frac{^{147}Sm}{^{144}Nd}\right)_{meas} \left[ e^{\lambda_{Sm}T_x} - 1 \right].
\]

The initial \(^{143}\)Nd/\(^{144}\)Nd is expressed as the fractional deviation from the value in CHUR at \(T_x\) and termed \(\epsilon_{Nd}(T_x)\). This is defined as:
(10) \[ \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (T_x) = \left[ \frac{I_s (T_x)}{I_{\text{CHUR}} (T_x)} - 1 \right] \times 10^4 \]

In normal usage we drop the time designation, and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) is taken always to refer to the initial \( \frac{^{143}_{\text{Nd}}}{^{144}_{\text{Nd}}} \). Measured values are referred to as \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (0) \). The Sm/Nd ratios are also normalized to the value in CHUR. The Sm/Nd of a rock is expressed in terms of an enrichment factor \( f_{\text{ROCK}}^{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) which is defined:

(11) \[ f_{\text{ROCK}}^{\text{Sm/Nd}} = f_R = \frac{(\text{Sm/Nd})_{\text{ROCK}}}{(\text{Sm/Nd})_{\text{CHUR}}} - 1 \]

A similar enrichment factor \( f_{\text{SOURCE}}^{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) can be defined for a source reservoir. With this parameter in hand a simple expression can be written for \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (T_x) \):

(12) \[ \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (T_x) \approx \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (0) + f_R Q_{\text{Nd}} T_x \]

where \( Q_{\text{Nd}} = \frac{\lambda_{\text{Sm}} (^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd})^{0}_{\text{CHUR}} \times 10^4}{I_{\text{CHUR}} (0)} \) is a constant.

The deviations \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) from the CHUR growth curve are presented in a diagram as shown in Figure 4b. This diagram shows the evolution of the sample shown in Figure 4a on a plot of \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) vs. \( T \).

\( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) gives information on the Sm/Nd in the magma source or "parent reservoir". Thus, a rock with \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (T_x) = 0 \) was derived from
a reservoir which had chondritic Sm/Nd during the time period from the condensation of the earth $T_c$ until $T_x$. A rock with $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(T_x) > 0$ (as illustrated in Figure 4) was derived from a reservoir which must have had a higher than chondritic Sm/Nd during some part of the interval from $T_c$ to $T_x$. Similarly, $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} < 0$ indicates the rock was derived from a reservoir which had Sm/Nd less than chondritic for some time. The average value of $f_s$ over the time interval $T_c - T_x$ is given by:

\begin{equation}
\langle f_s \rangle \equiv \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}}{(T_c - T_x)} \equiv \frac{f^\star T^\star}{T_c - T_x}
\end{equation}

$f^\star T^\star (\equiv \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}/Q)$ is a generalized quantity which expresses the fact that the deviation $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ is proportional to the product of the enrichment factor in the reservoir and the time over which the reservoir has had this enrichment factor. Thus, as shown in Figure 4b if the source reservoir evolved in two discrete stages, i.e. from $T_c$ until $T_s$ it had $f_s = 0$, and from $T_s$ until $T_x$ it had $f_s$, then for any assumed $T_s$ we can calculate $f_s$ to be:

\begin{equation}
f_s(T_s) = \frac{f^\star T^\star}{T_s - T_x}
\end{equation}

$T_s$ is a "model age" of the source reservoir of a rock. Taken literally it implies that the reservoir began with a chondritic Sm/Nd at $T_c$, at time $T_s$ it acquired an Sm/Nd enrichment factor of $f_x$ and was melted at $T_x$ to form the rock. From $f_s(T_s)$ and the measured Sm/Nd
enrichment factor in the rock \((f_R)\) one can calculate a model Sm/Nd enrichment factor for the sample relative to the source reservoir \(g\):

\[
g = \left[ 1 + \frac{f_R}{1 + f_S (T_s)} \right]^{-1}
\]

In general the evolution of the source can occur in multiple stages and the \(\varepsilon_{Nd}^s\) of the source at any time is the sum of the growth of \(\varepsilon_{Nd}^s\) over all the stages:

\[
\varepsilon_{Nd}^s (T) = \sum_{i=1}^{n} \left( f_s \right) \frac{Q_{Nd} \Delta T_i}{Q_{Nd} (T - T_i)}
\]

where \(\sum_{i=1}^{n} \Delta T_i = T_c - T_x\). The simplest model \(g\) is calculated from

\[
g = \left[ \frac{1 + f_R}{1 + \langle f_s \rangle} \right]^{-1}
\]

The true fractionation factor for the rock relative to the source for an \(n\)-stage source history is

\[
g = \left[ \frac{1 + f_R}{1 + \langle f_s \rangle^n} \right]^{-1}
\]

As shown in Figure 4, the time corresponding to the point where the evolution of \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) in the rock sample \(I_s (T)\) intersects \(I_{CHUR} (T)\) is termed \(T_{CHUR}\). \(T_{CHUR}\) is a "model age" of the rock which is equal to the crystallization age if the rock was derived directly from a
CHUR reservoir, i.e., if $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(T_x) = 0$. $T_{\text{CHUR}}$ is given approximately by:

\begin{equation}
T_{\text{CHUR}} \approx \frac{\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)}{f_R Q}
\end{equation}

The notation outlined above was initially adopted because the variations of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ are small. The total change of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in 4.5 AE in a reservoir with a chondritic Sm/Nd is only 1.13%. It was thus thought necessary to express the measured values of the numbers as deviations from a standard value. This has been used quite successfully for oxygen and deuterium isotopic variations (c.f. Epstein and Mayeda, 1953). The standard reference material was chosen to be a hypothetical reservoir with chondritic Sm/Nd because chondritic abundances have been traditionally used as a standard for rare-earth element abundances. The CHUR reference also has the added advantage of being a good a priori first estimate of the evolution of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in the bulk earth. As discussed previously, knowledge of the bulk earth evolution curve is necessary to fully interpret the isotopic data. Thus this notation tends to emphasize the divergence of secondary growth curves from a primary reference curve, as shown in Figure 3. As was immediately shown by the first data collected (DePaolo and Wasserburg, 1976a), the initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ of terrestrial rocks cluster tightly about the CHUR evolution curve and this data representation scheme allows the variations about that curve, which are small compared to the overall growth of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$, to be brought out more clearly.
Besides being an aid in data presentation, the \( \epsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) values are closely tied to the interpretation of data. Through the \( f^*T^* \) values information about the fractionation history of reservoirs is readily available and this is true in like manner for samples of any age. The fractionation factor \( g \) between the sample and the source reservoir also is easily calculated from these parameters. \( g \) is an extremely useful petrogenetic indicator since it can be modelled with Sm and Nd mineral/melt distribution coefficients with assumptions about the mineralogy of the magma source, the degree of melting and the fractional crystallization history of the sample as discussed in the next section.

In theory this approach could also be used for Rb-Sr. However, the evolution curve of a meaningful reference reservoir which might approximate the bulk earth is not defined for Rb-Sr. The Rb/Sr of the earth appears to be much different from chondritic (C aest, 1960) and initial \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) ratios of igneous rocks through time have so far not been found to cluster about a single evolution curve. However, during the course of this work it has been possible to obtain an estimate of the earth's Rb/Sr and so this approach has been intrepidly extended to the Rb-Sr system.

Initial \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) are expressed in terms of a parameter \( \epsilon_{\text{Sr}} \) defined in a manner analogous to \( \epsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) for \( ^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} \). We therefore define

\[
\epsilon_{\text{Sr}}(T) = \epsilon_{\text{UR}} \quad \text{Sr}(T) = \left[ \frac{I_{\text{S}}(T)}{I_{\text{UR}}(T)} - 1 \right] \times 10^4 .
\]
\( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(T) \) is the deviation of initial \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) in a sample from the value in a standard uniform reservoir UR at time \( T \). The standard reservoir used here for reference is defined by \( I_{\text{UR}}(0) = 0.7045 \) and \( \left( ^{87}\text{Rb}/^{86}\text{Sr} \right)_{\text{UR}}^{-1} = 0.0839 \). Our choice of this standard reservoir for Rb-Sr is based upon the correlation of initial Nd and Sr in young basalts (DePaolo and Wasserburg, 1976b). \( I_{\text{S}}(T) \) is the initial \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) of the sample, \( T \) is the sample's age, \( I_{\text{UR}}(T) = I_{\text{UR}}(0) - \left( ^{87}\text{Rb}/^{86}\text{Sr} \right)_{\text{UR}}^{-1} \left( e^{\lambda_{\text{Rb}}T} - 1 \right) \), and \( \lambda_{\text{Rb}} = 1.39 \times 10^{-11} \text{ yr}^{-1} \). In this notation a zero age rock with \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.7045 \) has \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} = 0 \) while a zero age MOR basalt with \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.70239 \) has \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} = -30 \). This notation allows comparison of Nd and Sr in a similar manner for rocks of arbitrary age, and makes it much easier to compare initial \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) in rocks of greatly different ages by subtracting the effects of "normal" growth of \( ^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \) with time.

The most useful reference reservoirs for Sr and Nd would be those representative of the bulk earth, since then variations of the \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) values could be directly related to the processes involved in differentiation of the planet into various different reservoirs. The standard reference reservoirs proposed here for both Nd and Sr are presently thought to be close approximations to bulk earth values (DePaolo and Wasserburg, 1976b). However, incorporation of this inference into the notation has been purposely avoided because it is based on limited data and understanding and the values in the bulk earth should therefore be considered as important parameters which are still to be firmly established.
III. REE FRACTIONATION DURING PARTIAL MELTING AND VARIATIONS OF $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$

The rare-earth elements can be strongly fractionated during migmatic processes such as partial melting or fractional crystallization. Nd isotopic variations can be used to study the chemical layering in the earth which results from the operation of these processes. The following illustrates the magnitude of REE fractionation during partial melting and shows how the fractionations are related to variations of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$.

The mathematical development of the behavior of trace elements during partial melting was presented by Gast (1966) and Shaw (1970). For this example we will assume that when a rock is partially melted, the ratio of the concentration of a trace element $i$ in the melt to the concentration in the solid residue is a constant, $D_i$. Figure 5a shows the REE patterns which will result from partial melting of a rock which originally has a chondritic REE pattern. To make the example concrete, the $D_i$'s for the REE have been calculated from measured melt/mineral $D_i$'s taken from the literature (Kay and Gast, 1973) for a rock with the mineralogy given in Figure 5b. This mineralogy was chosen because it may approximate that of the upper mantle. Figure 5a gives the REE patterns in the melt and the crystalline residue after 1%, 10%, and 30% of the rock is melted. The Sm/Nd enrichment factor ($f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$) for each melt and residue are also given.

Consider first a single melting event, shown in Figure 5a. The light REE are more strongly partitioned into the melt phase than are the heavy REE. After only one weight percent of the rock is melted, the melt contains 60% of the Ce in the system. After 10% is melted, 90% of
Figure 5: Calculated REE patterns for melts and crystalline residues formed by different degrees of partial melting of a rock with the mineralogy given in Figure 5b. All REE patterns are normalized to the abundances in the original source rock as shown in Figure 5a which are assumed to be chondritic for simplicity.

These patterns were calculated assuming 1) a bulk liquid/solid distribution coefficient $D_{1}$ = constant describes the behavior of each rare-earth element, and 2) the melt is extracted from the residue in infinitesimal increments and collected in an isolated reservoir (Shaw, 1970; equation 10). The $D_{1}$'s were calculated using data given by Kay and Gast (1973).

Referring to Figure 5a, if the rock is 1% melted, the melt will have the REE pattern labelled "1% melt" and the remaining crystalline residue will have the pattern labelled "residue 1% melt." The $f$ values shown are the Sm/Nd enrichment factors. Note that for this model the heavy REE are strongly retained in the crystalline residue in preference to the light REE. This effect is due to the presence of garnet in the crystalline residue, which concentrates heavy REE. The patterns labelled "melts" represent what one would expect to find in mantle-derived melts such as basalts perhaps. Figure 5b shows the patterns which would result in magmas formed by a second melting of the "residue 1% melt" from Figure 5a. In this case the starting material is slightly depleted in light REE relative to heavy REE. Figure 5c shows the patterns resulting from melting of a source rock which is even more highly depleted in light REE. Figures 5a, b, and c, taken together,
show how REE patterns in a volume of mantle rock will change as this rock is melted 1% three successive times with the melt being totally removed from the crystalline residue in each case.
the Ce, Nd, and Sm are in the melt. With regard to the fractionation of Sm and Nd the following points should be noted:

1. The \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of the melt is always less than or equal to the \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of the source rock or starting material.
2. The greatest Sm-Nd fractionation between the melt and the starting material occurs for the first increment of melt and is given by \( g_{\text{max}} = D_{\text{Sm}} / D_{\text{Nd}} - 1 \). The \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of this first increment is given by:

\[
\frac{D_{\text{Sm}}}{D_{\text{Nd}}} \left(1 + f_s\right) - 1
\]

where \( f_s \) is the Sm/Nd enrichment factor of the starting material. As the percentage of melting increases \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of the melt approaches \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of the starting material, i.e., \( g \rightarrow 0 \).

3. As the percentage of melting increases \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of the crystalline residue becomes very large, reaching absolute values much greater than \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of the melt.

Now suppose that a volume of rock which originally had a chondritic REE pattern is melted 1% and the melt is removed. The remaining solid material has a REE pattern given in Figure 5a and labelled "Residue 1% melt." Suppose that this residual material is now partially melted at some later time. This situation is shown in Figure 5b. For the same fraction of partial melting, the REE patterns of the melts look significantly different from those in Figure 5a, especially for the light REE. The \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \)'s of the melts are drastically different from those in Figure 5a, and for larger percentages of melting the melts have
positive values of \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) in contrast to the negative values shown in Figure 5a for the melts.

If 1% melt is again extracted, the crystalline residue will have a REE pattern like that labelled "Residue 1% melt" in Figure 5b. If this residue is then partially melted, the REE patterns shown in Figure 5c result. Note that for this case, only small percentage melts will have \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) less than zero. In general, the \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of a melt can be positive only if the \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of the source is positive. The \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of a melt can be negative even if \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of the source is positive if the percentage melting is small. But if \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of the source is greater than \( D_{\text{Nd}}/D_{\text{Sm}} -1 \), then no partial melt can have \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} < 0 \).

These examples illustrate the magnitude of Sm-Nd fractionation during partial melting and how it relates to the distribution coefficients \( (D_{\text{Sm}} \) and \( D_{\text{Na}} \) ) and the percentage of melting.

It should be kept in mind that a very simple melting model is being considered here, and some of the above rules may not hold in more complicated models (cf., Langmuir et al., 1977).
Now we will consider how $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ will change as a result of the REE fractionations shown in Figure 5, by relating those REE patterns to a model of the growth of the continental crust. In this model the crust grows by addition of magmas formed by repeated partial melting of a segment of the mantle. We assume that this segment of mantle began 4.5 AE ago with a chondritic REE pattern. At 4.0 AE ago a 1% partial melt is removed and goes to form the first layer of continental crust. This crustal layer has the REE pattern labelled "1% melt" in Figure 5a and the mantle segment then has the pattern labelled "Residue 1% melt."

At 2.5 AE another 1% melt is removed to form a second layer of crust (Figure 5b). At 1.0 AE ago a third 1% melt is removed to form a third layer of crust (Figure 5c). The mantle segment becomes more depleted in the light REE and acquires a higher $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ after each melting event. Each successive crustal layer has lower abundances of light REE and higher $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ than the preceding layer. The evolution of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(T)$ in the mantle segment and the crustal layers is shown in Figure 6. The solid arrows represent $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(T)$ in the mantle segment and the open arrows represent $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(T)$ in the crustal layers. Thus from 4.5 AE to 4.0 AE $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ in the mantle segment remains equal to zero since $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} = 0$. At 4.0 AE $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ becomes +0.24 due to the removal of the 1% melt. Thenceforth $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of the mantle segment begins to evolve away from the line $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(T) = 0$. At 2.5 AE, the second melting event causes $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ to increase to +0.53 and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ then begins to evolve along a line of appropriately steeper slope. Finally, at 1.0 AE the third melting event
Figure 6: The evolution of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(T)$ in a rock reservoir from which partial melts are repeatedly extracted to form continental crust. The REE composition (Sm/Nd) of the source rock and the partial melts are taken from Figure 5. Before time $T_{m1}$, the source rock has a chondritic Sm/Nd ($f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} = 0$) and its evolution curve follows the CHUR curve as shown by the heavy arrow labelled $\Delta T_{1}$. At $T_{m1}$ a 1% partial melt is extracted leaving the source rock with increased $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ (Figure 5a). During the time period $\Delta T_{2}$ the rock gradually evolves a positive $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ (heavy arrow). At $T_{m2}$ it is melted again, a 1% partial melt is again extracted, and $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ is increased again. At $T_{m3}$ another melting event further increases $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ (cf. Figure 5b, c). As shown by the succession of heavy arrows, as the low melting fraction is removed through time, the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of the rock reservoir diverges from the CHUR evolution curve. The evolution of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ in the crustal layers formed from the extracted magmas are shown by the open arrows. The range of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ in young basalts is much smaller than might be expected (Chapter V).
causes $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ to increase to +0.91 and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ then evolves at a very rapid rate until the present. The present value of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ in this reservoir is given by:

$$
\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0) \approx (f_{\text{Sm/Nd}})_2 Q(T_{m_1} - T_{m_2}) + (f_{\text{Sm/Nd}})_3 Q(T_{m_2} - T_{m_3})
$$

$$
+ (f_{\text{Sm/Nd}})_4 Q(T_{m_3}) \approx Q \sum_{i=1}^{4} (f_{\text{Sm/Nd}})_i \Delta T_i
$$

where the $\Delta T_i$ are defined in Figure 6 and $Q$ is a constant defined in equation 12.

As is shown in this example, if the earth's mantle originally had a chondritic REE pattern, then the segments of the mantle from which magmas have been extracted during the course of earth history should have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0) > 0$. Unmelted mantle segments will have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0) = 0$. For the crustal layers the possibilities are more numerous. A layer such as Layer 1 derived by partial melting of a previously unmelted mantle segment must have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0) \leq 0$ today. However, layers formed by melting of residues from previous partial melting events can have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ either greater than or less than zero depending on their $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$, age, and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$, as exemplified by Layers 2 and 3 in Figure 6. Thus Layer 2 has $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} > 0$ but will have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ less than zero, while Layer 3 has both $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ greater than zero.

Thus, in a model such as this, where continental crust is built by repeatedly tapping the same volume of mantle, we would expect to see a continuous increase in the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values in crustal rocks of younger and younger age. In contrast, if crustal layers were always
formed from melting of mantle segments which were previously unmelted, we would expect crustal rocks of all ages to have $\varepsilon_{Nd} = 0$. As shown in Figure 6 these two possibilities should be distinguishable.

Figure 6 gives an indication of the magnitude of the $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ variations which might be expected. As shown by the dashed line, the residue from the 1% melting at 4.0 AE will have $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0) = +23$, a sizable deviation from $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0) = 0$. If a 5% partial melt rather than a 1% partial melt had been extracted at 4.0 AE, the residue would have $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0) = +153$. Since it is generally believed that basalt magmas represent 1-20% melting of mantle rock, it is clear that very large variations of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ should be expected in the mantle.

Figure 7 shows the proportions of Nd and Sm which are in the crust and the mantle after each melting event shown in Figures 5 and 6. After the first event at $T_{m_1}$ nearly 40% of the Nd in the system is already in the crust, even though the crust comprises only one percent of the system by weight. After the third melting event at $T_{m_3}$ 76% of the Nd and 45% of the Sm are in the crust.
Figure 7: Proportions of Sm and Nd in "mantle" and "crust" as the crust is gradually built from partial melts of the mantle. The crust is composed of the 1% melts shown in Figures 5a, b, and c. After the first crust is formed at $T_{m_1}$, almost 40% of the Nd is in the crust. After the third crust-forming event ($T_{m_3}$), about 75% of the Nd is in the crust. This diagram shows that the crust may hold a significant amount of the earth's Nd and Sm.
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IV. SAMPLING

The samples can be separated into two groups: essentially zero-age samples (<200 million years), and more ancient samples. This grouping on the basis of age represents a first-order difference in the type of information which can be gained. The zero-age samples represent an extensive sampling in a narrow interval of time. Furthermore, the data on these samples can be related to the tectonic position of the sample. Thus, for instance, young basalt samples can be divided into such categories as mid-ocean ridge basalts, continental flood basalts, oceanic island basalts, island arcs, etc. The magmatism in these areas can be related to observed tectonic environments such as spreading ridges or subducting plates, and the isotopic evidence for mantle structure can be integrated with known seismic structure, heat flow, and other characteristics of the underlying mantle from which the magmas must have been derived. Thus for young rocks this additional information can be used together with the isotopic data to deduce something about mantle structure. Old rocks are found only on continents. At times greater than the age of the ocean floor, it then becomes impossible to a priori determine if a lava is a continental or oceanic basalt, and extremely difficult to specify with certainty anything about the tectonic setting in which the magmatism occurred. With these older rocks one must work primarily with the chemical classification of the rock as the parameter upon which interpretations of the isotopic data can be made.
The zero age samples which have been measured have been chosen on the basis of geographic position, petrologic province, and chemistry. To test for possible differences in magma sources in continental and oceanic regions measurements have been made on basalts from continental tholeiitic flood basalt provinces and on mid-ocean ridge tholeiitic basalts. These represent the most voluminous lavas erupted in each environment. Samples of basalts from intraplate oceanic islands were selected because they represent a class of oceanic magmas which are significantly different in chemistry and Pb and Sr isotopes from the MOR basalts. Similarly alkaline basalts from some continental areas have been measured to determine if they indicate sub-continental magma sources which are different from the sources of the flood basalts. Also, measurements have been made on some basalts from the Afar region, a province which may be transitional between continental and oceanic. An additional province which has been investigated is the continental margin-island arc. These rocks were chosen because of their transitional oceanic-continental location and their location above inclined seismic zones, which may be a unique environment for magma generation. There is additional interest in these rocks because they have been identified with major new additions to the continental crust and therefore may be indicative of the composition of material now being added to the continents. In addition to the sampling by province, several samples have been measured which represent extreme chemical types, such as carbonatites and leucitites and lavas other than basalts such as rhyolite. These were measured to determine if
their chemical compositions, in particular their highly fractionated REE patterns may be the result of their being derived from special mantle reservoirs, or in the case of rhyolites, remelting of continental crust.

Measurements on ancient rocks have been mostly restricted to samples for which precise crystallization ages already existed. This enables initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ to be calculated from measured whole-rock values without having to determine a Sm-Nd internal isochron. Samples of basaltic rocks were measured to try to trace the growth of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in the mantle through time. This was done both as an attempt to determine the Sm/Nd of the earth and to try to determine if the Sm/Nd of the mantle has been constant or has changed with time. As was illustrated in the discussion in the introduction changes in Sm/Nd in the mantle can occur due to removal of material such as basalt magma or crustal material. Ancient granitic rocks were measured to compare their initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ to those of the mafic rocks to determine whether they were derived from the same reservoir, presumably the mantle, or if they represent the products of melting of an earlier-formed crust.

Brief descriptions of the samples analyzed in this study are given in Appendix 6. All Nd and Sr data are given in Table 1. Major and trace element compositions are given in Appendixes 7 and 8.
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age (AE)</th>
<th>$f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}(0)}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}(T_x)}$</th>
<th>$f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}(0)}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}(T_x)}$</th>
<th>$f_{\text{*<em>T</em>}}$ (Sm/Nd)</th>
<th>$f_{\text{*<em>T</em>}}$ (Rb/Sr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Old Mafic Rocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUL-4</td>
<td>1.13$^a$</td>
<td>-0.4649</td>
<td>-14.5±0.6</td>
<td>-1.7±0.7</td>
<td>-0.790</td>
<td>+33.1±0.9</td>
<td>+48.0±1.2</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>+28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AR</td>
<td>1.12$^b$</td>
<td>-0.194</td>
<td>-2.0±0.4</td>
<td>+3.4±0.5</td>
<td>-0.741</td>
<td>-18.6±1.6</td>
<td>-4.8±2.0</td>
<td>+1.4</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHO-1</td>
<td>2.51$^c$</td>
<td>-0.251</td>
<td>-16.5±1.0</td>
<td>-0.8±1.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+12.7</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>+7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGA210</td>
<td>2.8$^d$</td>
<td>-0.4081</td>
<td>-29.9±0.8</td>
<td>-1.1±1.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP22D</td>
<td>1.4-1.7$^e$</td>
<td>-0.4561</td>
<td>-19.9±0.4</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-1.2-0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Old Granitic Rocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZL-3D</td>
<td>1.01$^f$</td>
<td>-0.2665</td>
<td>-4.4±0.7</td>
<td>+2.3±0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WYWR-4D</td>
<td>2.65$^g$</td>
<td>-0.4344</td>
<td>-29.6±0.6</td>
<td>-0.9±1.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN-3</td>
<td>2.65$^h$</td>
<td>-0.4855</td>
<td>-31.2±0.6</td>
<td>+0.9±1.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGG128,10</td>
<td>3.59$^i$</td>
<td>-0.390</td>
<td>-35.4±0.6</td>
<td>-0.3±1.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Age (AE)</td>
<td>$f_{Sm/Nd}$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{Nd}(T_x)$</td>
<td>$f_{Rb/Sr}$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{Sr}(0)$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{Sr}(T_x)$</td>
<td>$f^{<em>T</em>}_{Sm/Nd}$</td>
<td>$f^{<em>T</em>}_{Rb/Sr}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>-----------------------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Mid-Ocean Ridge Basalts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111240</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>+0.054</td>
<td>+10.3±0.4</td>
<td>+10.3±0.4</td>
<td>-0.459</td>
<td>-30.4±1.6</td>
<td>-30.4±1.6</td>
<td>+4.1</td>
<td>-17.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113152</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+0.0894</td>
<td>+8.7±0.7</td>
<td>+8.7±0.7</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+3.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VG295</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+10.6±0.9</td>
<td>+10.6±0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-31.8±0.9</td>
<td>-31.8±0.9</td>
<td>+4.2</td>
<td>-18.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD37-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.003</td>
<td>11.0±3.0</td>
<td>11.0±3.0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+4.4</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD17-1</td>
<td>0.15$^1$</td>
<td>+0.027</td>
<td>+8.0±0.6</td>
<td>+8.0±0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-14.5±3.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+3.2</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113031</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.399</td>
<td>+5.4±0.8</td>
<td>+5.4±0.8</td>
<td>+0.752</td>
<td>-23.1±2.1</td>
<td>-23.1±2.1</td>
<td>+2.2</td>
<td>-13.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Oceanic Island Basalts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.365</td>
<td>+7.3±0.6</td>
<td>+7.3±0.6</td>
<td>-0.124</td>
<td>-18.5±1.1</td>
<td>-18.5±1.1</td>
<td>+2.9</td>
<td>-11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.179</td>
<td>+0.8±0.6</td>
<td>+0.8±0.6</td>
<td>-0.348</td>
<td>-6.7±0.9</td>
<td>-6.7±0.9</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>-2.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.403</td>
<td>+6.2±0.8</td>
<td>+6.2±0.8</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+2.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Continental Flood Basalts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td>+0.1±0.4</td>
<td>+0.2±0.4</td>
<td>+3.92</td>
<td>+5.1±0.9</td>
<td>+4.4±0.9</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>+2.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG16D</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+6.6±0.5</td>
<td>+6.6±0.5</td>
<td>-0.479</td>
<td>-15.5±0.9</td>
<td>-15.5±0.9</td>
<td>+2.6</td>
<td>-9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Age (AE)</td>
<td>$f_{Sm/Nd}$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{Nd}(T_x)$</td>
<td>$f_{Rb/Sr}$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{Sr}(0)$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{Sr}(T_x)$</td>
<td>$f^<em>T^</em>$ (Sm/Nd)</td>
<td>$f^<em>T^</em>$ (Rb/Sr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>----------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>-------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>------------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Continental Flood Basalts (Continued)</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTB-1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.150</td>
<td>+1.5±0.7</td>
<td>+1.7±0.7</td>
<td>+0.686</td>
<td>-3.0±1.7</td>
<td>-3.6±1.7</td>
<td>+0.7</td>
<td>-2.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK-38</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.314</td>
<td>-4.3±0.6</td>
<td>-3.9±0.6</td>
<td>-0.348</td>
<td>+12.8±1.7</td>
<td>+13.1±1.7</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>+7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWB-1D</td>
<td>0.15$^k$</td>
<td>-0.127</td>
<td>-1.2±0.5</td>
<td>-0.7±0.5</td>
<td>-0.403</td>
<td>+26.8±0.9</td>
<td>+27.8±0.9</td>
<td>+0.3</td>
<td>+16.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAS-2</td>
<td>0.15$^m$</td>
<td>-0.127</td>
<td>-0.7±0.4</td>
<td>-0.2±0.4</td>
<td>-0.400</td>
<td>+6.0±0.7</td>
<td>+7.0±0.7</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>+4.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEA-3</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>-0.396</td>
<td>-20.1±1.6</td>
<td>-18.6±1.6</td>
<td>+17.59</td>
<td>+341.7±0.7</td>
<td>+312±4</td>
<td>-7.4</td>
<td>+183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-1</td>
<td>0.20$^n$</td>
<td>-0.205</td>
<td>-1.2±0.7</td>
<td>-0.2±0.7</td>
<td>+2.397</td>
<td>+32.9±1.4</td>
<td>+25.3±1.5</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>+15.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR-1</td>
<td>0.12$^o$</td>
<td>-0.470</td>
<td>-2.9±0.4</td>
<td>-1.5±0.4</td>
<td>+0.849</td>
<td>+8.2±1.6</td>
<td>+6.6±2.0</td>
<td>-0.6</td>
<td>+3.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PAR-2</td>
<td>0.12$^o$</td>
<td>-0.308</td>
<td>-5.1±0.5</td>
<td>-4.2±0.5</td>
<td>+1.276</td>
<td>+18.3±0.7</td>
<td>+15.7±0.7</td>
<td>-1.7</td>
<td>+9.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ADT-2</td>
<td>0.165$^p$</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-5.7±0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+6.09</td>
<td>+115±5</td>
<td>+98±10</td>
<td>+58</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>AP-133</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+4.9±0.5</td>
<td>+4.9±0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+2.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Island Arcs</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMR-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+0.147</td>
<td>+7.7±0.4</td>
<td>+7.7±0.4</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>-18.6±1.6</td>
<td>-18.6±1.6</td>
<td>+3.1</td>
<td>-11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMR-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.12</td>
<td>+8.3±0.4</td>
<td>+8.3±0.4</td>
<td>+1.83</td>
<td>-15.2±0.6</td>
<td>-15.2±0.6</td>
<td>+3.3</td>
<td>-9.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Age (AE)</td>
<td>( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} )</td>
<td>( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}^{(0)} )</td>
<td>( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}^{(T_x)} )</td>
<td>( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} )</td>
<td>( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}^{(0)} )</td>
<td>( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}^{(T_x)} )</td>
<td>( f^<em>T^</em> ) (Sm/Nd)</td>
<td>( f^<em>T^</em> ) (Rb/Sr)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>--------</td>
<td>---------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>--------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
<td>----------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Island Arcs (Continued)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMR-3</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.34</td>
<td>+7.8±0.5</td>
<td>+7.8±0.5</td>
<td>+2.48</td>
<td>-13.5±1.3</td>
<td>-13.5±1.3</td>
<td>+3.1</td>
<td>-8.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMR-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>+7.9±0.5</td>
<td>+7.9±0.5</td>
<td>-0.59</td>
<td>-15.9±0.9</td>
<td>-15.9±0.9</td>
<td>+3.2</td>
<td>-9.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMR-5</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>+8.3±0.5</td>
<td>+8.3±0.5</td>
<td>+2.00</td>
<td>-15.0±0.7</td>
<td>-15.0±0.7</td>
<td>+3.3</td>
<td>-8.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMR-6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.16</td>
<td>+7.3±0.5</td>
<td>+7.3±0.5</td>
<td>-0.55</td>
<td>-18.6±0.9</td>
<td>-18.6±0.9</td>
<td>+2.9</td>
<td>-11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMR-7</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.44</td>
<td>+7.1±0.3</td>
<td>+7.1±0.3</td>
<td>+8.14</td>
<td>-14.9±0.6</td>
<td>-14.9±0.6</td>
<td>+2.8</td>
<td>-8.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.349</td>
<td>+7.9±0.6</td>
<td>+7.9±0.6</td>
<td>+0.168</td>
<td>-18.6±0.9</td>
<td>-18.6±0.9</td>
<td>+3.2</td>
<td>-11.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.143</td>
<td>+6.8±0.5</td>
<td>+6.8±0.5</td>
<td>+0.442</td>
<td>-19.0±1.0</td>
<td>-19.0±1.1</td>
<td>+2.7</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR-4</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-0.189</td>
<td>+7.2±0.5</td>
<td>+7.2±0.5</td>
<td>+0.812</td>
<td>-18.9±0.5</td>
<td>-18.9±0.5</td>
<td>+2.9</td>
<td>-11.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR-6</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.339</td>
<td>+8.7±0.5</td>
<td>+9.1±0.5</td>
<td>+0.180</td>
<td>+0.3±0.7</td>
<td>+0.1±0.7</td>
<td>+3.6</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GU-4</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.091</td>
<td>+9.2±0.6</td>
<td>+9.4±0.6</td>
<td>+2.099</td>
<td>-12.2±1.1</td>
<td>-13.1±1.1</td>
<td>+3.8</td>
<td>-7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GU-7</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>+8.2±0.4</td>
<td>+8.2±0.4</td>
<td>-0.479</td>
<td>-20.9±1.1</td>
<td>-21.3±1.1</td>
<td>+3.3</td>
<td>-12.6</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### TABLE 1: Nd AND Sr EVOLUTIONARY PARAMETERS (CONTINUED)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age (AE)</th>
<th>$f_{Sm/Nd}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{Nd}(T_x)$</th>
<th>$f_{Rb/Sr}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{Sr}(0)$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{Sr}(T_x)$</th>
<th>$f^<em>T^</em>$ (Sm/Nd)</th>
<th>$f^<em>T^</em>$ (Rb/Sr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
</table>
| **Continental Margin**
| **Magmatic Arcs**
| PER-1  | 0        | -0.494       | -8.9±0.5              | -8.9±0.5               | +0.514      | +42.0±1.4             | +42.0±1.4             | -3.6              | +24.9             |
| PER-2  | 0        | -0.530      | -12.7±0.1           | -12.7±0.1              | +4.51       | +64.3±1.3             | +64.3±1.3             | -5.1              | +38.0             |
| CAS-1  | 0        | -          | +4.6±0.3            | +4.6±0.3               | -0.38       | -11.9±0.9             | -11.9±0.9             | +1.8              | -7.0              |
| MEX-1  | 0        | -          | +7.4±0.4            | +7.4±0.4               | -           | -10.4±1.4             | -10.4±1.4             | +3.0              | -6.2              |
| WMG-1  | 0.12q    | -0.261      | +2.8±0.5            | +3.6±0.5               | +13.39      | +13.1±0.7             | -13.4±2.0             | +1.4              | -7.9              |
| SMG-1  | 0.12q    | -0.211      | +4.1±0.6            | +4.7±0.6               | -0.687      | -15.9±0.7             | -14.7±0.7             | +1.9              | -8.7              |
| RL-1   | 0.12q    | -0.228      | +0.6±0.5            | +1.3±0.5               | +73.2       | +128.2±0.9            | -18 ±5                | +0.5              | -10.5             |
| LAK-8D | 0        | -0.422      | +1.3±0.8            | +1.3±0.8               | -           | -                      | -                      | +0.5              | -                 |
| **Continental Alkali Basalts**
| CSQ-3  | 0        | -            | +6.7±0.5             | +6.7±0.5               | -           | -21.1±0.7             | -21.1±0.7             | +2.7              | -12.5             |
| PCB-1  | 0        | -0.366      | +6.2±0.8            | +6.2±0.8               | -           | -14.8±0.9             | -14.8±0.9             | +2.5              | -11.1             |
| ANB2128| 0        | -            | +4.0±0.2            | +4.0±0.2               | -           | -                      | -                      | +1.6              | -                 |
**TABLE 1: Nd AND Sr EVOLUTIONARY PARAMETERS (CONTINUED)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age (AE)</th>
<th>$f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(T_x)$</th>
<th>$f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0)$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(T_x)$</th>
<th>$f_{T^*}$ (Sm/Nd)</th>
<th>$f_{T^*}$ (Rb/Sr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Continental Alkali Basalts (Continued)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ANB2102Q</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+4.5±0.3</td>
<td>+4.5±0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+1.8</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGB-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+0.2±0.7</td>
<td>+0.2±0.7</td>
<td>+0.286</td>
<td>-2.0±1.7</td>
<td>-2.0±1.7</td>
<td>+0.1</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WBK-1</td>
<td>0.01</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+5.0±0.4</td>
<td>+5.1±0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-11.6±0.6</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+2.0</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHA-2</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+3.9±0.3</td>
<td>+3.9±0.3</td>
<td>+2.176</td>
<td>-4.1±0.9</td>
<td>-4.1±0.9</td>
<td>+1.6</td>
<td>-2.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>V-9</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>+2.3±0.3</td>
<td>+2.3±0.3</td>
<td>+0.517</td>
<td>-5.1±0.7</td>
<td>-5.1±0.7</td>
<td>+0.9</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khibina</td>
<td>0.29</td>
<td>-0.5093</td>
<td>+0.5±0.3</td>
<td>+3.7±0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+1.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Lavas of extreme Composition</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLC-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+0.1±0.9</td>
<td>+0.1±0.9</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-4.8±1.0</td>
<td>-4.8±1.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>-2.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH-1</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>-0.607</td>
<td>-14.0±1.0</td>
<td>-13.2±1.0</td>
<td>+4.84</td>
<td>+16.7±0.6</td>
<td>+12.6±0.8</td>
<td>-5.3</td>
<td>+7.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DU111</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-2.3±0.4</td>
<td>-2.3±0.4</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>+13.1±1.6</td>
<td>+13.1±1.6</td>
<td>-0.9</td>
<td>+7.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample</td>
<td>Age (AE)</td>
<td>$f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}^{(0)}$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}^{(T_x)}$</td>
<td>$f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}^{(0)}$</td>
<td>$\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}^{(T_x)}$</td>
<td>$f^{<em>T</em>}_{\text{(Sm/Nd)}}$</td>
<td>$f^{<em>T</em>}_{\text{(Rb/Sr)}}$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>------------</td>
<td>----------</td>
<td>---------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>---------------------------------</td>
<td>--------------------</td>
<td>------------------</td>
<td>-----------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
<td>----------------------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ultramafic Rocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SPP-1</td>
<td>0(?)</td>
<td>-0.76</td>
<td>+5.9±0.5</td>
<td>+5.9±0.5</td>
<td>-12.9±0.6</td>
<td>-12.9±0.6</td>
<td>+2.4</td>
<td>-7.6</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Other Samples</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS216D</td>
<td>&quot;0&quot;</td>
<td>-0.38</td>
<td>-14.4±0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOS-1</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>-9.2±0.9</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
References for Table 1:

\[ ^a \text{Silver and Green (1972) } \]
\[ ^b \text{Smith and Silver (1975) } \]
\[ ^c \text{Hamilton et al. (1977) } \]
\[ ^d \text{Black et al. (1973) } \]
\[ ^e \text{Lanphere (1964) } \]
\[ ^f \text{Zartman (1964) } \]
\[ ^g \text{Naylor et al. (1970) } \]
\[ ^h \text{Steiger and Wasserburg (1969) } \]
\[ ^i \text{Baadsgaard (1973) } \]
\[ ^j \text{DSDP Inventory of Igneous Rock Recovery (1973) } \]
\[ ^k \text{McDougall (1963) } \]
\[ ^l \text{McDougall (1963) } \]
\[ ^m \text{Eriksen and Kulp (1961) } \]
\[ ^n \text{Amaral et al. (1966) } \]
\[ ^o \text{McDougall (1961) } \]
\[ ^p \text{Heier et al. (1965) } \]
\[ ^q \text{Silver (1975) } \]
V. Nd RESULTS

Precambrian Igneous Rocks

All of the Precambrian samples analyzed, with the exception of the Mountain Pass shonkinite, have been well-dated by other methods. Initial $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd for each sample has been calculated from the measured $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd and $^{147}$Sm/$^{144}$Nd and the known ages. For sample RN3 a two-point internal Sm-Nd isochron was obtained on a total rock sample and an apatite separate and provides an internal check on the calculated $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$. The isochron is shown on Figure 8. The Sm-Nd age of 2.64±0.08 AE agrees impressively with the U-Pb age of 2.65 AE (Steiger and Wasserburg, 1969; recalculated with revised U decay constants) and the $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ determined from the internal isochron is essentially identical to that calculated using the U-Pb age. Figure 9 is a histogram showing the measured $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ and calculated $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ for each of the samples. The magnitudes of the corrections for in situ $^{147}$Sm decay are readily apparent from this graph. Note also that all of these crustal rocks have negative $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ and the absolute value of the $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ values generally increases with increasing sample age. A graph of initial $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd versus age is given in Figure 10 and the $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values of the samples as a function of age are also given in Figure 10.

Samples DUL-4 and RHO-1 are gabbroic or diabasic intrusions which are taken to be representative of old continental tholeiitic basalts similar to more modern continental flood basalts (cf., Carmichael, et al., 1974). WGA210 may also represent a basaltic magma since the Fiskeäseth anorthosite may be part of a layered basic intrusion of original near-basaltic composition (Windley, 1969).
Figure 8: Two point internal isochron for sample RN3. Note that the difference in $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ between the two samples is only 0.2%. The lack of a sample with $^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ near zero results in a poorly defined initial ratio. Since near-zero Sm/Nd ratios are almost non-existent in nature, this will be an omnipresent characteristic of Sm-Nd isochrons.
\[ \frac{^{143}\text{Nd}}{^{144}\text{Nd}} \]

\[ \frac{^{147}\text{Sm}}{^{144}\text{Nd}} \]

Fig. 8

\[ T = 2.64 \pm 0.13 \text{ AE} \]

\[ I = 0.5085 \pm 1.2 \]
Figure 9: Histogram of measured $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$ values and calculated $\varepsilon_{Nd}(T_x)$ values for the Precambrian rocks analyzed in this study.
NO. | SAMPLE  
---|---------
1  | OGG     
2  | RN3     
3  | RHO     
4  | WGA210  
5  | WYWR-4D 
6  | DUL-4   
7  | ZL-3D   
8  | AR      

\[ \varepsilon_{Nd}(0) \]

Fig. 9
Figure 10: Graph of initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ versus age for all samples with ages greater than about 0.2 AE. Stippled area is the field of most young basalts. Note that the total evolution of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in terrestrial magma sources over 4.5 AE is only a little more than 1%. Inset shows $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ versus age for the same samples, excluding the Khibina sample. The initial ratio for Archean greenstones measured by Hamilton et al. (1977) would almost coincide with that of WYWR.
$I_{\text{CHUR}}(T) = 0.51836$

$\left( \frac{^{147}\text{Sm}}{^{144}\text{Nd}} \right)_{\text{CHUR}} = 0.1936$

Fig. 10
is a moderate-sized diabasic intrusion and may not be associated
with large-scale basaltic magmatism as is the case with DUL-4 and RHO-1.
The mafic igneous rocks probably represent magmas derived from the
mantle and thus their initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ may be taken to be
indicative of the evolution of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in the upper mantle. As
shown in Figure 10 the mafic samples all fall on or very close to the
CHUR evolution curve. This can be seen more clearly in the insert in
Figure 10. Samples RHO-1 and WGA-210 fall within error on the curve
at 2.5 and 2.8 AE respectively. DUL-4 falls slightly below the curve
at 1.1 AE and AR falls substantially above the curve at 1.1 AE. MP22D
is not plotted due to the uncertainty in its age (Lanphere, 1964).
However, MP22D is probably no younger than the Rb-Sr biotite age
obtained by Lanphere (1964) of $\approx 1.4$ AE and must be younger than the rocks
which it intrudes which are $\approx 1.7$ AE old. Thus since the age is
bracketed between 1.4 and 1.7 AE, its $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ must lie between -3 and 0,
and thus it also lies close to the CHUR curve.

The clustering of these samples about the CHUR evolution curve
strongly suggests that the upper mantle has had an approximately
chondritic Sm/Nd over much of the history of the earth. The difference
in $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of 5 units between DUL-4 and AR, however, indicates that the
mantle had attained some substantial degree of heterogeneity by 1.1 AE
ago. DUL-4 may have been affected to some degree by crustal contamina-
tion (see Chapter VII), which may partially account for its lower $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$.

The initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ and the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values of the Precambrian
granitic rocks are also shown in Figure 10. These samples also lie on
or very near the CHUR curve. Figure 10 insert shows that the Archean samples OGG128, RN3, and WYWR-4D, all of which are typical calc-alkaline granodiorites, all fall within error on the CHUR evolution curve. ZL-3D is a true granite and falls slightly above the curve at 1.0 AE. A long-standing controversy in geology has centered around the question of whether the silicic rocks which make up a large portion of the continental crust were derived from the mantle at various times during the history of the earth or represent the products of episodic remelting of an ancient silicic crust which has existed since near the time of formation of the earth. Typical silicic crustal material has \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \approx -0.4 \). The evolution of \( ^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} \) in a hypothetical ancient silicic crust formed 4.5 AE ago is shown by the dashed line in Figure 10. The evolution curve for such material is clearly distinct from the CHUR curve and the initial \( ^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} \) for the granitic samples lie far off such an evolution curve. Insofar as the granitic samples strongly cluster about the same evolution curve as the basaltic rocks, the data strongly suggest that they are derived from the same reservoir as the basalts, inferred to be the upper mantle. There is no evidence in this data that the granitic rocks are formed by remelting of much older silicic crustal material.

The granitic rocks could not be derived by remelting of typical silicic crustal material which had existed for more than about \( 10^8 \) years or at most \( 2 \times 10^8 \) years prior to their crystallization ages. The crystallization ages of these granodiorites therefore date the time at which they were differentiated from mantle material which had a chondritic REE pattern. If these granodiorites represent significant
segments of the continental crust, then the fractionated REE patterns of crustal rocks have not been inherited from a protocrust or upper mantle which formed with a fractionated REE pattern near the time of formation of earth, but rather result from fractionation occurring during differentiation of the crust from an unfractionated mantle reservoir at various times corresponding to the crystallization ages of the rocks. This conclusion is in agreement with conclusions reached by Moorbath (1975) based on Rb-Sr isotopic studies of Archean rocks.

Thus all of the Precambrian igneous rocks appear to be derived from a reservoir with approximately chondritic REE relative abundances. In particular, the four samples with ages between 2.5 and 2.8 AE, plus the results of the study of Hamilton et al. (1977) on some South African greenstones (Age = 2.64 AE, $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = 1.5 \pm 0.6$), represent rocks from geographically widely separate localities all of which lie within error of the CHUR curve and thus closely limit the position of the growth curve at $\sim 2.6$ AE. These data strongly suggest that the CHUR curve describes Nd evolution in an important and widespread reservoir, most probably the mantle, and as such can be taken as a representation of the bulk earth's evolution curve. Thus the earth appears to have Sm/Nd and presumably REE relative abundances which are essentially identical to those of chondrites.

**Young Igneous Rocks**

A. Continental Flood Basalts and Mid-Ocean Ridge Tholeiites

Nd isotopic data for these samples are shown in a histogram in Figure 11a. The continental flood basalts include samples from major flood basalt provinces including the Karroo (Southern Africa),
Figure 11: Histogram of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values in young volcanic rocks. Some of the data shown were taken from Richard et al. (1976), O'Nions et al. (1977), Hawkesworth et al. (1977), and McDougall et al. (1977).
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Columbia River (NW United States), Deccan (India), Paraná (Brazil), and East Greenland as well as samples of the Palisades Diabase, which is considered as a representative of the Triassic basalt-diabase province of Eastern North America, a sample of the Red Hill diabase, Tasmania, and a sample of flood basalt from the Afar depression, Ethiopia. The mid-ocean ridge (MOR) basalts include samples from on or near ridges in each of the Atlantic, Pacific, and Indian Oceans.

Before interpreting these data it is necessary to discuss the individual samples which appear to be special cases. As shown in Figure 9, old continental crustal rocks typically have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ values ranging from about -10 to -35. Thus in general contamination of mantle-derived basaltic magmas with crustal Nd will tend to drag the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of the basalts down to more negative values. Samples PEA-3 ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = -18.4$) and sample ADT-2 ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = -4.7$) may be examples of basalts which are contaminated with crustal Nd. Sr isotope data on these two samples supports this and will be discussed in more detail in a later section. A K-Ar age of 103 m.y. on PEA-3 was generously provided by S.P. Smith confirming that it was a Karroo basalt. Sample PG16D ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +6.6$) is a Picture Gorge basalt from the Columbia River basalt province while BCR-1 ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +0.2$) is a Yakima basalt. The Picture Gorge basalts have been recognized as being distinct from the much more voluminous Yakima basalts of the Columbia River province in both major element chemistry (Waters, 1961) and trace-element and Sr isotopic composition (Nathan and Fruchter, 1974; McDougall, 1976). Since the Picture Gorge basalts comprise only ~10% by volume of the Columbia Plateau lavas, whereas the Yakima basalts comprise 85 to 90% (D. Swanson, pers. comm.) of the
lavas and are fairly uniform in chemical composition and Sr isotope ratios (McDougall, 1976), the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of BCR-1 is taken to be representative of the Columbia Plateau province. The Picture Gorge lavas appear to be isotopically distinct from most other continental flood basalts. Sample 113031 ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +5.4$) is one of very few true alkali basalt samples dredged from a mid-ocean ridge and has a somewhat lower $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ than the other MOR basalts which are tholeiitic basalts.

The continental flood basalts cluster about a value of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = 0$ but show a range of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ from about -5 to +6. The MOR basalts have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ averaging about +10 and ranging from +7 to +12. The bimodality of the data in Figure 7la implies the existence of two distinctly different, widespread mantle magma sources. From the difference of f*T values of $\approx 4 \times 10^8$ yr for the two groups of samples (Table 1), and assuming a difference of $f_s$ of less than 0.4, we calculate that these two reservoirs must have been separate for at least $10^9$ years. Thus MOR tholeiites and continental flood tholeiites appear to be derived from two ancient, profoundly different reservoirs in the earth's mantle. Differences in concentrations of certain minor and trace elements between these two lithologic types (Schilling, 1971; Haskin et al., 1966) thus are most likely a reflection of ancient differences rather than a result of differentiation processes occurring at the time of magma generation as has been suggested (Schilling, 1971). The nominal value of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \approx 0$ for the continental flood basalts indicates they are derived from a reservoir which has maintained an unfractionated, chondritic Sm/Nd throughout the history of the earth. The MOR basalts, however, are derived from a reservoir which has had Sm/Nd at least 10% greater than chondritic.
The lower $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of continental flood basalts could possibly be caused by crustal contamination, but other evidence, discussed in Chapter VII, and the pronounced bimodality of the data are inconsistent with crustal contamination.

B. Ocean Island Basalts

The Nd data shown on the histogram in Figure 11b include the data from Table 1 plus data from O'Nion's et al. (1977) and Richard et al. (1976). These data represent samples from the Hawaiian Islands, Galapagos Islands, Iceland, the Azores, Canary Islands, Ascension Island, Tristan da Cunha and Bouvet but more than half the samples are from either Iceland or the Hawaiian Islands. The samples include both tholeiitic and alkali basalts. As shown in Figure 7b most of the ocean island lavas have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +4$ to $+8$ averaging about $+6$. These values are somewhat lower than those of the MOR basalts but still clearly higher than the continental flood basalts. The ocean island basalts may be derived from a third mantle reservoir, distinct from those of the flood basalts and MOR basalts or they may be derived from a blend of the other two reservoirs or by mixing of magmas derived from those reservoirs. However, the rather narrow range of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values found for the ocean island rocks argues against their being a mixture, since for a mixture, we might expect $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values ranging from $-2$ to $+12$ with no strong clustering. However, the clustering could be a result of the fact that the sample population is primarily comprised of rocks from only two islands.
C. Continental Alkali Basalts

The \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) values of these lavas are given in Figure 12c. With the exception of sample RGB-1 from the Rio Grande Rift, these lavas were all erupted in regions close to continental margins and generally characterized by some amount of regional extensional faulting. Their \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) values show a range from 0 to +6.7. They overlap the range of ocean island basalts and tend to be somewhat higher than the flood basalts but distinctly lower than the MOR basalts. Many of the continental alkalic basalts have \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) identical to many ocean island alkali basalts. Thus continental and oceanic alkalic lavas, which have similar major and trace element compositions may also be derived from mantle reservoirs which are chemically similar.

D. Island Arcs

The \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) values of basalts, andesites and rhyolite from the Marianas and Bismarck Island arcs (Table 1) and the South Sandwich Islands (Hawkesworth et al., 1977) are shown in Figure 12d. The island arc lavas display a narrow range of \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) from +6.8 to +9.8, averaging about +8. They fall within the range of MOR basalts and overlap the upper range of ocean island basalts. The \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) values of the island arc samples are distinctly higher than those of the continental flood basalts and are in fact higher than any of the continental rocks. A more extensive discussion of the island arc data is given in Appendix 3.

E. Continental Margin Magmatic Arcs

These samples include gabbro, granodiorite and granite from the Cretaceous Peninsular Ranges batholith, a basalt from Mt. Shasta, a
rhyolite from Northern California, a basaltic andesite from Arenal volcano in Costa Rica, and an andesite and rhyolite from El Misti, an active volcano in the Andes of Peru. These rocks may be the continental-margin equivalents of island arc rocks (Dickinson, 1970). The Arenal sample has $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ identical to the island arc rocks. The other samples have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ substantially lower than the island arc rocks. The North American samples have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ greater than zero, but generally lower than the ocean island basalts. The Andean samples, however, have large negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$, strikingly different from the island arc and other continental margin lavas. The lower $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values for these rocks relative to the island arcs suggest that at continental margins, the pre-existing continental crust, which would have negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$, may play a role in the generation of magmas, perhaps as a contaminant to magmas coming from the mantle. Alternatively, it could be an indication of differences between suboceanic and subcontinental magma sources in the mantle. The Andean samples appear to be products of remelting of old silicic crustal materials or else must be heavily contaminated with old crustal Nd. Since the crust beneath the central Andes may be up to 70 km thick, it is perhaps not surprising that it has been involved in the generation of these magmas. However, Brooks et al. (1976) and James et al. (1976) measured Sr isotopes in lavas from the same volcano and although the $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios were high, they concluded that the lavas were melted from the mantle beneath the crust and had not been significantly affected by their passage through the crust. By virtue of the contrast between the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of these Peruvian lavas
and the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values of most other young igneous rocks, and the general rarity of large negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values, it appears that the Nd data contradict the conclusions of Brooks et al. and James et al.

F. Lavas of Extreme Compositions

The $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values of these samples are shown in Figure 11f. OLC-1, a carbonate lava from within the East African rift system, has $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = 0$, identical to the average for the continental flood basalts. This lava, which represents an extreme rock type with high REE concentrations and a fractionated REE pattern, thus does not appear to be derived from a special reservoir. Rather, it appears to be derived from a typical mantle reservoir similar to the source of continental flood basalts. Therefore, its strange composition must be entirely the result of fractionation during short-time-scale magmatic processes.

Similarly DULLl is a mafic ultrapotassic lava (\~{}5\% $K_2O$) also from the East African rift and represents another extreme rock type. It too has $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ within the range of the flood basalts and thus also does not appear to be derived from a special reservoir. It is hypothesized that lavas of the composition of DULLl are derived by melting very deep in the mantle (\~{}200 km down) (Carmichael, pers. comm.). This sample therefore may be an indication that deeper parts of the upper mantle may have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ near 0 and thus may have a nearly chondritic REE pattern.

LH-1 is another ultrapotassic lava (\~{}10.5\% $K_2O$), but in contrast to DULLl, it has a large negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$. This lava thus appears to be
derived from an ancient (\superscript{4}l AE), low-Sm/Nd reservoir. This reservoir could be ancient continental crust or possibly a rare, peculiar mantle reservoir. Kay and Gast (1973) have calculated the percentage melting and residual phase mineralogy necessary to derive various alkalic lavas from a mantle with a chondritic relative REE pattern. Sample LH-1 is one of the samples they considered. They calculated that to account for the extreme light REE enrichment this lava would have to form by extremely small degrees of melting (\superscript{<}0.5%). The Nd data now make this calculation meaningless because it appears that this lava was derived by melting of a rock which was already enriched in light REE and which therefore may not have had a composition anything like that presumed for the average mantle.

C. Ultramafic Rocks

One sample of spinel peridotite mylonite from St. Paul's rocks was analyzed in this study. St. Paul's Islands are a group of small islands in the equatorial Atlantic on the Mid-Atlantic Ridge which are comprised almost entirely of mylonitized spinel peridotite (Melson et al., 1967a, 1972). The peridotite is thought to be an intrusion of material brought up directly from the mantle largely in the solid state. This locality might be one where the upper mantle can be directly sampled. The \( \epsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) of this sample is shown in Figure 11f. It is similar to the average of the ocean island basalts and is within error of the alkali basalt sample 113031 which was dredged from near St. Paul's rocks. Melson et al. (1972) suggested that St. Paul's rocks could be representative of the type of mantle material which can be
melted to form alkali basalts such as those found on oceanic islands. The Nd data are in agreement with this conclusion, but are also in agreement with the suggestion made by Frey (1970) on the basis of REE studies, that the St. Paul's peridotite may be a cumulate of minerals which gravitationally settled out of an alkali basalt magma.

H. Other Samples

\[ \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0) \] for the North American shale composite NAS216 is shown in Figure 11f. The shale represents a sample of material which has been eroded from the crystalline igneous and metamorphic rocks which make up the North American continent. As such it is a sample of "average crust" or "average upper crust." Its large negative \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) undoubtedly reflects the age and low Sm/Nd of this crustal material.

Sample DOS-1 has \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = -9.2 \) and thus also lies far below the CHUR evolution curve (Figure 11f). Fish debris is a scavenger of heavy metals in seawater during slow dissolution on the deep ocean floor (Arrhenius, Bramlette and Picciotto, 1957) and gives an estimate of \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) of seawater. The \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) of this sample is close to that of average North American shale (DePaolo and Wasserburg, 1976a) which may be representative of REE in the continental crust (Haskin et al., 1966) and is far displaced from the values found in oceanic volcanic rocks. Thus if average crustal material has \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \approx -14 \) and average oceanic volcanics have \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \approx +8 \), then from the \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) of the fish debris it can be calculated that about 75% of the Nd in authigenic phases on the ocean floors is derived from continental sources and 25% is from oceanic regions.
VI. DISCUSSION OF Nd RESULTS

Chemical heterogeneity of the mantle

Figure 12 shows the data from Figure 10 plotted together with some of the data on the young continental rocks. The data shown in this figure clearly suggest that the source of magmas during the first 2 AE of earth history was a uniform chondritic-Sm/Nd reservoir (CHUR) since there are no samples with ages >2.5 AE which have \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) significantly different from zero. The young rocks, in contrast, show marked differences in \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) and indicate that today the earth's mantle cannot be considered to be a single homogeneous reservoir, but must instead be highly stratified with respect to Sm/Nd and REE relative abundances. This conclusion is in accord with conclusions drawn from studies of variations of Sr and Pb isotopes (Gast et al., 1964; Tatsumoto, 1966; Gast, 1968; and many others). The apparent contrast between the young samples and the old rocks may be an indication that the present-day mantle is chemically much more inhomogeneous than was the Archean mantle. However, it should be cautioned that for the old samples the smaller amount of time available for the growth of isotopic heterogeneity, when coupled with the larger uncertainties in \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \), make heterogeneity more difficult to discern. The mean Sm/Nd enrichment factor \( \langle f_s \rangle \) for a magma source, which at time \( T_x \) yields a rock with \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (T_x) \) was defined as

\[
(22) \quad \langle f_s \rangle = \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(T_x)/Q_{\text{Nd}} (T_c - T_x).
\]

The variability of this quantity among the sources for the majority of the young rocks can be calculated from the range of \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) (\( \Delta \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \approx 16 \)).
Figure 12: Graph of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ versus age. Individual data points are continental rocks. Ruled area is the field of mid-ocean ridge basalts. Dashed line shows $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(T)$ of a reservoir diverging from CHUR at 4.5 AE which has $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ equal to the average of MOR basalts.
Setting $T_x = 0$, $T_c = 4.5$ ÅE gives:

\begin{equation}
\Delta \left\langle f_s \right\rangle = \frac{16}{(25)(4.5)} = 0.14
\end{equation}

Therefore, the $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values of young basalts indicate a range in $\left\langle f_s \right\rangle$ of 14%. In comparison, the error bar on the $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ of sample OGG ($T_x = 3.59$ ÅE) spans a range of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ of $\pm 1.7$ or 3.4 units. This uncertainty alone allows a range of $\left\langle f_s \right\rangle$ 3.59 ÅE ago given by:

\begin{equation}
\Delta \left\langle f_s \right\rangle = \frac{3.4}{(25)(4.5-3.59)} = 0.15
\end{equation}

or about the same range as the young samples. However, the range of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ for 2.6 ÅE old samples (neglecting error bars) limits $\left\langle f_s \right\rangle$ to 0.04, substantially less than the variability indicated by the young samples. Thus a contrast in the degree of heterogeneity in the mantle today versus Archean times is suggested by the data, but could only be quantified with more precise determinations of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ for more ancient rocks.

Nevertheless, it should be noted that initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ on Archean igneous rocks do not seem to cluster tightly about a single evolution curve. Thus, the Nd data provide the first suggestion of a growth in the heterogeneity of the mantle with time.

$T_{\text{CHUR-Ages}}$

The observation that the CHUR evolution curve is the locus of initial ratios of many continental igneous rocks attaches age significance to the measured $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ of rocks. As defined previously
the measured $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$ of a rock is given by

$$\varepsilon_{Nd}(0) \approx \varepsilon_{Nd}(T_x) + f_R Q T_x$$

where $T_x$ is the crystallization age and $f_R$ is the measured Sm/Nd enrichment factor in the rock. Thus, if we know that $\varepsilon_{Nd}(T_x) = 0$, then the crystallization age is given by:

$$T_x \approx \frac{\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)}{f_R Q} \approx T_{CHUR}.$$  

This "model age" is termed $T_{CHUR}$. It is given exactly by the following expression which is shown graphically in Figure 13.

$$T_{CHUR} = \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{^{143}_{144}Nd/^{144}_{144}Nd_{meas} - I_{CHUR}(0)}{^{147}_{144}Sm/^{144}_{144}Nd_{meas} - (^{147}_{144}Sm/^{144}_{144}Nd)_{CHUR}} \right]$$

For the Archean rocks analyzed, the $T_{CHUR}$ age is equal to the crystallization age within the limits of uncertainty. It appears from Figure 10 that, especially for rocks of age >1.5 AE, $T_{CHUR}$ ages may be a way of obtaining quite accurate ages. This method could be used to determine the ages of crustal rocks in a reconnaissance fashion to estimate the volume of the crust as a function of time. Since one only needs to measure $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$ and $f_R$ on whole rocks the age of large portions of shield areas could be determined on a fairly fine scale with a minimum of analytical effort. It is valuable because it can be used to date not only granitic rocks, which could be dated in a similar fashion with Rb-Sr, but also mafic or ultramafic rocks, which
Figure 13: Illustration of $T_{\text{CHUR}}$ model age calculation. The approximate expression given for $T_{\text{CHUR}}$ is accurate to 1.5% for $T_{\text{CHUR}} = 4.5$ AE. For all Archean rocks which have been analyzed, the $T_{\text{CHUR}}$ ages are identical to the crystallization ages within experimental uncertainty.
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\[ T_{\text{CHUR}} \approx \frac{1}{\lambda} \left[ \frac{^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}_{\text{meas}} - I_{\text{CHUR}}(0)}{^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd}_{\text{meas}} - ^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd}_{\text{CHUR}}} \right] \]
cannot be dated by Rb/Sr, but could yield precise $T_{\text{CHUR}}$ ages. The determination of the time of formation of continental crust has been hampered by the possibility that profound metamorphic events may obscure the true age of a crustal segment due to redistribution of parent and daughter isotopes. However, the evidence to date (Haskin et al., 1968) suggests that REE patterns of rocks are not significantly changed even during high grade metamorphism. If this is the case, then $T_{\text{CHUR}}$ ages could provide real "crust-formation ages" for a wide variety of rocks, including metamorphic rocks, since the $T_{\text{CHUR}}$ age of the original igneous rock would be unaffected by the later metamorphism. Thus $T_{\text{CHUR}}$ ages may be a way to see past metamorphic events to the time when the sialic material differentiated from its source region. These ideas are discussed more fully by McCulloch and Wasserburg (1978) who used $T_{\text{CHUR}}$ ages to determine the average age of large segments of the North American shield.

Comparison of Earth and Moon

The $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values of all Lunar rocks which have been measured are shown in Figure 14. Mare basalts ranging in age from 3.3 to 3.9 AE exhibit a range in $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ from -2 to +7. This range in $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ is similar in magnitude to that shown by the young terrestrial basalt samples, and is much larger than the range shown by terrestrial Archean rocks. This range in $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ in the lunar rocks exists despite the fact that the lunar samples are very old. Thus, large variations of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ were able to evolve in the moon over a time interval of only 1 AE, whereas similar variations on the earth took 4.5 AE
Figure 14: Graph of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ versus age for lunar igneous rocks showing large variations in $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of lunar rocks. Filled points are samples for which Sm-Nd internal isochrons have been determined. H indicates highland samples, the remaining samples being mare basalts. Lines diverging from CHUR at 4.4 AE represent Nd evolution in reservoirs fractionated from CHUR with Sm/Nd equal to 1.4 and 0.85 times chondritic. Note that only one sample lies within error on the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = 0$ line in contrast to the terrestrial igneous rocks. This figure is taken from Papanastassiou et al (1977; Appendix 4).
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to develop (Figure 15). The lunar data indicate a range of Sm/Nd of some 40% to 50% in magma sources on the moon compared to ~10% on the earth. Of the ten data points shown in Figure 14, only one has \( \varepsilon_{Nd} = 0 \). Lugmair and Marti (1977) have interpreted their data on green glass balls as indicating that some magmas were differentiated from a deep nearly-chondritic lunar source. However, there is a general lack of evidence for the existence of a long-lived chondritic Sm/Nd reservoir in the moon as found in the earth. Thus unlike in the case of the earth the variability of Sm/Nd in the moon makes it impossible to deduce with confidence the bulk lunar Sm/Nd.

The contrast between the lunar and terrestrial Nd isotope data may imply a grossly different early differentiation history for these two planets. The lunar data indicate that reservoirs with greatly different Sm/Nd were formed very early in lunar history and preserved over at least 1.5 AE until the end of mare volcanism. Rare earth element abundance and Rb-Sr data also suggest early lunar differentiation in order to account for the Eu anomaly in lunar basalts. In contrast, the earth seems to have remained a fairly uniform Sm/Nd reservoir over most of its early history. A possible explanation for this difference between the earth and the moon is that a profound early differentiation event, such as affected the moon, never occurred in the earth. However, this would be surprising since the gravitational energy possibly available for the moon is much smaller than for the earth. Alternatively, the differentiation began in both planets early but it was "frozen in" on the moon at an early stage due to the limited energy available. In this case, the lunar reservoirs
Figure 15: Comparison of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ versus age for terrestrial and lunar rocks. Data points represent selected lunar rocks (see Figure 14) and stippled areas enclose most terrestrial data. This figure shows that large variations of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ evolved early in the moon, but apparently did not evolve until much later on the earth. Lines diverging from CHUR represent $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(T)$ in reservoirs fractionated from CHUR at about 4.5 AE. Reservoirs with these evolution curves could have been the parent reservoirs of some of the mare basalts. If early formed, highly-fractionated reservoirs such as these had formed in the earth and were still present today, we would expect a much wider range of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ for terrestrial rocks of all ages.
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which formed early with variable Sm/Nd remained subsequently largely undisturbed and were able to evolve their widely varying $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$. On the earth, however, sufficient energy may have been available so that mixing processes, e.g., convection, competed with differentiation and prevented reservoirs from being isolated long enough to evolve isotopic differences. In any case, this contrast appears to represent a first-order difference in planetary evolution and must be closely related to the early thermal histories of the two planets.

**Contrast between oceanic and continental mantle**

Inspection of Figure 11 reveals that no young continental rocks have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ greater than +7. In contrast, essentially all of the MOR basalts and many island arc rocks have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} > +7$ as do a substantial number of ocean island basalts. These data therefore indicate a profound difference between the suboceanic and subcontinental mantle magma sources which has persisted for a time period probably on the order of 1 A.E.

The possibility of a chemical difference between suboceanic and subcontinental mantle is one that has interested petrologists for some time. Gast (1966) presented a compilation of Sr isotope data on young volcanic rocks. His figure 6 is reproduced here as Figure 16. This figure shows that the Sr isotope data available in 1966 showed no difference between continental and oceanic rocks. Although the oceanic distribution tended to be skewed to somewhat lower values than the continental, the fact that the lowest values of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in both areas were the same (0.702), while the conti-
Figure 16: Histogram of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in young volcanic rocks. Data represent measurements made prior to 1966. Figure taken from Gast (1966).
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nental samples extended to higher values, suggested that crustal contamination of the continental lavas could be solely responsible for any observed difference. Leeman and Manton (1971) summarized Sr isotope data on continental tholeiites and suggested that they indicated a difference between the sources of continental and oceanic tholeiites. However, large uncertainties in many of the data points and the restriction of the discussion to only continental tholeiites make the conclusion difficult to evaluate. Pb isotope studies have not shown any consistent difference between oceanic and continental basalts.

Thus the Nd data appear to indicate for the first time a clear chemical difference between suboceanic and subcontinental mantle. Such a difference may also be indicated by Sr isotopes when more precise data are available.

**Provinciality of Sub-Continental Magma Sources**

Although the Nd isotopic composition of young continental igneous rocks is generally different from that of oceanic basalts, there is considerable variation in $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ of the continental rocks. These variations appear to display patterns indicative of large-scale structure in the sub-continental mantle. The $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ of eleven Mesozoic and Cenozoic igneous rocks from western North America are plotted on a sketch map in Figure 17. Also shown on Figure 17 are the boundaries of Pb isotopic provinces as outlined by Zartman (1974). These provinces are based on measurements of Pb in Mesozoic and Cenozoic rocks and ore minerals. Area I leads are least radiogenic (lowest $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$)
Figure 17: $e_{\text{Nd}}$ values in young igneous rocks from western North America. Zones Ia, b, II, and III are lead isotopic provinces defined by Zartman (1974). Samples represented by the $e_{\text{Nd}}$ values are:
+0.1 - BCR-1, Yakima basalt; +6.6 - PG16D, Picture Gorge basalt;
+4.6 - CAS-1, Mt. Shasta basalt; +0.2 - RGB-1, Rio Grande Rift alkali olivine basalt; +6.2 - PCB-1, Pisgah crater trachybasalt; +1.9 - RL-1, Rubideaux leucogranite; +4.4 - SMG-1, San Marcos gabbro; +3.6 - WMG-1, Woodson Mtn. granodiorite; +6.7 - CSQ-3, San Quintin basanite. Sample BCR-1 is actually from farther west than shown. It is placed as is on the figure because most of the Yakima basalts are thought to have originated from vents near the Washington-Idaho-Oregon border (D. Swanson, personal communication).
but highly variable, Area III leads are more radiogenic and not
variable and Area II leads are most radiogenic. Zartman (1974) noted
that the boundary between Area I and Areas II and III roughly follows
the western limit of known Precambrian basement and suggested that the
isotopic variations in the igneous rocks could be related to fundamental
differences in the lower crust or mantle under the two areas. The Nd
data shown in this figure also give a suggestion of some regularity.
The samples erupted in the more interior parts of the continent have
$\varepsilon_{Nd}$ near zero while those near the continental margin have $\varepsilon_{Nd} > 0$ and
within the range of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ found in oceanic island basalts. Area II and
III Pb compositions are also similar to ocean island Pb. Thus lower
$\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values appear to correspond to lower $^{206}\text{Pb}/^{204}\text{Pb}$ values in a general
way. The meager Nd data appear so far to be consistent with Pb data.
The Pisgah basalt ($\varepsilon_{Nd} = +6.2$) appears to belong to Area III rather
than I, but the western boundary of Area I at this latitude is poorly
defined by Zartman's data and additional more recent data have
considerably altered the probable location of this province boundary
(Everson and Silver, 1976).

In general the Nd data indicate that the mantle underlying the
western borderlands of North America may be more "oceanic" in
character than that underlying the continental interior. This
conclusion agrees in general with Sr isotope data also (Leeman,
1974). This transition may be related to recent subduction along
the coast of North America and the apparent termination of the East
Pacific Rise in the Gulf of California. However, the $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ of the
1.1 AE old Sierra Ancha diabase ($\varepsilon_{Nd} = +3.4$) suggests that the "oceanic" character of the mantle in this region may date from Precambrian times.

**Intraprovince variability of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$**

The discussions of variations of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ in young igneous rocks have thus far been concerned with differences between rocks falling in rather broad geographic or petrologic categories. In order to evaluate the meaning and significance of these variations it is helpful to have some idea of the variability of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ within a single petrologic province, within lavas erupted from a single volcano, and within a single lava flow. No investigation has yet been made on variations within a single flow, but Figure 18 presents data from provinces where multiple analyses are available. Figure 18 shows that intraprovince variability ranges from no measurable variation, as in the case of Bouvet Island, to variations of the same magnitude as those found between the major groupings discussed earlier, as seen in the Hawaiian data.

The eight samples from the island of Hawaii show a total spread in $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ of 3 units; there is no apparent relationship between $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ and rock type (O'Nions et al., 1977). The three samples from Oahu show a marked contrast. Two nephelinites have identical $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ while a tholeiite has a drastically different $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ which is similar to many continental flood basalts. The tholeiite and nephelinites appear to be derived from totally different reservoirs despite their coincident location. The nephelinites on Oahu are
Figure 18: Histogram of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values in young igneous rocks grouped by petrologic province. Data from Iceland, Tristan da Cunha, Bouvet, Ascension, and most of the Hawaiian data are from O'Nions et al (1977).
younger than the tholeiites, being erupted after an eruptive hiatus of a few million years (Doell and Dalrymple, 1973). In this case it appears that resumption of magmatic activity may have resulted in melting of a mantle reservoir different from that which yielded the magmas during the main phase of volcanism. These data show that a single volcanic conduit system can access a variety of mantle reservoirs of distinctive chemistry and age. The only Hawaiian samples with $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} > +7$ are nephelinites, which suggests some relation between magma chemistry and isotopic properties. However, these nephelinites are also from different islands than are the bulk of the other samples which complicates the interpretation. In the past Hawaii has been considered based on Sr isotope data, to be an example of a province where lavas of diverse chemistry have a uniform isotope composition. The data given here and newer Sr data (O'Nions et al., 1976) clearly show that this is not the case. The older Sr data were of too low a precision relative to the overall variations in oceanic lavas to detect it (Hedge, 1966).

Ten samples from Iceland show a total variation in $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of three units, with no discernible relationship between $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and rock type. Four samples from Ascension Island exhibit a range of three units while two samples from Tristan da Cunha are nearly identical. The Tristan da Cunha samples have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ much different than the average for the other oceanic islands and are the only island lavas which appear to be derived from a "continental-type" source.

As discussed earlier, the sample of Yakima basalt from the Columbia River province has $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ much different from the Picture Gorge
sample. The Picture Gorge lavas were erupted from a different fissure system than were the Yakima basalts (Waters, 1961), and the isotopic difference may be a reflection of the isotopic provinces which appear to exist in western North America. The Columbia River data suggest that during a single large magmatic event, the region of melting may transgress fundamental large-scale chemical boundaries in the mantle.

Two samples of Karroo basalt from the Stormberg Series in South Africa have identical $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$. These two samples were collected over 100 km from each other and suggest that the southern Karroo province may be isotopically homogeneous. The third Karroo sample is from several hundred kilometers farther north in Mozambique. The Karroo basalts of Mozambique have been only cursorily described in the literature and little information on their chemistry and their relationship to other parts of the Karroo province is available. The Nd data suggest that their genesis or emplacement history may have been drastically different from that of the better-known lavas farther south.

The two Paraná basalts come from a single drill core at stratigraphic levels separated by 450 meters and both have K-Ar ages of about 120 million years. The difference of 3 units in $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ could again reflect derivation from different reservoirs although the possible effects of crustal contamination cannot yet be assessed.

Seven samples from the New Britain region in the Bismarck Island Arc, including samples of basalt, andesite, and rhyolite,
show a remarkably narrow range of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$. These lavas also were erupted at different distances from the submarine trench. In contrast to Hawaii, it appears that the source of these New Britain magmas is a well-homogenized reservoir. The data suggest that fractional crystallization may be responsible for much of the chemical variation between the lava types.

The samples from the Marianas arc show substantially more variation than the New Britain samples. However, the three samples from the presently active arc have identical $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ while the three samples from the now dormant Eocene-Miocene frontal arc also have identical $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$, but uniformly higher than the active arc lavas. It appears that when the locus of volcanism moved to the north between Miocene time and the present, a different reservoir began to be tapped.

The three samples from the Peninsular Ranges batholith show a significant variation in $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$. The variations seem to be correlated with rock type with the gabbro having $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +4.7$, the granodiorite having $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +3.6$ and the leucogranite having $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +1.9$. The fact that the more silicic rocks have lower $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ suggests that old silicic crust may be involved in their genesis to some degree. However, variations in other trace elements and $^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr}$ (Silver, 1975) generally do not correlate with rock type, but show more complex variations indicating that the generation of these batholithic rocks cannot be described in terms of one simple process. The $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of the San Marcos gabbro sample is identical to that of a basalt from Mt. Shasta in the Cascade Range,
and supports the notion that the batholith may have been formed in continental margin volcanic arc environment. However, these $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values are substantially lower than those found in the true island arcs.

Two samples (andesite and rhyolite) erupted from El Misti volcano in the Peruvian Andes give an indication of the possible variability of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ in lavas from the same volcano erupted at closely spaced points in time. The difference of four units in $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ is quite large. However, these lavas may be derived by remelting of old continental crust. Since continental crust may be isotopically grossly heterogeneous on a fine scale, it may be more common to find large isotopic differences in lavas derived from melting of crust than in lavas derived from the mantle.

In conclusion, the variations of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ found within a single magmatic province or within lavas from a single eruptive center may be quite significant compared to the variations found on a global scale between broad petrologic categories or geographic regions. In the continental rocks, some of the dispersion may be due to crustal contamination rather than being a true reflection of heterogeneities in the magma sources. However, the ocean island data, especially those from Hawaii, strongly suggest that a substantial amount of variation must be attributable to the mantle magma sources. This variation, exhibited at a single location, clearly indicates the existence of substantial vertical differentiation in the upper mantle and that such layered structure must be preserved for at least several hundred million years. On the other
hand, the narrow range of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values shown by the Bismarck Island arc samples indicates how homogeneous a large-scale magma source can be. The total variation of 1.2 $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ units is just larger than twice the 2σ uncertainty in a single analysis and thus barely detectable. These data provide a working definition of a "homogeneous reservoir."

**REE patterns in magma sources**

With the simple models discussed in Chapter III we can evaluate the implications of the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values measured in young rocks for the REE patterns in their respective parental mantle regions. By inspection of Figures 9 and 11 it can be seen that in general $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ of continental rocks of a wide range of ages are less than zero while $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of the vast majority of young basalts, which represent $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ in the mantle, are greater than zero. These data are thus consistent with a simple model of evolution of the outer earth, whereby it had originally a chondritic REE pattern, and through time a low-melting fraction (the continental crust) with $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} < 0$ was extracted from the mantle and stored near the surface of the earth leaving the upper mantle with $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \geq 0$. This is just the same type of model as was discussed in Chapter III. The continental crust is here identified with the low-melting fraction because the crust is the only reservoir known where low-Sm/Nd material is stored for geologically long times without being remixed with the mantle.

For purposes of discussion mantle material which has lost a low melting fraction will be termed "depleted mantle," and it will be assumed that such mantle material has $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} > 0$ with the "most
depleted" material having the highest $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ as can be deduced from Figure 5. Thus most zero age basalts which have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} > 0$ can be presumed to be derived by melting of depleted mantle material, which was left as a residue from a previous partial melting event. The MOR basalts, since they have the highest $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$, are derived from mantle reservoirs which are most depleted. Only the continental flood basalts, which tend to cluster about a value of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = 0$, may be derived from undepleted mantle which, prior to the generation of the flood basalts, had existed since the formation of the earth without being partially melted. Continental flood basalts may represent a special type of magmatic event in which primordial mantle material is melted for the first time. Study of these basalts may yield more veracious information on the composition of the earth than does study of oceanic basalts which are derived from material which has been previously differentiated.

Finally, if the inference is correct that undifferentiated mantle material is still present in the earth, then it may imply that the earth is still heating up due to heat generated by radioactive decay rather than cooling off due to loss of original heat from accretion or core formation.

Prior to this study, it had been concluded from trace element studies that MOR basalts were derived from depleted mantle reservoirs (Gast, 1968). This had been deduced from the observation that many MOR basalts have light-REE depleted patterns ($f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} > 0$) which as discussed in Chapter III implies that their parental reservoirs have this property. Thus the Nd evidence confirmed this earlier hypothesis.
However, the Nd data add knowledge about the length of time that these reservoirs have been depleted. If they are very old ($T_s \approx 4.5$ AE), then from the $f^*T^*$ values of $4 \times 10^8$ yr and equation 14 we calculate $f_s \approx +0.09$. By comparison with Figure 5 it can be seen that this would imply very mildly light-REE depleted patterns in the sources of MOR basalts. However, if these reservoirs became depleted only 0.5 AE ago (i.e., $T_s = 0.5$ AE), then they must have $f_{Sm/Nd} \approx +0.80$, indicative of a highly fractionated, light-REE-depleted pattern. Obviously, partial melting models of the generation of MOR basalts based on rare-earth elements will depend heavily upon the $T_s$ age of the MOR basalt source reservoirs. For example, $f_R$ for a typical MOR basalt is about $+0.10$. For $T_s = 4.5$ and equation 15 we calculate $g \approx 0$. In contrast, for $T_s = 0.5$ AE, $g = -0.39$. By reference to Figure 5, we see that the latter would indicate that MOR basalts form by small degrees of partial melting while the former would imply large degrees of melting.

Unlike the case of the MOR basalts, the Nd isotopic data have to some degree contradicted previous ideas about the REE patterns in the parental reservoirs of ocean island basalts and island arc volcanics. Ocean island basalts have light REE enriched patterns ($f_{Sm/Nd} < 0$) and thus give no evidence to suggest that $f_{Sm/Nd}$ of their source reservoir is $>0$. Furthermore, ocean island basalts have $^{87}Sr/^{86}Sr$ which is somewhat higher than those of MOR basalts. This was taken as an indication that their source reservoirs were indeed chemically different than those of MOR basalts. Thus it was hypothesized (cf., Kay and Gast, 1973) that the ocean island lavas were derived from
primitive undepleted mantle. Since island arc rocks have $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ similar to ocean island lavas, it was further hypothesized that they too might be derived from undepleted mantle reservoirs. The Nd data, however, suggest that the source reservoirs of ocean island basalts as well as island arc lavas are depleted.

Sun and Hanson (1975) suggested more recently that there was evidence from the trace element patterns of alkali basalts similar to those found on ocean islands, that indicated that their source reservoirs were not primitive and unfractionated. They concluded that these reservoirs had become enriched in light REE at some time during the past. They suggested that this light-REE enrichment may have occurred in Precambrian times although they noted that it could have occurred very recently. The Nd data clearly indicate that such light-REE enrichment could not have occurred in Precambrian times, since then the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of ocean island lavas would be expected to be somewhere in the range $-10$ to $-30$ in contrast to the observed range of $+4$ to $+8$. If the source reservoirs of these lavas are light-REE enriched ($f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} = -0.4$ as suggested by Sun and Hanson, 1975) then they must have become enriched within the last $\sim 4 \times 10^8$ years.

**Growth of Continental Crust**

The bulk composition and REE abundances of island arcs and continental margin volcanics arcs closely approximate the composition of average continental crust (Taylor and White, 1965). This observation together with the fact that island arcs are usually found at or near continental margins has led to the hypothesis that
they are the site of production of new continental crust. Continents thus may grow through time by accreting at their margins material produced in island arcs. If this is the case, then the measurements made in this study indicate that new continental material has a Nd isotopic composition similar to oceanic basalts and should not lie on the CHUR curve.

The ancient partial melting events which depleted the source reservoirs of the island arc rocks could have contributed to building of the continental crust. Thus if island arcs represent the typical materials being added to form new continental crust, then it must be concluded that continental crust is now being derived from depleted mantle reservoirs which were previously tapped to form continental crust in earlier epochs of crust-building. Since these reservoirs may be more depleted in "crustal components" today than in the past, new continental material derived from them may have a significantly different average composition than Archean crust.

Evidence for multi-state evolution histories for mantle rocks

The notion that mantle reservoirs may have complicated fractionation histories, which is implicit in the suggestions of Sun and Hanson (1975), finds some degree of support from the Nd data on the St. Paul's spinel peridotite (sample SPP-1) which may be a direct sampling of an upper mantle segment. This rock has a highly fractionated REE pattern with a very low $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ (=$-0.76$) (Frey, 1970). Despite its negative $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$, this rock has a positive $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +5.9$. This is similar to the example shown in Figure 4b. Thus,
in order to explain this, this rock must have had at least a three-stage history. Presumably it began with a chondritic REE pattern ($f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} = 0$). In order to have evolved an $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} > 0$, at some time in the past it must have acquired an $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} > 0$, perhaps after being partially melted and losing a light-REE enriched melt fraction. More recently it has acquired its present-day negative $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$, perhaps by being injected with a light-REE enriched magma which was generated in the mantle at deeper levels as suggested by Green (1971) and Frey and Green (1974). Whether or not the models of generation are correct, it is clear that mantle segments may indeed have complicated histories. Regarding the suggestion by Sun and Hanson (1975) that oceanic alkali basalts are derived from light-REE-enriched mantle material of peridotite composition, the St. Paul's sample at least demonstrates that such material, with $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ similar to those of the alkali basalts, does exist.

In Figure 6 was given examples of the magnitude of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ variations which might be expected to be found in the mantle due to fractionation from partial melting events. Values of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of from +20 to +150 or more might be expected to be found in the mantle today. However, young basalts have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ mostly in the range −4 to +12, much closer to zero than expected, and spanning a much smaller range than could plausibly be present in the mantle.

Thus, if basalts are produced by about 5% partial melting of the mantle, and if the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ values of young basalts are an unbiased sampling of all mantle reservoirs, then the data suggest that a mantle
segment affected by a specific large melting event, such as one producing about $10^5$ km$^3$ of flood basalt, must be remixed with the remaining undepleted mantle within about 0.5 AE after the melting event. The amount of mantle material which would be affected by such an event ($\sim 10^{22}$ g) represents only about $2\times10^{-4}$% of the total mantle.

A second possibility is that the small range of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ in the mantle could mean that all igneous rocks represent only very small degrees of melting of the mantle ($<0.5\%$) so that no part of the mantle ever acquires an $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} > 0.10$. However, this alternative seems unlikely since orogenic lherzolites, which are probably segments of uplifted mantle, have been found with $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ in the range +0.5 to +1.5 (Frey et al., 1971). Thus the fact that almost all rocks have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ not far from 0 ($\leq +10$) indicates that mantle reservoirs with $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \geq 1.0$ are rarely, if ever, preserved for times longer than 0.5 AE.

An alternative explanation is that the basalts do not give an unbiased sampling of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ in the mantle. Instead, they may be melted only from those reservoirs which are relatively undepleted. Mantle segments which become severely depleted may never be subsequently remelted to produce basalts.
VII. Sr RESULTS

Most of the samples analyzed for $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ were also analyzed for $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$. The results of these analyses are given in Table 1. The $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ ratios are expressed as $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ as explained in Chapter II. Figure 19 shows the Sr isotope data on a graph of $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ versus $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$. Included in this figure are only those basalt samples with $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} < 15$; island arc samples are not shown. Figure 19 shows that $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ are strongly correlated in basalts from a wide variety of tectonic settings on both continents and oceans. MOR basalts have the highest $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and lowest $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ and lie at one extreme of the trend. Continental basalts generally tend to have highest $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ and lowest $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$, while the ocean island basalts are intermediate in both $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$. This remarkable correlation is the first such correlation ever discovered between two radioactive isotope systems in nature.

Although Pb and Sr isotopes had been intensively studied for more than two decades no such simple correlation was ever found between Pb and Sr isotope variations in young basalts.

The slope of the trend indicates that reservoirs with low Rb/Sr have high Sm/Nd and those with high Rb/Sr have low Sm/Nd. Generally when a rock is partially melted, the liquid will have higher Rb/Sr and lower Sm/Nd than the original rock, and the solid residue will have lower Rb/Sr and higher Sm/Nd. Thus, if reservoirs in the earth are formed by such processes (as shown in Figure 2) the reservoirs with highest $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ will have lowest $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ and vice versa. The slope of the correlation trend is thus consistent with the hypothesis
that Nd and Sr isotopic variations in the earth today are the result of Sm/Nd and Rb/Sr fractionations which were caused by magmatic processes in the past.

This correlation between Nd and Sr isotope variations was first discovered and noted by DePaolo and Wasserburg (1976c) and the first substantial data documenting the correlation were given by DePaolo and Wasserburg (1976b) along with a short statement of its possible origin. From this work it was clear that a strong correlation existed and that it appeared to apply to both oceanic and continental volcanics. These authors found rocks with $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ ranging from +11 down to -4 which lay on the same trend. Richard et al. (1976) also found that there was a suggestion of some correlation between $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in some young basalts they measured, but the precision of their $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ measurements was too poor to show this conclusively. O'Nions et al. (1977), in a study restricted to oceanic rocks, presented more evidence for a correlation. They noted a fine-scale correlation in lavas from Iceland and the Reykjanes Ridge and also noted that a broad correlation existed in all their data, although most of their data was in the more restricted interval of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +12$ to $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +4$ and because of the substantial dispersion did not appear to suggest such a strong correlation.

The solid line in Figure 19 was drawn through the data as an approximation to the trend. As is shown, $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = 0$ on this trend corresponds to $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.7045 \pm 5$. Since $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = 0$ should represent an unfractionated reservoir, this implies that a value of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} =$
Figure 19: Graph of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ versus $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ in young basalts which have $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ less than +15. The $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ scale has been arbitrarily set so that the line drawn through the data passes through the point $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = 0$, $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} = 0$. The corresponding initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ are given on the scale at the top. This figure includes data from O'Nions et al. (1977) and Richard et al. (1976).
0.7045 should be associated with unfractionated mantle reservoirs today. From this number and BABI (=0.69898; Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1969) it can be calculated that the Rb/Sr of undifferentiated mantle material is 0.029±0.003. This correlation trend thus gives the first clear indication of Rb/Sr for unfractionated mantle.

As discussed in Chapter II, the values of Rb/Sr and Sm/Nd calculated for a reservoir with $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0) = 0$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0) = 0$ may be those of the bulk earth. However, this inference is directly tied to the interpretation of the correlation trend. Although the interpretation favored at the present time suggests that these may be bulk earth values, there exist plausible interpretations of the trend which would suggest that they are not. At present the origin of the correlation is not sufficiently well understood to conclude that the Rb/Sr and Sm/Nd values are those of the bulk earth. They are, however, the present best estimates of bulk earth values and may be useful for assessing simple models of terrestrial differentiation.

The samples with $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} > +15$ or $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} < -6$ are shown on an expanded diagram in Figure 20. In general, for samples with $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} < 0$, $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ appear still to be correlated, but in a much more diffuse way. However, a striking regularity is shown by several continental flood basalt samples. These samples form a regular array extending from $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = 0$, $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} = 0$, to $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = -18$, $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} = +312$. This trend has a slope which is much different from that of the trend defined by samples with $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} > 0$. The trend is almost exactly that which would be expected in basalts which originally had $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} = 0$, but were contaminated to varying degrees with average old Rb-rich upper crustal material. This explanation of
Figure 20: Graph of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ versus $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ showing data on continental flood basalts including some samples not shown on Figure 19. Also shown are other samples not shown on Figure 19, the correlation line from Figure 19, and the approximate fields of MOR and ocean island basalts. The dashed line indicates a trend suggested by some of the flood basalt data which may result from crustal contamination of the basalt magmas.
the trend is plausible, since many of these continental basalts appear to be highly differentiated and thus may have spent relatively long times in magma chambers within the crust where contamination would have had opportunity to occur. There are arguments against contamination as an explanation. For instance, sample PEA-3 would have to be enormously highly contaminated in order to be displaced by +300 in $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$. If the contaminant were average 2.5 AE old crust, then this magma would have to contain 80% contaminant. It is possible, however, that the contamination is not bulk dissolution of wallrock in the magma, but rather contamination from some phase such as a fluid which is enriched in crustal Nd and Sr or which acts as an exchange medium between the magma and the wallrock. If this trend is not caused by crustal contamination, then it may be indicative of a special history for some parts of the subcontinental mantle. If the trend is caused by contamination, then it shows that Nd is much less sensitive than Sr to contamination, suggesting that Nd isotopes may provide a better tool for the study of subcontinental magma sources than does Sr. The fact that the trend extrapolates back to the correlation line at $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = 0$ indicates that after subtraction of the effects of crustal contamination continental flood basalts still have a markedly different isotopic composition from MOR basalts and thus must be derived from a distinct reservoir.

Three samples (PER-1, 2; LH-1) lie in a much different region of this diagram than do the flood basalts. These data are as yet poorly understood. It was suggested earlier from the Nd data that these
samples may be the product of melting of the lower crust. If so, the lower $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ values of these lavas and the low $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$'s would suggest a lower crust which is depleted in Rb (and U, Th) relative to the upper crust, as suggested by Heier (1965) and Zartman and Wasserburg (1969), but which has a REE pattern which is similar to that of the upper crust. However, this explanation is still highly speculative.

In summary, the data fall into three broad categories:

1. Those samples with $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} < +15$ which fall along the trend shown in Figure 19;

2. Continental flood basalts samples which fall along a mixing line between a magma with $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} = 0$ and average upper crust;

3. Some other continental volcanics which fit neither trend and whose $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ values are not understood.

In addition, some island arc rocks and some oceanic basalts also diverge from the trend shown in Figure 19. The island arc rocks are discussed in Appendix 3. Both the island arc rocks (DePaolo and Wasserburg, 1977) and the oceanic basalts (O'Nions et al., 1977) are believed to diverge from the trend due to contamination with seawater.
VIII. DISCUSSION OF Sr RESULTS

Origin of $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}} - \epsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ correlation

The data presented in Chapter VII show that initial $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in young basalts are strongly correlated for rocks with initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ less than ~0.705 (DePaolo and Wasserburg, 1976b,c,1977; O'Nions et al., 1977). The relationship suggests that Rb/Sr and Sm/Nd may be covariant in the lithic reservoirs in the earth's interior, a somewhat surprising result considering the geochemical dissimilarity of the elements involved. The correlation thus suggests that the processes which have operated to differentiate the earth's interior into chemically distinct domains may be extremely uniform. In this section, we will consider possible origins of this isotopic correlation and its implications for the differentiation history of the earth's mantle and crust. It should be kept in mind that two phenomena require explanation: (1) the correlation trend itself, and (2) the dispersion in the data about the correlation line.

Three possible origins of the correlation shown in Figure 19 will be considered. First, the possibility is entertained that the variations of $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ do not reflect differences in the parent reservoirs of the magmas but rather result from different amounts of crustal contamination of magmas which are melted from a single mantle reservoir with $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ similar to MOR basalts. The second possibility is that only two distinct reservoirs exist from which basalts are derived; one has $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and $\epsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ similar to MOR basalts and the other has $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}} = \epsilon_{\text{Sr}} = 0$ or perhaps lies along the extension of the
trend but with $\varepsilon_{Nd} < 0$ and $\varepsilon_{Sr} > 0$. A third possibility is that the $\varepsilon_{Sr}$ and $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values of the basalts represent the present day compositions of a spectrum of reservoirs in the earth, each of which has formed independently in a separate fractionation event.

Given below is a brief explanation of the systematics of the $\varepsilon_{Nd}-\varepsilon_{Sr}$ diagram. Following that, each of the above-mentioned possible origins for the correlation will be evaluated and the implications of each will be discussed.

A. Systematics of $\varepsilon_{Nd}-\varepsilon_{Sr}$ variations

1. Mixing relationships

Mixing of two reservoirs can produce linear or near-linear trends on an $\varepsilon_{Nd}-\varepsilon_{Sr}$ diagram. If a mixture of two reservoirs A and B contains a weight fraction $X_B$ of reservoir B, the difference between $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ in the mixture and $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ of A ($\Delta\varepsilon_{Nd}$) is given by:

$$\Delta\varepsilon_{Nd} = \frac{[Nd]_B (\varepsilon_{Nd}^B - \varepsilon_{Nd}^A) X_B}{[Nd]_A + ([Nd]_B + [Nd]_A) X_B}$$

$$\approx \frac{[Nd]_B}{[Nd]_A} (\varepsilon_{Nd}^B - \varepsilon_{Nd}^A) X_B \quad \text{for} \quad X_B << 1$$

An exactly analogous equation holds for $\varepsilon_{Sr}$. The relative effects on $\varepsilon_{Sr}$ and $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ for small $X_B$ are thus:

$$\frac{\Delta\varepsilon_{Nd}}{\Delta\varepsilon_{Sr}} = \frac{(Nd/Sr)_B}{(Nd/Sr)_A} \left( \frac{\varepsilon_{Nd}^B - \varepsilon_{Nd}^A}{\varepsilon_{Sr}^B - \varepsilon_{Sr}^A} \right)$$
Thus the shape of the mixing curve between two reservoirs depends on the ratio of $\text{Nd/Sr}$ in the two reservoirs.

Figure 21 shows mixing lines for mixtures of two reservoirs for different ratios of $\text{Sr/Nd}$ in the reservoirs. A straight line results only if $\text{Sr/Nd}$ is the same in both reservoirs. If the $\text{Sr/Nd}$ of the two reservoirs are greatly different, the mixing line becomes strongly curved. Thus a linear array on an $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}-\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ diagram can be caused by two-component mixing only if the components have similar $\text{Nd/Sr}$.

2. $\text{Sr}$ and $\text{Nd}$ evolution during differentiation of a planet

In this section, the characteristics of the isotopic evolution of $\text{Nd}$ and $\text{Sr}$ in reservoirs in a planet which undergoes a simple differentiation history are presented. This development may clarify the possible implications of the data shown in Figures 19 and 20 and will aid in the assessment of the models presented below. It will be analogous to the discussion presented in the introduction but considers the $\text{Rb-Sr}$ and $\text{Sm-Nd}$ systems concurrently.

Consider a planet which forms 4.5 AE ago as a compositionally uniform sphere and has the parent/daughter ratios $\text{Sm/Nd}_{\text{UR}}$ and $\text{Rb/Sr}_{\text{UR}}$. The evolution of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in the planet is shown as the curves $I_{\text{UR}}^{\text{Nd}}(T)$ and $I_{\text{UR}}^{\text{Sr}}(T)$ in Figure 22a. At time $T_f$ a portion of the planet differentiates into two reservoirs A and B. Suppose that reservoir A has $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} > 0$ and $f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} < 0$. Reservoir B must then have $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} < 0$ and $f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} > 0$. This would be the case if reservoir B were formed from a partial melt of the UR reservoir and A were the solid residue left after melting (as in Figure 2). The evolution of
Figure 21: \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} \) for mixtures of two components, A and B. The shape of the mixing line depends on the parameter \( K = (\text{Sr/Nd})_A / (\text{Sr/Nd})_B \). The mixing line is a straight line only if \( K = 1 \).
$K = \frac{(Sr/Nd)_A}{(Sr/Nd)_B}$

Fig. 21
Figure 22: Series of graphs displaying the evolution of the isotopic composition of Nd and Sr in two reservoirs A and B fractionated from an UR reservoir at time $T_f$. Relative to UR reservoir A has higher Sm/Nd and lower Rb/Sr and vice versa for reservoir B. Figure 22a shows the evolution of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$. Figure 22b shows $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(T)$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(T)$. Figure 22c shows the present-day isotopic composition of the three reservoirs on a plot of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ versus $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0)$. The dotted line shows the isotopic composition of mixtures of reservoirs A and B. The relationships between the locations of the points on Figure 22c and the Sm/Nd and Rb/Sr enrichment factors are also given. Note that if mixing of reservoirs such as A and UR were responsible for the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}-\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ correlation in young basalts (Figure 19), then the slope of the correlation trend would provide information about the chemistry of the complementary reservoir (B), which might, for instance, be the continental crust.
Fig. 22
$^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in reservoirs A and B is shown in Figure 22a. The information shown in Figure 22a is shown in Figure 22b on graphs of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ versus time and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ versus time. Subsequent to $T_f$ reservoir A evolves a positive $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ but a negative $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ and vice versa for reservoir B. As indicated on these diagrams, the slopes of the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ evolution lines are proportional to $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}^A$ and $f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}^A$ respectively. Finally, Figure 22c shows the present-day $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0)$ of reservoirs A, B, and UR plotted on the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$-$\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ diagram. As shown in this figure, for Reservoir A: $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0) = Q_{\text{Nd}} f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}^A T_f$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0) = Q_{\text{Sr}} f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}^A T_f$. These relationships are also obvious from Figure 22b.

Analogous equations hold for Reservoir B. The slope of the line segment A−UR is therefore equal to $Q_{\text{Nd}} f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}^A / Q_{\text{Sr}} f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}^A$ and is independent of time. As time progresses the slope remains constant and the point A will move farther away from UR. Any reservoir which forms from UR with $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}^B / f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}^B$ equal to that in A will lie along the line A−UR for all time. Its distance from the point UR today will depend on the magnitude of the product $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}^A T_f$. Similarly, any reservoir which forms from UR with $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}^B / f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}^B$ equal to that of B will lie on the line UR−B for all time. The slopes of the two line segments are related by:

\[
\frac{\text{slope UR-B}}{\text{slope A-UR}} = \frac{(\text{Sr/Nd})_B}{(\text{Sr/Nd})_A}
\]

Thus the slopes of the lines connecting the compositions of the complementary reservoirs A and B to UR on the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$-$\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ plot are dependent upon the relative partitioning of Nd and Sr between the reservoirs. The distances A−UR and B−UR are dependent upon the
f_{Rb/Sr} and f_{Sm/Nd} in the reservoirs and T_f. The equation given above expresses the additional constraint which comes from the simultaneous consideration of two isotopic systems and may prove to be quite powerful as shown below.

The reservoirs A, UR, and B will be colinear on Figure 20c only if Nd/Sr is equal in all three reservoirs. The dotted line shows the compositions which result if today parts of reservoirs A and B are mixed together. This mixing line must pass through UR. However, if there is mixing of magmas derived from A and B, the resulting mixing line will depend on Nd/Sr in the two magmas and is not required to pass through the UR point.

Thus we see from these considerations that the Nd and Sr isotopic compositions of a population of reservoirs will line on a single straight line on an $\varepsilon_{Nd} - \varepsilon_{Sr}$ diagram if (1) all the reservoirs were derived from a single homogeneous reservoir (such as UR, and (2) all the reservoirs have identical $f_{Sm/Nd}/f_{Rb/Sr}$.

**Magma contamination:**

To evaluate an origin for the correlation trend by magma contamination the isotopic changes have been calculated which would result from contamination of typical magmas with possible contaminating materials using the mixing relations given in equation 28. These are then compared to the observed correlation trend. Of course, in any particular rock contamination can also be assessed by considering other aspects of the chemistry but for this discussion only the effect on the isotopic compositions of Nd and Sr will be considered.
Before proceeding it is necessary to assess the present day isotopic composition of possible contaminants. Figure 23 shows the present $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0)$ found in young basalts, in several crustal samples measured in this study, and calculated for some composite samples. The $f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}$ and $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ of average upper crust are from Taylor (1976). Its $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0)$ were calculated from the given $f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}$ and $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ assuming an average age of 1.5 AE and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(1.5 \text{ AE}) = \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(1.5 \text{ AE}) = 0$. GL represents a composite of granulite facies gneisses taken from Heier and Thöresen (1971). $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ for these gneisses was estimated from the data of T.H. Green et al. (1972), and they also were assumed to be 1.5 AE old. These granulites may be representative of lower crustal rocks. In contrast to the upper crustal average, they are richer in Sr and have lower Rb/Sr. The only other samples shown which were not discussed previously are the Vermilion Granite (VG; 2.6 AE), whose Sm/Nd was taken from Arth and Hanson (1975) and Seawater, whose $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ is taken as that of the fish debris, sample DOS-1, Table 1.

This diagram shows the wide diversity of compositions found in the continental crust. It is immediately obvious that relative to the variations found in the young basalts, the variations in $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ in crustal rocks are a factor of 10 to 100 greater than the variations of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$, illustrating why Sr is expected to be more susceptible to contamination. In general, the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ of the rocks increases with increasing rock age because $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ of crustal rocks is fairly constant. $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0)$ is more dependent on chemistry than on age. Thus 0.12 AE old Rb-rich Rubidoux Leucogranite (RL-1) has $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0)$ similar to the 2.6 AE old
Figure 23: \( \varepsilon_d(0) \) and \( \varepsilon_{Sr}(0) \) in several crustal rocks, composite samples of crustal rocks, and seawater, showing their relationship to the data on young basalts. Note that the points represent the values which would be measured in these rocks today. Unlike in the basalt magma sources, \( \varepsilon_{Nd}(0) \) and \( \varepsilon_{Sr}(0) \) are not strongly correlated in crustal rocks.
Fig. 23
granodiorite WYWR-4D, while the 1.0 AE Town Mountain Granite has $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ much higher than the 2.5 AE basaltic Great Dyke.

The concentrations of Nd and Sr, $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ (0) and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ (0) and Sr/Nd for average MOR basalt, average continental flood basalt and three examples of contaminating material are given in Table 2. The mixing lines for MOR basalt and continental flood basalt and the contaminants listed in Table 2 are shown in Figure 24. The values of the weight fraction of contaminant in the magma ($X_c$) are indicated along the curves. For seawater, instead of $X_c$ the numbers given are water/rock mass ratios, so 10 indicates the resulting composition if 10g of water equilibrates isotopically with 1g of MOR basalt. Figure 24 shows that contamination of MOR basalt with any of the materials considered results in a trend much different from the $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} - \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ trend defined by the young basalts (shown by the dashed line). The trend could be caused by contamination only if the contaminating material had much higher (Nd/Sr) and/or lower $|\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ (0)/$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ (0)|. Low values of $|\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ (0)/$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ (0)| could be found in plagioclase rich crustal rocks, but plagioclase-rich rocks tend to have low rather than high Nd/Sr ratios. These calculations therefore lend no support to a magma contamination origin for the correlation line. However, some of the dispersion about the correlation line could be the result of small amounts of contamination of the magmas with crustal rocks, seawater, or oceanic sediments which are similar in composition to the upper crust.

Note in Figure 24 that because of the extremely low Nd/Sr of seawater, its interaction with oceanic basalt will not alter $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of
Table 2: Compositions of Basalt Magmas and Possible Contaminants

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Material</th>
<th>Nd (ppm)</th>
<th>Sr (ppm)</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$</th>
<th>$\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0)$</th>
<th>Sr/Nd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>MOR Basalt</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>136$^d$</td>
<td>+10</td>
<td>-30</td>
<td>13.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cont. Flood Basalt</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>250</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ave. Upper Crust$^a$</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>$-17^c$</td>
<td>+250$^c$</td>
<td>11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Granulite (GL)$^b$</td>
<td>25</td>
<td>572</td>
<td>$-17^c$</td>
<td>+85$^c$</td>
<td>23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Seawater</td>
<td>$3 \times 10^{-5}^e$</td>
<td>8$^f$</td>
<td>$-9^g$</td>
<td>+64$^h$</td>
<td>$2.7 \times 10^5$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$ Taylor (1977)    $^b$ Heier and Thoresen (1971); Green, Brunfelt and Heier (1972) $^c$ Average age assumed = 1.5 AE $^d$ Sun and Hanson (1975) $^e$ Høgdahl et al. (1968) $^f$ Mason (1966) $^g$ Based on analysis of fish debris (sample DOS-1) $^h$ Papanastassiou and Wasserburg (1970)
Figure 24: Effects of contamination on the isotopic composition of magmas of average mid-ocean ridge (MOR) basalt and continental flood basalt composition. Arrows represent simple mixing lines between the magmas and possible contaminants. Labelled tick marks on the arrows give the weight fraction of contaminant in the mixture necessary to displace the isotopic composition of the basalt to that point along the mixing line. For seawater the tick marks give the water/rock mass ratio. The dashed line represents the correlation trend from Figure 19; it is distinct from all of the mixing lines shown. The compositions of the magmas and contaminating rocks used for the calculations are given in Table 2.
the basalt. Thus, exchange between seawater and submarine basalts, which has complicated the interpretation of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ measured in the basalts, will not be a factor for evaluating $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in these basalts. This has been shown experimentally by O'Nions et al. (1977). This property of seawater has been used to investigate the origin of island arc magmas (Appendix 3).

The contamination of continental flood basalt ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} = 0$) with materials typical of both the upper and possibly the lower crust produces a trend on Figure 23 which very closely mimics the trend shown by some of the continental flood basalts on Figure 20. This strongly suggests that this trend may indeed result from crustal contamination of magmas which originally lay on the correlation trend with $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \approx 0$. In general, the fact that these contamination trends all have slopes significantly different from the correlation trend indicates that concurrent use of Nd and Sr isotopes will be a powerful petrogenetic tool which, using the correlation line as a base line, may enable the effects of contamination on the isotopic composition of magmas to be evaluated.

**Two-Reservoir Mixing**

The correlation trend could be explained by mixing of two reservoirs which are fairly homogeneous and have isotopic compositions lying at the extremes of the correlation trend or beyond. In order for a linear trend to result the two reservoirs must have similar Sr/Nd. Two such reservoirs could be formed in a variety of ways. Two possible models for their creation are as follows.
In Model I the two reservoirs are formed near the time of formation of the earth and have existed as separate entities ever since. In this case an original homogeneous earth was differentiated into one reservoir which was relatively enriched in Rb and Nd (EUR) and another reservoir relatively depleted in Rb and Nd (DUR). These reservoirs then evolved through time as independent uniform reservoirs. It is implicit in this model that neither of these reservoirs has the same composition as the original bulk earth, and thus that no unfractionated reservoir is now present in the earth. If unfractionated material is present in the earth, then three reservoirs instead of two are required. This situation could have arisen if for instance only part of the earth differentiated into EUR and DUR reservoirs leaving the remainder of the earth as a primitive CHUR reservoir. These two possibilities are depicted in Figure 25. Also shown in Figure 25 are possible present day compositions of these reservoirs on a graph of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (0)$ versus $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} (0)$.

Model II is depicted in Figure 26. In this model the mantle starts out as a uniform reservoir UR and through time magmas derived from the upper mantle contribute to building the continental crust. These magmas are enriched in Rb relative to Sr and in Nd relative to Sm so as the crust is gradually built the upper mantle becomes more and more depleted in Rb and Nd relative to Sr and Sm. The upper mantle in this case is fluid and rapidly convecting so that it is always well-mixed. However, it is isolated from the undifferentiated
Figure 25: Illustration of one model of the formation of two reservoirs in a single event early in earth history. In a the entire earth (mantle?) is differentiated into two compositionally distinct layers. In b only the outer part of the earth is differentiated into two layers leaving the deeper regions unmodified. The possible present-day $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0)$ of the reservoirs is shown in the graphs at the bottom of the figure. Mixing of two reservoirs formed in this manner could explain the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}-\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ correlation in young basalts. Note that the "enriched" (EUR) and "depleted" (DUR) reservoirs need not be colinear with the UR reservoir.
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Figure 26: Second model showing how a two layer mantle can form.
In this model the continental crust is built gradually through time
from material which is partially melted from the upper mantle. The
upper mantle convects vigorously and always remains well mixed.
Since the crust is enriched in Rb and Nd with respect to Sr and Sm
the upper mantle gradually evolves a low Rb/Sr and a high Sm/Nd.
The lower mantle remains totally inert. The possible isotopic
compositions found today in the lower mantle (UR), the depleted upper
mantle (DR), and in the continental crust are shown in the graph.
If young basalts were derived from a mix of DR and UR reservoirs it
could explain the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} - \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ correlation trend.
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lower mantle. The present-day composition of the depleted upper mantle (DR) and the unfractio... showed in Figure 26. Note that in this model there is no mantle reservoir with $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0) < 0$. Therefore, in this model, all rocks with $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} < 0$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} > 0$ must result from crustal contamination.

Further implications of these two reservoir models will be discussed after the following section.

**Spectrum of Reservoirs**

The third possibility is that the correlation trend indicates the existence of not just two reservoirs but a continuous spectrum of reservoirs which have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0)$ lying all along the extent of the trend. The origin of such an array of reservoirs was discussed in an earlier section (cf., Figure 22).

Note that this model requires that each time a differentiation event takes place, the elements must be partitioned in a rigidly defined manner so that $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}/f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}$ in every reservoir is the same. This implies a high degree of uniformity in the processes involved. If for instance partial melting and magma removal is the process responsible for these differentiation events, then a uniform percentage of melting and a specific residual mineralogy in all melting events is required. However, since there is considerable scatter about the correlation trend some variability in these parameters would be allowed. The requirement of constant ratios of enrichment factors in every differentiation event makes this model significantly different from Model II discussed previously for the
two-reservoir models. Model II does not require a specific fractionation of elements in every event, because the depleted reservoir is well mixed and its composition is just a function of the average fractionation factors.

If this correlation trend does describe the compositions of a spectrum of reservoirs which have arisen in the manner described here, then it implies that Rb/Sr and Sm/Nd are strictly covariant in the various reservoirs in the mantle. This would be somewhat surprising considering that Rb and Sr are geochemically much different from Sm and Nd. Rb is volatile and singly charged while Sr is refractory and occurs as a doubly-charged ion. In contrast Sm and Nd are both refractory and tri-positive and differ only in ionic radius. Thus since the relative distribution of Sm and Nd is almost entirely due to difference in ionic radius, the correlation suggests that the relative distribution of Rb and Sr in mantle reservoirs is also primarily due to difference in ionic radius. Volatility effects and minerals which strongly discriminate between Rb and Sr on the basis of charge must not be important in the formation of mantle reservoirs.

Further implications of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} - \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ correlation

From the preceding discussion it can be concluded that the $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} - \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ correlation in young basalts does not result from crustal contamination and thus must be a fundamental property of the mantle reservoirs from which basaltic magmas are derived. The correlation trend thus provides information about when and how these reservoirs
formed.

The existence of the correlation leads to some interesting conclusions about the distribution of Rb in the earth. It has been suggested that relative to chondrites Rb is depleted in the earth's mantle due to its incorporation into the core (Lewis, 1971). Variations of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in the mantle today therefore could have resulted from variations of Rb/Sr which were produced as a result of incorporation of Rb in the core. Low Rb/Sr would be characteristic of those parts of the mantle which had lost the most Rb and high Rb/Sr would be found in parts of the mantle which had retained much of their Rb due to variability in the efficiency of the process. However, such variations of Rb/Sr would not be accompanied by variations of Sm/Nd because neither Sm nor Nd would be expected to enter the core. This process, therefore, would not lead to a correlation between Nd and Sr isotopic variations. Thus the correlation indicates that the currently-existing variations of Rb/Sr in the mantle are not related to the core-formation process. This does not mean that Rb could not have entered the core. Rather it indicates that if it did enter the core, that after the process was complete the mantle was rehomogenized with a new, lower Rb/Sr and was subsequently affected only by differentiation events which changed both Rb/Sr and Sm/Nd.

Models for the accretion of the earth have been proposed in which the deepest parts of the earth are made up of refractory materials which condensed out of the solar nebula early at high temperatures.
while the outer parts of the earth accreted from low-temperature volatile-rich material which condensed later (cf., Turekian and Clark, 1969). In this model one would expect low Rb/Sr in the early condensates and high Rb/Sr in the late additions. This model cannot produce significant differences in Sm/Nd since these elements are both refractory and will therefore enter all condensates in about the same proportions. Figure 27 shows the possible present-day compositions of early and late condensates on a graph of \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) (0) versus \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} \) (0). The early condensates are modelled after the basaltic achondrites (or their parent bodies) which are volatile-depleted and enriched in refractories while the late condensates are modelled after carbonaceous chondrites. Also shown is the field of \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} \) for the basalts making up the correlation trend as well as some individual samples with \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} < 0 \) and average continental crust. It is clear from this diagram that the \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} - \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} \) patterns found in the earth's mantle today (represented by the basalts) are nothing like that expected from a mixture of early and late condensates shown by the solid line. This demonstrates that the present variations of Rb/Sr in the earth are not related to accretional heterogeneity. The rather narrow range of \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} \) found in the young basalts at \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = 0 \) also clearly shows that if the earth is a mixture of condensates with such disparate \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} \), a very thorough mixing of these components must have occurred. The relative proportions of early and late condensates necessary to make an earth with \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} = 0 \) can be readily calculated from the information in Figure 27 if the concentration of Sr in each component is known.
Figure 27: Graph of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0)$ in young basalts, average upper crust and in meteorites which may represent early and late condensates from the solar nebula. Fields for early and late condensates are approximate and are calculated from measured Rb/Sr and Sm/Nd ratios in achondrites and chondrites respectively. Isotopic variations in the earth's mantle, given by the young basalt trend, could not result from accretional heterogeneity if the earth is made of the two end members shown.
The composition of the continental crust

The Rb/Sr ratio of the continental crust is an extremely important geochemical parameter which has been subject to considerable uncertainty due to lack of sufficient knowledge of the Rb content of the lower crust. The Rb content of the crust is important because it is an indicator of the amount of the heat-producing elements K, U, and Th which are in the crust. Knowledge of the concentrations of these elements in the crust is necessary to understand heat flow on the continents and for assessing how much of the earth's budget of these elements is still buried in the mantle and whether they contribute significantly to global heat flow or can drive convection cells in the mantle. The $\varepsilon_{Nd} - \varepsilon_{Sr}$ correlation may provide information on the Rb/Sr of the crust.

Consider a simple model of differentiation of the earth where an initially homogeneous reservoir differentiates into a series of complementary reservoirs $A_i$, $B_i$ such as shown in Figure 22c. Today, the isotopic compositions of the reservoirs $A_i$ lie along the line A-UR in Figure 22c and the reservoirs $B_i$ lie along the line UR-B. Suppose that the reservoirs $A_i$ are the mantle reservoirs from which young basalts are derived, and define the $\varepsilon_{Nd} - \varepsilon_{Sr}$ trend shown in Figure 19. The reservoirs $B_i$ are segments of the continental crust. This would be a plausible explanation of the $\varepsilon_{Nd} - \varepsilon_{Sr}$ correlation since the continental crust is the only known high-Rb/Sr, low-Sm/Nd reservoir which could be the complement of the low-Rb/Sr, high-Sm/Nd reservoirs represented by the basalt data. If this model were
correct, the slope of the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} - \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ correlation trend would provide information on Rb/Sr, Sm/Nd, and Sr/Nd in the continental crust. Model II (Figure 26) would lead to a similar conclusion.

The reservoirs $A_1$ we will call depleted mantle (DM). From equation 28 and the relationships given in Figure 22c, the following relation can be derived:

$$\left(\frac{f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}}{f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}}\right)_{\text{crust}} = K \left(\frac{f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}}{f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}}\right)_{\text{DM}}$$

where $K = (\text{Sr/Nd})_{\text{crust}}/(\text{Sr/Nd})_{\text{DM}}$. From Figure 19, $(f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}/f_{\text{Rb/Sr}})_{\text{DM}} = 0.25$. The relationship between $(f_{\text{Sm/Nd}})_{\text{crust}}$ and $(f_{\text{Rb/Sr}})_{\text{crust}}$ derived for this model for different values of $K$ is graphed in Figure 28. Since $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ in most crustal rocks is fairly constant, the average $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ of the crust can be estimated with some confidence. This is shown by the shaded region in Figure 28. Thus if we can set limits on $K$ the $f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}$ of the crust can be inferred.

Table 5 gives the concentrations of Rb, Sr, Sm, and Nd in several recent estimates of the overall continental crust and the upper crust. Also given are the concentrations of these elements in an average chondrite. These estimates show a range of Sr/Nd in the crust from about 0.5 of the chondritic value up to about 1.5 times the chondritic value. Over the past fifteen years estimates of Rb and Nd in the crust have gradually decreased while estimates of the concentration of Sr have increased. The earlier estimates for the total crust are similar to the latest estimates for the upper crust. The upper crust estimates are based on considerable data. The total
Table 3: Recent estimates of the composition of continental crust

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Author</th>
<th>Rb</th>
<th>Sr</th>
<th>Sm</th>
<th>Nd</th>
<th>$\frac{f_{Rb}}{Sr}$</th>
<th>$\frac{f_{Sm}}{Nd}$</th>
<th>Sr/Nd</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Total Crust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Vinogradov (1962)</td>
<td>150</td>
<td>340</td>
<td>37</td>
<td></td>
<td>+15.2</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>9.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor (1964)</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>375</td>
<td>6</td>
<td>28</td>
<td>+8.3</td>
<td>-0.33</td>
<td>13.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Hurley (1968)</td>
<td>70</td>
<td>461</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+5.2</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ronov et al. (1972)</td>
<td>4</td>
<td>17</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>-0.27</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor (1977)</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>400</td>
<td>3.7</td>
<td>16</td>
<td>+4.3</td>
<td>-0.28</td>
<td>26.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Upper Crust</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Shaw et al. (1976)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>316</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>+12.0</td>
<td>-0.46</td>
<td>12.2</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Taylor (1977)</td>
<td>110</td>
<td>350</td>
<td>5.6</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>+10.8</td>
<td>-0.45</td>
<td>10.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Chondrites</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>0.19</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td>+7.6</td>
<td>0.000</td>
<td>16.7</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
crust estimates reflect different models for the composition of the lower crust, which is at present largely unknown. The latest total crust models have incorporated the hypotheses that (1) the granitic upper crust, which is rich in U, Th, and K, cannot extend to depths of more than about 10km and (2) the lower crust must be plagioclase-rich to offset the negative europium anomaly found in upper crustal rocks (cf., Taylor, 1977). Since plagioclase is rich in Sr but poor in Nd and Rb, the estimates of Rb/Sr and Nd/Sr in the crust have gradually decreased.

We do not know a priori what the ratio Sr/Nd is in the depleted mantle; however, since Sr and Nd are both refractory elements we can assume that Sr/Nd of undepleted mantle is the same as that of chondrites. Thus if Sr/Nd of the crust is greater than chondritic, then by material balance Sr/Nd of depleted mantle must be less than chondritic. Thus, for a given \( (Sr/Nd)_{\text{crust}} \) K will depend on the relative amount of Nd now contained in the crust and the depleted mantle. As is discussed more in Chapter IX the crust probably contains no more than half the Nd in the system. Thus, for example, if the crust has Sr/Nd of 1.5 times chondritic then the depleted mantle must have Sr/Nd greater than 0.5 chondritic and vice versa. Therefore, the data in Table 5 imply that K must be between about 0.3 and 3.

However, the model of Vinogradov (1962) can probably be ruled out, since recent estimates based on sediment abundances (Balashov and Tugarinov, 1976) indicate a crustal Nd abundance substantially less than 30ppm, and thus a higher Sr/Nd for the crust than he estimated. Thus it is most likely that K is greater than 0.5.
As shown in Figure 28, these estimates for K indicate that \( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} \) in the crust is less than three. This is considerably lower than any of the estimates in Table 5. The model of Taylor (1977) which has a high Sr/Nd in the crust implies a very low \( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} \) in crust (less than 1.0). Thus the slope of the \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} - \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} \) correlation is consistent with removal from the mantle of material with low \( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} \) in relation to \( \left| f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \right| \). If this removed material is the continental crust, then the crust must have a lower \( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} \) than estimated in crustal models in the literature. This would require that the crust have a highly layered structure, with elements such as K, Rb, U, Th, and Pb highly concentrated in the upper crust but depleted in the lower crust. The low \( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} \) calculated for the crust would be more consistent with a basaltic composition for the total crust than with a more silicic composition such as granodiorite. A basaltic major element composition for the crust has also been suggested by McGetchin and Silver (1972).

If it is assumed that the concentration of Sr in the earth is chondritic (~10 ppm) and the earth has \( \text{Rb/Sr} = 0.029 \), an \( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} \) for the crust of 1.5 to 2.0 would imply that about 30 to 35% of the earth's Rb is in the crust. This estimate is at least a factor of two lower than previous estimates. The prohibitively high concentrations of Rb, U, and Ba calculated for the crust from previous crustal models (greater than 70% of earth's Rb in the crust) prompted suggestions that the earth might be enriched in Sr and other refractories relative to chondrites (cf., Ringwood, 1975). Thus the decrease in the proportion of Rb in the crust suggested here would remove the necessity for a terrestrial enrichment of refractory elements. Furthermore, if the concentrations of K, U, and Th in the crust were also proportionately less than previously estimated, it would mean that a substantially larger proportion of these heat-producing elements are still buried in the mantle than was previously thought.
Figure 28: Relationship between $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ and $f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}$ of the continental crust implied by the assumption that the mantle reservoirs with correlated $e_{\text{Nd}}(0)$ and $e_{\text{Sr}}(0)$ (Figure 19) were depleted in Rb and Nd in the past due to loss of a melt fraction that went to form a segment of the continental crust. A low-Rb/Sr crust is implied by this model.
Fig. 28

Range of $f_{\text{Sm}/\text{Nd}}$ for average crust

$K = \frac{(\text{Sr}/\text{Nd})_{\text{crust}}}{(\text{Sr}/\text{Nd})_{\text{DM}}}$
IX. MANTLE-CRUST TRANSPORT MODELS

Basic problems and assumptions

A basic question about the earth which has been difficult to answer is whether the earth as a whole is thoroughly differentiated and outgassed, or instead is only slightly differentiated and contains primitive material which is still representative of the bulk composition of the earth. This question is intimately related to the question of whether the earth is presently heating up due to the buildup of heat generated by the decay of radioactive isotopes or is cooling off due to loss of initial heat from accretional energy or rapid core formation. If the earth is heating up, much of the earth may never have been melted and thus much of the earth's volatiles and radioactive elements may still be buried deep in the mantle. On the other hand, if the earth is cooling off, then it must have been much hotter in the past and could have been at least partially molten throughout at one time. Such a fluid early earth might have been rapidly convecting and thus may have been thoroughly degassed and scavenged of its heat-producing elements, which became highly concentrated in the crust.

This discussion will be concerned with assessing (1) what proportion of the earth's budget of certain elements are presently residing in the crust, (2) whether these elements were extracted from the interior of the earth early in earth history or recently, and (3) whether they were extracted uniformly from the entire mantle or preferentially from some parts of the mantle (e.g., the upper
mantle) leaving other parts of the mantle undifferentiated. In theory, every radioactive parent-daughter pair provides a tool for assessing these problems. The parameters which need to be known in order to interpret the isotopic data are the masses of the earth's crust, mantle and core, the concentration of the daughter element in each, and the parent/daughter ratio for the bulk earth and each of the three reservoirs. If these parameters were known it would be possible to model the evolution of the crust, mantle and core and compare the resulting isotopic patterns with those which can be observed in the crust and upper mantle.

The Sm-Nd isotopic system is particularly valuable for studying the differentiation of the silicate portion of the earth. This is because 1) we can make a good estimate of Sm/Nd in the bulk earth based on meteorite abundances and the data presented earlier and 2) we expect these lithophile elements to be totally excluded from the core so that the silicate portion of the earth contains the total earth budget of Sm and Nd. In contrast, similar estimates of the bulk earth Rb/Sr and U/Pb ratios have been nearly impossible to make due to the possibility that Rb and Pb were lost through volatilization during accretion. Estimates of Rb/Sr and U/Pb in the silicate portion of the earth, i.e., the mantle-crust system, have also been hampered by the possible loss of Pb (and possibly Rb) to the core. Thus these other systems may be useful for studying accretion and core formation (cf., Gancarz and Wasserburg, 1977), but the Sm-Nd system provides the first opportunity to model the evolution of the crust-mantle system independent of complications introduced by parent-
daughter fractionation during accretion and core-formation.

Thus, in the following discussion the core will be essentially ignored. The silicate portion of the earth will be considered to have evolved independently of core formation as far as the rare-earth elements are concerned. It is therefore assumed that the initial state of the earth is a core containing no REE surrounded by a homogeneous mantle which has chondritic REE relative abundances. The final state is a crust and mantle of known present-day masses. The abundances of Sm and Nd in the crust can be estimated (cf., Table 3). The absolute abundances of Sm and Nd in the silicate portion of the earth are subject to considerable uncertainty. A lower limit would probably be the chondritic abundances adjusted for the fractional mass of the earth contained in the core, or $\sqrt{1.5}$ chondritic concentrations. An upper limit for refractories is provided by the concentrations of U and Th calculated from present heat flow ($\sqrt{3.75}$ chondritic, Wasserburg et al., 1964) which gives $\sqrt{5}$ chondritic in the silicate portion. For the models considered here the lowest concentrations (1.5x chondritic) will be assumed for the silicate portion.

Table 6 gives the masses of the silicate reservoirs in the earth which will be used in the modelling. The concentrations of Sm, Nd, Rb, Sr in each reservoir are also given. The Rb and Sr abundances for the crust are taken from Taylor (1977). These are not directly used in any calculations but rather are left as adjustable parameters which
may be evaluated in a manner similar to that discussed in Chapter VIII. The Sm and Nd concentrations in the crust are compromises from Table 5. Note that even assuming the lower limit Nd concentration in the mantle, the crust contains only about 11% of the total earth budget of Nd and a similar fraction of the Sr. Only Rb appears highly depleted in the mantle (50% in the crust), but as discussed in Chapter VIII, this could be due to overestimation of the concentration of Rb in the crust. Comparison of columns 1 and 2 in Table 6 shows that the amount of Nd and Sr in the crust is large compared to that originally held in the upper 400 km of the mantle. Therefore, if the continental crust were extracted entirely from the upper mantle, the upper mantle would now be depleted of about 70% of its Sr and Nd. According to the Taylor (1977) model, the upper mantle did not contain enough Rb to make the crust. It is the purpose of this chapter to evaluate what magnitude variations of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ (0) would be expected in the crust and mantle today due to the extraction of the crust from the mantle and compare them to the observed variations. The $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ (0) variations will depend on when the crust was formed and whether the crust was extracted uniformly from the entire mantle or from only a small part of the mantle.

**Discrete differentiation event**

Assume first that the crust was formed during a single differentiation event $T_f$ years ago. Using the concentrations of Sm and Nd and the reservoir masses given in Table 4 we can calculate the evolution of $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ in the crust and the mantle after the
Table 4: Crust and Mantle compositions

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Continental Crust</th>
<th>Upper Mantle&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; to 400 km depth</th>
<th>Total&lt;sup&gt;a&lt;/sup&gt; Mantle</th>
<th>Chondrite</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>Mass ($10^{25}$ g)</td>
<td>1.8</td>
<td>62</td>
<td>407</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sm (ppm)</td>
<td>4.7</td>
<td>.288</td>
<td>.288</td>
<td>.192</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Nd (ppm)</td>
<td>22.5</td>
<td>.900</td>
<td>.900</td>
<td>.600</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Rb (ppm)</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>.44</td>
<td>2.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sr (ppm)</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$</td>
<td>-0.35</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}$</td>
<td>b</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>+7.62</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

<sup>a</sup>Compositions prior to formation of crust.

<sup>b</sup>These parameters are evaluated in the discussion in the text.
differentiation. Suppose first that the material in the crust was extracted uniformly from the entire mantle. The evolution of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ in the crust and mantle for this case is shown in Figure 29 for $T_0 = 4.5$ and $1.5$ AE. This figure shows that if the entire mantle was scavenged for the creation of continental crust, then $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (0)$ of the mantle would be about +5 if the crust formed 4.5 AE ago. If the crust formed later, then the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (0)$ of the mantle would be closer to zero. For $T_0 = 1.5$ AE, which may approximate the mean age of the continents, the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (0)$ of the mantle would be essentially indistinguishable from zero. The $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (0)$ values for the total mantle would be even closer to zero if the earth has refractory element abundances which are greater than chondritic.

The dashed lines in Figure 29 show the evolution of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ in the upper mantle if the crust were extracted only from the upper mantle leaving the remainder of the mantle undifferentiated. Extraction of the crust from the upper 400 km of the mantle would deplete the upper mantle of 70% of its Nd and 45% of its Sm. As shown in Figure 29 this would result in large positive values of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (0)$ in the upper mantle. Again, higher concentrations of Sm and Nd in the earth would result in smaller values of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (0)$ in the upper mantle.

These simple calculations show that the amount of Sm and Nd removed from the mantle during formation of the continental crust could not significantly affect the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (0)$ of the mantle as a whole unless the crust is very old. If the crust is about 1.5 AE old then the $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} (0)$ of the bulk mantle must be between 0 and +2 depending on its initial concentration of refractory elements.
Figure 29: The evolution of $\varepsilon_{Nd}(T)$ in the crust and mantle if the crust were differentiated from the mantle in a single event at (a) 4.5 AE ago and (b) 1.5 AE. The dashed lines give $\varepsilon_{Nd}(T)$ in the upper mantle (to 400 km depth) for the case that the crust is derived wholly from the upper mantle leaving the lower mantle undifferentiated.
Fig. 29
These calculations can help estimate the relative masses of reservoirs which have been identified to exist in the mantle on the basis of the variations of \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) in young basalts.

If the mantle is composed of a series of reservoirs with masses \( M_i \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)_i = \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)_i \), we have that:

\[
\frac{1}{[\text{Nd}]_m M_m} \sum_i M_i [\text{Nd}]_i \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)_i = \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)_m
\]

where \([\text{Nd}]_i\) is the concentration of Nd in \( i \), \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)_m \) is that of the bulk mantle, and \( M_m \) is the mass of the mantle. From the above calculation we have \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)_m = +5.0 \) for \( T_f = 4.5 \) AE and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)_m = +1.7 \) for \( T_f = 1.5 \) AE. Let us assume that there are only three reservoirs: (1) that of the MOR basalts (MORR, \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +10 \)), (2) that of the ocean island basalts OIR (\( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +6 \)), and (3) CHUR (\( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = 0 \)) and that the concentration of Nd in each is roughly equal. Thus for \( T_f = 4.5 \) AE we find that the maximum mass of MORR (obtained when \( M_{\text{OIR}} \approx 0 \)) is \( 0.5M_m \) and the maximum mass of OIR is \( 0.83M_m \).

For \( T_f = 1.5 \) AE, the maximum mass of MORR is \( 0.17M_m \) and the maximum mass of OIR is \( 0.28M_m \). Thus we find that CHUR and OIR could comprise most of the mantle but MORR could not. If the earth contained Nd in abundance higher than chondritic, the \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)_m \) would be lowered and the estimates for the masses of MORR and OIR would be decreased.

**Continuous transport models**

Models in which the crust is built gradually throughout the 4.5 AE history of the earth are considered next. These models are illustrated in Figure 30. In Model Ia, the crust is extracted from the entire mantle, which always remains well-mixed and homogeneous as indicated by the arrows. In Model Ib the crust is
Figure 30: Model for continuous growth of continental crust by differentiation from the mantle. The accretion rate of the continent is $\dot{M}(t)$. In Model Ia the entire mantle remains a well-mixed homogeneous reservoir. In Model Ib crustal material is taken only from the upper mantle, which remains well-mixed but totally isolated from the lower mantle.
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extracted from only the upper mantle, which is well mixed but completely isolated from the lower mantle. In each model, mass is added to the crust at a rate $\dot{M}(\tau)$. An increment of matter $dM$ which is added to the crust at time $\tau$ is enriched in Sm and Nd by factors of $D_{\text{Sm}}^*$ and $D_{\text{Nd}}^*$ respectively over the concentrations in the mantle at time $\tau$. The reservoir which is being depleted to form the crust will be called Reservoir 1 and the crust will be called Reservoir 2. If $M_1(\tau)$ is the mass of Reservoir 1, then the change of concentration of a stable nuclide $i$ in Reservoir 1 ($c_{i1}(\tau)$) is given by:

$$
(33) \quad \frac{dC_{i1}(\tau)}{d\tau} = - \frac{\dot{M}(\tau)}{M_1(\tau)} \frac{C_{i1}(\tau)}{(D_{i1}^*)-1)
$$

where $D_{i1}^*$ is the enrichment factor for element $i$. The equation describing the concentration of a radioactive nuclide $j$ is:

$$
(34) \quad \frac{dC_{j1}(\tau)}{d\tau} = - \left[ \frac{\dot{M}(\tau)}{M_1(\tau)} (D_{j1}^* - 1) + \lambda_j \right] C_{j1}(\tau).
$$

The concentration of a radiogenic nuclide $i^*$ (daughter of $j$) is given by:

$$
(35) \quad \frac{dC_{i1^*}(\tau)}{d\tau} = - \frac{\dot{M}(\tau)}{M_1(\tau)} (D_{i1}^* - 1) C_{i1^*}(\tau) + \lambda_j C_{j1}(\tau).
$$

The concentrations of the elements in Reservoir 2 can be found by difference.
The rate of production of crust $\dot{M}(\tau)$ could have a variety of forms. Three plausible forms of $\dot{M}(\tau)$ could be:

1) $\dot{M}(\tau) = \dot{M}_0 \ e^{-\lambda \tau}$

2) $\dot{M}(\tau) = \frac{\dot{M}}{2} (1 + \sin \omega \tau)$

3) $\dot{M}(\tau) = \dot{M}$

Form 1 would reflect the decrease in the earth's heat productivity with time. Form 2 would reflect episodicity in crust formation as is suggested by some geochronological data. Form 3 would be a constant rate of crust production with time. For the calculations done here the simplifying assumption was made that $\dot{M}(\tau)/M_1(\tau)$ is a constant. Since $M_1$ changes little for the examples here, this is essentially the same as $\dot{M}(\tau) = \text{constant}$. Thus each element has an effective transport coefficient $K_1$ given by:

$$K_1 = \frac{\dot{M}}{M_1} \left( D_1^* - 1 \right).$$

The resulting equations for $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}(\tau)$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(\tau)$ in Reservoirs 1 and 2 are given in Table 5. Inspection of this table shows that $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}^1(\tau)$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}^1(\tau)$ are functions only of $\tau$ and the parameter $(K_{\text{Sm}} - K_{\text{Nd}})$. This model is identical to that discussed by Wasserburg (1966) for U-Pb.

The evolution of $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ in the mantle and average crust is shown in Figure 31 for Model Ia and in Figure 32 for Model Ib. Also given on these figures are the values used for $D_{\text{Sm}}^*$, $D_{\text{Nd}}^*$ and
\( \dot{M}/M_1 \). \( \dot{M}/M_1 \) is a function of the masses of Reservoirs 1 and 2 as shown in Equation 5 in Table 5. \( D_{\text{Sm}}^* \) and \( D_{\text{Nd}}^* \) were chosen so as to produce the concentrations in the crust given in Table 4 from those assumed for the mantle, also given in Table 4. As shown in Figure 31, if the entire mantle is involved in the production of the crust, the evolution of \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) in the mantle will be essentially indistinguishable from that of CHUR. Figure 31 also shows that \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of material added to the crust will be essentially constant with time. Figure 32 shows that, as deduced earlier from the simpler model, if the crust is extracted from a limited volume of mantle, in this case a volume of about 1/7 of the total mantle, the \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of that limited volume will be strongly affected by the removal of the crustal material and that part of the mantle will evolve a substantial positive \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \).

As a result of this change in \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) in the mantle through time, \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} \) of new crustal additions will change quite drastically with time. Thus in this model, modern additions to the crust would have \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} = -0.20 \) compared to \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}} = -0.36 \) in 3.6 AE old crust. For this model we get \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0)_m \approx +2. \) From equation 32 the maximum mass of MORR is calculated to be \( 0.2M_m \) and that of OIR is \( 0.33M_m \).

For Model 1 we can also calculate the evolution of \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} \) in the crust and mantle. We assume again that undepleted mantle material has \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0) = 0 \) \( \left( ^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.7045 \right) \). If we constrain the depleted mantle to have \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(0) \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}(0) \) lying on the correlation line (Figure 19) then we have the condition that:
1) \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}^1(\tau)^* = e^{(K_{\text{Nd}} - K_{\text{Sm}})\tau} - 1 \)

2) \( f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}^2(\tau) = \left( \frac{\frac{\dot{M}}{M_1} D_{\text{Sm}} \tau}{1 - e^{-\frac{\dot{M}}{M_1} D_{\text{Nd}} \tau}} \right)^{-1} \)

3) \( \epsilon_{\text{Nd}}^1(\tau) = Q_{\text{Nd}} \left[ \frac{-\lambda_{\text{Sm}} \tau}{1 - e^{\frac{-\lambda_{\text{Sm}}}{K_{\text{Sm}} - K_{\text{Nd}} + \lambda_{\text{Sm}}}}} - \frac{-\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}}{K_{\text{Sm}} - K_{\text{Nd}} + \lambda_{\text{Sm}}} \right] \)

4) \( \epsilon_{\text{Nd}}^2(\tau) = \frac{\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}^1(\tau)}{\frac{\dot{M}}{M_1} D_{\text{Nd}} \tau} \)

5) \( \frac{\dot{M}}{M_1} = -\frac{\ln \left( 1 - M_2(\tau)/M_1(0) \right)}{\tau} \)

*\( \tau = 0 \) at 4.5 AE ago and increases to 4.5 AE today.
Figure 31: $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ as functions of time for the mantle and overall crust for Model Ia.
Fig. 31

MODEL Ia

\[ \frac{M}{M_i} = 0.00098 \, \text{AE}^{-1} \]

\[ D_{Sm}^* = 15, \quad D_{Nd}^* = 25 \]
Figure 32: $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ as functions of time for the overall crust, the upper mantle, and the lower mantle for Model Ib. $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ of new additions to the continental crust is also shown. $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of a new addition to the crust will be the same as that of the upper mantle. For example, $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of 3.6AE old crust would be +1 while $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of zero age crust would be +17.
Fig. 32
\[
\frac{D_{\text{Sm}}^* - D_{\text{Nd}}^*}{D_{\text{Rb}}^* - D_{\text{Sr}}^*} = 0.16
\]

For Model Ib we had \( D_{\text{Sm}}^* - D_{\text{Nd}}^* = -10 \) which gives \( D_{\text{Rb}}^* - D_{\text{Sr}}^* = 62.5 \).

The only parameter which remains to be specified is \( D_{\text{Sr}}^* \). We will consider three possible cases which are analogous to the three cases shown in Figure 26. These are 1) \( D_{\text{Sr}}^* = D_{\text{Nd}}^* \), 2) \( D_{\text{Sr}}^* = 0.5 D_{\text{Nd}}^* \) and 3) \( D_{\text{Sr}}^* = 2D_{\text{Nd}}^* \). These are equivalent to \( K = 1 \), \( K = 0.5 \) and \( K = 2.0 \) respectively (cf., Figure 28).

The evolution of \( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} \) in the mantle and average crust is shown in Figure 33 for Model Ia and in Figure 34 for Model Ib. For each model three curves are given for the crust, which corresponds to the three choices for \( D_{\text{Sr}}^* \) given above, and one curve is shown for the mantle since its \( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}(\tau) \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}(\tau) \) are functions of \( \frac{\dot{M}}{M} (D_{\text{Rb}}^* - D_{\text{Sr}}^*) \) only. For Model Ia we see that extraction of the crust causes modest changes in \( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} \) in the mantle. We also see that \( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} \) of the crust depends on \( D_{\text{Sr}}^* \) and therefore on \( (\text{Sr/Nd}) \) in the crust as discussed earlier. For Model Ia \( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} \) in the crust is essentially constant through time. For Model Ib Figure 32 shows that \( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} \) and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) in the upper mantle are drastically affected by removal of crustal material. In this model the upper mantle would be depleted of over 90% of its Rb and its present-day Rb/Sr would be about 0.005. For Model Ib the present-day \( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} \) of the crust is fairly low for all three values of \( D_{\text{Sr}}^* \) and will not be greater than 1.0 unless \( D_{\text{Sr}}^* < 25 \), i.e., unless Sr/Nd in crust is substantially lower than chondritic. The reason for the low \( f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} \) of the crust is
Figure 33: $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ and $f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}$ for the overall continental crust and the mantle as functions of time for Model 1a. Three curves are shown for the crust, corresponding to different values of $D_{\text{Sr}}^*$. 
Fig. 33
Figure 34: $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ and $f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}$ for the overall continental crust, the upper mantle, and the lower mantle as functions of time for Model Ib. Again, three curves are shown for the crust corresponding to different values of $D_{\text{Sr}}^\ast$. The dashed line shows $f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}$ of new additions to the continental crust for $D_{\text{Sr}}^\ast = 25$. Note that in this model the upper mantle loses most of its Rb so that its present Rb/Sr is close to zero.
Fig. 34
that the mantle rapidly becomes depleted in Rb so that the later additions to the crust have low $f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}$. The $f_{\text{Rb/Sr}}$ of new additions to the crust as a function of time is shown by the dashed line in Figure 34. Young additions to the crust actually have $f_{\text{Rb/Sr}} < 0$.

The models discussed so far consider the mantle as being a homogeneous unit, implying that the mantle as a whole remains well-mixed. Other models could also be constructed which consider what would result if there were no mixing in the mantle. It could be assumed that after a given mass of mantle has been depleted in its crustal components it thereafter remains isolated from the remainder of the mantle. Such a model is depicted in Figure 35. In this model there is transport of matter from the mantle to the crust at a rate $\dot{M}(\tau)$ as in the previous model. The first increment of mass $\text{d}M_1$ extracted from the mantle to form the crust is extracted from a layer of mantle extending from a depth of zero to a depth $Z_1$. The next increment of mass added to the crust is extracted from a mantle layer between depths $Z_1$ and $Z_1 + \text{d}Z$. In this model the crustal material is always derived from primitive undepleted mantle. Thus, as the crust grows by an increment of mass $\text{d}M$ the thickness of the "depleted" outer part of the mantle is extended downward by a distance $\text{d}Z$. We will assume further that the material transported upward to form the crust is partially melted from the mantle and that each increment of matter $\text{d}M$ added to the crust is an $m$ weight percent partial melt of the mantle. The thickness $Z(\tau)$ of the
Figure 35: Alternative model for continuous generation of continental crust by differentiation of the mantle. In this model the mantle is stagnant, and no mixing occurs in the mantle on any scale. The accretion rate of the crust is $\dot{M}(t)$. Each time material is added to the crust, it is derived from a layer of previously undifferentiated mantle ($\text{MANTLE}_a$), just below the lower boundary of the previously depleted mantle ($\text{MANTLE}_b$). Thus the addition of an increment of mass $dM$ to the crust results in a thickening of the depleted mantle layer by an amount $dz$. 
depleted mantle (Reservoir 1b) is related to the mass $M_2(\tau)$ of
the crust (Reservoir 2) by the relation:

$$Z(\tau) = R_\Phi - \left[ R_s^3 - \frac{(3(100-m) M_2(\tau))^{1/3}}{4\pi \rho M m} \right]$$

where $R_\Phi$ is the radius of the earth and $\rho_M$ is the density of the
mantle. The concentration of an element $i$ in the crust is given by:

$$C_i^2 = \frac{C_i^a}{\left[ \frac{\rho - \frac{m}{100} (1 - \frac{1}{D_i})}{D_i} \right]}$$

where $C_i^a$ is the concentration of $i$ in the undepleted mantle
(Reservoir 1a) and $D_i$ is the liquid/solid distribution coefficient.
The concentration of element $i$ in the depleted mantle is:

$$C_i^{1b} = \frac{C_i^a}{\left[ 1 + \frac{m}{100} (D_i - 1) \right]}$$

Figure 34 shows $Z(\tau)$ and profiles of present-day $f_{Sm/Nd}$ and $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$
in the mantle as a function of depth for two cases where $\dot{M}(\tau)$ =
constant. The first case is $m = 0.015$ with $D_{Sm} = 25$ and $D_{Nd} = 50$
and the second case is $m = 0.005$ with $D_{Sm} = 18$ and $D_{Nd} = 30$. The
values of $m$ are arbitrary. $D_{Sm}$ and $D_{Nd}$ were chosen so as to give
reasonable concentrations of Sm and Nd in the crust (Table 4). This
model is much different from the models considered earlier in that
while the mantle contains only two chemically distinct domains,
$\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$ of the mantle is a continuous function of depth. Since
$f_{Sm/Nd}$ is the same in all layers of depleted mantle, the $\varepsilon_{Nd}(0)$ of
a layer is just proportional to the time elapsed since it became
Figure 36: Thickness of depleted layer as a function of time, and the present-day $f_{\text{Sm/Nd}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of the mantle as a function of depth for Model II (Figure 33). Two cases are shown, one for the fraction of partial melting $m = 1.5\%$ and one for $m = 0.5\%$. 
Fig. 36
depleted. Note that unless \( m \) is very small \( \epsilon_{Nd}(0) \) values much greater than the maximum in young basalts (+12) are expected to be found in the mantle. In this type of model, a difference in \( \epsilon_{Nd}(0) \) between two reservoirs does not necessarily imply a difference in the chemistry of the reservoir, but rather implies a difference in age.

**Conclusions**

All of the models described above indicate that the partitioning of Sm and Nd between crust and mantle is such that the Sm/Nd and \( \epsilon_{Nd} \) of the bulk mantle have been little affected by the formation of the crust. Thus mantle reservoirs with \( \epsilon_{Nd}(0) \) greatly different from zero, such as the mantle source of MOR basalts, must comprise only small fractions of the mantle. The existence of these reservoirs which are much more highly differentiated than average mantle implies the existence of other reservoirs which are less differentiated than average mantle. Thus these calculations suggest that it is possible that undifferentiated, and possibly undegassed, reservoirs may still exist within the earth. This conclusion is in harmony with the presence of excess \(^3\)He in some young basalts (Clark et al., 1969). The hypothesis of Ringwood (1966, 1975), that basalts erupted at mid-ocean ridges or in other parts of the ocean basins give information on the composition of the total earth, must be considered highly suspect. The reservoirs which those basalts represent must be highly differentiated and limited in extent.

Consideration of the transport of Rb and Sr from the mantle to the crust, when combined with consideration of Sm-Nd transport, suggest that if the crust is the sole storage reservoir in the earth
for high-Rb/Sr, low-Sm/Nd material, then the crust must have a fairly low Rb/Sr in the range 0.05-0.10. This would be consistent with a highly stratified continental crust in which the lower crust has lower Rb/Sr, but Sm/Nd similar to, the upper crust and an overall crust which has a basaltic composition. Such a model crust would be similar in Rb-Sr characteristics to that discussed by Zartman and Wasserburg (1969). This conclusion would be invalidated if the hypothesis is wrong that the $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ - $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ correlation trend passes through the coordinates of undifferentiated mantle.

These transport calculations raise the question of whether any such simple models are close to a description of the actual transport of these elements in the earth. A further question is whether existing or future data could help discriminate between models. Consider for example Figure 37. This figure shows much of the existing data on $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ in igneous rocks through time. Superimposed on these data are trajectories of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ for three types of reservoirs. A is a reservoir formed at 4.5 AE ago which retains a constant Sm/Nd through time. Such a reservoir might be the undifferentiated mantle if the silicate portion of the earth had Sm/Nd $\approx 10\%$ higher than chondritic, of it could be a reservoir formed in an early terrestrial differentiation prior to the beginning of formation of continental crust. B is a reservoir such as the "upper mantle" of Model Ib (Figure 30) which is gradually depleted in Nd relative to Sm through time due to extraction of material to build the crust. C is the evolution of a CHUR reservoir, and would describe the $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ of crustal material for Model II, where
Figure 37: Comparison of \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) of continental rocks with that predicated for the crust for different models. A represents the case of a reservoir formed in a discrete event 4.5 AE ago with Sm/Nd about 10% higher than chondritic. B represents a reservoir such as the "upper mantle" of Model Ib which is gradually depleted in Nd relative to Sm. C represents the CHUR reservoir and might describe \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) of crust material for models like Model II (Figure 35) and Model Ia (approximately) (Figure 30). Note that the three curves can best be distinguished in the time interval from about 1 to 2 AE ago.
Fig. 37
crust is always derived from unfractionated material or for Model Ia, where the crust is derived from the entire mantle, which is not affected due to its large mass. The question is whether any of these curves describes $\varepsilon_{Sr}$ of crustal material as a function of time. The data needed to answer this question are $\varepsilon_{Sr}$ values for rocks representing large additions to the continental crust at different points in time. The existing data are divided mostly into two groups, samples 2.5 AE or older and zero-age samples. The ancient samples are not sensitive discriminators since curves B and C are essentially indistinguishable and curve A is only barely distinguishable from B and C during early earth history. Curves A and B can be distinguished from curve C with zero age samples. But here the question arises as to what rocks are representative of major new additions to the crust. It has been suggested that island arcs represent new or future additions to the continental crust. If this is true, the island arc data could be interpreted as supporting models such as A or B over Model C. However, the samples from the Peninsular Ranges batholith, which may also represent a major new addition to the North American crust, have $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values much closer to zero than the island arc samples. Thus the existing data do not give a clear indication of the average $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ of new additions to the crust, although there is a suggestion that it is greater than zero. It appears from Figure 37 that samples of ages 1 to 2 AE may help to distinguish between these models, since the three curves are distinct in this time interval.
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Appendix 1

Nd isotopic variations and petrogenetic models

D. J. DePaolo and G. J. Wasserburg

Lunatic Asylum, Div. Geological and Planetary Sciences,
California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

Abstract: The decay of $^{147}\text{Sm}$ to $^{143}\text{Nd}$ allows $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ to be used to trace Sm/Nd fractionation in long time-scale geologic processes. $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ has been measured in terrestrial rock samples of different ages to establish the characteristics of Nd isotopic evolution in the crust and mantle. The evolution of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in the mantle indicates Sm/Nd essentially equal to that of chondrites, and implies a chondritic REE distribution for the earth. Variations in $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ do exist in the mantle, however, indicating Sm/Nd heterogeneity and the existence of distinct mantle reservoirs with characteristic $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$. $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in average crustal rocks today is much lower than found in recent mantle samples and reflects their age and low Sm/Nd. Oceanic tholeiites and alkali basalt are derived from sources with Sm/Nd which has been 5-10% greater than chondritic over the age of the earth. Alkali basalt can not be derived from mantle reservoirs which have been light REE-enriched for long times.

Long-lived, naturally-occurring radioisotopes are important not only in the measurement of absolute time, but also as indicators of chemical processes involved in rock formation. $^{147}\text{Sm}$ decays to $^{143}\text{Nd}$ with a half-life of $1.06 \times 10^1$ years. Sm and Nd are even-numbered light lanthanide elements, so their cosmic abundances and chemical properties are similar. But, Sm/Nd fractionation is commonly observed in rocks and minerals, and is a sensitive indicator of fractionation in the light rare-earth elements (REE). The use of Sm-Nd as a dating method was pioneered by Lugmair (1974) and Lugmair et al. (1975 a,b,c,d) who determined ages of the Juvinas and Stannern achondrites and one lunar basalt. The purpose of this study is to investigate the isotopic composition of Nd in selected terrestrial rocks to gain information about its implications for petrogenesis and the history of the earth's crust and mantle. The potential usefulness of the Sm-Nd system for the dating of terrestrial rocks is also made manifest. A symbolism is introduced which may be useful in presentation and interpretation of the data.

As discussed by Lugmair (1974) the ratio $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ is an indicator of changes in the relative abundance of $^{143}\text{Nd}$ due to $^{147}\text{Sm}$ decay. For formation and chemical evolution of a planet, the growth of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ with time in various reservoirs may be shown schematically (Figure 1). At $T_C$ a planet condenses from the solar nebula (SN). The rate of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ growth in the bulk planet may be different from the growth rate in the solar nebula due to a change in Sm/Nd occurring during condensation (Boydton, 1975). If a reservoir with Sm/Nd = 0 were isolated at $T_C$, it would record $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ at the time of condensation. If the planet remains a closed system with respect to Sm and Nd subsequent to $T_C$, the evolution of its average $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ will be described by a line whose slope is proportional to its average Sm/Nd. The bulk planet is herein termed a "uniform reservoir" (UR) and the growth of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in UR as a function of time is the curve $I_{UR}$ (T). The value of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in UR today is defined as $I_{UR}(0)$. $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ in UR at any time T in the past is given by...
Fig. 1: Schematic representation of the evolution of $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd with time in the solar nebula (SN) and in a hypothetical planetary object condensed at $T_C$. The growth rate of $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd is proportional to Sm/Nd. $f$ is the Sm/Nd enrichment factor relative to UR.

### Table 1: Normalized Nd isotope ratios

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>142/144</th>
<th>143/144</th>
<th>145/144</th>
<th>146/144</th>
<th>148/144</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>113031</td>
<td>1.13821</td>
<td>0.512115</td>
<td>0.348952</td>
<td>0.724082</td>
<td>0.243066</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±8</td>
<td>±40</td>
<td>±30</td>
<td>±35</td>
<td>±30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111240</td>
<td>1.13828</td>
<td>0.512361</td>
<td>0.348975</td>
<td>0.724113</td>
<td>0.243091</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±5</td>
<td>±22</td>
<td>±18</td>
<td>±26</td>
<td>±26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113152</td>
<td>1.13823</td>
<td>0.512280</td>
<td>0.348950</td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±7</td>
<td>±35</td>
<td>±42</td>
<td></td>
<td>±43</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR-1</td>
<td>1.13827</td>
<td>0.511839</td>
<td>0.348977</td>
<td>0.724088</td>
<td>0.243070</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±4</td>
<td>±22</td>
<td>±19</td>
<td>±36</td>
<td>±19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khibina Ap.</td>
<td>1.13827</td>
<td>0.511862</td>
<td>0.348970</td>
<td>0.724116</td>
<td>0.243082</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±4</td>
<td>±17</td>
<td>±19</td>
<td>±30</td>
<td>±20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NAS-216D</td>
<td>1.13823</td>
<td>0.511101</td>
<td>0.348968</td>
<td>0.724086</td>
<td>0.243110</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±4</td>
<td>±27</td>
<td>±38</td>
<td>±54</td>
<td>±29</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHO-1D</td>
<td>1.13819</td>
<td>0.510994</td>
<td>0.348943</td>
<td>0.724079</td>
<td>0.243089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±5</td>
<td>±48</td>
<td>±48</td>
<td>±113</td>
<td>±34</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN3-D(DF)</td>
<td>1.13830</td>
<td>0.510245</td>
<td>0.348977</td>
<td>0.724139</td>
<td>0.243072</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±8</td>
<td>±31</td>
<td>±42</td>
<td>±58</td>
<td>±38</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN3-D(D)</td>
<td>1.13821</td>
<td>0.510234</td>
<td>0.348913</td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±9</td>
<td>±37</td>
<td>±46</td>
<td></td>
<td>±65</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN3 Ap.</td>
<td>1.13825</td>
<td>0.511343</td>
<td>0.348965</td>
<td>0.724122</td>
<td>0.243084</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±5</td>
<td>±23</td>
<td>±27</td>
<td>±31</td>
<td>±28</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGG128/I0D</td>
<td>1.13826</td>
<td>0.510026</td>
<td>0.348965</td>
<td>0.724103</td>
<td>0.243089</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±6</td>
<td>±30</td>
<td>±17</td>
<td>±44</td>
<td>±22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN1</td>
<td>1.13829</td>
<td>0.510306</td>
<td>0.348952</td>
<td>0.724091</td>
<td>0.243080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±6</td>
<td>±19</td>
<td>±28</td>
<td>±43</td>
<td>±30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN1</td>
<td>1.13829</td>
<td>0.510306</td>
<td>0.348952</td>
<td>0.724091</td>
<td>0.243080</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±6</td>
<td>±19</td>
<td>±28</td>
<td>±43</td>
<td>±30</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN2</td>
<td>1.13826</td>
<td>0.511132</td>
<td>0.348946</td>
<td>0.724115</td>
<td>0.243061</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±3</td>
<td>±16</td>
<td>±13</td>
<td>±20</td>
<td>±14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN2</td>
<td>1.13827</td>
<td>0.511148</td>
<td>0.349009</td>
<td>0.724156</td>
<td>0.243092</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±6</td>
<td>±31</td>
<td>±17</td>
<td>±45</td>
<td>±31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN2</td>
<td>1.13824</td>
<td>0.511124</td>
<td>0.348993</td>
<td></td>
<td>b</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>±9</td>
<td>±18</td>
<td>±26</td>
<td></td>
<td>±33</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Normalized to $^{150}$Nd/$^{142}$Nd = 0.2096, errors are 2σ mean. b Spiked with $^{150}$Nd and normalized to $^{144}$Nd/$^{142}$Nd = 0.636155*
\[ I_{UR} (T) = I_{UR} (0) - \left( \frac{^{147}Sm}{^{144}Nd} \right)_{UR}^0 \left( e^{\lambda T} - 1 \right) , \] (1a)

where \( \left( \frac{^{147}Sm}{^{144}Nd} \right)_{UR}^0 \) is that in UR today and \( \lambda = 6.54 \times 10^{-12} \text{ yr}^{-1} \). If a rock is derived from this average reservoir at time \( T \), its initial \( ^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd \) would be \( I_{UR} (T) \).

Chemical differentiation in the planet subsequent to \( T_c \) will result in reservoirs with different \( Sm/Nd \). The \( Sm/Nd \) of a reservoir can be expressed relative to UR in terms of a \( Sm/Nd \) enrichment factor \( f \), where \( f = \frac{(Sm/Nd)_{REC}}{(Sm/Nd)_{UR}} - 1 \). If at a time \( T < T_c \), a secondary reservoir \( A \) is isolated from UR with initial value \( I_A \), and \( f \leq 0 \), \( ^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd \) in this reservoir would evolve from \( (T_1, I_1) \) along a line of lower-slope to the value \( R_A \) observed today. Similarly, \( ^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd \) in a reservoir \( B \), isolated from UR at \( T_1 \) with \( f > 0 \) would evolve along a steeper trajectory to \( R_B \) today. For rocks of zero age the Nd isotopic composition of the source region is identical to that measured in the rock. \( ^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd \) in source regions of old rocks is found by determination of the initial \( ^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd \) (I). This can be obtained from an internal isochron or, if the age is known, from the measured \( ^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd \), \( ^{147}Sm/^{144}Nd \), and the age \( T \):

\[ I = \left( \frac{^{143}Nd}{^{144}Nd} \right)_{meas} - \left( \frac{^{147}Sm}{^{144}Nd} \right)_{meas} \left( e^{\lambda T} - 1 \right) . \] (1b)

As shown in Figure 1, two rocks of the same age \( T_1 \), but different initial \( I_1 \) and \( I_1' \), must have been derived from distinct parent reservoirs.

In a reservoir whose \( Sm/Nd \) is equal to that in chondritic meteorites (0.31) (Masuda et al., 1973), \( ^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd \) will increase by 1.13% in 4.5AE. REE abundance patterns in various rocks indicate that sufficient variation in \( Sm/Nd \) exists so that initial \( ^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd \) may be used to distinguish different source regions. Some of the isotopic patterns can be anticipated from the extensive experimental and theoretical studies of REE abundances and fractionation patterns. (cf. Haskin et al., 1966a.)

Analytical Procedure and Data. In general, the chemical and mass spectrometric procedures used closely follow those which have been used here for the past eight years for Gd and Sm. Separation of Sm and Nd was accomplished using the procedure described for Gd separation by Eugster et al., (1970), with slight modifications. Sm and Nd were eluted from Dowex AG 50W-X4 cation exchange resin using 0.2M 2-Methylacetic
acid with pH adjusted to 4.60. Total procedural blank for Nd was $10^{-10}$ gm. Nd was loaded in 1.0 N HC1 onto a single flat Re ribbon and oxidized. Nd isotopic ratios were measured on the Lunatic I mass spectrometer (Wasserburg et al., 1969), as NdO
. The ion beam intensity at mass 160 ($^{144}$Nd/$^{160}$O) was $10^{-4}$ A at filament temperatures between 1220° and 1280°C. Ion beam intensity ratios were calculated relative to mass 160. At each mass the high zero, peak and low zero are measured with an integration time of 1 sec at each position. Data is taken in sets of 10 mass scans, averaged; corrected for oxygen and then discrimination. The effect of peak tails on zeros was assessed by measuring the zeros with beam on and beam off. The background offset is less than 0.005% of the peak intensity for all isotopes. Oxygen corrections were made using the composition by Nier (1950). Mass discrimination corrections were made by normalizing to $^{150}$Nd/$^{142}$Nd = 0.2096, the average measured value in several mass spectrometer runs. In a typical run of 200 ratios, 1σ is 0.01% and 2σ mean is 0.005%. Possible spectral interferences were monitored by scanning at high sensitivity from mass 125 to mass 200. Peaks could be detected representing LaO
 and GdO
 at intensities < $1.5 \times 10^{-6}$ A and SmO
 at $10^{-4}$ A. Occasionally Ba
 was detected (<$10^{-5}$ A). No other peaks were observed at a detection limit of $2.5 \times 10^{-7}$ A. Interference from SmO
 ions is corrected by monitoring the signal at mass 170 ($^{154}$Sm/$^{160}$O). The net correction to $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd when the signal at mass 170 is 0.01% of the 160 signal is only $4 \times 10^{-4}$. Isotopic measurements were made on spiked and unspiked aliquants which were passed through chemistry. Sm and Nd concentrations were determined on the same aliquant. Internal consistency of isotopic measurements is shown by agreement of all isotope ratios from different samples and from spiked and unspiked runs on the same sample. Reproducibility is demonstrated by repeat runs on normals. Nd isotopic data are given in Table 1, and are the first high precision Nd abundances obtained using modern techniques.

Data representation. Because of the variations in $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd are small, data will be presented normalized to a uniform reservoir (UR) as described above. This is a somewhat different approach than has been used for Rb-Sr, where there are large variations of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr and there is no clearly defined, meaningful reference reservoir representative of the earth. $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd measured in a sample today is given as fractional deviations from $I_{UR}$ (0) in parts in $10^4$ ($\epsilon_{UR}$):

$$
\epsilon_{UR}^0 = \left( \frac{I_{UR}(\text{sample})}{I_{UR}(0)} \right)_{\text{mass}} - 1 \times 10^4. \quad (2a)
$$

Similarly, the initial $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd (I) for a sample of age T is presented as the deviation of I from $I_{UR}(T)$ in parts in $10^4$ ($\epsilon_{UR}$):

$$
\epsilon_{UR}^I(T) = \left[ \frac{I_{\text{sample}}}{I_{UR}(T)} - 1 \right] \times 10^4 \approx \epsilon_{UR}^0 - f_R QT, \quad (2b)
$$

where $f_R$ is the Sm/Nd enrichment in the rock relative to UR and $Q \equiv \frac{\lambda (^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd})_{UR} \times 10^4}{I_{UR}(0)}$ is a constant. Thus a sam-
ple with a present value of $\epsilon^{UR}_0$ which was derived from UR T
years ago would have $\epsilon^{UR}_1$ (T) = 0. A value of $\epsilon^{UR}_1$ (T) different
from zero indicates that the source region was fractionated
relative to UR prior to T. The average fractionation factor for
the source ($\xi$) over the time interval $\Delta T$ is related to $\epsilon^{UR}_1$ by:

$$
(\xi) = \frac{\xi^{UR}}{Q} = (\xi^{*}T^{*}).
$$

This information will be presented
in terms of a generalized quantity $\xi^{*}T^{*}$, where $T^{*}$ represents
the amount of time necessary to produce the deviation $\epsilon^{UR}_1$ with an
enrichment factor $\xi^{*}$. From ($\xi$) and the measured Sm/Nd frac-
tionation of the sample relative to UR ($\xi_R$), one can calculate
the Sm/Nd fractionation factor for the sample relative to the
source (g) assuming a simple two-stage history:

$$
1 + \xi_R = 1 + \xi_S / (\xi - g).
$$

A similar theoretical factor ($g^{TH}$) can be
calculated using Sm and Nd mineral/melt distribution coefficients
for an assumed source mineralogy and degree of melting.
Consideration of both $g^{TH}$ and $g$ allows the construction of
more rigidly constrained models for the history of the sample
and source than would be possible by either concentration or
isotopic studies alone.

The above discussion of fractionation in source regions could
have been made in terms of model ages (Wasserburg and Papan-
astassiou, 1975), as is normally done for Rb-Sr. The model age:

$$
T_M = \frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{(143\text{Nd}/144\text{Nd})_{\text{meas}} - 1}{(147\text{Sm}/144\text{Nd})_{\text{meas}}} \right]
$$

Model ages give a strict upper limit to the time of last major
equilibration of a system, but are most powerful where parent-
daughter fractionation is large. For small fractionations, $T_M \approx T_c$
and the usefulness of model ages may be diminished. Therefore,
we have tended to emphasize here the divergence of secondary
growth curves from a primary reference curve. However, iso-

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age (AE)</th>
<th>$^{147}\text{Sm}$</th>
<th>$^{144}\text{Nd}$</th>
<th>$\xi_{\text{CHUR}}$</th>
<th>$\xi_{\text{CHUR}}$</th>
<th>$^{<em>}T^{</em>}$ (10^8 yr)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>113031 (MORB)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1163±3</td>
<td>-5.4±0.8</td>
<td>+5.4±0.8</td>
<td>2.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>111240 (MORB)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.204±4</td>
<td>+10.3±0.4</td>
<td>+10.3±0.4</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>113152 (Alk.Ba.)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.2109±6</td>
<td>+8.7±0.7</td>
<td>+8.7±0.7</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BCR (Cont.Ba.)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.14±6</td>
<td>+0.1±0.4</td>
<td>+0.1±0.4</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Khibina Ap.</td>
<td>0.29±1</td>
<td>0.0950±3</td>
<td>+0.5±0.3</td>
<td>+3.7±0.3</td>
<td>1.5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NASH (Shale)</td>
<td>&quot;U&quot;</td>
<td>0.12±6</td>
<td>-14.4±0.5</td>
<td>-14.4±0.5</td>
<td>5.8</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RHO (Gt. Dyke)</td>
<td>2.53±1</td>
<td>0.145±1</td>
<td>-16.5±1.0</td>
<td>-0.6±2.0</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RN3 (Grd.)</td>
<td>2.65±1</td>
<td>0.0996±3</td>
<td>-31.2±0.6</td>
<td>+0.9±1.4</td>
<td>0.2</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OGG (Amitsq)</td>
<td>3.59±1</td>
<td>0.118±1</td>
<td>-35.4±0.6</td>
<td>-0.3±1.7</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN1</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-13.8±0.3</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>NN2</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHUR^3</td>
<td>all T</td>
<td>0.1936±6</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

^aCHUR = Chondritic uniform reservoir; ^b143Nd/144Nd in CHUR today = 0.51836 (Lugnair, pers. comm.; ^cApproximate value from literature, ^dJ. Haskin et al. (1966b); ^eD. Gerasimovskii et al. (1974); ^fD. Davies et al. (1970); ^gSteiger and Wasserburg (1969). ^bBaudsagaard (1973); ^hLugnair (pers. comm.)
topic variations are small, so precise measurements and careful consideration will be necessary for their correct interpretation.

The data are given in Table 2 relative to a uniform reservoir whose characteristics are those of the Juvinas achondrite (Lugmair, 1974, pers. comm., and Lugmair et al., 1975b). These characteristics were chosen because Juvinas has Sm/Nd very close to the average chondrite (0.308) (Masada, 1973, c.f. Lugmair, 1975c) and therefore must approximate a chondritic uniform reservoir (CHUR), allowing direct comparison of terrestrial data to that expected in a chondritic earth. Since this paper was first submitted, we have been informed (Lugmair, pers. comm.) of a revised best estimate for $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd in Juvinas, which differs significantly from that reported by Lugmair (1974). The revised value is used here, so that $I_{CHUR}(0) = 0.511836$ (normalized to $^{148}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd = 0.243082) and $(^{147}$Sm/$^{144}$Nd)$_{CHUR} = 0.1936$. Model ages can be calculated relative to the initial value for Juvinas ($I_{JUV} = 0.50598\pm10$) (Lugmair, pers. comm.). The evolution of $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd in CHUR and initial ratios for the samples are plotted in Fig. 2.

Results. The samples can be divided into two groups; zero age rocks and old rocks. The zero age suite consists of two mid-ocean ridge tholeiitic basalt of high Fe, Ti character (MOR Thol.; USNM113152, USNM111240), one oceanic alkalic basalt (USNM113031) dredged near St. Pauls Rocks (Melson et al., 1967), and a continental basalt (BCR-1). Grouped with these are a sample of apatite ore from the Khibina nepheline syenite complex, USSR, and a composite of the North American shales (NAS) prepared by Paul W. Gast (cf. Haskin et al., 1966b). The old samples include a diabase from the Great Dyke, Rhodesia (RHO), a sample of the granodiorite Preissac-Lacorne batholith in the Superior Province of Canada (RN3), and a granodiorite Amlitsoq gneiss sample from West Greenland (OGG). These samples have been dated by other methods.
The four basalts and the apatite ore have small positive values of \( \epsilon_i^{\text{CHUR}} \) indicating that they were derived from regions whose time-integrated Sm/Nd is close to but somewhat higher than that of chondrites. The shale, however, has a large negative \( \epsilon_i^{\text{CHUR}} \) which undoubtedly reflects the low Sm/Nd of average crustal rocks. The basalts, presumably derived from the mantle, indicate that a range of \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} \) is now found there. The old samples all have low \( \epsilon_i^{\text{CHUR}} \) values, representative of old lithic reservoirs with low Sm/Nd.

To evaluate \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} \) in the mantle in the past a Great Dyke sample was chosen because its basaltic composition and mode of emplacement suggest derivation from the mantle. While it has \( \epsilon_0^{\text{CHUR}} = -16.5 \), its initial ratio lies within error on CHUR at 2.53 AE (see Figure 2), and is strong evidence that the CHUR curve is indeed relevant to mantle evolution. The other two ancient samples have large negative values of \( \epsilon_i^{\text{CHUR}} \). Although these rocks have no clear or direct relationship to the mantle, their initial ratios nonetheless also lie on CHUR within errors. For sample RN3, a two-point internal isochron (see tables) yields a Sm-Nd age of 2.64 ± 0.13 AE, in agreement with the U-Th-Pb result (Steiger and Wasserburg, 1969).

The positions of initial ratios relative to the CHUR curve can be seen clearly in Figure 3. In general the data demonstrate that the earth has a chondritic Sm/Nd to within ~5%. The variation of \( \epsilon_i^{\text{CHUR}} \) in young basalts indicates the existence of isotopic heterogeneities in their source regions, a phenomenon which was previously known from Pb and Sr isotope variations (Gast, et al., 1964, Tatsumoto, 1966, Gast, 1968, and others). Oceanic tholeiite samples have the highest values of \( \epsilon_i^{\text{CHUR}} \) and must be derived from sources with higher \( q_0 \) than the other samples. The alkali basalt sample is clearly derived from a different reservoir than the tholeiites. BCR-1 has a much lower \( \epsilon_i^{\text{CHUR}} \) than the oceanic samples, which may indicate gross differences between basalt source regions under continents and those under oceans. However BCR-1 could also have acquired a lower \( \epsilon_i^{\text{CHUR}} \) through contamination from old crustal material. The range in \( T^* \) values for the young basalts indicates that for differences of I in the sources of ~0.1, these sources must have been isolated for times > 1 AE.

Basalt 113031 has a low Sm/Nd typical of alkali basalts, but its positive \( \epsilon_i^{\text{CHUR}} \) is evidence that this oceanic alkali basalt is not derived from an ancient source region which is significantly enriched in LREE relative to chondrites. The Khibina apatite sample is from an alkalic complex strongly enriched in LREE (Balashov, 1963, cited in Haskin et al., 1966a), yet \( \epsilon_i^{\text{CHUR}} \) is also positive. Thus this data strongly suggest that the highly fractionated REE patterns in alkali basalts and alkalic intrusions are the result of short-duration magmatic processes rather than the result of derivation from ancient, low Sm/Nd source regions.

The fact that \( \epsilon_i^{\text{CHUR}} \) of the ancient granitic rocks is zero means that these rocks were derived essentially directly from a chondritic Sm/Nd source. This implies derivation from mantle sources, since their \( \epsilon_i^{\text{CHUR}} \) are identical with that of the Great Dyke sample. These data are not compatible with their derivation from much older, highly fractionated material. However, larger errors in \( \epsilon_i^{\text{CHUR}} \) for these samples, resulting from a sum of errors in \( \epsilon_0^{\text{CHUR}} \), \( g_0 \), and the age, when coupled with the smaller time interval (Tc-T) place weaker constraints on their sources.

Inspection of Figure 3 shows that the range of \( \epsilon_i^{\text{CHUR}} \) in young samples is larger than in the ancient samples. This may result simply from the fact that the difference in \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} \) in reservoirs with different Sm/Nd grows with time. Alternative-
ly, it may be indicative of a real difference in the degree of heterogeneity in the Archean mantle as compared to the modern mantle.

Partial melting generally produces a liquid with lower Sm/Nd and a residue with higher Sm/Nd than the starting material. This process tends to produce reservoirs with diverging $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$. The crust, since it represents the earth’s low-melting fraction, must also contain the reservoirs of lowest $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$. If the Nd in the crust is a significant portion of that in the earth, then there must exist regions of the mantle with high $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ corresponding to a high Sm/Nd residue. The source regions of oceanic tholeiites, by virtue of their high $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ are the best candidates for this residue. This is clearly consistent with trace element (Gast, 1968, Kay and Gast, 1973) and other isotopic data (Peterman and Hodge, 1971) which indicate that these basalts are derivatives of a mantle which has been left as a residue from previous partial melting. In order to classify mantle source regions as having increased or decreased Sm/Nd on the basis of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$, one needs precise knowledge of the bulk earth evolution curve.

The CHUR curve appears to be a good first approximation.

The variation of $\varepsilon^{\text{CHUR}}$ in the young basalts could be due to differences of Sm/Nd in source regions formed near the time of formation of the earth, or to differences formed by continuous large-scale differentiation and fractionation over a long time scale from some homogeneous initial reservoir, to form secondary reservoirs from which basalts are ultimately derived. The data presently provide no clear-cut indication of which is the case. For instance, tholeiite sample USNM113152 has a model age of 4.50 ± 0.07 AE. Using this datum, the model age of the source is 4.50 (1 + $g_{\text{TH}}$) AE. If $g_{\text{TH}} = 0$, then one would conclude that the source region was formed during a very early terrestrial differentiation, essentially at the time of formation of the earth. But if $g_{\text{TH}} < 0$, then it is more likely that the source region for this basalt was generated in fractionation processes which occurred at least a few hundred million years after $T_0$.

If the isotopic composition and Sm/Nd of the shale are representative of average North American crust, then from $T^* T^*$ we obtain $T^* = 1.5$ AE. This can be taken to be the “mean” age of this crustal material, i.e., the time it was fractionated from the CHUR evolution curve.
Conclusions. From the data presented we conclude that the Sm/Nd, and by inference the REE abundance pattern of the earth, is equal to the average of chondritic meteorites to within a few percent. Variations in $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd in young basalts permits the identification of distinctive mantle sources and indicates the preservation of significant heterogeneities in the mantle for times of ~1-4 AE. The isotopic data also show that mantle source regions with Sm/Nd different by a factor of two from chondritic are not preserved for longer than a few hundred million years. Average continental crustal material has much lower $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd than that found for the mantle, and reflects its low Sm/Nd, resulting from REE fractionation during formation of crust from the mantle.
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APPENDIX 2

INFERENCES ABOUT MAGMA SOURCES AND

MANTLE STRUCTURE FROM VARIATIONS OF $^{143}\text{Nd} / ^{144}\text{Nd}$

D. J. DePaolo and G. J. Wasserburg
The Lunatic Asylum of the Charles Arms Laboratory, Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences*, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

Abstract: Continental flood basalts and mid-ocean ridge (MOR) tholeiitic basalts have distinctly different $^{143}\text{Nd} / ^{144}\text{Nd}$ which may permit a priori distinction between "continental" and "oceanic" igneous rocks. Initial $^{143}\text{Nd} / ^{144}\text{Nd}$ of continental igneous rocks through time fall on a Sm/Nd evolution curve with chondritic REE abundance ratio. These observations indicate that many continental igneous rocks are derived from a reservoir with chondritic REE pattern which may represent primary material remaining since the formation of the earth. Oceanic igneous rocks are derived from a different ancient reservoir which has Sm/Nd higher than chondritic. Initial $^{143}\text{Nd} / ^{144}\text{Nd}$ and $^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr}$ in young basalts from both oceans and continents show a strong correlation suggesting that Sm-Nd and Rb-Sr fractionation events in the mantle may be correlated and caused by the same process. From this correlation Rb/Sr for the earth is inferred to be 0.029.

This study was undertaken to determine if there exists a systematic difference in $^{143}\text{Nd} / ^{144}\text{Nd}$ between young volcanic rocks from ocean basins and continental areas. It builds upon a small body of Nd isotopic data which suggested this contrast (DePaolo and Wasserburg, 1976, referred to as DPW). In the same study it was shown that continental igneous rocks of varying ages appear to be derived from a single uniform reservoir with chondritic relative REE abundances. Typical old continental crustal material was found to have $^{143}\text{Nd} / ^{144}\text{Nd}$ today which is drastically different from that of young basalts suggesting that $^{143}\text{Nd} / ^{144}\text{Nd}$ could be used to identify products of remelted ancient crust. This study tests with an extended data base the hypotheses that continental igneous rocks through time have been derived from a uniform reservoir with chondritic Sm/Nd (CHUR) and that oceanic igneous rocks are derived from a distinctly different reservoir. In addition it presents evidence that variations in initial $^{143}\text{Nd} / ^{144}\text{Nd}$ and $^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr}$ in young volcanic rocks are correlated.

To test these hypotheses $^{143}\text{Nd} / ^{144}\text{Nd}$, $^{87}\text{Sr} / ^{86}\text{Sr}$, Sm/Nd and Rb/Sr were measured in three groups of samples. 1) Mid-ocean ridge (MOR) tholeiitic basalts and continental flood basalts were measured to compare oceanic and continental magmas, since these represent the most voluminous lava types found in the two settings; 2) Samples of various volcanic lithologies from continents, including alkali basalt, rhyolite, and a carbonatite and basalts from oceanic islands were measured to further explore the variations found in young volcanic rocks; 3) Initial $^{143}\text{Nd} / ^{144}\text{Nd}$ in five more Pre cambrian continental intrusive rocks of various ages were determined to further evaluate the uniform reservoir hypothesis for continental igneous rocks.
**Analytical Procedure and Data:** Chemical separation of Sm and Nd and mass spectrometry have been described by Engster et al. (1970) and DPW. For all samples measured in this study, no corrections to Nd isotope ratios were necessary due to interferences from other species in the ion spectra or from chemistry blank. The intensity of the $^{144}$NdO ion beam was between 3 and $6 \times 10^{-11}$ A during data acquisition. All Nd isotopes were measured in every run. The measured values for the non-radiogenic Nd isotopes for all runs are shown in Figure 1. Strontium was separated using conventional ion exchange techniques. $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr are normalized to $^{86}$Sr/$^{88}$Sr = 0.1194.

Isotopic data, locations, and lithologic classifications for all samples are given in Table 1 and summarized in Figures 2, 3, and 4. Nd data are expressed using the notation of DPW.

**Results**

1. Continental Flood Basalt and MOR Tholeiites: Nd isotopic data for these samples are shown in a histogram in Figure 2a. Included in this figure are data from DPW on two MOR tholeiites and BCR-1. BCR-1 was selected as representative of the chemically and Sr) isotopically uniform Yakima basalts of the Columbia River province, the most voluminous lava type found in that province (McDougall, 1976). The Picture Gorge sample (PG16G) was selected for analysis because it is petrochemically distinct from BCR-1. Its $\epsilon_1^{CHUR}$ is much different that what appears to be the normal range for continental tholeiites, showing affinities to oceanic basalts. Sample PEA-3 was taken from an old collection and was assumed to be a Karroo basalt as it was labelled. Discussion of this sample must be deferred until it can be documented that its $\epsilon_1^{CHUR}$ is not a result of an incorrect age assignment. If the age is correct, this sample could be the product of massive crustal contamination or a derivative of a special mantle reservoir. As seen in the figure, the samples fall into two distinct groups. The MOR basalts have $\epsilon_1^{CHUR}$ averaging $\approx +10$ and ranging from $+7$ to $+12$ while continental flood basalts have $\epsilon_1^{CHUR}$ averaging $\approx 0$ and showing a range from $-4$ to $+2$. This striking data array confirms the existence of a profound difference in $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd between major basalt provinces in continental and oceanic regions. It implies the existence of two distinctly different, widespread mantle magma sources. From the difference of $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd values of $\approx 4 \times 10^6$ yr for the two groups of samples (Table 1), and assuming a difference of le of less than 0.4, we calculate that these two reservoirs must have been separate for at least $10^8$ years. Thus MOR tholeiites and continental flood tholeiites appear to be derived from two ancient, profoundly different reservoirs in the earth's mantle. Differences in concentrations of certain minor and trace elements between these two lithologic types (Schilling, 1971; Haskin et al. 1966) thus are most likely a reflection of
Fig. 1: Measured values of non-radiogenic Nd isotope ratios for each run plotted as fractional deviations in parts in 10^4 from the average of 10 runs given at right. Ratios are normalized to 143Nd/144Nd = 0.72196; error bars are 2σ. These data demonstrate that reproducibility of measured ratios is 15 parts in 10^4 or better. Measurements of non-radiogenic isotopes are used in this laboratory as an indication of data quality.

ancient differences rather than a result of differentiation processes occurring at the time of magma generation as has been suggested (Schilling, 1971). The nominal value of εCHUR = 0 for the continental flood basalts indicates they are derived from a reservoir which has maintained an unfractionated, chondritic Sm/Nd throughout the history of the earth. The MOR basalts, however, are derived from a reservoir which has had Sm/Nd at least 10% greater than chondritic.

II. Other young volcanic rocks: Nd isotopic data on these samples, plus an alkali basalt from the mid-Atlantic Ridge (OAB-1) (113031 of DPW) and three ocean island samples from Richard et al. (1976) are shown in Figure 2b. With the exception of two samples, the oceanic rocks have significantly higher εCHUR than the continental samples. For the most part ocean island basalts (both tholeiitic and alkalic) have εCHUR of +4 to +8, somewhat lower than those of the MOR tholeiites but definitely higher than the majority of continental basalts. The alkali basalts give no indication of being derived from an ancient light – REE enriched source, as has been suggested by Sun and Hanson (1975). Such a reservoir would yield basalts with εCHUR = -10 to -30. Sample OLC-I from a Na, Ca-carbonate lava flow represents an extreme rock type with highly fractionated REE pattern and high REE concentrations, but has εCHUR identical to the average continental flood basalt. This sample thus gives no evidence that carbonatites are derived from unique mantle reservoirs. The Hawaiian tholeiite has εCHUR similar to those of the continental flood basalts. A melilitite nepheline from the same island, however, has a drastically different εCHUR, similar to those found in other ocean island basalts and in MOR tholeiites. Thus these two basalts are derived from different reservoirs despite their coincident location. These data again show that a single volcanic conduit system can access a variety of mantle reservoirs of distinctive chemistry and age. The tholeiite sample provides the only evidence that the reservoir represented by the continental flood basalts is present in oceanic areas. The Pysgah crater alkali basalt (PCE) differs markedly from the other continental basalts. Its εCHUR is similar to oceanic basalts and identical to that of the Picture Gorge sample. This basalt may be representative of the mantle underlying the Basin and Range province of the western United
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Age (AE)</th>
<th>({}^{143}\text{Sm} / {}^{144}\text{Nd})</th>
<th>(\varepsilon_{\text{CHUR}})</th>
<th>(\varepsilon_{\text{CHUR}})</th>
<th>(f^{*T})</th>
<th>(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>I. Continental Flood Basalts</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PG-16D (Picture Gorge tholeiite, Columbia R. province)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>nm</td>
<td>+6.6±0.5</td>
<td>+6.6±0.5</td>
<td>2.7</td>
<td>0.7034±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DTB-8 (tholeiite, Mahabaleshwar plateau, Deccan, India)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1645</td>
<td>+1.5±0.7</td>
<td>+1.7±0.7</td>
<td>0.7</td>
<td>0.7041±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SK-38 (tholeiite, Miki’s Fjord, E. Greenland)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>0.1329</td>
<td>-4.3±0.6</td>
<td>-3.9±0.6</td>
<td>-1.6</td>
<td>0.7054±11</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SWB-1D (tholeiite, Stornberg series, Warmbad, S. Africa)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.1691</td>
<td>-1.2±0.5</td>
<td>-0.7±0.5</td>
<td>-0.3</td>
<td>0.7063±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEA-3 (Karoo ? basalt, Mozambique)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.1170</td>
<td>-20.1±1.6</td>
<td>-18.6±1.6</td>
<td>-7.5</td>
<td>nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PD-1 (Palisades diabase, 200' above base, Edgewater, N.J.)</td>
<td>0.20</td>
<td>0.1540</td>
<td>-1.2±0.7</td>
<td>-0.2±0.7</td>
<td>-0.1</td>
<td>0.7060±10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>II. Mid-ocean Ridge tholeiites</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>VG295 (tholeiite, mid-Atlantic Ridge, 22.5N, 45W)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>nm</td>
<td>+10.6±0.9</td>
<td>+10.6±0.9</td>
<td>4.3</td>
<td>0.7022±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD37-2 (tholeiite, mid-Atlantic R.; DSDP 37-332B-19-1)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1930</td>
<td>+11.0±3.0</td>
<td>+11.0±3.0</td>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BD17-1 (tholeiite, central Pacific; DSDP 17-164-28-6)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td>0.1988</td>
<td>+7.4±1.3</td>
<td>+7.4±1.3</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>III. Other young volcanic rocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>OLC-1 (carbonatite, Oldoinyol Lengai, Tanzania)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>nm</td>
<td>+0.1±0.9</td>
<td>+0.1±0.9</td>
<td>0.0</td>
<td>nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HN-1 (nepheline, Oahu; USNM 113095-50)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1229</td>
<td>+7.3±0.6</td>
<td>+7.3±0.6</td>
<td>3.0</td>
<td>0.7032±8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>HT-1 (tholeiite, Oahu; USNM 113095-60)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1590</td>
<td>+0.8±0.6</td>
<td>+0.8±0.6</td>
<td>0.3</td>
<td>0.7040±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>RGB-1 (alk. olivine basalt, Rio Grande Rift)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>nm</td>
<td>+0.2±0.7</td>
<td>+0.2±0.7</td>
<td>0.1</td>
<td>0.7043±12</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LK-8D (rhyolite, Mt. Konocti, California)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.112</td>
<td>+1.3±0.8</td>
<td>+1.3±0.8</td>
<td>0.5</td>
<td>nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GT-2 (tholeiite, Galapagos Is.; USNM 113180, F436)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>+6.2±0.8</td>
<td>+6.2±0.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PCB-1 (alk. basalt, Pilot Crater, California)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>0.1228</td>
<td>+6.2±0.8</td>
<td>+6.2±0.8</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>0.7034±6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>IV. Precambrian intrusive rocks</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ZLI-3D (Town Mt., Granite, Llano, Texas)</td>
<td>1.01</td>
<td>0.1420</td>
<td>-4.4±0.7</td>
<td>+2.3±0.8</td>
<td>0.9</td>
<td>(0.706)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DUL-4 (Anorthositic gabbro, Duluth complex, Minn.)</td>
<td>1.13</td>
<td>0.1036</td>
<td>-14.5±0.6</td>
<td>-1.7±0.7</td>
<td>-0.7</td>
<td>0.7065±7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MP22D (shon kinite, Mt. Pass, California)</td>
<td>1.41</td>
<td>0.1053</td>
<td>-19.9±0.4</td>
<td>-3.0</td>
<td>-1.2</td>
<td>nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WYWR-4D (Louis Lake granodiorite, Wind R. Mtns., Wyco)</td>
<td>2.68</td>
<td>0.1095</td>
<td>-29.6±0.6</td>
<td>-9.1±0.6</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>nm</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>WGA210 (Fiskenset anorthosite, West Greenland)</td>
<td>2.8</td>
<td>0.1146</td>
<td>-29.9±0.8</td>
<td>-1.1±1.7</td>
<td>-0.4</td>
<td>nm</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Initial \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) of OAB-1 (113031 of N.P.W.) = 0.7028±15; 111240 (N.P.W.) = 0.7023±11. nm = not measured.

\(^a\)Nd data normalized as in D.P.W. \(^b\)Ekren and Kulp (1961) \(^c\)DSDP inventory of igneous rock recovery (1973) \(^d\)Zartman (1964) \(^*\)Silver and Green (1972) \(^f\)Lamphere (1964) \(^s\)Naylor, et. al. (1969) \(^g\)Garcia (1976); Black et. al. (1973) \(^h\)Bowman, et. al. (1973)
States which resemble oceanic mantle in seismic structure and heat flow. $\epsilon^{CHUR}_I$ of the rhyolite sample is the same as that of the continental basalts, indicating it appears to be derived from the same reservoir as the basalts.

III. Precambrian igneous rocks: All of the Precambrian samples analyzed, with the exception of the Mountain Pass sample, have been well-dated by other methods. The values of $\epsilon^{CHUR}_I$ as a function of age for all continental samples measured in this work and by DPW are shown in Figure 3. Of the samples analyzed in this work WYWR-4D and WGA210 fall within error on the CHUR evolution curve, and ZL-3D lies slightly above. The Duluth gabbro sample DUL-4, which represents an ancient continental basalt, lies slightly below the curve. MP22D is not plotted due to uncertainty in its age (Lamphere, 1964). However, the age of MP22D is bracketed between 1.4 and 1.7 AE so that its $\epsilon^{CHUR}_I$ must be between -3 and 0. Thus all of the Precambrian intrusive rocks, representing a wide variety of rock types, as well as the young continental volcanics appear to be derived from an approximately uniform, chondritic-Sm/Nd reservoir. Note in particular that four samples with ages of 2.5 to 2.8 AE from widely separated localities all lie within error on the curve and closely limit the position of the growth curve at 2.6 AE. Among the continental samples studied are gabbroic rocks which are taken to represent ancient continental flood basalts. All these data, when considered with the “zero” age continental samples, provide strong evidence that the CHUR curve describes Nd evolution in an important and widespread reservoir, most probably the mantle, and as such, can be taken as a representation of the bulk earth’s evolution curve. As discussed by DPW, definition of the bulk earth evolution curve is necessary in order to infer what processes are responsible for variations of $\epsilon^{CHUR}_I$. The CHUR evolution curve given here was calculated from data of Lugmaier (pers. comm.) on the
Juvina chondrite which has Sm/Nd within 1% of the average of ordinary chondrites (0.309 atom ratio) as determined by Masuda et. al. (1973) and Nakamura (1974). Thus the earth appears to have Sm/Nd and presumably REE relative abundances essentially identical to chondritic meteorites.

Discussion. The data shown in Figure 3 clearly suggest that the source of magmas during the first 2 AE of Earth history was a uniform chondritic Sm/Nd reservoir. DPR, however, suggested that this might only appear to be the case since the Sm/Nd heterogeneities of ~ 10% which can be inferred from Nd isotopic variations to exist today, may have produced only indiscernable isotopic differences 3 AE ago. However, as shown by Lugmair (1975) and Lugmair et. al. (1976), data for the moon show no suggestion of a long-lived, uniform magma source with chondritic Sm/Nd. Lunar basalts with ages of 3.3-4.0 AE show a range of \( \epsilon^{CHUR}_{i} \) from +7 to -2 which is much larger than the range shown by the terrestrial samples which were formed a billion years later. The lunar data clearly indicate that planetary differentiation processes exist which could have caused sufficient Sm/Nd fractionation to produce large variations in \( \epsilon^{CHUR}_{i} \) in old terrestrial rocks. Thus the terrestrial data suggest that the earth, unlike the moon, did not undergo an early differentiation event which greatly fractionated the rare earth elements, or if it did, a mixing process occurred during the subsequent AE to erase the variation of Sm/Nd produced in this event.

The data shown in Figure 3 also provide information on the origin of silicic rocks in the continental crust. As seen in Figure 3 all silicic rocks have \( \epsilon^{CHUR}_{i} \sim 0 \). Thus remelting of much older continental crust does not appear to be important in the formation of silicic igneous rocks. This surprising result strongly suggests that most continental igneous rocks are derived from ancient reservoirs, possibly original materials undifferentiated over all of geologic time.

The observation that the CHUR evolution curve is the locus of initial ratios of continental igneous rocks attaches age significance to the measured \(^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd\) of these rocks. If the initial \(^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd\) is given by the CHUR curve then measurement of \(^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd\) and \(^{147}Sm/^{144}Nd\) in any continental rock today gives a model age (\(T_{CHUR}\)):

\[
T_{CHUR} = -\frac{1}{\lambda} \ln \left[ 1 + \frac{^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd_{\text{now}} - ^{143}Nd/^{144}Nd_{\text{CHUR}}(0)}{^{147}Sm/^{144}Nd_{\text{CHUR}} - ^{147}Sm/^{144}Nd_{\text{CHUR}}(0)} \right]
\]

\(T_{CHUR}\) may provide a real “crust-formation” age for a wide variety of rocks, since studies to date have shown that metamorphism does not significantly affect REE patterns (Haskin et. al., 1968). \(T_{CHUR}\) ages may be a way to see past metamorphic events to the time when the silicic material differentiated from its source region.

The data reported here imply the existence of at least two distinct ancient magma sources, one which supplies the MOR.
basalts ($e_{CHUR} \approx +10$) and one which supplies continental rocks ($e_{CHUR} \approx 0$). The ocean island samples ($e_{CHUR} \approx +6$) may either represent a third magma source, or a blend of the other two. This grouping is not a detailed description of the data as evidenced by the sizeable dispersion within each group, but is used here to assess some elementary models. For simplicity, let us assume only two sources exist, that of the continental rocks (CHUR) and that of the MOR basalts (MORR). Any model given to explain the distribution of magmas derived from these sources must take into account the constraints that 1) CHUR supplies continental igneous rocks for all time and rarely supplies magmas in oceanic areas and 2) MORR supplies oceanic igneous rocks and rarely supplies magmas in continental areas. In addition, in the process of making continental crust with low Sm/Nd, residual matter ($C^*$) from CHUR is left with increased Sm/Nd. This material may then evolve the Nd isotopic character of MORR after a time period of $\sim 1$ AE. Thus CHUR and MORR may be distinct entities dating from the formation of the earth (congenital sources), or MORR ($=C^*$) may be derived from CHUR in the process of making continental crust (evolved sources).

One possible interpretation of the data might be that with the exception of a few anomalous areas, CHUR is found only under continents and MORR only under oceans. For stationary continents this model would be acceptable for the congenital case. For the case of evolved sources a mechanism for allowing the residual material $C^*$ from CHUR to migrate from under the continents to under the oceans over times of $\sim 1$ AE or more is necessary. For drifting continents it is necessary that CHUR is attached to the continents. This presents a problem in that the amount of mantle present in the attached lithosphere beneath the continents is at best barely sufficient to supply the Nd now present in the continents if primitive mantle has Nd equal to $\sim 2x$ chondrites and continental crust has $\sim 25x$ chondrites (Taylor, 1976).
Alternatively both sources could be present under both continents and oceans. MORR (shallow) supplies the MOR basalts but is essentially inactive under continents. CHUR (deep) is active under continents and oceans, but in oceanic regions its products are volumetrically insignificant in comparison to those of MORR. This configuration is much the same as hypotheses of mantle plumes (Morgan, 1971; Wilson, 1965) and may provide a reasonable explanation of the basalt data. That is, most continental basalts and some oceanic basalts are derived from diapirs originating from CHUR deep in the mantle. This would imply that continental granitic rocks are also derived from the same deep mantle sources.

A third possibility would be that MORR exists at shallow levels only under oceans and at deeper levels under both continents and oceans. At shallow levels beneath the continents a region with the isotopic character of CHUR is maintained in a dynamic steady state. In this region Nd is continually transported to and stored in the crust in preference to Sm, but is continually replenished from MORR below or by transfer in subduction zones where material from MORR passes beneath the continent. This model requires a delicate balance but is consistent with drifting continents while still implying a fundamental chemical difference between suboceanic and subcontinental mantle.

Correlation of Sr and Nd: Data on samples for which both Nd and Sr isotopes were measured are shown in Figure 4 and show a correlation between initial Nd and Sr. The MOR basalts have the lowest $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and highest $\epsilon^{\text{CHUR}}$ while the continental basalts have highest $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and lowest $\epsilon^{\text{CHUR}}$. Oceanic alkali basalts are intermediate but can be distinguished from the continental samples. This correlation suggests that Sm-Nd and Rb-Sr fractionation in the formation of magma
sources in the mantle are correlative. Samples PD-1 and SWB-1D may represent cases where significant Sr contamination occurred but the Nd isotopes were essentially unaffected. This possibility is plausible since reservoirs of extremely radiogenic Sr exist in the continental crust which could act as contaminants, whereas the existence of reservoirs of extreme Nd isotopic composition is unlikely due to the limited variation of Sm/Nd in nature. This suggests that Nd may provide a better tool for the study of sub-continental mantle magma sources than Sr. From Figure 4 it can be seen that a value of $\varepsilon_{1}\text{Thur} = 0$ corresponds roughly to $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} \sim 0.7045$. If $\varepsilon_{1}\text{Thur} = 0$ represents an unfractioated reservoir then 0.70455 might be taken as the present value of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in unfractioated mantle. From this number and BABI we calculate Rb/Sr of unfractioated mantle material to be 0.029$\pm$0.003. A $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ growth curve calculated from this Rb/Sr may be more useful for interpretation of initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ of ancient rocks than is one calculated from the present value of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in MOR rocks ($\sim 0.7025$).
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APPENDIX 3

THE SOURCES OF ISLAND ARCS AS

INDICATED BY Nd AND Sr ISOTOPIC STUDIES

D. J. DePaolo and G. J. Wasserburg

The Lunatic Asylum, Div. of Geological and Planetary Sciences,

California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125
Abstract: Island arc lavas from New Britain and the Marianas have 
$^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd similar to other oceanic basalts and distinctly different 
from continental flood basalts and thus appear to be derived from a high 
Sm/Nd, light-REE-depleted reservoir. Consideration of both Nd and Sr 
isotopes suggests seawater involvement in the generation of some island 
arc lavas and thus indicates that they may be derived from altered 
subducted oceanic crust. Other island arc lavas show no evidence of 
seawater involvement and may be derived from mantle reservoirs with 
affinities to the sources of ocean island basalts. Andesite and rhyolite 
from an Andean volcano reflect assimilation of old continental crust. Nd 
and Sr in basaltic and ultrapotassic continental rocks indicate that some 
mafic magmas in continental regions may be derived from old low-Sm/Nd 
reservoirs or are heavily contaminated with old continental crustal 
material. Fish debris from the ocean floor provides an estimate of 
$^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd in seawater and indicates that light-REE in the marine 
environment are derived mainly from continents. Basalts erupted above 
sea level in oceanic and continental areas are isotopically distinct from 
those erupted on the ocean floor, suggesting a relationship between 
parental reservoirs and hydrostatic head.

This paper presents Nd and Sr isotopic data on lavas from 
oceanic island arcs which were obtained to (1) characterize the 
source of island arc magmas, (2) determine if these magmas are 
derived from mantle reservoirs which may be associated with 
either the sources of oceanic basalts or the sources of 
continental basalts, and (3) relate these observations to the role 
of island arcs in the growth of continental crust.

DePaolo and Wasserburg [1976a,b] (referred to as DPW, 
1976a,b) showed that continental flood basalts are derived 
from reservoirs which have approximately chondritic Sm/Nd 
ratios. Mid-ocean ridge (MOR) tholeiitic basalts appear to be 
derived from ancient reservoirs (>1 AE old) which are distinct 
from those of the continental basalts and characterized by 
higher Sm/Nd [see also Richard, Shimizu and Allegre, 1976]. 
Basalts from oceanic islands have $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd intermediate 
between MOR basalts and continental flood basalts and may 
represent a third type of reservoir from which basalts are 
derived. O’Nions, Hamilton and Evensen [1977] expanded 
the data on ocean island basalts and confirmed that they form a 
coherent group based on $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd. DPW [1976a,c] and 
O’Nions et al. [1977a] also showed that for young volcanic 
rocks with $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr less than 0.705 there is a strong 
correlation between initial $^{143}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd and $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr.

We have measured samples of basalt, andesite and dacite 
from two oceanic volcanic arcs to compare their Nd and Sr 
isotopic compositions with continental and other oceanic 
volcanic rocks. Nd isotope data provides a means of evaluating 
the sources of island arc magmas which is not sensitive to 
seawater contamination which has complicated interpretation 
of Sr isotope data [cf. Meijer, 1976]. We have concentrated 
primarily on rocks from volcanic arcs built upon oceanic crust
to avoid problems of crustal contamination. In particular, the Marianas Arc is far from possible interferences from continental material. New Britain is the only arc segment built upon oceanic crust which contains the entire range of magma compositions from basalt to rhyolite, and has been extensively studied by Johnson [1976]. Samples from a volcano in the Andes of South America, a province which has been associated with the addition of island arc materials to continents, have been included in order to compare possible arc materials in the continental margin environment. A sample of gabbro from a major linear batholith belt, which may have formed in a setting similar to an island arc, is also included. Fish bone detritus has been measured to estimate \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) in present day ocean water. In addition analyses of continental basic lavas confirm the existence of samples with initial \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) far displaced from the CHUR evolution curve.

Samples. Island arc samples analyzed are listed in Table 1. All of the island arc samples appear extremely fresh in thin section and show no evidence of having been affected by post-crystallization alteration. KAS-2 is a Karroo basalt from the Stormberg series in South Africa while PEA-3 is a Karroo basalt from Mozambique. PEA-3 was measured by DPW [1976b] but its age assignment was considered uncertain. A total K-Ar age on this sample of 103 m.y., obtained through the courtesy of S. P. Smith, confirms that it is a Karroo basalt.

Data Representation. Analytical procedures are described by DPW [1976a] and Papanastassiou, DePaolo and Wasserburg [1977]. Nd and Sr data are given in Table 1. Nd isotopic data are expressed using notation modified from DPW [1976a]. Initial \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) are given as fractional deviations in parts \(10^4\) (\(\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}\)) from the value in a Chondritic Uniform Reservoir (CHUR) and are given by:

\[
\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}(T) = \epsilon_{1\text{Nd}}^\text{CHUR}(T) = \frac{1}{143} \left( \frac{\text{Nd}}{\text{Nd}} \right)_{\text{CHUR}}^0 \left( e^{\lambda_{\text{Sm}} \text{T}} - 1 \right) \times 10^4
\]

For simplicity in notation we introduce \(\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}\) to represent the deviations of initial Nd from the CHUR reference. \(I_S(T)\) is the initial \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) of a sample (S), \(T\) is the age of the sample, \(I_{\text{CHUR}}(T) = I_{\text{CHUR}}(0) - \left( \frac{^{147}\text{Sm}}{^{144}\text{Nd}} \right)^{\text{CHUR}}_0 e^{\lambda_{\text{Sm}} \text{T}} - 1\).

\(I_{\text{CHUR}}(0) = 0.511836\) is \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) in CHUR today, \(\frac{^{147}\text{Sm}}{^{144}\text{Nd}}^{\text{CHUR}} = 0.1936\), and \(\lambda_{\text{Sm}} = 6.54 \times 10^{-12} \text{yr}^{-1}\).

Initial \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) are expressed as \(\epsilon_{\text{Sr}}\), defined in a manner analogous to \(\epsilon_{\text{Nd}}\). We define

\[
\epsilon_{\text{Sr}}(T) = \epsilon_{1\text{Sr}}^{\text{UR}}(T) = \frac{1}{147} \left( \frac{\text{Sr}}{\text{Sr}} \right)_{\text{UR}}^0 \left( e^{\lambda_{\text{Rb}} \text{T}} - 1 \right) \times 10^4
\]

\(\epsilon_{\text{Sr}}(T)\) is the deviation of initial \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) in a sample from the value in a standard uniform reservoir UR at time \(T\). \(I_S(T)\) is the initial \(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}\) of the sample, \(T\) is the sample's age,

\(I_{\text{UR}}(T) = I_{\text{UR}}(0) - \left( \frac{^{87}\text{Rb}}{^{86}\text{Sr}} \right)_{\text{UR}} e^{\lambda_{\text{Rb}} \text{T}} - 1\), \(I_{\text{UR}}(0) = 0.7045\), \(\left( \frac{^{87}\text{Rb}}{^{86}\text{Sr}} \right)_{\text{UR}} = 0.0839\) and \(\lambda_{\text{Rb}} = 1.39 \times 10^{-11} \text{yr}^{-1}\). We introduce this notation for Rb-Sr because it allows
comparison of Nd and Sr in a similar manner for rocks of arbitrary age and simplifies comparison of initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ in rocks of greatly different ages by subtracting the effects of "normal" growth of $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ with time.

I$_{UR}$ (O) is taken to be 0.7045 so that the Nd-Sr correlation line of DPW [1976b] passes through the point $\varepsilon_{Nd} = O$, $\varepsilon_{Sr} = O$. The reference reservoirs proposed here for Nd and Sr are thought to be close approximations to bulk earth values [DPW, 1976b]. However, we are avoiding incorporating this inference into the notation because we presently prefer to regard the values in the bulk earth as still to be firmly established.

The isotopic data on young basalts which provide a basis for the interpretation of island arc data are shown in Figures 1 and 2. Figure 1 shows that $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ values for young basalts fall into three fairly well-defined groups. Figure 2 shows data on basalts which have been analyzed for both $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$. As first pointed out by DPW [1976c] and in more detail by DPW [1976b] and O’Nions et al. [1977] initial Nd and Sr in young basalts are strongly correlated for samples with $\varepsilon_{Sr}$ less than ~ +10. The correlation holds for basalts from both oceanic and continental regions and appears to be a fundamental property of basalt magma sources.

**Results: Island Arcs.** Nd isotopic data on the island arc samples are shown in Figure 1. All samples have $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ lying in the narrow range of +6.8 to +9.4. These values are distinct from the bulk of continental flood basalts but overlap the lower range of MOR basalts and the upper range of ocean island basalts. The data clearly show that these island arc rocks have not been derived from a CHUR reservoir ($\varepsilon_{Nd} = O$), but rather have been derived from a high-Sm/Nd (light-REE-depleted) reservoir with distinct affinities to the MOR basalts and ocean island basalts.

The Nd and Sr isotopic data for the island arc samples are shown in Figure 3. Of nine samples analyzed five basalts and basaltic andesites lie close to the correlation line. The dacite samples from New Britain and basalt from Guam lie slightly to the right of the correlation line and the dacite from Saipan lies far to the right. Thus, although $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ for all samples is similar, there is a large range of $\varepsilon_{Sr}$. The samples which are most displaced from the correlation trend also have $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ most similar to MOR basalts. Their positions on this graph strongly suggest the involvement of seawater.

The effects of seawater contamination on the Nd and Sr isotopic composition is shown in Figure 3. No change of $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ will result due to the low abundance of Nd in ocean water while $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ will increase in the rock. Isotopic equilibration of one gram of MOR tholeite (100 ppm Sr, 10 ppm Nd) with 10 grams of seawater (6 ppm Sr, 3x10$^{-5}$ ppm Nd) could change $\varepsilon_{Sr}$ of the rock from ~30 to ~5 but change $\varepsilon_{Nd}$ by only ~0.0006. Thus if the higher $\varepsilon_{Sr}$ values of island arc rocks are the result of their being melted from subducted...
oceanic lithosphere which had $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ on the correlation line and then exchanged with seawater, then they should cover a region on Figure 3 extending to the right of the correlation line but with $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ identical to that of the descending slab. Such a trend would be easily distinguishable from the correlation line and would be a clear indication of a special mode of origin for these rocks. If the island arc samples were to fall along the correlation line, which we infer to describe the isotopic compositions of normal mantle magma sources, then we would interpret this as indicating that they are derived from mantle reservoirs similar to those from which most basalts are derived. It is also possible that subducted lithosphere with chemical and isotopic characteristics similar to the mantle reservoirs could be the source of these lavas. The isotopic composition of uncontaminated subducted oceanic crust is probably similar to MOR basalts, but will depend on the proportions of ocean island basalt and sediment it contains. The island basalts generally have Nd concentrations about 5 to 10 times higher than MOR basalts. Therefore, if oceanic crust were comprised of 90% MOR basalt ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +10$) and 10% ocean island basalt ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +6$) it would have a bulk $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \approx +8$, lower than most MOR basalts, but still on the correlation trend. The effect of a sediment component in the subducted oceanic crust is more difficult to assess. A mixture of 1% sediment ($\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = -10$?), 30 ppm Nd; $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} = +200$, 150 ppm Sr) and 99% MOR basalt would have $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ different from MOR basalt by ~0.6 and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ different by +3. For small (2–5%) additions of sediment, the resulting isotopic composition of the subducted crust could still fall within the range of the basalt data which define the correlation trend. In addition, the composition of the oceanic crust could be displaced from that of MOR basalts even if sediments and ocean island basalts were volumetrically insignificant in the downgoing slab. For example, the oceanic crust being subducted beneath the Marianas is Jurassic in age. If the parent reservoir of the MOR basalts has a very high Sm/Nd (e.g., 40% higher than chondritic), then MOR basalts erupted in Jurassic times would have had $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \approx +8.5$ rather than +10 for the modern basalts. This value would be retained while the basalts moved from the ridge to the subduction zone since the MOR basalts have Sm/Nd approximately equal to chondritic.

Some scatter about the $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} - \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ correlation line exists which is not presently understood. Therefore in addressing the problem of seawater contamination only samples which deviate substantially from this trend can be considered anomalous. Seawater contamination could conceivably occur in the island arc volcanic pile during magma ascent rather than in the oceanic crust prior to subduction. However, if this were the case, we might also expect it to occur in intraplate oceanic island volcanic piles and result in the production there of lavas with isotopic compositions displaced from the correlation line. Substantial evidence for this has not yet been found.
The samples which lie distinctly off the correlation trend, when projected back to the correlation line to remove the effects of seawater, fall well within the field of MOR basalts. This is consistent with their being derived in major part from subducted MOR basalts with (uncontaminated) isotopic compositions similar to modern ridge basalts. Those samples which lie near the correlation line have projected compositions which are somewhat displaced from the MOR basalts in the direction of the ocean island basalts. These lavas could be derived from subducted lithosphere only if it contains a significant amount of ocean island basalt or sediment or if it has an isotopic composition somewhat different from modern MOR basalt. Rather than call on the presence of sediment or ocean island basalt in the subducted slab to explain only the samples which show no evidence of seawater involvement, we consider it most likely that those samples with isotopic compositions on the correlation trend have been derived from mantle reservoirs with affinities to the sources of ocean island basalts and contain little or no slab-derived component.

In summary, our preferred interpretation of all the data is that island arc lavas are comprised of a component derived from a mantle reservoir similar in isotopic composition to ocean island basalts and a component derived from subducted MOR basalt. Silicic lavas may contain a larger slab-derived component than more mafic lavas. These conclusions are consistent with those outlined by DePaolo and Wasserburg [1977], and O'Nions, Evensen, and Carter [1977b].

Meijer [1976] measured Pb and Sr isotopes in rocks from the Mariana Island arc and concluded that island arc lavas were melted in part from altered subducted oceanic crust and not from mantle reservoirs similar to ocean island basalt sources. Although his data suggest melting of the slab, it is difficult to consider them as strong evidence against derivation from an ocean island type mantle reservoir, especially since the Pb data from Hawaii [Tatsumoto, 1966] are similar to island arc samples.

Continental Rocks. Nd data on continental rocks are shown in Figure 1. In contrast to the oceanic rocks, samples PER-1 and PER-2 have large negative $\epsilon_{Nd}$, which suggest that they were formed by melting or assimilation of old continental crustal material with low Sm/Nd. This is not surprising since the central Andes are underlain by an extremely thick crust (up to 70 kilometers). These data provide the first strong indication that crustal anatexis may be an important process in some continental margin volcanic arcs. This interpretation is in disagreement with that of Brooks, James and Hart [1976] who suggested that these lavas were derived from special mantle reservoirs. Sample PEA-3, a Karroo lava, has a large negative $\epsilon_{Nd}$ and extremely high $\epsilon_{Sr}$. These characteristics are expected in very old upper continental crustal material and suggest that this magma was massively contaminated with crustal Nd and Sr.
at shallow levels in the crust. Sample KAS-1 (Table 1) and SWB-1A [DPW, 1976b], however, indicate that this is not
typical of the Karroo lavas, most of which have \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \approx 0 \).
Sample LH-1, a rare ultrapotassic lava has a large negative \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) but in contra-distinction to PEA-3, it has \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} \) near zero. This
lava appears to be derived from a special reservoir which is old
(>1 AE) and has low Sm/Nd and low Rb/Sr. Such a reservoir
could possibly be found in the lower crust, which may be
depleted in Rb and U [Heier, 1965; Zartman and Wasserburg,
1969], or in the mantle. Sample SMG-1 is from a major linear
basaltic belt and therefore could have originated in a tectonic
setting similar to an island arc [c.f. Dickinson, 1970]. Its \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \)
and \( \varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} \) fall within the field of ocean island basalts and very
near the correlation line. This sample could be representative of
a major addition of new crust which has \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) different from
zero.

Fish Debris – Seawater. Sample DOS-1 has \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} = -9.2 \)
and thus lies far below the CHUR evolution curve (Figure 1).
Fish debris is a scavenger of heavy metals in seawater during
slow dissolution on the deep ocean floor [Arrhenius, Bramlette, and Piccioto, 1957] and may give an estimate of
\( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) of seawater. The \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) of this sample is similar to that of
average North American shale [DPW, 1976a] which may be
representative of REE in the continental crust [Haskin et al.,
1966] and is far displaced from the values found in oceanic
volcanic rocks. If average crustal material has \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \approx -14 \) and
average oceanic volcanics have \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \approx +8 \), then about 75% of
the Nd in authigenic phases on the ocean floors is derived from
continental sources and 25% is from oceanic regions.

Discussion: Continental growth. The bulk composition and
REE abundances of island arcs and continental margin
volcanics arcs closely approximate the composition of average
continental crust [Taylor and White, 1965]. This observation
together with the fact that island arcs are usually found at or
near continental margins has lead to the hypothesis that they
are the site of production of new continental crust. Continents
thus may grow through time by accreting at their margins
material produced in island arcs. If this is the case, then the
measurements made in this study indicate that new continental
material has a Nd isotopic composition similar to oceanic
basalts and should not lie on the CHUR curve.

DPW [1976a,b] noted that if undifferentiated mantle had
chondritic Sm/Nd, the \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) of oceanic basalts were consistent
with their being derived from mantle material which had been
left as residue from ancient partial melting events. Trace
element and Sr isotope ratios in MOR basalts had previously
led to this hypotheses [Gast, 1968]. These ancient melting
events could have contributed to building of the continental
crust. Since island arc rocks have \( \varepsilon_{\text{Nd}} \) similar to the oceanic
volcanics, they may also be derived from such residual material.
Thus if island arcs represent the typical materials being added
to form new continental crust, then it must be concluded that continental crust is now being derived from depleted mantle reservoirs which were previously tapped to form continental crust in earlier epochs of crust-building. Since these reservoirs may be more depleted in “crustal components” today than in the past, new continental material derived from them may have a significantly different average composition than Archean crust. This problem is fundamentally related to the Sm/Nd and Rb/Sr values for the bulk earth.

Oceanic Topography and Magma Sources. Basalts erupted on the ocean floor have $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}} = +8$ to $+12$ and distinctive trace element chemistry while those erupted above sea level on continents, oceanic islands, and island arcs have $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}} < +8$. Such isotopic differences must be attributed to the lithic reservoirs in the mantle from which the magmas were melted. Thus there is a correlation between the isotopic characteristics of the lithic reservoir from which a magma is derived and the elevation at which that magma is erupted. From hydrostatic considerations the height of a volcano is proportional to the depth to the base of the magma column. This hydrostatic consideration and the isotopic data suggest a direct link between the depth of the chamber from which a magma is erupted and the associated mantle lithic reservoir from which the magma is derived. This suggests that ocean floor basalts could be derived from a layer close to the surface (Layer A; $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}} > +8$) whereas oceanic island basalts and continental basalts are derived from deeper levels (Layers B, C, etc.; $\epsilon_{\text{Nd}} < +8$). Such a simple model would explain why basalts isotopically identical to MOR basalts are almost never found on continents or oceanic islands, and would imply that the major isotopic and chemical zonation in the mantle may be a planetary scale stratification. Layer A could be so shallow as to be nonexistent under continents, where its level would be occupied by the continental mass itself.
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<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Age (AE)</th>
<th>Nd (ppm)</th>
<th>147Sm/144Nd</th>
<th>Sr (ppm)</th>
<th>87Rb/86Sr</th>
<th>εNd</th>
<th>εSr</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>I. IslandArcs: New Britain</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMR-1 (Basalt, Sulu Range)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>1.76</td>
<td>0.222</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+7.7 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-18.6 ± 1.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMR-3 (Basalt, Welcker Volcano)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+7.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-13.5 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>BMR-5 (Basalt, Garove Is.)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+8.3 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-15.0 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>Marianas</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR-1 (Basalt, Agrigan Is.)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>+7.9 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-18.6 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR-2 (Andesite, Sarigan Is.)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>12.0</td>
<td>0.166</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>0.121</td>
<td>+6.8 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-19.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR-4 (Basalt, Agrigan Is.)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>11.7</td>
<td>0.157</td>
<td>401</td>
<td>0.152</td>
<td>+7.2 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-18.9 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>MAR-6 (Basalt, Salpan Is.)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.01</td>
<td>0.128</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>0.099</td>
<td>+9.1 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-1.5 ± 1.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GU-4 (Basalt, Guam Is.)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>5.68</td>
<td>0.176</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>0.260</td>
<td>+9.4 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-13.1 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>GU-7 (Basalt, Guam Is.)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+8.4 ± 0.4</td>
<td>-21.0 ± 1.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>II. Continental</strong></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>KAS-2 (Basalt, Karroo, S. Africa)</td>
<td>0.15</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>+0.3 ± 0.6</td>
<td>+7.0 ± 1.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PEA-3 (Basalt, Karroo, Mozambique)</td>
<td>0.10</td>
<td>44.8</td>
<td>0.117</td>
<td>230</td>
<td>1.56</td>
<td>-18.6 ± 1.6</td>
<td>+312.0 ± 4.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>SMG-1 (San Marcos Gabbro, S. Cal.)</td>
<td>0.12</td>
<td>4.95</td>
<td>0.153</td>
<td>455</td>
<td>0.0274</td>
<td>+4.7 ± 0.6</td>
<td>-14.7 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>LH-1 (Wyomingite, Leucite Hills, Wyo.)</td>
<td>0.05</td>
<td>130.8</td>
<td>0.076</td>
<td>2700</td>
<td>0.49</td>
<td>-13.5 ± 1.0</td>
<td>+12.6 ± 0.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PER-1 (Andesite, El Misti, Peru)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>28.1</td>
<td>0.098</td>
<td>1098</td>
<td>0.127</td>
<td>-8.9 ± 0.5</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PER-2 (Rhyolite, El Misti, Peru)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>0.091</td>
<td>444</td>
<td>0.463</td>
<td>-12.7 ± 0.1</td>
<td>+64.3 ± 1.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DOS-1 (Fish Debris, Pacific Ocean)</td>
<td>0</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-9.2 ± 0.7</td>
<td>-</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>CHUR</td>
<td>all T</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0.1936</td>
<td></td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>UR</td>
<td>all T</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>-</td>
<td></td>
<td>0.0839</td>
<td>-</td>
<td>0</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*a Initial values; calculated from measured values and known ages; uncertainties 2σ. b Kay and Gast (1973). c Carmichael (1967).
Fig. 1: Histogram of $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ of three groups of young basalts (unshaded and lightly shaded) and in samples measured in this study (solid and ruled) with inferred REE pattern of parent reservoirs. Data on continental flood basalts (CFB), mid-ocean ridge basalts (MORB) and ocean island basalts from DePaolo and Wasserburg [1976a,b], O’Nions et al., [1977], and Richard et al. [1976].

Fig. 2: $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ versus $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ for young oceanic and continental basalts showing strong correlation trend for samples with $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} < 0$ and a trend of different slope defined by some continental flood basalts with $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}} > 0$. Data are from DePaolo and Wasserburg [1976a,b], O’Nions et al. [1977], Richard et al. [1976] and DePaolo and Wasserburg [unpublished].
Fig. 3: $\varepsilon_{\text{Nd}}$ and $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ for island arc samples. Arrows indicate effect of seawater contamination. Approximate fields of MOR, ocean island and continental (CONT) basalts from Fig. 2 shown for comparison. Triangles represent Marianas samples and squares are New Britain samples. The typical range of $\varepsilon_{\text{Sr}}$ for oceanic island arcs taken from the literature is also shown. $^{*7}\text{Sr}/^{*8}\text{Sr}$ ratios indicated at the top apply only at $T = 0$. Uncertainties are slightly larger than the symbols.
Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd chronology and genealogy of mare basalts from the Sea of Tranquility

D. A. Papastassiou, D. J. DePaolo, and G. J. Wasserburg

The Lunar Asylum of the Charles Arms Laboratory, Division of Geological and Planetary Sciences, California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, California 91125

Abstract—Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd ages of two Apollo 11 mare basalts are 3.64 ± 0.05 and 3.57 ± 0.03 AE for high-K basalt 10072, and 4.01 ± 0.11 and 3.88 ± 0.06 AE for low-K basalt 10062. Rb–Sr, Sm–Nd, and $^{40}$Ar–$^{39}$Ar ages are in good agreement and show an extensive time interval for filling of the Sea of Tranquility, presumably by thin lava flows, in agreement with similar observations for the Ocean of Storms. The older ages indicate that mare filling may have started prior to the Imbrium event. Initial Sr and Nd isotopic compositions on Apollo 11 basalts identify at least two parent sources producing basalts. The low-K basalts may have been produced from a single reservoir over an extended time interval. The Sm–Nd isotopic data demonstrate that low-K and high-Ti basalts from Apollo 11 and 17 have been derived from totally distinct reservoirs while low-Ti Apollo 15 mare basalts sources have Sm/Nd similar to the sources of Apollo 11 basalts. It appears that groupings of mare basalt based on Ti content and on isotopic data do not coincide. Apollo 11 high-K basalts show no significant Sm/Nd fractionation near the time of crystallization in contrast to characteristically large Rb/Sr fractionation for these basalts.

Introduction

In this report we provide a review of the chronology of Apollo 11 mare basalts and present new Rb–Sr and the first Sm–Nd internal isochrons on two Apollo 11 mare basalts. The Sm–Nd work is an extension of the original development and application of Sm–Nd dating to problems of lunar chronology and petrogenesis by Lugmair and his colleagues (Lugmair, 1975; Lugmair, et al., 1975). The aims of the present study are as follows:

(a) Determination of more refined Rb–Sr ages and of the first Sm–Nd ages on A-11 samples and comparison of the chronologies defined by Rb–Sr, Sm–Nd, and $^{40}$Ar–$^{39}$Ar.
(b) Determination based on age, initial Sr, and initial Nd compositions of how many distinct magma sources are required for the A-11 basalts.
(c) Comparison of Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd chemical fractionation especially for the high-K A-11 basalts which are characterized by large Rb–Sr fractionation near the time of crystallization.
(d) Comparison of Sm–Nd fractionation of A-11 and A-17 mare basalts. These basalts have similar major element compositions, including high-Ti contents. The A-17 basalts show the effects of large Sm–Nd fractionation (Lugmair et al., 1975) near the time of formation of the moon. In contrast the data to be presented show that A-11 basalts underwent considerably less early Sm–Nd fractionation.
(e) Review of the time constraints for REE and Rb–Sr fractionation on the moon and of the constraints on petrogenetic models based on Rb–Sr, Sm–Nd, and on Eu fractionation.

We present data for a low-K and a high-K Apollo 11 basalt by both Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd. The choice of the samples was predicated on the need for crystallization ages on more low-K basalts to compare with the $^{40}$Ar–$^{39}$Ar gas retention ages, and on the importance of comparing Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd fractionation for A-11 high-K rocks which indicate significant Rb–Sr fractionation near the time of crystallization of these rocks.

**Review**

The great majority of reported Rb–Sr data on Apollo 11 rocks were based on the first sample allocation and examination seven years ago. Although some workers presented Rb–Sr whole-rock data on Apollo 11 samples, we shall restrict the discussion to the determinations of internal isochrons, since our purpose is to establish a precise chronology of crystallization at this site. Crystalization ages were reported by Papanastassiou et al. (1970), Gopalan et al. (1970), and Compston et al. (1970). Gopalan et al. (1970) reported ages on two high-K basalts which had relatively large uncertainties. Their report of an old age for 10024 was not confirmed (Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1971a). Compston et al. (1970) provided well-defined internal isochrons on two high-K basalts; the reported ages have been revised (De Laeter et al., 1973) and are now in good agreement with the data presented by Papanastassiou et al. (1970). Papanastassiou et al. (1970) determined internal isochrons on four A-11 high-K rocks and on two low-K rocks. We have listed in Table 1 all precise determinations of Rb–Sr ages and initial $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr on A-11 basalts. The first Rb–Sr data on Apollo 11 showed: (a) a uniform crystallization age for all samples, (b) two distinct rock groups based on initial $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr indicating that the rocks were produced from at least two distinct magma reservoirs, (c) characteristically young whole-rock model ages for the high-K rocks indicating that, for these rocks, Rb/Sr was significantly increased at or very near the time of crystallization, and (d) low initial $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr for all samples indicating that the source regions and presumably the moon formed with low Rb/Sr and with initial $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr near BABI.

Extensive work on Apollo 11 samples by the $^{40}$Ar–$^{39}$Ar technique was reported by Turner (1970). This work established: (a) the existence of measured ages for low-K rocks as old as 3.92 AE, (b) that the high-K rocks had suffered significant $^{40}$Ar loss so that an $^{40}$Ar–$^{39}$Ar apparent age plateau was not defined by whole rock data, and (c) that in a specific case of high-K basalt 10072 an apparently well-defined younger age was obtained. These data indicated that there existed differences in the ages of Apollo 11 basalts possibly as large as $4 \times 10^8$ yr. A report by the Lunatic Asylum (1970) showed that plagioclase was significantly more retentive for $^{40}$Ar than whole rocks. Turner et al. (1971, 1972)
and Podosek et al. (1972) have shown that plagioclase is the most retentive mineral. Podosek et al. (1972) have demonstrated differences in apparent $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar between plagioclase and whole-rock plateau ages for a mare basalt even though both plateaus appeared well defined. There is good agreement between $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar plateau ages defined by plagioclase and Rb–Sm internal isochron ages. Exceptions to the good agreement between $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar plagioclase plateau ages and Rb–Sm ages exist for highland rocks with a more complex history (cf. Jessberger et al., 1974). Some exceptions, e.g., for the tectolite 75353, are not well understood. We consider that the $^{40}$Ar/$^{39}$Ar technique yields reliable ages for plagioclase from igneous rocks which exhibit little gas loss and high temperature plateau ages defined by a significant fraction of the $^{40}$Ar

Table 1. Apollo 11 mare basalts.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>K Content</th>
<th>$(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr})_€$</th>
<th>Rb–Sm $^a$</th>
<th>$^{40}\text{Ar}/^{39}\text{Ar}$ $^b$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(AE)</td>
<td>(AE)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10003</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.69909 ± 4</td>
<td>3.84 ± 0.08 (1)</td>
<td>3.92 ± 0.07 TR:S (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10017</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.69932 ± 5</td>
<td>3.59 ± 0.05 (2)</td>
<td>&gt; 3.23 ± 0.06 TR:S (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.69930 ± 10</td>
<td>3.71 ± 0.11 (3)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10020</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(3.77 ± 0.03)</td>
<td>TR:B (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10022</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.59 ± 0.06 TR:S (6)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>(3.58 ± 0.04)</td>
<td>TR:B (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10024</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.69935 ± 8</td>
<td>3.61 ± 0.07 (4)</td>
<td>&gt; 3.48 ± 0.05 TR:S (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10029</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(3.89 ± 0.05)</td>
<td>TR:B (10)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10032</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.58 ± 0.05 TR:B (10)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10044</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.69909 ± 7</td>
<td>3.71 ± 0.11 (2)</td>
<td>3.71 ± 0.03 TR:B (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10045</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(3.75 ± 0.03)</td>
<td>TR:B (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10047</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.74 ± 0.03 TR:B (7)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10049</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>(3.45 ± 0.04)</td>
<td>TR:B (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10050</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>3.75 ± 0.03 TR:B (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10057</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.69939 ± 5</td>
<td>3.63 ± 0.04 (2)</td>
<td>&gt; 3.39 ± 0.04 TR:S (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10058</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.69906 ± 5</td>
<td>3.63 ± 0.20 (2)</td>
<td>(3.71 ± 0.03) TR:B (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10062</td>
<td>L</td>
<td>0.69901 ± 4</td>
<td>4.01 ± 0.11 (5)</td>
<td>3.82 ± 0.06 TR:S (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10069</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.69926 ± 8</td>
<td>3.68 ± 0.06 (2)</td>
<td>&gt; 3.48 ± 0.04 TR:B (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10071</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.69923 ± 8</td>
<td>3.68 ± 0.02 (2)</td>
<td>3.51 ± 0.06 P1:B (9)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10072</td>
<td>H</td>
<td>0.69926 ± 5</td>
<td>3.64 ± 0.05 (5)</td>
<td>3.52 ± 0.05 TR:S (6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>0.69940 ± 12</td>
<td>3.71 ± 0.11 (3)</td>
<td>3.57 ± 0.04 TR:B (8)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.62 ± 0.04 P1:B (8)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$a$Uncertainties are $2\sigma$; initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ from De Laeter et al. (1973) may be subject to inter-laboratory bias.

$b$TR = Total rock sample; Pl = Plagioclase; ages in parentheses by the Bern group indicate intermediate temperature plateau only, and are considered by this group less reliable (Geiss et al., 1977). S = Sheffield, B = Bern.

released in several steps. Subsequent to the work by Turner, the Berne group reexamined rock 10003 (Stettler et al., 1974) and confirmed its old age. Furthermore this group has provided a large number of $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ analyses on Apollo 11 basalts (see Table 1 for references). The $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages on Apollo 11 mare basalts are shown in Table 1. The ages shown include: (a) whole-rock analyses and (b) analyses of plagioclase mineral separates. Some of the ages are based on "intermediate" temperature plateaus which are considered less reliable than ages defined by plagioclase high temperature plateaus (Geiss et al., 1977). These details have been noted in Table 1. The data show a range in gas retention ages from 3.91 to 3.45 AE. Geiss et al. (1977) have proposed that the rocks may be subdivided in three to four age groups which coincide with petrologic types defined by Warner (1971). We note from Table 1 that there is in fact only a small number of $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ high temperature plateau ages obtained on plagioclase separates.

The chronology of A-11 basalts has so far been based only on Rb–Sr and $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ data. No crystallization ages have been reported yet by the U–Pb or Sm–Nd techniques for rocks of this mission. The data summarized above indicate the following major problems:

(a) Rb–Sr data on only a limited number of A-11 low-K basalts exist and these data are not of sufficient precision to resolve some of the small age differences indicated by $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages. This problem is due to the rarity and difficulty of isolating high-Rb/Sr phases in low-K mare basalts.

(b) The Rb–Sr data did not exhibit the wide spread in ages observed by $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$. This may be due to the limited Rb–Sr data on A-11 low-K rocks which have yielded old $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages. In addition some of the young $^{40}\text{Ar}^{39}\text{Ar}$ ages contributing to the wide spread were obtained on high-K basalts which exhibit significant gas loss and may not be reliable.

**Sampling**

*High-K basalt 10072*

This sample is the coarsest of the A-11 high-K rocks which are as a group fine-grained. Despite the coarser size of the pyroxene, interstitial, late crystallizing phases appear as small inclusions in all major phases and their exclusion from mineral separates of the major phases has required extreme care. A 4.2 g sample of the rock which included lunar exterior surfaces was received from the curator. These lunar exterior surfaces were removed using a tungsten chisel, and a sample of the total rock from totally interior material was obtained for Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd. Our aim was to obtain:

(a) high purity plagioclase separates free of interstitial phases for both Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd, (b) high purity pyroxene especially for the Sm–Nd work, and (c) separates highly depleted in plagioclase and enriched in ilmenite which acts as a Sr-free carrier phase for the higher Rb/Sr interstitial phases.

Mineral separates were obtained from the debris produced during chiselling off of the exterior surfaces and separately from totally interior material. Two mineral separates were obtained from the chipping debris and are labelled CD in Table 2. Mineral separations involved: (a) only mechanical means (crushing, sieving) and magnetic separations (such separates are labelled M in the tables and figures), and (b) additional density separations using organic liquids (CH$_2$I$_2$ + CH$_3$COCH$_3$) followed by magnetic separations (labelled L).
In this study we found no evidence of differential leaching by the organic liquids in agreement with all our earlier work on mare basalts and in contrast to our work on the dunite 72417 (Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1975b). For Sm–Nd, improvement in the range in Sm/Nd for the plagioclase and pyroxene end members was obtained by first using density and magnetic separations for material crushed to less than 75 μm and then reprocessing samples highly enriched in plagioclase and in pyroxene after crushing to less than 44 μm. For Rb–Sr the small amount needed of highest purity plagioclase were obtained by handpicking.

Low-K basalt 10062

We received from the curator an 8.1 g sample which had been previously allocated for mineralogical investigations; the sample had been handled and exposed to terrestrial contamination. The sample also included exterior lunar surfaces. For this work most exterior surfaces were removed to produce essentially interior material. Complete removal of all exterior surfaces was not possible because of the concomitant decrease in the useful sample weight. Mineral separations were obtained by the techniques described above. The rock is fine-grained so that the production of mineral separates required extensive work. High purity mineral separates of pyroxene and plagioclase were obtained (better than 99% pure as shown by grain counts using the electron microprobe). These separates provided a sufficient spread for Sm/Nd. However, the mineral separations did not result in the identification of high-Rb/Sr materials. A survey by the electron microprobe of grain mounts of density separates, including separates enriched in cristobalite and in ilmenite, revealed no significant high-K concentration spots. Similarly, chemical analyses of small aliquots (0.1–1 mg) of mineral separates for K, Rb, and Sr showed no high Rb/Sr. The low abundance of mesostasis and the fine grain size (Carter and MacGregor, 1970) have prevented the separation of higher Rb/Sr phases. An ilmenite separate produced by magnetic separation showed a small enrichment of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr over the total rock. A second ilmenite separate produced by density separations did not show a significant improvement due to the presence of small amounts of plagioclase in binary grains. Rb–Sr concentrations in a small sample obtained by handpicking of clean ilmenite grains of size 75–44 μm under a binocular microscope showed that some improvement of Rb/Sr was possible. Therefore, two man-weeks were invested in handpicking clean ilmenite, free of plagioclase. The final separate shows an enrichment of $^{87}$Sr/$^{86}$Sr over the plagioclase of 0.3%. It is clear from these results that it may be impossible to obtain sufficiently high-Rb/Sr phases in extremely low-K and fine-grained samples to provide Rb–Sr ages with an uncertainty lower than 0.1 AE. However, in these cases reasonable enrichments in Sm–Nd have been obtained which result in a precise age determination.

**Analytical Procedures**

All samples were dissolved following standard procedures using HF and HClO₄. Small (<1%) aliquots of each sample were used for establishing the concentrations of K, Rb, Sr, Ba, Sm, and Nd in a single mass spectrometer run. The major sample aliquots were then spiked in an optimal fashion. We have checked the cross-contamination between K, Rb, Sr, Sm, and Nd tracers. In all cases the cross-contamination is negligible except for Nd in the $^{40}$K–$^{85}$K spike solution. This effect has been reduced to negligible levels by adding less of the K spike, or eliminated for some samples by not spiking the Sm–Nd aliquot for K.

**Rb–Sr**

Rb and Sr blanks were monitored regularly and are 0.01–0.003 ng for Rb and 0.1–0.05 ng for Sr and are negligible for the data presented here. The concentrations in the tracer solutions were checked with four Rb and four Sr gravimetric solutions which were independently prepared using Johnson–Matthey spectrographically pure RbCl and SrCO₃ and NBS SRM 987 SrCO₃. The stoichiometry of the Johnson-Matthey salts has been confirmed in the past (Wasserburg et al., 1962).
Using the four sets of Rb and Sr gravimetric solutions, the concentrations of the single $^{89}$Rb tracer solution and two $^{88}$Sr tracer solutions, in use, each agreed to 0.1%. A fifth gravimetric normal of SRM 987 gave results for both Sr tracer solutions 0.4% higher than the rest. We attribute this highly atypical result to unknown problems during the preparation of this gravimetric solution and have discarded this datum in view of the close agreement of the results of four other determinations using independently prepared gravimetric solutions. Sr mass spectrometric analyses were performed with new zone-refined Ta ribbon which shows no detectable $^{87}$Rb signal during the Sr run (Wasserburg et al., 1977). This has resulted in increased ease of analyzing Sr, as data collection is not restricted by waiting for the Rb signal to die.

Sm–Nd

Sm and Nd were isolated using chemical techniques described by Eugster et al. (1970) and DePaolo and Wasserburg (1976a). Rare earth elements were separated from major elements on a 1 cm diameter cation exchange column using HCl as eluant. Sm and Nd were then separated on a second cation column using as eluant 0.2M 2-methylactic acid with pH adjusted to approximately 4.7.

Samples were spiked with tracers enriched in $^{150}$Nd and $^{170}$Sm. Tracer concentrations were calibrated using gravimetric standard solutions which were made by dissolving ultra pure metal chunks of Sm and Nd obtained from Ames Laboratory, Iowa. The chunks of metal were analyzed for impurities and weighed at Ames prior to shipment in evacuated containers. Upon arrival at Caltech, the metal chunks were weighed and the weights agreed with those measured at Ames to better than 0.01%. Sm concentrations were calculated using the Sm isotopic composition reported by Russ et al. (1971) and Russ (1974). Nd concentrations were calculated using the isotopic composition of normal Nd reported by DePaolo and Wasserburg (1976a). $^{150}$Sm/$^{144}$Nd ratios are precise to better than 0.1%, where this error represents the sum of errors resulting from weighing of spikes and uncertainties of isotope ratios of the spikes measured in the mass spectrometer.

Nd was measured in the mass spectrometer as NdO$^+$ and Sm was measured as SmO$. In this work, all Nd isotopic measurements were made on spiked samples. The $^{150}$Nd tracer is approximately 96% $^{150}$Nd. The small amounts of the other Nd isotopes in the tracer significantly change the measured isotope ratios and must be corrected. These corrections are made using the isotopic composition of the tracer measured by us. This measurement is quite precise, but additional uncertainty must be added to the measured ratios due to the inability to measure precisely the instrumental mass discrimination for the tracer. The uncertainty in mass discrimination is believed to be about 0.05% per mass unit. The net correction to $^{150}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd under our spiking conditions ($^{150}$Nd tracer added equal to twice $^{150}$Nd in sample) is approximately 0.150 ± 0.0003% where the uncertainty is due to uncertainty in the tracer composition. The added uncertainty to $^{150}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd from this effect is negligible. Nd isotopic ratios were normalized to $^{144}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd = 0.636155 to remove the effects of instrumental isotope fractionation. This is equivalent to normalizing to $^{150}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd = 2.096 for the unspiked samples (DePaolo and Wasserburg, 1976b) and is essentially the average value that is measured in the Lunar I mass spectrometer (Wasserburg et al., 1969) if no correction is made for mass fractionation.

In unspiked samples, $^{150}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd is used for the determination of mass fractionation because the difference of 8 mass units for these isotopes minimizes the error in determining the fractionation factor per mass unit. Since we use a $^{150}$Nd tracer, for spiked samples the $^{150}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd ratio is used for the determination of fractionation. This ratio still provides a difference of 4 mass units, involves abundant Nd isotopes, and the contributions to $^{150}$Nd and $^{144}$Nd from the $^{150}$Nd tracer are small.

In all Nd mass spectrometer runs made in our laboratory, all Nd isotope ratios are measured. Data for the non radiogenic isotopes for five samples from 10072 and five recently measured terrestrial samples are shown in Fig. 1. Measured values of $^{142}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd and $^{150}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd, which can be measured with precision similar to $^{144}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd, agreed from run to run to ±0.005% or better. The isotopic composition of Lunar Nd appears to be identical to that of terrestrial Nd to within the present limits of resolution of ~2 parts in 10⁷. Lugmair et al. (1975) first reported precise measurements of $^{144}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd and $^{142}$Nd/$^{144}$Nd in the Juvinas achondrite and in regent Nd. However, these numbers
were revised (Lugmair, pers. comm.). The revised value for \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) measured in Juvinas by Lugmair was subsequently used by DePaolo and Wasserburg (1976a) and reported by Lugmair et al. (1976). High precision isotopic abundances of Nd for all isotopes were first reported by DePaolo and Wasserburg (1976a,c). The means of data shown in Fig. 1 agree with the means of measurements made by DePaolo and Wasserburg (1976a,b) to \(\pm 2\) parts in \(10^4\) for all nonradiogenic isotopes. Measurements made by Lugmair et al. (1976) on the troctolite 76553 and their reagent Nd standard agree reasonably well with our values (after renormalization) except for \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\), which they measure \(\sim 2\) parts in \(10^4\) higher, and \(^{142}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\), which shows substantial variation in their data (2 parts in \(10^4\)). This difference is far outside analytical uncertainty and is not understood. Measurements made by Nakamura et al. (1976) also differ from ours by substantial amounts. Their measured \(^{142}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) is greater than ours by \(2\) parts in \(10^4\) and \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) is less than ours by about \(2\) parts in \(10^4\). Nakamura et al. (1976) made their measurements on Nd\(^{***}\) rather than on NdO\(^{**}\) as is done in this laboratory. We correct our data for the oxygen isotopic composition using the composition reported by Nier (1950). However, we measure the oxygen isotopic composition of NdO\(^**\) to be substantially different from Nier’s values (see discussion below). If we were to correct our data using our measured oxygen composition, it would increase our calculated \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) by 0.8 parts in \(10^4\) and decrease our \(^{142}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) by 0.4 parts in \(10^4\). This change would bring our measured ratios closer to those measured by Nakamura et al. (1976) but would still leave a substantial discrepancy between the data from the two laboratories. The data on the nonradiogenic Nd isotopes provide an important indicator of data precision and a check on the absence of data artifacts which is not available for either Sr or Pb. In view of the high precision necessary for Nd isotopic work, collecting and reporting these data are necessary for an evaluation of the Nd data.

Total chemistry blank for Nd is \(\sim 70\)–\(100\) pg and for Sm, although not measured, is presumed to be in the range 20–30 pg. No blank corrections were necessary to either Nd isotope ratios or Sm/Nd ratios. No corrections for neutron capture effects were applied to Nd isotope ratios. During a run, possible interfering species are monitored at masses 156([\(^{156}\text{Sm}\)])O), 157([\(^{157}\text{Pr}\)])O), and 170([\(^{170}\text{Sm}\)])O). We generally measure the ratios 156/160 = \(10^2\); 157/160 = \(10^{-4}\)–\(10^{-3}\); 170/160 = \(5 \times 10^4\) (mass 160 is \(^{144}\text{Nd}\)) during a run, and no corrections to Nd isotope ratios are necessary. Only in one sample (10072, pyroxene-B) a correction of 0.006% was made to \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) due to the contribution of \(^{146}\text{Pr}\))O).

**Nd ISOTOPIC COMPOSITION**

**DEVIATIONS OF MEASURED ISOTOPE RATIOS FROM NORMAL**

**IN PARTS IN 10^4**

![Diagram showing deviations of measured isotope ratios from normal in parts in 10^4.](image)

**TERRESTRIAL**

**LUNAR**

Fig. 1. Measured values of non-radiogenic Nd isotope ratios plotted as deviations (\(\xi\)) in parts in \(10^4\) from the mean values for these ratios as measured over the past year given at right. \(\xi = ((R - R_{GM})/R_{GM}) \times 10^4\) (GM = grand mean). Data points represent terrestrial and lunar samples measured during this work; error bars are \(2\sigma_{error}\).
Oxygen isotopic abundance

Oxygen isotopic corrections to Nd isotope ratios were made assuming that the ratios \(^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} = 0.002045\) and \(^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} = 0.0003708\). These ratios are those measured by Nier (1950). Since a large variability of oxygen isotope ratios is found in nature, we have made preliminary measurements of \(^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}\) and \(^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}\) to check if the ratios given above are the same as those found in the Nd oxide ion beam. This was done by measuring the ratios \(^{150}\text{Nd}^{18}\text{O}/^{150}\text{Nd}^{16}\text{O}\) and \(^{150}\text{Nd}^{17}\text{O}/^{150}\text{Nd}^{16}\text{O}\) on a sample of our enriched \(^{150}\text{Nd}\) tracer and on a spiked sample Nd run. The results obtained so far indicate \(^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} = 0.00211-0.00213\) and \(^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O} = .000385-0.000392\). There is some variability in these ratios between runs as well as variation within a run. The measured \(^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}\) is higher than the value given by Nier by about 4%. This difference could be the result of mass fractionation occurring: (a) in the mass spectrometer during evaporation of Nd,O\(_3\) from the filament as NdO\(^+\) with concomitant loss of O atoms, (b) during oxidation of the Nd sample by heating in air, or (c) in Nier's spectrometer. It appears, however, that relative to the Nier values, our measured \(^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}\) is higher than it should be relative to \(^{18}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}\) if the differences were only due to mass dependent fractionation. At present, the uncertainty in our \(^{17}\text{O}/^{16}\text{O}\) is too large to evaluate critically this possibility. A more detailed description of this experiment will be given elsewhere. For our purposes here, it is only necessary to note that if our measured values were used to correct our Nd isotope ratios instead of the Nier values, the \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) ratios reported here would be increased by 2 parts in \(10^5\), which is approximately half the analytical uncertainty. Variation of O isotope composition between and during runs causes effects about one fourth this large, which are negligible. However, the effects on Nd isotopic composition from correcting for the O isotope composition depend upon the type of sample being analyzed (e.g., spiked or unspiked) and on which pair of isotopes is used for the mass discrimination correction. The measured \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) of Lugmair (1975) and Lugmair et al. (1975, 1976) would also be changed by +2 parts in \(10^5\) if our measured O ratios were the same as those found in the NdO\(^+\) beam in their mass spectrometer. On our unspiked runs (DePaolo and Wassenburg, 1976a,b) a change of +4 parts in \(10^5\) would result.

Mass fractionation correction

Our normalization of the Nd isotopic data for instrumental mass fractionation is not identical to that used by Lugmair and his coworkers. A change in the normalization constant for any element changes the calculated relative proportions of the isotopes of that element and thus changes the atomic weight of the element. Relative to the normalization used by Lugmair and coworkers, our normalization causes us to calculate a 0.05% lower concentration of \(^{144}\text{Nd}\) in a sample and 0.15% lower \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\). These differences cause us to calculate an isochron age which is lower by 0.2% than we would calculate if we used the other normalization. The shift in \(^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}\) is large but uniform and can be
easily calculated. Our data can be made comparable to those of Lugmair by multiplying our $^{149}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ by 1.001563 and our $^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ by 1.0005.

**Analytical Results**

**Rb–Sr**

The Rb–Sr data are shown in Table 2 and in Figs. 2 and 3. Data have been obtained on seven samples of 10072. The data show a range in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ of 1.7% and permit a precise age determination. All data lie on a straight line with a best fit slope corresponding to an age of $3.64 \pm 0.05 \text{AE}$ ($2\sigma$ uncertainties; $\lambda^{(6}\text{Rb}) = 0.0139 \text{AE}^{-1}$). The initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ is $0.69928 \pm 0.00006$; samples of plagioclase with $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ very near the initial value have been analyzed. The data show no evidence of differential leaching of Rb or Sr for the samples exposed to organic liquids. Similarly, samples obtained from the chipping debris (CD) which included lunar exterior surfaces are in agreement with data obtained from interior samples. The total rock has radiogenic $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and yields a well-defined model age $T_{\text{BAR}} = 3.83 \pm 0.03 \text{AE}$. This model age is characteristic of all previously analyzed high-K A-11 samples. The age, initial Sr and model age are in total agreement with determinations on all other A-11 high-K basalts (Papanastassiou et al., 1970).

Table 2. Rb–Sr analytical results.

| Sample      | Weight$^a$ (mg) | K (ppm) | Rb$^b$ ($10^{-6} \text{ mole/g}$) | $^{87}\text{Sr}$ | $^{87}\text{Rb}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ (× 10$^3$) | $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
<th></th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10072</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag-M</td>
<td>2.0</td>
<td>3320</td>
<td>1.075</td>
<td>434.1</td>
<td>0.578</td>
<td>0.69958 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag-M (CD)</td>
<td>5.9</td>
<td>2544</td>
<td>4.021</td>
<td>400.9</td>
<td>2.340</td>
<td>0.70036 ± 0.10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag+Quint-L</td>
<td>4.2</td>
<td>3584</td>
<td>7.07</td>
<td>419.1</td>
<td>3.935</td>
<td>0.70138 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene-L</td>
<td>42*</td>
<td>380</td>
<td>1.023</td>
<td>32.46</td>
<td>7.35</td>
<td>0.70307 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-M</td>
<td>116*</td>
<td>2752</td>
<td>7.33</td>
<td>165.6</td>
<td>10.32</td>
<td>0.70463 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilmenite-M (CD)</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>3046</td>
<td>8.66</td>
<td>139.3</td>
<td>14.50</td>
<td>0.70678 ± 0.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilmenite-L</td>
<td>9.8</td>
<td>2204</td>
<td>6.80</td>
<td>68.98</td>
<td>23.00</td>
<td>0.71129 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10062</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag-M</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>—</td>
<td>0.175</td>
<td>325.9</td>
<td>0.126</td>
<td>0.69908 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag-L</td>
<td>19</td>
<td>445</td>
<td>0.238</td>
<td>374.8</td>
<td>0.148</td>
<td>0.69910 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-M</td>
<td>117*</td>
<td>607</td>
<td>0.950</td>
<td>181.2</td>
<td>1.223</td>
<td>0.69970 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene-L</td>
<td>35*</td>
<td>142</td>
<td>0.2201</td>
<td>38.23</td>
<td>1.343</td>
<td>0.69976 ± 0.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilmenite-M</td>
<td>19*</td>
<td>311</td>
<td>0.603</td>
<td>55.01</td>
<td>2.555</td>
<td>0.70048 ± 0.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilmenite-L</td>
<td>23</td>
<td>352</td>
<td>0.731</td>
<td>43.60</td>
<td>3.910</td>
<td>0.70116 ± 0.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

$^a$Samples marked by an asterisk were analyzed also for Sm–Nd.
$^b$Concentrations calculated using $^{87}\text{Rb}/^{86}\text{Rb} = 2.591$, $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.1194$; and $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr} = 0.006748$.
$^\text{Uncertainties} \pm 0.4\%$.
$^4$Uncertainties are $\pm 2\sigma$, in the last significant figures.
Fig. 2. Rb–Sr evolution diagram for high-K basalt 10072. Inset shows deviations (ε) of the 87Sr/86Sr data from the best fit line in parts in 10^{-6}. T, I uncertainties are 2σ; the range in measured 87Sr/86Sr is 1.7%.

Fig. 3. Rb–Sr evolution diagram for low-K basalt 10062. Insert is explained in Fig. 2 caption. The range in measured 87Sr/86Sr is 0.3%.
Data have been obtained on six samples from 10062. The data show a range in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ of 0.3%. All data lie on a straight line on the Sr evolution diagram corresponding to $T = 4.01 \pm 0.11$ AE and $(^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr})_0 = 0.69901 \pm 0.00004$ (2σ). All data lie on the best fit line well within experimental uncertainties except for one duplicate, less precise analysis of an ilmenite sample. The $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ measurements are of high quality and the lower precision in the age as compared to 10072 is primarily due to the low enrichment in $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ for the mineral separates obtained. The initial $^{87}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ is very close to the value of BABI; the total rock has a model age $T_{\text{RABT}} = 4.1 \pm 0.3$ AE.

$\text{Sm–Nd}$

The Sm–Nd data for six samples from high-K basalt 10072 and five samples from low-K basalt 10062 are given in Table 3. The range of $^{147}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ obtained for both samples is about 50 parts in $10^4$ and enables a precise age determination for both rocks. As seen in Fig. 4, the data points from 10072 all lie within 2 parts in $10^5$ of a straight line and determine an excellent isochron corresponding to an age of $3.57 \pm 0.03$ AE (2σ uncertainties; $\lambda^{(147}\text{Sm}) = 0.00654$ AE$^{-1}$). The 10062 data (Fig. 5) also all lie well within uncertainties (4 parts in $10^6$) of the best fit line and yield an age of $3.88 \pm 0.06$ AE. The Sm–Nd age of 10072 is slightly lower than the Rb–Sr age of $3.64 \pm 0.05$ AE but is just within analytical errors. For 10062, the Sm–Nd age is in reasonable agreement with the less precise Rb–Sr age of $4.01 \pm 0.11$ AE. Comparison of the Sm–Nd and the Rb–Sr results shows that the age of 10072 is well resolved from that of 10062 for both techniques.

Table 3. Sm–Nd analytical results.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Weight* (mg)</th>
<th>Sm* (10$^{-8}$ mole/g)</th>
<th>$^{144}\text{Nd}$</th>
<th>$^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Nd}$</th>
<th>$^{147}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10072</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Quint-L</td>
<td>3.5</td>
<td>34.975</td>
<td>26.716</td>
<td>0.1963</td>
<td>0.511998 ± 16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag-L</td>
<td>26</td>
<td>3.098</td>
<td>2.515</td>
<td>0.1847</td>
<td>0.511721 ± 18</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilmenite-L</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>14.027</td>
<td>10.623</td>
<td>0.1980</td>
<td>0.512035 ± 21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-M</td>
<td>116*</td>
<td>14.681</td>
<td>10.680</td>
<td>0.2061</td>
<td>0.512238 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene-A-L</td>
<td>42*</td>
<td>5.306</td>
<td>2.930</td>
<td>0.2715</td>
<td>0.513788 ± 15</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene-B-L</td>
<td>15</td>
<td>4.591</td>
<td>2.391</td>
<td>0.2879</td>
<td>0.514154 ± 17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10062</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene-L</td>
<td>35*</td>
<td>4.705</td>
<td>2.499</td>
<td>0.2823</td>
<td>0.514258 ± 20</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Pyroxene-M</td>
<td>20</td>
<td>4.985</td>
<td>2.791</td>
<td>0.2679</td>
<td>0.513847 ± 23</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Total-M</td>
<td>117*</td>
<td>8.412</td>
<td>5.848</td>
<td>0.2157</td>
<td>0.512524 ± 19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ilmenite-M</td>
<td>19*</td>
<td>3.852</td>
<td>2.693</td>
<td>0.2145</td>
<td>0.512487 ± 53</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Plag-L</td>
<td>29</td>
<td>1.1518</td>
<td>0.9155</td>
<td>0.1887</td>
<td>0.511839 ± 20</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Samples marked by an asterisk were analyzed for Rb–Sr also.
*Concentrations calculated using $^{147}\text{Sm}/^{144}\text{Sm} = 1.33386$, $^{147}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} = 0.238585$.
*Uncertainty ±0.1%.
*Measured on spiked samples; normalized to $^{144}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd} = 0.636155$. 
Fig. 4. Sm–Nd evolution diagram for high-K basalt 10072. The precise age and initial $^{147}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ result from the large range of $^{147}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ of 50 parts in $10^6$ and the fact that all data lie within 0.2 parts in $10^6$ of the isochron. Inset shows deviations of $^{147}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ from the best fit line in parts in $10^6$. The Juvinas isochron is shown for comparison.

Fig. 5. Sm–Nd evolution diagram for low-K basalt 10062. The range in $^{147}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ is 50 parts in $10^6$. The Juvinas isochron is shown for comparison.
Fig. 6. Sm–Nd evolution diagram showing data for both low-K basalt 10062 and high-K basalt 10072. The data define two well-resolved linear arrays and indicate an unambiguous difference in ages for the two basalts. The inset shows the deviations in parts in 10⁶ of the 10062 data points from the isochron defined by the 10072 data.

can be seen most clearly for the Sm–Nd results by inspection of Fig. 6 in which the age difference from the distinct slopes of the isochrons is readily apparent. Since the extremum points on the Sm–Nd isochrons are plagioclase and pyroxene, which are ubiquitous in lunar basalts, the Sm–Nd system could provide precise ages for most lunar basalts. In particular, precise Sm–Nd ages are obtainable on low-alkali basalts, which are difficult to date by Rb–Sr due to the rarity of high-Rb/Sr phases.

DISCUSSION

The Rb–Sr ages of all measured A-11 mare basalts are listed in Table 1; the ages for 10072 and 10062 for all dating schemes are given in Table 4. The Rb–Sr ages for all six high-K basalts are tightly clustered within 0.09 AE from 3.59 to 3.68 AE; sample 10072 falls well within this range. The A-11 high-K basalt data are consistent with crystallization at a single time or within a very narrow time interval. The Rb–Sr ages on four low-K mare basalts have larger uncertainties of ~±0.1 AE (except 10058, Table 1). The data yield a range in ages from
3.63 ± 0.20 AE to 4.01 ± 0.11 AE. The previously published Rb–Sr data (Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1975a) confirmed an older 40Ar–39Ar age for 10003 (Turner, 1970; Stettler et al., 1974); the data on 10062 confirm the existence of additional ancient basalts at the Apollo 11 site. The Rb–Sr data indicate that rocks 10058 and 10044 are indistinguishable in age, rock 10062 is distinctly older and rock 10003 is intermediate in age. Rb–Sr ages for these low-K basalts indicate the existence of three essentially distinct ages.

The Sm–Nd crystallization ages for 10072 and 10062 (Table 4) are clearly distinct and are in good agreement with the Rb–Sr ages. The precise Sm–Nd data on 10062 demonstrate that the Sm–Nd system can result in higher resolution measurements for these rocks than Rb–Sr. The Sm–Nd data clearly permit the identification of a 0.3 AE interval for volcanism at the A-11 site. Additional Sm–Nd data are required to resolve possible small time differences for some A-11 basalts.

The data presented here, which agree with 40Ar–39Ar data (Turner, 1970; Geiss et al., 1977), demonstrate that mare filling at the Sea of Tranquility occurred over an extended time interval of ~0.4 AE. This observation is consistent with the observation of extended intervals of mare filling for the Ocean of Storms of ~0.3 AE. Similarly for Taurus-Littrow, the younger age of the orange glass determined by U–Pb (3.48 AE; Tera and Wasserburg, 1976) and by 39Ar–39Ar (3.54 ± 0.05; cf. Huneke et al., 1973, also note discussion of trapped 40Ar problems) provides strong evidence for extended volcanism at this valley.

The sampling of rocks with distinct ages at locations on the moon which do not have significant contributions from large distant impacts, indicates that the filling of a single mare basin consisted of thin flows over relatively long time intervals (2–4 × 10^8 yr). These flows must comprise a veneer over the older debris blanket contained in the basin. Both the flows and the older debris must contribute significantly to the local soil chemistry.

The observation of crystallization ages for mare basalts approaching 4.0 AE is of great importance in connection with the time of excavation of the large basins. Similarly the observation of mare basalt ages older than 4.0 AE would provide important constraints for the thermal evolution of the moon in the interval 4.4–4.0 AE. From the combined Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd data on 10062, an age for 10062 not less than 3.90 AE is obtained. Independent of uncertainties associated with the determination of absolute decay constants (see below), these data show that the age of a mare basalt is identical to or older than the inferred

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>Rb-Sr (AE)</th>
<th>Sm-Nd (AE)</th>
<th>40Ar–39Ar (AE)</th>
<th>I (4)</th>
<th>I (6)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>10062</td>
<td>4.01 ± 0.11</td>
<td>3.88 ± 0.06</td>
<td>3.82 ± 0.06*</td>
<td>0.69901 ± 4</td>
<td>0.50698 ± 10</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10072</td>
<td>3.64 ± 0.05</td>
<td>3.57 ± 0.03</td>
<td>3.62 ± 0.04²</td>
<td>0.69928 ± 6</td>
<td>0.50736 ± 4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

²Geiss et al. (1977) plagioclase data.
Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd chronology and genealogy of mare basalts from the Sea of Tranquility

Age of the Imbrium event (cf. Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1971b). If mare volcanism was triggered by large impacts, e.g., by weakening of the lunar crust and outer mantle, the data on 10062 indicate that an event slightly prior to the Imbrium impact may be responsible for the initial volcanism at the Sea of Tranquility. Alternatively, the observation of mare basalt crystallization ages older than the terminal lunar cataclysm would indicate that mare volcanism occurred largely independently of the major impacts on the moon. Based on data on 10003 Geiss et al. (1977) have discussed the possibility that mare basalt lavas had begun to invade the Tranquilitatis Basin when the Imbrium impact occurred, so that the Tranquilitatis Basin may be filled with alternating layers of basalt and debris. The identification of mare volcanism at 4.0 AE and at possibly earlier times remains an important problem in lunar chronology and evolution. The data show a distinct overlap between “early” mare volcanism and major impact melting and metamorphism between 4.0 and 3.8 AE. An incisive analysis of the nature and sequence of events in this period has yet to be presented.

Age comparison and $^{87}$Rb half-life

Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd crystallization ages have been reported on four mare basalts: 10062 and 10072 (this work); 75075 (Lugmair et al., 1975; Murthy and Cosciò, 1976); and 15065 (Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1973; Nakamura et al., 1977). The data of 10072 are of sufficient precision to attempt to check on the $^{87}$Rb half-life. The half-life of $^{165}$Sm has been determined most recently by Gupta and MacFarlane (1970) as 106 ± 2 AE. This value is also essentially the mean of the most precise determinations (cf., Donhoffer, 1963). Much of the quoted uncertainty in the reported half-lives was the result of uncertainty in the isotopic composition of Sm (cf., Wright et al., 1961), which has since been determined more precisely (Russ et al., 1971). It thus appears that the best value for the $^{165}$Sm half-life is 106 AE ($\lambda = 0.00654$ AE$^{-1}$) and the uncertainty in this number is about ±1%. The Rb–Sr and Sm–Nd data on 10072 show a difference in age of 0.07 AE. If this difference is due to the uncertainty in the half-life of $^{87}$Rb, a downward revision in the $^{87}$Rb half-life by 2% is indicated corresponding to a revised $\lambda (^{87}\text{Rb}) = 0.0142$ AE$^{-1}$. This would be in close agreement to the revision of $\lambda (^{87}\text{Rb})$ required for concordance with the U–Pb system using the revised U decay constants (Jaffey et al., 1971). We note that use of the less precise Sm–Nd data on 15065 and on 75075 would result in different conclusions about $\lambda (^{87}\text{Rb})$. Although arguments about half-lives based on high quality age determinations of good igneous rocks are of merit, we believe that Rb–Sr ages should still be reported using $\lambda = 0.0139$ AE$^{-1}$, until the $^{87}$Rb decay constant is in fact accurately determined by mass spectrometric methods or until more high quality age comparisons are made. In cases where detailed comparison is necessary for ages obtained by different techniques, it may be advisable to use the revised half-life for establishing agreement or disagreement between the different techniques.

From a comparison of reliable $^{40}$Ar–$^{39}$Ar age determinations for samples subject to small gas loss and no serious trapped $^{40}$Ar problems (cf. review by
Turner, 1977; Tera et al., 1974; Stettler et al., 1974; Kirsten and Horn, 1974) it appears that there is good agreement between Rb–Sr crystallization ages and 40Ar–39Ar gas retention ages. Therefore a revision of λ(87Rb) by +2% would require an increase in λ(89K) of 3% (for constant λ/λ) in order to avoid having 40Ar–39Ar ages systematically older than Rb–Sr ages. Additional absolute calibrations of the 40Ar–39Ar technique are desirable for a detailed comparison of ages.

For 10072, the results of all three methods are in close agreement when the plagioclase data for 40Ar–39Ar are considered (Geiss et al., 1977). For 10062, the Rb–Sr and 40Ar–39Ar are barely in agreement; the Sm–Nd age has an intermediate value and is in agreement within uncertainties with both the Rb–Sr and 40Ar–39Ar ages. However, it is possible that the 40Ar–39Ar apparent age obtained on a total rock sample is slightly depressed due to 40Ar loss. There is good agreement for all other Rb–Sr and 40Ar–39Ar ages for A-11 except for rock 10071 as pointed out by Geiss et al. (1977). The Rb–Sr data on 10071 should possibly be reexamined; similarly Rb–Sr data on 10049 would be useful for comparing with the much younger 40Ar–39Ar for this sample, although most probably the intermediate temperature 40Ar–39Ar plateau age on a total rock cannot be considered reliable (Geiss et al., 1977). We note from the data in Table 1 that there is still only a limited number of 40Ar–39Ar high temperature plateaus on plagioclase.

Artifacts from mixing lines

In our work on Rb–Sr crystallization ages we have pointed out the possibility that the linear arrays obtained on Rb–Sr evolution diagrams may be the result of mixing of only two end members, plagioclase and late crystallizing interstitial phases. In such a case it is in principle possible to obtain an erroneous age if one of the end members has been an open system subsequent to the crystallization of the rock. We have expended a considerable effort in analyzing phases with distinct major element chemistry and K, Rb, Sr abundances (cryptobalite, ilmenite, quinzeesence) for the purpose of establishing the presence in individual samples of more than two phases which are carriers of Rb and Sr. In many instances it has been possible to demonstrate by element correlations (Papanastassiou and Wasserburg, 1971a; Wasserburg and Papanastassiou, 1971b) that more than two phases were sampled and that therefore the data defined true isochrons. In contrast to the Rb–Sr system, where incompatible elements in the late crystallizing phases may be easily mobilized, the Sm–Nd age determinations depend primarily on Sm and Nd in major minerals (pyroxene and plagioclase) in which the REE would be expected to be much less mobile. The agreement of Sm–Nd and Rb–Sr crystallization ages demonstrates that for mare basalts the phases used for dating have not been disturbed since the time of crystallization. This conclusion is contrary to the discussion by Birck et al. (1975), who have again reexamined this problem and who believe that the possibility that the isochrons are the result of mixing lines may not permit reliable Rb–Sr age determinations.
Fig. 7. Idealized representation of two possible versions for the formation of parent reservoirs for mare basalts. The coarse layering shown represents the chemically distinct reservoirs formed during the early lunar differentiation near 4.4 AE while the finer-scale layering represents reservoirs formed during the period 4.4-3.0 AE. The ruled layer represents the lunar crust. The solid and stippled blobs and layers represent mare basalt magmas generated by melting in the different layers and then erupted at the surface as lava flows filling the great lunar basins which were excavated by major impacts at ~4.0 AE. The solid blobs represent magmas formed before or near the time of impacts while the stippled blobs and flows represent the later stages of mare volcanism. UN represents possible undifferentiated material in the lunar interior from which some lunar rocks may be derived. In I, the differentiation of the lunar crust and mantle into a layered structure takes place during a single event at ~4.4 AE and volcanism is restricted to times more recent than the time of major impacts at ~4.0 AE. II depicts the possibility that volcanism and the production of new layers was an ongoing process which continued without interruption from 4.4 to 3.0 AE essentially independently of impacts at 4.0 AE.
Lunar differentiation and the formation of magma sources

Models for the evolution of the moon consider an early differentiation which resulted in a basically layered structure for the crust and "upper mantle" of the moon (cf. Taylor and Jakeš, 1974). The basalts produced in the interval 4.0–3.0 AE are therefore viewed as being crystallized from magmas which were produced from the earlier-formed layers and not as direct products from an undifferentiated moon. A highly schematic scenario for the early history of the moon is shown in Fig. 7 and will serve as a basis for subsequent discussion of the isotopic data. Two alternatives for an early lunar history are shown. In both cases, we assume the moon is formed as a compositionally uniform sphere ~4.6 AE ago. At about 4.4 AE the outer portion of the moon is differentiated and a sequence of distinct layers is formed corresponding to the crust and a layered upper mantle. Each of these layers is reasonably uniform within itself but distinct from other layers above and below it. In case I, depicted on the left half of the diagram, the layering in the moon is formed in a single distinct event at ~4.4 AE. The period ~4.4–4.0 AE is quiescent and there is only rarely rare volcanism. Volcanism is triggered by impacts at ~4.0 AE and basaltic magmas are generated by melting in these layers in the time interval 4.0–3.0 AE. The magmas are envisioned volumetrically insignificant in comparison to the layers they were melted from, so the layers formed at 4.4 AE are undisturbed by the removal of magmas to the surface. The right half of Fig. 7 shows another possibility (II). In this case, the formation of layers or segregated pods in the older layers in the lunar interior is viewed as a continuing process which began at 4.4 AE. Under these conditions a substantial amount of basalt could have been extruded prior to 4.0 AE. For basalt magma formation between 4.4 to 3.0 AE, magmas are being melted from layers formed at 4.4 AE, and, in addition, from layers that were formed at times distinctly younger than 4.4 AE. During the time that the more ancient basalts were being produced, even more new layers were being formed in the lunar mantle. Basaltic magmas formed later are then derived from layers of a variety of ages. Some magmas are melted from 4.4 AE old layers, others are melted from layers formed 4.4–4.0 AE ago, and still others are derived from layers which were not formed until much more recently than 4.0 AE. New layers formed during the era of basaltic volcanism could have formed as a result of magma removal from pre-existing layers. For instance, a large amount of magma removed from one part of a layer could cause that part of the layer to be left with a different composition, thereby creating in effect a new sublayer. By very limited partial melting, it is also possible to obtain basaltic magmas from a single layer at successively younger times without significantly affecting the composition of the layer. In this case the same layer can provide similar basaltic melts over a prolonged interval. For our purposes different layers may have distinct major and trace element abundances. To the extent that different layers have a different Rb/Sr or Sm/Nd, they will be evolving different $\delta^{18}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$ from 4.4 AE onward. At any given time after 4.4 AE, each layer will have its own characteristic $\delta^{18}\text{Sr}/^{86}\text{Sr}$ and $^{143}\text{Nd}/^{144}\text{Nd}$. If two batches of basaltic