### POWDER SYNTHESIS IN AEROSOL REACTORS

Thesis by

Jin Jwang Wu

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of Doctor of Philosophy

Department of Environmental Engineering Science California Institute of Technology Pasadena, California

1987

Submitted October 1, 1986

To my family (on the other side of the Pacific), whose love and encouragement are immeasurable.



— ii —

Jin Jwang Wu

All rights reserved

### ACKNOWLEDGEMENTS

First of all, I am grateful to all the faculty members in this department. In particular, I thank Dr. John Seinfeld and Dr. Glen Cass for their long range interest in my research, their insights into aerosol modeling have provided extremely helpful ideas; Dr. Jim Morgan for his enthusiastic and stimulating discussions; Dr. William Johnson for encouraging me to explore the fundamentals and providing me his x-ray facilities; Dr. Kikuo Okuyama (University of Osaka Prefecture) for his provision of the data on Titanuim Oxide, advice, and friendship.

My deep appreciation also goes to Elton, Joe, Rich, and Leonard, for their help in building the experimental apparatus and trouble shooting; Pat for showing me the sample preparation and operation of the electron microscopes; Rayma and Guillina for searching for many of the literatures and for their patience to put up with my "yapping"; Joan, Malinda, Elaine, and Sandy for going out of their ways to make my stay at Caltech four of the most enjoyable years of my life; Karen for transferring my mails after I left Caltech.

One of the invaluable aspects of Caltech is the wealth of knowledge possessed by its graduate students. I thank Ellen for her help in designing the aerosol reactor system; Pratim, Carol, Mark, Brian, and Yiannis for helping me solve many of the problems in the laboratory; Dale, Toby, Jennifer, Dennis, Jeff, Panos, and Jim for teaching me how to get the most out of the computer facility; Steve, Xian-li, Yang Tse, Eric, and Andy for showing me how the x-ray diffractometer and electron microscope work; Ranajit for whatever had too much mathematics and radiative heat transfer. Gidi's company in the laboratory during weird hours has significantly enhanced the quality of the experimental work. Thanks to Scott for doing all the surface area and density measurements of my powders. Sonia, Chris, Fangdong, Shih-chen, and David Huang, who came after me, gave me continued aerosol education through exciting discussions. Lynn, Francis, Kit, Sue, Martha, Theresa, David James, and Greg were always interested in whatever I had to say.

My gratitude also goes to Rueen Fang and Liyuan who helped me familiarize with Caltech and this country, and comforted me in many troubled times. The constant love and support of my dearest friend, Hung, especially during the dissertation writing period, is deeply appreciated. His stimulating suggestions and advice shortened my thesis writing period considerably.

The person who introduced me to the world of aerosol science tried his very best from the start to teach me aerosol physics, experimentation and probably most important, communication - i.e. English. He did not give up on me even after I failed in several attempts to get him a screwdriver from a tool box. I gave him everything else but a screwdriver! Because of him, I've become a confident and independent researcher. He, whom I can never thank enough, is my thesis advisor, Dr. Richard C. Flagan.

Jin Jwang 12/27/86

### ABSTRACT

The onset of homogeneous nucleation of new particles from the products of gas phase chemical reactions has been explored using an aerosol flow reactor. Silicon seed particles were used as a probe to study the transition from seed growth by cluster deposition to runaway nucleation. This transition was found to be very abrupt. The mechanism of formation of solid particles from large excesses of low vapor pressure condensible species has been investigated by studying the microstructure of the product aerosol. A discrete - sectional solution of the aerosol general dynamic equation was derived in order to examine the aerosol evolution associated with fast chemical reactions. This kinetic model quantitatively predicts the aforementioned transition. Application of the understanding of aerosol generation and growth has led to the production of a high quality silicon powder suitable for ceramic applications. This powder was synthesized by the pyrolysis of silane in an aerosol reactor and has nearly ideal characteristics, i.e., controlled size distribution, spherically shaped, nonagglomerated submicron particles. A simple reaction coagulation model was developed to facilitate mapping of the nucleation and growth domains. This, in conjuction with the discrete-sectional model, was used to evaluate the various aerosol processes for powder synthesis. The influence of the initial reactant concentration, reaction rate, temperature profile, seed particle conditions, and residence time on the final powder characteristics were examined. The structure of the particles also depends on the way particles fuse together. Particle fusing was therefore, modeled along with the formation and growth processes to study the effects of coalescence on the extent of agglomeration of the product powder. A recipe for the synthesis of ideal powders was proposed.

## TABLE OF CONTENTS

	Acknowledgements	ii
	Abstract	v
	Table of Contents	vi
	List of Figures	vii
CHAPTER 1	Introduction	1
CHAPTER 2	Onset of Runaway Nucleation in Aerosol Reactors	. 6
CHAPTER 3	A Discrete - Sectional Solution to the Aerosol Dynamic Equation	34
CHAPTER 4	Submicron Silicon Powder Production in an Aerosol Reactor	74
CHAPTER 5	A Method for Synthesis of Submicron Particles	92
CHAPTER 6	Evaluation and Control of Fine Particle Production by Gas Phase Chemical Reaction	121
CHAPTER 7	Fusion of Agglomerate Particles	214
CHAPTER 8	Conclusions	234
APPENDIX A1	Effect of Spatial Inhomogeneities on the Rate of Homogeneous Nucleation in System with Aerosol Particles	238
APPENDIX A2	List of CELL Code	266
APPENDIX A3	List of DISC Code	<b>3</b> 10
APPENDIX A4	List of SRC Code	393

## LIST OF FIGURES

## CHAPTER 2

1.	Schematic of the three stage aerosol reactor.	21
2.	Measured temperature profiles on the primary reactor wall. The heating zones and regions of insulation are indicated.	22
3.	Schematic of the aerosol dilution system showing the transpired wall first stage and recirculating second stage.	23
4.	Size distributions of the seed aerosol produced by reaction of $35 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}$ (STP) of 1 percent silane as measured: $- \cdot - \cdot$ after dilution with nitrogen at the outlet of the seed generator, stage 2; at the entrance of the growth reactor; - at the outlet of growth reactor.	24
5.	Map of reactor operating conditions leading to successful growth of seed particles (solid points connecting initial and final conditions) and catastrophic nucleation (open points indicating initial conditions). The reactor temperature profile corresponds to the solid curve in Fig. 2. The reactant gas consisted of 1 percent silane in nitrogen.	25
6.	The influence of silane concentration on the final number concentration for fixed temperature profile (the solid curve in Fig. 2) and seed aerosol ( $10^5$ cm <sup>-3</sup> (STP) seed particles of 0.7 $\mu$ m diameter).	26
7.	SEM micrograph of a seed particle.	27

8.	SEM micrograph of a product particle generated with a maximum reactor temperature of 973K.	<b>2</b> 8
9.	Close view of a product particle generated with peak temperature of 773K.	29
10.	SEM micrograph of a product particle following post-growth processing at elevated temperature (1723K) for approximately one second.	<b>3</b> 0
11.	SEM micrograph of particles that resulted from catastrophic nucleation.	31
12.	<ul> <li>Copper Kα x-ray diffraction patterns of particles</li> <li>that have undergone different post-thermal treatment</li> <li>due to the increase in the final zone temperature.</li> <li>(a) operating temperature 773 - 973 K.</li> <li>(b) operating temperature 773 - 1273 K.</li> <li>(c) operating temperature 773 - 1523 K.</li> </ul>	32
13.	Characteristic time for loss of clusters of size $d_{p,c}$ by Brownian coagulation with seed particles of various sizes $d_{p,s}$ and number concentration $N_s$ .	33
	CHAPTER 3	
1.	Aerosol size spectrum for the discrete-sectional model. Number concentration vs. $i$ - mer on the left side. Mass concentration vs. logarithm of particle mass on the right side.	63
2.	Dimensionless number concentration as a function of particle diameter of an aerosol formed from an initial monomer concentration of $10^{20}$ m <sup>-3</sup>	64

nomer concentration of 10<sup>2</sup> an m

at time  $0.01\tau_{\beta}$ , calculated with 1, 9, 18, and 27 discrete points for the discrete regime and with 9 sections per decade of diameter for the sectional regime.

3.	Dimensionless number concentration vs. size of the same aerosol as in Fig. 2 at time $\tau_{\beta}$ .	65
4.	Dimensionless mass concentration vs. particle diameter of an aerosol formed from an initial monomer concentration $10^{20}$ m <sup>-3</sup> at time $\tau_{\beta}$ , calculated with 3, 5, 7, and 9 sections per decade of diameter for the sectional regime and with 18 discrete points for the discrete regime.	66
5.	Dimensionless number concentration vs. particle diameter of the same aerosol as in Fig.4.	67
6.	Dimensionless mass concentration vs. particle diameter of the same aerosol as in Fig.4 at $100\tau_{\beta}$ .	68
7.	Dimensionless number concentration vs. particle diameter of the same aerosol as in Fig.4 at $100\tau_{\beta}$ .	69
8.	Number density distribution function of an aerosol computed by the discrete-sectional model with $5(), 18(), and 28()$ sections per decade and by the direct integration by Fuch-Sutugin formula.	70
9.	Measured and simulated final silicon aerosol number concentrations with seed aerosol $(10^{11} \text{ m}^{-3} (\text{STP})$ seed particles of 0.7 $\mu$ m) as a function of silane reactant concentration. The operating temperature range is from 773 to 973 K. The total volume flow rate is 600 cc min <sup>-1</sup> . The squares are experimental data illustrating the transition from seed particle growth by chemical vapor deposition to runaway nucleation(11).	71
10.	Measured size distribution of ultrafine $SiO_2$ particles and simulated results using 20 discrete sizes and 9 sections per decade of diameter.	72

.

 Measured size distribution of ultrafine SiO<sub>2</sub> particles and simulated results using 9 discrete sizes and 5 sections per decade of diameter.

## CHAPTER 4

1.	Schematic of the 5 zone aerosol reactor in which silicon particles were generated by silane pyrolysis and the transpired wall system for product dilution and cooling.	86
2.	Measured temperature profiles on the reactor wall. The heating zones and regions of insulation are indicated.	87
3.	Number distributions of the product aerosol. The histogram is the raw data obtained with EAA. Also shown is an estimate of the actual particle size distribution obtained by applying a modified Twomey algorithm to correct for cross-sensitivities in the EAA instrument response function.	88
4.	TEM photographs of the crystalline silicon particles that accounted for about 99 percent of the particles produced by rate controlled thermal decomposition of silane.	89
5.	Bragg peak broadening $\Delta K$ as a function of the magnitude of the wave vector $K$ .	90
6.	(a) TEM photograph showing amorphous silicon particle produced in the aerosol reactor.	91
6.	(b) TEM photograph of a chain agglomerate produced in the aerosol reactor. The small spherical sub-units of such	92

particles accounted for less than 1 percent of the spherules produced.

## CHAPTER 5

1.	Schematic of the 5 zone aerosol reactor in which silicon particles were generated by silane pyrolysis and the transpired wall system for product dilution and cooling.	113
2.	Measured temperature profile of the reactor wall.	114
3.	Volume distributions of the product aerosol. The histogram is the raw data obtained from the EAA. Also shown is an estimate of the actual particle size distribution obtained by applying a modified Twomey algorithm (18) to correct for cross sensitivities in the EAA instrument response function.	115
4.	TEM micrograph of the crystalline silicon particles produced by rate controlled thermal decomposition of silane.	116
5.	Bragg peak broadening as a function of the magnitude of the wave vector as determined using copper K $\alpha$ x-ray diffraction. The insert is the x-ray diffraction pattern of the produced silicon powder.	117
6.	Comparison of the aerosol evolution predicted by the SNM model(23) (dashed curves) vs. the kinetic model(1) (solid curves).	118
7.	Simulated aerosol size distribution at different times corresponding to the experimental conditions.	119

8.	Comparison of the output silicon
	size distribution measured at the outlet of
	reactor and that calculated by the kinetic model.

## CHAPTER 6

1.	Schematic of processes contributing particle formation from gas phase chemical reactions.	150
2.	Evolution of the TiO <sub>2</sub> particle size distribution with an initial TTIP concentration of $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ for various first order reaction rate constants	
	(a) $k_{\rm A} = 0.01 \ {\rm sec}^{-1}$	151
	(b) $k_{A} = 1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$	152
	(c) $k_A = 100 \text{ sec}^{-1}$	153
	(d) $k_A \gg 100 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ , pure coagulation case.	154
3.	Evolution of the TiO <sub>2</sub> mass distribution with an initial TTIP concentration of $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ at different times for various first order reaction	
	rate constants. $()$ 1 $()$ 1 $()$ $()$ $()$ $()$ $()$ $()$ $()$ $()$	1
	(a) $K_A = 0.01 \text{ sec}^{-1}$	150
	(b) $k_A = 1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$	150
	(d) $k_A \gg 100 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ , pure coagulation case.	157
4.	Comparison of the results from the D-S ( $$ ) and SRC ( $$ ) models with an initial TTIP	200
	concentration of $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ and $k_A = 100 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .	
	(a) Total number concentration normalized by $N_0$ .	159
	(b) Total mass concentration normalized by $M_0$ .	<b>16</b> 0
	(c) Number averaged particle diameter.	161
	(d) Broadening of the size distribution.	162
5.	Comparison of the results from the D-S ( — ) and SRC ( $$ ) models with an initial TTIP concentration of $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$ mol cm <sup>-3</sup> and k <sub>A</sub> = 0.01 sec <sup>-1</sup> .	

	(a) Total number concentration normalized by $N_0$ .	163
	(b) Total mass concentration normalized by $M_0$ .	164
	(c) Number averaged particle diameter.	165
	(d) Broadening of the size distribution.	166
6.	Geometric standard deviation vs. time for	167
	the size distribution with $k_A = 10^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .	
	Based on the number concentrations	
	corresponding to the size distribution in Figure $2(a)$ .	
	- - Based on the mass concentrations	
	corresponding to the size distribution in Figure 3(a).	
7.	Geometric standard deviation vs. time for	168
	the size distribution with $k_A \gg 10^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .	
	Based on the number concentrations	
	corresponding to the size distribution in Figure 2(d).	
	- $        -$	
	corresponding to the size distribution in Figure 5(d).	
8.	Evolution of the particle size distributions	
	with $k_A = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ and initial concentrations of TTIP of	
	$5.25 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol cm}^{-3} (),$	
	$5.25  imes 10^{-10}  ext{ mol cm}^{-3} (- \cdot - \cdot),$	
	$5.25  imes 10^{-11}  ext{ mol cm}^{-3} ()  ext{ at}$	
	(a) $t = 10^{-3} \tau_{rxn}$	169
	(b) $t = 0.1 \tau_{rxn}$	170
	(c) $t = 1 \tau_{rxn}$	171
	(d) t = 10 $\tau_{\rm rxn}$	172
9.	Aerosol size evolution starting with an initial	173
	vapor concentration of $5.25 imes10^{-7}~ m molcm^{-3}$	
	and a gradually increasing reaction rate.	
10.	Comparison of the results from the D-S	
	and SRC models with an initial TTIP	
	concentration of $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ ,	
	0.03 $\mu$ m seed particle concentration of	
	$3 \times 10^{\circ} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and $k_{\rm A} = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .	
	Kesults from the D-S model.	
	- – – Seed particles from the SRC model.	

	$- \cdot - \cdot$ New particles from the SRC model.	
	(a) Total number concentration normalized by $N_0$ .	174
	(b) Total mass concentration normalized by $M_0$ .	175
	(c) Number averaged particle diameter.	176
	(d) Broadening of the size distribution.	177
11	Comparison of the results from the D-S	
11.	and SRC models with an initial TTIP	
	concentration of 5.25 $\times$ 10 <sup>-10</sup> mol cm <sup>-3</sup>	
	$0.03 \ \mu\text{m}$ seed particle concentration of $3 \times 10^7 \ \text{cm}^{-3}$	
	and $k_{\perp} = 0.01 \text{ sec}^{-1}$	
	- $-$ Seed particles from the SBC model	
	$- \cdot - \cdot$ New particles from the SRC model	
	(a) Total number concentration normalized by No	178
	(b) Total mass concentration normalized by Ma	170
	(c) Number averaged particle diameter	180
	(d) Broadening of the size distribution	181
	(d) Dioadening of the size distribution.	101
12	Comparison of the results from the D-S	
12.	and SRC models with an initial TTIP	
	concentration of 5.25 $\times$ 10 <sup>-10</sup> mol cm <sup>-3</sup>	
	0.0470  µm sould particle concentration of	
	$6.53 \times 10^4$ cm <sup>-3</sup> and k 1 soc <sup>-1</sup>	
	$0.05 \times 10^{\circ}$ cm <sup>-1</sup> , and $K_A = 1$ sec <sup>-1</sup> .	
	- $ -$ Seed particles from the SBC model	
	= $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$ $=$	
	(a) Total number concentration normalized by Na	192
	(a) Total manufactor concentration normalized by No.	182
	(c) Number averaged particle diameter	18/
	(d) Broadening of the size distribution	185
	(d) Dioladening of the size distribution.	100
13	Comparison of the results from the D-S	
10.	and SRC models with an initial TTIP	
	concentration of 5.25 $\times 10^{-10}$ mol cm <sup>-3</sup>	
	$0.0479 \ \mu m$ seed particle concentration of	
	$6.53 \times 10^5$ cm <sup>-3</sup> , and $k_{\rm A} = 1$ sec <sup>-1</sup>	
	- - Seed particles from the SRC model	
	$- \cdot - \cdot$ New particles from the SRC model	
	ren particles nom the site model.	

(a) Total number concentration normalized by  $N_0$ . 186 187

188

189

190

- (b) Total mass concentration normalized by  $M_0$ .
- (c) Number averaged particle diameter.
- (d) Broadening of the size distribution.
- 14. Particle size distribution, starting with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$  and  $0.205 \ \mu\text{m}$  seed particle concentration of  $2.44 \times 10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup> at time t=50 sec. (a)  $k_A = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ . (b)  $k_A = 0.05 + 0.002t \text{ sec}^{-1}$ . (c)  $k_A = 0.033 + 0.0013t + 0.00004t^2 \sec^{-1}$ . (d)  $k_A = 0.025 + 0.001t + 0.00006t^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .
- 15. Particle mass distribution, starting with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3} \text{ and } 0.205 \ \mu\text{m seed}$ particle concentration of  $2.44 \times 10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup> at time t=50 sec. (a)  $k_A = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ . (b)  $k_A = 0.05 + 0.002t \text{ sec}^{-1}$ . (c)  $k_A = 0.033 + 0.0013t + 0.00004t^2 \sec^{-1}$ .
  - (d)  $k_A = 0.025 + 0.001t + 0.00006t^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .
- 16. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_{A} = 100 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .
  - —— Without seed particles.
  - - Seed particles.
  - $\cdot \cdot$  Nucleated particles.
  - (a) Total number concentration normalized by  $N_0$ . 192
  - (b) Total mass concentration normalized by  $M_0$ . 193 194
  - (c) Number averaged particle diameter.
- 17. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_{\rm A} = 0.1 \, {\rm sec}^{-1}$ .
  - ------ Without seed particles.
  - - Seed particles.

	$- \cdot - \cdot$ Nucleated particles.	
	(a) Total number concentration normalized by $N_0$ .	195
	(b) Total mass concentration normalized by $M_0$ .	196
	(c) Number averaged particle diameter.	197
10	Describe from the SDC model for the same with	
18.	Results from the SRC model for the case with $2 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ of 0.02 um and particles	
	$5 \times 10^{-10}$ cm <sup>-3</sup> of 0.05 $\mu$ m seed particles,	
	mitial 111 concentration of $5.25 \times 10^{-2}$ more more in $,$	
	and $\mathbf{x}_{\mathbf{A}} = 10^{-10}$ sec .	
	Seed particles.	
	- $        -$	
	(a) Total number concentration normalized by $N_{c}$	108
	(a) Total mass concentration normalized by Mo.	100
	(c) Number averaged particle diameter	200
	(c) Rumber averaged particle diameter.	200
19.	Results from the SRC model for the case with	
	$3 \times 10^7$ cm <sup>-3</sup> of 0.03 $\mu$ m seed particles.	
	initial TTIP concentration of $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$ mol cm <sup>-3</sup> .	
	and $k_{\rm A} = 10^{-4}  {\rm sec}^{-1}$ .	
	Without seed particles.	
	- - Seed particles.	
	$- \cdot - \cdot$ Nucleated particles.	
	(a) Total number concentration normalized by $N_0$ .	<b>2</b> 01
	(b) Total mass concentration normalized by $M_0$ .	202
	(c) Number averaged particle diameter.	203
90		
20.	Results from the SRC model for the case with $2 \times 10^8$ cm <sup>-3</sup> of 0.02 ym and particles	
	$5 \times 10^{\circ}$ cm $^{\circ}$ of 0.03 $\mu$ m seed particles,	
	111111111111111111111111111111111111	
	$\frac{1}{2} = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$	
	- $ -$ Seed particles.	
	- $  -$ Nucleated particles	
	(a) Total number concentration normalized by No	201
	(b) Total mass concentration normalized by Mo.	205
	(c) Number averaged particle diameter.	206
21.	Schematics of the furnaces used in the	207
	TTIP experiments.	

	(a) For experiments with no added vapor stream $Q_2$ . (b) For seeded experiments with added vapor stream $Q_2$ .	
22.	Measured centerline temperature profiles for experiments using the reactor furnace shown in Figure 21(a) with the corresponding results shown in Figure 25. The corresponding wall temperatures are listed as T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5 with their respective symbols.	208
23.	Measured normalized size distributions of the TTIP aerosol obtained using furnace 21(a). $\Box$ , $\diamond$ , $\triangle$ , and $\bigtriangledown$ correspond to constant furnace wall temperatures of 350, 400, 450, and 500 °C, respectively. Q1 = 10 cm <sup>3</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> , Q3 = 1990 cm <sup>3</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> , Q4 = 1000 cm <sup>3</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> , and Q5 = 0 cm <sup>3</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> .	209
24.	Measured normalized size distributions of the TTIP aerosol obtained using furnace 21(a) for vapor carrying gas flow rates, Q1, of 30 and 10 cm <sup>3</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> corresponding to $\triangle$ and $\square$ , respectively. Q4 = 1000 cm <sup>3</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> , Q5 = 0 cm <sup>3</sup> min <sup>-1</sup> , T1 ~ T5 = 400 °C.	210
25.	Measured normalized size distributions of the TTIP aerosol obtained using furnace 21(a) for the constant, increasing, and decreasing wall temperature profiles shown in Figure 22. $Q1 = 10 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}$ , $Q3 = 1990 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}$ , $Q4 = 1000 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}$ , and $Q5 = 0 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}$ .	211
26.	Measured size distributions of the TTIP aerosol obtained using furnace 21(b) for different added vapor flow rates, and constant wall temperature profile. $Q1 = 70 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}$ , $Q3 = 1930 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}$ , $Q5 = 1000 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}$ , $T1 \sim T5 = 400 ^\circ\text{C}$ .	212

27. Measured size distributions of the TTIP aerosol obtained using furnace 21(b) for different added vapor flow rates and descreasing wall temperature profile.
Q1 = 70 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, Q3 = 1930 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, Q5 = 1000 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, T1 = 400 °C, T2 ~ T5 = 100 °C.

### CHAPTER 7

1. BET surface area  $A_{N_2}$  ( $\Box$ ), diameter of primary particles estimated from electron micrographs  $2R_p$  (×), and diameter of primary particles estimated by  $6/\rho_p A_{N_2}$  ( $\triangle$ ) of the silicon agglomerates treated at different temperatures. 233

## CHAPTER 1

### INTRODUCTION

When gas phase chemical reactions generate low vapor pressure species in excess of their equilibrium vapor pressure, the vapor may deposit on existing surfaces or, if large excesses of condensible species are produced, form new particles by homogeneous nucleation. Traditionally, the classical theory of homogeneous nucleation has been used to investigate the particle formation process. This assumes that particle formation takes place by a succession of monomer additions to small clusters and this process proceeds slowly enough that a steady-state cluster population is established. Analysis of the dynamics of nucleating systems has shown that the dimensionless source rate, i.e., the ratio of the rate of monomer production to the rate of monomer-monomer collision, must be smaller than unity for the steady state cluster distribution to be established before significant numbers of particles are formed<sup>1</sup>. Once a sufficient number of particles is formed, they may cause spatial inhomogeneities in the concentration of the nucleating species and have some effect on homogeneous nucleation. A single particle growth model was incorporated into the SNM model  $^1$  to evaluate this effect for source rate conditions where classical nucleation theory is applicable. It was found that the spatial inhomogeneity occurring around a growing particle has little effect on the homogeneous nucleation of new particles (Appendix A1).

In cases where reactions are carried out to generate condensible species, however, the generation rate of molecular clusters is generally too fast to apply the steady state models of nucleation, such as in the production of powders where the formation of new particles is encouraged or in chemical vapor deposition systems where the prevention of homogeneous nucleation is critical. The evolution of aerosol is greatly influenced by the kinetics of the cluster distributions. This research seeks to understand the mechanisms which govern the formation, growth, and evolution of solid particles from gas phase chemical reactions.

To explore the onset of homogeneous nucleation of new particles from the products of gas phase chemical reactions, a three stage aerosol reactor system, which was extended from a system developed for the growth of large silicon particles by silane pyrolysis<sup>2</sup>, was constructed. Silicon seed particles were used to probe the factors that limit new particle formation so that the range of reactor operating conditions in which the formation of stable new particles can be completely suppressed could be mapped. The enhanced growth of silicon particles above micron size by scavenging of the products of gas phase reactions has been confirmed. The transition from seed growth by cluster deposition to catastrophic nucleation was extremely abrupt, with as little as a 17% change in the reactant concentration leading to an increase in the concentration of measurable particles of 4 orders of magnitude. That much of the growth occurred by the accumulation of clusters on the growing seed particles was verified by studying the structure of the particles grown near this transition and treated at elevated temperatures. It is believed, therefore, that the seed particles grew by the deposition of clusters. As the clusters accumulated, they partially sintered together to form the apparent fine structure of the final product particles (Chapter 2).

A discrete - sectional (D-S) model, an extension of the sectional model<sup>3</sup>, was developed to examine the aerosol evolution associated with the aforementioned fast chemical reactions, a condition which renders the steady state nucleation theories inapplicable. This kinetic model treats coagulation, evaporation, and chemical reactions over the entire cluster and aerosol size spectrum. It is assumed in this model that two particles collide with each other to form a single new spherical particle whose mass is the same as the combined mass of the two smaller particles. The model has predicted quantitatively the experimentally observed transition from successful particle growth to runaway nucleation(**Chapter 3**).

The understanding of chemical-reaction-induced particle formation in the gas phase was used to design a single stage aerosol reactor in which nearly ideal, ceramic grade silicon powder was produced. The powder consisted of controlled size distribution, spherically shaped, nonagglomerated submicron particles. A method was developed to characterize powders experimentally. Particle sizing in the gas phase was accomplished using an electrical aerosol size analyzer and a condensation nucleus counter. X-ray diffraction was used to determine material composition and structure in the compact phase while electron microscopy was used to investigate individual particle characteristics. Powder surface area and density measurements in the fluidized phase were obtained by the the Brunauer-Emmett-Teller gas adsorption techniques (Chapter 4). Additional experimental and theoretical studies on submicron silicon particle production by silane pyrolysis were carried out under better controlled environments. The formation rates of new particles were calculated by both the classical nucleation theory (SNM model) and the kinetic theory (D-S model), and the results were compared. The differences in the results were discussed and a recipe for synthesis of submicron particles in aerosol phase was proposed (Chapter 5).

Once the power of the D-S model was elucidated, it could be used to evaluate and control different types of aerosol processes for fine particle synthesis, including plasma, laser, flame, and thermal reactors. The effects of reaction rate, initial vapor concentration, residence time, properties of seed particles, and

- 4 -

temperature profile on particle formation were examined. A simple reaction coagulation (SRC) model was derived from the D-S model for fast prediction and control of the powder characteristics. The production of ultrafine  $TiO_2$  particles by thermal decomposition of titanium tetraisopropoxide was used to test the control theory(**Chapter 6**).

Finally, the structure of the particles produced in high temperature systems depends critically on the rates at which the particles fuse. It is important, therefore, to incorporate the fusing history of the refractory particles along with the formation and growth processes. An analysis based on the BET surface area measurements, electron micrographs, aerosol size distributions, and x-ray data was employed to understand the effect of coalescence on the agglomeration status of the powder products (Chapter 7).

The key computer codes included in this thesis research are CELL (for Appendix A1), DISC (for Chapters 3, 5, and 6), and SRC (for Chapter 6). They are listed in Appendices A2, A3, and A4.

### References

- <sup>1</sup> Warren, D.R., and Seinfeld, J.H. (1984). Nucleation and Growth of Aerosol From a Continuously Reinforced Vapor. *Aerosol Sci. Technol.* 3:135-153.
- <sup>2</sup> Alam, M.K., and Flagan, R.C. (1986). Controlled Nucleation in Aerosol Reactors: Production of Bulk Silicon. Aerosol Sci. Technol. 5(2):237-248.
- <sup>3</sup> Gelbard, F., Tambour, Y., and Seinfeld, J.H. (1980). Sectional Representations for Simulating Aerosol Dynamics. J. Colloid Interface Sci. **76**:541-556.

### CHAPTER 2

# ONSET OF RUNAWAY NUCLEATION IN AEROSOL REACTORS

Accepted for Publication in the Journal of Applied Physics (1986)

### ABSTRACT

The onset of homogeneous nucleation of new particles from the products of gas phase chemical reactions was explored using an aerosol reactor in which seed particles of silicon were grown by silane pyrolysis. The transition from seed growth by cluster deposition to catastrophic nucleation was extremely abrupt, with as little as a 17 percent change in the reactant concentration leading to an increase in the concentration of measurable particles of 4 orders of magnitude. From the structure of the particles grown near this transition, it is apparent that much of the growth occurs by the accumulation of clusters on the growing seed particles. The time scale for cluster diffusion indicates, however, that the clusters responsible for growth must be much smaller than the apparent fine structure of the product particles.

#### 1. Introduction

When gas phase chemical reactions generate low vapor pressure species in excess of their equilibrium vapor pressure, the vapors may deposit on existing surfaces or, if large excess of condensible species are produced, form new particles by homogeneous nucleation. New particle formation may be desirable if the reactions are being carried out to produce a powder, such as in the synthesis of fine powders for ceramics application, but it is frequently desirable to prevent homonogeneous nucleation. In the production of submicron particles for use in the synthesis of ceramics or other bulk structures, the high number concentrations produced by uncontrolled nucleation lead to formation of undesirable agglomerates by Brownian coagulation. Powders comprised of agglomerates, therefore, present problems in subsequent processing<sup>1</sup>. The feasibility of suppressing nucleation of refractory vapors has recently been demonstrated by growing small seed particles of Si to sizes in excess of 10  $\mu$ m by silane pyrolysis in an aerosol reactor<sup>2</sup>.

Control of homogeneous nucleation is, thus, central to a number of technologies involving vapor deposition. In this chapter we examine the onset of homogeneous nucleation in reacting systems, using seed particles to probe the factors that limit new particle formation. The range of reactor operating conditions in which the formation of stable new particles can be completely suppressed is mapped. The enhanced growth of silicon particles above micron size by scavenging of the products of gas phase reactions has been proven effectively. The structure of the particles grown in the reactor provides insight into the mechanisms of particle formation and growth as well as chemical vapor deposition.

#### 2. Experimental System

The reactor system used in this study was extended from a system developed for the growth of large silicon particles by silane pyrolysis<sup>2</sup>. The present three stage reactor is illustrated in Fig. 1. Seed particles were produced by pyrolyzing a small amount of silane (1 percent by volume in atmospheric pressure nitrogen) in a 10 mm i.d., 125 mm long stainless steel tube that was heated to 1100K. The residence time in this reactor was long, about 25 seconds, so appreciable coagulation of the nuclei occurred. The particle size at the outlet to this reactor stage ranged from 0.1 to 0.3  $\mu$ m as measured with a TSI Model 3070 Electrical Aerosol Size Analyzer.

To extend the range of seed particle sizes for the present study, a seed growth reactor was added to the original two-stage system. The aerosol was cooled at the outlet of the first reactor stage and mixed with dilute silane (1 percent by volume). A series of static mixers was used to ensure thorough mixing of the seed particles with the reactant, since, if composition inhomogeneities were allowed to persist, runaway nucleation in particle-free regions of the flow would dramatically increase the mean particle number concentration and interfere with the interpretation of our experimental results. The seed growth reactor (stage 2 in Fig. 1) consisted of a 10 mm i.d., 350 mm long quartz tube that was heated in four zones of 50 mm length. These zones were separated by 10 mm thick insulation so that smoothly varying wall temperature profiles can be achieved. The midpoint reactor wall temperatures of the four zones were 680K, 780K, 840K, and 1100K. Ramping the temperature in this way gradually accelerated the decomposition of silane as the seed particles grow and become more effective at supressing nucleation<sup>2</sup>. With this two stage seed generation system, seed particles ranging from 0.2 to 2.0 microns in diameter were generated with number concentrations ranging from  $10^4$  to  $10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup>.

Our primary concern was the onset of nucleation in the final growth reactor, stage 3 in Fig. 1. The seed particles leaving the second stage were mixed with additional silane and nitrogen, again using a series of static mixers, before entering this reactor stage. The primary reactor was a 850 mm long quartz tube with an internal diameter of 12 mm. It was heated in five separate zones: three 50 mm long zones similar to those of stage 2, followed by 300 mm and 150 mm long zones that were heated with Lindbar silicon carbide heating elements. The zones were again separated by insulating layers to facilitate the establishment of a smooth temperature profile along the length of the reactor. The measured temperature profiles on the growth reactor wall are shown in Fig. 2, and the heating zones are indicated. The temperatures in the first four stages of the reactor were kept constant for all of the experiments reported here. This profile was selected since particles could be grown, without significant increase in the number concentration, with a seed aerosol consisting of  $10^5$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.7  $\mu$ m particles, and 1 percent silane. For these conditions, silane pyrolysis was completed within the fourth heating zone. The temperature in the final heating zone was varied as shown in Fig. 2 in order to alter the structure of the enlarged silicon particles as described below.

The silicon aerosol was characterized using a battery of particle sizing and counting instruments: (i) a Royco Model 226 Laser Optical Particle Counter with resolution in the 0.12 to 6  $\mu$ m size range; (ii) a Particle Measurement Systems Classical Scattering Optical Particle Counter (0.5 - 47  $\mu$ m); and (iii) a Rich 100 Environment One Condensation Nucleus Counter (total number concentration of particles larger than about 20 nm). The latter instrument was calibrated using a TSI Model 3030 Differential Mobility Classifier with the Faraday cup/electrometer assembly from a TSI Model 3070 Electrical Aerosol Size Analyzer used as a detector.

The aerosol leaving the growth reactor was diluted with nitrogen as illustrated in Fig. 3, to assure compatibility with these instruments, which were designed for the characterization of aerosols at the much lower concentrations found in the atmosphere. The first dilution stage served the dual purposes of reducing the concentration of particles and minimizing the thermophoretic losses of particles on the cold wall as the hot aerosol was cooled. This was accomplished by transpiring the nitrogen diluent through the wall of a sintered stainless steel tube. In the second stage diluter, some of the reaction products are filtered and then recirculated to dilute the aerosol 200:1 to 2000:1 with a minimum of uncertainty concerning the dilution ratio.

Aerosol samples were also collected using Teflon filters for study by X-ray diffraction, scanning electron microscopy, and gas adsorption.

#### 3. Experimental Results and Discussions

To use the changes in the silicon aerosol in the growth reactor to explore the nucleation process, it was first necessary to verify that no changes occurred within this reactor stage in the absence of chemical reactions. Fig. 4 shows the size distribution of the seed aerosol produced by reaction of  $35 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}$  (STP) of 1 percent silane as measured (i) after dilution with nitrogen at the outlet of the seed generator, Stage 2, (ii) at the entrance to the growth reactor, and (iii) at the outlet of the growth reactor. As the aerosol passed through the static mixers, only about 10 percent of the mass was lost to the walls, but the number concentration decreased markedly due to coagulation and diffusion of small particles to the surfaces. The change in the growth reactor was much less pronounced, although there again was a slight shift to larger particle sizes due to coagulation. The close correspondence of the concentrations at the inlet and outlet of the growth reactor demonstrates the efficacy of the transpired wall diluter in preventing thermophoretic deposition. In previous experiments conducted without this device, as much as 99 percent of the particles were deposited on the wall in the cooling region. Thus, changes in the aerosol in the seed generator were small when no reaction occurred, and the changes observed in the reaction experiments can be attributed directly to the effects of the silane decomposition reactions.

The growth of small seed particles to sizes in excess of a micron has previously been demonstrated in a two-stage version of this reactor <sup>2</sup>. In the present study, the transition from particle growth by cluster deposition to catastrophic nucleation was explored by varying the seed aerosol characteristics for a reactor flow rate, silane concentration, and temperature profile for which growth without nucleation had been achieved. The temperature profile was the solid curve in Fig. 2, with a flow of 600 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> of 1 percent silane in nitrogen. Two modes of reactor operation were observed as the seed particle size and number concentration were varied: (i) growth of the seed particles at approximately constant number concentration; and (ii) nucleation producing very large numbers of very small particles leading to a large increase in the number concentration and a corresponding decrease in the mean particle size. The former results are plotted in Fig. 5 as pairs of solid points connecting the initial and final mean particle sizes and number concentrations. The latter results are indicated as open points showing only the initial seed aerosol properties. The total mass of the product was measured using filters to determine the efficiency of conversion of silane to silicon powder. About 80% of silicon was recovered when 1% silane was reacted. Similar results were obtained in previous experiments in the two-stage reactor<sup>2</sup>. It was determined experimentally that, for 1% silane in the feed gas, about one third of the total loss occurred in the dilution system.

The transition between these two operating domains was extremely abrupt, depending on both the size and the number concentration of the seed particles. This transition can be seen more clearly by examining the effect of changing the reactant silane concentration on the final number concentration for otherwise constant reactor operation and seed aerosol characteristics, as illustrated in Fig. 6. In these experiments a seed aerosol of  $10^5$  cm<sup>-3</sup> 0.7  $\mu$ m particles was reacted with silane mole fractions up to 5 percent. The temperature profile used was the same as for Fig. 5. The seed aerosol is indicated as the point for 0 percent silane. The total number concentration as measured by the Condensation Nucleus Counter (CNC) increased by about 50 percent as the silane mole fraction was increased to 3 percent. Further increasing to 3.5 percent silane resulted in an increase in the number concentration of four orders of magnitude. Increasing the silane concentration beyond that value did not appreciably alter the number concentration of the product aerosol, presumably due to the coagulation of the fine particle produced by homogeneous nucleation. As noted, slightly higher number concentrations were measured with the CNC than with the Optical Particle Counters due to the smaller size detection limit of the former instrument.

The physical structure of the particles grown at silane concentrations below that which leads to runaway nucleation provides additional insights into the nucleation process. Fig. 7 shows a typical seed particle. It is clearly not a dense spherical particle, since the seed reactor temperature was not high enough to densify particles of this size. Fig. 8 shows a particle that has been grown in the primary reactor. It too appears to be the result of particle growth by coagulation, consisting of an assemblage of small spheres of approximately 0.1  $\mu$ m in diameter. The apparent surface area measured by nitrogen adsorption and interpreted using the BET isotherm<sup>3</sup> was 20.3 m<sup>2</sup> gm<sup>-1</sup>. The helium displacement density was 2.3 gm cm<sup>-3</sup>, corresponding to a mean sphere size of 0.13  $\mu$ m. These indicate that this agglomerate structure is uniform throughout the particle volume.

As we shall demonstrate later, the diffusivities of 0.1  $\mu$ m particles are, however, so low that the observed growth of the seed particles and the small increase in the number concentration cannot be attributed to the coagulation of these particles with the seeds. Instead, the structure of the particles must be the results of partial sintering of low density particles which initially had a much finer structure. To verify this assumption, the particles shown in Fig. 9 were grown with a peak temperature of 773 K. As one would expect if surface or bulk diffusion were responsible for the coalescence, the particles grown at this low temperature have a structure that is even finer than that of the particle in Fig. 8.

For further support for this assumption and proof that the agglomerate structure is not an artifact of the sample collection process, the temperature in the final zone of the primary reactor was raised to higher values for sintering the suspended particles to a fully dense state. The reactor was designed so that this could be done without significantly altering of the temperature profile in the upstream regions where the silane decomposition and particle growth took place. Fig. 10 shows the densified particles that resulted when the final zone temperature was increased to 1723 K. The residence time in this final heating zone was approximately 1 second, so the changes shown occurred in a relatively short time.

Fig. 11 is a micrograph of the particles that resulted from catastrophic nucleation when 3.5 percent silane was reacted using the temperature profile indicated by the solid curve in Fig. 2. The sizes of these particles appear to be on the same order as the fine structure of the successfully grown particles at the same temperature (Fig. 8). This indicates the sintering effect. By assuming that the nucleated particles would finally grow to 0.1  $\mu$ m with bulk silicon density, the number concentration from successful particle growth to runaway nucleation in Fig. 6 should change from 10<sup>5</sup> to  $2.8 \times 10^9$  cm<sup>-3</sup> based on a mass conservation calculation. The calculated number concentration due to runaway nucleation is a little higher than observed. The lower concentration could result from deposition of some condensible vapors on the seeds, appreciable coagulation between particles at these higher number concentration, and loss in the system.

The X-ray diffraction patterns of particles that have undergone different post-thermal treatment due to the increase in the temperature of the final zone are shown in Fig. 12. The powders processed a lower temperatures than 973K were clearly amorphous. As the treatment temperature was increased, the material gradually shifted from an amorphous to a more ordered crystalline structure.

A simple calculation shows that the particles could not have grown as observed, without an increase in the number concentration, by coagulation of particles of 0.1  $\mu$ m diameter. Fig. 13 shows the characteristic time  $\tau$  for loss of clusters of size  $d_{p,c}$  with the density of bulk silicon by Brownian coagulation with seed particles of various sizes  $d_{p,s}$ , also assumed to be dense spheres. These times are defined as  $1/N_s\beta(d_{p,s}, d_{p,c})$ , where  $N_s$  is the number concentration of seed particles and  $\beta(d_{p,s}, d_{p,c})$  is the Brownian coagulation coefficient. Fuchs' interpolation formula<sup>4</sup> with Millikan's slip correction for the particle diffusivity<sup>5</sup> was used for particles ranging from the free molecule  $(K_n = 2\lambda/d_p \gg 1)$  to the continuum  $(K_n \ll 1)$  size range<sup>6</sup>. The enhancement of coagulation between the particles by intermolecular forces was found to be negligible. Typical seed aerosols in our experiments contained  $10^5$  to  $10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> particles of order 1  $\mu$ m in size. If 0.1  $\mu m$  particles were produced, the time for their loss by coagulation with the seeds would be on the order of 10-1000 seconds, much longer than the 1 to 10 seconds the aerosol remains in the reactor. Only particles on the order of 0.01  $\mu$ m in diameter, or smaller, would be efficiently scavenged by the seed particles within this short time. The assumption that the particles are dense spheres is clearly an oversimplification since, as we have seen, the particles grown at low temperatures in the aerosol reactor have relatively low densities and considerable fine structure. This does not, however, significantly alter the time scales for cluster scavenging. In the coagulation of particles of grossly dissimilar size, the motion of the smaller particle dominates. The projected area of the larger particle is, thus, more important than its mobility. The time required for sintering a small cluster of particle to full density is short due to the large surface free energy. The diffusion of dense spheres, such as those shown in Fig. 11, is accurately described by this model. The main deficiency of this model is, therefore, in the estimation of the seed density. For large seed particles,  $\tau \propto d_{p,s}^{-1}$  or  $\tau \propto \rho_{p,s}^{1/3}$ , and the influence of density of the large particle is relatively weak.

It is believed, therefore, that the seed particles were grown by the deposition of clusters much smaller than 0.1  $\mu$ m. As the clusters accumulate, they partially sintered together to form the fine structure of the final aerosol. Since the sintering rate increases with increasing temperature, the size of the fine structures are larger at higher final zone temperature with the particles becoming more densified. Ultimately, when the final zone temperature is increased to the melting point, dense spherical particles are formed.
#### 4. Conclusions

The transition from particle growth to runaway nucleation is extremely abrupt. The structure of the particles grown near this transition suggests that the diffusion of small clusters accounts for much of the growth. Gas phase reactions produce very large numbers of clusters that then grow by coagulation and vapor deposition and may be lost by coagulation with the larger seeds. The characteristic times for coagulation indicate that the clusters responsible for the seed particle growth must have been much smaller than the apparent fine structure of the product particles. The abrupt transition from successful seed growth to catastrophic nucleation may be explained in terms of these very small clusters. Since the diffusivity decreases rapidly with cluster size,  $\mathcal{D} \propto d^{-2}$ , only very small clusters can be scavenged efficiently by the seed aerosol. Once the clusters grow too large to diffuse to the seeds within the available residence time, large numbers of clusters survive to compete with the seeds for the condensible reaction products, thereby limiting the seed particle growth and greatly increasing the total number concentration in the product aerosol. The apparent suppression of nucleation in the aerosol reactor is, therefore, an indication that clusters possibly larger than the critical nucleus of classical nucleation theory do not grow past the point where scavenging is effective.

#### 5. Acknowledgements

The assistance of B. Ellen Johnson in constructing the aerosol flow reactor system and Patrick F. Koen in performing the scanning electron microscopy is gratefully acknowledged. The research described in this chapter was carried out for the Flat-Plat Solar Array Project, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology and was sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy through an agreement with NASA.

#### 6. References

- <sup>1</sup> H.K. Bowen, Mater. Sci. Eng. **44** [1], 1 (1980).
- <sup>2</sup> M.K. Alam and R.C. Flagan, Aerosol Sci. Technol. 5 (2), 237 (1986).
- <sup>3</sup> P.C. Hiemenz, "Principles of Colloid and Surface Chemistry", edited by J.J. Lagowski, Marcel Dekker, Inc., N.Y., 1973.
- <sup>4</sup> N.A. Fuchs, "The Mechanics of Aerosols", Pergamon, N.Y., 1964.
- <sup>5</sup> R.A. Millikan, Phys. Rev. **22**, 1 (1923).
- <sup>6</sup> M. Sitarski and J.H. Seinfeld, J. Colloid Interface Sci. **61**, 261 (1977).



Figure 1. Schematic of the three stage aerosol reactor.-



POSITION (mm)

Figure 2. Measured temperature profiles on the primary reactor wall. The heating zones and regions of insulation are indicated.



Figure 3. Schematic of the aerosol dilution system showing the transpired wall first stage and recirculating second stage.



 $d_p$  ( $\mu$ m)

Figure 4. Size distributions of the seed aerosol produced by reaction of  $35 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}$  (STP) of 1 percent silane as measured:

 $-\cdot - \cdot$  after dilution with nitrogen at the outlet of the seed generator, stage

2;

- - at the entrance of the growth reactor;

—— at the outlet of growth reactor.



Figure 5. Map of reactor operating conditions leading to successful growth of seed particles (solid points connecting initial and final conditions) and catastrophic nucleation (open points indicating initial conditions). The reactor temperature profile corresponds to the solid curve in Fig. 2. The reactant gas consisted of 1 percent silane in nitrogen.



PRIMARY SILANE CONCENTRATION ( % )

Figure 6. The influence of silane concentration on the final number concentration for fixed temperature profile (the solid curve in Fig. 2) and seed aerosol ( $10^5$  cm<sup>-3</sup> (STP) seed particles of 0.7  $\mu$ m diameter).



Figure 7. SEM micrograph of a seed particle.



 $0.1 \ \mu$ 



Figure 8. SEM micrograph of a product particle generated with a maximum reactor temperature of 973K.



Figure 9. Close view of a product particle generated with a peak temperature of 773K.



Figure 10. SEM micrograph of a product particle following post-growth processing at elevated temperature (1723K) for approximately one second.



 $2 \mu$ 

Figure 11. SEM micrograph of particles that resulted from catastrophic nucleation.



Figure 12. Copper K $\alpha$  x-ray diffraction patterns of particles that have undergone different post-thermal treatment due to the increase in the final zone temperature.

- (a) operating temperature 773 973 K.
- (b) operating temperature 773 1273 K.
- (c) operating temperature 773 1523 K.



 $d_{p,c}$  ( $\mu m$ )

Figure 13. Characteristic time for loss of clusters of size  $d_{p,c}$  by Brownian coagulation with seed particles of various sizes  $d_{p,s}$  and number concentration  $N_s$ .

### CHAPTER 3

# A DISCRETE - SECTIONAL SOLUTION TO THE AEROSOL DYNAMIC EQUATION

Accepted for Publication in the Journal of Colloid and Interface Science (1986)

#### ABSTRACT

A discrete - sectional model is presented which can simulate the aerosol evolution associated with fast chemical reactions. The mechanisms of coagulation, evaporation, and chemical reactions are treated in this model. Test calculations were performed to examine the sensitivity of the resulting number concentration and size distribution to the break point between the discrete and the sectional regime, k, and the number of sections, M, used. The numerical diffusion problem in this model due to the condensational growth was also examined. Simulations of refractory particle formation and growth in aerosol reactors by gas phase chemical reactions were in good agreement with previous experimental observations.

#### 1. Introduction

The dynamics of aerosols in which particle sizes change due to condensation, evaporation, coagulation, and chemical reactions are described by the so-called general dynamic equation (GDE)(1). The GDE may be presented either in discrete form, accounting separately for monomer, dimer, trimer, etc., or in continuous form wherein the distribution of particles with respect to size is represented by a continuous function. The former approach provides an accurate description of the evolution of small clusters(1), for example, the initial formation of new particles by homogeneous nucleation. The latter method is better suited to systems involving a broad range of particle sizes for which the discrete representation is numerically intractible. The continuous method does not, however, accurately represent the dynamics of small clusters.

A numerical method designed to overcome the disadvantages of both methods has been developed by Gelbard and Seinfeld(2). They integrated the discrete representation of the dynamics of small clusters with the continuous description of large particles. This discrete-continuous model, presented in the code AEROSOL(2), thus provides an accurate description over the entire range of particle sizes. The severe computational requirements associated with following the dynamics of a size distribution that varies over orders of magnitude in particle size and concentration limit the applicability of this model to systems in which the size distribution does not change rapidly.

A number of approximate descriptions have been proposed to reduce the computational requirements of simulations of aerosol dynamics(3-5). The general sectional representation for the aerosol balance equations was derived rigorously by Gelbard and Seinfeld(3,4). This representation allowed arbitrary specification of the size classes, integral quantity of number, surface area, and volume in the computation of aerosol evolution due to coagulation, deposition, condensation, and particle source fluxes. The sectional model avoids the difficulty of dimensionality associated with the discrete form of population equations. The sectional solution is a practical and simple way of obtaining a fair amount information for realistic problems, provided the proper sectionalization is utilized(3). Unfortunately, the sectional GDE does not accurately represent processes occurring among the molecular clusters.

The object of this work is to extend the approach of the discrete-continuous model to the sectional representation, developing a discrete - sectional model which treats coagulation, condensation, and evaporation over the entire cluster and aerosol size spectrum. The sensitivity of the size distribution to the number of sizes in the discrete region and sizes of the sections used in the calculations is examined. The numerical diffusion associated with applying this method to condensation process is also investigated by considering the growth of an aerosol that begins with a monodisperse distribution and comparing the solution with that obtained by a direct integration of the condensation growth equation. The utility of this model for describing the aerosol formation and growth under chemical reactions is examined by comparing experimental observations and simulation results for refractory particle synthesis systems.-

#### 2. Governing Equations for A Discrete - Sectional Representation

We consider a single chemical component aerosol. The aerosol size spectrum is separated into two parts as illustrated in Figure 1. The first part of the spectrum, starting from the monomer, is described by the number concentration,  $N_i$ , of particles containing *i* monomers, where  $1 \le i \le k$ . The second part is described by the mass concentrations,  $Q_l$ , contained in sections into which the rest of the particle size space is divided. Assuming constant particle density, it is convenient to represent the particle size in this regime by the logarithm of the mass, *v*, of the particle, i.e.,  $x = \ln v$ . For each section *l* ( $1 \le l \le M$ ), the aerosol mass in the corresponding interval,  $x_{l-1} \le x < x_l$ , is

$$Q_{l} = \int_{x_{l-1}}^{x_{l}} q(x) dx . \qquad [1]$$

The mass density function of the continuous mass distribution, q(x), is related to number density function, n(x), by

$$q(x) = \frac{dQ}{dx} = n(x)e^x.$$
 [2]

The mass density function is assumed constant within each section leading to

$$q(x) = rac{Q_l}{x_l - x_{l-1}}$$
;  $x_{l-1} \leq x < x_l$ . [3]

The number concentration in the lth section,  $N_l$ , can be obtained from [2] and [3],

$$N_{l} = \int_{x_{l-1}}^{x_{l}} n(x) dx = Q_{l} \frac{e^{-x_{l-1}} - e^{-x_{l}}}{x_{l} - x_{l-1}} .$$
 [4]

The size of the largest cluster treated in discrete form, k, determines the accuracy with which the distribution of small clusters is modeled, as will be shown below. The two parts of the particle size space are connected by

$$(m_1)(k+\frac{1}{2})=e^{x_0}$$
, [5]

where  $m_1$  is the mass of monomer.  $(m_1)(k+1/2)$  is used instead of  $(m_1)(k+1)$  to represent the starting of the continuous distribution because the discrete meaning is no longer valid.  $(m_1)(k+1/2)$  to  $(m_1)(k+3/2)$  has been chosen to represent the mass size range for particle with (k + 1) - mers.

The physical processes that influence aerosol dynamics include coagulation, evaporation, deposition, and particle generation or removal. The deposition processes are highly system dependent. For deposition processes that are first order in the number concentration, e.g., deposition by gravitation settling, diffusion to container walls or environmental surfaces, etc., the loss terms are identical to that for the evaporation process. Particle growth by condensation of vapor molecules on the existing aerosol is equivalent to the coagulation between the monomer and the aerosol. We consider, therefore, only three phenomena here : (a) coagulation of two particles, (b) evaporation or escape of a monomer from a particle, and (c) particle generation and/or removal.

#### 2.1 Coagulation

The description of coagulation is well established for cases in which both particles are in either the discrete or the sectional regime(1-5). To incorporate both regimes into one model, the particles through the boundary between the discrete and sectional regimes must be modeled. We need to know: (a) What is the rate of coagulation of particles of number concentration,  $N_i$ , and mass,  $u_{ci}$ , in the discrete regime with particles of mass concentration,  $Q_l$ , and mass v in the sectional regime? (b) What is the rate of mass addition to section l due to this coagulation? (c) What is the rate of mass addition to section l due to coagulation of particles in the discrete regime with particles in the lower sections? and (d) What is the rate of mass addition to section l due to coagulation of particles only from the discrete regime ?

The rate of coagulation of particles with number concentration  $N_i$  and mass  $u_{ci}$  in the discrete regime and particles with mass concentration  $Q_l$  and mass v in the sectional regime is obtained by integrating over the size distribution within the section, i.e.,

$$\int_{v_0}^{v_M} \beta(u_{ci}, v) N_i n(v) dv = \sum_{r=1}^M N_i Q_r \int_{x_{r-1}}^{x_r} \frac{\beta(u_{ci}, v) dx}{v(x_r - x_{r-1})},$$
 [6]

where  $\beta(u_{ci}, v)$  is the Brownian coagulation rate coefficient. In the sectional formation, the number density function, n(v), is replaced by  $Q_r/v(x_r - x_{r-1})$ and the integral by a summation over all sections. The net flux of mass into section *l* due to the coagulation of particles in section *l* with those in the discrete regime is obtained by summing the contributions of all particles in the discrete size range:

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{v_{l-1}}^{v_{l}} \left[ \theta(u_{ci} + v < v_{l})u_{ci} - \theta(u_{ci} + v \ge v_{l})v \right] \beta(u_{ci}, v) N_{i} n(v) dv$$

$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} N_{i} Q_{l} \int_{x_{l-1}}^{x_{l}} \frac{\left[ \theta(u_{ci} + v < v_{l})u_{ci} - \theta(u_{ci} + v \ge v_{l})v \right] \beta(u_{ci}, v) dx}{v(x_{l} - x_{l-1})} \quad [7]$$

$$\theta(u_{ci} + v < v_{l}) = \begin{cases} 1 & \text{if } u_{ci} + v < v_{l} \\ 0 & \text{otherwise} \end{cases}$$

The net rate of addition of mass into section l as a result of the coagulation between particles in discrete regime and lower sections is described similarly by

$$\sum_{i=1}^{k} \int_{v_{0}}^{v_{l-1}} N_{i} \theta(v_{l-1} \le u_{ci} + v < v_{l}) (u_{ci} + v) \beta(u_{ci}, v) n(v) dv$$
$$= \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} N_{i} Q_{r} \int_{v_{r-1}}^{v_{r}} \frac{\theta(v_{l-1} \le u_{ci} + v < v_{l}) (u_{ci} + v) \beta(u_{ci}, v) dx}{v(x_{r} - x_{r-1})} .$$
[8]

Mass is also transferred into section l by the coagulation of particles in the discrete regime. It is described by the regular discrete presentation,

$$\frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k}\theta(v_{l-1} \le u_{ci} + u_{cj} < v_l)(u_{ci} + u_{cj})\beta(u_{ci}, u_{cj})N_iN_j .$$
 [9]

From [6] to [9], we can define discrete - sectional coagulation coefficients in order to derive rate equations for the dependent variables  $N_i$  and  $Q_l$ :

$${}^{1}\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{ir} = \int_{x_{r-1}}^{x_{r}} \frac{\beta(u_{ci}, v)dx}{v(x_{r} - x_{r-1})} , \qquad [10]$$

$${}^{2}\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{il} = \int_{x_{l-1}}^{x_{l}} \frac{\left[\theta(u_{ci} + v \ge v_{l})v - \theta(u_{ci} + v < v_{l})u_{ci}\right]\beta(u_{ci}, v)dx}{v(x_{l} - x_{l-1})} , \qquad [11]$$

$${}^{3}\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{irl} = \int_{x_{r-1}}^{x_{r}} \frac{\theta(v_{l-1} \le u_{ci} + v < v_{l})(u_{ci} + v)\beta(u_{ci}, v)dx}{v(x_{r} - x_{r-1})} , \qquad [12]$$

$${}^{4}\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{ijl} = \theta(v_{l-1} \le u_{ci} + u_{cj} < v_l)(u_{ci} + u_{cj})\beta(u_{ci}, u_{cj}) .$$
[13]

The governing discrete-sectional equations describing the coagulation of an aerosol in an isothermal system become

$$\frac{dN_{1}}{dt} = -\sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{1i} N_{1} N_{i} - \left[\sum_{r=1}^{M} {}^{1} \bar{\beta}_{1r} Q_{r}\right] N_{1} , \qquad [14]$$

$$\frac{dN_{i}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \beta_{i-j j} N_{i-j} N_{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_{ij} N_{i} N_{j} \\
- \left[\sum_{r=1}^{M} {}^{1} \bar{\beta}_{ir} Q_{r}\right] N_{i} , \quad 2 \le i \le k , \qquad [15]$$

$$\frac{dQ_{l}}{dt} = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} {}^{1} \bar{\beta}_{ijl} Q_{i} Q_{j} - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} {}^{2} \bar{\beta}_{il} Q_{i}\right] Q_{l} - \frac{1}{2} {}^{3} \bar{\beta}_{ll} Q_{l}^{2} \\
- \left[\sum_{i=l+1}^{M} {}^{4} \bar{\beta}_{il} Q_{i}\right] Q_{l} - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} {}^{2} \bar{\bar{\beta}}_{il} N_{i}\right] Q_{l} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} {}^{3} \bar{\bar{\beta}}_{irl} N_{i} Q_{r} \\
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} {}^{4} \bar{\bar{\beta}}_{ijl} N_{i} N_{j} , \quad 1 \le l \le M , \qquad [16]$$

where  $N_i$  is the number concentration of particles with i - mers,  $Q_l$  is the mass concentration of section l with size range from  $x_{l-1}$  to  $x_l$ .  ${}^1\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{ir}$ ,  ${}^2\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{il}$ ,  ${}^3\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{irl}$ , and  ${}^{4}\bar{\beta}_{ijl}$  are the discrete - sectional coagulation coefficients accounting for the interactions of particles between the discrete and sectional regimes.  ${}^{1}\bar{\beta}_{ijl}$ ,  ${}^{2}\bar{\beta}_{il}$ ,  ${}^{3}\bar{\beta}_{ll}$ , and  ${}^{4}\bar{\beta}_{il}$  are the inter- and intrasectional coagulation coefficients (3-5) (summarized in Table I) to count the interactions of particles inside the sectional regime. The representation of the discrete - sectional coagulation coefficients can also be derived using a discrete description of the aerosol size distribution. The results of this derivation are summarized in Table II for comparison.

#### 2.2 Evaporation or escape of monomers from a particle

The rate of evaporation of i - mers to form (i-1) - mers is

$$(1+\delta_{2,i})E_iN_i , \qquad i\geq 2 , \qquad [17]$$

where  $N_i$  and  $E_i$  are the number concentration and evaporation coefficient of the particles with i - mers, respectively.

The overall mass balance for evaporation may be derived using the discrete distributions,

$$\frac{dN_1}{dt} = \sum_{j=2}^{\infty} (1 + \delta_{2,j}) E_j N_j , \qquad [18]$$

$$\frac{dN_i}{dt} = E_{i+1}N_{i+1} - E_iN_i , \qquad i \ge 2 .$$
 [19]

To express the distribution for  $i \ge k + 1$  in the sectional form, the number concentration of particles larger than the k - mers is converted into mass distribution and transformed to the sectional equations,

$$\frac{dQ_{l}}{dt} = \sum_{i=k_{l-1}+1}^{k_{l}} \frac{dM_{i}}{dt}$$

$$= \sum_{i=k_{l-1}+1}^{k_{l}} (m_{1}i) \frac{dN_{i}}{dt}$$

$$= k_{l} \left(\frac{E_{k_{l}+1}}{k_{l}+1}\right) M_{k_{l}+1} - k_{l-1} \left(\frac{E_{k_{l-1}+1}}{k_{l-1}+1}\right) M_{k_{l-1}+1}$$

$$- \sum_{i=k_{l-1}+1}^{k_{l}} \left(\frac{E_{i}}{i}\right) M_{i},$$
[20]

where  $M_i$  is the mass concentration of i - mers. The first two terms on the right-hand side result from intersectional evaporation, i.e., some of the smallest particles in section l + 1 move into section l because of evaporation, and some of the smallest ones in section l move out. The last term accounts for the intrasectional evaporation, which can be expressed in terms of the mass of the *lth* section,  $Q_l$ ,

$$\sum_{i=k_{l-1}+1}^{k_l} \left(\frac{E_i}{i}\right) M_i = \bar{E}_l Q_l . \qquad [21]$$

The sectional coefficient for intrasectional evaporation for section l ,  $\bar{E}_l$ , is evaluated as

$$\bar{E}_{l} = \frac{\sum_{i=k_{l-1}+1}^{k_{l}} \frac{E_{i}}{i}}{(k_{l}-k_{l-1})} .$$
[22]

Alternatively,  $\bar{E}_l$  can be expressed in terms of the continuous distribution as

$$\bar{E}_{l} = \frac{\int_{x_{l-1}}^{x_{l}} q(x) E(x) \frac{m_{1}}{e^{x}} dx}{\int_{x_{l-1}}^{x_{l}} q(x) dx}$$
$$= \frac{1}{x_{l} - x_{l-1}} \int_{x_{l-1}}^{x_{l}} E(x) \frac{m_{1}}{e^{x}} dx . \qquad [23]$$

Assuming, as usual, that q(x) is approximated by  $Q_l/(x_l - x_{l-1})$ , the overall mass balance for section l with respect to evaporation may be expressed as

$$\left[\frac{\partial Q_l}{\partial t}\right]_{evap} = -\bar{E}_l Q_l + I_l - I_{l-1} .$$
<sup>[24]</sup>

The summation of  $(\partial N_l/\partial t)_{evap}$  over all sections is the rate of change of total number concentration in the sectional regime. It can be applied to evaluate the intersectional evaporation coefficient,  $I_l$ :

$$\sum_{l=1}^{M} \left[ \frac{\partial N_l}{\partial t} \right]_{evap} = \sum_{l=1}^{M} \frac{1}{\bar{m}_l} \left[ \frac{\partial Q_l}{\partial t} \right]_{evap}$$
$$= -\frac{1}{\bar{m}_k} I_0 + \frac{1}{\bar{m}_M} I_M .$$
[25]

where  $\bar{m}_l = Q_l/N_l = (x_l - x_{l-1})/(e^{-x_{l-1}} - e^{-x_l}).$ 

If the range of the sectional regime is wide enough to eliminate the finite domain error(3,4), then  $I_M \sim 0$ .  $I_l$ , the rate at which mass moves from section l + 1 to section l is a linear function of  $Q_{l+1}$ . It follows that

$$I_{l} = \frac{\bar{E}_{l+1}Q_{l+1}}{\frac{\bar{m}_{l+1}}{\bar{m}_{l}} - 1} \qquad l \ge 1 , \qquad [26]$$
$$I_{0} = \frac{\bar{E}_{1}Q_{1}}{\frac{\bar{m}_{1}}{\bar{k}m_{1}} - 1} .$$

## 2.3 Summary

The discrete - sectional equations to simulate the aerosol under coagulation, evaporation and source input are

$$\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{N_{1}}{\rho}\right) = R - \sum_{i=1}^{k} \beta_{1i} N_{1} N_{i} - \left[\sum_{r=1}^{M} {}^{1} \bar{\beta}_{1r} Q_{r}\right] N_{1} \\
+ \sum_{j=2}^{k} (1 + \delta_{2,j}) E_{j} N_{j} + \frac{1}{m_{1}} \sum_{r=1}^{M} \bar{E}_{r} Q_{r} , \qquad [27] \\
\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{N_{i}}{\rho}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \beta_{i-j \, j} N_{i-j} N_{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_{ij} N_{i} N_{j} - \left[\sum_{r=1}^{M} {}^{1} \bar{\beta}_{ir} Q_{r}\right] N_{i} \\
+ E_{i+1} N_{i+1} - E_{i} N_{i} , \qquad 2 \le i \le k-1 , \qquad [28] \\
\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{N_{k}}{\rho}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{k-1} \beta_{k-j \, j} N_{k-j} N_{j} - \sum_{j=1}^{k} \beta_{kj} N_{k} N_{j} - \left[\sum_{r=1}^{M} {}^{1} \bar{\beta}_{kr} Q_{r}\right] N_{k} \\
+ \frac{1}{km_{1}} I_{0} - E_{k} N_{k} , \qquad [29] \\
\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{Q_{l}}{\rho}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} {}^{1} \bar{\beta}_{ijl} Q_{i} Q_{j} - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} {}^{2} \bar{\beta}_{il} Q_{i}\right] Q_{l} - \frac{1}{2} {}^{3} \bar{\beta}_{ll} Q_{l}^{2} - \left[\sum_{i=l+1}^{M} {}^{4} \bar{\beta}_{il} Q_{i}\right] Q_{l} \\
- \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} {}^{2} \bar{\beta}_{il} N_{i}\right] Q_{l} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} {}^{3} \bar{\beta}_{irl} N_{i} Q_{r} + \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} {}^{4} \bar{\beta}_{ijl} N_{i} N_{j}$$

$$-\bar{E}_{l}Q_{l} + I_{l} - I_{l-1} , \quad 1 \le l \le M ,$$
[30]

where R in [27] is the source rate of condensible species, and is determined by

- 46 -

the chemistry and physics of the system under consideration. The flux of mass out of the top end of the size range considered in the sectional representation,  $I_M$ , is called the finite domain error. The aerosol concentrations are described by number or mass of particles per unit mass  $(N_i/\rho, Q_l/\rho)$  in order that the equations be applicable to systems in which the temperature varies. With appropriate initial conditions, Eqs. [27] - [30] can be solved numerically to simulate aerosol evolution. A code called DISC has been developed for this purpose.

#### 3. Applications

A series of calculations have been performed to test the sensitivity of the final size distribution to the number of the discrete sizes and sections. The condensation mechanism which has been used to describe the growth of aerosol particles by deposition of vapor molecules will be discussed. We are particularly concerned here with the numerical diffusion problem. Two cases of aerosol evolution with a fast chemical reaction are then examined using the discrete sectional model. One is silicon seed particle growth by thermal pyrolysis of silane gas. The other is the production of ultrafine silicon dioxide particles by thermal reaction of alkoxide vapors.

Fuchs' interpolation formula for the Brownian coagulation coefficient(6),  $\beta$ , with Millikan's slip correction for the particle diffusivity(7) was used for particles ranging from the free molecule to the continuum regime,

$$\beta_{ij} = 2\pi (\mathcal{D}_i + \mathcal{D}_j) (d_i + d_j) \left[ \frac{d_i + d_j}{d_i + d_j + 2g_{ij}} + \frac{8(\mathcal{D}_i + \mathcal{D}_j)}{\bar{v}_{ij}(d_i + d_j)} \right]^{-1} , \qquad [31]$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{i} = \frac{kT}{3\pi d_{i}\mu} \left[ 1 + Kn_{i} \left( 1.257 + 0.4exp(-\frac{1.1}{Kn_{i}}) \right) \right] , \qquad [32]$$

$$g_{ij} = (g_i^2 + g_j^2)^{1/2}$$
, [33]

$$g_i = \frac{1}{3d_i l_i} [(d_i + l_i)^3 - (d_i^2 + l_i^2)^{3/2}] - d_i , \qquad [34]$$

$$l_i = \frac{8D_i}{\pi c_i} , \qquad [35]$$

$$c_i = \sqrt{\frac{8kT}{\pi m_i}} . \tag{36}$$

 $\beta_{ij}$  is expressed as a function of the diameters of the two particles,  $d_i$  and  $d_j$ .

The particle diffusivity,  $D_i$ , is from the Stokes-Einstein formula with a correction factor.  $Kn_i$  is the Knudsen number defined as  $Kn_i = 2\lambda/d_i$ , where  $\lambda$  is the mean free path of the vapor molecules.  $c_i$  is the thermal mean velocity of particles with diameter  $d_i$  and mass  $m_i$ .

An expression of the evaporation coefficient,  $E_i$ , that applies to the free molecule, transition, and continuum regimes is required. It is determined from the coagulation coefficient at equilibrium conditions(8,9) by applying detailed balancing, and is given by,

$$E_{i} = \beta_{i1} \left(\frac{P_{v}}{kT}\right) \left[1 - \left(\frac{d_{1}}{d_{i}}\right)^{3}\right]^{\frac{2}{s}} \exp\left\{\frac{4\sigma v_{1}}{d_{i}kT} \cdot \frac{3}{2} \left(\frac{d_{i}}{d_{1}}\right)^{3} \left[1 - \left(1 - \left(\frac{d_{1}}{d_{i}}\right)^{3}\right)^{\frac{2}{s}}\right]\right\},$$
[37]

where  $\beta_{i1}$  is the coagulation coefficient of the *i* - mer and the monomer,  $(P_v/kT)$ is the gas phase concentration of the condensible species, i.e., monomer concentration in equilibrium over a flat surface, and  $\sigma$  is the surface tension. It should be noted that  $v_1$  represents the molecular volume of the condensible species.

#### 3.1 Effect of number of discrete sizes and number of sections

The initial stage of aerosol formation with different sizes of the discrete regime was investigated using 9 sections per decade of diameter for the sectional regime, varying the maximum size treated as discrete, k, from 1 to 27. k=1 corresponds to the pure sectional model of Gelbard et al.(3-5). The processes considered in these calculations included coagulation and evaporation for an initial monomer number concentration,  $N_1$ , of  $10^{20}$  m<sup>-3</sup>. A model organic

compound having the properties listed in Table 3 is assumed for these calculations. The results are presented in terms of the characteristic collision time of the monomers,

$$\tau_{\beta} = \frac{2}{\beta_{1\,1}\,N_{1,0}} \,. \tag{[38]}$$

Figures 2 and 3 show the evolution of the aerosol at times  $0.01\tau_{\beta}$  and  $\tau_{\beta}$ . The number concentration is normalized by the initial number concentration. The pure sectional model clearly does not represent the aerosol distribution properly, but little change is seen as k is increased above 18. The inaccuracy for small k results from the calculation of the sectional coagulation coefficients of the very small clusters. In the discrete-sectional model, the calculation of the cluster dynamics is accurately represented by the discrete distribution.

The influence of the number of sections on the calculated particle size distribution was investigated with the same initial conditions and processes as for Figures 2 and 3 using a fixed number of discrete regime size, k=18. The mass and number concentrations with sections 3, 5, 7, and 9 per decade of diameter were compared at times  $\tau_{\beta}$  and  $100\tau_{\beta}$ . Figure 4 shows the dimensionless mass distributions for the four cases at  $\tau_{\beta}$ . The breadth of the mass distribution increases as the number of sections is reduced. Figure 5 shows the corresponding dimensionless number distributions. The differences between the four cases are very slight. Thus, one sees that the number of sections used in the simulation is not very critical for very short times, since the particles have not grown significantly into the sectional regime. Figures 6 and 7 show the mass and number distributions, respectively, of the same aerosol at a later time,  $100\tau_{\beta}$ . Here the number of sections has a much greater effect. The size distributions clearly collapse together as the number of sections is increased. The number distribution in Figure 7 shows that the error accumulates after significant aerosol growth has occurred when a small number of sections is used. From Figures 4 to 7, one observes that the calculated aerosol size distribution narrows as the number of sections is increased. Total mass is conserved for all calculations with errors of less than 0.001%.

#### **3.2** Condensation of vapor molecules on an existing aerosol

The condensation process, defined as the diffusion of vapor monomers onto the aerosol particles, is of great interest because it is one of the main mechanisms for the growth of aerosol in the atmosphere and other aerosol systems with relatively low vapor concentration. For constant density particles of mass  $m_i$  and diameter  $d_i$ , the particle growth rate is given by,

$$\frac{dm_i}{dt} = 2\pi \mathcal{D}_1 m_1 d_i \Delta N_1 f(Kn_i)$$
[39]

where we shall use the Fuchs-Sutugin correction factor(10),

$$f(Kn_i) = \frac{1 + Kn_i}{1 + 1.71Kn_i + 1.33Kn_i^2}$$
[40]

to describe the growth of particles in the transition and free molecule regimes. The vapor mean free path is

$$\lambda = \frac{3D_1}{c_1} \quad . \tag{41}$$

 $\Delta N_1$ , the driving force for condensaton, is the difference between the monomer concentration at infinity and the particle surface. It can be expressed in terms of the saturation ratio, S, as  $(S-1)N_s$  when the Kelvin effect is negligible.

The condensation process was not included in the discrete-sectional model explicitly. Actually, it is equivalent to the coagulation process between a monomer and an aerosol particle. One can examine it by simply looking at the two limiting cases. The Fuch-Sutugin formula gives us the condensation rate as  $2\pi D_1 m_1 d_i \Delta N_1$  and  $\frac{\pi}{4} d_i^2 c_1 m_1 \Delta N_1$  for particles in the continuum and the free molecule regime, respectively. These are the same as the two asymptotic values of  $\beta_{i1} m_1 N_1$  for  $S \gg 1$ .

The condensation of a continuously reinforced monomer on existing particles was simulated to test the ability of DISC to handle this process. A constant saturation ratio was assumed and an initially monodisperse aerosol with a diameter of 0.002  $\mu$ m was used. The simulation of aerosol growth by condensation of vapor molecules was performed using 5, 18, and 28 sections per decade of diameter. The aerosol size distributions that result after increasing the mean diameter by a factor of 500 are shown in Figure 8. The size distributions are expressed as normalized number density functions. The spreading of the distribution shows the numerical diffusion after a long simulation time. The dotted curve is from the direct integration of Eq. [39].

# **3.3** Fine particle formation and growth by gas phase chemical reactions under high source rate conditions

When gas phase chemical reactions generate low vapor pressure species in excess of their equilibrium vapor pressure, the vapor may deposit on existing surfaces or, if large excesses of condensible species are produced, form new particles by homogeneous nucleation. The formation of new particles may be desirable if the reactions have been carried out to generate a powder. In many chemical systems, however, it is desirable to prevent homogeneous nucleation. In either case, the generation rate of molecular clusters is too fast to apply the steady state models of nucleation. The evolution of aerosol is greatly influenced by the kinetics of the cluster distributions. A major motivation for the development of the discrete sectional model has been, therefore, the need to understand aerosol formation and growth in such systems.

In studies of silicon particle growth in a 3-stage silane pyrolysis reactor, the transition from successful growth of seed particles by chemical vapor deposition to runaway nucleation has been found to be extremely sharp with respect to the input concentration of reactant gas(11). A seed aerosol consisting of  $10^{11}$  m<sup>-3</sup> with an average diameter of 0.7  $\mu$ m was generated through a two-stage seed reactor. The seed aerosol was mixed with a stream of silane reactant and nitrogen diluent using static mixers. The mixed 600 cc min<sup>-1</sup> flow was directed to the entrance at the top of the growth reactor. To explore the onset of nucleation in the seed growth reactor, the amount of silane reactant in the input flow was varied and the aerosol number concentration was measured after the reactions went to completion. The temperature along the primary reactor in these experiments was 773 K at the reactor entrance, increased gradually to 850 K, and was finally
stepped up to 973 K to ensure complete reaction of the silane before the aerosol entered the dilution system and aerosol instruments.

The number concentration of the seed aerosol is indicated as the point for 0% silane in Figure 9. The total number concentration of the product aerosol at the exit of the reactor, as measured with a condensation nuclei counter(CNC), increased by about 50% as the silane mole fraction was increased to 3%. Further increase in the silane concentration to 3.5%, an increase of only 17%, led to a catastrophic increase in the number concentration of four orders of magnitude. This onset of runaway nucleation provides a critical test of the discrete-sectional model.

The scavenging of condensible vapors by the seed aerosol is believed to be the main mechanism that quenches nucleation. The discrete-sectional kinetic model was used to simulate this phenomenon with the corresponding experimental conditions. k=20 for the discrete regime and 9 sections per decade for the sectional regime were used. Evaporation from the silicon particles was ignored. The silane reaction mechanisms and kinetics of White et al.(12) were adopted for the simulation. The simulation time step, t, was z/u, where z is the differential length along the reactor, and u is the plug flow velocity which is a function of the flow temperature. The simulated results are shown in Figure 9. The data points are experimental observations using a CNC. The solid curve depicts the calculated total number concentration of particles with size greater than the detection limit of the Environment One CNC (20 nm). As can be seen, the kinetic model's prediction, with no adjustable parameters, matches the experimental results remarkably well. The discrete-sectional kinetic model has also been used to simulate the single stage reactor experiments of Okuyama et al.(13) in which ultrafine  $SiO_2$ particles were produced by the thermal decomposition of silicon alkoxide vapors  $(Si(OC_2H_5)_4)$ . The actual residence time was 2 - 4 seconds. The size distribution of the product aerosol was measured by the combination of a DMA and a mixing type CNC.

The simulation was performed assuming a constant temperature of 1173 K, an input alkoxide concentration of  $6.26 \times 10^{-4} \text{ mol m}^{-3}$ , and instantaneous reaction. Figures 10 and 11 show the experimental data points with the simulation results for numbers of discrete points and sections per decade of 20, 9, and 9, 5, respectively. In both cases, the predicted size distributions agree fairly well with those measured. Using smaller number of sections reduced the number of ODE's solved from 47 to 24. The actual computing time for the case in Figure 11 is 45 seconds on a microVAX.

### 4. Conclusions

The single component sectional model of Gelbard et al.(3-5) has been expanded into a discrete-sectional model to facilitate accurate modelling of the dynamics of small clusters during aerosol formation and growth. The sectional formulation is derived to ensure mass conservation. Condensation, evaporation, coagulation, and chemical reactions are described in this model. Results from this model differ substantially from those of the pure sectional model. Convergence is achieved rapidly as the number of discrete sizes is increased. The model can be used to predict the number and size distributions of powders generated in reactors where the molecular clusters are generated at too fast a rate to apply the steady state nucleation models. Model predictions are in close agreement with experimental observations of refractory particle formation and growth.

## 5. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Program in Advanced Technologies of the California Institute of Technology. The stimulating discussions with Dr. John H. Seinfeld are highly acknowledged. Also, thanks to Hung V. Nguyen for checking the derivations and the manuscript.

#### 6. References

- 1. Gelbard, F., and Seinfeld, J.H., J. Colloid Interface Sci. 68, 363 (1979).
- 2. Gelbard, F., and Seinfeld, J.H., J. Computing Physics 28, 357 (1978).
- 3. Gelbard, F., and Tambour, Y., and Seinfeld, J.H., J. Colloid Interface Science 76, 541 (1980).
- 4. Gelbard, F., and Seinfeld, J.H., J. Colloid Interface Science 78, 485 (1980).
- Gelbard, F., "MAEROS User Manual ", Sandia National Laboratories, SAND80-0822, 1982.
- 6. Fuchs, N.A., " The Mechanics of Aerosol", Pergamon, New York, 1964.
- 7. Millikan, R.A., Phys. Rev. 22, 1 (1923).
- 8. Frenkel, J., " Kinetic Theory of Liquids ", Dover, New York, 1969.
- 9. Friedlander, S.K., Phys. Fluids 3, 643 (1960).
- Fuchs, N.A., and Sutugin, A.G., High-dispersed aerosols In "Topics in Current Aerosol Research". Hidy, G.M., and Brock, J.R., eds., Pergamon, Oxford, vol.2, 34 (1971).
- Wu, J.J., and Flagan, R.C., "Onset of Runaway Nucleation in Aerosol Reactors", J. Appli. Phys., in press, 1986.
- 12. White, R.T., Ring, M.A., and O'Neal, H.E., Int. J. Chem. Kin. 17, 10 (1985).
- Okuyama, K., Kousaka, Y., Tohge, N., Yamamoto, Y., Wu, J.J., Flagan, R.C., and Seinfeld, J.H., "Production of Ultrafine-Fine Metal Oxide Aerosol

Particles by Thermal Decomposition of Metal Alkoxide Vapors ", AICHE J., in press, 1986.

Inter- and intrasectional coagulation coefficients (3-5)

Table 1

Discrete-sectional coagulation coefficients based on the discrete distribution

		والفار والمحافظ والمحاف	
symbol	range	coefficient <sup>a</sup>	remarks
L آ ہُر	1 ≤ i ≤ k 1 ≤ r ≤ M	$\sum_{j=k_{r-1}+1}^{k_r} \frac{\beta_{ij}}{jm_1(k_r-k_{r-1})}$	clusters with mass u <sub>ci</sub> scavenged by particles in the sectional regime
z₿ 19	1 ≤ i ≤ k 1 ≤ l ≤ M	$\sum_{j=k_{1-1}+1}^{k_{1}} \frac{ \theta(i+j \ge k_{1})j - \theta(i+j < k_{1})i \beta_{i,j}}{j(k_{1}-k_{1-1})}$	addition of mass to section <i>l</i> by coagulation with particles in the discrete regime
3∄ <sub>inl</sub>	$1 \leq i \leq k$ $1 \leq r \leq l-1$ $2 \leq l \leq M$	$\sum_{j=k_{r-1}}^{k_r} \frac{[\theta(k_{l-1} \le i+j \le k_l)](i+j)\beta_{l,j}}{j(k_r-k_{r-1})}$	addition of mass to section <i>l</i> by coagu- lation between particles in lower sections and clusters in discrete regime
<sup>4</sup> $\bar{\beta}_{ijl}$	1 ≤ i ≤ k 1 ≤ j ≤ k 1 ≤ j ≤ k	$ heta(k_{l-1} \leq i+j < k_l)(i+j)m_1\beta_{ij}$	mass contributed to section <i>l</i> due to coagulation between particles in the discrete regime
$a\beta_{ij} = \beta$	(dı,, d <sub>j</sub> )		

Table 2

- 61 -

## Table 3

.

## Physical Properties of the Model Compound

Property	Value	Units
Carrying gas		
Temperature	298	К
Total pressure	$1.01  imes 10^5$	$\rm Ntm^{-2}$
Molecular weight	29	$\mathrm{gm}\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$
Aerosol		
Density	1000	${ m kg}{ m m}^{-3}$
Surface tension	$2.5 imes10^{-2}$	$\rm Ntm^{-1}$
Saturation vapor pressure	$1  imes 10^{-6}$	$\rm Ntm^{-2}$
Molecular weight	100	$\mathrm{gm}\mathrm{mol}^{-1}$



Figure 1. Aerosol size spectrum for the discrete-sectional model. Number concentration vs. i - mer on the left side. Mass concentration vs. logarithm of particle mass on the right side.







Figure 2. Dimensionless number concentration as a function of particle diameter of an aerosol formed from an initial monomer concentration of  $10^{20}$  m<sup>-3</sup> at time  $0.01\tau_{\beta}$ , calculated with 1, 9, 18, and 27 discrete points for the discrete regime and with 9 sections per decade of diameter for the sectional regime.



Figure 3. Dimensionless number concentration vs. size of the same aerosol as in Fig. 2 at time  $\tau_{\beta}$ .



 $d_p$  ( $\mu$ m)

Figure 4. Dimensionless mass concentration vs. particle diameter of an aerosol formed from an initial monomer concentration  $10^{20}$  m<sup>-3</sup> at time  $\tau_{\beta}$ , calculated with 3, 5, 7, and 9 sections per decade of diameter for the sectional regime and with 18 discrete points for the discrete regime.







 $d_{\rm p}$  (  $\mu {
m m}$  )

Figure 6. Dimensionless mass concentration vs. particle diameter of the same aerosol as in Fig.4 at  $100\tau_{\beta}$ .



Figure 7. Dimensionless number concentration vs. particle diameter of the same aerosol as in Fig.4 at  $100\tau_{\beta}$ .





Figure 8. Number density distribution function of an aerosol computed by the discretesectional model with 5(--), 18(--), and 28(--) sections per decade and by the direct integration by Fuch-Sutugin formula.



PRIMARY SILANE CONCENTRATION ( % )

Figure 9. Measured and simulated final silicon aerosol number concentrations with seed aerosol ( $10^{11}$  m<sup>-3</sup> (STP) seed particles of 0.7 µm) as a function of silane reactant concentration. The operating temperature range is from 773 to 973 K. The total volume flow rate is 600 cc min<sup>-1</sup>. The squares are experimental data illustrating the transition from seed particle growth by chemical vapor deposition to runaway nucleation(11).



Figure 10. Measured size distribution of ultrafine  $SiO_2$  particles and simulated results using 20 discrete sizes and 9 sections per decade of diameter.



Figure 11. Measured size distribution of ultrafine SiO<sub>2</sub> particles and simulated results using 9 discrete sizes and 5 sections per decade of diameter.

## CHAPTER 4

# SUBMICRON SILICON POWDER PRODUCTION IN AN AEROSOL REACTOR

Published in the Applied Physics Letters **49**(2):82-84 (1986)

## ABSTRACT

Powder synthesis by thermally induced vapor phase reactions is described. The powder generated by this technique consists of spherical, nonagglomerated particles of high purity. The particles are uniform in size, in the 0.1 to 0.2 micron size range. Most of the particles are crystalline spheres. A small fraction of the spheres are amorphous. Chain agglomerates account for less than 1 percent of the spherules.

#### 1. Introduction

To produce ceramics with high strength at high temperatures, starting powders with carefully controlled properties are required. The ideal powder for such application would consist of uniformly sized, spherical, nonagglomerated submicron particles with carefully controlled compositions <sup>1</sup>. These properties make it possible to produce very uniform packing of the starting materials, a critical step in ceramics processing. A variety of methods, including solution synthesis <sup>2,3</sup>, laser induced reactions <sup>4,5</sup>, and thermally induced vapor phase reactions <sup>6-10</sup>, are presently used to generate these starting powders.

The production of uniformly sized, non-agglomerated solid particles of spherical shape by gas phase chemical reactions is possible only under special circumstances. The initial step in particle formation is homogeneous nucleation which generally leads to very high concentrations of very small particles. These particles can grow by Brownian coagulation or by vapor deposition, either chemical or physical. Brownian coagulation of solid particles leads to the formation of low density flocs that may be densified by sintering or vapor deposition. Even if the particles coalesce completely, coagulation leads to a relatively broad particle size distribution, a limiting case of which is the so-called "self-preserving" particle size distribution which maintains its shape as the particles grow <sup>11</sup>.

On the other hand, the growth of particles by vapor deposition narrows the particle size distribution as the particles grow. Vapor deposition can dominate over coagulation only when the particle concentration is low since coagulation is a second order process. It is, however, very difficult to prevent the formation of large numbers of particles by homogeneous nucleation of refractory species, even though there may be many particles present in the system.

To generate particles with the desired characteristics, it is, therefore, necessary to: (i) produce particles much smaller than the desired powder size by homogeneous nucleation, with the number concentration being kept low enough to prevent appreciable coagulation; and (ii) grow those particles by deposition of the products of the gas-phase chemical reactions that are carried out at such a rate that additional particle formation from the gas is suppressed. As the particles grow by vapor deposition, they depress the vapor pressure of the condensible reaction products and reduce their tendency to nucleate. The influence of growing particles on the nucleation rate has been the subject of numerous theoretical investigations  $^{12-16}$ .

With this information and knowledge of the reaction kinetics of the system of interest, a reactor can be designed to grow particles with the desired characteristics. Multi-stage reactors have previously been developed in which silicon particles as large as 10  $\mu m$  mass median diameter were grown directly from the products of the thermal pyrolysis of silane on 0.1 to 1.5  $\mu m$  diameter seed particles by vapor deposition <sup>17,18</sup>. In the primary particle growth stage of the reactor, the silane pyrolysis rate was gradually accelerated from a very low value as the particles grew and became more effective at scavenging condensible vapors. This was accomplished by ramping the temperature along the length of the flow reactor.

In this letter, we describe a method for the synthesis of spherical submicron particles of controlled size by thermally induced chemical reactions. This process is applied to the synthesis of silicon powders in the 0.15 to 0.25  $\mu m$  size range. The properties of these powders are examined.

#### 2. Particle Synthesis

The synthesis of uniform particles of 0.1  $\mu m$  diameter requires the use of small numbers of much smaller particles as seeds to be grown by vapor deposition. This is accomplished in a single stage reactor in which the reaction rate is initially very low, thereby limiting the size and number concentration generated by nucleation. By ramping the temperature along the length of a flow reactor using the 5 zone furnace illustrated in Fig.1, the rate of reaction is accelerated and the seeds are grown by vapor deposition. The reactor consists of a 12 mm i.d. quartz tube that is 850 mm long. The first three heating zones are 50 mm long, and are separated by 10 mm of low density insulation. The fourth and fifth heating zones are 350 mm and 150 mm long, respectively, and again are separated by insulation.

Electronic grade silane (Union Carbide, 99.99 %) and high purity nitrogen (further purified and dried by passing over hot copper) were thoroughly mixed with a series of static mixers (Luwa, Inc.) and introduced into the upper end of the reactor tube. The temperature of the first furnace zone was maintained at 770 K, to assure a low initial reaction and nucleation rate. This low initial temperature was followed by slow heating, as shown in Fig. 2, to allow time for the nuclei to begin to grow. The temperature at the end of the fourth heating zone was increased to 1100 K, a sufficiently high temperature that complete decomposition was assured. In the remaining length of the furnace the temperature was increased to 1523 K to densify the silicon particles.

The product aerosol was collected on teflon membrane filters (Milipore). To prevent thermophoretic deposition of the small particles in the hot reactant flow on the cool walls of the sampling system, the aerosol was first diluted in the porous tube arrangement shown in Fig.1. By blowing cool (room temperature) nitrogen through the wall of the diluter, the particles are transported away from the vicinity of the wall and high temperature gradients that would otherwise lead to substantial losses of the product particles. The filter holders were sealed following collection, and taken to a nitrogen glove box where the silicon was transferred to bottles for storage and shipping.

The size distribution and number concentration of the silicon aerosol at the reactor outlet were measured using a TSI Model 3030 Electrical Aerosol Size Analyzer, a Royco Model 226 Laser Optical Particle Counter, and an Environment One Condensation Nuclei Counter. The total number concentration of the product aerosol was  $2 \times 10^{14} / m^3$ . The size distribution is shown in Fig. 3. The particles were highly uniform in size at about 0.15  $\mu m$  diameter.

#### 3. Particle Characterization

The silicon powders were brown in color, indicative of high purity silicon <sup>19</sup>. Electron micrographs showed that the particles were dense, spherical, and uniformly sized. The vast majority of the spherules exhibited the morphologies shown in Fig. 4. The structure of these particles consisted of diamond cubic grains with dimensions of 0.05 to 0.10  $\mu m$  showing extensive twinning and stacking faults. The twin lamellae extend across the entire grain diameter, suggesting that the transformed region sweeps across the entire particle as a planar front upon the amorphous to crystalline phase transformation.

A similar microstructure has been observed during the  $ZrO_2$  tetragonal to monoclinic transformation in the system  $ZrO_2 - Zicron^{20}$ , which proceeds by a martensitic phase transformation. Frequently associated with these transformations are strain effects due to the large volume changes incurred. These strain effects were not evident in the transformed silicon particles and there appeared to be little or no distortion of the particles after transformation.

The twinned regions appear to be randomly oriented with respect to neighboring particles, as would be expected for particles that underwent independent processing in the aerosol phase. The average crystallite size was determined from X-ray peak broadening  $^{22}$ . The Bragg peak broadening  $\Delta K$  as a function of the magnitude of the wave vector K was calculated after performing Rachlinge and Stoke's corrections  $^{22}$ . The result, as in Fig. 5, shows that the dominant contribution to the line broadening is from small crystal size. The average crystallite size determined was 50 nm, in close agreement with that estimated from dark field transmission electron microscopy measurements. Based on these measure-

ments, the silicon particles produced by thermal decomposition of silane were 3 to 4 times as large as the crystallites. This is consistent with measurements made by Cannon et al.<sup>4</sup> on silicon powders produced by laser induced pyrolysis of silane. The factor controlling the size of these crystallites produced during the amorphous/crystalline transformation is not certain even though there is some evidence that it is similar to a martensitic phase transformation. The particle size to crystallite size ratio appears to be independent of history.

A very small fraction of powders, Fig. 6(a), are featureless, no fine structure. Electron diffraction patterns indicate that these particles are truly amorphous. The amorphous particles could also be distinguished from the crystalline powders by the absence of twins and stacking faults in their microstructures. These essentially featureless powders were unaffected by tilting whereas contrast changes were observed in the twinned regions upon tilting. Chain agglomerates of microcrystalline particles, shown in Fig. 6(b), accounted for less than 1 percent of the spherules. Some neck formation was observed in the agglomerates of these spherules.

Infrared absorption spectroscopy was used to explore the possible contamination of the surface with silicon oxides or silicon nitride. No detectable absorption by these species was found. The detection limits for these measurements were estimated to correspond to a layer averaging 7  $\mathring{A}$  thick on the surface of the particles.

## 4. Acknowledgements

This work was supported in part by the Flat Plate Solar Array Project, Jet Propulsion Laboratory, California Institute of Technology sponsored by the U.S. Department of Energy through an agreement with NASA.

### 5. References

- <sup>1</sup> H.K. Bowen, Mater. Sci. Eng. 44 [1], 1 (1980).
- <sup>2</sup> E.A. Barringer and H.K. Bowen, Comm. Am. Ceram. Soc. December, C-199 (1982).
- <sup>3</sup> J. Gobet and E. Matijevic, J. Colloid and Interface Sci. 100 (2), 555 (1984).
- <sup>4</sup> W.R. Cannon, S.C. Danforth, J.H. Flint, J.S. Haggerty, and R.A. Marra, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 65 [7], 324 (1982).
- <sup>5</sup> W.R. Cannon, S.C. Danforth, J.S. Haggerty, and R.A. Marra, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **65** [7], 330 (1982).
- <sup>6</sup> Y. Suyama and A. Kato, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. **59** [3-4], 146 (1976).
- <sup>7</sup> G.D. Ulrich, Combust. Sci. Technol. 4, 47 (1971).
- <sup>8</sup> G.D. Ulrich, B.A.M. Ines, and N.S. Subranmanian, Combust. Sci. Technol. 14, 243 (1976).
- <sup>9</sup> G.D. Ulrich and N.S. Subranmanian, Combust. Sci. Technol. 17, 119 (1977).
- <sup>10</sup> S. Prochazka and C. Greskovich, Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull. **57** [6], 579 (1978).
- <sup>11</sup> F.S. Lai, S.K. Friedlander, J. Pich, and G.M. Hidy, J. Colloid Interface Sci. **39 (2)**, 395 (1972).
- <sup>12</sup> E.M. Zaiser and V.K. LaMer, J. Colloid Sci. 3, 571 (1948).
- <sup>13</sup> H. Reiss and V.K. LaMer, J. Chem. Phys. 18, 1 (1950).

- <sup>14</sup> A. Pesthy, R.C. Flagan, and J.H. Seinfeld, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 82 (2), 465 (1981).
- <sup>15</sup> M.K. Alam and R.C. Flagan, J. Colloid Interface Sci. 97 (1), 232 (1984).
- <sup>16</sup> J.E. Stern, J.J. Wu, R.C. Flagan, and J.H. Seinfeld, J. Colloid Interface Sci.
   1986. (in press)
- <sup>17</sup> M.K. Alam and R.C. Flagan, Aerosol Sci. Technol. 1986. (in press)
- <sup>18</sup> J.J. Wu and R.C. Flagan, J. Applied Phys. Ms. # R-8282, 1986. (submitted for publication)
- <sup>19</sup> A.J. Moulsen, J. Mater. Sci. **14** [5], 1071 (1979).
- <sup>20</sup> R. McPhersen, B.V. Shafer and A.M. Wang, J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 65 [4], C-57 1982.
- <sup>21</sup> G.R. Markowski, Aerosol Sci. Technol. 1986.(in press)
- <sup>22</sup> B.E. Warren, "X-Ray Diffraction", Addison-Wesley Publishing Co., 1969.



Figure 1. Schematic of the 5 zone aerosol reactor in which silicon particles were generated by silane pyrolysis and the transpired wall system for product dilution and cooling.



Figure 2. Measured temperature profiles on the reactor wall. The heating zones and regions of insulation are indicated.



Figure 3. Number distributions of the product aerosol. The histogram is the raw data obtained with EAA. Also shown is an estimate of the actual particle size distribution obtained by applying a modified Twomey algorithm<sup>21</sup> to correct for cross-sensitivities in the EAA instrument response function.



Figure 4. TEM photographs of the crystalline silicon particles that accounted for about 99 percent of the particles produced by rate controlled thermal decomposition of silane.


Figure 5. Bragg peak broadening  $\Delta K$  as a function of the magnitude of the wave vector K.



- Figure 6(a). TEM photograph showing amorphous silicon particle produced in the aerosol reactor.
- Figure 6(b). TEM photograph of a chain agglomerate produced in the aerosol reactor. The small spherical sub-units of such particles accounted for less than 1 percent of the spherules produced.

## CHAPTER 5

# A METHOD FOR SYNTHESIS OF SUBMICRON PARTICLES

with

Hung V. Nguyen

Accepted for Publication in the

Langmuir

(1986)-

## ABSTRACT

Powder synthesis by thermally induced vapor phase reactions in aerosol reactors is described. The powders generated by this technique consist of high purity, spherical, nonagglomerated, and uniformly sized particles in the 0.1  $\mu m$ size range. Most of the particles are crystalline spheres. A theoretical examination based on the Discrete-Sectional Model is presented. The comparison shows that the calculated size distribution of the final product from the aerosol reactor is fairly consistent with that observed experimentally.

### 1. Introduction

Powders having uniform submicron particles of spherical shape, free from agglomerates, controlled size distribution, and of high purity are required to produce very uniform and dense compacts of the starting materials, a critical step in the processing of ceramics and other powder based materials.(2) A variety of methods are presently being used to generate these starting powders. Extreme uniformity of particle size can be achieved by solution synthesis(3,4), but this technique is applicable to a limited range of compositions. Highly uniform powders of silicon, silicon carbide, and silicon nitride have recently been generated by laser induced pyrolysis of silane.(5,6)

Thermally induced vapor phase reactions have also been used to produce a variety of powders. Oxide particles are produced by vapor phase oxidation of metal halides in externally heated furnaces (7) and in flames.(8-10) Silicon nitride powders have been synthesized by reaction of ammonia and silane in a heated tube.(11) A common feature of these processes is the rapid production of condensible reaction intermediates and products by gas phase reactions leading to the formation of large numbers of very small particles. The residence time is generally long enough for appreciable growth by coagulation and, since this growth takes place at high temperatures, for sintering of the agglomerates. This results in low density flocs that make subsequent processing of the powder difficult. Low density flocs are known to leave inherently large pores in the compacts that lead to large defects which reduce the tensile strength of the ceramic products.

The production of uniformly sized, nonagglomerated, spherically shaped

solid particles by gas phase chemical reactions is limited to the growth dominant domain and is possible only under special conditions. The first step is to form particles by homogeneous nucleation, a process that generally leads to very high number concentrations of very fine particles. These particles can grow by Brownian coagulation or by chemical and/or physical vapor deposition. The former results in the formation of the aforementioned low density flocs and a relatively broad size distribution. The latter, vapor deposition on existing particles, leads to dense particles with a narrow size distribution. Vapor deposition can dominate over coagulation only when the particle concentration is low since coagulation is a second order process. It is, however, very difficult to prevent the formation of large numbers of particles by homogeneous nucleation when condensible low vapor pressure refractory species are generated by gas phase chemical reactions, even when there may be already many particles present in the system.

To produce powders with the desired characteristics, it is, therefore, necessary to generate particles much smaller than the desired powder size by homogeneous nucleation while keeping the particle number concentration low enough to suppress growth by coagulation. Then carry out the gas phase chemical reactions gradually at such a rate that the particles can grow by deposition of the reaction products and the formation of new particles is suppressed. As the particles grow by vapor deposition, they deplete the condensible reaction products, thereby depressing the tendency to nucleate.

To date most aerosol reactor development has been empirically based, in spite of serious attempts to develop predictive models. The theoretical description of refractory particle formation and growth presents as serious a challenge as the experimental developments. A major difficulty is the high condensible vapor source rate that rapidly results in very high supersaturation. Warren et al. (23) showed that, when the dimensionless source rate (defined as the ratio of the source rate of condensible vapors over the collision rate of vapor molecules) exceeds unity, the classical nucleation theory is not valid since new particle formation would take place on a time scale that is shorter than the time required to establish the steady state cluster distribution on which the classical theory is based. The small critical nucleus size that the classical theory predicts for nucleation of refractory vapors casts further doubt upon the applicability of the classical theory to these systems. To optimize an aerosol reactor for the synthesis of refractory powders, the ability to predict quantitatively the evolution of the particle size distribution is essential.

In this chaper we describe and demonstrate a method for the synthesis of uniformly sized spherical particles by thermally induced chemical reactions, and introduce an aerosol kinetic model developed specifically to deal with high source rates typical of aerosol reactor operations. The ability of this model to predict the evolution of refractory aerosols produced by gas phase chemical reactions is demonstrated by the comparison of calculations with experimental observations of particle formation and growth in a silane pyrolysis, fine particle synthesis reactor.

#### 2. Particle Synthesis

The synthesis of uniform particles of 0.1  $\mu m$  diameter requires the use of small numbers of much smaller particles as seeds to be grown by vapor deposition. While the mechanism of silicon formation from silane is not fully understood, the rate limiting step is generally thought to be the unimolecular decomposition of silane,  $SiH_4 \rightarrow SiH_2 + H_2$ .(12-16) The activation energy for this reaction is 60 Kcal/mole, and the rate can be manipulated by temperature control. A single stage reactor(17) was designed as to assure that the initial reaction rate can be kept very low, to severely limit the size and number concentration of particles generated by nucleation.

The single stage reactor, illustrated in Fig.1, consisted of a 12 mm i.d., 850 mm long quartz tube that was heated in 5 separate zones. The first three heating zones were 50 mm long, and were separated by 10 mm of low density insulation. The remaining zones were 150 mm long and, again, were separated by insulation. By ramping the temperature along the length of the flow reactor, the rate of reaction was accelerated and seeds were grown by vapor deposition.

Electronic grade silane (Union Carbide, 99.99%) was thoroughly mixed with high purity nitrogen (further purified and dried by passing over hot copper) using a series of static mixers (Luwa, Inc.). The resulting atmospheric pressure mixture of silane in nitrogen with controlled mole fraction was introduced into the upper end of the reactor tube. The first reaction zone was heated to 770 K, a temperature at which silane decomposed sufficiently slowly that only a small number of particles were generated by homogeneous nucleation. The temperature of the reactor wall was gradually increased to accelerate the reactions as the particles grew and became more effective at scavenging the condensible vapors and, therefore, at inhibiting nucleation. The temperature at the end of the fourth heating zone was 1100 K, as illustrated in Fig.2, sufficiently hot that complete decomposition was assured. In the remaining length of the reactor, provisions were made to heat the wall to temperatures as high as 1523 K to facilitate control of the structure and crystalline state of the particles.

The high aerosol concentration gas leaving the reactor was diluted with nitrogen before measurements by conventional aerosol instruments were made. The dilution system, shown in Fig.1, served the dual purposes of reducing the number concentration of particles and of minimizing thermophoretic losses of hot aerosol to the cooled reactor wall. This was accomplished by transpiring nitrogen diluent through the wall of a sintered stainless steel tube.

The size distribution and number concentration of the product aerosol were measured using a TSI Model 3030 Electrical Aerosol Size Analyzer and an Environment One Condensation Nuclei Counter. Teflon membrane filters (Milipore) were used to collect powder samples for studies of particle morphology by transmission electron microscopy, crystal structure by X-ray and electron diffraction, particle density by helium displacement measurements, and surface area measurements by the BET method.

## 3. Particle Characterization

An online EAA measurement of the silicon aerosol size distribution is shown in Fig.3. The histogram represents the raw data from channels 4 to 11 of the EAA, and the smooth curve is an estimate of the actual particle size distribution obtained using the smooth Twomey algorithm which corrects for cross sensitivities in the EAA instrument response function.(18) The total number concentration of the product aerosol was  $4.6 \times 10^{15} / m^3$  with a modal diameter of 0.1  $\mu m$ . The total number concentration measured by the CNC was about 2.5 times higher.

The product silicon powders were brown in color, indicative of high purity silicon.(19) A typical electron micrograph, Fig.4, shows the uniformly sized particles. The average diameter measured from the micrograph was consistent with the EAA measurements. The structure of these particles consisted of diamond cubic grains with dimensions of 50 nm showing extensive twinning and stacking faults. The twins extend across the entire diameter, suggesting the transformed planar front upon the amorphous to crystalline phase transformation. The twinned regions appear to be randomly oriented, as would be expected for particles that underwent independent processing in the aerosol phase. X-ray peak broadening was used to estimate the average crystallite size. The Bragg peak broadening as a function of the magnitude of the wave vector was calculated taking into account Rachinger's and Stoke's corrections. The result, Fig.5, indicates that the dominant contribution to the line broadening was not from stress, but from small crystal size.

The apparent surface area of the collected powder by nitrogen adsorption

and interpreted using the BET isotherm was 25  $m^2/gm$ , and the helium density measurement was 2.3  $gm/cm^3$ , corresponding very closely to the 0.1  $\mu m$  size estimated from more direct measurements. The latter results strongly suggest that the number of particles smaller than those included in the EAA measurement was small. Particles ranging from 0.05 to 0.5  $\mu m$  had been generated by varying the silane concentration and temperature profile.

#### 4. Theory

When gas phase chemical reactions generate low vapor pressure species in excess of their equilibrium vapor pressure, the vapors may deposit on existing surfaces or, if large excesses of condensible species are produced, form new particles by homogeneous nucleation. The classical theory of homogeneous nucleation developed by Volmer, Becker, Doring , and Zeldovich around the 1930s is the most common approach for treating homogeneous nucleation (VBDZ theory). The rate of formation of new particles is represented by :

$$J = S^2 n_{\text{sat}}^2 2 v_1 \sqrt{\frac{\sigma}{2\pi m_1}} \exp\left(\frac{-16\pi \sigma^3 v_1^3}{3k^3 T^3 \ln^2 S}\right) \,. \tag{1}$$

Equation [1] expresses the rate of formation of new particles as a function of the local saturation ratio of the vapor, S, and the local temperature, T. The particles formed have a surface tension,  $\sigma$ , molecular volume,  $v_1$ , molecular mass,  $m_1$ , and number concentration of saturated vapor  $n_{\text{sat}}$ .

The classical model was derived from a combination of thermodynamic and kinetic theories, incorporating a number of questionable assumptions. It ascribes macroscopic thermodynamic properties, such as surface tension and bulk chemical potential, to microscopic clusters of only a few molecules (the so-called capillary approximation). The existing alternative statistical mechanical theories, such as Lothe-Pound(20) and Reiss, Katz, and Cohen(21), inevitably suffer from many of the same problems associated with the extrapolation of macroscopic properties to molecular clusters and generally do not seem to outperform the classical theory in experimental tests(22). The starting point in the classical nucleation theory is a system of monomers in a supersaturated gas phase. Such a system is inherently unstable - leading ultimately to clusters of unbounded size. However, the concept of thermodynamic pseudo equilibrium is then introduced with a constraint allowing some fixed maximum cluster size. This helps to bring the power and elegance of thermodynamics to bear upon a dynamic system. Once the free energy barrier to nucleation, arising from surface energy, is overcome, and a cluster exceeds the critical size, further growth is increasingly favored. The critical size is simply the least thermodynamically favored cluster size, lying at the peak of the activation energy curve for nucleation. The hypothetical, pseudo-equilibrium state is only valid when the time scale for generating saturated vapor molecules is much longer than that for binary collisions of saturated vapor molecules. This is what we call low source rate nucleation processes. Warren, Flagan and Seinfeld(23) had shown how one can predict a final number concentration of particles that will be formed at low vapor source rate, i.e.,

$$\tilde{R}_s = \frac{R_s}{\hat{R}_\beta} = \frac{R_s}{\frac{n_{\text{sat}}^2 \bar{c}_1 s_1}{4}} \le 1 .$$
<sup>[2]</sup>

where  $\hat{R}_s$ , the dimensionless source rate, is the ratio of characteristic vapor source rate  $(R_s)$  to the rate of monomer - monomer collisions in the saturated vapor  $(\hat{R}_{\beta})$ .

For many of the chemical reaction systems, e.g., powder synthesis reactors or CVD systems, the source generation rate is very high, and the pseudo steady state assumption is not valid. All the steady state models of nucleation break down, as the cluster population will not be in steady state with either the monomers or the stable particles. In that case, the "critical nucleus" calculated on the basis of a macroscopic surface energy, may be of atomic dimensions, or even smaller. That means that the bulk energy of association is large enough to make any surface energy term negligible. Under such conditions, the formation and growth of particles of the condensed phase may be determined primarily by the kinetics of collisions in the gas phase among molecular clusters and aerosol particles.

To examine the evolution of aerosol in the powder synthesis reactor, one can describe the dynamics of coagulation between the molecular clusters and aerosol particles by(24),

$$\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{N_1}{\rho} \right) = R_s - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{1j} N_1 N_j , \qquad [3]$$

$$\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{N_i}{\rho} \right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \beta_{i-j j} N_{i-j} N_j - \sum_{j=1}^{\infty} \beta_{ij} N_i N_j \quad i \ge 2 .$$
 [4]

Where  $R_s$  in [3] is the source rate of the condensible vapors, which is a function obtained from gas phase chemical reactions.  $N_i$  is the number concentration of particles containing i - mers.  $\rho$  is the density of the gas. The aerosol concentrations,  $N_i/\rho$ , are described in terms of mass unit since the temperature of the system is allowed to change.

Fuchs' interpolation expression of the Brownian coagulation coefficients,  $\beta_{ij}$ , between the clusters and the aerosol, without considering interparticle forces is adopted for the simulation.(25)

$$\beta_{ij} = 2\pi (\mathcal{D}_i + \mathcal{D}_j) (d_i + d_j) \left[ \frac{d_i + d_j}{d_i + d_j + 2g_{ij}} + \frac{8(\mathcal{D}_i + \mathcal{D}_j)}{\bar{v}_{ij}(d_i + d_j)} \right]^{-1} , \qquad [5]$$

$$\mathcal{D}_{i} = \frac{kT}{3\pi d_{i}\eta} \left[ 1 + Kn_{i} \left( 1.257 + 0.4exp(-\frac{1.1}{Kn_{i}}) \right) \right] , \qquad [6]$$

$$g_{ij} = (g_i^2 + g_j^2)^{1/2}$$
, [7]

$$g_i = \frac{1}{3d_i l_i} [(d_i + l_i)^3 - (d_i^2 + l_i^2)^{3/2}] - d_i , \qquad [8]$$

$$l_i = \frac{8D_i}{\pi \bar{v}_i} , \qquad [9]$$

$$\bar{v}_i = \sqrt{\frac{8kT}{\pi m_i}} .$$
<sup>[10]</sup>

 $\beta_{ij}$  is highly dependent on the particle diameters  $d_i$  and  $d_j$ . D is the particle diffusivity, usually determined from the Stokes-Einstein expression which includes the Milikan slip correction factor.  $Kn_i$  is the Knudsen number defined as  $Kn_i = 2\lambda/d_i$ , where  $\lambda$  is the mean free path of the vapor molecules. k is the Boltzmann constant. T is the absolute temperature.  $\eta$  is the viscosity of the medium and  $m_i$  is the mass of the particle.

The extremely large ODE system from [3] to [4] can be reduced based on the Discrete-Sectional model developed by Wu and Flagan.(1) This model, which allows the examination of particle nucleation and growth by coagulation and vapor deposition even when the monomer source rate is very high, is an extension of the sectional model of Gelbard et al.(26) The aerosol size spectrum is separated into two parts. The first part of the spectrum, starting from the monomer, is described by the number concentration,  $N_i$ , of particles containing *i* monomers, where  $1 \leq i \leq k$ , since number concentration is more appropriate for smaller particles. The second part is described by the mass,  $Q_i$ , of the divided sections of the rest of the space. Assuming constant aerosol density, it is convenient to represent the particle size of this part with x, defined as the logarithm of the mass of the particle  $x = \ln v$ . For each section l ( $1 \le l \le M$ ), whose size range is defined by  $x_{l-1} \le x < x_l$ , the aerosol mass per unit volume is given  $Q_l = \int_{x_{l-1}}^{x_l} e^x n(x) dx$ . The parameter k, the dividing point between the two parts, is chosen based upon the required accuracy of the distribution of small clusters.(1)

The governing equations of coagulation, [3] and [4], of an aerosol in the aerosol reactor system in terms of discrete-sectional representations become

$$\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{N_1}{\rho} \right) = R_s - \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{1i} N_1 N_i - \left[ \sum_{r=1}^M {}^1 \bar{\beta}_{1r} Q_r \right] N_1 , \qquad [11]$$

$$\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{N_i}{\rho}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \beta_{i-j j} N_{i-j} N_j - \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_{ij} N_i N_j - \left[\sum_{r=1}^M {}^1 \bar{\beta}_{ir} Q_r\right] N_i , \quad [12]$$
  
$$2 \le i \le k$$

$$\left[\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{Q_{l}}{\rho}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{l-1} \sum_{j=1}^{l-1} {}^{1} \bar{\beta}_{ijl} Q_{i} Q_{j} - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} {}^{2} \bar{\beta}_{il} Q_{i}\right] Q_{l} - \frac{1}{2} {}^{3} \bar{\beta}_{ll} Q_{l}^{2} 
- \left[\sum_{i=l+1}^{M} {}^{4} \bar{\beta}_{il} Q_{i}\right] Q_{l} - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} {}^{2} \bar{\bar{\beta}}_{il} N_{i}\right] Q_{l} + \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{r=1}^{l-1} {}^{3} \bar{\bar{\beta}}_{irl} N_{i} Q_{r} 
+ \frac{1}{2} \sum_{i=1}^{k} \sum_{j=1}^{k} {}^{4} \bar{\bar{\beta}}_{ijl} N_{i} N_{j} .$$

$$1 \le l \le M$$
[13]

Where  $N_i$ , as mentioned earlier, is the number concentration of particles with i-mers,  $Q_l$  is the mass concentration of section l with size range from  $x_{l-1}$  to  $x_l$ .  ${}^1\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{ir}$ ,  ${}^2\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{il}$ ,  ${}^3\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{irl}$ , and  ${}^4\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{ij}$  are the discrete - sectional coagulation coefficients(1) to account for the interactions of particles between the discrete and sectional regimes.  ${}^{1}\bar{\beta}_{ijl}$ ,  ${}^{2}\bar{\beta}_{il}$ ,  ${}^{3}\bar{\beta}_{ll}$ , and  ${}^{4}\bar{\beta}_{il}$  are the inter- and intrasectional coagulation coefficients derived by Gelbard et al.(26) to account for the interactions of particles inside the sectional regions. The aerosol evolution in the reactor can be simulated by solving [11] - [13] with appropriate initial conditions.

#### 5. Predictions of Submicron Powder Synthesis

Warren et al. developed the SNM aerosol model which assumes that the aerosol is formed according to the classical nucleation theory and then grown by condensation.(23) Nucleation is triggered by a continuously reinforced vapor input at a constant rate or an initial burst of condensible vapors. The aerosol is assumed to be monodisperse with a characteristic diameter calculated based on mass balance. The model neglects the interaction between the molecular clusters and the particles, and is applicable for dimensionless source rate for  $\tilde{R}_s \leq 1$ .

Simulations based on both the SNM model and the Discrete - Sectional kinetic model were performed with the corresponding experimental conditions. The reaction mechanisms and kinetics of White et al.(16) were adopted for the simulation. The simulation time step, t, was assumed to be z/u. z is the differential length along the reactor. u is the plug flow velocity which is a function of the gas temperature. The input source rate for the simulations is a function of time and is derived from White's kinetics and the experimental temperature - time history. The critical nucleus size was assumed, in the SNM model, to be of atomic dimension since the calculated critical size based on the macroscopic physical properties (27) was much smaller than the atomic size of silicon. The aerosol evolution predicted by the SNM model is depicted by the dashed curves in Fig.6. A burst of nucleation occurred immediately after the reactions started due to the extremely low equilibrium vapor pressure. The number concentration eventually levelled off because nucleation was quenched by condensation and coagulation was neglected. The simulated aerosol evolution based on the kinetic model is described by the solid curves in Fig.6, with the corresponding size distributions shown in Fig.7 at different times. One can see from Fig.6 that the average size increased and the number concentration decreased due to the cluster-particle interactions. Fig.7 shows that a brief burst of nucleation provided the seeds for subsequent rapid particle growth by vapor deposition. The total number did not change appreciably, but the mean particle size was increasing with time. The calculated final size distribution based on the kinetic model, shown in Fig.8, is fairly consistent with that observed experimentally. The final mean particle diameter was about 0.1  $\mu m$ , consistent with the measurements from the EAA, TEM micrographs, and that estimated from BET surface area. The broader size distribution observed experimentally may resulted in part from the distribution of residence times and time-temperature histories in the laminar flow reactor, and from the resolution limitations of the EAA.

## 6. Conclusions

Submicron particles are of great interest because they offer excellent possibilities in the production of powder catalysts, ceramics, electronic devices, etc. The production of submicron silicon particles had been studied experimentally by thermal decomposition of silane in the aerosol flow reactor. The powders were crystalline particles with a mean diameter of 0.1  $\mu m$ . The measured particle size distribution from the reactor can be explained by the numerical solution of the Discrete-Sectional General Dynamic Equation using White's silane reaction kinetics.(16)

# 7. Acknowledgements

This work was supported by the Program in Advanced Technologies of the California Institute of Technology. The provision of the x-ray diffractonmeter from Professor William L. Johnson is greatly appreciated.

## 8. References

- Wu, J.J.; Flagan, R.C. J. Colloid and Interface Sci. 1986, "A Discrete -Sectional Solution to the Aerosol Dynamic Equation," submitted for publication.
- (2) Bowen, H.K. Mater. Sci. Eng. 1980, 44 [1], 1.
- (3) Barringer, E.A.; Bowen, H.K. Comm. Am. Ceram. Soc. 1982, December, C-199.
- (4) Gobet, J.; Matijevic, E. J. Colloid and Interface Sci. 1984, 100 (2), 555.
- (5) Cannon, W.R.; Danforth, S.C.; Flint, J.H.; Haggerty, J.S.; Marra, R.A. J.
   Am. Ceram. Soc. 1982, 65 [7], 324.
- (6) Cannon, W.R.; Danforth, S.C.; Haggerty, J.S.; Marra, R.A. J. Am. Ceram.
   Soc. 1982, 65 [7], 330.
- (7) Suyama, Y.; Kato, A. J. Am. Ceram. Soc. 1976, 59 [3-4], 146.
- (8) Ulrich, G.D. Combust. Sci. Technol. 1971, 4, 47.
- (9) Ulrich, G.D.; Ines, B.A.M.; Subranmanian, N.S. Combust. Sci. Technol. 1976, 14, 243.
- (10) Ulrich, G.D; Subranmanian, N.S. Combust. Sci. Technol. 1977, 17, 119.
- (11) Prochazka, S.; Greskovich, C. Am. Ceram. Soc. Bull. 1978, 57 [6], 579.
- (12) Purnell, J.H.; Walsh, R. Proc. Roy. Soc. 1966, 293, 543.
- (13) Newman, C.G.; O'Neal, H.E.; Ring, M.A.; et al. Int. J. Chem. Kin. 1979, 11, 1167.

- (14) Hogness, T.R.; Wilson, T.L.; Johnson, W.C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1936, 58, 108.
- (15) Neudorfl, P.; Jodhan, A.; Strausz, O.P. J. Phys. Chem. 1980, 84, 338.
- (16) White, R.T.; Ring, M.A.; O'Neal, H.E. Int. J. Chem. Kin. 1985, 17(10), 1029.
- (17) Wu, J.J.; Flagan, R.C.; Gregory, O.J. Appl. Phys. Lett., 1986, 49(2), 82.
- (18) Markowski, G.R. Aerosol Sci. Technol., 1986, in press.
- (19) Moulsen, A.J. J. Mater. Sci. 1979, 14 [5], 1071.
- (20) Lothe, J.; Pound, G.M. J. Chem. Phys. 1962, 36, 2080.
- (21) Reiss, H.; Katz, J.L.; Cohen, E.R. J. Chem. Phys. 1968, 48, 5553.
- (22) Springer, G.S. "Homogeneous Nucleation In Advances in Heat Transfer," Academic Press, New York, 1980.
- (23) Warren, D.R.; Seinfeld, J.H. Aerosol Sci. Technol. 1984, 3, 135.
- (24) Gelbard, F.; Seinfeld, J.H. J. Colloid and Interface Sci. 1979, 68, 363.
- (25) Fuchs, N.A. "The Mechanics of Aerosol," Pergamon, New York, 1964.
- (26) Gelbard, F.; Tambour, Y.; Seinfeld, J.H. J. Colloid and Interface Sci. 1980, 76, 541.
- (27) Yaws, C.L.; et al. "Process Feasibility Study in Support of Silicon Material Task I," DOE/JPL 954343-81/21, 1981.



Figure 1. Schematic of the 5 zone aerosol reactor in which silicon particles were generated by silane pyrolysis and the transpired wall system for product dilution and cooling.



Figure 2. Measured temperature profile of the reactor wall.



Figure 3. Volume distributions of the product aerosol. The histogram is the raw data obtained from the EAA. Also shown is an estimate of the actual particle size distribution obtained by applying a modified Twomey algorithm (18) to correct for cross sensitivities in the EAA instrument response function.



Figure 4. TEM micrograph of the crystalline silicon particles produced by rate controlled thermal decomposition of silane.



Figure 5. Bragg peak broadening as a function of the magnitude of the wave vector as determined using copper K $\alpha$  x-ray diffraction. The insert is the x-ray diffraction pattern of the produced silicon powder.



Figure 6. Comparison of the aerosol evolution predicted by the SNM model(23) (dashed curves) vs. the kinetic model(1) (solid curves).



Figure 7. Simulated aerosol size distribution at different times corresponding to the experimental conditions.



Figure 8. Comparison of the output silicon size distribution measured at the outlet of reactor and that calculated by the kinetic model.

## CHAPTER 6

# EVALUATION AND CONTROL OF FINE PARTICLE PRODUCTION BY GAS PHASE CHEMICAL REACTION

In Collaboration with Kikuo Okuyama and Hung V. Nguyen

## ABSTRACT

The method of particle synthesis by aerosol processes as it is now practiced in industry and in research laboratories was reviewed to show the relationships and differences between the various processes.

The discrete-sectional aerosol general dynamic equation accounting for coagulation and generation of monomer by chemical reaction was solved numerically under various conditions. Simplified reaction and coagulation equations which give fast and useful prediction of the evolution of aerosols associated with chemical reactions were derived and a simple reaction-coagulation model was developed. Results from these two models were compared. The effects of reaction rate, initial vapor concentration, residence time, properties of seed particles, and temperature profile on the properties of fine particles produced by gas phase chemical reactions were evaluated using both models. Results show good agreement between the two.

The production of ultrafine titanium oxide particles by the thermal decomposition of titanium tetraisopropoxide was carried out experimentally varying the aforementioned factors. The observed trends agree simi-quantitatively with model simulations.

### 1. Introduction

The production of fine particles from gaseous reactants has long been an important technology. Carbon, fume silica, titanium dioxide powder, oxide ceramics, and magnetic powders are but a few of the materials that have been produced over the past several decades. The technologies for the synthesis of particles, however, have been primarily empirical developments designed to control such properties of the particles as particle size and size distribution, particle morphology, extent of agglomeration, internal porosity, and material phase. Fine particles, powders, are receiving increased attention today due to their importance in a number of high technology industries.

Many of the production methods are based on aerosol processes, that is the formation and growth of solid or liquid particles in the gas phase. While much of the process development, particularly those aspects that are related to the control of particle properties, has been empirically derived, the science of aerosol physics and chemistry has made major advances that now make possible theoretical descriptions of particle synthesis reactors and corresponding improvements in particle synthesis technology. Much of the research has, however, been developed in the study of aerosol in the environment and has only recently been applied to the problems of aerosol synthesis in the chemical processes and materials industries.

The chemical reactions that are most commonly used to generate powder materials by aerosol routes are carried out at elevated temperatures. The chemical processes can be classified in terms of the heat source. Flames or combustion systems have long been applied to the synthesis of carbon black and other powders. Flame processes involve relatively high temperatures, in the range from 1500 K to, perhaps, 3000 K. At these temperatures the fuel is consumed in a matter of milliseconds, and it is reasonable to assume that the precursor reactions take place on a similar time scale. An early application of plasma technology was in powder synthesis. Plasmas can achieve the high temperatures needed for refractory particle synthesis with considerable control. The production of powder materials requires a quench procedure to prevent agglomeration upon collection. A broad spectrum of powders have been synthesized using plasmas, including oxides, nitrides, carbides, borides, and pure elemental species. A novel approach to the synthesis of fine powders that has been developed over the past several years is the use of lasers to induce chemical reactions. The laser heating produces a "flame" in which the particles are formed and grow. The temperature in the reaction zone has been measured optically. Peak values range from 1400 to 1600 K, with heating rates as high as  $10^6$  K sec<sup>-1</sup>. The aerosol is formed rapidly. The number concentration peaks and then decays by coagulation within about 0.3 msec. The total reaction time in the laser beam is about 2 msec. The aerosol then cools quickly due to radiative transfer to the cold surroundings. The short time at high temperatures minimizes the extent to which sintered agglomerates are formed. Finally, thermal processes for powder synthesis induce reaction by heat transfer from an external source. A variety of reaction schemes have been used to produce particles in thermal processes. The particles produced by this route can be much coarser than that generated in the flame processes. One of the oldest is the production of thermal blacks. A variety of other powders have beeen generated in thermally driven aerosol reactors. The decomposition of metal alkoxides and silane gas produces fine powders at relatively low temperatures. The range of chemical reaction and residence times that may be expected for the various reaction systems are summarized in Table 1.

Fine particles, used as ideal materials, should be approximately equiaxed and roughly spherical, with controlled composition and a narrow particle size distribution in submicron range, and available in a largely unagglomerated state(Bowen, 1980). Powders with these conditions must be produced in carefully controlled reaction systems.

The elementary processes involved in the formation and growth of particles from gaseous precursors include: (1) gas phase chemical reactions, (2) surface reactions, (3) homogeneous nucleation, (4) heterogeneous condensation, (5) coagulation and (6) coalescence or fusion. The differences in the properties of particles produced in the various reactors can be traced back to the differences in the relative importance of these processes.

The high temperature processes, i.e., plasma and flame reactors, promote very rapid gas phase chemical reactions. High supersaturations are quickly achieved, leading to rapid nucleation. The particles then grow by coagulation. If the temperature during this growth process is below the melting point, low density agglomerates are formed. These agglomerates may, over time, sinter or fuse together. The plasma and laser reactors are thought to minimize the formation of such sintered agglomerates by rapidly cooling the particles from a temperature at which complete fusion occurs very rapidly to a much lower temperature where no sintering takes place. If chemical reactions proceed more slowly, vapor and cluster deposition on the surfaces of existing particles can dominate over the formation of stable new particles.
It is apparent that comprehensive models of particle formation, growth, and structural evolution in particle synthesis reactors cannot be expected in the near future. We can, however, make important observations regarding the behavior of different reactor types without a complete description. We shall not consider the details of the reaction chemistry or the shapes of the agglomerates generated, focussing instead on the nucleation, vapor and cluster deposition, and coagulation processes. Although our understanding of the behavior of aerosols in high temperature reaction systems is incomplete, the relationships between the various particle synthesis systems may be clarified through the use of available aerosol models.

In this paper, the discrete-sectional aerosol general dynamic equation (GDE) accounting for coagulation and generation of monomer by chemical reaction was solved numerically under various conditions. Simplified reaction and coagulation equations which give us a clear picture of the evolution of aerosol associated with chemical reactions were derived. The appropriateness of these equations are confirmed by comparing their results with the solutions of the discrete- sectional GDE. The calculated particle number concentration and size distribution versus time were graphed so that the behavior of the production process under various conditions can be predicted. The effects of reaction rate, initial vapor concentration, residence time, properties of seed particles, and temperature profile on particle formation were examined. Finally, the production of ultrafine titanium oxide particles by the thermal decomposition of titanium tetraisopropoxide(TTIP) was carried out experimentally, changing the temperature profile, initial vapor concentration, and seed particle condition. The experimental results agree semiquantitatively with theoretical calculations.

## 2. Models of Particle Production Processes

Figure 1 shows the general physics of the particle formation processes in the gas phase. The vapor is chemically reacted to produce vapor molecules. If the supersaturation of vapor reaches a sufficient level, ultrafine primary clusters are formed by homogeneous nucleation. Larger secondary particles are formed by the agglomeration of clusters and by the simultaneous heterogeneous condensation of vapor molecules onto the clusters. If seed particles are introduced into the system, they may scavenge a considerable amount of condensible vapor and clusters.

Friedlander(1983) presented a theoretical model for aerosol formation by chemical reactions in the absence of coagulation in batch and flow aerosol reactors. Based on the assumptions of steady state distribution of small clusters and the validity of classical theory of homogeneous nucleation, his analysis resulted in four coupled nonlinear ordinary differential equations describing the total number concentraiton and total surface area of the aerosol, the first momonent of the aeosol size distribution, and the saturation ratio of the system along the time.

The classical theory of homogeneous nucleation, however, is not well suited to describe the particle production processes in aerosol reactors, since the high production rates of condensible species render key assumptions invalid. The classical theory of homogeneous nucleation assumes that particle formation takes place by a succession of monomer additions to small clusters. This process is assumed to proceed slowly enough that a steady state cluster population is established. Below a critical size, the surface free energies of the clusters increase with monomer additions, so the clusters are thermodynamically unstable. Beyond this size, the free energies decrease with size so the particles are expected to continue to grow as vapor deposition continues. The critical cluster size depends on the surface tension and on the ratio of the actual partial pressure of the vapor to the saturation vapor pressure (saturation ratio) of the condensing material. Critical nuclei in aerosol reactor systems are frequently calculated to be of atomic dimensions. The assumptions on which the classical nucleation theory is based are clearly not valid for such systems. Analysis of the dynamics of nucleating systems has shown that the dimensionless source rate, i.e., the ratio of the rate of monomer production to the rate of monomer-monomer collision must be smaller than unity for the steady state cluster distribution to be established before significant numbers of particles are formed (Warren and Seinfeld, 1984). The high rates of reaction that lead to the formation of condensible species take most high temperature aerosol synthesis systems away from the domain where this assumption is valid.

As shown in the schematic diagram of the particle production processes in Figure 1, particles may vary in size ranging from vapor monomers, clusters (collection of molecules) to large particles. The evolution of these particles occurs as a result of cluster-cluster, cluster-particle, and particle-particle collisions, or individual cluster and particle growth due to accretion of vapor molecules.

## 2.1 Discrete - sectional model (D-S model)

The dynamic behavior of the monomers, clusters, and aerosol particles in the formation processes is described by the aerosol General Dynamic Equation (GDE) (Gelbard and Seinfeld, 1979). A discrete - sectional GDE was developed to overcome the numerical problems in modeling aerosol formation and growth under high source rate conditions, and to eliminate the dependence on the classical theory of homogeneous nucleation (Wu and Flagan, 1986). In the discrete sectional GDE the aerosol size spectrum is separated into two parts. The smaller clusters, varying rapidly with time, are described by number concentration and discrete distribution. The larger clusters and aerosol particles are modeled by mass concentration in sections. Molecules, form clusters or scavenged by aerosol particles in very short times, are generated by chemical reactions. The aerosol size distribution changes with time due to the combined effects of coagulation and evaporation over the entire clusters and aerosol size spectrum.

In this study, the following assumptions are made: (1) Evaporation of monomer from clusters or particles can be ignored because of the very low values of saturation vapor pressure of the particulate materials. (2) Particle deposition onto surrounding walls is negligible. (3) When two particles collide, a single new spherical particle is formed. Its mass is equal to the combined mass of the two smaller particles. (4) Particles are spherical and electrically neutral. (5) Particles and vapor are uniformly distributed throughout the system.

The discrete - sectional GDE to describe the aerosol evolution with a chemical reaction subject to these assumptions is summarized as follows.

$$\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{N_1}{\rho}\right) = S_0 - \sum_{i=1}^k \beta_{1i} N_1 N_i - \left[\sum_{r=1}^M {}^1 \bar{\bar{\beta}}_{1r} Q_r\right] N_1 , \qquad [1]$$
  
$$\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left(\frac{N_i}{\rho}\right) = \frac{1}{2} \sum_{j=1}^{i-1} \beta_{i-j \, j} N_{i-j} N_j - \sum_{j=1}^k \beta_{ij} N_i N_j$$

$$-\left[\sum_{r=1}^{M} {}^{1}\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{ir}Q_{r}\right]N_{i}, \quad 2 \leq i \leq k, \qquad [2]$$

$$\rho \frac{d}{dt}(\frac{Q_{l}}{\rho}) = \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{l-1}\sum_{j=1}^{l-1} {}^{1}\bar{\beta}_{ijl}Q_{i}Q_{j} - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{l-1} {}^{2}\bar{\beta}_{il}Q_{i}\right]Q_{l} - \frac{1}{2} {}^{3}\bar{\beta}_{ll}Q_{l}^{2} - \left[\sum_{i=l+1}^{M} {}^{4}\bar{\beta}_{il}Q_{i}\right]Q_{l} - \left[\sum_{i=1}^{k} {}^{2}\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{il}N_{i}\right]Q_{l} + \sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{r=1}^{l-1} {}^{3}\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{irl}N_{i}Q_{r} + \frac{1}{2}\sum_{i=1}^{k}\sum_{j=1}^{k} {}^{4}\bar{\bar{\beta}}_{ijl}N_{i}N_{j}, \quad 1 \leq l \leq M, \qquad [3]$$

where N<sub>i</sub> is the number concentration of clusters with i-mers and Q<sub>l</sub> is the mass concentration of aerosol particles in section 1.  $\rho$  is the density of the gas. k is the dividing size between the discrete and sectional regimes. S<sub>0</sub> is the generation rate of monomers by a chemical reaction.  $\beta_{ij}$  is the Brownian coagulation coefficient of spherical particles with i-mers and j-mers. Fuchs' interpolation formula which expresses the coagulation rate function for the whole range of Knudsen numbers were used. The effect of Van der Waals forces on the coagulation rate was not included in the simulation.  ${}^1\bar{\beta}_{ir}$ ,  ${}^2\bar{\beta}_{il}$ ,  ${}^3\bar{\beta}_{irl}$ , and  ${}^4\bar{\beta}_{ijl}$  are the discrete - sectional coagulation coefficients accounting for the interactions of particles between the discrete and sectional regimes.  ${}^1\bar{\beta}_{ijl}$ ,  ${}^2\bar{\beta}_{il}$ ,  ${}^3\bar{\beta}_{ll}$ , and  ${}^4\bar{\beta}_{il}$  are the inter- and intrasectional coagulation coefficients (Gelbard and Seinfeld, 1982) to count the interactions of particles inside the sectional regime.

## **2.2** Simplified reaction - coagulation model (SRC model)

While the discrete - sectional model gives detailed number concentration and size distribution, a simpler model can be derived which gives invaluable information regarding the domain of operation of aerosol reactors. This simplified model

(SRC model) describing the aerosol distribution with two modes should indicate whether a given set of reactor conditions would result in growth or nucleation dominant operation. The first mode with homogeneously nucleated particles is described by its number concentration,  $N_{\rm H}$ , and its total mass concentration,  $M_{\rm H}$ . The second mode with seed aerosol is described by the number and total mass concentration,  $N_{\rm S}$  and  $M_{\rm S}$ , respectively. Four differential equations are sufficient to describe the aerosol system.

$$\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{N_H}{\rho} \right) = S_0 - K_H N_H^2 - K_{HS} N_H N_S , \qquad [6]$$

$$\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{M_H}{\rho} \right) = S_0 - \bar{m}_H K_{HS} N_H N_S , \qquad [7]$$

$$\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{N_S}{\rho} \right) = -K_S N_S^2 , \qquad [8]$$

$$\rho \frac{d}{dt} \left( \frac{M_S}{\rho} \right) = \bar{m}_H K_{HS} N_H N_S , \qquad [9]$$

with initial conditions

$$N_H = M_H = 0 , \qquad [10]$$

$$N_S = N_{So} , \qquad [11]$$

$$M_S = M_{So} , \qquad [12]$$

where  $K_H$ ,  $K_{HS}$  and  $K_S$  representing the global coagulation coefficients of the two modes are evaluated by

$$egin{aligned} K_{H} &= rac{1}{2}eta(ar{d}_{H},ar{d}_{H}) \;, & ar{d}_{H} &= \sqrt[8]{rac{6M_{H}}{\pi
ho_{p}N_{H}}} \;. \ K_{S} &= rac{1}{2}eta(ar{d}_{S},ar{d}_{S}) \;, & ar{d}_{S} &= \sqrt[8]{rac{6M_{S}}{\pi
ho_{p}N_{S}}} \;. \ K_{HS} &= eta(ar{d}_{H},ar{d}_{S}) \;, & ar{m}_{H} &= rac{\pi}{6}ar{d}_{H}^{3} 
ho_{p} \;. \end{aligned}$$

Eqs. [6] - [9] were solved and solutions were compared with those of the discrete - sectional GDE.

The chemical reaction kinetics in aerosol reactors are rarely known. The rate of production of condensible vapors as a first order is

$$r_p = \frac{dC_p}{dt} = -\frac{dC_A}{dt} = k_A C_A , \qquad [4]$$

where  $C_p$  is the concentration of condensible vapors,  $C_A$  is the concentration of the reactant gas and  $k_A$  is the reaction rate constant. The generation rate of monomer under a constant temperature is

$$S_o(v_1,t) = \frac{r_p M_p}{\rho_p v_1} = \frac{(k_A C_{Ao} e^{-k_A t}) M_p}{\rho_p v_1} , \qquad [5]$$

where  $C_{Ao}$  is the initial concentration of the reactant gas.  $M_p$  is the molecular weight of the condensible species.  $v_1$  is the molecular volume and  $\rho_p$  is the density of the species. The termination time of the thermal decomposition of vapor is given by  $10/k_A$ . It is the time when 99.3% of the reactant is converted to products. If the reaction mechanisms are available,  $S_0$  should be replaced correspondingly.

Calculations were performed with a first order reaction rate,  $k_A$ , varying over a wide range. The physical properties used in these model calculations were based on the synthesis of titanium dioxide powder by the thermal decomposition of titanium tetraisopropoxide(Okuyama et al., 1986). The thermal decomposition of titanium alkoxide vapor takes place according to the following reaction.

$$Ti(OC_3H_7)_4 \to TiO_2 + 4C_3H_6 + 2H_2O$$
. [13]

## 3. Evolution of the Particle Size Distribution during Production

## **3.1** Homogeneously generated particles

Figures 2(a) - (d) shows the evolution of the particle size distributions for values of the reaction rate constant,  $k_A$ , of 0.01, 1, and 100 sec<sup>-1</sup>. Also presented are results for instantaneous decomposition of the precursor,  $k_A \gg 100$  $sec^{-1}$ , which leads to particle growth purely by coagulation. The initial reactant concentration in each case is  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>. The reaction temperature is  $600 \,^{\circ}$ C. Prior to depletion of the reactant vapors by thermal decomposition, there exist large differences in the evolution of the particle size distributions. After sufficient time has elapsed, Brownian coagulation becomes dominant, causing the size distributions to approach the self preserving distribution function (SPDF) (Wang and Friedlander, 1967). Figures 3(a) - (d) show the mass distributions of the same aerosol as in Figures 2(a) - (d). The reaction time,  $\tau_{rxn}$ , is defined as  $k_A^{-1}$  for Figures 3(a) - (c) and the coagulation time,  $\tau_{coag}$ , as  $2/\beta_{11}N_0$  for Figure 3(d).  $N_0$  is the initial concentration of the condensible species,  $TiO_2$ . Slower reactions take longer time to finish. The final size distributions show the effects of the residence time on the average particle size and the broadening of the size distribution. Figures 4(a) - (d) and 5(a) - (d) show the comparison of the results from D-S and SRC models. We compare here moments of the particle size distribution as a function of time for fast  $(k_A = 100 \text{sec}^{-1})$  and slow  $(k_A = 0.01 \text{sec}^{-1})$  reactions. Both Figures 4(a) and 5(a) show that the two models give very similar results from t=0 to t= $\tau_{rxn}$ . Since chemical reaction dominates during this time interval, narrow cluster size distributions result. The difference between the number concentrations from the two models increases from  $t=\tau_{rxn}$  to t=10 $\tau_{rxn}$  because of the competition between coagulation and chemical reaction during this period. The reaction approaches completion and slows down after t= $\tau_{rxn}$ . Coagulation causes the large clusters to grow, forming aerosol particles in an accumulation mode. For  $t > 10\tau_{rxn}$ , the reaction is insignificant, and coagulation completely dominates the aerosol evolution, bringing it back to a single mode aerosol. Figures 4(a) and 5(a) show the difference gradually reduces for  $t \ge 10\tau_{rxn}$ . Figures 4(b) and 5(b) show the accumulated mass vs. time. Since the mass of the clusters is relatively small, the difference between the predictions from the two models is also small. Figures 4(c) and 5(c), show the differences in the average diameters between the two models. The trend shown is expected since they were calculated on the basis of the number concentrations. Since the differences in the normalized number concentrations are large in the time period between  $\tau_{rxn}$  and  $10\tau_{rxn}$ , the differences in the average diameters are also correspondingly large. Figures 4(d) and 5(d) show the size broadening, defined as  $[\sum_{i=1}^k {(\frac{d_i}{d_{av}}-1)^2 N_i} + \sum_{l=1}^M {(\frac{\bar{d}_l}{d_{av}}-1)^2 \bar{N}_l}]/[\sum_{i=1}^k N_i + \sum_{l=1}^M {\bar{N}_l}]^{1/2}$ , from the D-S model. d and  $N_1$  are the average particle diameter and number concentration of section l, respectively (Wu and Flagan, 1986). It is not surprising that the broadening increases with time and approaches a relatively constant value as the size distribution approaches the SPDF domain. There is a jump in Figure 5(d) at t=100 sec, or  $\tau_{rxn}$ . It is clearly seen from Figure 2(a) that reaction is still producing small clusters, while coagulation has shifted the aerosol to bigger sizes after such a long time. The fast increase of the size broadening in Figure 5(d) for  $t > \tau_{rxn}$  is from the wide size distribution with two modes. A nominal geometric mean diameter and a nominal geometric standard deviation are generally used to characterize the particle size distribution which follows lognormal form(T. Yoshida et al., 1975). Figures 6 and 7 illustrate the geometric standard deviations vs. time corresponding to the distributions in Figures 2(a), 3(a) and 2(d), 3(d), respectively. The solid lines in Figures 6 and 7 represent the calculated geometric nominal standard deviations based on the number distributions. The dashed lines in Figures 6 and 7 are based on the mass distributions. Figure 6, with reaction time  $\tau_{rxn}=100$  sec, shows again that it is not appropriate to describe the aerosol evolution with a first order constant rate chemical reaction by an SRC model during the time  $\tau_{rxn}$  to 10  $\tau_{rxn}$ . Figure 7 shows the pure coagulation case. The nominal geometric standard deviation approaches a constant value, 1.46, which is confirmed by the data of T. Yoshida et al.(1975) on the change in the size distribution of polydisperse smoke particles undergoing Brownian coagulation. The size distributions followed the same characteristics as above when an initial concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-9}$  or  $5.25 \times 10^{-11}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup> is used instead.

Figures 8(a) - (d) show the effect of the initial vapor concentration on the evolution of the aerosol size distribution.  $k_A = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$  is used for these graphs. They present the size distributions with initial concentrations of TTIP of  $5.25 \times 10^{-9}$ ,  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$ , and  $5.25 \times 10^{-11} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$  at time  $10^{-3}$ , 0.1, 1, and  $10 \tau_{rxn}$ , respectively. It is noted that the shapes of the size distributions are very similar to each other, and the average particle sizes tend to increase with increasing initial vapor concentration at a given time.

From the above calculations we observe that coagulation plays an important role in the evolution of refractory aerosols produced in constant reaction rate systems regardless of the rate at which the reaction proceeds. The reason for the lack of dependence on the reaction rate is that large numbers of particles are produced in the initial nucleation burst. Since the second order coagulation process is very important at such high number concentrations, it dominates once the reaction is complete.

An alternative approach to aerosol based powder synthesis is to use variable reaction rate to grow a small number of seed particles by cluster deposition. The use of a single stage reactor to grow particles by cluster deposition with minimum coagulation regardless of a residence time involves increasing the temperature to gradually accelerate the reaction (Wu and Flagan, 1986). The rate is initially very slow, so the number of nuclei formed is small. This system is highly dependent on the reaction kinetics. To illustrate this system we use the one case for which we have some kinetic information, i.e., silane pyrolysis. Purnell and Walsh (1966) showed that the rate limiting step in the early stage of silane pyrolysis is the reaction,  $SiH_4 + M \rightarrow SiH_2 + H_2 + M$ . This reaction has an activation energy  $E_a \simeq 60 \text{ Kcal mol}^{-1}$ . We assume that the nucleating and condensing species is elemental silicon. The calculated evolution of the particle size distribution, with an initial silane concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-7}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, is illustrated in Figure 9. The concentration of the small clusters for this system is initially high, but the reaction proceeds slowly enough that the condensible products have time to diffuse to the seed particles before the clusters grow so large that their diffusion is impaired. Thus, the particles grow at nearly constant number concentration. Comparison of the particle size distribution predicted in this case with those in Figures 2(a) - (d) reveals an important difference; the size distribution is appreciably narrower as a result of growth by cluster deposition rather than coagulation.

#### **3.2** Influence of seed particles on aerosol reactors

To grow large particles or to produce composite materials by coating one layer of different material on the outside of a base in typical aerosol reactor residence times, it is necessary to introduce seed particles with the gaseous reactants. The size distributions resemble those shown in the previous sections and are not shown here.

Figures 10(a) - (d) and 11(a) - (d) represent the number, mass, number averaged diameter, and size broadening calculated by these two models for an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m monodisperse seed aerosol, with reaction rate constant k<sub>A</sub> of 0.1 and 0.01 sec<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The solid lines represent the results calculated by the D-S model. The number and mass concentrations here are normalized total concentrations, since the D-S model does not allow tracking of the seed and nucleated particles separately. The dashed and dashed-dotted lines represent the seed and nucleated particles, respectively, calculated by the SRC model. The number and mass concentrations calculated by these two models, Figures 10(a), (b) and Figures 11(a), (b), for seed growth dominant conditions, show excellent agreement. The SRC model, however, can not predict the agglomeration occurring among the aerosol, which is represented by the size broadening computed by the D-S model shown in Figures 10(d) and 11(d).

Figures 12(a) - (c) and 13(a) - (c) show the effects of increasing seed particle number concentration on the mass distribution from the reactant between the seed and the nucleated modes. Figures 13(a) - (c) represent the results with 10 times higher in the number concentration of seed particles than that of Figures 12(a) - (c). The accumulation of mass to the nucleated particles is suppressed at a much earlier time with a higher seed number concentration.

The use of seed particles does not guarantee that particles can be grown by vapor and cluster deposition since nucleation can still occur in the presence of seeds. In order to reduce the size broadening or to obtain a narrow size distribution of the product, the rate of reaction has to be kept low. As the particles grow and become more effective at scavenging the condensible reaction products, the rate can be gradually accelerated. This idea is demonstrated in Figures 14(a) - (d) ( number distributions ) and Figures 15(a) - (d) ( mass distributions ) for four cases, simulated using the D-S model. The rate constant  $k_A$  used is a function of time. The initial seed aerosol has a number concentration of  $2.44 \times 10^6$  cm<sup>-3</sup> with a diameter of  $0.205 \ \mu$ m. Figures 14(a), (b) and 15(a), (b) with  $k_A = 0.1$  and 0.05+0.002t sec<sup>-1</sup> clearly show that agglomeration occurs among the aerosol during the reaction. It is also evident from Figures 14(c), (d) and 15(c), (d), with  $k_A = 0.033 + 0.0013t + 0.00004t^2$ and  $0.025 + 0.0001t + 0.00006t^2$  sec<sup>-1</sup>, that nucleation of new particles can be quenched with a gradually increasing reaction rate.

#### 4. Roles of Seed Particles Predicted by the SRC Model

The scavenging effects of the seed particles on the generated vapors with a varying reaction rate can be demonstrated by the following calculations with the SRC model. The initial TTIP concentration is  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ . The seed particles have a concentration of  $3 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and a diameter of 0.03  $\mu$ m. The aerosol evolutions are shown in Figures 16(a) - (c), 17(a) - (c), 18(a) -(c), and 19(a) - (c), for reaction rate constant, k<sub>A</sub>, of 100, 0.1, 0.01, and  $10^{-4}$ sec<sup>-1</sup>, respectively. The solid lines represent the concentration of the one mode aerosol without seed particles. The dashed and dashed-dotted lines represent the evolution of the seed and nucleated modes.

From the number concentrations, shown in Figures 16(a), 17(a), 18(a), and 19(a), it seems that the seed particles do not appreciably reduce the formation of new particles until the reaction rate is reduced to  $10^{-4} \sec^{-1}$ . Figures 16(b), 17(b), 18(b), and 19(b) show the corresponding mass distributions of the seed and nucleated modes. They clearly show the quenching effects of the seed particles. For a very fast reaction,  $k_A = 100 \sec^{-1}$ , only 20% of the material finally deposits on the seed particles. The other 80% of the mass is converted to newly formed particles. This is a typical case of runaway nucleation in aerosol reactors (Wu and Flagan, 1986). From Figure 16(c), the average particle size predicted with or without seed particles are, as expected, the same. For a much slower reaction,  $k_A = 10^{-4} \sec^{-1}$ , almost all of the material deposit on the seed particles. Even though the number concentration is high, the mass of the newly nucleated particles can be neglected. From Figure 19(c), it is seen that the size of the nucleated particles is actually of atomic dimensions of the condensible material set of the seen the set of the nucleated particles is actually of atomic dimensions of the condensible material set of the nucleated particles is actually of atomic dimensions of the condensible material set of the nucleated particles is actually of atomic dimensions of the condensible material set of the nucleated particles is actually of atomic dimensions of the condensible material set of the nucleated particles is actually of atomic dimensions of the condensible material set of the nucleated particles is actually of atomic dimensions of the condensible material set of the nucleated particles is actually of atomic dimensions of the condensible material set of the nucleated particles is actually of atomic dimensions of the condensible material set of the nucleated particles is actually of atomic dimensions of the condensible material set of the nucleated particles is actually of atomic dimensions of

terial. This means that the nucleated mode consists of molecules from the gas phase chemical reactions, which could not grow to bigger clusters before they are scavenged. This is the case of successful particle growth by vapor deposition. Figures 17 and 18 show the competition between particle growth by vapor and cluster deposition and nucleation.

Similar phenomena can be observed by varying the concentration and size of the initial seed particles keeping the reaction rate constant. In the case of Figure 17, there is a time period when the mass of the nucleated particles can accumulate. This accumulation of mass to the newly formed particles is completely suppressed when the seed number concentration is increased by a factor of ten, as shown in Figure 20.

## 5. Particle Production Experiments

#### **5.1 Experimental Apparatus**

The experimental system (similar to that used by Okuyama et al. (1986), except with better controlled furnaces) consists of a drying column, a vaporizer, tubular furnaces, a particle size magnifier(PSM), a differential mobility analyzer(DMA), and a mixing type condensation nucleus counter.

Alkoxide liquid was maintained in a heated glass container serving as the vapor source. Clean nitrogen gas passed through the glass container, and left saturated with the alkoxide vapor. It was then mixed with another nitrogen stream having a temperature higher than that of the vapor to avoid condensational loss before entering the reaction furnace. The alkoxide vapor was thermally decomposed to produce supersaturated titanium oxide vapor. Ultrafine primary metal oxide clusters were produced by homogeneous nucleation. Larger secondary particles were formed by agglomeration of the clusters and by simultaneous condensation of vapor molecules onto the clusters.

The analysis of particle size and number concentration of ultrafine aerosol from the furnace was carried out by two different methods in a suspended state as described in a previous paper(Okuyama et al.,1986).

Figure 21(a) shows the tubular furnace consisting of a 35 mm i.d. stainless steel tube having 490 mm length. The reactor had five heating zones, each 90 mm in length, which were separated by 10 mm of low density insulation. Each of the heating zones was controlled by a temperature controller to within  $\pm 3$  °C. T1, T2, T3, T4 and T5 indicate the controlled wall temperatures of the five heating zones, respectively. The nitrogen gas containing the alkoxide vapor was introduced with flow rate Q1 and the higher temperature nitrogen gas was added with flow rate Q3. In order to prevent thermophoretic deposition of small particles in the hot reactant flow onto the cool wall of the sampling system, the aerosol was first diluted by transpiring gas through a porous tube as used by Wu and Flagan(1986). By blowing room temperature nitrogen gas through the wall of the diluter at flow rate Q4, the particles were transported away from the vicinity of the wall with high temperature gradients that would otherwise lead to substantial losses.

In the second reactor furnace consisting of a 35 mm i.d., 620 mm stainless steel tube as shown in Figure 21(b), the reactive vapor was introduced into the furnace at flow rate Q1, and was mixed with added vapor at flow rate Q2 after passing through two 260 mm heating zones and the first orifice(plate A). In the first two heating zones, small particles were generated by homogeneous nucleation. These particles were then used as seed nuclei, mixed with the additional vapor stream and passed through the second orifice(plate B) and the remaining three 330 mm heating zones. The first orifice has a single 3 mm hole, while the second orifice has four 1.5 mm diameter holes. The distance between the orifices was 30 mm.

# 5.2 Experimental Results

#### 5.2.1 Temperature profiles

Since the furnace temperatures govern the rate of thermal decomposition of the metal alkoxide vapor and particle formation, the temperature profile was measured at the center of the furnace by a thermocouple probe. Figure 22 shows the steady state centerline temperature profiles for three cases. The values of T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5 indicate the wall temperatures of each heating zone. It is seen that the centerline temperatures were lower than the wall temperatures.

#### 5.2.2 Changes in properties of generated particles

Figures 23, 24, and 25 show the results obtained using the furnace shown in Figure 21(a). The number concentrations were normalized by the measured total number concentration corresponding to their respective run. Figure 23 shows the size distribution of the TiO<sub>2</sub> particles generated as a function of furnace temperatures. In this series of experiments, the temperature of the evaporating alkoxide liquid was 40 °C, and the flow rate Q1 of the carrier gas containing the vapor was 10 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>. The nitrogen gas was added at a flow rate of 1990 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>. We note that the particles were generally less than 100 nm (0.1  $\mu$ m). Since a higher concentration of TiO<sub>2</sub> vapor can be obtained from the thermal decomposition at a higher temperature, the particles shifted to larger sizes as the reaction temperature was increased. TiO<sub>2</sub> particles were not generated by homogeneous nucleation for furnace temperatures lower than 300 °C. The values of Y shown in the last column indicate the percentage conversion of the metal alkoxide vapor to aerosol particles. The vapor quantity in the carrier gas was calculated assuming that complete thermal decomposition was achieved and that the carrier gas left the evaporator saturated with the alkoxide vapor. Conversion to the aerosol phase was computed from the volume concentration of the product aerosol with the density assumed to be that of the oxide particles. As can be seen, the percentage of vapor converted to aerosol ranged from about 2% to above 100% depending on the reactor temperatures used. The low yield at low reactor temperatures may have resulted from incomplete thermal decomposition and depositional loss in the reactor. Those exceeded unity(100%) may be attributed to the assumption of the particles being solid dense spheres.

Figure 24 shows the changes in the size distribution of the TiO<sub>2</sub> aerosol due to changes in the feed vapor concentration of the metal alkoxide. In this experiment, the alkoxide vapor was produced at 40 °C. The flow rates of the carrier gas were 10 and 30 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, and the nitrogen gas was added at 1990 and 1970 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, correspondingly, to maintain a total flow rate in the furnace of  $2 \text{ lmin}^{-1}$ . The temperatures of furnace were maintained at 400 °C. As expected and shown in previous theoretical calculations, the particles grew larger as the concentration of the alkoxide vapor, C<sub>Ao</sub>, was increased.

Figure 25 shows the changes in the size distribution of  $TiO_2$  particles under constant, increasing and decreasing temperature profiles. The centerline temperature profiles in this experiment were those shown in Figure 22. The particles produced in the increasing temperature profile were smaller with a narrower size distribution than those produced in the decreasing and nearly constant temperature profiles. Again, this trend is consistent with earlier simulations. The low values of Y suggests that the thermal decomposition was not completed before leaving the final zone and/or the depositional loss was much greater for smaller particles.

Figures 26 and 27 show the particles size distributions obtained using the furnace shown in Figure 21(b). In the experiment corresponding to Figure 26, the temperatures of all the heating zones were  $400 \,^{\circ}$ C. The alkoxide vapor was produced at 40  $^{\circ}$ C. The flow rate of the vapor carrying gas was 70 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> and the nitrogen gas was added at 1930 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>. The flow rate of vapor added before the third heating zone was varied between 0 and 70 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>. The residence time in the first two heating zones was about 7.5 seconds, and that for the last three zones was about 9.5 seconds. The particle number concentrations and sizes were larger than those without the addition of vapor after the second heating zone, increasing with increasing added vapor flow rate. This is believed to be the result of additional homogeneous nucleation in the last three zones due to the added vapor.

Figure 27 shows the changes in the size distribution of  $TiO_2$  particles with the temperature of the first heating zone kept at 400 °C, and those of the following four heating zones at 100 °C. We can see that, by the addition of vapor after the second heating zone, the total particle number concentration increased only slightly with increasing flow rate of the added vapor, while the number size distribution shifted to larger sizes. In this case, seed particles were produced in the first zone by homogeneous nucleation and grew by vapor and cluster deposition which dominated over homogeneous nucleation in the last four heating zones because of the low deposition rates resulting from the low furnace temperatures.

# 6. Conclusions

A variety of aerosol technologies have been developed to synthesize fine particles. Plasma, flame, and thermal reactors are in commercial use today, generating a wide variety of powders and producing composites with special properties. The reaction rates in these systems vary over orders of magnitude, but the physical processes that govern the evolution of the aerosol are the same, namely reaction and coagulation.

The simple reaction-coagulation model of aerosol evolution is capable of describing the operating domains in aerosol reaction systems. The discrete sectional model has been used to explore the physical processes that govern refractory particle formation. Production of refractory particles from gases is generally dominated by coagulation of nucleated particles, leading to a size distribution that is relatively broad and does not vary significantly in shape from one system to another. If, however, reaction is initiated at a slow rate and then accelerated gradually, growth by vapor and cluster deposition can be made to dominate over coagulation, thereby producing particles with a size distribution that is significantly narrower than that in coagulation dominated systems.

The production of  $TiO_2$  fine particles by thermodecomposition of TTIP vapor verified the feasibility of controlling the properties of the final product aerosol by changing the temperature profile, initial vapor concentration, and seed particle conditions. The experimental results are consistent with the predictions by the aforementioned models.

## 7. References

Friedlander, S.K. (1983) "Dynamics of Aerosol Formation by Chemical Reaction", Annals New York Academy of Science, 354-364.

Gelbard, F., and Seinfeld, J.H. (1979) "The General Dynamic Equation of Aerosols",J. Colloid and Interface Science, 68:363-382.

Gelbard, F., Tambour, Y., and Seinfeld, J.H. (1980) "Sectional Representations for Simulating Aerosol Dynamics", J. Colloid and Interface Science, 76:541-556.

Okuyama K., Kousaka, Y., Tohge, N., Yamamota, S., Wu, J.J., Flagan, R.C., Seinfeld, J.H. (1986) "Production of Ultra-Fine Metal Oxide Particles by Thermal Decomposition of Metal Alkoxide Vapors", American Institute of Chemical Engineers J., in press.

Wang, C.S. and Friedlander, S.K. (1967) "The Self-Preserving Particle Size Distribution for Coagulation by Brownian Motion", J. Colloid and Interface Science, 24:170-179.

Warren, D.R. and Seinfeld, J.H. (1984) "Nucleation and Growth of Aerosol form a Continuously Reinforced Vapor", Aerosol Science and Technology, 3:135-153.

Wu, J.J. and Flagan, R.C. (1986) "A Discrete - Sectional Solution to the Aerosol Dynamic Equation", J. Colloid and Interface Science, submitted for publication.

Wu, J.J. and Flagan, R.C. (1986) "Submicron Silicon Powder Production in An Aerosol Reactor", Applied Physics Letters, 49(2):82-84. Wu, J.J. and Flagan,
R.C. (1986) "Onset of Runaway Nucleation in Aerosol Reactors", J. Applied Physics, in press.

# TABLE 1

# Characteristic Reaction and Residence Times for Powder Synthesis Reactors

Reactor Type	Reaction Time	Residence Time
Plasma	1 µs - 100 µs	1 ms - 100 ms
Laser	$10 \ \mu s$ - 1 ms	1 ms - 100 ms
Flame	1 ms - 10 ms	10 ms - 1 sec
Thermal	1 ms - 1 sec	10 ms - 10 sec



Figure 1. Schematic of processes contributing to particle formation from gas phase chemical reactions.



Figure 2. Evolution of the  $TiO_2$  particle size distribution with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup> for various first order reaction rate constants.

(a)  $k_A = 0.01 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ 



Figure 2. Evolution of the  $TiO_2$  particle size distribution with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$  for various first order reaction rate constants.

(b)  $k_A = 1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ 



Figure 2. Evolution of the  $TiO_2$  particle size distribution with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$  for various first order reaction rate constants.

(c)  $k_A = 100 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ 



Figure 2. Evolution of the  $TiO_2$  particle size distribution with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup> for various first order reaction rate constants.

(d)  $k_A \gg 100~{\rm sec^{-1}},$  pure coagulation case.



Figure 3. Evolution of the  $\rm TiO_2$  mass distribution with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \rm \ mol \ cm^{-3}$  at different times for various first order reaction rate constants.

(a)  $k_A = 0.01 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ 



Figure 3. Evolution of the  $\rm TiO_2$  mass distribution with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \rm \ mol \ cm^{-3}$  at different times for various first order reaction rate constants.

(b) 
$$k_A = 1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$$



Figure 3. Evolution of the TiO<sub>2</sub> mass distribution with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup> at different times for various first order reaction rate constants.

(c)  $k_A = 100 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ 



Figure 3. Evolution of the  $TiO_2$  mass distribution with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup> at different times for various first order reaction rate constants.

(d)  $k_A \gg 100~{\rm sec^{-1}},$  pure coagulation case.



Figure 4. Comparison of the results from the D-S ( —— ) and SRC ( - - - ) models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $k_A = 100 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

(a) Total number concentration normalized by  $N_0$ .



Figure 4. Comparison of the results from the D-S ( --- ) and SRC ( --- ) models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$  and  $k_A = 100 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

(b) Total mass concentration normalized by  $M_0$ .



Figure 4. Comparison of the results from the D-S ( --- ) and SRC ( --- ) models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $k_A = 100 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

(c) Number averaged particle diameter.


Figure 4. Comparison of the results from the D-S ( --- ) and SRC ( --- ) models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup> and  $k_A = 100 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

(d) Broadening of the size distribution.



Figure 5. Comparison of the results from the D-S ( --- ) and SRC ( --- ) models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $k_A = 0.01 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

(a) Total number concentration normalized by  $N_0$ .



Figure 5. Comparison of the results from the D-S ( --- ) and SRC ( --- ) models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  and  $k_A = 0.01 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

(b) Total mass concentration normalized by  $M_0$ .



Figure 5. Comparison of the results from the D-S ( ——— ) and SRC ( - - - ) models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$  and  $k_A = 0.01 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

(c) Number averaged particle diameter.



Figure 5. Comparison of the results from the D-S ( --- ) and SRC ( --- ) models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$  and  $k_A = 0.01 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

(d) Broadening of the size distribution.



Figure 6. Geometric standard deviation vs. time for the size distribution with  $k_A = 10^{-2} \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

------ Based on the number concentrations corresponding to the size distribution in Figure 2(a).

- - Based on the mass concentrations corresponding to the size distribution in Figure 3(a).



Figure 7. Geometric standard deviation vs. time for the size distribution with  $k_A\gg 10^{-2}~{\rm sec^{-1}}.$ 

Based on the number concentrations corresponding to the size distribution in Figure 2(d).

- - Based on the mass concentrations corresponding to the size distribution in Figure 3(d).







Figure 8. Evolution of the particle size distributions with  $k_A = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$  and initial concentrations of TTIP of  $5.25 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  ( ----- ),  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  ( ----- ),  $5.25 \times 10^{-11} \text{ mol} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  ( ----- ) at (b) t = 0.1  $\tau_{rxn}$ 



Figure 8. Evolution of the particle size distributions with  $k_A = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$  and initial concentrations of TTIP of  $5.25 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$  ( ----- ),  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$  ( ---- ),  $5.25 \times 10^{-11} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$  ( ---- ) at (c)  $t = 1 \tau_{rxn}$ 



Figure 8. Evolution of the particle size distributions with  $k_A = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$  and initial concentrations of TTIP of  $5.25 \times 10^{-9} \text{ mol} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  (-----),  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  (----) at (d) t = 10  $\tau_{rxn}$ 



Figure 9. Aerosol size evolution starting with an initial vapor concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-7}$ mol cm<sup>-3</sup> and a gradually increasing reaction rate.



Figure 10. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ , 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particle concentration of  $3 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - Seed particles from the SRC model.
- $\cdot \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.
- (a) Total number concentration normalized by  $N_0$ .



Figure 10. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ , 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particle concentration of  $3 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - Seed particles from the SRC model.
- $\cdot \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.
- (b) Total mass concentration normalized by  $M_0$ .



Figure 10. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ ,  $0.03 \ \mu\text{m}$  seed particle concentration of  $3 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - Seed particles from the SRC model.
- $\cdot \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.
- (c) Number averaged particle diameter.



Figure 10. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ ,  $0.03 \ \mu\text{m}$  seed particle concentration of  $3 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - Seed particles from the SRC model.
- $\cdot \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.
- (d) Broadening of the size distribution.



Figure 11. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ , 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particle concentration of  $3 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 0.01 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - Seed particles from the SRC model.
- $\cdot \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.
- (a) Total number concentration normalized by  $N_0$ .



Figure 11. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ , 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particle concentration of  $3 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 0.01 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - Seed particles from the SRC model.
- $\cdot \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.
- (b) Total mass concentration normalized by  $M_0$ .



Figure 11. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ , 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particle concentration of  $3 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 0.01 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - Seed particles from the SRC model.
- $\cdot \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.
- (c) Number averaged particle diameter.



Figure 11. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ , 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particle concentration of  $3 \times 10^7 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 0.01 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - Seed particles from the SRC model.
- $\cdot \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.
- (d) Broadening of the size distribution.



Figure 12. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ , 0.0479  $\mu$ m seed particle concentration of  $6.53 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - - Seed particles from the SRC model.

 $- \cdot - \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.

(a) Total number concentration normalized by  $N_{\rm 0}.$ 



Figure 12. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ , 0.0479  $\mu$ m seed particle concentration of  $6.53 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- ------ Results from the D-S model.
- - Seed particles from the SRC model.
- $\cdot \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.
- (b) Total mass concentration normalized by  $M_0$ .



Figure 12. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ , 0.0479  $\mu$ m seed particle concentration of  $6.53 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - Seed particles from the SRC model.
- $\cdot \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.
- (c) Number averaged particle diameter.



Figure 12. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ ,  $0.0479 \ \mu\text{m}$  seed particle concentration of  $6.53 \times 10^4 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - Seed particles from the SRC model.
- $\cdot \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.
- (d) Broadening of the size distribution.



Figure 13. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ , 0.0479  $\mu$ m seed particle concentration of  $6.53 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - - Seed particles from the SRC model.

 $- \cdot - \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.

(a) Total number concentration normalized by  $N_0$ .



Figure 13. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ ,  $0.0479 \ \mu\text{m}$  seed particle concentration of  $6.53 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - Seed particles from the SRC model.
- $\cdot \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.
- (b) Total mass concentration normalized by  $M_0$ .



Figure 13. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ , 0.0479  $\mu$ m seed particle concentration of  $6.53 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - Seed particles from the SRC model.
- $\cdot \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.
- (c) Number averaged particle diameter.



Figure 13. Comparison of the results from the D-S and SRC models with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$ , 0.0479 µm seed particle concentration of  $6.53 \times 10^5 \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - Seed particles from the SRC model.
- $\cdot \cdot$  New particles from the SRC model.
- (d) Broadening of the size distribution.



Figure 14. Particle size distribution, starting with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3} \text{ and } 0.205 \,\mu\text{m}$  seed particle concentration of  $2.44 \times 10^{6}$ cm<sup>-3</sup> at time t=50 sec.

- (a)  $k_A = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .
- (b)  $k_A = 0.05 + 0.002t \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .
- (c)  $k_A = 0.033 + 0.0013t + 0.00004t^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .
- (d)  $k_A = 0.025 + 0.001t + 0.00006t^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- 190 -



Figure 15. Particle mass distribution, starting with an initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol cm}^{-3}$  and  $0.205 \,\mu\text{m}$  seed particle concentration of  $2.44 \times 10^{6} \text{ cm}^{-3}$  at time t=50 sec.

- (a)  $k_A = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .
- (b)  $k_A = 0.05 + 0.002t \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .
- (c)  $k_A = 0.033 + 0.0013t + 0.00004t^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .
- (d)  $k_A = 0.025 + 0.001t + 0.00006t^2 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- 191 -



Figure 16. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $k_A = 100$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

——— Without seed particles.

- - - Seed particles.

 $- \cdot - \cdot$  Nucleated particles.

(a) Total number concentration normalized by  $N_0$ .



Figure 16. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $k_A = 100$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

- - - Seed particles.

 $- \cdot - \cdot$  Nucleated particles.

(b) Total mass concentration normalized by  $M_0$ .



Figure 16. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $k_A = 100$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

- - - Seed particles.

 $- \cdot - \cdot$  Nucleated particles.

(c) Number averaged particle diameter.



Figure 17. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $k_A = 0.1$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

- - - Seed particles.

 $- \cdot - \cdot$  Nucleated particles.

(a) Total number concentration normalized by  $N_0$ .



Figure 17. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $k_A = 0.1$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

- - Seed particles.
- $\cdot \cdot$  Nucleated particles.
- (b) Total mass concentration normalized by  $M_0$ .



Figure 17. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $k_A = 0.1$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

- - - Seed particles.

 $- \cdot - \cdot$  Nucleated particles.

(c) Number averaged particle diameter.


Figure 18. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $k_A = 10^{-2}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

- - - Seed particles.

 $- \cdot - \cdot$  Nucleated particles.

(a) Total number concentration normalized by  $N_0$ .



Figure 18. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $k_A = 10^{-2}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

——— Without seed particles.

- - Seed particles.
- $\cdot \cdot$  Nucleated particles.
- (b) Total mass concentration normalized by  $M_0$ .



Figure 18. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $k_A = 10^{-2}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

- - - Seed particles.

 $- \cdot - \cdot$  Nucleated particles.

(c) Number averaged particle diameter.



Figure 19. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $k_A = 10^{-4}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

- ------ Without seed particles.
- - Seed particles.
- $\cdot \cdot$  Nucleated particles.
- (a) Total number concentration normalized by  $N_0$ .



Figure 19. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $k_A = 10^{-4}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

- - - Seed particles.

 $- \cdot - \cdot$  Nucleated particles.

(b) Total mass concentration normalized by  $M_0$ .



Figure 19. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^7$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $k_A = 10^{-4}$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

- - - Seed particles.

 $- \cdot - \cdot$  Nucleated particles.

(c) Number averaged particle diameter.



Figure 20. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $k_A = 0.1$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

- - - Seed particles.

 $- \cdot - \cdot$  Nucleated particles.

(a) Total number concentration normalized by  $N_0$ .



Figure 20. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^8$  cm<sup>-3</sup> of 0.03  $\mu$ m seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10}$  mol cm<sup>-3</sup>, and  $k_A = 0.1$  sec<sup>-1</sup>.

- - Seed particles.
- $\cdot \cdot$  Nucleated particles.
- (b) Total mass concentration normalized by  $M_0$ .



Figure 20. Results from the SRC model for the case with  $3 \times 10^8 \text{ cm}^{-3}$  of 0.03  $\mu \text{m}$  seed particles, initial TTIP concentration of  $5.25 \times 10^{-10} \text{ mol} \text{ cm}^{-3}$ , and  $k_A = 0.1 \text{ sec}^{-1}$ .

- - Seed particles.
- $\cdot \cdot$  Nucleated particles.
- (c) Number averaged particle diameter.



Figure 21. Schematics of the furnaces used in the TTIP experiments.

- (a) For experiments with no added vapor stream  $Q_2$ .
- (b) For seeded experiments with added vapor stream  $Q_2$ .



Figure 22. Measured centerline temperature profiles for experiments using the reactor furnace shown in Figure 21(a) with the corresponding results shown in Figure 25. The corresponding wall temperatures are listed as T1, T2, T3, T4, and T5 with their respective symbols.



Figure 23. Measured normalized size distributions of the TTIP aerosol obtained using furnace 21(a). □, ◇, △, and ▽ correspond to constant furnace wall temperatures of 350, 400, 450, and 500 °C, respectively. Q1 = 10 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, Q3 = 1990 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, Q4 = 1000 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, and Q5 = 0 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure 24. Measured normalized size distributions of the TTIP aerosol obtained using furnace 21(a) for vapor carrying gas flow rates, Q1, of 30 and 10 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup> corresponding to △ and □, respectively. Q4 = 1000 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, Q5 = 0 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, T1 ~ T5 = 400 °C.



Figure 25. Measured normalized size distributions of the TTIP aerosol obtained using furnace 21(a) for the constant, increasing, and decreasing wall temperature profiles shown in Figure 22. Q1 = 10 cm<sup>3</sup>min<sup>-1</sup>, Q3 = 1990 cm<sup>3</sup>min<sup>-1</sup>, Q4 = 1000 cm<sup>3</sup>min<sup>-1</sup>, and Q5 = 0 cm<sup>3</sup>min<sup>-1</sup>.



Figure 26. Measured size distributions of the TTIP aerosol obtained using furnace 21(b) for different added vapor flow rates, and constant wall temperature profile.  $Q1 = 70 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}, Q3 = 1930 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}, Q5 = 1000 \text{ cm}^3 \text{min}^{-1}, T1 \sim T5 = 400 \text{ °C}.$ 



Figure 27. Measured size distributions of the TTIP aerosol obtained using furnace 21(b) for different added vapor flow rates and descreasing wall temperature profile.
Q1 = 70 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, Q3 = 1930 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, Q5 = 1000 cm<sup>3</sup> min<sup>-1</sup>, T1 = 400 °C, T2 ~ T5 = 100 °C.

# CHAPTER 7

# FUSION OF AGGLOMERATE PARTICLES

# ABSTRACT

The sintering history of agglomerates is described in terms of a Hausdroff (fractal) dimensionality. The changes in the size of the primary particles of the agglomertes are due to the solid state sintering. The evolution of the size, density, radius of gyration, and fractal dimensionality of an agglomerate during sintering were derived. The specific surface areas of silicon agglomerates treated at elevated temperatures were measured. An analysis of estimating the three dimensional fractal dimensionality using the electron micrographs and surface area data was performed.

## 1. Introduction

A remarkable feature of fume particles produced from vapors or gases at high temperature is the similarity of the physical structures, regardless of the sources. Pyrogenic fume particles are typically comprised of chain agglomerates of small, roughly spherical primary particles. The smallest features on the agglomerates, i.e., primary particles ranging in size from a few nanometers for some soot particles to tenth of a micron for ceramic powders, most likely result from the following mechanisms: (1) The primary particles are formed from reaction products by homogeneous nucleation. Since existing with high number concentration, they coagulate due to Brownian motion and form agglomerates. Sintering between the primary particles then fuse them together. This is usually the case in the combustion or flame systems  $^{1-6}$ . The characteristic reaction time is much shorter than the sintering time. (2) The gas phase reactions proceed slowly. The generated products form particles by homogeneous nucleation. The particles then grow by scavanging the generated product clusters. The clusters deposited on the grown particles sinter with the neighboring clusters to form primary particles. This is usually the case in the controlled powder synthesis reactors  $^{7}$ . In either case, the primary particles will increase in size due to the reduction of surface energy by either increasing the temperature or increasing the sintering time until they become solid spheres.

Submicron particles, e.g., silicon, titanium dioxide, silica, and alumina, were synthesized in aerosol reactors by the pyrolysis of a gas  $^{7-11}$ . The experimental observations were simulated by a reaction coagulation model, assuming that the particles always exist as solid spheres during the formation and growth processes <sup>11,12</sup>. Agglomerates have larger cross sections and surface areas than do dense spheres. This influences their diffusivities and collision rates at which they coagulate or grow by cluster deposition. It is therefore important to investigate the effects of particle structure on the dynamics of particle formation and growth. In this paper, we are particularly interested in how the agglomerates evolve to solid spheres due to increasing sintering temperature or sintering time with no reaction and negligible coagulation. An analysis of the evolution of primary particle diameter is provided based on a fractal dimensionality argument. It results that the fractal dimensionality of the agglomerates is a function of the sintering history. It approaches the right value as the agglomerates completely sinter to solid spheres. Silicon agglomerates with primary particle diameter less than 40 nm were generated in an aerosol reactor. The agglomerates suspended in the gas were treated at elevated temperatures in another flow reactor. The fractal dimensionality of the agglomerates was estimated assuming the flow inside the agglomerates as flow through a porous object satisfying Darcy's Law<sup>27</sup>. The experimentally measured specific surface area of the agglomerates was used as the effective surface area interacting with the surrounding fluid due to shear force.

## 2. Sintering

Two particles in mutual contact form a system which is not in thermodynamical equilibrium, because its total surface free energy is not a minimum. If such a system is left for a certain period of time, the bonding of the two particles will take place in order to decrease the total surface area, even though the temperature is lower than the melting point. This phenomenon of bonding of two or more particles with the application of only heat at temperatures below the melting point of any component of the system will be called sintering. The changing rate of the size of the primary particles depends on the mechanism of material transport. Various types of material transport including viscous flow, plastic flow, evaporation - condensation, surface diffusion, and volume diffusion may occur during the sintering. Following Frankel's <sup>13</sup>, Kuczynski's <sup>14</sup>, and Kingery's <sup>15</sup> analysis, the driving force and the characteristic time of the four types of material transport are summarized in the following.

#### 2.1 Viscous flow

Material transport is driven by the shear stress induced by surface tension, with a characteristic time,  $\tau_v$ , to be

$$au_v = rac{\mu R_p}{\sigma}$$
, [1]

where  $R_p$  is the radius of the primary particle,  $\mu$  is the viscosity of the liquid flow, and  $\sigma$  is the surface tension.

#### 2.2 Evaporation - condensation

Material transport is driven due to the Kelvin's effect. Materials evaporating from the convex part will condense on the concave ( or neck ) part, with a characteristic time

$$\tau_{ec} = \frac{\rho_p^2 RT R_p^2}{M\sigma \left(\frac{M}{2\pi RT}\right)^{1/2} P_o} .$$
<sup>[2]</sup>

Where  $\rho_p$  and M are the density and molecular weight of the primary particles, respectively.  $P_o$  is the equilibrium vapor pressure over a flat surface. T is the temperature on the surface. The Langmuir equation was used to approximate the rate of material transfer.

#### 2.3 Volume diffusion

Material transport results from the existing vacancy concentration gradient between the neck and the inner part of the particle. The characteristic time is

$$\tau_{vd} = \frac{kT R_p^3}{\sigma a^3 \mathcal{D}_V} \quad , \tag{3}$$

where a represents the atomic radius of the material.  $D_V$  is the coefficient of volume diffusion which is related to the coefficient of holes diffusion and the energy required to produce such a hole in the lattice.

### 2.4 Surface diffusion

Material transport results from the existing vacancy concentration gradient between the neck and the surface of the particle. The characteristic time is

$$\tau_{sd} = \frac{kT R_p^4}{\sigma a^4 \mathcal{D}_S} \quad , \tag{4}$$

where  $\mathcal{D}_{S}$  is the coefficient of surface diffusion.

Several measurements on the rate of neck growth between the contacted particles have been reported. Sintering of glass spheres was in agreement with a viscous flow type process <sup>15</sup>. Initial stage of sintering of 61.5 to 70  $\mu$ m sodium chloride particles at 700 to 750 °C occurred by an evaporation - condensation process <sup>15</sup>, and sintering data for 100  $\mu$ m copper spheres were in agreement with volume diffusion model with grain boundaries or possible dislocations acting as vacancy sinks <sup>14,15</sup>. Volume diffusion controlled sintering was observed for 350  $\mu$ m silver spheres under 500 to 800 °C for 1 to 90 hours <sup>14</sup>, and surface diffusion for 52.2  $\mu$ m under 750 to 940 °C for 10 to 600 hours <sup>16</sup>.

Based on the above analysis, the rate of change of the primary particle radius can be expressed as

$$\frac{dR_p}{dt} = \frac{f(n)R_p}{\tau} , \qquad [5]$$

where f(n) is a function of the number, n, of the neighbors fusing with the one in question <sup>5,13</sup>.  $\tau$  is the characteristic sintering time. Clearly, it is inversely proportional to the sintering temperature in a certain way. For an aerosol at high concentration, agglomerates themselves will collide and form bigger agglomerates. This should not appreciably influence the local sintering of primary particles.

#### 3. Fractal Dimensionality Analysis

With the improvements of computer techniques, simulations of cluster growth have provided valuable insight into the relationships between growth mechanisms and morphology in a wide variety of systems of scientific and commercial importance. This approach has been particularly successful in developing a better understanding of the agglomeration phenomena. Some of the early models of cluster growth was developed by Vold and Sutherland for studying floc structure in colloids <sup>7,23</sup>. In these models of colloidal flocculation, particles were added to growing clusters of particles via randomly oriented linear trajectories. This model leads to relatively compact structures with a Hausdroff or fractal dimensionality, D, from 2.33 to 2.78. The Hausdroff dimensionality, D, of the cluster is obtained from the radius of gyration of the cluster,  $R_g$ , through a power law dependence on the number of particles for N

$$R_q \sim N^{1/D} . \qquad [6]$$

Later, Witten and Sander developed a particle - cluster aggregation model in which the effects of Brownian motion are explicitly included <sup>24</sup>. In this model, particles following random - walk (Brownian) trajectories add to a growing cluster or aggregate on contact to generate structures with a fractal dimensionality,  $D \simeq 2.45$ . They also showed that the density - density correlation function

$$C(r) = \frac{\int \rho(r') \rho(r+r') dr'}{\int \rho(r') dr'}$$
[7]

has a power law relationship

$$C(r) \sim r^{d-D} \qquad [8]$$

for distance r greater than a few lattice spacings but smaller than the size of the cluster. d is the Euclidean dimensionality.

More computer simulation work has been undertaken since then. Meakin et al. have developed cluster - cluster aggregation models based on random walk motion of the clusters<sup>25</sup>. The diffusion coefficient of the cluster is assumed to have a power law dependence on the number of spheres in the cluster.  $D \simeq 1.8$ was obtained. Based on Monte Carlo simulations in two dimensions, it was found that the size dependence of the agglomerate diffusion coefficient did not affect the fractal dimensionality<sup>26</sup>.

In three dimensions, the degree of openness of the agglomerates can also be characterized in terms of D as

$$m \sim r^D$$
, [9]

where m represents the mass contained within a distance r of the center of the object. The formation of aerosol agglomerates was simulated by following the Langevin trajectory of each particle with the boundary condition that the particle stick upon collision  $^{27}$ . The agglomerates can be described as fractals, with D of 1.7 to 1.9 independent of the flow regime. Preliminary results from cluster -

cluster aggregation model showed that the fractal dimensionality D is insensitive to the sticking probability  $^{25}$ .

# 4. Evolution of the Fractal Dimensionality of An Agglomerate During Sintering

Whereas the existence and importance of agglomerates cannot be questioned, their characterization is exceedingly difficult. Various colloidal techniques give results which depend simultaneously on the size of the primary particles, size, shape, and density of the agglomerates, and also on the tendency of the primary particles to adhere to each other.

The ideal tool for morphological studies of colloidal materials is the electron microscope. Electron micrographs give detail morphologies and complete planar projected pictures of the agglomerates if large number of samples are collected. The first serious attempt at quantitative characterization of agglomerates by electron microscopy was made in 1951<sup>28</sup>. In dealing with EM, it seems best to consider the projected image of each agglomerate as a two - dimensional silhouette. Medalia et al. developed a geometrical method for analyzing the shape of colloidal agglomerates from electron micrographs <sup>1,29</sup>. The silhouette of an agglomerate is treated as a plane figure. The location of its center of mass and its two central principal axes in the plane, as well as the lengths of the two radii of gyration about these axes are calculated. The two axes of the silhouette are drawn of lengths equal to 4 times the radii of gyration, and a radius - equivalent ellipse can be drawn with these axes. The area of the silhouette, anisometry (ratio of the two radii of gyration), and bulkiness (ratio of the area of the ellipse and the area of the silhouette) are used to characterize the morphologies of the agglomerate.

If the agglomerate exists as a fractal, then it is reasonable to assume the

projected area, A, to be  $A_p N_p^{2/D}$ .  $A_p$  represents the projected area of primary particles and  $N_p$  is the number of primary particles in this agglomerate. Vold's program<sup>20</sup> for simulation of floc growth was adopted for comparing with the electron micrographs of actual carbon black agglomerates<sup>1</sup>. The planar projected pictures of the simulated agglomerates showed a general resemblance with the carbon black agglomerates on the micrographs. It was evident that as the flocs grow larger, the chance of getting a high degree of anisometry diminished. Some characteristics of the computer simulated random flocs were achieved statistically, e.g.,  $A = A_p N_p^{0.87^1}$  and  $N \sim r^{2.33^{20}}$ , after large number of simulations were performed, justifying the aforementioned assumption for the projected area.

The evolution of the size (R), density  $(\bar{\rho})$ , radius of gyration (R<sub>g</sub>), and fractal dimensionality (D) of an agglomerate during the sintering can be derived based on the following assumptions:

$$\frac{d R_p}{d t} = \frac{f(n) R_p}{\tau} ,$$

$$\rho_p = \text{constant} ,$$

$$\bar{\rho} R^3 = \rho_p R_p^3 N_p = \text{constant} ,$$

$$m(r) \sim r^D ,$$

$$R = R_p N_p^{1/D} .$$

The evolution of the morphologies of the agglomerate will continue until  $N_p = 1$ , i.e.,  $t = (\tau/3f(n)) \ln N_{p,o}$ . During sintering, the agglomerate evolves with a spatial density,  $\rho(r)$ , which can be obtained by equating the volume integral of the density function and the mass of the agglomerate. It results that

$$ho(r) = rac{D}{3} \, 
ho_p \left(rac{R_p}{r}
ight)^{3-D} \,, \ \ r \leq R;$$
 [10]

and the agglomerate has a radius of gyration

$$R_g = \frac{1}{\sqrt{1 + \frac{2}{D}}} R$$
 . [11]

It is clear that  $\rho$  and  $R_g$  approach the corresponding values of a solid sphere as D approaches 3.

The density function,  $\rho(\mathbf{r})$ , can be plugged into the density - density correlation function  $C(\mathbf{r})$  to derive the fractal dimensionality as a function of the sintering history,

$$ln \frac{R_p}{R_{p,o}} \sim \frac{ln [D(D-2)]}{3-D}$$
, for  $D > 2;$  [12]

where  $\frac{\ln D(D-2)}{3-D}$  is a monotonically increasing function with respect to D. It shows that the fractal dimensionality, D, of the agglomerate does approach 3 as the agglomerate completely sinters to a solid sphere. Obviously, ln R approaches a fixed value, ln [R<sub>p,o</sub>N<sup>1/3</sup><sub>p,o</sub>], with a rate  $\frac{(1-\frac{3}{D})\ln [D(D-2)]}{3-D}$ .

## 5. Surface Area of the Agglomerates During Sintering

Nitrogen adsorption specific surface area,  $A_{N_2}$ , has been used to characterize the powders because it is a less laborious technique and it does give important information of the powder morphologies. Silicon agglomerates with primary particle diameter of 38 nm were generated in an aerosol free flow reactor by the pyrolysis of silane. The residence time of the flow in this reactor was fairly long so that the agglomerates could grow to sizes of order 10  $\mu$ m by Brownian coagulation. The agglomerates were diluted by extra nitrogen and introduced into another aerosol reactor with a concentration at which further coagulation of agglomerates could be neglected.

The temperature, T, of the second reactor was varied from 773 to 1400 K. The residence time of the flow in the reactor was kept the same for different runs. The specific surface area in units of  $m^2 gm^{-1}$  of the agglomerates was measured by BET nitrogen adsorption technique. The diameters of the primary particles of these agglomerates were measured by the electron micrographs. These data are shown by the  $\Box$  and  $\times$  in Figure 1. Diameters of the primary particles estimated by  $6/\rho_p A_{N_2}$  are shown by  $\triangle$  in the same figure. The estimation of diameter of the primary particles by  $6/\rho_p A_{N_2}$  clearly breaks down when the temperature is high enough to cause extensive sintering.

From the analysis in section 4, it is clear that the surface area of an agglomerate will reduce with increasing sintering time or temperature. The friction force induced by fluid motion around an agglomerate is  $6\pi R\mu u_o/(1+\frac{3\kappa}{2R^2})$  assuming that the flow inside the agglomerates can be approximated as flow through a porous object satisfying Darcy's law<sup>27</sup>.  $u_o$  is the fluid velocity far from the agglomerate and  $\kappa$  is the permeability of the medium. The permeability, defined as the volume of a fluid of unit viscosity passing through a unit cross section of the medium in unit time under the action of a unit pressure gradient, is a function of the structure of the medium only. Kozeny's formulated it as a function of the size of the primary particle,  $R_p$ , and the porosity, f, of the agglomerate <sup>29</sup>

$$\kappa \sim R_p^2 \frac{f^3}{(1-f)^2}$$
 [13]

If we assume that the form drag and the shear drag of the agglomerate induced by fluid motion is proportional to the size and total surface area of the agglomerate, then the following relationship between the surface area and friction coefficient of the agglomerate can be applied,

$$\frac{6\pi\mu R}{1+\frac{3\kappa}{2R^2}} = 2\pi\mu R + 4\pi\mu R \; \frac{A_{N_2} \; \rho_p \frac{4}{3}\pi R_p^3 N_p}{4\pi R^2} \; . \tag{14}$$

It approaches the self - consistent Stokes - Einstein friction coefficient as the agglomerate approaches a dense sphere, when  $\kappa \to 0$  and  $A_{N_2} \rho_p \frac{4}{3} \pi R_p^3 N_p \to 4\pi R^2$ . It should be noted that as the agglomerate approaches a solid sphere, the BET surface area relates to the size of the primary particles as  $A_{N_2} \sim R_p^{2-6/D}$ , where  $R_p^{1-3/D}$  is proportional to R.

# 6. Acknowledgements

The authors thank Mr. Paul S. Northrop for the BET surface area measurements. This work was supported by the Program in Advanced Technologies of the California Institute of Technology.

# 7. References

- <sup>1</sup> Medalia, A.I., J. Colloid and Interface Science, 24, 393(1967).
- <sup>2</sup> Bolt, T.D., Dannenberg, E.M., Dobbin, R.E., and Rossman, R.P., Rubber Plastics Age, 41, 1520(1960).
- <sup>3</sup> Ulrich, G.D., Combustion Science and Technology, 4, 47(1971).
- <sup>4</sup> Ulrich, G.D., Milnes, B.A., and Subramanian, N.S., Combustion Science and Technology, 14, 243(1976).
- <sup>5</sup> Ulrich, G.D., and Subramanian, N.S., Combustion Science and Technology, 17, 119(1977).
- <sup>6</sup> Ulrich, G.D., and Riehl, J.W., J. Colloid and Interface Science, 87, 257(1982).
- <sup>7</sup> Wu, J.J., Flagan, R.C., and Gregory, O.J., *Applied Physics Letters*, 49, 82(1986).
- <sup>8</sup> Ingerbrethesen, B.J., and Matijevic, E., J. Aerosol Science, 11, 271(1980).
- <sup>9</sup> Ingerbrethesen, B.J., Matijevic, E., and Partch, R.E., J. Colloid and Interface Science, 95, 228(1983).
- <sup>10</sup> Kanai, T., Komiyama, H., and Inoue, H., Kagaku Kagaku Ronbunshu, 11, 317(1985).
- <sup>11</sup> Okuyama, K., Kousaka, Y., Tohge, N., Yamamota, S., Wu, J.J., Flagan, R.C., and Seinfeld, J.H., American Institute of Chemical Engineers J., in press (1986).
- <sup>12</sup> Wu, J.J., and Flagan, R.C., J. Colloid and Interface Science, submitted for publication (1986).
- <sup>13</sup> Frenkel, J., J. Physics USSR, IX, 385(1945).

- <sup>14</sup> Kuczynski, G.C., Transaction American Institute Mining Metallurgy Engineers, 185, 169(1949).
- <sup>15</sup> Kingery, W.D., and Berg., M., J. Applied Physics, 26, 1205(1955).
- <sup>16</sup> Choi, S., International J. Powder Metallurgy and Powder Technology, 21, 39(1985).
- <sup>17</sup> Vold, M., J. Colloid and Interface Science, 14, 168(1959).
- <sup>18</sup> Vold, M., J. Physical Chemistry, 63, 1608(1959).
- <sup>19</sup> Vold, M., J. Colloid and Interface Science, 64, 1616(1960).
- <sup>20</sup> Vold, M., J. Physical Chemistry, 18, 684(1963).
- <sup>21</sup> Sutherland, D.N., J. Colloid and Interface Science, 22, 300(1966).
- <sup>22</sup> Sutherland, D.N., J. Colloid and Interface Science, 25, 373(1967).
- <sup>23</sup> Meakin, P., J. Colloid and Interface Science, 96, 415(1983).
- <sup>24</sup> Witten, T.A., and Sander, L.M., Physical Review Letters, 47, 1400(1981).
- <sup>25</sup> Meakin, P., Physical Review A, 29, 997(1984).
- <sup>26</sup> Meakin, P., *Physical Review B*, **29**, 2930(1984).
- <sup>27</sup> Mountain, R.D., Mulholland, G.W., and Baum, H., J. Colloid and Interface Science, in press (1986).
- <sup>28</sup> Cohan, L.H., and Watson J., H.L., Rubber Age, 68, 687(1951).
- <sup>29</sup> Medalia, A.I., and Heckman, F.A., Carbon, 7, 567(1969).
- <sup>30</sup> Kozeny, J., Wasserkraft und Wasserwirtschaft, 22, 67(1927).



Figure 1. BET surface area  $A_{N_2}$  ( $\Box$ ), diameter of primary particles estimated from electron micrographs  $2R_p$  (×), and diameter of primary particles estimated by  $6/\rho_p A_{N_2}$  ( $\triangle$ ) of the silicon agglomerates treated at different temperatures.
## CHAPTER 8

# CONCLUSIONS

The transition from particle growth to runaway nucleation by a gas phase reaction is extremely abrupt. The structure of the particles grown near this transition suggests that the diffusion of small clusters accounts for much of the growth. Gas phase reactions produce very large numbers of clusters that then grow by coagulation and vapor deposition, and may be lost by coagulation with the larger seed particles. The characteristic times for coagulation indicate that the clusters responsible for the seed particle growth must have been much smaller than the apparent fine structure of the product particles. The abrupt transition from successful seed growth to catastrophic nucleation may be explained in terms of these very small clusters. Since the diffusivity decreases rapidly with cluster size, only very small clusters can be scavenged efficiently by the seed aerosol in the residence time studied. Once the clusters grow too large to diffuse to the seeds within the available residence time, large numbers of clusters survive to compete with the seeds for the condensible reaction products, thereby limiting the seed particle growth and greatly increasing the total number concentration in the product aerosol. The apparent suppression of nucleation in the aerosol reactor is, therefore, an indication that clusters possibly larger than the critical nuclei of the classical nucleation theory do not grow past the point where scavenging is effective.

The single component sectional model of Gelbard has been expanded into a discrete-sectional model to facilitate accurate modelling of the dynamics of small clusters during aerosol formation and growth. The sectional formulation is derived to ensure mass conservation. Condensation, evaporation, coagulation, and chemical reactions are described in this model. Results from this model differ substantially from those of the pure sectional model. Convergence is achieved rapidly as the number of discrete sizes is increased. The model can be used to predict the number concentration and size distribution of powders generated in reactors where the molecular clusters are generated at too fast a rate to apply the steady state nucleation models. Model predictions are in close agreement with experimental observations of refractory particle formation and growth.

Production of refractory particles from gases is generally dominated by coagulation, leading to a size distribution that is relatively broad and does not vary significantly in shape from one system to another. If, however, reaction is initiated with a slow rate and then accelerated gradually, growth by cluster deposition can be made dominant over coagulation, thereby producing particles with a size distribution that is significantly narrower than that in coagulation dominated systems. The simple reaction-coagulation model, derived from the more detailed discrete-sectional model and which treats nucleated particles and pre-existing seed particles as a two-mode aerosol, gives fast but useful prediction of the properties of the produced powder. It can be used to quickly map out the seed particle growth and nucleation dominant domains under various reactor conditions. The discrete-sectional model for complete aerosol size evolution, based on assumptions that particles coalesce as soon as they coagulate, is capable of quantitatively describing submicron particle production in aerosol reaction systems.

An analysis based on a fractal dimensionality argument shows that the morphologies of particles under a high temperature environment can be predicted by the combination of the specific surface area measurements and the electron micrographs. The expressions for the size, density, radius of gyration, and fractal dimensionality of an agglomerate during sintering were derived. In order to develop the aerosol reactor technologies that can produce particles with tailored properties, whether unagglomerated spheres for ceramics or fused agglomerates for structural fillers, the evolution of particle structure should be combined with the aforementioned models of aerosol evolution.

## APPENDIX A1

# EFFECT OF SPATIAL INHOMOGENEITIES ON THE RATE OF HOMOGENEOUS NUCLEATION IN SYSTEM WITH AEROSOL PARTICLES

with

J.E. Stern, R.C. Flagan, and J.H. Seinfeld

Published in the

Journal of Colloid and Interface Science 110(2):533-543 (1986)

## ABSTRACT

The presence of growing particles in a system leads to spatial inhomogeneities in the vapor concentration. The effect of these spatial variations on the rate of formation of new particles by homogeneous nucleation is examined theoretically using a cell model. Results indicate that the presence of these inhomogeneities in systems both with and without initial aerosol has generally little effect on the final number concentration of particles following a nucleation "event".

#### 1. Introduction

Classical nucleation theory predicts the rate of formation of aerosol particles in a system containing a highly supersaturated vapor. In the presence of growing particles there exist local vapor concentration and temperature profiles around each particle. These spatial inhomogeneities will cause the overall nucleation rate in the system to differ from that based on the average vapor concentration and temperature. In this paper we examine the magnitude of the effect of these local spatial inhomogeneities on the rate of formation of new particles by homogeneous nucleation.

The rate of formation of new particles by homogeneous nucleation is most commonly represented by the classical theory of Volmer, Becker, Doring and Zeldovich (1).

$$J = S^2 N_s^2 \ 2 v_1 \sqrt{rac{\sigma}{2\pi m_1}} \exp\left(rac{-16\pi \sigma^3 v_1^2}{3k^3 T^3 \ln^2 S}
ight) \,.$$
 [1]

Equation [1] expresses the rate of formation of new particles as a function of the local saturation ratio of vapor, S, and the local temperature, T. The particles formed have a surface tension  $\sigma$ , molecular volume  $v_1$ , and molecular mass  $m_1$ . After some particles form, vapor depletion by condensation, as well as nucleation, will diminish the vapor supersaturation and quench the nucleation process (2,3). Condensational growth of the particles then dominates to relieve the excess vapor supersaturation.

Since depletion of the vapor by condensation will quickly dominate once a sufficient number of particles are present, nucleation will effectively cease. Warren and Seinfeld (2,3) have shown how one therefore can predict a final number concentration of particles that will be formed for a given set of conditions. Considering a spatially homogeneous system containing a condensable vapor and aerosol particles in which homogeneous nucleation, condensation, and vapor generation are occurring, mass and number balances on the system give:

$$\frac{d}{dt}N_v = R_G - g_s J - R_c \tag{2}$$

$$\frac{d}{dt}N_p = J$$
[3]

$$\frac{d}{dt}M_p = m_1 g_s J + m_1 R_c , \qquad [4]$$

where  $N_v$  is the vapor phase concentration,  $N_p$  is the aerosol number concentration, and  $M_p$  is the total mass loading in the aerosol phase.  $R_G$ , J, and  $R_c$  are the rates of vapor generation, nucleation and condensation, respectively.  $g_s$  is the assumed number of vapor molecules in the nucleating particles. The vapor concentration,  $N_v$ , determined from a mass balance, corresponds to the average saturation ratio in the system.

From the form of the nucleation rate expression, Equation [1], we can see that there is a very strong dependence on saturation ratio. Therefore, local spatial inhomogeneities in a system containing particles could significantly influence the overall nucleation rate and the resulting number concentration.

Many researchers have considered the effects of interparticle competition for vapor in the context of the diffusional growth problem (4,5,6,7,8). These efforts have been concentrated largely on solving the diffusion equation around a single particle, then extending that solution to an ensemble of particles. There are some mathematical difficulties that arise in the boundary conditions, as the growing particle imparts to the problem a moving boundary. This moving boundary problem has been solved by a number of different mathematical techniques, including perturbation methods (7,9,10), other approximate numerical solutions (4) and approximate analytical solutions (8). There have been several treatments of the competition between simultaneous nucleation and growth (4,11), but none that attempts to account for the local profiles around an aerosol particle. Here we will concentrate on nucleation in a macroscopically homogeneous system, and the effect of the local spatial inhomogeneities around each growing particle on the overall rate of new particle formation. [Note that this is in contrast to the macroscopically inhomogeneous system considered by Becker and Reiss (12) and McGraw and McMurry (13).]

The effect of local spatial inhomogeneities on the rate of homogenous nucleation during the early stages of nucleation has been considered by Pesthy et al. (14) and Alam (15). In these studies the conservation equations for heat and mass transfer were solved for the steady-state vapor concentration around a single growing aerosol particle. Boundary conditions were taken at the particle surface and at infinity, and the resulting vapor saturation ratio profile was written as a function of  $S_{\infty}$ ,  $T_{\infty}$  and r, assuming some known concentration at the particle surface. The nucleation rate was determined therefore as a function of radial distance from the particle. If the system considered is sufficiently dilute, the temperature effects can be neglected, as any substantial heat transfer will be dissipated by collisions with air molecules. This means that the heat released by gas-to-particle conversion will not have a significant effect on the temperature of the system, and the nucleation rate expression will be dependent only on  $S_{\infty}$  and r.

A radius,  $\rho a$ , was then defined around the particle of radius a, such that the nucleation rate averaged over all of space equals the nucleation rate based on  $S_{\infty}$  from  $\rho a$  to infinity,

$$\int_a^\infty J(S(r)) \ 4\pi r^2 dr = \int_{\rho a}^\infty J(S_\infty) \ 4\pi r^2 dr \ .$$
 [5]

Thus the volume between a and  $\rho a$  is treated as a dead zone for nucleation. The nucleation rate was approximated by a step function at radius  $\rho a$ , the edge of this so-called *clearance volume*.

In a system of particles, the same idea can be applied, but a total fractional clearance volume is defined:

$$\Omega = \int_0^\infty \frac{4}{3} \pi(\rho a)^3 n(a) da \qquad [6]$$

for a particle number distribution n(a). If  $\Omega = 1$ , the nucleation rate is zero in all of the available space. The clearance volume approach is valid, however, only in the limit of very small effects. In this situation, each particle can be considered to be in isolation, and the total fractional clearance volume,  $\Omega \ll 1$ . If we attempt to extend it past these limits, many additional uncertainties are introduced, as clearance volumes can overlap, and the saturation ratio cannot reach a value at infinity. Thus it is not valid to extend the theory for  $\Omega$  approaching one. The object of the present work is to develop a general theory for the effect of growing particles on the rate of homogenous nucleation of a vapor that is valid over the entire range of times from very early to very late in the evolution of the system.

#### 2. The Cell Model

A new approach is needed to predict nucleation behavior in systems that are beyond the scope of the clearance volume theory. We can extend the idea of a fully developed steady-state vapor concentration profile around a particle by considering each particle to exist within its own cell. Thus, we associate a certain volume of space with each particle. The vapor concentration at the edge of the cell is the same for all particles, and the cell sizes are chosen so that the entire volume of the system is accounted for. Note that this kind of treatment has been applied as a method for dealing with interparticle competition in the diffusional growth problem (4,5,8).

We start by writing the steady-state conservation equations for the vapor with boundary conditions at the particle edge and at the cell edge. As noted previously, the system is assumed to be dilute, so that the temperature effects caused by condensation of vapor on the particle are negligible. Thus we have:

$$\frac{1}{r^2}\frac{d}{dr}(cr^2V_r^*) = 0$$
[7]

$$V_r^* \frac{dx_A}{dr} = \frac{D}{r^2} \frac{d}{dr} \left( r^2 \frac{dx_A}{dr} \right) , \qquad [8]$$

 $x_A = x'_A$  r = a $x_A = x_{AL}$  r = L $N_A = cV_r^*$  r = a,

where c is the total concentration in the vapor phase,  $x_A$  is the mole fraction of vapor A, L is the cell radius, and  $V_r^*$  is the molar average velocity resulting from the flux of vapor molecules to the particle surface. To obtain an expression for  $x'_A$ , the mole fraction of vapor just above the particle surface, that is valid from the continuum to the free molecule regimes, we employ the idea of flux-matching (16). Flux-matching describes the vapor flux in the vicinity of a particle by the kinetic theory results for the free molecular regime; far from the particle, the flux is modeled in the continuum regime. At some boundary sphere, the fluxes are matched. When this boundary sphere is at the particle edge, we obtain the boundary condition (15):

$$x'_{A} = x_{A0} + (x_{AL} - x_{A0}) \frac{\beta \text{ Kn}}{1 + \beta \text{ Kn}}$$
, [9]

where  $x_{A0}$  is the mole fraction above the particle surface based on the size and composition of the particle, and  $\beta$  is a dimensionless diffusivity given by:

$$\beta = \frac{4D}{\lambda_1 \bar{c}_1} \ . \tag{10}$$

Here  $\lambda_1$  is the mean free path of the condensing species and  $\bar{c}_1$  is its kinetic velocity. The Kelvin equation gives  $x_{A0}$  in terms of the saturation mole fraction above a flat surface as:

$$x_{A0} = x_{A_{\text{sat}}} \exp\left(\frac{2\sigma v_1}{akT}\right) \,. \tag{11}$$

Finally we note that the vapor mole fraction at the cell edge,  $x_{AL}$ , is at the moment an unknown quantity.

Solution of equations [7] and [8] gives the vapor mole fraction distribution as a function of radial position around the particle,

$$\left(\frac{1-x_A}{1-x'_A}\right) = \left(\frac{1-x_{AL}}{1-x'_A}\right)^{\frac{\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{r}}{\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{L}}}.$$
 [12]

We expect  $\frac{1-x_{AL}}{1-x'_{A}}$  to be close to one, since the vapor phase mole fractions are very small, so we rewrite the right hand side of this equation as a first-order binomial expansion

$$\left(\frac{1-x_{AL}}{1-x'_{A}}\right)^{\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{L}} = \left(1-\left(1-\frac{1-x_{AL}}{1-x'_{A}}\right)\right)^{\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{L}}$$
$$\cong 1-\left(\frac{\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{r}}{\frac{1}{a}-\frac{1}{L}}\right)\left(1-\frac{1-x_{AL}}{1-x'_{A}}\right).$$
[13]

This corresponds to the exact solution of the steady-state conservation equations assuming  $V_r^* = 0$ . Physically, this assumes that the vapor phase is extremely dilute, so that  $\ln(\frac{1-x'_A}{1-x_{AL}}) \cong 0$ . To test the validity of neglecting the Stefan flow contribution we can take, for example, a "worst case" of an extremely small cell relative to particle size, and a high vapor concentration mole fraction. Taking L/a equal to 200, and  $x'_A$  equal to 0.01, the maximum difference between the concentration profiles with and without Stefan flow included is less than 0.01%. Thus we can neglect this effect in all subsequent calculations.

We have also assumed a steady-state vapor concentration profile. This is a good assumption for most cases of interest, as the characteristic time for diffusion is much less than the characteristic time for nucleation or for condensation. However, it is important to note what effect this would have on our results if it were in fact a poor assumption for certain systems. A growing particle has its first effects in the region immediately surrounding it, depleting the vapor phase in that region. If the steady-state assumption is not a good one, the resulting predicted nucleation rate will be lower than it actually is. This is because the effects far from the particle will be minimal, but near the particle we will have assumed a maximally depleted vapor phase. If the profile has not reached steady-state, a large portion of this predicted depletion will not have occurred. Combining equations [9], [11], and [13], and dividing the mole fraction by the saturation mole fraction, we have an expression for the saturation ratio as a function of radial position:

$$S(r) = \left(\frac{\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{r}}{\frac{1}{a} - \frac{1}{L}}\right) (S_L - \alpha) \frac{1}{1 + \beta \operatorname{Kn}} + (S_L - \alpha) \frac{\beta \operatorname{Kn}}{1 + \beta \operatorname{Kn}} + \alpha \qquad [14]$$

where

$$lpha = \exp\left[rac{2\sigma v_1}{akT}
ight] \,.$$
 [15]

We can now define the average saturation ratio in a cell, and from that obtain the average saturation ratio in the system. Furthermore, knowing the nucleation rate explicitly as a function of radial position in each cell, by integrating this rate over the volume of each cell, we obtain the average nucleation rate in the system. Thus,

$$\bar{S}_{\text{cell}} = \frac{\int_{a}^{L(a)} S(r) \ 4\pi r^2 dr}{\int_{a}^{L(a)} 4\pi r^2 dr} , \qquad [16]$$

$$\bar{S}_{\text{system}} = \int_0^\infty \frac{4}{3} \pi L^3(a) \, n(a) \bar{S}_{\text{cell}}(a) \, da \,, \qquad [17]$$

and similarly

$$\bar{J}_{\text{cell}} = \frac{\int_{a}^{L(a)} J(S(r)) \ 4\pi r^2 dr}{\int_{a}^{L(a)} 4\pi r^2 dr} , \qquad [18]$$

$$\bar{J}_{system} = \int_0^\infty \frac{4}{3} \pi L^3(a) \, n(a) \bar{J}_{cell}(a) \, da \; .$$
 [19]

# 3. Dynamics of a Spatially Inhomogeneous System with Homogenous Nucleation, Vapor Source, and Condensation

We now consider a system with a vapor source, homogeneous nucleation, and condensational growth. Equations [2], [3], and [4] can be used identically, except that the expressions for  $R_c$  and J must be modified to account for spatial inhomogeneities. The nucleation rate is based on the system average rate, and the condensation rate expression must be based on the vapor supersaturation at the cell edge as the driving force, instead of the average supersaturation in the system. Therefore we define correction factors  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  as:

$$f_1 = \frac{J_{\text{system}}}{J(\bar{S})}$$
[20]

$$f_2 = rac{R_c(S_L)}{R_c(\bar{S})} ,$$
 [21]

with  $\bar{S}$  the average saturation ratio in the system, determined from a mass balance on the vapor phase.

As Warren and Seinfeld have shown (2,3), the time scales for homogeneous nucleation and condensational growth are very different in a system where both are occurring. Gas-to-particle conversion via nucleation occurs fast enough relative to conversion by condensation that we may assume that all secondary aerosol forms at once and grows simultaneously. Then the secondary aerosol mode will be monodisperse. If the system has a pre-existing monodisperse aerosol present, the particle size distribution will be bimodal, and the governing equations must be modified. In this case, the dynamic model for the system is:

$$\frac{d}{dt}N_{v} = R_{G} - g_{s}Jf_{1} - (R_{c1} + R_{c2})f_{2}$$
[22]

$$\frac{d}{dt}N_{p1} = 0$$
[23]

$$\frac{d}{dt}N_{p2} = J f_1$$
[24]

$$\frac{d}{dt}M_{p1} = m_1 R_{c1} f_2$$
 [25]

$$\frac{d}{dt}M_{p2} = m_1 g_s J f_1 + m_1 R_{c2} f_2 , \qquad [26]$$

with the subscript 1 for primary aerosol and 2 for secondary aerosol. The nucleation rate expression, J, is given by Equation [1] with  $S = \bar{S}$ , the average saturation ratio determined from a mass balance on the vapor phase. The condensation rate expression for a particle of radius a is (3):

$$R_c = N_s \bar{c}_1 \pi a^2 \left(S - \exp\left(\frac{a_K}{a}\right)\right) f(\mathrm{Kn}) , \qquad [27]$$

for a vapor whose saturated number concentration is  $N_s$ . Here, the exponential term is from the Kelvin effect, with  $a_K$  the characteristic Kelvin radius, given for a monomer of radius  $a_1$  by  $a_K = 4\pi a_1^2 \sigma / (3kT)$ . f(Kn) is an interpolation function to account for the regime of the particle, e.g. the Fuchs-Sutugin formula:

$$f(\mathrm{Kn}) = \frac{(4/3)\mathrm{Kn}^* (1 + \mathrm{Kn}^*)}{1 + 1.71\mathrm{Kn}^* + (4/3)(\mathrm{Kn}^*)^2}$$
[28]

where Kn<sup>\*</sup> is defined by:

$$\operatorname{Kn}^{*} = \frac{\lambda_{1}}{a} \frac{3D}{\lambda_{1}\bar{c}_{1}} = \frac{3}{4}\beta \operatorname{Kn} .$$
 [29]

Each mode of particles is assumed to be monodisperse, so the integrals for average saturation ratio and average nucleation rate in the system become summations:

$$\bar{S}_{\text{system}} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{4}{3} \pi L_{i}^{3} N_{pi} \bar{S}_{i}$$
 [30]

$$\bar{J}_{\text{system}} = \sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{4}{3} \pi L_{i}^{3} N_{pi} \bar{J}_{i}$$
 [31]

We now need to consider the question of defining the cell size when particles of different sizes are present. In the case of only one mode of particles, the cells can be defined to be space-filling, or:

$$\frac{4}{3}\pi L^3 N_p = 1 . ag{32}$$

Since we define  $S_L$  to be a given value at the edge of every cell, some care must be taken in how to define the cell size with an inhomogeneous number concentration of particles. We will consider two limiting cases. In the first, the size of the aerosol has no effect on the volume it influences. In this case, Equation [32] still holds, with  $N_p$  given by  $N_{p1} + N_{p2}$ . At the other extreme, the cell radius will vary directly with the particle radius. Thus the ratio  $\kappa = L/a$  will be constant, and

$$\sum_{i=1}^{2} \frac{4}{3} \pi (\kappa a_i)^3 N_{pi} = 1 .$$
 [33]

Physically, the dependence on particle size is expected to be somewhere within these two limits.

The solution procedure will be to determine  $S_L$  from  $\bar{S}$  at each time step. Knowing  $S_L$ , the correction factors  $f_1$  and  $f_2$  can be calculated, and the improved J and  $R_c$  returned to the system of ODE's to take the next time step.

#### 4. Simulations and Discussion

In the numerical simulations that follow, we attempt to determine the relative importance of the inhomogeneities in the vapor phase in predicting the final number concentration of particles after a nucleation event. We will first consider systems with no initial aerosol, varying the source rate of condensable vapor and comparing the resulting number concentration with that which results when the spatial inhomogeneities are ignored. We then will consider systems with a pre-existing monodisperse aerosol and look for the same effect.

We will present the results in terms of certain dimensionless parameters. The number concentration is non-dimensionalized with respect to the number concentration of monomer vapor at saturation,  $N^* = N_p/N_s$ . Similarly, the vapor source rate is non-dimensionalized with respect to the characteristic rate of monomer-monomer collisions in the saturated vapor,  $R^* = R_G/R_\beta$ . This collision rate,  $R_\beta = N_s^2 \bar{c_1} s_1/4$  where  $s_1$  is the monomer surface area. The time is re-scaled with respect to the time needed to regenerate the saturated vapor state,  $\tau = t/\tau_G$  with  $\tau_G = N_s/R_G$ .

Figure 1 shows the average saturation ratio and dimensionless number concentration from the numerical simulation of a system with no initial particles, with a dimensionless source rate,  $R^* = 10^{-8}$ . Other parameters used in the simulation are:

T = 298 K  
p = 1 atm  

$$m_1 = 1.66 \times 10^{-22} \text{ g}$$
  
 $v_1 = 1.66 \times 10^{-22} \text{ cm}^3$   
 $\rho = 1.0 \text{ g/cm}^3$ 

$$D = 0.0411 \ cm^2/sec$$
  
 $p_{sat} = 10^{-5} \ dynes/cm$   
 $au_eta = 44.8 \ sec$   
 $\Theta = 8$ 

These correspond to a typical low vapor pressure organic of molecular weight 100. Here,  $\tau_{\beta}$  is the characteristic time for collisions between monomers in a saturated vapor, and equals  $N_s/R_{\beta}$ .  $\Theta$  is the dimensionless surface tension, given by  $\Theta = \frac{\sigma s_1}{kT}$ . Note that this definition is consistent with Warren and Seinfeld (2), but differs from Warren and Seinfeld (3) by a factor of 2/3.

The difference between the predictions which account for spatial variations and those which do not is imperceptible. It appears that with such a low dimensionless source rate, employing the average system supersaturation to predict the nucleation rate is entirely adequate. There is not sufficient nucleation to make the effects of the nucleated particles noticeable.

This calculation was repeated with the higher dimensionless source rate,  $R^* = 10^{-2}$  (Figure 2). As expected, at higher source rates, more nucleation occurs, and we therefore expect the effect of particles to be more significant. Although the total predicted resulting number concentration is lower with the inhomogeneities accounted for, nucleation continues over a slightly longer period of time. This observation indicates that the rate of new particle formation is reduced for the duration of the nucleation event. This can be explained by considering the competing events of nucleation and condensation. Determining the saturation ratio far from the particle allows us to predict the condensation rate more accurately than when the average saturation ratio is used. From Equation [27] we know that the driving force for condensation is directly proportional tothe saturation ratio unaffected by the particle. Thus we need the asymptotic value of this profile, not the average value, to accurately determine condensation rate. With a slightly higher predicted condensation rate, as this allows, the predicted average system supersaturation will be lower than when local spatial inhomogeneities are neglected. Thus the predicted nucleation rate will also be depleted and the overall amount of nucleation observed will be decreased.

In Figure 3 we present final predicted dimensionless number concentrations versus dimensionless source rate for systems with no initial aerosol. Two surface tensions are considered. The lower one,  $\Theta = 8$ , corresponds to a hypothetical low vapor pressure organic species. The higher one,  $\Theta = 15$ , is typical for many other organic species. Most organics will fall somewhere between these two values. The simulation was carried out for dimensionless source rates less than one, where the condensable vapor is being generated at the same rate as the saturation monomer-monomer collision rate. Beyond a dimensionless source rate of one, the assumptions of classical steady-state nucleation theory probably break down, and the classical nucleation rate expression may no longer be valid.

We observe again that the particles' influence on the vapor concentration makes no appreciable difference in the resulting final number concentration of particles. At the higher surface tension, where less nucleation is predicted, the effect is naturally less important. At  $\Theta = 8$ , we can begin to see some effect at high source rates, but even this is insignificant. At  $R^* = 1$ , where the maximum effect is observed, the difference in the resulting number concentrations is less than 20%. Thus it appears that in systems with no primary aerosol, homogeneous nucleation rates can be predicted with no significant error introduced by neglecting the effect of the spatial inhomogeneities due to the particles. All of the remaining simulations are based on  $\Theta = 8$ , where more deviation was observed.

In systems with pre-existing aerosol, we expect to see a greater effect than when no aerosol is present initially. Figures 4 and 5 show the change in number concentration that results when nucleation occurs in systems with initial aerosol. In these systems two dimensionless source rates are considered,  $R^* = 10^{-3}$  and  $R^* = 1$ . The system shown in Figure 4 has seed particles in the free molecular regime, with radii of 0.005  $\mu$ m, whereas Figure 5 shows the results in a system with continuum-sized seed particles, 0.5  $\mu$ m in radius. The cell model predicts less nucleation, again with only a small effect on final number concentrations. The cell size, even with pre-existing aerosol, is very large compared with the particle size. Still, the increased condensation rate will yield lower supersaturations and hence predict less overall nucleation.

We see that in all cases considered, a sufficiently small number of initial particles has no effect on the final number concentration; the curves are linear with a slope of -1. With higher number concentrations, nucleation is effectively quenched; the curves approach  $N_f/N_i = 1$ . At this limit, the effects of variations in the vapor concentration are negligible, and the curves with and without the cell model approach one another.

With initial aerosol in the system, we must address the question posed earlier about how to define the cell size. Results indicate that there is no observable difference between making the cells uniformly sized for both modes of aerosols and linking the cell size to the particle size. Therefore in all calculations presented here the cells are uniformly sized for all particles in the system, as given in Equation [32].

In Figure 6 we present these results in a somewhat different format. For

 $R^* = 1$  we have plotted final dimensionless number concentration as a function of initial seed particle size for initial number concentrations of  $1 \times 10^{-7}$ ,  $5 \times 10^{-7}$ , and  $1 \times 10^{-6}$ . If the seed particles are very small, they have no effect on the final number concentration; the curves show no dependence on primary aerosol size. The transition to the region where the initial particles affect the final number concentration is quite sharp, and occurs at a smaller particle size for higher initial number concentrations. Again we note that the effect of accounting for the spatial inhomogeneities in the vapor phase is a slight decrease in the resulting number concentration of particles.

Figure 7 is a comparison of the contributions of nucleation and condensation to the total mass of vapor converted to aerosol for a range of initial number concentrations of particles. A high source rate,  $R^* = 1$ , and large seed particles,  $a = 0.5 \ \mu m$ , were considered in order to enhance any observed effects of the vapor phase spatial variations. On the ordinate, we have plotted the ratio of mass that is converted via homogeneous nucleation to the total mass converted to the aerosol phase at the end of the nucleation event. Subsequent to the nucleation event, virtually all mass will go to condensational growth of the particles. Here we note that the effect of spatial inhomogeneities in the vapor phase concentration profiles is more pronounced. We have previously seen that the cell model predicts less nucleation than when the average saturation ratio is used. In addition, it will predict a greater rate of condensation because the driving force is slightly greater when the saturation ratio at the edge of the cell is used instead of the average value over the entire system. The combined effects will give us the greater difference we observe.

## 5. Conclusions

In a nucleating vapor system the presence of particles leads to inhomogeneities in the vapor phase concentration. The condensation rate is slightly enhanced by using (properly) the asymptotic limit of the saturation ratio profile far from the particle as the driving force for condensation, instead of the average value. This enhanced condensation rate also serves to reduce nucleation, as does any effect that favors gas-to-particle conversion by heterogenous condensation. These combined effects yield lower resulting number concentrations following a nucleation event. This is observed in systems both with and without pre-existing aerosol. Numerical calculations show, however, that in reasonably dilute systems with low source rates we may accurately ignore the effects of the local vapor phase spatial variations in making nucleation predictions.

### 6. Acknowledgment

This work was supported by National Science Foundation grant ATM-8208625.

## 7. References

- Springer, G. S., in "Advances in Heat Transfer" (T. F. Irvine and J. P. Hartnett, Eds.), Vol. 14, Academic Press, New York, 1978.
- 2. Warren, D. R., and Seinfeld, J. H., Aer. Sci. and Tech. 3, 135 (1984).
- 3. Warren, D. R., and Seinfeld, J. H., J. Colloid Interface Sci. 105, 136 (1985).
- 4. Reiss, H., and LaMer, V. K., J. Chem. Phys. 18, 1 (1950).
- 5. Reiss, H., J. Chem. Phys. 19, 482 (1951).
- Frisch, H. L., and Collins, F. C., J. Chem. Phys. 20, 1797 (1952), 21, 1116 (1953).
- 7. Frisch, H. L., and Collins, F. C., J. Chem. Phys. 21, 2158 (1953).
- 8. Reiss, H., Patel, J. R., and Jackson, K. A., J. Appl. Phys. 48, 5274 (1977).
- 9. Frisch, H. L., Z. Elektrochem. 56, 324 (1952).
- 10. Goodrich, F. C., J. Phys. Chem. 70, 3660 (1966).
- 11. Zaiser, E. M., and LaMer, V. K., J. Colloid Sci. 3, 571 (1948).
- 12. Becker, C., and Reiss, H., J. Chem. Phys. 65, 2066 (1976).
- 13. McGraw, R., and McMurry, P. H., J. Colloid Interface Sci. 92, 584 (1983).
- Pesthy, A. J., Flagan, R. C., and Seinfeld, J. H., J. Colloid Interface Sci.
   82, 465 (1981).
- 15. Alam, M. K., Ph.D. Thesis, Calif. Inst. Tech. 1984.
- Fuchs, N. A., and Sutugin, A. G., in "Topics in Current Aerosol Research" (G. M. Hidy and J. R. Brock, Eds.), Vol. 2, p. 34, Pergamon, Oxford, 1971.

### 8. Figure Captions

- Figure 1. Average system saturation ratio,  $\bar{S}$ , and dimensionless number concentration,  $N^*$ , as a function of dimensionless time,  $\tau$ , for a system with no initial aerosol.  $R^* = 10^{-8}, \Theta = 8$ .
- Figure 2. Average system saturation ratio,  $\bar{S}$ , and dimensionless number concentration,  $N^*$ , as a function of dimensionless time,  $\tau$ , for a system with no initial aerosol.  $R^* = 10^{-2}, \Theta = 8$ .
- Figure 3. Dimensionless final number concentration,  $N_f^*$ , as a function of dimensionless source rate,  $R^*$ , for a system with no initial aerosol. The two curves represent  $\Theta = 8$  and  $\Theta = 15$ .
- Figure 4. The change in dimensionless number concentration,  $N_f^*/N_i^*$ , as a function of dimensionless initial number concentration,  $N_i^*$ , for systems with initial aerosol particles of radius  $a = 0.005 \mu m$ .  $R^* = 10^{-3}$  and  $R^* = 1.0$ ,  $\Theta = 8$ .
- Figure 5. The change in dimensionless number concentration,  $N_f^*/N_i^*$ , as a function of dimensionless initial number concentration,  $N_i^*$ , for systems with initial aerosol particles of radius  $a = 0.5 \mu m$ .  $R^* = 10^{-3}$  and  $R^* = 1.0$ ,  $\Theta = 8$ .
- Figure 6. Dimensionless final number concentration,  $N_f^*$ , as a function of seed particle diameter for varying dimensionless initial number concentrations.  $R^* = 1.0$ and  $\Theta = 8$ .
- Figure 7. The ratio of mass converted by homogeneous nucleation to total mass converted to the aerosol phase as a function of dimensionless initial number concentration,  $N_i^*$ .  $R^* = 1.0$ ,  $a = 0.5 \mu m$ , and  $\Theta = 8$ .



- 259 -



\* Z

Figure 2

- 260 -











- 265 -

# **APPENDIX A2**

# LIST OF CELL CODE

 $\mathbf{with}$ 

J.E. Stern

program CELL ! main program for cell model programmed by Jin Jwang Wu Persons to contact : Richard C. Flagan Jennifer E. Stern (818) 356-4384 (818) 356-4170 California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125 FEATURES : This program was written based on the cell model derived by J.E. Stern, J.J. Wu, R.C. Flagan, and J.H. Seinfeld (J. Colloid & Interface Sci. 110(2):533-543, 1986). The influences of spatial imhomogeneities of vapor distributions around growing particles on the nucleation and condensation rates are considered. The aerosol is divided into two modes. The first consist of the monomers and newly formed particles calculated from the classical theory of homogeneous nucleation. The second consists of the seed particles. The condensation rate is calculated from Fuch's and Sutugin's theory. The first consists The SUBROUTINES : INPUT -- input of dimensionless source rate, critical nucleus size, initial seed particle concentrations, output time range, output file name, and cell size condition. OUTPUT -- print the mass and number concentrations of the two modes of aerosol and fl and f2. DIFFUN -- calculate the derivatives for DRIVE. FUNCTION : Fl2IN -- a fuction in DIFFUN for calculating Fl. VARIABLES : Q(1) -- saturation ratio (S)  $\tilde{Q}(2)$  -- dimensionless mass concentration of the first mode Q(3) -- dimensionless number concentration of the first mode Q(4) -- dimensionless mass concentration of the second mode Q(5) -- dimensionless number concentration of the second mode SR -- dimensionless source rate GCRIT -- critical nucleus size TAUB -- time between collisions for saturated monomers [sec] TAUS -- time for source to regenerate saturation conc. [sec] THEA -- dimensionless surface energy TOUT -- output time [sec] DLT -- dimensionless output time NF12 -- flag of cell condition 1 : no cell condition 2 : use cell condition

-267-

NCELL -- flag of cell size condition 1 : same cell size for the two modes 2 : different cell sizes for the two modes Fl -- effect of spatial imhomogeneities on nucleation rate F2 -- effect of spatial imhomogeneities on condensation rate COMMNENTS : This program must be linked with EPIS, PEDERV, and GAUS2. INCLUDE 'NEMAX.INC' INCLUDE 'CELLDATA.INC' С DIMENSION Q(10), DQDT(10), WORK(63), IWORK(6) С COMMON /DBLK1/RELE,ABSE,KTOL,MFEPI,H0,NEMAX COMMON /INI/DENS,P0,TEMP,VM1,BOTZ,PI,THEA,TAUS,TAUB,S1,CV,SBAR COMMON /REST/DIFF,SURF,SR,NF12,NCELL,F1,F2,C1,C2,QCOMP,GCRIT COMMON /EPCOMY/ YMIN, HMAXMX С EXTERNAL DIFFUN С CALL INPUT(Q, TMIN, TMAX) С WRITE (2,10) type 10 ! print headen FORMAT (' Q(2) 10 TIME Q(1)Q(3) Q(4) Q(5) \$ F1 F2') С T=0. IF (TMIN.LE.O.) TMIN=1.E-5 ADELT=(-ALOGIO(TMIN)+ALOGIO(TMAX))/100. DO I=1,101 С DLT=ALOG10(TMIN)+ADELT\*(I-1) DLT=10.\*\*(DLT) TOUT=DLT\*TAUS С CALL DRIVE(NEQ, T, H0, Q, DLT, RELE, KTOL, MFEPI, IFLAG) С IF ((IFLAG.LT.-1 .OR. IFLAG.GT.3) .AND. IFLAG.NE.7) THEN
WRITE(2,14) IFLAG
TYPE 14,IFLAG STOP 14 FORMAT(' -- IFLAG TO EP MAEROS MUST BE -1 thru 3, not', I3) END IF С CALL OUTPUT(NEQ,Q,TOUT,DLT) С END DO С CLOSE (2) STOP END CCCCCC Č\*\* 

-268-

С SUBROUTINE INPUT(Q, TMIN, TMAX) С C\* Č DIMENSION Q(10),DQDT(10),WORK(63),IWORK(6)
CHARACTER\*20 IFILE С COMMON /DBLK1/RELE, ABSE, KTOL, MFEPI, H0, NEMAX COMMON /REST/DIFF, SURF, SR, NF12, NCELL, F1, F2, C1, C2, QCOMP, GCRIT COMMON /INI/DENS, PO, TEMP, VM1, BOTZ, PI, THEA, TAUS, TAUB, S1, CV, SBAR C C TYPE 10 FORMAT(' DIMENSIONLESS SOURCE RATE : SR ') ACCEPT 20,SR FORMAT(3G14.7) 10 20 С TYPE 25 FORMAT(' CRITICAL NUCLEUS SIZE : GCRIT ') ACCEPT 20,GCRIT 25 С CV=(8.\*BOTZ\*TEMP/(PI\*VM1))\*\*0.5 ! mean speed, cm/sec time between collisons TAUB=4.\*BOTZ\*TEMP/(P0\*S1\*CV)С for saturated monomers, sec TAUS=TAUB/SR ! time for source to regenerate saturation concentration, sec С THEA=SURF\*S1/(BOTZ\*TEMP) ! dimensionless surface energy С Q(1)=1. TYPE 30 ! initial saturation ratio FORMAT(' SEED PARTICLE MASS AND NUMBER CONCENTRAITON') ACCEPT 20,Q(4),Q(5) 30 Q(2)=0.Q(3)=0.С TYPE 40 FORMAT(' RANGE OF OUTPUT DIMENSIONLESS TIME') ACCEPT 20,TMIN,TMAX 40 С TYPE 45 FORMAT(' OUTPUT FILE NAME') ACCEPT 47, IFILE 45 FORMAT(A) 47 С OPEN(UNIT=2, FILE=IFILE, STATUS='NEW') С Ĉ DECIDE WHETHER TO COVER PARTICLE INTERACTION, I.E. CELL MODEL TYPE 50 50 FORMAT(' INDEX, 2 FOR COVERING PARTICLE INTERACTION, 1 NOT')

-269-
ACCEPT 60,NF12 IF(NF12.EQ.1) RETURN TYPE 55 FORMAT(' INDEX, 1 FOR SAME SIZE OF CELL, 2 FOR DIFFERENT') ACCEPT 60, NCELL 55 FORMAT(13) 60 С RETURN END CCCCCCCC SUBROUTINE OUTPUT(NEQ,Q,TOUT,DLT) PURPOSE: PRINT THE MASS AND NUMBER CONCENTRATIONS OF THE TWO MODES OF AEROSOL AND F1 AND F2. ON ENTRY: Number of Equations Array of Variables NEQ Q TOUT Output Time [sec] DLT Dimensionless Output Time DIMENSION Q(10), DQDT(10), WORK(63), IWORK(6), DP(2) С COMMON /DBLK1/RELE, ABSE, KTOL, MFEPI, HO, NEMAX COMMON /REST/DIFF,SURF,SR,NF12,NCELL,F1,F2,C1,C2,QCOMP,GCRIT COMMON /INI/DENS,P0,TEMP,VM1,BOTZ,PI,THEA,TAUS,TAUB,S1,CV,SBAR С DO 8 K=1,2 DP(K)=0. J=2\*K-1 IF(Q(J+1).GT.0..AND.Q(J+2).GT.0.) GO TO 5 Q(J+1)=0.  $\tilde{Q}(J+2)=0$ . GO TO 8 5 CONTINUE DP(K) = ((6.\*VM1)/(PI\*DENS)\*(Q(J+1)/Q(J+2)))\*\*0.3333! Cm. 8 CONTINUE С DIMN3=P0/(BOTZ\*TEMP)\*Q(3) ! /cu.cm. C C PRINT THE RESULTS ON TERMINAL TYPE 10,DLT,(Q(I),I=1,NEQ),F1,F2 WRITE (2,10) DLT,(Q(I),I=1,NEQ),F1,F2  $\overset{\mathrm{c}}{10}$ FORMAT(1X,8(1PE10.3)) С RETURN END \*\*\*\*\*\*

С		SUBROUTINE DIFFUN(NEO, T, O, DODT)						
C C**	****	· * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *						
č		000						
C C C	PURP	CALCULA	TE THE DERIV	VATIVES DQ/DT.				
C C C C C	ON EI	NTRY: NEQ T Q	Number of e Time at wh Variable a	elements in Q or DQ ich derivatives are rray	DT (argumented) arrays to be evaluated			
C	CALL	:						
C C		F12IN	Function fo	or integration				
CCC	ON RI	ETURN: DQDT	Array of t	ime derivatives				
C**	****	*****	*****	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*****			
C C								
	*	DIMENSI DIMENSI	ON Q(10),DQI ON DP(2),RKN P(2),REF	DT(10),WORK(63),IWO NM(2),FKN(2),SIGMA (2),F1M(2),C(2)	<pre>DRK(6) 2),TILRC(2),RL(2),RN(2),</pre>			
C		COMMON COMMON COMMON	/DBLK1/RELE /REST/DIFF,S /IN1/DENS,P(	,ABSE,KTOL,MFEPI,HC SURF,SR,NF12,NCELL ),TEMP,VM1,BOTZ,PI	), NEMAX F1,F2,C1,C2,QCOMP,GCRIT THEA,TAUS,TAUB,S1,CV,SBAR			
C		EXTERNA	L F12IN					
С		DO 1 $I=2.4$						
1		IF(Q(I) CONTINU						
2 C		IF(Q(1) TILJ=0. TILJP=0 GO TO 4 CONTINU GCRIT=( WC=4.*T) Z=(WC/(1 CAPJ=GC)	.GT.1.0) GO 0 E 2.*THEA/(3.3 HEA**3/(27.3 3.*PI))**0.9 RIT**0.6667	TO 2 *ALOG(Q(1))))**3 *(ALOG(Q(1))**2)) 5/GCRIT *Q(1)*Q(1)*Z*EXP(-V	RC)			
40 C		TILJ=CA TILJP=G CONTINU	PJ/SR CRIT*TILJ E		·			
60 80 C		DO 80 K J=2*K-1 DP(K)=0 IF(Q(J+ DP(K)=( RKNM(K)= SIGMA(K TILRC(K GO TO 8 TILRC(K CONTINU	=1,2 (6.*VM1)/(P) =6.*DIFF/(CV 1.333*RKNM(H) )=EXP(2.*THH) )=(PI*DP(K)) 0 )=0. E	.Q(J+2).EQ.0.) GO [ I*DENS)*(Q(J+1)/Q(J V*DP(K)) K)*(1.+RKNM(K))/(1. EA*(S1/PI)**0.5/(3. **2/S1)*FKN(K)*(Q(J	<pre>PO 60 F+2)))**0.3333 +1.71*RKNM(K)+1.333*RKNM(K)**2) *DP(K))) .)-1.)*Q(J+2)/SR</pre>			
C C	-	CALCULA	TE F1 AND F2	2				
С		IF(NF12	.EQ.1) GO TO	0 1000	! no cell condition			

-271-

C	IF((Q(2)+Q(4)).LE.1.E-25) GO TO 1000 IF((Q(3)+Q(5)).LE.1.E-25) GO TO 1000 IF(Q(1).LE.2.1) GO TO 1000 ! S is too small to have effect
C C C	CELL SIZE CELL SIZE IS PROPORTIONAL TO PARTICLE SIZE DNM=DENS*BOTZ*TEMP/(P0*VM1)*1.E-12 C1=(DNM/(Q(2)+Q(4)))**0.3333*1.E4 C2=C1
с	IF (NCELL.EQ.2) GO TO 100 CELL SIZE IS THE SAME DNMQ=DNM/(Q(3)+Q(5)) IF(Q(2).GT.0.) GO TO 83 Cl=0.
83 85	GO TO 85 Cl=(DNMQ*Q(3)/Q(2))**0.3333*1.E4 IF(Q(4).GT.0.) GO TO 87 C2=0.
87 89 100	GO TO 89 C2=(DNMQ*Q(5)/Q(4))**0.3333*1.E4 IF(C1.EQ.0.) C1=C2 IF(C2.EQ.0.) C2=C1 CONTINUE
	BETA=4./3. C(1)=C1 C(2)=C2
C C 95 116 120	<pre>SPACE PROBABILITY OF TWO MODES DO 120 J=1,2 K=2*J-1 IF(Q(K+1).LE.0OR.Q(K+2).LE.0.) GO TO 95 P(J)=((Q(K+1)*C(J))*(C(J)**2*VM1))/DENS*(P0/(BOTZ*TEMP)) REF(J)=11./(2.*(C(J)-1.)*(1.+BETA*RKNM(J))) GO TO 116 CONTINUE P(J)=0. REF(J)=0. CONTINUE CONTINUE CONTINUE</pre>
C 125 C	REF2=0. DO 125 J=1,2 REF2=REF2+P(J)*REF(J) F2=1./REF2
с ,	SC=F2*(Q(1)-1.)+1. SBAR=Q(1)
~	IER=1 UROUND=5.961E-8
c	CALCULATE F1 DO 900 J=1,2 F1M(J)=0. CSIZE=C(J) RKN=RKNM(J) IF(P(J).EQ.0.) GO TO 900 JF (C(J).I.T.1.E8) GO TO 138 F1M(J)=1.0 GO TO 900
138	CONTINUE YL=1. YU=YL+CSIZE CALL GAUS2(F12IN, YL, YU, RELE, ABSE, UROUND ANS IFR RETA REN COLVERSON

-272-

C 300 900 C C 920 C C 950 C C	<pre>FlM(J)=ANS CONTINUE  FlI=0. DO 920 J=1,2 FlI=FlI+FlM(J)*P(J) Fl=FlI TYPE *,FlM(1),P(1),FlM(2),P(2) TYPE 950,Fl,F2,C(1),DP(1) FORMAT(' Fl=',1PE11.3,' F2=',1PE11.3,' C=',1PE11.3,' DP=',1PE11.3)</pre>
1000	CONTINUE TILJP=TILJP*F1 TILRC(1)=TILRC(1)*F2 TILRC(2)=TILRC(2)*F2 TILJ=TILJ*F1
С	DQDT(1)=1TILJP-TILRC(1)-TILRC(2) DQDT(2)=TILJP+TILRC(1) DQDT(3)=TILJ DQDT(4)=TILRC(2) DQDT(5)=0. RETURN END
00000	
C	FUNCTION F12IN(Y, BETA, RKN, CSIZE, SC)
C	COMMON /INI/DENS, P0, TEMP, VM1, BOTZ, PI, THEA, TAUS, TAUB, S1, CV, SBAR
С	DD=-((SC-1.)/(1.+BETA*RKN))/(11./CSIZE) AA=-DD+(SC-1.)*BETA*RKN/(1.+BETA*RKN)+1. SCLN=LOG(SC) SLN=LOG(SBAR) SY=AA+DD/Y SYLN=LOG(SY) FY21=(-SYLN**2+SLN**2)/(SYLN*SLN)**2 FY22=EXP(-4.*THEA**3/27.*FY21) F12IN=3./(CSIZE**31.)*(Y*SY)**2/SBAR**2*FY22
С	RETURN END

SUBROUTINE DRIVE (N, TO, HO, YO, TOUT, EPS, IERROR, MF, INDEX) PURPOSE: To Solve a System of Stiff ODEs, with custom modifications to handle a non-negativity constraint and to keep error limited where neither simple relative nor absolute error bounds are appropriate. ON ENTRY: See original documentation below. ON RETURN: See original documentation below. COMMENTS: This is the November 1982 Modification (called EPIS) by Dale Warren (Caltech) of . . . THE JUNE 24, 1975 VERSION OF EPISODE.. EXPERIMENTAL PACKAGE FOR INTEGRATION OF SYSTEMS OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, DY/DT = F(Y,T), Y = (Y(1), Y(2), ..., Y(N)) TRANSPOSE, GIVEN THE INITIAL VALUE OF Y. THIS CODE IS FOR THE IBM 370/195 AT ARGONNE NATIONAL LABORATORY AND IS A MODIFICATION OF EARLIER VERSIONS BY G.D.BYRNE AND A.C.HINDMARSH. REFERENCES G. D. BYRNE AND A. C. HINDMARSH, A POLYALGORITHM FOR THE NUMERICAL SOLUTION OF ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, 1. UCRL-75652, LAWRENCE LIVERMORE LABORATORY, P. O. BOX 808, LIVERMORE, CA 94550, APRIL 1974. ALSO IN ACM TRANSACTIONS -ON MATHEMATICAL SOFTWARE, 1 (1975), PP. 71-96. A. C. HINDMARSH AND G. D. BYRNE, EPISODE. AN EXPERIMENTAL PACKAGE FOR THE INTEGRATION OF SYSTEMS OF ORDINARY 2. DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, UCID-30112, L.L.L., MAY, 1975. A. C. HINDMARSH, GEAR.. ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION SYSTEM SOLVER, UCID-30001, REV. 3, L.L.L., DECEMBER, 1974. \_\_\_\_\_ DRIVE IS A DRIVER SUBROUTINE FOR THE EPISODE PACKAGE. DRIVE IS TO BE CALLED ONCE FOR EACH OUTPUT VALUE OF T. IT THEN MAKES REPEATED CALLS TO THE CORE INTEGRATOR SUBROUTINE, TSTEP. THE INPUT PARAMETERS ARE AS FOLLOWS. N = THE NUMBER OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS (USED ONLY ON FIRST CALL, UNLESS INDEX = -1). N MUST NEVER BE INCREASED DURING A GIVEN PROBLEM. TO = THE INITIAL VALUE OF T, THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLE (USED FOR INPUT ONLY ON FIRST CALL). THE STEP SIZE IN T (USED FOR INPUT ONLY ON THE FIRST CALL, UNLESS INDEX = 3 ON INPUT). WHEN INDEX = 3, HO IS THE MAXIMUM ABSOLUTE VALUE OF H0 = INDEX = 3, H0 IS THE MAXIMUM ABSOLUTE VALUE OF THE STEP SIZE TO BE USED. A VECTOR OF LENGTH N CONTAINING THE INITIAL VALUES OF Y (USED FOR INPUT ONLY ON FIRST CALL). THE VALUE OF T AT WHICH OUTPUT IS DESIRED NEXT. INTEGRATION WILL NORMALLY GO BEYOND TOUT AND INTERPOLATE TO T = TOUT. (USED ONLY FOR INPUT.) THE RELATIVE ERROR BOUND (USED ONLY ON FIRST CALL, UNITESS INDEX = -1) THIS BOUND IS USED AS FOLLOWS Y0 TOUT = EPS UNLESS INDEX = -1). THIS BOUND IS USED AS FOLLOWS.

		LET R(I) DENOTE THE ESTIMATED RELATIVE LOCAL ERROR IN Y(I), I.E. THE ERROR RELATIVE TO YMAX(I), AS MEASURED PER STEP (OF SIZE H) OR PER SS UNITS OF T. THEN EPS IS A BOUND ON THE ROOT-MEAN-SQUARE NORM OF THE VECTOR R, I.E.
		SQRT ( $\underset{I=1}{\text{SUM}}$ ( R(I)**2 )/N ) .LT. EPS.
IERROR	=	THE VECTOR YMAX IS COMPUTED IN DRIVE AS DESCRIBED UNDER IERROR BELOW. IF ERROR CONTROL PER SS UNITS OF T IS DESIRED, SET SS TO A POSITIVE NUMBER AFTER STATEMENT 10 (WHERE IT IS NOW SET TO ZERO) AND UPDATE IT AFTER STATEMENT 60. SEE ALSO THE COMMENTS ON SS AND YMAX BELOW. THE ERROR FLAG WITH VALUES AND MEANINGS AS FOLLOW. ABSOLUTE ERROR IS CONTROLLED. YMAX(I) = 1.0.
	2	ERROR RELATIVE TO $ABS(Y)$ IS CONTROLLED. IF $Y(I) = 0.0$
	3	ERROR RELATIVE TO THE LARGEST VALUE OF ABS(Y(I)) SEEN SO FAR IS CONTROLLED. IF THE INITIAL VALUE OF Y(I) IS 0.0, THEN YMAX(I) IS SET TO 1.0 INITIALLY AND REMAINS AT LEAST 1.0.
	4 5 7 0	SAME AS 2 EXCEPT IF Y(I) INITIALLY (YMIN, YMAX(I)=YMIN SAME AS 3 EXCEPT IF Y(I) CURRENTLY (YMIN, YMAX(I)=YMIN SAME AS 4 EXCEPT IF Y(I) < 0., Error Criteria Not Met SAME AS 5 EXCEPT IF Y(I) < 0., Error Criteria Not Met
	9	SAME AS 4 EXCEPT IF I(I) < -IMIN, EITOR CITERIA NOT MET SAME AS 5 EXCEPT IF Y(I) < -YMIN, Error Criteria Not Met
		<ul> <li>Note: For 6-9, Special Modification so Y(N)(0. rejected</li> <li>4 &amp; 5 were added for problems when IERROR=2 fails because of divide by zero and IERROR=3 scales poorly to ONE -DRW</li> <li>Note 4 &amp; 5 require user to set YMIN reasonably in DRIVES</li> </ul>
MF	-	THE METHOD FLAG (USED ONLY ON FIRST CALL, UNLESS INDEX = -1). ALLOWED VALUES ARE 10, 11, 12, 13, 20, 21, 22, 23. MF IS AN INTEGER WITH TWO DECIMAL DIGITS, METH AND MITER (MF = 10*METH + MITER). (MF CAN BE THOUGHT OF AS THE ORDERED PAIR (METH, MITER).) METH IS THE BASIC METHOD INDICATOR. METH = 1 INDICATES VARIABLE-STEP SIZE, VARIABLE- ORDER ADAMS METHOD, SUITABLE FOR NON-
		METH = 2 INDICATES VARIABLE-STEP SIZE, VARIABLE- ORDER BACKWARD DIFFERENTIATION METHOD, SUITABLE FOR STIFF PROBLEMS
		MITER INDICATES THE METHOD OF ITERATIVE CORRECTION
		MITER = 0 INDICATES FUNCTIONAL ITERATION (NO PARTIAL DERIVATIVES NEEDED)
		MITER = 1 INDICATES A CHORD OR SEMI-STATIONARY NEWTON METHOD WITH CLOSED FORM (EXACT) JACOBIAN, WHICH IS COMPUTED IN THE USER SUPPLIED SUBROUTINE PEDERV(N T, Y PD NO) DESCRIBED BELOW
		MITER = 2 INDICATES A CHORD OR SEMI-STATIONARY NEWTON METHOD WITH AN INTERNALLY COMPUTED FINITE DIFFERENCE APPROXIMATION TO THE JACOBIAN.
		MITER = 3 INDICATES A CHORD OR SEMI-STATIONARY NEWTON METHOD WITH AN INTERNALLY COMPUTED DIAGONAL MATRIX APPROXIMATION TO THE JACOBIAN, BASED ON A DIRECTIONAL DERIVATIVE
INDEX	=	INTEGER USED ON INPUT TO INDICATE TYPE OF CALL,
	1	THIS IS THE FIRST CALL FOR THIS PROBLEM.

-276-

<ul> <li>0 THIS IS NOT THE FIRST CALL FOR THIS PROBLEM, AND INTEGRATION IS TO CONTINUE.</li> <li>-1 THIS IS NOT THE FIRST CALL FOR THE PROBLEM, AND THE USER HAS RESET N, EPS, AND/OR MF.</li> <li>2 SAME AS 0 EXCEPT THAT TOUT IS TO BE HIT EXACTLY (NO INTERPOLATION IS DONE). ASSUMES TOUT .GE. THE CURRENT T.</li> <li>3 SAME AS 0 EXCEPT CONTROL RETURNS TO CALLING PROGRAM AFTER ONE STEP. TOUT IS IGNORED.</li> <li>7 THIS IS NOT THE FIRST CALL, BUT THE Y ARRAY HAS CHANGED SLIGHTLY, SO THE DERIVATIVES MUST BE RECOMPUTED (NEW bY DRW) SINCE THE NORMAL OUTPUT VALUE OF INDEX IS 0, IT NEED NOT BE RESET FOR NORMAL CONTINUATION. SINCE THE NORMAL OUTPUT VALUE OF INDEX IS 0, IT NEED NOT BE RESET FOR NORMAL CONTINUATION.</li> </ul>
AFTER THE INITIAL CALL, IF A NORMAL RETURN OCCURRED AND A NORMAL CONTINUATION IS DESIRED, SIMPLY RESET TOUT AND CALL AGAIN. ALL OTHER PARAMETERS WILL BE READY FOR THE NEXT CALL. A CHANGE OF PARAMETERS WITH INDEX = -1 CAN BE MADE AFTER EITHER A SUCCESSFUL OR AN UNSUCCESSFUL RETURN.
<ul> <li>THE OUTPUT PARAMETERS ARE AS FOLLOWS.</li> <li>T0 = THE OUTPUT VALUE OF T. IF INTEGRATION WAS SUCCESSFUL, T0 = TOUT. OTHERWISE, TO IS THE LAST VALUE OF T REACHED SUCCESSFULLY.</li> <li>H0 = THE STEP SIZE H USED LAST, WHETHER SUCCESSFULLY OR NOT.</li> <li>Y0 = THE COMPUTED VALUES OF Y AT T = TO.</li> <li>INDEX = INTEGER USED ON OUTPUT TO INDICATE RESULTS, WITH THE FOLLOWING VALUES AND MEANINGS</li> <li>O INTEGRATION WAS COMPLETED TO TOUT OR BEYOND.</li> <li>-1 THE INTEGRATION WAS HALTED AFTER FAILING TO PASS THE ERROR TEST EVEN AFTER REDUCING H BY A FACTOR OF 1.E10 FROM ITS INITIAL VALUE.</li> <li>-2 AFTER SOME INITIAL SUCCESS, THE INTEGRATION WAS HALTED EITHER BY REPEATED ERROR TEST FAILURES OR BY A TEST ON EPS. POSSIBLY TOO MUCH ACCURACY HAS BEEN REQUESTED, OR A BAD CHOICE OF MF WAS MADE.</li> <li>-3 THE INTEGRATION WAS HALTED AFTER REDUCING H BY A FACTOR OF 1.E10 FROM ITS INITIAL VALUE.</li> <li>-4 IMMEDIATE HALT BECAUSE OF ILLEGAL VALUES OF INPUT PARAMETERS. SEE PRINTED MESSAGE.</li> <li>-5 INDEX WAS -1 ON INPUT, BUT THE DESIRED CHANGES OF PARAMETERS WERE NOT IMPLEMENTED BECAUSE TOUT WAS NOT BEYOND T. INTERPOLATION TO T = TOUT WAS PERFORMED AS ON A NORMAL RETURN. TO CONTINUE, SIMPLY CALL AGAIN WITH INDEX = -1 AND A NEW TOUT.</li> <li>-6 INDEX WAS 2 ON INPUT, BUT TOUT WAS NOT BEYOND T. NO ACTION WAS TAKEN.</li> <li>-7 INTEGRATION SUSPENDED BECAUSE A Y(I)&lt;0 FOUND, WITH NRMIN&lt;=I&lt;=NRMAX, AND IERROR OF 6 OR 7 HAD DEOSCENED AGAINST NECEDITIVE VALUES.</li> </ul>
<pre>IN ADDITION TO DRIVE, THE FOLLOWING SUBROUTINES ARE USED BY AND PROVIDED IN THIS PACKAGE:     INTERP(TOUT,Y,NO,YO) INTERPOLATES TO GIVE OUTPUT VALUES AT         T = TOUT BY USING DATA IN THE Y ARRAY.     TSTEP(Y,NO) IS THE CORE INTEGRATION SUBROUTINE, WHICH INTEGRATES         OVER A SINGLE STEP AND DOES ASSOCIATED ERROR         CONTROL.     COSET SETS COEFFICIENTS FOR USE IN TSTEP.     ADJUST(Y,NO) ADJUSTS THE HISTORY ARRAY Y ON REDUCTION OF ORDER.     PSET(Y,NO,CON,MITER,IER) COMPUTES AND PROCESSES THE JACOBTAN</pre>

MATRIX, J = DF/DY.

DEC(N,NO,A,IP,IER) PERFORMS THE LU DECOMPOSITION OF A MATRIX. SOL(N,NO,A,B,IP) SOLVES A LINEAR SYSTEM A\*X = B, AFTER DEC HAS BEEN CALLED FOR THE MATRIX A. NOTE: PSET, DEC, AND SOL ARE CALLED IF AND ONLY IF MITER = 1 OR MITER = 2. THE USER MUST FURNISH THE FOLLOWING SUBROUTINES: DIFFUN(N,T,Y,YDOT) COMPUTES THE FUNCTION YDOT = F(Y,T), THE RIGHT HAND SIDE OF THE ORDINARY

DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION SYSTEM, WHERE Y AND YDOT ARE VECTORS OF LENGTH N. PEDERV(N,T,Y,PD,NO) COMPUTES THE N BY N JACOBIAN MATRIX OF PARTIAL DERIVATIVES AND STORES IT IN PD AS AN NO BY NO ARRAY. PD(I,J) IS TO BE SET TO THE PARTIAL DERIVATIVE OF YDOT(I) WITH RESPECT TO Y(J). PEDERV IS CALLED IF AND ONLY IF MITER = 1. FOR OTHER VALUES OF MITER, PEDERV CAN BE A DUMMY SUBROUTINE.

CAUTION: AT THE PRESENT TIME THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS, WHICH CAN BE SOLVED BY EPISODE, IS 20. TO CHANGE THIS NUMBER TO A NEW VALUE, SAY NMAX, CHANGE Y(20,13) TO Y(NMAX,13), YMAX(20) TO YMAX(NMAX), ERROR(20) TO ERROR(NMAX), SAVE1(20) TO SAVE1(NMAX), SAVE2(20) TO ERROR(NMAX), SAVE1(20) TO SAVE1(NMAX), AND IPIV(20) TO IPIV(NMAX) IN THE COMMON AND DIMENSION STATEMENTS BELOW. ALSO CHANGE THE ARGUMENT IN THE IF...GO TO 440 STATEMENT (AFTER THE COMMON STATEMENTS) FROM 20 TO NMAX. NO OTHER CHANGES NEED TO BE MADE TO ANY OTHER SUBROUTINE IN THIS PACKAGE WHEN THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF EQUATIONS IS CHANGED. ELSEWHERE, THE COLUMN LENGTH OF THE Y ARRAY IS NO INSTEAD OF 20. THE ROW LENGTH OF THE Y ARRAY IS NO INSTEAD OF 20. THE ROW IENGTH OF Y CAN BE REDUCED FROM 13 TO 6 IF METH = 2. THE ARRAY IPIV IS USED IF AND ONLY IF MITER = 1 OR MITER = 2. THE SIZE OF THE PW ARRAY CAN BE REDUCED TO 1 IF MITER = 0 OR TO N IF MITER = 3.

THE COMMON BLOCK EPCOM9 CAN BE ACCESSED EXTERNALLY BY THE USER, IF HE DESIRES. IT CONTAINS THE STEP SIZE LAST USED SUCCESSFULLY (HUSED), THE ORDER LAST USED SUCCESSFULLY (NQUSED), THE NUMBER OF STEPS TAKEN SO FAR (NSTEP), THE NUMBER OF FUNCTION EVALUATIONS (DIFFUN CALLS) SO FAR (NFE), AND THE NUMBER OF JACOBIAN EVALUATIONS SO FAR (NJE).

IN A DATA STATEMENT BELOW, LOUT IS SET TO THE LOGICAL UNIT NUMBER FOR THE OUTPUT OF MESSAGES DURING INTEGRATION. CURRENTLY, LOUT = 3.

\$ THIS IS THE SINGLE PRECISION VERSION OF SUBROUTINE DRIVE. A FORTRAN PREPROCESSOR IS INCLUDED IN THIS PACKAGE TO CHANGE PRECISION. THE CODE CONVRT CONVERTS FROM DOUBLE TO SINGLE PRECISION. CONVERSION FROM SINGLE PRECISION TO DOUBLE PRECISION CAN BE DONE BY FIRST APPLYING SUBROUTINE CONVRT TO ITSELF AND THEN USING THAT SUBROUTINE. THE ORDERING AND AND FLAGGING DESCRIBED BELOW ARE ASSUMED, THE USER MUST SET UROUND, AND THE PREPROCESSOR CONVERTS ONE SUBROUTINE AT A TIME.

THE ORDERING AND FLAGGING ARE AS FOLLOWS. IN EACH SUBROUTINE, C\$ IS USED IN COLUMNS 1 AND 2 TO FLAG A COMMENT STATING THE PRECISION OF THE SUBROUTINE. IT OCCURS ONCE PER SUBROUTINE. LET P (AND 2\*P+2) DENOTE AN INTEGER. IMMEDIATELY AFTER A STATEMENT WITH C(P IN COLUMNS 1 THROUGH 4, THERE FOLLOWS A BLOCK OF P CONSECUTIVE DOUBLE PRECISION STATEMENTS. THIS BLOCK IS IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWED BY A STATEMENT WITH C)P IN COLUMNS 1 THROUGH 4. THE C)P STATEMENT IS IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWED BY A BLOCK OF P CONSECUTIVE SINGLE PRECISION STATEMENTS. THIS BLOCK -278-

IS IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWED BY A STATEMENT WITH C/(2\*P+2) IN COLUMNS 1 THROUGH 4. IF THE CODE IS IN SINGLE PRECISION, EACH DOUBLE PRECISION STATEMENT HAS CD IN COLUMNS 1 AND 2. IF IT IS IN DOUBLE PRECISION, EACH SINGLE PRECISION STATEMENT HAS CS IN COLUMNS 1 AND 2.

TO CONVERT FROM DOUBLE PRECISION TO SINGLE PRECISION BY HAND, BY THE USERS PREPROCESSOR, OR BY AN EDITOR, SIMPLY INSERT CD ΤN COLUMNS 1 AND 2 OF EACH STATEMENT OF EACH BLOCK IMMEDIATELY AFTER A C(P STATEMENT AND IMMEDIATELY BEFORE A C)P STATEMENT. AT THE SAME TIME, REPLACE CS BY BLANKS IN COLUMNS 1 AND 2 OF EACH STATEMENT OF EACH BLOCK IMMEDIATELY AFTER A C)P STATEMENT AND IMMEDIATELY BEFORE A C/(2\*P+2)STATEMENT.

TO CONVERT FROM SINGLE PRECISION TO DOUBLE PRECISION, REPLACE CD BY BLANKS IN COLUMNS 1 AND 2 OF EACH STATEMENT IN EACH BLOCK IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING A C(P STATEMENT AND IMMEDIATELY BEFORE A C)P STATEMENT. AT THE SAME TIME, INSERT CS IN COLUMNS 1 AND 2 OF EACH STATEMENT OF EACH BLOCK IMMEDIATELY FOLLOWING A C)P STATEMENT AND IMMEDIATELY BEFORE A C/(2\*P+2) STATEMENT.

INCLUDE 'NEMAX.INC' ! Sets Parameter NEMAX for ESMAP usage PARAMETER ( NMAX = NEMAX )

PARAMETER ( NMAXSQ = NMAX\*NMAX ) PARAMETER ( HMAXMX = 20.) ! Maximum Step Size

All the Explicit Variable Type Definitions are Unnecessary Simply insert the following card in the each module IMPLICIT REAL\*#(A-H,O-Z) , INTEGER(I-N) Where # is 8 for Double Precision and 4 for Single Precision

INTEGER JERROR, INDEX, MF, N INTEGER IPIV, JSTART, KFLAG, MFC, NC, NFE, NJE, NQUSED, NSQ, NSTEP 1 INTEGER I, KGO, NHCUT, NO INTEGER LOUT INTEGER NFLAG (7 DOUBLE PRECISION EPS, H0, TOUT, T0, Y0 DOUBLE PRECISION EPSC, EPSJ, ERROR, HMAX, H, HMIN, HUSED, PW, SAVE1, SAVE2, SS, T, UROUND, YMAX DOUBLE PRECISION AYI, D, TOP, Y DOUBLE PRECISION HCUT DOUBLE PRECISION FOUR, HUNDRD, ONE, TEN, ZERO REAL\*8 YMIN,YCUT 1 )7

REAL EPS, HO, TOUT, TO, YO REAL EPSC, EPSJ, ERROR, HMAX, H, HMIN, HUSED, PW, SAVE1, SAVE2, SS, T, UROUND, YMAX ٦ REAL AYI, D, TOP, Y REAL HCUT REAL FOUR, HUNDRD, ONE, TEN, ZERO REAL\*4 YMIN, YCUT /16 Multiple Declaration of H0, EPSJ Fixed - DRW DIMENSION Y(NMAX, 13) DIMENSION YO(N)

COMMON /EPCOM1/T,H,HMIN,HMAX,EPSC,SS,UROUND,NC,MFC,KFLAG,JSTART COMMON /EPCOM2/ YMAX(NMAX) COMMON /EPCOM3/ ERROR(NMAX) COMMON /EPCOM4/ SAVE1(NMAX) COMMON /EPCOM5/ SAVE2(NMAX)

\*

Ď D D

D D D D

COMMON /EPCOM6/ PW(NMAXSQ) COMMON /EPCOM7/ IPIV(NMAX) COMMON /EPCOM8/ EPSJ,NSQ COMMON /EPCOM9/ HUSED,NQUSED,NSTEP,NFE,NJE COMMON /EPCO99/ NCSTEP,NCFE,NCJE ! For # COMMON /EPCOMR/ NRMIN,NRMAX ! Set by COMMON /EPCOMY/ YMIN,HMAXMX ! Set by ! For # of Evaluations ! Set by calling prog - DRW ! Set by calling prog - DRW DATA LOUT /3/ ! Messages to Unit # 3, or FOR003.DAT (4 D DATA HCUT /0.1D0/ DATA FOUR /4.0D0/, HUNDRD /1.0D2/, ONE /1.0D0/, TEN /1.0D1/, ZERO /0.0D0/ DATA YMIN /1.0D-17/ ! Convenient for Nucl 1 ! Convenient for Nucleation Tests - DRW CD )4 DATA HCUT /0.1E0/ DATA FOUR /4.0E0/, HUNDRD /1.0E2/, ONE /1.0E0/, 1 TEN /1.0E1/, ZERO /0.0E0/ DATA YMIN /1.0E-17/ ! Convenient for Nucl 1 ! Convenient for Nucleation Tests - DRW /10 IF (INDEX .EQ. 0) GO TO 20 ! Normal Continuation
IF (INDEX .EQ. 2) GO TO 25 ! Continue & Hit Exactly
IF (INDEX .EQ. -1) GO TO 30 ! Integration Mode Reset
IF (INDEX .EQ. 3) GO TO 40 ! Single Step Integration
IF (INDEX .EQ. 7) GO TO 27 ! NEW -- Continue with Y modified
IF (INDEX .NE. 1) GO TO 430 ! Bad Input; 1 is First Call
IF (EPS .LE. ZERO) GO TO 400
IF (N .LE. 0) GO TO 410
IF (N .GT. NMAX) GO TO 440 Because of the roondoff error, (TO-TOUT)\*H0.EQ.O. EVEN TO IS SLIGHTLY LARGER THAN TOUT. if (h0.gt.l.e-30) go to 1010
FACTOR=(t0-tout)\*(1.e30\*h0)
if (FACTOR.ge.zero) go to 420 go to 1020

D D

010 continue IF ((TO-TOUT)\*H0 .GE. ZERO) GO TO 420

-280-

ŝ

## 020 continue

IF INITIAL VALUES FOR YMAX OTHER THAN THOSE BELOW ARE DESIRED, IF INITIAL VALUES FOR YMAX OTHER THAN THOSE BELOW ARE DESIRED, THEY SHOULD BE SET HERE. ALL YMAX(I) MUST BE POSITIVE. IF VALUES FOR HMIN OR HMAX, THE BOUNDS ON THE ABSOLUTE VALUE OF H, OTHER THAN THOSE BELOW, ARE DESIRED, THEY ALSO SHOULD BE SET HERE. IF ERROR PER SS UNITS OF T IS TO BE CONTROLLED, SS SHOULD BE SET TO A POSITIVE VALUE BELOW. ERROR PER UNIT STEP IS CONTROLLED WHEN SS = 1. THE DEFAULT VALUE FOR SS IS 0 AND YIELDS CONTROL OF ERROR PER STEP. SET UROUND, THE MACHINE ROUNDOFF CONSTANT, HERE. USE STATEMENT BELOW FOR SHORT PRECISION ON IBM 360 OR 370. UROUND = 9.53674E-7 USE STATEMENT BELOW FOR SINGLE PRECISION ON CDC 7600 OR 6600. UROUND = 7.105427406E-15 USE STATEMENT BELOW FOR LONG PRECISION ON IBM 360 OR 370. (1 D UROUND = 1.38777878078D-17 ! Set for VAX 65 Double Precision )1 UROUND = 5.960E-8! Set for VAX 65 Single Precision /4 IF (IERROR.LE.5) GO TO 3 IF (NRMIN.EQ.0) NRMIN=1 IF (NRMAX.EQ.0) NRMAX=N ! Added by DRW ! Default check for negative Y(I) ! for all values of I  $\begin{array}{rcl} & \text{IF (NRMAX.EQ.0) NRMAX=N-1} & \text{! Ior all values of 1} \\ & \text{IF (NRMAX.EQ.0) NRMAX=N-1} & \text{! Special for MAEROS with Vapor} \\ & \text{3 D0 10 I = 1,N} \\ & \text{GO TO (5, 6, 7, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8, 8), IERROR} \\ & \text{IERROR = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 -----Six Extra by DRW-------} \\ & \text{5 YMAX(I) = ONE} & \text{! ABSOLUTE Error} \\ \end{array}$ GO TO 10 (1 Ď6 YMAX(I) = DABS(YO(I))! RELATIVE Error )1 YMAX(I) = ABS(YO(I))6 /4 IF (YMAX(I).EQ.ZERO) YMAX(I)=YMIN ! Else Automatic /0 GO TO 10 (1 D7 YMAX(I) = DABS(YO(I)))1 YMAX(I) = ABS(YO(I))/4 (YMAX(I) .EQ. ZERO) YMAX(I) = ONE ! SEMI-RELATIVE to ONE GO TO 10 ÍF (1 Ď8 YMAX(I) = DABS(YO(I))! NEW SEMI-RELATIVE )1 8 YMAX(I) = ABS(YO(I))/4 IF (YMAX(I) .LT. YMIN) YMAX(I) = YMIN ! to YMIN 10 Y(I,1) = YO(I)NC = NT = T0H = HOH = H0 IF ((T+H) .EQ. T) WRITE(LOUT,15) T FORMAT(/46H--- MESSAGE FROM SUBROUTINE DRIVE IN EPISODE,, l 24H THE O.D.E. SOLVER. ---/22H WARNING.. T + H = T =, 2 E18.8,18H IN THE NEXT STEP./) FORMAT(' WARNING... T + H = T =',1PE16.7,' IN THE NEXT STEP.') 0 15 0 0 15 (2 D HMIN = DABS(H0)HMAX = DABS(TO - TOUT) \* TEND

)2 HMIN = ABS(H0) HMAX = ABS(TO - TOUT)\*TEN HMAX = AMIN1(HMAX,HMAXMX) /6 EPSC = EPSMFC = MF JSTART = 0SS = ZERONO = N NSQ = N0\*N0(1 D EPSJ = DSQRT(UROUND))1 EPSJ = SQRT(UROUND)/4 NHCUT = 0YCUT= ZERO IF (IEROR.GE.8) YCUT=-YMIN GO TO 50 TOP IS THE PREVIOUS OUTPUT VALUE OF TO FOR USE IN HMAX. -----(3 D20 HMAX = DABS(TOUT - TOP) \* TENGO TO 80 D D25 HMAX = DABS(TOUT - TOP) \* TEN)3 20 HMAX = ABS(TOUT - TOP)\*TEN HMAX = AMIN1(HMAX,HMAXMX) GO TO 80 HMAX = ABS(TOUT - TOP)\*TEN HMAX = AMIN1(HMAX,HMAXMX) 25 /8 IF ((T-TOUT)\*H .GE. ZERO) GO TO 460 GO TO 85 27 ? JSTART = 0 ! Throw out old derivative information ! Use New Step Size (if MAIN changed it)? H=H0 Because of the roondoff error, (TO-TOUT)\*H0.EQ.0. EVEN TO IS SLIGHTLY LARGER THAN TOUT. if (h0.gt.l.e-30) go to 1030 FACTOR=(t0-tout)\*(1.e30\*h0) if (FACTOR.ge.zero) go to 420

go to 1040

030 continue

IF ((TO-TOUT)\*H0 .GE. ZERO) GO TO 420

040 CONTINUE GO TO 45 IF ((T-TOUT)\*H .GE. ZERO) GO TO 450 IF (MF .NE. MFC) JSTART = -1 NC = N 30 EPSC = EPSMFC = MFGO TO 45 40 HMAX = HOHMAX = AMINI(HMAX, HMAXMX)IF ((T+H) .EQ. T) WRITE(LOUT, 15) T ! Round-off Warning 45 50 CALL TSTEP (Y, NO) KGO = 1 - KFLAG $\begin{array}{rcl} & \text{GO} & \text{TO} & (60, 100, 200, 300), & \text{KGO} \\ & \text{GO} & = & 0, & -1, & -2, & -3 & ----- \end{array}$ KFLAG = 60 CONTINUE NORMAL RETURN FROM TSTEP. THE WEIGHTS YMAX(I) ARE UPDATED. IF DIFFERENT VALUES ARE DESIRED, THEY SHOULD BE SET HERE. IF SS IS TO BE UPDATED FOR CONTROL OF ERROR PER SS UNITS OF T, IT SHOULD ALSO BE DONE HERE. A TEST IS MADE TO DETERMINE IF EPS IS TOO SMALL FOR MACHINE PRECISION. ANY OTHER TESTS OR CALCULATIONS THAT ARE REQUIRED AFTER EACH STEP SHOULD BE INSERTED HERE. IF INDEX = 3, YO IS SET TO THE CURRENT Y VALUES ON RETURN. IF INDEX = 2, H IS CONTROLLED TO HIT TOUT (WITHIN ROUNDOFF ERROR), AND THEN THE CURRENT Y VALUES ARE PUT IN YO ON RETURN. FOR ANY OTHER VALUE OF INDEX, CONTROL RETURNS TO THE INTEGRATOR UNLESS TOUT HAS BEEN REACHED. THEN INTERPOLATED VALUES OF Y ARE COMPUTED AND STORED IN YO ON RETURN. IF INTERPOLATION IS NOT DESIRED, THE CALL TO INTERP SHOULD BE DELETED AND CONTROL TRANSFERRED TO STATEMENT 500 INSTEAD OF 520. D = ZERONFLAG = 0! Initialize to no negative problem DO 70 I = 1, N(1 Ď AYI = DABS(Y(I,1))! This is absolute value of latest Y(I) )1 AYI = ABS(Y(I, 1))/4 /4 GO TO (70, 62, 68, 64, 68, 63, 67, 63, 67), IERROR IERROR = 1, 2, 3, 4, 5, 6, 7, 8, 9 ------ -DRW ------62 YMAX(I) = AYI ! Relative Error IF (ÀYÍ.EQ.ZERO) YMAX(I)=YMIN ! No sense in permitting /0. GO TO 70 63 IF (Y(I,1).LT.YCUT.AND.I.GE.NRMIN.AND.I.LE.NRMAX) NFLAG=I (1 D64 YMAX(I) = DMAX1(AYI,YMIN) ! Relative Error not below YMIN -DRW )1 64 YMAX(I) = AMAX1(AYI,YMIN) ! Relative Error not below YMIN -DRW /4 GO TO 70 67 IF (Y(I,1).LT.YCUT.AND.I.GE.NRMIN.AND.I.LE.NRMAX) NFLAG=I

-284-

11						
D68	YMAX(I) = DMAX1(YMAX(I), AYI) ! SemiRelative Error					
68	YMAX(I) = AMAX1(YMAX(I), AYI) ! SemiRelative Error					
70	GO TO 70 D = D + (AYI/YMAX(I))**2 D = D*(UROUND/EPS)**2					
(1 D	IF (D.GT. DFLOAT(N)) GO TO 250 ! Halt Condition					
)1	IF (D.GT. FLOAT(N)) GO TO 250 ! Halt Condition					
80 82	IF (INDEX .EQ. 3) GO TO 500 IF (INDEX .EQ. 2) GO TO 85 IF (IT-TOUT)*H .GE. ZERO) GO TO 82 ! Passed TOUT IF (NFLAG.GT.0) GO TO 275 GO TO 45 CALL INTERP (TOUT, Y, NO, YO) TO = TOUT Passed TOUT, set YO ! Done, so TO=TOUT					
85	GO TO 520 IF (((T+H)-TOUT)*H .LE. ZERO) GO TO 45					
(1 D	IF (DABS(T-TOUT) .LE. HUNDRD*UROUND*HMAX) GO TO 500					
) 1	IF (ABS(T-TOUT) .LE. HUNDRD*UROUND*HMAX) GO TO 500					
/4	IF ((T-TOUT)*H .GE. ZERO) GO TO 500 H = (TOUT - T)*(ONE - FOUR*UROUND) JSTART = -1 GO TO 45					
ON KFI TO TIN	AN ERROR RETURN FROM TSTEP, AN IMMEDIATE RETURN OCCURS IF LAG = $-2$ , AND RECOVERY ATTEMPTS ARE MADE OTHERWISE. RECOVER, H AND HMIN ARE REDUCED BY A FACTOR OF .1 UP TO 10 4ES BEFORE GIVING UP.					
100 101	WRITE (LOUT,101) FORMAT (/46H MESSAGE FROM SUBROUTINE DRIVE IN EPISODE,, 1 24H THE O.D.E. SOLVER/)					
105	WRITE(LOUT,105) T,HMIN FORMAT(//35H KFLAG = -1 FROM INTEGRATOR AT T = ,1PE16.6/					
110	1 40H ERROR TEST FAILED WITH ABS(H) = HMIN =,1PE16.6/) IF (NHCUT .EQ. 10) GO TO 150 NHCUT = NHCUT + 1 HMIN = HCUT*HMIN H = HCUT*H					
115	WRITE (LOUT,115) H 5 FORMAT(24H H HAS BEEN REDUCED TO ,1PE16.6, 1 26H AND STEP WILL BE RETRIED//) JSTART = -1 GO TO 45					
150 155	WRITE (LOUT,155) FORMAT(//44H PROBLEM APPEARS UNSOLVABLE WITH GIVEN INPUT//) GO TO 500					
200 205	WRITE (LOUT,101) WRITE (LOUT,205) T,H,EPS FORMAT(//14H KFLAG= -2 T=,1PE17.7,4H H =,E16.6,6H EPS =,E16.6/ 1 50H THE REQUESTED ERROR IS TOO SMALL FOR INTEGRATOR.//) GO TO 500					
250	WRITE (LOUT,101) WRITE (LOUT,255) T,EPS					

1PE17.8/43H EPS IS TOO SMALL FOR MACHINE PRECISION AND/ 1 29H PROBLEM BEING SOLVED. EPS =, 1PE16.6//) 2 KFLAG = -2GO TO 500 WRITE (LOUT,280) T,NFLAG,Y(NFLAG,1)
FORMAT(' INTEGRATION SUSPENDED BY NEGATIVE CONCENTRATION AT',
\$' T=',1PE10.3/' ELEMENT #',I3,' WAS',1PE12.3,6X,'(DRIVES)')
KFLAG=-7 ! INDEX for Negative Value 275 280 GO TO 500 300 WRITE (LOUT, 101) WRITE (LOUT, 305) T FORMAT(//34H KFLAG = -3 FROM INTEGRATOR AT T =, 1PE18.8/ 45H CORRECTOR CONVERGENCE COULD NOT BE ACHIEVED/) 305 1 GO TO 110 WRITE (LOUT, 101) 400 WRITE (LOUT, 405) EPS 405 FORMAT(//35H ILLEGAL INPUT.. EPS .LE. 0. EPS = ,E16.6//) INDEX = -4 RETURN 410 WRITE (LOUT, 101) WRITE (LOUT, 415) N 415 FORMAT(//31H ILLEGAL INPUT.. N .LE. O. N = ,18//) INDEX = -4RETURN 420 WRITE (LOUT, 101) WRITE (LOUT, 425) TO, TOUT, HO, FACOTR FORMAT(//39H ILLEGAL INPUT.. (TO - TOUT)\*HO .GE. 0./ 5H TO =, 1PE18.8, 7H TOUT =, 1PE18.8, 5H HO =, E16.6/ (TO-TOUT)\*HO =', 1PE18.8//) 425 1 ٦ INDEX = -4RETURN WRITE (LOUT, 101) 430 WRITE (LOUT, 435) INDEX FORMAT(//24H ILLEGAL INPUT.. INDEX =, 18//) INDEX = -4 435 RETURN WRITE (LOUT, 101) 440 WRITE (LOUT, 445) N FORMAT (//39H ILLEGAL INPUT. THE NUMBER OF ORDINARY/ 43H DIFFERENTIAL EQUATIONS BEING SOLVED IS N =, 16/ 42H STORAGE ALLOCATION IN SUBROUTINE DRIVE IS/ 445 1 2 3 46H TOO SMALL. SEE COMMENTS IN SUBROUTINE DRIVE./) INDEX = -4RETURN 450 WRITE (LOUT,101) WRITE (LOUT,455) T,TOUT,H 455 FORMAT(//46H INDEX = -1 ON INPUT WITH (T - TOUT)\*H .GE. 0./ 44H INTERPOLATION WAS DONE AS ON NORMAL RETURN./ 41H DESIRED PARAMETER CHANGES WERE NOT MADE./ ٦ 2 AH T =, E18.8, 7H TOUT =, E18.8, 4H H =, E16.6//) CALL INTERP (TOUT, Y, NO, YO) 3 TO = TOUTINDEX = -5 RETURN WRITE (LOUT,101) WRITE (LOUT,465) T,TOUT,H FORMAT(//45H INDEX = 2 ON INPUT WITH (T - TOUT)\*H .GE. 0./ 460 465

-286-

```
1
                                         4H T =, E18.8, 7H TOUT =, E18.8, 4H H =, E16.6//)
                  INDEX = -6
                 RETURN
500
                 TO = T
                  \begin{array}{l} 10 & -10 & I = 1, N \\ 10 & 0 & 10 & I = 1, N \\ 10 & 0 & 10 & I = 1, N \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 10 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 10 & 0 & 0
510
520
                  HO = HUSED
                  IF (KFLAG .NE. 0) HO = H
                 RETÙRN
                                                  ----- END OF SUBROUTINE DRIVE ------
                  END
                  SUBROUTINE INTERP (TOUT, Y, NO, YO)
   SUBROUTINE INTERP COMPUTES INTERPOLATED VALUES OF THE DEPENDENT VARIABLE Y AND STORES THEM IN YO. THE INTERPOLATION IS TO THE POINT T = TOUT AND USES THE NORDSIECK HISTORY ARRAY Y AS FOLLOWS...
                                                                                                    NQ
                                                              Y0(I)
                                                                                       -
                                                                                                 SUM
                                                                                                                 Y(I,J+1)*S**J ,
                                                                                                 J=0
   WHERE S = -(T-TOUT)/H.
$ THIS IS THE SINGLE PRECISION VERSION OF SUBROUTINE INTERP.
   CHANGE PRECISION VIA THE INSTRUCTIONS IN SUBROUTINE DRIVE.
   CAUTION: NOT ALL MEMBERS OF EPCOM1 ARE USED IN THIS SUBROUTINE.
                  INTEGER NO
                 INTEGER JSTART, KFLAG, MF, N
INTEGER I, J, L
(4
                DOUBLE PRECISION TOUT, Y, YO
DOUBLE PRECISION EPS, H, HMAX, HMIN, SS, T, UROUND
DOUBLE PRECISION S, S1
Ď
D
D
D
                 DOUBLE PRECISION ONE
)4
                 REAL TOUT, Y, YO
REAL EPS, H, HMAX, HMIN, SS, T, UROUND
REAL S, Sl
                 REAL ONE
/10
                 DIMENSION YO(NO), Y(NO, 13)
                 COMMON / EPCOM1/ T, H, HMIN, HMAX, EPS, SS, UROUND, N, MF, KFLAG, JSTART
(1
Ď
                 DATA ONE /1.0D0/
)1
                 DATA ONE /1.0E0/
/4
                DO 10 I = 1, N
Y0(I) = Y(I, 1)
L = JSTART + 1
10
                 S = (TOUT - T)/H
                 S1 = ONE
                 DO 30 J = 2, L
                        S1 = S1*S
DO 20 I = 1,N
                               YO(I) = YO(I) + S1 * Y(I,J)
20
                        CONTINÚE
30
                 RETURN
                                                        ----- END OF SUBROUTINE INTERP ----
                 END
                 SUBROUTINE TSTEP (Y, NO)
```

-287-

-288-

	ION WITH ISTEP IS VIA THE FOLLOWING VARIABLES.
v	AN NO BY IMAY ADDAY CONTRAINING THE DEDENDENT VADIATES
T	AND THEIR COLED DEPUTATION ING THE DEPENDENT VARIABLES
	THE VARIABLE STEP BACKWARD DIFFERENTIATION FORMULAS
	AND 13 FOR THE VARIABLE STEP ADAMS FORMILAS
	(IMAX -1) = MAXDER, THE MAXIMIM ORDER USED
	SEE SUBROUTINE COSET. Y(I, 1+1) CONTAINS THE
	$J-TH$ DERIVATIVE OF Y(I), SCALED BY $H^*J/FACTORIAL(J)$
	FOR $J = 0, 1,, NQ$ , WHERE NQ IS THE CURRENT ORDER.
NO	A CONSTANT INTEGER .GE. N, USED FOR DIMENSIONING
	PURPOSES.
T	THE INDEPENDENT VARIABLE, UPDATED ON EACH STEP TAKEN.
Н	THE STEP SIZE TO BE ATTEMPTED ON THE NEXT STEP.
	H IS ALTERED BY THE ERROR CONTROL ALGORITHM DURING
	THE SOLUTION OF THE PROBLEM. H CAN BE EITHER POSITIVE
	OR NEGATIVE, BUT ITS SIGN MUST REMAIN CONSTANT
HMIN	THRE MINIMAND MAXIMUM ARCHIME VALUER OF THE CTED
HMAX	SIZE TO BE USED FOR THE STEP THESE MAY BE CHAMGED AT
11.1.1.1	ANY TIME, BUT THE CHANGE WILL NOT TAKE EFFECT UNTIL THE
	NEXT CHANGE IN H IS MADE.
EPS	THE RELATIVE ERROR BOUND. SEE DESCRIPTION IN
	SUBROUTINE DRIVE.
SS	THE SIZE OF THE TIME INTERVAL TO BE USED FOR ERROR
	CONTROL. A DEFAULT VALUE OF 0 IS USED TO PRODUCE
	CONTROL OF ERROR PER STEP. SEE SUBROUTINE DRIVE.
UROUND	THE UNIT OF ROUNDOFF FOR THE COMPUTER BEING USED.
Ν	THE NUMBER OF FIRST ORDER ORDINARY DIFFERENTIAL
ME	EQUATIONS BEING SOLVED.
NET AC	A COMPLETION CODE WITH THE FOLLOWING MENNINGS
NI LIAG	O THE STED WITH THE FOLLOWING FLANINGS.
	-1 THE BEOLESTED ERROR COULD NOT BE ACHIEVED
	WITH ABS(H) = HMIN
	-2 THE REQUESTED ERROR IS SMALLER THAN CAN
	BE HANDLED FOR THIS PROBLEM.
	-3 CORRECTOR CONVERGENCE COULD NOT BE
	ACHIEVED FOR $ABS(H) = HMIN$ .
	ON A RETURN WITH KFLAG NEGATIVE, THE VALUES OF T AND
	THE Y ARRAY ARE AS OF THE BEGINNING OF THE LAST
	STEP AND H IS THE LAST STEP SIZE ATTEMPTED.
JSTART	AN INTEGER USED ON INPUT AND OUTPUT.
	ON INFUT, IT HAS THE FULLOWING VALUES AND MEANINGS
	Ο ΓΕΛΓΟΛΊ ΤΠΕ ΓΙΛΟΊ ΟΤΕΥ. Ο ΠΑΚΕ Α ΝΈω ΟΤΕΡ ΓΟΝΙΤΝΙΙΙΝΟ ΈΡΟΜ ΠΗΕ ΙΧΟΠ
	IT O TAKE THE NEXT STEP WITH A NEW VALUE OF
	H AND/OR MF
	ON EXIT, JSTART IS SET TO NO. THE CURRENT ORDER OF THE
	METHOD.
YMAX	AN ARRAY OF N ELEMENTS WITH WHICH THE ESTIMATED LOCAL
	ERRORS IN Y ARE COMPARED.
ERROR	AN ARRAY OF N ELEMENTS. ERROR(I)/TQ(2) IS THE
	ESTIMATED LOCAL ERROR IN Y(I) PER SS UNITS OF
	T OR PER STEP (OF SIZE H).
SAVE1,	TWO ARRAYS FOR WORKING STORAGE,
SAVE2	EACH OF LENGTH N.
РW	A BLOCK OF LOCATIONS USED FOR THE PARTIAL DERIVATIVES
	OF F WITH RESPECT TO Y, IF MITER IS NOT O. SEE
TDTV	DESCRIPTION IN SUBROUTINE DRIVE.
1 F 1 V	AN INIEGER ARRAI OF LENGIN N, WHICH IS USED FOR PIVOT
11 1 1	TNEORMATION FOR THE LINEAR ALCERDATC OVEREM IN DUR

THE COMMON BLOCK EPCM10, DECLARED BELOW, IS PRIMARILY INTENDED FOR INTERNAL USE, BUT IT CAN BE ACCESSED EXTERNALLY. \_\_\_\_ \$ THIS IS THE SINGLE PRECISION VERSION OF SUBROUTINE TSTEP. CHANGE PRECISION VIA THE INSTRUCTIONS IN SUBROUTINE DRIVE. INTEGER NO IPIV, JSTART, KFLAG, L, LMAX, METH, MF, N, NFE, NJE, NQ, NQINDX, NQUSED, NSTEP INTEGER IPIV, 1 INTEGER I, IBACK, IER, IRED, J, J1, J2, M, MFOLD, MIO, MITER, MITER1, NEWJ, NSTEPJ INTEGER ISTEPJ, KFC, KFH, MAXCOR 3 (11 DOUBLE PRECISION Y Ď DOUBLEPRECISION YDOUBLEPRECISION EL, EPS, ERROR, H, HMAX, HMIN, HUSED, PW,1SAVE1, SAVE2, SS, T, TAU, TQ, UROUND, YMAXDOUBLEPRECISION BND, CNQUOT, CON, CONP, CRATE, D, DRC,1D1, E, EDN, ETA, ETAMAX, ETAMIN, ETAQ, ETAQM1,2ETAQP1, EUP, FLOTL, FLOTN, HOLD, HRL1, PHRL1,3PRL1, R, RC, RL1, R0, R1, TOLDDOUBLEPRECISION ADDON, BIAS1, BIAS2, BIAS3, CRDOWN, DELRC,1ETACF, ETAMXF, ETAMX1, ETAMX2,2ETAMX3, ONEPSM, SHORT, THRESHDOUBLEPRECISION ONEDOUBLEPRECISION ONE D D 1 D D 1 2 D D 3 D D 1 D 2 DOUBLE PRECISION ONE, PT5, ZERO D )11 REAL Y REAL Y REAL EL, EPS, ERROR, H, HMAX, HMIN, HUSED, PW, SAVE1, SAVE2, SS, T, TAU, TQ, UROUND, YMAX REAL BND, CNQUOT, CON, CONP, CRATE, D, DRC, D1, E, EDN, ETA, ETAMAX, ETAMIN, ETAQ, ETAQM1, ETAQP1, EUP, FLOTL, FLOTN, HOLD, HRL1, PHRL1, PRL1, R, RC, RL1, R0, R1, TOLD REAL ADDON, BIAS1, BIAS2, BIAS3, CRDOWN, DELRC, ETACF, ETAMXF, ETAMX1, ETAMX2, ETAMX3, ONEPSM, SHORT, THRESH PRL1 ONE PT5 7 FRO 1 ٦ 2 3 1 REAL ONE, PT5, ZERO /24 Multiple Declaration of ETAMIN fixed - DRW DIMENSION Y(N0,13) COMMON /EPCOM1/ T,H,HMIN,HMAX,EPS,SS,UROUND,N,MF,KFLAG,JSTART COMMON /EPCOM2/ YMAX(1) COMMON /EPCOM3/ ERROR(1) COMMON /EPCOM4/ SAVE1(1) COMMON /EPCOM5/ SAVE2(1) COMMON /EPCOM6/ PW(1) COMMON /EPCOM7/ IPIV(1) COMMON /EPCOM9/ HUSED,NQUSED,NSTEP,NFE,NJE COMMON /EPCM10/ TAU(13),EL(13),TQ(5),LMAX,METH,NQ,L,NQINDX COMMON /EPCO99/ NCSTEP,NCFE,NCJE DATA ISTEPJ /20/, KFC /-3/, KFH /-7/, MAXCOR /3/ (6 DATA ADDON /1.0D-6/, BIAS1 /2.5D1/, BIAS2 /2.5D1/, BIAS3 /1.0D2/, CRDOWN /0.1D0/, DELRC /0.3D0/, ETACF /0.25D0/, ETAMIN /0.1D0/, ETAMXF /0.2D0/, BETAMX1 /1.0D4/, ETAMX2 /1.0D1/, ETAMX3 /1.5D0/, A ONEPSM /1.00001D0/, SHORT /0.1D0/, THRESH /1.3D0/ DATA ONE /1.0D0/, PT5 /0.5D0/, ZERO /0.0D0/ Ď D 1 D 2 D 3 D D )6 BIAS1 /2.5E1/, BIAS2 /2.5E1/, CRDOWN /0.1E0/, DELRC /0.3E0/, ETAMIN /0.1E0/, ETAMXF /0.2E0/, ETAMX2 /1.0E1/, ETAMX3 /1.5E0/, DATA ADDON /2.5E1/, /l.0E-6/, /1.0E2/, /0.25E0/, 1 BIAS3 2 ETACF ETAMX1 /1.0E4/, 3

ONEPSM /1.00001E0/, SHORT /0.1E0/, THRESH /1.3E0/ DATA ONE /1.0E0/, PT5 /0.5E0/, ZERO /0.0E0/ /14 data lout/3/ KFLAG = 0TOLD = T(1 D FLOTN = DFLOAT(N))1 FLOTN = FLOAT(N)/4 IF (JSTART .GT. 0) GO TO 200 IF (JSTART .NE. 0) GO TO 150 \_\_\_\_\_ ON THE FIRST CALL, THE ORDER IS SET TO 1 AND THE INITIAL DERIVATIVES ARE CALCULATED. ETAMAX IS THE MAXIMUM RATIO BY WHICH H CAN BE INCREASED IN A SINGLE STEP. IT IS 1.E04 FOR THE FIRST STEP TO COMPENSATE FOR THE SMALL INITIAL H, THEN 10 FOR THE NEXT 10 STEPS, AND THEN 1.5 THEREAFTER. IF A FAILURE OCCURS (IN CORRECTOR CONVERGENCE OR ERROR TEST), ETAMAX IS SET AT 1 FOR THE NEXT INCREASE. ETAMIN = .1 IS THE MINIMUM RATIO BY WHICH H CAN BE REDUCED ON ANY RETRY OF A STEP. CALL DIFFUN (N, T, Y, SAVEl) DO 110 I = 1, N Y(I,2) = H\*SAVE1(I)METH = MF/10 METH = MF/10 110

MITER =  $MF - 10 \times METH$ MITER1 = MITER + 1MFOLD = MF NQ = 1L = 2  $\overline{TAU(1)} = H$ PRLI - ONE RC = ZEROETAMAX = ETAMX1 NQINDX = 2 NCSTEP=NCSTEP+NSTEP ! Cumulative Values NCFE=NCFE+NFE for comparison 1 NCJE=NCJE+NJE when starting over NSTEP = 0NSTEPJ = 0NFE = 1NJE = 0

IF THE USER HAS CHANGED H, THEN Y MUST BE RESCALED. IF THE USER HAS CHANGED MITER, THEN NEWJ IS SET TO MITER TO FORCE THE PARTIAL DERIVATIVEES TO BE UPDATED, IF THEY ARE BEING USED.

\_\_\_\_

150 IF (MF .EQ. MFOLD) GO TO 170 MIO = MITER METH = MF/10 MITER = MF - 10\*METH MFOLD = MF IF (MITER .EQ. MIO) GO TO 170 NEWJ = MITER MITER1 = MITER + 1 170 IF (H .EQ. HOLD) GO TO 200 ETA = H/HOLD H = HOLD IREDO = 3 GO TO 185 (2 D180 ETA = DMAX1(ETA, HMIN/DABS(H), ETAMIN) D185 ETA = DMIN1(ETA, HMAX/DABS(H), ETAMAX)

GO TO 200

-290-

)2 180 ETA = AMAX1(ETA, HMIN/ABS(H), ETAMIN) 185 ETA = AMIN1(ETA, HMAX/ABS(H), ETAMAX)/6  $\begin{array}{l} \text{Rl} = \text{ONE} \\ \text{DO} \ 190 \ \text{J} = 2, \text{L} \end{array}$ Rl = Rl \* ETADO 190 I = 1, NY(I,J) = Y(I,J) \* RI190  $H = H \star ETA$  $RC = RC \times ETA$ IF (IREDO .EQ. 0) GO TO 690 THIS SECTION COMPUTES THE PREDICTED VALUES BY EFFECTIVELY THIS SECTION COMPUTES THE PREDICTED VALUES BY EFFECTIVELY MULTIPLYING THE Y ARRAY BY THE PASCAL TRIANGLE MATRIX. THEN COSET IS CALLED TO OBTAIN EL, THE VECTOR OF COEFFICIENTS OF LENGTH NQ + 1. RC IS THE RATIO OF NEW TO OLD VALUES OF THE COEFFICIENT H/EL(2). WHEN RC DIFFERS FROM 1 BY MORE THAN DELRC, NEWJ IS SET TO MITER TO FORCE THE PARTIAL DERIVATIVES TO BE UPDATED, IF USED. DELRC IS 0.3. IN ANY CASE, THE PAR' DERIVATIVES ARE UPDATED AT LEAST EVERY 20-TH STEP. IN ANY CASE, THE PARTIAL 200 T = T + HDO 210 J1 = 1,NQ DO 210 J2 = J1, NQJ = (NQ + J1) - J2DO 210 I = 1,N $Y(I,J) = \overline{Y}(I,J) + Y(I,J+1)$ 210 CALL COSET BND = FLOTN\*(TQ(4)\*EPS)\*\*2RL1 = ONE/EL(2)RC = RC\*(RL1/PRL1) PRL1 = RL1IF (NSTEP .GE. NSTEPJ+ISTEPJ) NEWJ = MITER (1 Ď DRC = DABS(RC-ONE))1 DRC = ABS(RC-ONE)/4 IF (DRC .LE. DELRC) GO TO 215 NEWJ = MITERCRATE = ONERC = ONEGO TO 220 215 IF ((MITER .NE. 0) .AND. (DRC .NE. ZERO)) CRATE = ONE UP TO 3 CORRECTOR ITERATIONS ARE TAKEN. A CONVERGENCE TEST IS MADE ON THE ROOT MEAN SQUARE NORM OF EACH CORRECTION, USING BND, WHICH IS DEPENDENT ON EPS. THE SUM OF THE CORRECTIONS IS ACCUMULATED IN THE VECTOR ERROR. THE Y ARRAY IS NOT ALTERED IN THE CORRECTOR LOOP. THE UPDATED Y VECTOR IS STORED TEMPORARILY IN SAVE1. 220 DO 230 I = 1, N230 ERROR(I) = ZEROM = 0CALL DIFFUN (N, T, Y, SAVE2) NFE = NFE + 1 IF (NEWJ .LE. 0) GO TO 290 IF INDICATED, THE MATRIX P = I - H\*RL1\*J IS REEVALUATED BEFORE STARTING THE CORRECTOR ITERATION. NEWJ IS SET TO 0 AS AN INDICATOR THAT THIS HAS BEEN DONE. IF MITER = 1 OR 2, P IS COMPUTED AND PROCESSED IN PSET. IF MITER = 3, THE MATRIX IS P = I - H\*RL1\*D, WHERE D IS A DIAGONAL MATRIX. RL1 IS 1/EL(2). ----

NEWJ = 0

```
RC = ONE
NJE = NJE + 1
          NSTEPJ = NSTEP
         GO TO (250, 240, 260), MITER NFE = NFE + N
240
          CON = -H * RL1
         CALL PSET(Y, NO, CON, MITER, IER)
IF (IER .NE. 0) GO TO 420
GO TO 350
250
          \begin{array}{l} \text{Go if Side} \\ \text{R} = \text{RL1*SHORT} \\ \text{DO 270 I = 1,N} \\ \text{PW}(\text{I}) = \text{Y}(\text{I},1) + \text{R*}(\text{H*SAVE2}(\text{I}) - \text{Y}(\text{I},2)) \\ \text{W}(\text{I}) = \text{PW}(\text{I},1) + \text{R*}(\text{H*SAVE2}(\text{I}) - \text{Y}(\text{I},2)) \\ \end{array} 
260
270
          CALL DIFFUN(N, T, PW, SAVEL)
         NFE = NFE +
HRLl = H*RLl
                                1
         \begin{array}{l} \text{In Mal} & \text{In Mal} \\ \text{DO 280 I} = 1, \text{N} \\ \text{R0} = \text{H*SAVE2(I)} - \text{Y(I,2)} \end{array}
             PW(I) = ONE
             D = SHORT * RO - H * (SAVE1(I) - SAVE2(I))
             SAVEl(I) = ZERO
(2
D
             IF (DABS(R0) .LT. UROUND*YMAX(I)) GO TO 280
IF (DABS(D) .EQ. ZERO) GO TO 420
D
)2
             IF (ABS(R0) .LT. UROUND*YMAX(I)) GO TO 280
IF (ABS(D) .EQ. ZERO) GO TO 420
/6
             PW(I) = SHORT * RO/D
             SAVE1(I) = PW(I) * RL1 * R0
280
             CONTINUÉ
          GO TO 370
290
         GO TO (295, 350, 350, 310), MITER1
                                                                                         IN THE CASE OF FUNCTIONAL ITERATION, Y IS UPDATED DIRECTLY FROM THE RESULT OF THE LAST DIFFUN CALL.
                                                                                          _____
295
         D = ZERO
          DO 300 I = 1, N
             R = RLl*(H*SAVE2(I) - Y(I,2))
write(lout,10113) i,rll,h,save2(i),y(i,2),r,error(i),ymax(i)
format(i3,7(lpe10.3))
D = D + ((R - ERROR(I))/YMAX(I))**2

10113
             D = D + ((R ______), -____)
print 10114,d
write(lout,10114) d
format(' ______, lpe12.4/)
             format(' d=', lpel2
SAVE1(I) = Y(I,1) + R
10114
             ERROR(I) = R
300
         continue
          GO TO 400
 IN THE CASE OF A CHORD METHOD, THE RESIDUAL -G(Y SUB N(M))
IS COMPUTED AND THE LINEAR SYSTEM WITH THAT AS RIGHT-HAND SIDE
AND P AS COEFFICIENT MATRIX IS SOLVED, USING THE LU DECOMPOSITION
OF P IF MITER = 1 OR 2. IF MITER = 3 THE SCALAR H*RL1 IS UPDATED.
310
        PHRL1 = HRL1
          HRL1 = H*RL1
          IF (HRL1 .EQ. PHRL1) GO TO 330
          R = HRL1/PHRL1
          DO 320 I = 1, N
             D = ONE - R*(ONE - ONE/PW(I))
(1
D
             IF (DABS(D) .EQ. ZERO) GO TO 440
)1
             IF (ABS(D) .EQ. ZERO) GO TO 440
/4
```

```
PW(I) = ONE/D
DO 340 I = 1,N
320
330
340
         SAVEl(I) = PW(I)*(RL1*H*SAVE2(I) - (RL1*Y(I,2) + ERROR(I)))
       GO TO 370
350
      DO 360 I = 1, N
      SAVE1(I) = RL1*H*SAVE2(I) - (RL1*Y(I,2) + ERROR(I))
CALL SOL (N, NO, PW, SAVE1, IPIV)
360
      D = ZERO
370
      DO 380 I = 1, N
         ERROR(I) = ERROR(I) + SAVE1(I)
         D = D + (SAVE1(I)/YMAX(I))**2
SAVE1(I) = Y(I,1) + ERROR(I)
380
 TEST FOR CONVERGENCE. IF M .GT. 0, AN ESTIMATE OF THE SQUARE OF THE CONVERGENCE RATE CONSTANT IS STORED IN CRATE, AND THIS IS USED
 IN THE TEST.
(2
D\overline{4}00 IF (M .NE. 0) CRATE = DMAX1(CRDOWN*CRATE, D/D1)
      IF (D*DMIN1(ONE, CRATE) .LE. BND) GO TO 450
D
)2
 400 IF (M .NE. 0) CRATE = AMAX1(CRDOWN*CRATE,D/D1)
      IF (D*AMIN1(ÓNE, CRATE) .LE. BND) GO TO 450
/6
      Dl = D
      M = M + 1
IF (M .EQ. MAXCOR) GO TO 410
CALL DIFFUN (N, T, SAVE1, SAVE2)
GO TO (295, 350, 350, 310), MITER1
 THE CORRECTOR ITERATION FAILED TO CONVERGE IN 3 TRIES. IF PARTIAL
 DERIVATIVES ARE INVOLVED BUT ARE NOT UP TO DATE, THEY ARE
REEVALUATED FOR THE NEXT TRY. OTHERWISE THE Y ARRAY IS RESTORED
 TO ITS VALUES BEFORE PREDICTION, AND H IS REDUCED,
 IF POSSIBLE. IF NOT, A NO-CONVERGENCE EXIT IS TAKEN.
      NFE = NFE + MAXCOR - 1
410
       IF (NEWJ .EQ. -1) GO TO 440
420
      T = TOLD
      ETAMAX = ONE
      DO 430 J1 = 1, NQ
DO 430 J2 = J1, NQ
            J = (NQ + J1) -
                                 J2
            DO 430 I = 1, N
Y(I,J) = Y(I,J) - Y(I,J+1)
430
(1
       IF (DABS(H) .LE. HMIN*ONEPSM) GO TO 680
Ď
)1
       IF (ABS(H) .LE. HMIN*ONEPSM) GO TO 680
/4
       ETA = ETACF
       IREDO = 1
      GO TO 180
      NEWJ = MITER
440
       GO TO 220
 THE CORRECTOR HAS CONVERGED. NEWJ IS SET TO -1 IF PARTIAL DERIVATIVES WERE USED, TO SIGNAL THAT THEY MAY NEED UPDATING ON SUBSEQUENT_STEPS. THE ERROR TEST IS MADE AND CONTROL PASSES TO
 STATEMENT 500 IF IT FAILS.
450
      IF (MITER .NE. 0) NEWJ = -1
      NFE = NFE + M
      D = ZERO
      DO 460 I = 1, N
        D = D + (ERROR(I)/YMAX(I))**2
460
```

-293-

E = FLOTN\*(TQ(2)\*EPS)\*\*2IF (D.GT. E) GO TO 500 AFTER A SUCCESSFUL STEP, THE Y ARRAY, TAU, NSTEP, AND NQINDX ARE AFTER A SUCCESSFUL STEP, THE Y ARRAY, TAU, NSTEP, AND NQIND UPDATED, AND A NEW VALUE OF H AT ORDER NQ IS COMPUTED. THE VECTOR TAU CONTAINS THE NQ + 1 MOST RECENT VALUES OF H. A CHANGE IN NQ UP OR DOWN BY 1 IS CONSIDERED IF NQINDX = 0. IF NQINDX = 1 AND NQ .LT. MAXDER, THEN ERROR IS SAVED FOR USE IN A POSSIBLE ORDER INCREASE ON THE NEXT STEP. A CHANGE IN H OR NQ IS MADE ONLY OF THE INCREASE IN H IS BY A FACTOR OF AT LEAST 1.3. IF NOT, NQINDX IS SET TO 2 TO PREVENT TESTING FOR THAT MANY STEPS. IF NQ IS CHANGED, NQINDX IS SET TO NQ + 1 (NEW VALUE). KFLAG = 0IREDO = 0NSTEP = NSTEP + 1HUSED = HNQUSED = NO DO 470 IBACK = 1,NQ I = L - IBACKTAU(I+1) = TAU(I)470 TAU(1) = H DO 480 J = 1,L DO 480 I = 1,N Y(I,J) = Y(I,J) + ERROR(I)\*EL(J)NQINDX = NQINDX - 1 DD (100 JMDX) NE 480 IF ((L .EQ. LMAX) .OR. (NQINDX .NE. 1)) GO TO 495 DO 490 I = 1, N 490 Y(I, LMAX) = ERROR(I)CONP = TQ(5)IF (ETAMAX .NE. ONE) GO TO 520 IF (NQINDX .LT. 2) NQINDX = 2 495 GO TO 690 THE ERROR TEST FAILED. KFLAG KEEPS TRACK OF MULTIPLE FAILURES. T AND THE Y ARRAY ARE RESTORED TO THEIR PREVIOUS VALUES. A NEW H FOR A RETRY OF THE STEP IS COMPUTED. THE ORDER IS KEPT FIXED. 500 KFLAG = KFLAG - 1T = TOLDDO 510 J1 = 1, NQ $DO_{510}J2 = J1, NQ$ J = (NQ + J1) - J2DO 510 I = 1, N Y(I,J) = Y(I,J) - Y(I,J+1)510 NEWJ = MITERETAMAX = ONE (1 Ď IF (DABS(H) .LE. HMIN\*ONEPSM) GO TO 660 )1 IF (ABS(H) .LE. HMIN\*ONEPSM) GO TO 660 /4 IF (KFLAG .LE. KFC) GO TO 630 IREDO = 2COMPUTE RATIO OF NEW H TO CURRENT H AT THE CURRENT ORDER. ------(1 D520 FLOTL = DFLOAT(L) )1 520 FLOTL = FLOAT(L) /4 ETAQ = ONE/((BIAS2\*D/E)\*\*(PT5/FLOTL) + ADDON)IF ((NQINDX .NE. 0) .OR. (KFLAG .NE. 0)) GO TO 580 ETAQMI = ZERO IF (NQ .EQ. 1) GO TO 540 COMPUTE RATIO OF NEW H TO CURRENT H AT THE CURRENT ORDER LESS ONE. ---

-294-

-295

D = ZERODO 530 I = 1, ND = D + (Y(I,L)/YMAX(I))\*\*2EDN = FLOTN\*(TQ(1)\*EPS)\*\*2 ETAQM1 = ONE/((BIAS1\*D/EDN)\*\*(PT5/(FLOTL - ONE)) + ADDON) 530 540 ETAQP1 = ZEROIF (L .EQ. LMAX) GO TO 560 COMPUTE RATIO OF NEW H TO CURRENT H AT CURRENT ORDER PLUS ONE. -----CNQUOT = (TQ(5)/CONP)\*(H/TAU(2))\*\*LD = ZEROD = D + ((ERROR(I) - CNQUOT\*Y(I,LMAX))/YMAX(I))\*2EUP = FLOTN\*(TQ(3)\*EPS)\*2 EUP = FLOTN\*(TQ(3)\*EPS)\*2 550 ETAQPI = ONE/((BIAS3\*D/EUP)\*\*(PT5/(FLOTL + ONE)) + ADDON)560 NQINDX = 2IF (ETAQ .GE. ETAQP1) GO TO 570 IF (ETAQP1 .GT. ETAQM1) GO TO 600 GO TO 590 IF (ETAQ .LT. ETAQM1) GO TO 590 IF ((ETAQ .LT. THRESH) .AND. (KFLAG .EQ. 0)) GO TO 690 570 580 ETA = ETAQIF ((KFLAG .LE. -2) .AND. (ETA .GT. ETAMXF)) ETA = ETAMXF GO TO 180 IF (ETAQM1 .LT. THRESH) GO TO 690 CALL ADJUST (Y, NO) 590 L = NQNQ = NQ - 1ETA = ETAQM1  $\begin{array}{l} \text{NQINDX} = L \\ \text{GO TO } 180 \end{array}$ IF (ETAQP1 .LT. THRESH) GO TO 690 600 NQ - L ETA = ETAQP1 L = L + 1DO 610 I = 1,N  $Y(I,L) = \overline{ZERO}$ 610 NQINDX = L GO TO 180 CONTROL REACHES THIS SECTION IF 3 OR MORE CONSECUTIVE FAILURES HAVE OCCURRED. IT IS ASSUMED THAT THE ELEMENTS OF THE Y ARRAY HAVE ACCUMULATED ERRORS OF THE WRONG ORDER. THE ORDER IS REDUCED BY ONE, IF POSSIBLE. THEN H IS REDUCED BY A FACTOR OF 0.1 AND THE STEP IS RETRIED. AFTER A TOTAL OF 7 CONSECUTIVE FAILURES, AN EXIT IS TAKEN WITH KFLAG = -2. IF (KFLAG .EQ. KFH) GO TO 670 IF (NQ .EQ. 1) GO TO 640 ETA = ETAMIN 630 CALL ADJUST (Y, NO) L = NQNQ = NQ - 1NQINDX = L GO TO 180 (1 D640 ETA = DMAX1(ETAMIN, HMIN/DABS(H)) )1 640 ETA = AMAX1(ETAMIN, HMIN/ABS(H)) /4  $H = H \star ETA$ CALL DIFFUN (N, T, Y, SAVEl) NFE = NFE + 1 DO 650 I = 1, NY(I,2) = H\*SAVE1(I)NQINDX = 10 650 GO TO 200

ALL RET	FURNS ARE MADE THROUGH THIS SECTION. H IS SAVED IN HOLD OW THE CALLER TO CHANGE H ON THE NEXT STEP.
660 KF	LAG = -1 TO 700
670 KF	LAG = -2 TO 700
680 KFI GO	LAG = -3 TO 700
690 ET	AMAX = ETAMX3 (NSTEP, LE, 10) ETAMAX = ETAMX2
700 HO JS' RE'	LD = H TART = NQ TURN
FNI	END OF SUBROUTINE TSTEP
SU	BROUTINE COSET
COSET	IS CALLED BY TSTEP AND SETS COEFFICIENTS FOR USE THERE.
FOR EAG THE GI LI FOR THI	CH ORDER NQ, THE COEFFICIENTS IN EL ARE CALCULATED BY USE OF ENERATING POLYNOMIAL LAMBDA(X), WITH COEFFICIENTS EL(I): AMBDA(X) = EL(1) + EL(2)*X + + EL(NQ+1)*(X**NQ). E BACKWARD DIFFERENTIATION FORMULAS,
L	AMBDA(X) = PRODUCT (1 + X/XI(I)) .
FOR TH	E ADAMS FORMULAS,
(]	$NQ^{-1}$ D/DX) LAMBDA(X) = C * PRODUCT (1 + X/XI(I)),
L WHERE IN BOT H	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
COSET AVAILA FORMUL VALUES	ALSO SETS MAXDER, THE MAXIMUM ORDER OF THE FORMULAS BLE. CURRENTLY THIS IS 5 FOR THE BACKWARD DIFFERENTIATION AS, AND 12 FOR THE ADAMS FORMULAS. TO USE DIFFERENT (.LE. 13), CHANGE THE NUMBERS IN STATEMENTS 1 AND 2 BELOW.
IN ADD WITH CO TAU	ITION TO VARIABLES DESCRIBED PREVIOUSLY, COMMUNICATION OSET USES THE FOLLOWING = A VECTOR OF LENGTH 13 CONTAINING THE PAST NQ VALUES
$\mathbf{EL}$	= A VECTOR OF LENGTH 13 IN WHICH COSET STORES THE COEFFICIENTS FOR THE CORRECTOR FORMULA
ΤQ	= A VECTOR OF LENGTH 5 IN WHICH COSET STORES CONSTANTS USED FOR THE CONVERGENCE TEST, THE ERROR TEST, AND SELECTION OF H AT A NEW ORDER
LMAX	= MAXDER + 1, WHERE MAXDER IS THE MAXIMUM ORDER AVAILABLE. LMAX IS THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF COLUMNS OF THE X APPAY TO BE USED
METH	= THE BASIC METHOD INDICATOR.
иQ L	= THE CORRENT ORDER. = $NQ + 1$ , THE LENGTH OF THE VECTOR STORED IN EL, AND
NQIN	THE NUMBER OF COLUMNS OF THE Y ARRAY BEING USED. DX = A COUNTER CONTROLLING THE FREQUENCY OF ORDER CHANGES. AN ORDER CHANGE IS ABOUT TO BE CONSIDERED IF NQINDX = 1.
\$ THIS	IS THE SINGLE PRECISION VERSION OF SUBROUTINE COSET.

CHANGE PRECISION VIA INSTRUCTIONS IN SUBROUTINE DRIVE.

CAUTION: NOT ALL MEMBERS OF EPCOM1 ARE USED IN THIS SUBROUTINE. INTEGER JSTART, KFLAG, L, LMAX, METH, MF, N, NQ, NQINDX INTEGER I, IBACK, J, JP1, MAXDER, LMAXN, NQM1 (6 Ď DOUBLE PRECISION EL, EPS, H, HMAX, HMIN, SS, T, TAU, TQ, D UROUND 1 DOUBLE PRECISION AHDSS, CNOM1, CSUM, ELP, EM, EMO, FLOTI, I FLOTL, FLOTNQ, HSUM, HSUM1, PROD, RXI, S, XI DOUBLE PRECISION CORTES D D 1 D DOUBLE PRECISION ONE, SIX, TWO, ZERO D )6 REAL EL, EPS, H, HAX, HMIN, SS, T, TAU, TQ, UROUND 1 REAL AHDSS, CNQM1, CSUM, ELP, EM, EMO, FLOTI, FLOTL, FLÕTNQ, HSUM, HSUMI, PROD, RXI, S, XI REAL CORTES 1 REAL ONE, SIX, TWO, ZERO /14
\* Multiple Declaration of JSTART, KFLAG, L, METH, MF, NQ, NQINDX, fixed - DRW DIMENSION EM(13) COMMON /EPCOM1/ T,H,HMIN,HMAX,EPS,SS,UROUND,N,MF,KFLAG,JSTART COMMON /EPCM10/ TAU(13),EL(13),TQ(5),LMAX,METH,NQ,L,NQINDX (2 DATA CORTES /0.1D0/ DATA ONE /1.0D0/, SIX /6.0D0/, TWO /2.0D0/, ZERO /0.0D0/ Ď D )2 DATA CORTES /0.1E0/ DATA ONE /1.0E0/, SIX /6.0E0/, TWO /2.0E0/, ZERO /0.0E0/ /6 AHDSS = ONE(2 Ď IF (SS .NE. ZERO) AHDSS = DABS(H)/SSD FLOTL = DFLOAT(L))2 IF (SS .NE. ZERO) AHDSS = ABS(H)/SSFLOTL = FLOAT(L)/6 NQM1 = NQ - 1GO TO (1, 2), METH MAXDER = 12 1 GO TO 100 2 MAXDER = 5GO TO 200 100 IF (NQ .NE. 1) GO TO 110 EL(1) = ONEEL(2) = ONETQ(1) = ONETQ(2) = TWO \* AHDSSTQ(3) = SIX \* TQ(2)TQ(5) = ONEGO TO 300 HSUM = H 110 EM(1) = ONE FLOTNQ = FLOTL - ONE DO 115 I = 2, LEM(I) = ZERO DO 150 J = 1,NQM1 115 IF ((J .NE. NQM1) .OR. (NQINDX .NE. 1)) GO TO 130 S = ONE $\tilde{CSUM} = ZERO$ DO 120 I = 1, NQM1

```
(l
D
              CSUM = CSUM + S \times EM(I) / DFLOAT(I+1)
)1
              CSUM = CSUM + S \times EM(I) / FLOAT(I+1)
/4
120
              S = -S
           TQ(1) = AHDSS*EM(NQM1)/(FLOTNQ*CSUM)
RXI = H/HSUM
130
           DO 140 IBACK = 1, J
 I = (J + 2) - IBACK
I = (J + 2) - IBACK
I = (J + 2) - IBACK
I = EM(I) = EM(I) + EM(I-1)*RXI
I = INTEGRAL FROM + TAU(J)
I = INTEGRAL FROM - 1 TO 0 OF POLYNOMIAL AND OF X TIMES IT. -----
140
150
        S = ONE
       EMO = ZERO
       CSUM = ZERO 
DO 160 I = 1, NQ
(1
           FLOTI = DFLOAT(I)
D
)1
           FLOTI = FLOAT(I)
/4
           EMO = EMO + S \times EM(I) / FLOTI
           CSUM = CSUM + S \times EM(I) / (FLOTI+1)
160
           S = -S
 IN EL, FORM COEFFICIENTS OF NORMALIZED INTEGRATED POLYNOMIAL. -----
S = ONE/EMO
       EL(1) = ONE
DO 170 I = 1,NQ
(1
D170
           EL(I+1) = S \times EM(I) / DFLOAT(I)
)1
 170
           EL(I+1) = S \times EM(I) / FLOAT(I)
/4
        XI = HSUM/H
 TQ(2) = AHDSS*XI*EMO/CSUM
TQ(5) = XI/EL(L)
IF (NQINDX .NE. 1) GO TO 300
FOR HIGHER ORDER CONTROL CONSTANT, MULTIPLY POLYNOMIAL BY 1+X/XI(Q). -
 FOR HIGHER ORDER CONTROL CONSTANT, MULTI

RXI = ONE/XI

DO 180 IBACK = 1,NQ

I = (L + 1) - IBACK

180 EM(I) = EM(I) + EM(I-1)*RXI

COMPUTE INTEGRAL OF POLYNOMIAL. ------
180
        S = ONE
       CSUM = ZERO
DO 190 I = 1,L
(1
Ď
        CSUM = CSUM + S \times EM(I) / DFLOAT(I+1)
)1
        CSUM = CSUM + S \times EM(I) / FLOAT(I+1)
/4
190
           S = -S
        TQ(3) = AHDSS*FLOTL*EMO/CSUM
        GO TÓ 300
200
210
       DO 210 I = 3,L
EL(I) = ZERO
        EL(1) = ONE
        EL(2) = ONE
HSUM = H
        HSUM1 = ZERO
        PROD = ONE
        RXI = ONE
       IF (NQ .EQ. 1) GO TO 240
DO 230 J = 1, NQM1
```

```
-298-
```

IN EL, CONSTRUCT COEFFICIENTS OF (1+X/XI(1))\*...\*(1+X/XI(J+1)). -----HSUM = HSUM + TAU(J)HSUM1 = HSUM1 + TAU(J)PROD = PROD\*(HSUM/HSUM1) RXI = H/HSUMJPl = J + 1 DO 220 IBACK = 1,JP1 I = (J + 3) - IBACK EL(I) = EL(I) + EL(I-1)\*RXI 220 230 CONTÌNÚE TQ(2) = AHDSS\*EL(2)\*(ONE + PROD) TQ(5) = (ONE + PROD)/EL(L)IF (NQINDX .NE. 1) GO TO 300 CNQM1 = RXI/EL(L) ELP = EL(2) - RXI TQ(L) = RXI = RXI/EL(L)240  $TO(1) = AHDSS \times ELP/CNQM1$ TQ(1) = AHDSS\*ELP/CNQM1 HSUM = HSUM + TAU(NQ) RXI = H/HSUM ELP = EL(2) + RXI TQ(3) = AHDSS\*ELP\*RXI\*(ONE + PROD)\*(FLOTL + ONE) TQ(4) = CORTES\*TQ(2) LMAX = MAXDER + 1 300 RETURN ----- END OF SUBROUTINE COSET ------END SUBROUTINE ADJUST (Y, NO) THIS SUBROUTINE ADJUSTS THE Y ARRAY ON REDUCTION OF ORDER. SEE REFERENCE 1 FOR DETAILS. \$ THIS IS THE SINGLE PRECISION VERSION OF SUBROUTINE ADJUST. CHANGE PRECISION VIA THE INSTRUCTIONS IN SUBROUTINE DRIVE. CAUTION: NOT ALL MEMBERS OF EPCOM1 ARE USED IN THIS SUBROUTINE. INTEGER NO INTEGER JSTART, KFLAG, L, LMAX, METH, MF, N, NQ, NQINDX INTEGER I, IBACK, J, JP1, NQM1, NQM2 (4 Ď DOUBLE PRECISION Y DOUBLE PRECISION EL, EPS, H, HMAX, HMIN, SS, T, TAU, TQ, UROUND D D DOUBLE PRECISION HSUM, XI DOUBLE PRECISION ONE, ZERO D )4 REAL Y REAL EL, EPS, H, HMAX, HMIN, SS, T, TAU, TQ, UROUND REAL HSUM, XI REAL ONE, ZERO /10 DIMENSION Y(N0,13) COMMON /EPCOM1/ T,H,HMIN,HMAX,EPS,SS,UROUND,N,MF,KFLAG,JSTART COMMON /EPCM10/ TAU(13),EL(13),TQ(5),LMAX,METH,NQ,L,NQINDX (1 D DATA ONE /1.0D0/, ZERO /0.0D0/ )1 DATA ONE /1.0E0/, ZERO /0.0E0/ /4 IF (NQ .EQ. 2) RETURN NQM1 = NQ - 1 NQM2 = NQ - 2 GO TO (100, 200), METH DO 110 J = 1,LMAX EL(J) = ZERO 100 110

```
EL(2) = ONE
      HSUM = ZERO
DO 130 J = 1, NQM2
 HSUM = HSUM + TAU(J)
        XI = HSUM/H
        JP1 = J + 1
        DO 120 IBACK = 1,JP1
          I = (J + 3) - IBACK
EL(I) = EL(I)*XI + EL(I-1)
120
130
        CONTINUE
 CONSTRUCT COEFFICIENTS OF INTEGRATED POLYNOMIAL. -----
      DO 140 J = 2, NQM1
(1
D140
        EL(J+1) = DFLOAT(NQ) * EL(J) / DFLOAT(J)
)1
 140
        EL(J+1) = FLOAT(NQ) * EL(J) / FLOAT(J)
\overline{4}
      GO TO 300
200
     DO 210 J = 1, LMAX
      EL(J) = ZERO
EL(3) = ONE
HSUM = ZERO
210
 DO 230 J = 1, NQM2
CONSTRUCT COEFFICIENTS OF X*X*(X+XI(1))*...*(X+XI(J)). ------
        HSUM = HSUM + TAU(J)
        XI = HSUM/H
JPl = J + 1
        DO 220 IBACK = 1,JP1
          I = (J + 4) - IBACK
220
          EL(I) = EL(I) * XI + EL(I-1)
230
        CONTÌNÚE
 SUBTRACT CORRECTION TERMS FROM Y ARRAY. -----
320
        CONTINUÉ
      RETURN
                ----- END OF SUBROUTINE ADJUST ------
      END
      SUBROUTINE PSET (Y,N0,CON,MITER,IER)
 PSET IS CALLED BY TSTEP TO COMPUTE AND TO PROCESS THE MATRIX
 P = I - (H/EL(2))*J, WHERE J IS AN APPROXIMATION TO THE
JACOBIAN. J IS COMPUTED BY EITHER THE USER SUPPLIED
SUBROUTINE PEDERV, WHEN MITER = 1, OR BY FINITE DIFFERENCES,
WHEN MITER = 2. J IS STORED IN PW AND REPLACED BY P, USING
CON = -H/EL(2). THEN P IS SUBJECTED TO AN LU DECOMPOSITION
 FOR LATER SOLUTION OF LINEAR ALGEBRAIC SYSTEMS WITH P AS THE
 COEFFICIENT MATRIX.
 IN ADDITION TO VARIABLES DESCRIBED PREVIOUSLY, COMMUNICATION WITH PSET USES THE FOLLOWING..
    EPSJ = SQRT(UROUND), USED IN THE NUMERICAL JACOBIAN INCREMENTS.
    NSQ = N0**2.
 CAUTION: NOT ALL EPCOM1 VARIABLES ARE USED INTHIS SUBROUTINE.
$ THIS IS THE SINGLE PRECISION VERSION OF SUBROUTINE PSET.
 CHANGE PRECISION VIA THE INSTRUCTIONS IN SUBROUTINE DRIVE.
      INTEGER IER, MITER, NO
INTEGER IPIV, JSTART, KFLAG, MF, N, NSQ
```

ł

INTEGER I, J, Jl (5 DOUBLE PRECISION CON, Y DOUBLE PRECISION EPS, EPSJ, H, HMAX, HMIN, PW, SAVE1, SAVE2, SS, T, UROUND, YMAX DOUBLE PRECISION D, R, R0, YJ DOUBLE PRECISION ONE, REP, ZERO Ď D D 1 D D )5 REAL CON, Y REAL CON, 1 REAL EPS, EPSJ, H, HMAX, HMIN, PW, SAVE1, SAVE2, 1 SS, T, UROUND, YMAX REAL D, R, R0, YJ REAL ONE, REP, ZERO 1 /12 \* Multiple Declaration of IER, T, N fixed - DRW DIMENSION Y(N0,1) COMMON /EPCOM1/ T,H,HMIN,HMAX,EPS,SS,UROUND,N,MF,KFLAG,JSTART COMMON /EPCOM2/ YMAX(1) COMMON /EPCOM4/ SAVE1(1) COMMON /EPCOM5/ SAVE2(1) COMMON /EPCOM6/ PW(1) COMMON /EPCOM6/ PW(1) COMMON /EPCOM7/ IPIV(1) COMMON /EPCOM8/ EPSJ,NSQ (1 D DATA ONE /1.0D0/, REP /1.0D-3/, ZERO /0.0D0/ )1 DATA ONE /1.0E0/, REP /1.0E-3/, ZERO /0.0E0/ /4 IF (MITER .EQ. 2) GO TO 20 IF MITER = 1, CALL PEDERV AND MULTIPLY BY A SCALAR. CALL PEDERV (N, T, Y, PW, NO) DO 10 I = 1,NSQ PW(I) = PW(I)\*CON 10 GO TÒ 60 IF MITER = 2, MAKE N CALLS TO DIFFUN TO APPROXIMATE J. -----20 D = ZERODO 30 I = 1, ND = D + SAVE2(I) \* \* 230 (1 Ď R0 = DABS(H)\*DSQRT(D)\*UROUND/REP )1 R0 = ABS(H) \* SQRT(D) \* UROUND/REP/4 J1 = 0DO 50 J = 1, NYJ = Y(J,1)R = EPSJ\*YMAX(J)(1 D R = DMAX1(R, R0))1 R = AMAX1(R, R0)/4 Y(J,1) = Y(J,1) + RD = CON/RCALL DIFFUN (N, T, Y, SAVEl) DO 40 I = 1, N40 PW(I+J1) = (SAVE1(I) - SAVE2(I)) \*DY(J,1) = YJJ1 = J1 + NO 50 CONTINUE ADD ON THE IDENTITY MATRIX. \_\_\_\_\_ 60 J = 1DO 7O I = 1, N PW(J) = PW(J) + ONE70 J = J + (NO + 1)

GET LU DECOMPOSITION OF P. -----CALL DEC (N, NO, PW, IPIV, IER) RETURN ----- END OF SUBROUTINE PSET -----END SUBROUTINE DEC (N, NDIM, A, IP, IER) MATRIX TRIANGULARIZATION BY GAUSSIAN ELIMINATION. INPUT.. N = ORDER OF MATRIX. NDIM = DECLARED DIMENSION OF ARRAY A . A = MATRIX TO BE TRIANGULARIZED. OUTPUT.. A(I,J), I.LE.J = UPPER TRIANGULAR FACTOR, U. A(1,J), I.LE.J = OPPER IRTANGOLAR FACTOR, U. A(1,J), I.GT.J = MULTIPLIERS = LOWER TRIANGULAR FACTOR, I - L. IP(K), K.LT.N = INDEX OF K-TH PIVOT ROW. IP(N) = (-1)\*\*(NUMBER OF INTERCHANGES) OR O. IER = 0 IF A NONSINGULAR, OR K IF A FOUND TO BE CINCULAR AT COMPARENT. SINGULAR AT STAGE K. USE SOL TO OBTAIN SOLUTION OF LINEAR SYSTEM. DETERM(A) = IP(N)\*A(1,1)\*A(2,2)\*...\*A(N,N). IF IP(N)=0, A IS SINGULAR, SOL WILL DIVIDE BY ZERO. INTERCHANGES FINISHED IN U , ONLY PARTLY IN L . REFERENCE. C. B. MOLER, ALGORITHM 423, LINEAR EQUATION SOLVER, COMM. ASSOC. COMPUT. MACH., 15 (1972), P. 274. \$ THIS IS THE SINGLE PRECISION VERSION OF SUBROUTINE DEC. CHANGE PRECISION VIA THE INSTRUCTIONS IN SUBROUTINE DRIVE. INTEGER IER, IP, N, NDIM INTEGER I, J, K, KPl, M, NMl (3 Ď DOUBLE PRECISION A DOUBLE PRECISION T D DOUBLE PRECISION ONE, ZERO D )3 REAL A REAL T REAL ONE, ZERO /8 DIMENSION A(NDIM, N), IP(N) (1 DATA ONE /1.0D0/, ZERO /0.0D0/ D )1 DATA ONE /1.0E0/, ZERO /0.0E0/ /4 IER = 0IP(N) = 1IF (N .EQ. 1) GO TO 70 MM1 = N - 1DO 60 K = 1, NM1 KPl = K + lM = KDO 10 I = KP1, N(1 D10 IF (DABS(A(I,K)) .GT. DABS(A(M,K))) M = I)1 10 IF (ABS(A(I,K)) .GT. ABS(A(M,K))) M = I/4 IP(K) = MT = A(M,K)IF (M .EQ. K) GO TO 20 IP(N) = -IP(N)

 $\begin{array}{l} A(M,K) &= A(K,K) \\ A(K,K) &= T \\ \end{array}$ IF (T.EQ. ZERO) GO TO 80 T = ONE/TDO 30 I = KP1,N 20 A(I,K) = -A(I,K)\*TDO 50 J = KPl,N T = A(M,J) 30 T = A(M,J) A(M,J) = A(K,J) A(K,J) = TIF (T .EQ. ZERO) GO TO 50 DO 40 I = KP1,N A(I,J) = A(I,J) + A(I,K)\*TCONTINUE 40 50 60 CONTINUE 70 K = NIF (A(N,N) .EQ. ZERO) GO TO 80 RETÙRŇ IER = KIP(N) = 080 RETURN ----- END OF SUBROUTINE DEC -----END SUBROUTINE SOL (N, NDIM, A, B, IP) SOLUTION OF LINEAR SYSTEM,  $A \star X = B$ . INPUT. . N = ORDER OF MATRIX. NDIM = DECLARED DIMENSION OF ARRAY A . A = TRIANGULARIZED MATRIX OBTAINED FROM DEC. B = RIGHT HAND SIDE VECTOR. IP = PIVOT VECTOR OBTAINED FROM DEC. DO NOT USE IF DEC HAS SET IER .NE. 0. OUTPUT. B = SOLUTION VECTOR, X. \$ THIS IS THE SINGLE PRECISION VERSION OF SUBROUTINE SOL. CHANGE PRECISION VIA THE INSTRUCTIONS IN SUBROUTINE DRIVE. INTEGER IP, N, NDIM INTEGER I, K, KB, KMl, KPl, M, NMl (2 D DOUBLE PRECISION A, B DOUBLE PRECISION T D )2 REAL A, B REAL T /6 DIMENSION A(NDIM, N), B(N), IP(N) IF (N .EQ. 1) GO TO 50 NM1 = N - 1DO 20 K = 1,NM1 KP1 = K + 1M = IP(K)T = B(M)B(M) = B(K)B(K) = TDO 10 I = KP1, NB(I) = B(I) + A(I,K) \* T10 CONTINUE 20 DO 40 KB = 1, NM1KM1 = N - KBK = KMl + lB(K) = B(K)/A(K,K)

30 40	T = -B(K) DO 30 I = 1,KM1 B(I) = B(I) + A CONTINUE CONTINUE	\(I,K)*1	1		
	ETURN END	END OF	SUBROUTINE	SOL	

-304-

SUBROUTINE GAUS2(F,XL,XU,RELER,ABSER,ROUND,ANSWR,IER,EXTRA1, \$EXTRA2,EXTRA3,NEXTRA)

THIS ROUTINE COMPUTES THE INTEGRAL OF F(X,EXTRA1,EXTRA2,EXTRA3, NEXTRA) FROM XL TO XU. A TWO POINT GAUSS-LEGENDRE QUADRATURE FORMULA IS USED. CONVERGENCE IS CHECKED BY DIVIDING THE DOMAIN IN HALF AND REAPPLYING THE FORMULA IN EACH HALF. IF THE VALUE OF THE INTEGRAL CALCULATED OVER THE ENTIRE DOMAIN IS NOT EQUAL TO THE SUM OF THE INTEGRALS IN EACH HALF (WITHIN THE USER SPECIFIED ERROR TOLERANCE), EACH HALF IS FURTHER DIVIDED INTO HALVES AND THE GAUSS-LEGENDRE FORMULA IS REAPPLIED. THE PROCEDURE WILL CONTINUE ITERATING (I.E. SUBDIVIDING),UNTIL CONVERGENCE IS ACHIEVED OR THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF ITERATIONS IS REACHED. THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF ITERATIONS IS EITHER THE SET DEFAULT VALUE OF 20 (WHERE THE FIRST ITERATION IS FOR EVALUATION OVER THE ENTIRE DOMAIN), OR THE LARGEST NUMBER OF ITERATIONS POSSIBLE WITHOUT SEVERE MACHINE ROUND-OFF ERRORS, WHICHEVER IS SMALLER. THE MACHINE ROUND-OFF ERROR CHECK IS MADE TO INSURE THAT THE INTEGRATION DOMAIN IS NOT TOO SMALL SO AS TO BE INSIGNIFICANT. SINCE THE PROCEDURE IS ADAPTIVE, ONLY THE REGIONS WHICH ARE NONCONVERGENT ARE DIVIDED INTO HALVES. THIS CODE WAS WAS WRITTEN BY FRED GELBARD, FEBRUARY, 1982.

## CALLING SEQUENCE

CALL GAUS2(F,XL,XU,RELER,ABSER,ROUND,ANSWR,IER,EXTRA1,EXTRA2, EXTRA3,NEXTRA)

NOTE: THE USER MUST SUPPLY A FUNCTION SUBROUTINE F(X,EXTRA1,EXTRA2, EXTRA3,NEXTRA) WHICH MUST BE DECLARED EXTERNAL IN THE ROUTINE THAT CALLS GAUS2. THE VARIABLE OF INTEGRATION IS THE FIRST ARGUMENT OF THE FUNCTION F.

## INPUT VARIABLES

$\mathbf{F}$	EXTERNAL FUNCTION R EXTRA3.NEXTRA)	OUTINE FOR INTEGRANI	F(X,EXTRA1,EXTRA2,
XL XU RELER ABSER EXTRA1 EXTRA2 EXTRA3 NEXTRA IER	LOWER LIMIT OF INTE UPPER LIMIT OF INTE RELATIVE ERROR TOLE ABSOLUTE ERROR TOLE VARIABLE WHICH MAY VARIABLE WHICH MAY VARIABLE WHICH MAY VARIABLE WHICH MAY NORMALLY SET TO ZER INTEGRAL TO BE COM	GRATION (REAL) GRATION (REAL) RANCE (REAL) RANCE (REAL) BE PASSED TO FUNCTIO BE PASSED TO FUNCTIO BE PASSED TO FUNCTIO BE PASSED TO FUNCTIO O, BUT MAY BE SET TO PUTED BY A SINGLE AF FORMULA FOLO *ABS()	ON F (REAL) ON F (REAL) ON F (REAL) ON F (INTEGER) O 1 FOR THE PPLICATION (U-XL) (RELER LT
	AMAX1(ABS(XU),ABS( IF A1 AND A2 ARE THE THE REGION AND BY SU RESPECTIVELY,THEN CO ABS(A1-A2)/RELER	XL)) (INTEGR INTEGRALS COMPUTEL MMING THE VALUES IN NVERGENCE IS OBTAINE .LT.ABS(A2)+ABSER	ONCE OVER BOTH HALVES D WHEN
ROUND	MACHINE UNIT ROUND-O ADDED TO 1.0 WHICH I MACHINES DG ECLIPSE IBM 360/370 DEC 10 CDC 6600/7600 UNIVAC 1108	FF ERROR (I.E. THE S S GREATER THAN 1.0) VALUES FOR ROU 1.2E-7 9.6E-7 7.7E-9 7.7E-15 1.5E-8	MALLEST NUMBER
## \_\_\_\_\_

С

C C C

C C C C C C

	OUTPUT VARIABLES		
	XL UNCHANGED FROM INPUT FOR IER.LT.1. IF IER.GE.1, THEN EQUAL TO LOWER LIMIT OF REGION FOR WHICH CONVERGENCE		
	XU UNCHANGED FROM INPUT FOR IER.LT.1. IF IER.GE.1, THEN EQUAL TO UPPER LIMIT OF REGION FOR WHICH CONVERGENCE		
	RELER UNCHANGED FROM INPUT UNLESS IER.GE.1, THEN EQUAL TO INTEGRAL IN REGION FROM XL TO XU AT LAST ITERATION		
	ABSER UNCHANGED FROM INPUT UNLESS IER.GE.1. THEN EQUAL TO INTEGRAL IN REGION FROM XL TO XU AT NEXT TO LAST ITERATION		
	ANSWR VALUE OF INTEGRAL UNLESS IER.NE.0 TER INTEGER ERROR FLAG		
	0 NO ERRORS, CONVERGENCE OBTAINED -2 INTEGRATION DOMAIN IS TOO SMALL. ANSWR COMPUTED BY		
	-1 INTEGRATION DOMAIN IS TOO SMALL FOR GIVEN MACHINE ROUND-OFF ERROR. ANSWR COMPUTED BY SINGLE APPLICATION		
OF GAUSS-LEGENDRE FORMULA .GE.1 NUMBER OF TIMES DIVIDED INTO HALVES BEFORE			
REACHING MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUBDIVISIONS. ANSWR DETERMINED BY SINGLE APPLICATION OF GAUSS-LEGENDRE FORMULA			
	DIMENSIONS		
	TO RESET DEFAULT MAXIMUM NUMBER OF DIVISIONS (I.E. 20), CHANGE NMAX TO THE NEW MAXIMUM PLUS 1. THE ARRAY DIMENSIONS SHOULD BE A(2,NMAX),X(NMAX),Y(NMAX),H(NMAX) AND ISECT(NMAX)		
	VARIABLES IN CODE		
	A(I,N) INTEGRAL IN LEFT HALF (CORRESPONDING TO I=1), OR RIGHT HALF (CORRESPONDING TO I=2) AT THE N-TH LEVEL. FOR N=1, INTEGRAL IS CONTAINED IN A(2,1) AND A(1,1) IS NEVER USED H(N) STEP SIZE AT N-TH LEVEL		
	ISIDE(N) SIDE AT N-TH LEVEL WHERE N=1 OR 2 CORRESPONDING TO THE LEFT OR RIGHT HALF, RESPECTIVELY		
	N LEVEL OF REGION NMAX MAXIMUM NUMBER OF LEVELS X(N) SMALLEST X VALUE AT THE N-TH LEVEL		
	DIMENSION A(2,21),X(21),H(21),ISIDE(21) FUN(XD,HD)=0.5*HD*(F(XD+.2113248654052*HD,EXTRA1,EXTRA2,EXTRA3, \$NEXTRA)+F(XD+.788675134598*HD,EXTRA1,EXTRA2,EXTRA3,NEXTRA)) NMAX=21		
	H(l)=XU-XL A(2,l)=FUN(XL,H(l)) IF(IER.NE.1)GO TO 2 IF(10.*ABS(H(l))/RELER.LT.AMAX1(ABS(XU),ABS(XL)))GO TO 7		
	CHECK THAT THE SIZE DOMAIN IS NOT TOO SMALL		
2	: IF(ABS(XU-XL).GT.4.*ROUND*AMAX1(ABS(XL),ABS(XU)))GO TO 8 ANSWR=A(2,1) IER=-2 RETURN		
	DETERMINE THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUBDIVISIONS BEFORE ROUND OFF ERROR WOULD MAKE IT DIFFICULT TO DISTINGUISH POINTS IN THE DOMAIN		
8	RATIO=AMAX1(ABS(XU/H(1)),ABS(XL/H(1)))		

N1=-IFIX(1.4427\*ALOG(RATIO\*ROUND)) N1=2-IFIX(1.4427\*ALOG(RATIO\*ROUND)) NMAX=MINO(NMAX,N1) IF(NMAX.GT.1)GO TO 10 C+ IER=-1 RETURN С 10 ISIDE(1)=2 DO 1 I=2,NMAX ISIDE(1)=2 1 H(I) = .5 \* H(I-1)С X(2) = XLN=2CCCCC CALCULATE INTEGRAL IN EACH HALF. AT LEVEL N, STORE RIGHT HALF IN A(1,N) AND LEFT HALF IN A(2,N)4 SUM=0. A(1,N) = FUN(X(N), H(N))A(2,N) = FUN(X(N) + H(N), H(N))SUM=A(1,N)+A(2,N)CCCCCCCCCC CHECK IF SUM IS EQUAL (WITHIN SPECIFIED TOLERANCES), TO THE INTEGRAL COMPUTED OVER THE ENTIRE REGION. IF CONVERGENCE HAS NOT BEEN OBTAINED, CHECK IF THE MAXIMUM NUMBER OF SUBDIVISIONS HAS BEEN REACHED. IF THE MAXIMUM HAS NOT BEEN REACHED, RESET THE LOWEST X VALUE AND SET ISIDE(N)=1 INDICATING A NEW LEVEL AND RESTART BY COMPUTING THE INTEGRAL IN THE LEFT HALF. IF(ABS(SUM-A(ISIDE(N),N-1))/RELER.LT.ABS(SUM)+ABSER)GO TO 3 IF(N.EQ.NMAX)GO TO 9 N=N+1ISIDE(N)=1  $X(N) = \dot{X}(\dot{N} - 1)$ GÒ TO À CCCCCCCCCC NOW THAT CONVERGENCE HAS BEEN OBTAINED, REPLACE FIRST APPROXIMATION OVER THE DOMAIN WITH SUM AND CHECK IF THIS COMPLETES BOTH HALVES AT THE N-TH LEVEL (I.E. CHECK IF ISIDE(N)=2). IF WE HAVE GONE THROUGH ALL REGIONS (I.E.N=2), EXIT. IF ADDITIONAL LEVELS ARE TO BE COMPUTED (N.GT.2), REPLACE FIRST APPROXIMATION WITH SUM AND MOVE TO A HIGHER LEVEL, (I.E. A LOWER VALUE OF N). 3 A(ISIDE(N), N-1)=SUMIF(ISIDE(N).EQ.1)GO TO 5 IF(N.EQ.2)GO TO 7 6 N=N-1 A(ISIDE(N),N-1)=A(1,N)+A(2,N) IF(ISIDE(N).EQ.2)GO TO 6 C C C MOVE LOWER LIMIT OF DOMAIN TO RIGHT HALF 5 ISIDE(N)=2 $X(N) = \dot{X}(\dot{N} - 1) + H(N - 1)$ GO TO 4 C C C TOO MANY ITERATIONS, SET ERROR FLAG 9 IER=N-1 XL=X(N) XU=X(N)+2.\*H(N) RELER=SUM

C		ABSER=A(ISIDE(N),N-1) RETURN
C		CONVERGENCE OBTAINED
	7 90	<pre>IER=0 ANSWR=A(2,1) IF (ANSWR.EQ.0.) WRITE(1,90) XL,XU,RELER FORMAT(' GAUS2) XL=',1PG15.7,5X,'XU=',G15.7,5X, \$'RELER=',G10.3) RETURN END</pre>

```
SUBROUTINE PEDERV(N,T,Y,PD,NO)

C

C

C

C

C

TO Calculate Jacobian of dQ/dt Array. DUMMY Version!

C

ON ENTRY:

N

N

N

N

Dependent Array (Q in this application)

NO

Actual Dimensioning of PD and Y

C

ON RETURN:

PD

d (dQ/dt) / dQ Matrix in One-Dim Array

C

C

COMMENTS:

C

This is presently intended to be a dummy subroutine in this application

Used only in EPISODE versions of MAEROS ; not adequate if MF=11 or 21

C

If this PEDERV is actually called, program will halt.

C

TYPE 10, T

10 FORMAT(/5X,' Error -- PEDERV was called at time ',1PE10.2/)

TYPE 20

20 FORMAT(' Hence MITER of MF was set equal to one'/)

STOP 'STOP on bad MF to DRIVES for Dummy PEDERV'

END
```

-309-

## APPENDIX A3

## LIST OF DISC CODE

! main program for discrete-sectional model program DISC С С Programmed by Jin Jwang Wu С  $\mathbf{C}$ ~ \*\*\*\* С The structure of this package is based on ESMAP written by С С Dale R. Warren. С С Persons to contact : Richard C. Flagan Hung V. Nguyen С (818) 356-4383 С (818) 356-4410 (818) 356-4807 С David D. Huang (818) 356-4807 California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125. С С С С FEATURES : С C This code was written based on the discrete-sectional model derived by J.J. Wu and R.C. Flagan (1986). It is capable of computing the evolution of the mass concentration and size distribution of an aerosol by С С 0 0 0 considering the kinetics of monomer-monomer, monomer-cluster, monomer-particle, cluster-cluster, cluster-particle, and particle-particle interactions. C C C The processes involved can be selected to include 0 0 0 0 -- only condensation, or -- condensation and coagulation, or -- coagulation, and evaporation with 0000000 -- a constant source rate, or -- an initial burst of monomers, or -- a varying source rate, or -- monomers generated by chemical reactions under -- a constant system temperature, or 0 0 0 -- a decreasing/increasing temperature profile. С The options are specified in the input file (.INP). С C\* С C C SUBROUTINES : ASKFOR -- Provide the required mechanisms and physical 000 properties. С BETA -- Calculate Brownian coagulation coefficient of two С С С particles. CALSIZ -- Classify the sizes of setional regime. 0 0 0 COEF -- Calculate the sectional or discrete-sectional coefficients. С DIFFUN -- Calculate the derivative functions d0/dt. С С С EVAP -- Calculate the evaporation coefficient of a single С particle. С NLIST -- Print the considered mechanisms and the physical С С properties of the condensibel species.

÷.,

С PRINTO -- Print out the size distribution and number С С concentration С ETC. С С  $C^*$ С С DEPENDENT VARIABLES : С Q(l) - Q(MS) -- Mass of particles per unit mass of carrier gas for particles in the sectional regime. С С С С Q(MS+1) -- Mass of vapor per unit mass of carrier gas. С С С Q(MS+2) -- Blank in the present version. С Q(MS+3) - Q(MS+NUMDIS+2) -- Number of particles per unit С mass of carrier gas for particles in the С discrete regime. С С С ! Numerical Constants (RGAS, PI, etc.)
! Sectional Dimensioning (NEMAX, etc.) INCLUDE 'PCONS.INC' INCLUDE 'PARMK.INC' ! Major Dependent Variable Array DIMENSION Q(NEMAX), TOUT(20) ! (Masses) С С CHARACTER\*20 FNAME, CNAME, SNAME ! FileNames: Output and Coefficient CHARACTER\*16 BNAME ! Basic FileName CHARACTER\*20 DISCRETE, NUCL, COND, COAG, COAGD, SILANE, INIT, EVPC, SCONST С LOGICAL BATCH, KNOWCO, ASKME ! Local Variables С INCLUDE 'CHOOSE.INC' INCLUDE 'PHYSPT.INC' ! Set control flags (DOCOAG, etc.) ! COMMON for physical properties ! COMMON for particle sizes INCLUDE 'SIZES.INC' ! COMMON for particle masses ! COMMON T,P set for interpolation ! COMMON for Particle source rates INCLUDE 'XSIZES.INC' INCLUDE 'TPSET.INC' INCLUDE 'PSRATE.INC' INCLUDE 'DEPSIT.INC' INCLUDE 'ROUND.INC' ! COMMON for deposited mass array ! COMMON holding machine UROUND INCLUDE 'PARINT.INC' ! COMMON for integration parameters ! COMMON for gas properties (PSAT) ! COMMON for coefficient index ! COMMON FOR SCOEFAV... INCLUDE 'GAS.INC' INCLUDE 'INDEX.INC' INCLUDE 'AVGCOF.INC' ! Initialize COMMON PHYSPT (MKS) INCLUDE 'APDATA.INC' С COMMON /NUCL1/ SUE,RSCALE,TB,TS,DIMSOR,WEIGHT COMMON /EPCOMY/ YMIN,HMAXMX ! Nucleation COMMON ! COMMON for EPIS DATA UROUND / 5.961E-8 / ! Set for the Caltech 11-780 VAXes DATA RELE / 0.001 / ! Allow 0.1% local error DATA ABSE / 1.E-20 / ! Accurate to 1.E-11 ug/cu.m. (default) DATA KTOL / 8 / ! Control relative error to YMIN, reject <-YMIN DATA MFEPI / 20 / ! For stiff systems, avoids finding Jacobian DATA H0 / 1.E-25 / ! Initial time step for integration DATA YMIN /1.E-17 ! YMIN for episode drive DATA CT1P1,CT2P1 /NCMAX\*0., NCMAX\*0./ DATA DELDEP /-9./ ! NO DEPOSITION DATA KC / 1 / ! One component DATA DIFFUS /4.704E-6/ ! Diffusivity m\*m/sec DATA PGAS1,PGAS2 / 1.01E5,7.09E5 / ! Default pressure values С

-312-

DATA IPRNT / 1 / DATA KNOWCO / .TRUE. / DATA BATCH / .TRUE. / DATA ASKME / .TRUE. / Set to print to file # 1 ١ Flag TRUE if COEFAV taken from file Flag TRUE if no interactive I/O 1 1 ! Flag TRUE if user asked for parameters С С C\*\*\* BEGIN BY ALLOWING REVISION OF PARAMETERS С С type 1000 1000 format(' begin by allowing revision of parameters') С CALL ASKFOR(MS, NUMDIS, DISCRETE, DPMIN, DPMAX, NUCL, COND, COAG, COAGD, SILANE, INIT, EVPC, SCONST, SC, QINIT, KK, PSIH40, TGAS1, TGAS2, DTF, NEWCOF, DENSTY, Q1, PSAT, GASMW, \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ \$ CONMW, SURTEN, RATEG, RKA, CAO, BNAME, CNAME, SNAME, ASKME, BATCH) С IF (NUCL.EQ.'N') DONUCL=.FALSE. IF (COND.EQ.'N') DOCOND=.FALSE. IF (COAG.EQ.'N') DOCOAG=.FALSE. IF (COAGD.EQ.'N') DOCOAGD=.FALSE. IF (SILANE.EQ.'N') DOCOAGD=.FALSE. IF (INIT.EQ.'N') DOINIT=.FALSE. IF (EVPC.EQ.'N') DOEVAP=.FALSE. IF (SCONST.EQ.'N') DOSCONST=.FALSE. С IF (DISCRETE.EQ.'N') GO TO 1001 C dpmin=((6./(3.1416\*6.023E26))\*conmw/densty\*(numdis+0.5))\*\*0.3333
dpmax=dpmin\*5000. С 1001 CONTINUE С С C\*\*\* OPEN DATA FILES С С type 2000 2000 format(' open data files') С IF (len(bname).gt.0.and.BNAME.NE.'N') THEN IF (DEBUGJ) THEN FNAME=BNAME//'.DJ' OPEN (UNIT=11,FILE=FNAME,STATUS='NEW') ENDIF FNAME=BNAME//'.EPI' OPEN (UNIT=3,FILE=FNAME,STATUS='NEW') FNAME=BNAME//'.NEG' OPEN (UNIT=4,FILE=FNAME,STATUS='NEW') FNAME=BNAME//'.OUT' ! This is the Output File Name END IF С IF (IPRNT.NE.6) THEN OPEN (UNIT=IPRNT, FILE=FNAME, STATUS='NEW') ENDIF С IF (CNAME.EQ.'N') KNOWCO=.FALSE. С C C\*\*\* CALCULATE SECTIONAL PARTICLE SIZE RANGES  $\mathbf{C}$ С type 3000 3000 format(' calculate sectional particle size ranges') С

-313-

CALL CALSIZ(DPMIN, DPMAX) ! Calculate DS, VS, XS, DEL sectional size arrays С DIN=DPMIN ! Nucleation of particles into smallest size С tgas=tgasl pgas=pgas1 call setgas(tgas,pgas) С С C\*\*\* INITIALIZE SECTIONAL MASSES TO ZERO С С type 4000 4000 format(' initialize sectional masses to zero') C NQMK=MS\*KC ! Number of Aerosol Sections by component, size Q Subscript for Vapor Mass Concentration Q Subscript for Nucleated Mass (to DIN) NQV=NQMK+1 1 NÕN=NÕMK+2 I. neg=ngn+numdis ! Initialize All Sections DO[I=1, Neq+3]O(I) = 0. ! Initialize to No Mass PSRATE(I)=ZERO ! Initialize to No Source Rate END DO С q(nqn+1)=ql/denair if (SI) then ! monomer concentration #/kg q(neq+1)=psih40 ! initial silane in pascal q(neq+2)=q(nqn+1)\*rgas\*tgas1\*denair/6.023e26 ! initial silicon (pas) else psih40=0. end if С q(nqv)=q(nqn+1)\*conmw/6.023e23\*1.e-3 ! kg/kg q(neq+3)=tgasl 1 Κ qvap=q(nqv)\*denair 1 kg/Cu.m. sri=qvap\*rgas\*tgas1/(conmw\*psat) ! initial saturation ratio С IF (DOSCONST) THEN QVAP=SC\*CONMW\*PSAT/(RGAS\*TGAS1) ! kg/Cu.m.  $\overline{Q}(NQV) = QVAP / DENAIR$ ! kg/kg  $\tilde{Q}(N\tilde{Q}N+1)=Q(NQV)+6.023E26/CONMW$ ! monomer concentration /kg ENDIF С С C\*\*\* SET INITIAL SECTIONAL MASS DISTRIBUTION С С type 5000 5000 format(' set initial sectional masses distribution') С MDIV=MS/9 С IF (DOINIT) THEN С DÒ I=MDIV+1,2\*MDIV C Q(I\*KC-KC+1)=(QINIT/DENAIR)/MDIV ! STEP FUNCTION MASS DENSITY DIST. С END DO С С END IF С С IF (DOINIT) THEN Q(KK)=QINIT/DENAIR ! Monodisperse Initial Aerosol END IF С С SET VARIOUS PARAMETERS C\*\*\* С С

type 6000 6000 format(' set various parameters') С TIME=ZERO ! Start at time zero ! First Call to this Problem for DRIVES (Integrator) INDEX=1 NEWCOF=2 ! USE TGAS1 AND TGAS2 ONLY C С PRINT MESSAGE ON INTEGRATION METHOD C\*\*\* С С type 7000 7000 format(' print message on integration method') С CALL PRINFO(IPRNT, 'EPISODE ') С С C\*\*\* HANDLE COEFFICIENT FILE(S) С С type 8000 8000 format(' handle coefficient files') С IF (KNOWCO) THEN IODIR=1. ! Flag to Get from File CALL STORE(IODIR, NEWCOF, TGAS, PGAS, IPRNT, SNAME) IF (IODIR.GE.O.) THEN ! File matche File matches NEWCOF=-IABS(NÉWCOF) ! Since know COEFAV already CALL PUTCOF(1) ! Save COEFAV in CTIPI WRITE(IPRNT,900) SNAME ! Note source of COEFAV FORMAT(/' \*\*\*\* USING COEFFICIENTS FROM FILE ',A20,' \*\*\*\*'/) C 900 ELSE KNOWCO=.FALSE. ! Coefficient File Doesn't Match END IF END IF С С C\*\*\* SET UP NUCLEATION COMMON AND PRINT OUT SUMMARY С С type 9000 format(' set up nucleation common and print out summary') 9000 С CALL PRESET(TGAS, PGAS, RATEG) ! Set /NUCL0/ for J ! Smallest Section Diameter in cm DINCM=100.\*DIN CALL JSET(DINCM) ! Set /NUCL1/, /NUCL2/, /NUCL3/ for J CALL NLIST(IPRNT) IF (IPRNT.NE.6) CALL NLIST(6) С С C\*\*\* SELECT OUTPUT TIMES (May scale to TS, or TB) С С type 10000 10000 format(' select output times') C NTIME=8 ! Maximum Episode Time Step Size (Seconds) hmaxmx=0.1 IPFLAG=1 С TOUT(0)=0. TOUT(1)=1.E-2TOUT(2)=1.E-1 TOUT(3)=1. TOUT(4) = 5. TOUT(5)=10.

-315-

TOUT(6)=20.TOUT(7)=50. TOUT(8) = 100.С С C\*\*\* PRINT OUT INITIAL SIZE DISTRIBUTION С č type 11000 11000 format(' print out initial size distribution') С 80 CALL PRINTO(Q,TIME,VOLUME, IPFLAG, IPRNT) С С C\*\*\* DO TIME INTEGRATION OF SECTIONAL AEROSOL GROWTH С С type 12000 12000 format(' do time integration of sectional aerosol growth') С stepio=0.5 IPFLAG=5 С do itime=1,ntime do subint=stepio, l., stepio С IF (SI) THEN IF (Q(NEQ+1).LE.0.01\*PSIH40) Q(NEQ+1)=0. END IF С toutl=(l.-subint)\*tout(itime-l)+subint\*tout(itime) TGAS=Q(NEQ+3)deltim=toutl-time call maeros(time,deltim,q,tgas,pgas,iprnt,index,newcof) С end do if (itime.eq.l.and..NOT.KNOWCO) then С IODIR=0 ! Flag set to Write Coefficients to File С CALL STORE (IODIR, NEWCOF, TGAS, PGAS, IPRNT, SNAME) KNOWCO=.TRUE. ! ASCII Coefficient File has been saved ASAP ENDIF С CALL PRINTO(Q, TIME, VOLUME, IPFLAG, IPRNT) С end do С С C\*\*\* DONE WITH CALCULATIONS AND PRINTOUT С С type 13000 format(' done with calculations and printout') 13000 С CLOSE (IPRNT) STOP 'MULTICOMPONENT AEROSOL (EPI) PROGRAM FINISHED' END

SUBROUTINE ASKFOR(MS,NUMDIS,DISCRETE,DPMIN,DPMAX,NUCL,COND, COAG,COAGD,SILANE,INIT,EVPC,SCONST,SC,QINIT,KK,PSIH40, TGAS1,TGAS2,DTF,NEWCOF,DENSTY,Q1,PSAT,GASMW,CONMW,SURTEN, \$ RATEG, RKA, CAO, BNAME, CNAME, SNAME, ASKME, BATCH) PURPOSE: To allow specification of certain simulation parameters and data after linking program. ON ENTRY: Number of sections. Number of discrete sizes. Inlcude discrete regime ? MSNUMDIS DISCRETE DPMIN Lower limit of sectional regime w/o discrete, meter. Upper limit of sectional regime w/o discrete, meter. These values have no meaning when DISCRETE is Y. DPMAX NUCL Include nucleation ? Include nucleation ? Include condensation (with monomer and sections) ? If condesnation is included, the aerosol spectrum consists only of the monomer and sectional regime. Include coagulation in sectional regime ? Include coagulation in discrete regime ? Include silane reactions ? Include initial aerosol ? Include evaporation ? COND COAG COAGD SILANE INIT EVAP Use constant saturation condition ? Value of constant suraturation ratio. This value has no meaning if SCONST is N. Mass load of initial aerosol, kg/cu.m. Section to which initial aerosol belongs? SCONST SC QINIT KK Initial silane partial pressure, pascal. Initial system temperature, K. Final system temperature, K. PSIH40 TGAS1 TGAS2 This value has no meaning when DTF is zero. System temperature gradient, K/sec. See documents in MAEROS. DTF NEWCOF Density of condensible species, Kg/cu.m. Initial monomer concentration, /cu.m. Saturation vapor pressure, pascal. DENSTY Q1 PSAT Carrier gas molecular weight, gm/mole. Condensible species molecular weight, gm/mole. Surface tension over flat surface, Nt/m. Constant input rate of monomer, kg/cu.m./sec. GASMW CONMW SURTEN RATEG Ist order reaction rate constant, /sec. Initial reactant concentration, mole/cc. Basic FILENAME of Run RKA CA0 BNAME Existing Coefficient FILENAME ('N' for none) New Coefficient FILENAME (only if needed, 'N'= none) Local control flag to accept input (if TRUE) Local control flag to type prompts (if TRUE) CNAME SNAME ASKME BATCH ON RETURN: Variables may be set to new value. COMMENTS: Input will default to compiled value. С Ĉ CHARACTER\*16 BNAME CHARACTER\*20 CNAME, SNAME, DISCRETE, NUCL, COND, COAG, COAGD, SILANE, INIT, EVPC, SCONST LOGICAL ASKME, BATCH, ASK

С

-317-

C IF (.NOT.ASKME) THEN **TÝPE 900** 900 FORMAT(/5X, 'PROGRAM NOT USING PARAMETER FILE'/) RETURN END IF С ! TRUE if Interactive Job ASK=(.NOT.BATCH) С IF (ASK) TYPE 110, MS 110 FORMAT('\$Enter MS (5-36 Sections) [',I3,'] : ') ACCEPT 202, MS С if (ASK) type 115
format('\$enter numdis (number of discrete points) : ')
accept 202,NUMDIS 115 С IF (ASK) TYPE 101 FORMAT('\$WANT TO INCLUDE DISCRETE PART :') ACCEPT 404,DISCRETE 101 С IF (ASK) TYPE 114 FORMAT('\$ENTER DPMIN AND DPMAX (METER) :') ACCEPT 315,DPMIN,DPMAX 114 if (ASK) type 117
format('\$Do you want to include nucleation?(y)')
accept 404,NUCL 117 C 404 format (Al) С if (ASK) type 118 format('\$Do you want to include condensation?(y)') accept 404,COND 118 С if (ASK) type 119 format('\$Do you want to include coagulation for sections?(y)') accept 404,COAG 119 С if (ASK) type 120
format('\$Do you want to include discrete region?(y)')
accept 404,COAGD 120 С if (ASK) type 1200 format('\$Do you want to include silane decomposition?(y)') accept 404,SILANE 1200 С if (ASK) type 121 format('\$Do you want to include initial mass in sections?(y)') accept 404,INIT 121 C if (ASK) type 1201 format('\$Do you want to include evaporation ?(y)') accept 404,EVPC 1201 С if (ASK) type 1204
format('\$USE CONSTANT SUPERSATURATION ?(Y)')
accept 404,SCONST 1204 С IF (ASK) TYPE 1206 FORMAT('\$CONSTANT SUPERSATURATION RATIO :') ACCEPT 315,SC 1206 С if (ASK) type 1121 format('\$initial mass of aerosols in kg/cu.m. :') accept 315,QINIT 1121

С if (ASK) type 1221 format('\$peak of the inital mass of aerosols :') accept 202,KK 1221 С if (ASK) type 1321
format('\$initial silane partial pressure in pas :')
accept 315,PSIH40 1321 С if (ASK) type 1421
format('\$initial temperature in k :')
accept 315,TGAS1 1421 С if (ASK) type 1471
format('\$end temperature in K :')
accept 315,TGAS2 1471 С if (ASK) type 1521
format('\$temperature gradient in k/sec :')
accept 315,DTF 1521 С if (ASK) type 116
format('\$enter newcof :')
accept 202,NEWCOF 116 С if (ASK) type 149
format('\$enter densty :')
accept 315,DENSTY 149 С if (ASK) type 159
format('\$enter ql :')
accept 315,Ql 159 С IF (ASK) TYPE 161 FORMAT('SENTER PSAT :') ACCEPT 315,PSAT 161 С IF (ASK) TYPE 163 FORMAT('\$ENTER GASMW :') ACCEPT 315,GASMW 163 С if (ASK) type 169
format('\$enter conmw :')
accept 315,CONMW 169 С if (ASK) type 140,SURTEN format('\$surface tension in Nt/m') accept 315,SURTEN 140 315 FORMAT(2G15.7) C IF (ASK) TYPE 130, RATEG
130 FORMAT('\$Enter RATEG (kg/sec/cu.m.) (-1. S.S.) [',
 \$ 1PE10.3,'] : ')
 ACCEPT 315, DUMMY IF (DUMMY.NE.O.) RATEG=DUMMY IF (DUMMY.LT.-1.) RATEG=0. ! Need Zeroing Option С IF (ASK) TYPE 415, RKA FORMAT('\$ENTER KA: RXN RATE IN /SEC :') ACCEPT 315, RKA 415 С IF (ASK) TYPE 515, CA0 FORMAT('\$ENTER CA0: INITIAL CONC. IN MOL/CC :') ACCEPT 315,CA0 515 С

```
IF (ASK) TYPE 800
800 FORMAT('SEnter Identifying File Name : ')
ACCEPT 400, BNAME
400 FORMAT(A)
C
IF (ASK) TYPE 810
810 FORMAT('SEnter Coefficient Input File Name ? ')
815 ACCEPT 400, CNAME
C
IF (ASK) TYPE 820
820 FORMAT('SEnter Coefficient Output File Name : ')
ACCEPT 400, SNAME
202 format(I4)
C
C
RETURN
END
```

-320-

FUNCTION BETA(Y, X, TGAS, PGAS, NBTYPE) \*\*\*\*\*\*\* PURPOSE: To Calculate the Coagulation Coefficient. In addition to simple Brownian motion, gravity and turbulence are included mechanisms, with additivity assumed. ON ENTRY: Log Mass of first particle [ln(kg)] Log Mass of second particle [ln(kg)] Gas Temperature [K] Υ Х TGAS Gas Pressure, Total [Pa] Type of Coefficient Needed PGAS NBTYPE Background Gas Density [kg/cu.m] Background Gas Mean Free Path [m] /GAS/ DENAIR |] || FREEMP VISCOS Background Gas Viscosity ON RETURN: Coagulation Coefficient BETA LOCAL VARIABLES: V,U Particle Masses (of X and Y) [kg] Particle Diameters (of X and Y) [m] DX, DY COMMENTS: MMENTS: Note BETA is a symmetric function in X and Y, BEFORE it is sectionalized. NBTYPE = 4,5 retain this symmetry. REFERENCES: FUCHS,N.A. 'MECHANICS OF AEROSOLS', 291-294, PERGAMON (1964). GIESEKE,J.A., LEE,K.W. AND REED,L.D., 'HAARM-3 USERS MANUAL', BMI-NUREG-1991 (1978). DRAKE,R.L. 'A GENERAL MATHEMATICAL SURVEY OF THE COAGULATION EQUATION,' IN TOPICS IN CURRENT AEROSOL RESEARCH BY HIDY,G.M. AND BROCK, J.R. (EDS.) VOL.3 PERGAMON, N.Y. 1972. INCLUDE 'PCONS.INC' INCLUDE 'PHYSPT.INC' ! Numerical Constants
! Physical Properties INCLUDE 'GAS.INC' ! Gas Properties U=EXP(Y)V=EXP(X)! Mass of First Particle ! Mass of Second Particle DX=ZERO DY=ZERO CALL RHODD(V, DX, RHOX) ! Calculate Particle Diameters CALL RHODD(U, DY, RHOY) C C\*\*\* C\*\*\* C AIR VISCOSITY, DENSITY, MEAN FREE PATH HELD IN /GAS/ DOUBLECHECK TEMPERATURE & PRESSURE ARE CONSISTENT IF (TGAS.NE.TEMP.OR.PGAS.NE.PRES) THEN IF (TGAS.NE.TEMP) TYPE 21, TEMP, TGAS FORMAT(/' WARNING: /GAS/ TEMP =',F7.1,' while TGAS=',F7.1 /) IF (PGAS.NE.PRES) TYPE 22, PRES,PGAS FORMAT(/' WARNING: /GAS/ PRES =',1PE9.2,' while PGAS=',E9.2 /) CALL SETGAS(TGAS,PGAS) 21 22 END IF С ! Knudsen Number (X in air) ! Knudsen Number (Y in air) AKX=2.\*FREEMP/DX AKY=2.\*FREEMP/DY BMOBLX=1.+AKX\*(FSLIP+.4\*EXP(-1.1/AKX)) BMOBLY=1.+AKY\*(FSLIP+.4\*EXP(-1.1/AKX)) C CHI=DYNAMIC SHAPE FACTOR ; GAMMA=AGGLOMERATION SHAPE FACTOR

-321-

FCHIX=CHI FCHIY=CHI FGAMX=GAMMA FGAMY=GAMMA DSUM=FGAMX\*DX+FGAMY\*DY VABDIF=.54444\*ABS(RHOX\*DX\*DX\*BMOBLX/FCHIX-RHOY\*DY\*DY\*BMOBLY/FCHIY) > /VISCOS DIFX=1.4642E-24\*TGAS\*BMOBLX/(DX\*FCHIX\*VISCOS) DIFY=1.4642E-24\*TGAS\*BMOBLY/(DY\*FCHIY\*VISCOS) \$ BROWNIAN COAGULATION COEFFICIENT VXSPED=SQRT(3.51E-23\*TGAS/V) VYSPED=SQRT(3.51E-23\*TGAS/U) VMEAN=SQRT(VXSPED\*VXSPED+VYSPED\*VYSPED) AMX=2.5465\*DIFX/VXSPED AMY=2.5465\*DIFY/VYSPED GX=((DX+AMX)\*\*3-(DX\*DX+AMX\*AMX)\*\*1.5)/(3.\*DX\*AMX)-DX GY=((DY+AMY)\*\*3-(DY\*DY+AMY\*AMY)\*\*1.5)/(3.\*DY\*AMY)-DY GMEAN=SQRT(GX\*GX+GY\*GY) BETA=DX+DY BETA=2.\*PI\*(DIFX+DIFY)\*DSUM/(BETA/(BETA+2.\*GMEAN) + \$ 8.\*(DIFX+DIFY)/(VMEAN\*BETA\*STICK)) ADD GRAVITATIONAL COAGULATION COLEFF=1.5\*(AMIN1(DX, DY)/(DX+DY))\*\*2BETA=BETA+.7854\*STICK\*DŚUM\*DSUM\*VABDIF\*COLEFF ADD TURBULENT COAGULATION TURB1=.1618\*SQRT(TURBDS\*DENAIR/VISCOS)\*DSUM\*DSUM\*DSUM TURB2=.074\*VABDIF\*DSUM\*DSUM\*SQRT(SQRT(DENAIR\*TURBDS\* TURBDS\*TURBDS/VISCOS)) BETA=BETA+STICK\*SQRT(TURB1\*TURB1+TURB2\*TURB2) INTERNAL CHECK FOR ERROR IF (BETA.EQ.ZERO) THEN TYPE 90, BETA,U,V,NBTYPE FORMAT(' BETA=',1PE10.3,5X,'U=',E10.3,5X,'V=',E10.3, 5X,'NBTYPE=',12) STOP 'BETA=0. SHOULD NOT HAVE OCCURRED' 90 \$ END IF C C\*\*\* CONVERT TO MASS SECTIONALIZED BETA C\*\*\* C\*\*\* THESE LINES MUST ALWAYS BE INCLUDED IN CODE, REGARDLESS OF THE FUNCTIONAL FORM OF BETA. GO TO (2,1,2,3,3,1,5,4), NBTYPE 1 BETA=BÈTA/V RETURN 2 BETA=BETA/U RETURN ! Note /(U\*V) leads to divide by zero 3 BETA=BETA\*(U+V)/U/V RETURN 4 beta=beta\*(u+v)/u 5 return END

C C C

С

FUNCTION BETCAL(X, RELER, ABSER, ROUND, IPRNT, FIXSZ, BASESZ, INNER, Ś TGAS, PGAS, NBTYPE) С PURPOSE: To Calculate the Inner Integral of the Sectional Coagulation Coefficients. ON ENTRY: Outer Integral Size Value [log10(mass)] Relative Error Tolerance for Sectional Integral х RELER Absolute Error Tolerance for Sectional Integral ABSER Unit Round-Off Error (largest X that 1.+X=1.) Logical Unit Number for Output Device or File ROUND IPRNT Size Limit for Inner Integral Size Limit for Inner Integral Flag (0,1,2) for Type of Sectional Coefficient: FIXSZ BASESZ INNER Inner Integral Has Following Range (where z=exp(x)): INNER=0 : BASESZ to FIXSZ INNER=1 : log(BASESZ-z) to FIXSZ INNER=2 FIXSZ to log(BASESZ-z) Gas Temperature [K] Gas Pressure [Pa] TGAS PGAS NBTYPE Type of Sectional Coefficient ON RETURN: BETCAL Inner Integral COMMENTS: None. INCLUDE 'INDEX.INC' С EXTERNAL BETA C C\*\*\* C USE INNER TO SET LIMITS ON INNER INTEGRAL IF (INNER.EQ.0) THEN YU=FIXSZ YL=BASESZ ELSE IF (INNER.EQ.1) THEN YU=FIXSZ YL=ALOG(BASESZ-EXP(X)) ELSE YU=ALOG(BASESZ-EXP(X)) YL=FIXSŻ END IF C C\*\*\* C Need Alternate Inner Integral Evaluation if Endpoints Converge IF (INNER.EQ.1) THEN ETEST=ABS(YU-YL)/(ABS(YU)+ABS(YL)) END IF С IF (INNER.EQ.1 .AND. ETEST.LT.500.\*ROUND) THEN C C\*\*\* C Use 2nd Order Taylor Expansion -DRW DELVL=EXP(X)/BASESZ YMEAN=0.5\*(YU+YL) ANSWR=(DELVL+0.5\*DELVL\*DELVL)\*BETA(YMEAN,X,TGAS,PGAS,NBTYPE) ELSE С

! YL & YU set properly now IER=1 ABE=ABSER\*ABSER REL=.5\*RELER CALL GAUS2(BETA,YL,YU,REL,ABE,ROUND,ANSWR,IER,X,TGAS,PGAS,NBTYPE) END IF С BETCAL=ANSWR С IF (BETCAL.EQ.0.) WRITE (IPRNT,80) YL,YU,NBTYPE,INNER FORMAT(' BETCAL) YL=',1PG15.7,5X,'YU=',G15.7,5X, 'NBTYPE=',I2,5X,'INNER=',I2) 80 Ś С Č\*\*\* C TRY TO CONTINUE EVEN IF INTEGRAL ESTIMATOR FAILS IF (IER.NE.O) THEN ! Trouble С WRITE(IPRNT,4) INNER,NBTYPE,IER,X,YL,YU
4 FORMAT('INNER=',I3,'INTEGRATION ERROR, NBTYPE =',I3,3X,'IER=',
\$ I3 /' OUTER VARIABLE=',IPE15.7,' INNER DOMAIN=',2E15.7)
DELVL=EXP(X)/BASESZ
YMEAN=0.5\*(YU+YL)
ANSWR=(DELVL+0.5\*DELVL\*DELVL)\*BETA(YMEAN,X,TGAS,PGAS,NBTYPE)
ETEST2=ABS(YU-YL)/(ABS(YU)+ABS(YL))
WRITE(IPRNT,14) ANSWR,ETEST,ETEST2,ROUND,DELVL
14 FORMAT('ANSWR=',IPE12.5,' For ETEST=',2E12.3,' ROUND=',E12.5/
\$ 'Will Continue if DELVL of',E11.3,' < .01')</pre> 0000000 THE CALCULATION IS FORCED TO CONTINUE EVEN IT DOES NOT MEET THE REQUIREMENT, TO SEE TEH DEVIATION . IF (DELVL.GT.0.01) STOP BETCAL=ANSWR END IF С RETURN END

-324-

SUBROUTINE CALCON(QT, QVAP, SR, CONRAT, Z) С PURPOSE: To Calculate the Total Rate of Condensation (excluding Kelvin Effect) and the Saturation Ratio. ON ENTRY: OT (MMAX) Total Mass in Each Size Section [kg/cu.m] Vapor Mass Concentration [kg/cu.m] QVAP AVGCOF/COEFAV Array of Sectional Coefficients Reference SuperSaturation (for COEFAV) [-] Generation Rate of Condensible [kg/cu.m./sec] /CONDNS/DELSAT RATEG // /GAS/ TEMP Temperature PSAT Vapor Pressure [Pa] / /FLAGS/ Simulation Flags set ON RETURN: Saturation Ratio of Condensible Species SR Total Condensation Rate (no Kelvin) [kg/cu.m/sec] Condensation Scaling Factor = (SR-1)/DELSAT CONRAT 7 COMMENTS: This: This routine must return Z and CONRAT under several different possible constraints, such as fixed SR or fixed CONRAT. The Kelvin Effect is (optionally) handled properly at latter stages of the calculations, and supersedes Z calculation. If SR<1., CONRAT=ZERO is returned. (Doesn't evaluate evaporation.) INCLUDE 'PARMK.INC' Dimensioning 'PCONS.INC' Numerical Constants (RGAS,ZERO) COMMON for Sectional Coefficients INCLUDE t INCLUDE 'AVGCOF.INC' INCLUDE 'FLAGS.INC' COMMON for Simulation Flags ! COMMON for Gas Properties ! DELSAT for scaling INCLUDE 'GAS.INC COMMON /CONDNS/ DELSAT include 'index.inc' ! Number of size sections С DIMENSION QT(MMAX) ! Total Mass per Size Section DATA NNEG / 0 / ! Counter for Warnings (Negative Mass) С С С Z=ZERO CONRAT=ZERO IF (.NOT.DOCOND) RETURN ! No Condensation C C\*\*\* C SUM FOR TOTAL MASS CONDENSING, WATCHING NEGATIVE TERMS CCSUM=ZERO CCBAD=ZERO С С С С С DO I=1,MS ! Sum over all sizes IF (QT(I).GT.ZERO) THEN CCSUM=CCSUM+COEFAV(NGROW+I)\*QT(I) ! CCSUM is Total Condensation Rate ELSE CCBAD=CCBAD+COEFAV(NGROW+1)\*QT(I) ! Error due to negative QT END IF END DO С

-325-

с С С\*\*\* С CHECK FOR TROUBLE WITH EXCESSIVE NEGATIVE MASS TERMS IF (-CCBAD.GE.CCSUM.AND.CCBAD.LT.ZERO) THEN С NNEG=NNEG+1 SR=SRATIO(QVAP) SR=SRATIO(QVAP) IF (NNEG.LE.11) WRITE(13,99) NNEG,SR ! Extremely Unpromising 99 FORMAT(/' DIRE WARNING - NEGATIVE CCSUM IN CALCON #',15, \$ ' with SR=',1PE10.2/) IF (NNEG.GE.500) STOP 'STOPPING ON 500 NEGATIVE CCSUMS' RETURN ! But it may be hopeless, but Return with no condensation NULL ENDIF C C\*\*\* C C IS SATURATION RATIO KNOWN A PRIORI? IF (RATEG.LT.0.) THEN Z=ONE! Known PP of vapor ! No scaling necessary CONRAT=CCSUM SR=ONE+DELSAT ! DELSAT is current (and fixed) RETURN END IF C C\*\*\* C c USE VAPOR PHASE DIFFERENTIAL EQUATION (USUAL CASE) SR=SRATIO(QVAP) Z=(SR-ONE)/DELSAT IF (NOEVAP.AND.Z.LE.ZERO) Z=ZERO CONRAT=Z\*CCSUM Calculate Saturation Ratio 1 Z scales con coef for true Delsat 1 ! May supress Condensation ! Net Condensation (without Kelvin eff) ! Negative CONRAT is ambiguous IF (CONRAT.LT.ZERO) CONRAT=ZERO С С RETURN END

```
SUBROUTINE CALSIZ(DPMIN, DPMAX)
С
              *
   PURPOSE:
        To Calculate Sectional Size Boundaries
   ON ENTRY:
        DPMIN
                                  Smallest Sectional Particle Diameter [m]
                                  Largest Sectional Particle Diameter [m]
        DPMAX
        /INDEX/ MS
                                  Number of Size Sections
   ON RETURN:
        /SIZES/ DS(MMAX1)
                                  Sectional Particle Diameter [m]
Sectional Particle Mass [kg]
Sectional Log (Particle Mass)
        11
                 VS(MMAX1)
        /XSIZES/XS(MMAX1)
                 DEL(MMAX)
                                  Sectional Range in log(mass): XS(I-1)-XS(I)
         11
   COMMENTS:
        Generates Geometically-Evenly Spaces Sections, so DEL is constant.
        This is a convenient situation, but not necessary.
   Ĉ
      INCLUDE 'PARMK.INC'
INCLUDE 'PCONS.INC'
                                           ! Dimensioning
                                           ! Numerical Constants
! Number of Size Sections
! COMMON for Diams, Masses
! COMMON for XS,DEL
      COMMON /INDEX/ MS
INCLUDE 'SIZES.INC'
INCLUDE 'XSIZES.INC'
С
      MS1=MS+1
      DS(1)=DPMIN
DS(MS1)=DPMAX
                                   ! Suggested: 30 Angstroms
                                   ! Suggested: 3 Microns
С
        D I=2,MS ! Geometrically Equally Spaced Sections
DS(I)=DS(1)*(DS(MS+1)/DS(1))**(FLOAT(I-1)/FLOAT(MS))
      DO I=2,MS
      END DO
С
      DO I=1,MS1
        VS(I) = ZERO
                          ! Tell RHODD to calculate Mass from Diameter
        CALL RHODD(VS(I), DS(I), RHO)
                                  ! Calculate Logs of Sectional Particle Mass
        XS(I) = ALOG(VS(I))
      END DO
С
        DO L=1,MS
      END DO
С
      RETURN
      END
```

-327-

SUBROUTINE CHECKE(TIME, DELTIM, Q, TGAS, PGAS, IPRNT, IFLAG, NEWCOF) INCLUDE 'PARMK.INC' INCLUDE 'PCONS.INC' COMMON /INDEX/ MS,KC INCLUDE 'SIZES.INC' INCLUDE 'TPSET.INC' ! Dimensioning Numerical Constants
Number of Size Sections and Components
COMMON for Sectional Sizes
COMMON for T,P set for interpolation
COMMON for Simulation Flags INCLUDE 'FLAGS.INC' DIMENSION Q(NEMAX) DATA JONCE / 0 / С ISTOP=0 ! Start with Flag O.K. С IF (MS.LT.5.OR.MS.GT.MMAX) THEN ISTOP=1 WRITE(IPRNT,2) MMAX DRMAT(' --NUMBER OF SECTIONS MUST BE FROM 5 TO',13) FORMAT( 2 END IF С IF (KC.LT.1.OR.KC.GT.8) THEN ISTOP=1 WRITE(IPRNT,4) FORMAT( --NUMBÉR OF COMPONENTS MUST BE FROM 1 TO 8') 4 END IF С IF (DELTIM.LE.ZERO) THEN ISTOP=1 WRITE(IPRNT,6) FORMAT(' --TIME STEP MUST BE POSITIVE') 6 END IF С IF (TGAS1.GE.TGAS2) THEN ISTOP=1 WRITE(IPRNT,8) FORMAT(' --TEMPE 8 --TEMPÉRATURE RANGE MUST BE POSITIVE') END IF С IF (PGAS1.GE.PGAS2) THEN ISTOP=1 С С WRITE(IPRNT,10) DRMAT(' -- PRESSURE RANGE MUST BE POSITIVE') С **c**0 FORMAT ( C C END IF IF (ROUND.GT.1.0) THEN ISTOP=1 WRITE(IPRNT,12) FORMAT(' -- ROUNDO 12 --ROUNDOFF ERROR MUST BE LESS THAN ONE')

## -328-

END IF С IF ((IFLAG.LT.-1 .OR. IFLAG.GT.3) .AND. IFLAG.NE.7) THEN
ISTOP=1 WRITE(IPRNT, 14) IFLAG FORMAT( --IFLAG TO EP MAEROS MUST BE -1 thru 3, not', I3) 14 END IF С IF (IABS(NEWCOF).GT.15) THEN ISTOP=1 WRITE(IPRNT,16) FORMAT(' -- INVALI -- INVALÍD NEWCOF TO MAEROS') 16 END IF С DO I=1,MS IF (DS(I).LE.ZERO) THEN ISTOP=1 WRITE(IPRNT,18) I FORMAT(' -- PARTICLE DIAMETER AT LOWER BOUNDARY OF SECTION', 14, ' MUST BE POSITIVE') 18 \$ END IF IF (DS(I).GE.DS(I+1)) THEN ISTOP=1 WRITE(IPRNT, 20) FORMAT(' -- PARTICLÉ DIAMETERS MUST BE IN ASCENDING ORDER') 20 END ÌF END DO С DO I=1,MS IF (VS(I+1).LT.2.\*VS(I)) THEN IF (DOCOAG) ISTOP=1 ! Will allow if no coagulation. IF (VS(1+1).E1.2..VS(1), INEX IF (DOCOAG) ISTOP=1 ! Will allow if no coagula IF (JONCE.EQ.0) WRITE(IPRNT,22) I FORMAT(' --PARTICLE DIAMETER NUMBER',14 ' DOES NOT SATISFY THE GEOMETRIC CONSTRAINT') 22 Ś JONCE=1 END IF END DO С C X=ALOG(VS(1)) Y=ALOG(VS(MS+1)) F1=BETA(Y,X,TGAS,PGAS,4) F2=BETA(X,Y,TGAS,PGAS,4) IF (ABS(F1-F2)\*1.E4.GT.ÁBS(F1)) THEN ! Note Beta=0. is allowed ISTOP=1 WRITE(IPRNT, 24) --BETA ROUTINE IS NOT SYMMETRIC') 24 FORMAT( END IF С IF (F1.LT.ZERO.OR.F2.LT.ZERO) THEN ISTOP=1 WRITE(IPRNT, 26) --BETA ROUTINE IS NOT POSITIVE') FORMAT( 26 END IF С IF (ISTOP.NE.0) THEN WRITE(IPRNT, 28) FORMAT(' -- CHECK TERMINATING RUN DUE TO INVALID INPUT TO MAEROS') STOP 'STOPPING DUE TO CHECK' 28 END IF С RETURN END

```
SUBROUTINE COEF (NEWCOF, TGAS, PGAS, IPRNT)
        INCLUDE 'PARMK.INC'
INCLUDE 'PCONS.INC'
                                                  ! Dimensioning
                                                    Numerical Constants
                 'PHYSPT.INC'
'AVGCOF.INC'
'INDEX.INC'
'SIZES.INC'
        INCLUDE
                                                  1
                                                    COMMON for Physical Properties
                                                  ! COMMON for Sectional Coefficients
! COMMON for Coefficient Pointers
        INCLUDE
        INCLUDE
                                                 ! COMMON for Sectional Diam, Mass
! COMMON for Sectional XS,DEL
! COMMON for Simulation Flags
        INCLUDE
        INCLUDE
                  'XSIZES.INC'
                  'FLAGS.INC'
        INCLUDE
                  'ROUND.INC'
                                                  ! COMMON for UROUND
        INCLUDE
        include 'qas.inc'
С
        EXTERNAL BETCAL, beta, evap
С
        CALL SETGAS(TGAS, PGAS)
                                                ! Set Gas Properties in /GAS/ COMMON
С
        REL=5.E-3
        ABSER=1.E-20
        MM1=MS-1
        MP1=MS+1
0000000000
          THIS IS A SECTION TO CALCULATE THE SECTIONAL COEFFFICIENTS
IN TERMS OF DISCRETE DESCRIPTION TO INVESTIGATE THE NUMERICAL
          DIFFUSION PROBLEMS DUE TO THE CONDENSATION
          IF (DOCOND) THEN
С
С
        calculate betal
С
          **** they are in /sec ****
С
\mathbf{C}
                    vm=conmw/6.023e23*1.e-3
                                                           _!kg/#
                    nacdis=0
                    do nr=1,ms
                              do ni=l, numdis
                              nacdis=nacdis+1
                              scoefav(nacdis)=0.
                              END DO
```

END DO

С  $\mathbf{C}$ С calculate beta2, there are two parts С \*\*\*\* they are in Kg/sec \*\*\*\* С С do nl=1,ms NI=1 nacdis=nacdis+1 vmi=vm\*ni С tans=0. ier=1 yl=xs(nl) yu=xs(n1+1) ychk=alog(vs(nl+l)-vmi) if(ychk.lt.xs(nl)) go to 1301 yu=ychk xvmi=alog(vmi) nbtype=1 call gaus2(beta,yl,yu,rel,abser,uround,ans, ier,xvmi,tgas,pgas,nbtype)
if (ier.ne.0) call erroro(ier,nbtype,ans,iprint)
tans=ans\*vmi \$ 1301 continue scoefav(nacdis)=tans/(xs(nl+l)-xs(nl))\*denair С NBTYPE=7 Y=XVMI X=XS(NL+1)ANS=BETA(Ý, X, TGAS, PGAS, NBTYPE) SCOEFAV(NACDIS)=SCOEFAV(NACDIS)-ANS\*(VM/(VS(NL+1)-VS(NL)))\*DENAIR \$ C C do ni=2,numdis nacdis=nacdis+1 scoefav(nacdis)=0. END DO END DO  $\mathbf{C}$ c c calculate beta3 С С \*\*\*\* they are in Kg/sec \*\*\*\* С do nl=2,msС IF (NL.EQ.2) GO TO 1099 С do nr=1,n1-2 DO NI=1,NUMDIS NACDIS=NACDIS+1 SCOEFAV(NACDIS)=0. END DO END DO 1099 CONTINUE NR=NL-1 NI=1 ier=1 vmi=vm\*ni nacdis=nacdis+1 xvmi=alog(vmi) С NBTYPE=7

-331-



-332-

```
LIBEF = (LM1 * (L-2))/2
               DO I=1,LM1
NBTYPE=2
                  IER=1
                  INNER=1
                  BASESZ=VS(L+1)
FIXSZ=XS(L+1)
         CALL GAUSBT(BETCAL, XS(I), XS(I+1), REL, ABSER, UROUND, ANS,
IER, IPRNT, FIXSZ, BASESZ, INNER, TGAS, PGAS, NBTYPE)
        $
                       (IER.NE.O) CALL ERRORO(IER,NBTYPE,ANS,IPRNT)
(ANS.EQ.O) WRITE(22,955) NBTYPE,I,L,LIBEF+I+NB2A
                  TF
D
                  \mathbf{IF}
                  COEFAV(NB2A+1+LIBEF)=ANS/(DEL(I)*DEL(L))*denair
                  NBTYPE=3
                  IER=1
                  INNER=2
                  BASESZ=VS(L+1)
                  FIXSZ=XS(L)
         CALL GAUSBT (BETCAL, XS(I), XS(I+1), REL, ABSER, UROUND, ANS,
IER, IPRNT, FIXSZ, BASESZ, INNER, TGAS, PGAS, NBTYPE)
        $
                  IF (IER.NE.0) CALL ERRORO(IER,NBTYPE,ANS,IPRNT)
IF (ANS.EQ.0) WRITE(22,955) NBTYPE,I,L,LIBEF+I+NB2B
D
                  COEFAV(NB2B+1+LIBEF)=ANS/(DEL(I)*DEL(L))*denair
               END DO
            END DO
с
С***
С
                        CALCULATE BETA(SUPER-3, SUB-L, L) IN THREE PARTS
            **** they are in /sec ****
С
С
            DO L=1,MS
               LP1=L+1
               NBTYPE=4
               IER=1
               INNER=1
               REL=1.E-2
               BASESZ=VS(LP1)
               FIXSZ=XS(LPl)
               ALV=ALOG(.5*ÝS(LP1))
С
         CALL GAUSBT (BETCAL, XS(L), ALV, REL, ABSER, UROUND, ANS,
               ISOULT(DEFICIEL, AS(E), ABSER, UROUND, ANS,
IER, IPRNT, FIXSZ, BASESZ, INNER, TGAS, PGAS, NBTYPE)
IF (IER.NE.0) CALL ERRORO(IER, NBTYPE, ANS, IPRNT)
IF (ANS.EQ.0) WRITE(22,955) NBTYPE, I, L, NB3+L
        $
D
С
               IER=1
               COEFAV(NB3+L)=ANS
               NBTYPE=4
               INNER=1
               ALV2=ALOG(VS(LP1)-VS(L))
               BASESZ=VS(LP1)
               FIXSZ=XS(LPl)
               CALL GAUSBT (BETCAL, ALV, ALV2, REL, ABSER, UROUND, ANS,
IER, IPRNT, FIXSZ, BASESZ, INNER, TGAS, PGAS, NBTYPE)
       $
               IF (IER.NE.0) CALL ERRORO(IER,NBTYPE,ANS,IPRNT)
IF (ANS.EQ.0) WRITE(22,955) NBTYPE,I,L,NB3+L
D
               COEFAV(NB3+L) = ANS+COEFAV(NB3+L)
с
С
               IER=1
               NBTYPE=5
               INNER=0
               BASESZ=XS(L)
               FIXSZ=XS(LP1)
         CALL GAUSBT (BETCAL, ALV2, XS(LP1), REL, ABSER, UROUND, ANS
        $
                           IER, IPRNT, FIXSZ, BASESZ, INNER, TGAS, PGAS, NBTYPE)
               ANS=ANS+COEFAV(NB3+L)
```

-333-

```
IF (IER.NE.0) CALL ERRORO(IER,NBTYPE,ANS,IPRNT)
IF (ANS.EQ.0) WRITE(22,955) NBTYPE,I,L,NB3+L
COEFAV(NB3+L)=.5*ANS/DEL(L)**2*denair
D
            END DO
000000000
         DETERMINE THE SECTIONAL COAGULATION COEFFICIENTS FOR
SCAVENGING OF PARTICLES IN SECTION L BY THOSE IN SECTION I
I.E. BETA(SUPER-4,SUB-I,L)
         STORE WITH I VARYING FIRST FROM L+1 TO MS
            **** they are in /sec ****
            NBTYPE=6
            INNER=0
            DO L=1,MM1
LP1=L+1
               NBEFR=((L-1)*(2*MS-L))/2
DO I=LP1,MS
         INNER-0
BASESZ=XS(L)
FIXSZ=XS(LP1)
CALL GAUSBT(BETCAL,XS(I),XS(I+1),REL,ABSER,UROUND,ANS,
IER,IPRNT,FIXSZ,BASESZ,INNER,TGAS,PGAS,NBTYPE)
CALL ERRORO(IER,NBTYPE,ANS,IPRNT)
IER (IPRNT,FIXSZ,BASESZ,INNER,TGAS,PGAS,NBTYPE)
                   INNER=0
        $
                  IF (IER.NE.O) CALL ERRORO(IER,NBTYPE,ANS,IPRNT)
IF (ANS.EQ.O) WRITE(22,955) NBTYPE,I,L,NB4+I-L+NBEFR
COEFAV(NB4+I-L+NBEFR)=ANS/(DEL(I)*DEL(L))*denair
D
               END DO
            END DO
         END IF
                                                  ! Coagulation Done
С
С
С
         determine the sectional scavanging coefficients for the discrete
С
         and continuous regions
С
С
         if (docoagd) then
С
С
         calculate betal
С
            **** they are in /sec ****
С
С
            vm=conmw/6.023e23*1.e-3
                                                              !kg/#
            nacdis=0
            nbtype=1
do 1200 nr=1,ms
do 1100 ni=1,numdis
            ier=1
            xvmi=alog(vm*ni)
            nacdis=nacdis+1
            yl=xs(nr)
            yu=xs(nr+1)
            call gaus2(beta,y1,yu,rel,abser,uround,ans,ier,xvmi,tgas,
            pgas,nbtype)
if (ier.ne.0) call erroro(ier,nbtype,ans,iprint)

        $
            scoefav(nacdis)=ans/(xs(nr+1)-xs(nr))*denair
1100
            continué
1200
            continue
С
С
С
         calculate beta2, there are two parts
С
            **** they are in Kg/sec ****
\mathbf{C}
C
            do 1500 nl=1,ms
            do 1400 ni=1, numdis
            nacdis=nacdis+1
```

-334-

```
vmi=vm*ni
С
         tans=0.
         ier=l
         yl=xs(nl)
         yu=xs(nl+1)
         ychk=alog(vs(nl+l)-vmi)
         if(ychk.it.xs(nl)) go to 1300
         yu=ychk
xvmi=alog(vmi)
         nbtype=1
         $
         tans=ans*vmi
         continue
1300
С
         ans=0.
         ier=1
         yl=xs(nl)
         yu=xs(nl+1)
if(ychk.gt.xs(nl)) yl=ychk
         nbtype=7
call gaus2(beta,yl,yu,rel,abser,uround,ans,ier,xvmi,tgas,pgas,
     $
                     nbtype)
         if (ier.ne.0) call erroro(ier, nbtype, ans, iprint)
1350
         continue
         scoefav(nacdis)=(tans-ans)/(xs(nl+l)-xs(nl))*denair
1400
         continue
1500
         continue
С
С
      calculate beta3
С
С
         **** they are in Kg/sec ****
С
С
        nbtype=8
do 1900 nl=2,ms
do 1800 nr=1,nl-1
         do 1700 ni=1, numdis
         ier=l
         vmi=vm*ni
         nacdis=nacdis+1
         xvmi=alog(vmi)
setup for yl and yu
C
         yu=alog(vs(nl+1)-vmi)
if(yu.gt.xs(nr+1)) yu=xs(nr+1)
yl=alog(vs(nl)-vmi)
         if(yl.lt.xs(nr)) yl=xs(nr)
if (yu.gT.yl) go to 1600
C
C
         scoefav(nacdis)=0.
         go to 1700
1600
         continue
         call gaus2(beta,yl,yu,rel,abser,uround,ans,ier,xvmi,tgas,pgas,
         if(ier.ne.0) call erroro(ier,nbtype,ans,iprint)
     $
1610
         CONTINUE
         scoefav(nacdis)=ans/(xs(nr+1)-xs(nr))*denair
1700
         continue
1800
         continue
1900
         continue
С
С
С
      calculate dcoef array to hold the coagulation coefficients
```

```
С
         for the discrete region
С
            **** they are in Kg/sec ****
С
С
           nacdis=0
do 2100 i=1,numdis
do 2000 j=i,numdis
            nacdis=nacdis+1
            vmi=vm*i
            vmj=vm*j
           xvmi=alog(vmi)
xvmj=alog(vmj)
nbtype=7
            dcoef(nacdis)=beta(xvmi,xvmj,tgas,pgas,nbtype)*denair
2000
            continue
2100
            continue
С
         end if
С
С
         if (doevap) then
С
            vm=conmw/6.023e26
                                                            ! kg/molecular
           EVP(1)=0.
do i=2,numdis
VMI=VM*I
xvmi=alog(vmi)
               nbtype=l1
            evp(i)=evap(xvmi,i,tgas,pgas,nbtype)*VMI
end do
С
            do nl=1,ms
               ier=l
               nbtype=12
call gaus2(evap,xs(nl),xs(nl+1),rel,abser,uround,ans,
           evp(nl+numdis)=ans/(xs(nl+1)-xs(nl))*vm
end_do
       *
         end if
С
С
         RETURN
        END
С
        SUBROUTINE ERRORO(IER,NBTYPE,ANS,IPRNT) ! Reports Integration Error
WRITE(IPRNT,5) IER,NBTYPE,ANS
FORMAT(//' OUTER INTEGRATION ERROR NUMBER',I3,2X,
'FOR COEFFICIENT TYPE',I3,2X,'RETURNED',1PE13.7)
RETURN ! Or STOP
5
       $
        END
```

-336-

```
SUBROUTINE DIFFUN(Nrmax, T, Q, DQDT)
С
   **********
Č*
PURPOSE:
             To Calculate the Derivatives dQ/dt for the Multicomponent
              Aerosol Model.
    ON ENTRY:
                          Number of elements in Q or DQDT (augmented) arrays
Time at which derivatives are to be evaluated [sec]
             NEQ
             ሞ
             Q
                          Array of Sectional Masses [kg/cu.m.]
    ON RETURN:
             DODT
                          Array of Sectional Mass Time Derivatives [kg/cu.m/sec]
    COMMENTS:
         THIS ROUTINE CALCULATES THE DERIVATIVES (I.E. EQUATION 50 OF
THE PAPER 'SIMULATION OF MULTICOMPONENT AEROSOL DYNAMICS',
FRED GELBARD AND JOHN H. SEINFELD, J. COLLOID AND INTERFACE
         SCIENCE, VOL.78, P.485, 1980)
C
         include 'parint.inc'
INCLUDE 'PARMK.INC'
INCLUDE 'SIZES.INC'
                                                    ! Dimensioning
! COMMON for Section Diameters & Masses VS
          include 'xsizes.inc'
INCLUDE 'FLAGS.INC'
                                                    ! common for xs and del
                                                    ! Control Flags in COMMON
         INCLODE FLAGS.INC : CONTROL FLAGS
LOGICAL DEBUG /.FALSE./
COMMON /DF2/ CONKEL,RJM ! kg/cu.m/sec
REAL*4 Q(NEMAX),DQDT(NEMAX),QT(MMAX),QTOT
REAL*4 GAIN(MMAX),EIL(NEMAX)
         REAL*4 QVAP, DQVAP
REAL*4 Z, CONRAT, SR
          REAL*4 CONKEL, SCON, DIKELV
         REAL*4 SUM, ZERO, ONE, TWO
REAL*4 TCON, FM, FP, FM1, FM2, FP1, FP2
          REAL*4 RALOSS, TRANS(10)
                                                  ! Assume no more than 10 components
         REAL*4 COEF1, COEF2
INCLUDE 'PCONS.INC'
INCLUDE 'INDEX.INC'
                                                    ! Numerical Constants
                                                    ! COMMON for MS, KC, Sectional Pointers
! COMMON for Sectional Coefficients
! COMMON for Particle Source Rates
          INCLUDE 'AVGCOF.INC'
INCLUDE 'PSRATE.INC'
         INCLUDE 'PSRATE.INC' ! COMMON for Particle Source Rates
INCLUDE 'PHYSPT.INC' ! COMMON for Physical Properties
INCLUDE 'GAS.INC' ! COMMON for Gas Properties
include 'tpset.inc' ! tgasl and tgas2
COMMON /NPASS/ TIME ! For Optional Nucleation Output
common /dblk/ ctlpl(ncmax),ctlp2(ncmax),ct2p1(ncmax),ct2p2(ncmax))
DATA RJMMIN / 1.E-30 / ! Minimum mass rate of nucleation
DATA QMIN / 0. / ! Minimum significant Q mass
DATA GEOMET / TWO / ! Needed if GEOSEC is .TRUE.
С
С
C
             nqmk=ms*kc
             nqv=nqmk+1
             ngn=ngmk+2
             neq=nqn+numdis
             nrmax=neq+3
С
С
         DIKELV=4.*SURTEN*CONMW/(DENSTY*RGAS*TEMP)
                                                                               ! Kelvin diameter
C
```

SYSTEM TEMPERATURE IS NOT CONSTANT if (dtf.ne.0.) then temp=q(neq+3)call setgas(temp, pres) tz=(q(neq+3)-tgas1)/(tgas2-tgas1) do i=1,numcof coefav(i)=(1.-tz)\*ctlpl(i)+tz\*ct2pl(i) end do do i=1,numcofd i=i+numcof scoefav(i)=(l.-tz)\*ctlpl(j)+tz\*ct2pl(j) end do end if С do i=neq+1, neq+3 dqdt(i)=0. end do C C C SILANE PYROLYSIS IS INCLUDED if (SI) then dqdt(neq+1)=-5.3E15\*exp(-28133./temp)/(TEMP\*\*0.5) 4q(neq+1)
dqdt(neq+2)=-dqdt(neq+1) \$ q(neq+1) is in pascal ł end if dqdt(neq+3)=dtf С QTOT=ZERO DO L=1,MS ! Section L from 1 thru MS SUM=ZERO LQ=(L-1)\*KC DO K=1,KC ! Subscript Base of Section L in Q's Component K from 1 thru KC 1 I = K + LQIndex (K,L) DQDT(I) = ZERO! Necessary Initialization
! Sum Mass in Section L SUM = SUM + Q(I)END DO QT(L) = SUM! Total Mass Concentration in Section L QTOT=QTOT+SUM ! Sum All Particle Mass END DO С qtotd=0. do 5 ni=nqV, neq qtotd=qtotd+q(ni) 5 C dqdt(ní)=0. DO K=1,KC ! Initialize Intersectional Flux to 0 TRANS(K) = ZEROEND DO C C\*\*\* C COMPUTE EFFECT OF REMOVAL MECHANISMS IF (DODEPO.AND.QTOT.GT.QMIN) THEN ! Include Deposition DO L=1,MS LDEP=3\*(L-1)+NDEPST TOTDEP=0. DO J=1,3 TOTDEP=TOTDEP+COEFAV(LDEP+J) END DO DO K=1,KC ! Index (K,L) I=K+(L-1)\*KCDQDT(I) = DQDT(I) - TOTDEP \* Q(I)END DO END DO END IF

```
C
C
C
```

```
C
C***
C
                     INCLUDE PARTICLE SOURCES
        IF (DOSORC) THEN
                                          ! Include Particle Mass Sources
          DÒ L=1,MŚ
             DO K=1,KC
                I=K+(L-1)*KC
                                                     ! Index (K,L)
                DQDT(I) = DQDT(I) + PSRATE(I)
             END DO
          END DO
        END IF
Č***
C
                     CALCULATE THE CHANGE DUE TO COAGULATION
        IF (DOCOAG.AND.QTOT.Gt.QMIN) THEN
         DO 30 L=1,MS  ! For Section L from 1 thru MS
          LM1=L-1
          LM2=L-2
                              ! Subscript Base of Section L in Q's
! Subscript Base of Section L-1 in Q's
! Subscript Base of Section L in COEFF (type 1,2)
          LQ=LM1*KC
          LMO=LM2*KC
          LC = (LM1 \times LM2)/2
          LMC = ((L-3) \times LM2)/2
                                          ! Subscript Base of Section L-1 in COEFF
С
          DO 30 K=1,KC
                            ! For Component K from 1 thru KC
                                          ! Index (K,L-1)
            IM=K+LMQ
            I≈K+LQ
                                ! Index (K,L)
            IP=K+LPO
                                          ! Index (K,L+1)
            SUM=ZERÕ
            SUM-ZERO
IF (L.GE.3) THEN ! { small + L-1 ==> L }
DO J=1,LM2 ! Section J for small sections up to L-2
IJ=(J-1)*KC+K ! Index (K,J)
SUM=SUM+QT(J)*(COEFAV(NB2A+J+LMC)*Q(IM)
-COEFAV(NB2A+J+LC)*Q(I))
+Q(IJ)*(COEFAV(J+LMC)*QT(LM1)
+COEFAV(NB2A+J+LC)*QT(LM1)
       $
       $
$
              +\tilde{C}OEFAV(NB2B+J+LC)*QT(\tilde{L}))
             END DO
            END IF
            IF (L.GT.1) SUM = SUM+QT(LM1)*(COEFAV(NB3+LM1)*Q(IM)
                           - COEFAV(NB2A+LM1+LC)*Q(I))
+ COEFAV(NB2B+LM1+LC)*QT(L)*Q(IM)
       $
       Ś
    30
           DQDT(I) = SUM - COEFAV(NB3+L)*QT(L)*Q(I)
C
C***
C***
C
                     CALCULATE REMOVAL RATE FROM A SECTION DUE TO SCAVENGING
                       BY HIGHER SECTIONS (COAGULATION)
         MS1=MS-1
         DO 40 L=1,MS1
                              ! Section L from 1 thru MS-1
          LMl=L-1
          LQ=LM1*KC
                                          ! Subscript Base of Section L in Q's
          LBF=(LM1*(2*MS-L))/2
          SUM=ŻERO
          LPl=L+1
          DO 35 J=LP1,MS ! Consider sections J from L+1 thru MS
    35
            SUM=SUM+COEFAV(NB4+LBF+J-L)*QT(J)
                              ! Component K from 1 thru KC
! Index (K,L)
          DO 40 K=1,KC
            I=K+LQ
           DQDT(\tilde{I}) = DQDT(I) - SUM * Q(I)
    40
        END IF
С
C
          vm=conmw/6.023e23*1.e-3
                                                               ! kg/molecule
          raten=rateg/(vm*denair)
                                                               ! /sec-Kq
С
             (DOSCONST) THEN
          IF
              DQDT(NQN+1)=0.
          ELSE
```

-339-

\$ С ENDIF С С С \*\*\* calculate the derivatives of discrete - continuous simulation if (docoagd.and.gtotd.ge.qmin) then С С С IF (DOSCONST) THEN  $D\dot{Q}DT(NQN+1)=0.$ ELSE suml=0.
do j=1,numdis suml=suml+(dcoef(j)\*q(nqn+1))\*q(nqn+j) end do sum2=0. do nr=1,ms sum2=sum2+(scoefav(numdis\*(nr-1)+1)\*q(nr))\*q(nqn+1)enđ đo dqdt(nqn+1)=dqdt(nqn+1)-(sum1+sum2) END IF С č dqdt(nqn+2) to dqdt(neq) --- diers to numdisers ! #/kg-sec С С do 1600 K=2, numdis sum3=0. do 1300 J=1,K-1 I = K - JIF (J.GE.I) THEN np=numdis\*(numdis+1)/2-(numdis-i+1)\*(numdis-i+2)/2+(j-i+1) ELSE np=numdis\*(numdis+1)/2-(numdis-j+1)\*(numdis-j+2)/2 \$ +(i-j+1) END IF sum3=sum3+0.5\*(dcoef(np)\*q(nqn+I))\*q(nqn+j)1300 continue sum4=0. do 1400 j=1, numdis IF (J.GE.K) THEN np=numdis\*(numdis+1)/2-(numdis-K+1)\*(numdis-K+2)/2+(j-K+1)ELSE np=numdis\*(numdis+1)/2-(numdis-j+1)\*(numdis-j+2)/2 \$ +(K-j+1) END IF sum4=sum4+(dcoef(np)\*q(nqn+K))\*q(nqn+j) 1400 CONTINUE sum5=0. do 1500 nr=1,ms sum5=sum5+scoefav(numdis\*(nr-1)+K)\*q(nr) 1500 sum5=sum5\*g(nqn+K) dqdt(nqn+K)=sum3-sum4-sum5 1600 CÓNTÍNUE с С dqdt(1) to dqdt(ms) --- sectional masses С ! kg/kg-sec С do 1900 nl=1,ms sum6=0.do 1680 i=1,numdis do 1650 j=1,numdis С WF=0.vmi=vm\*i

```
vmj=vm*j
         vmnl=vm1+vmj
С
         if (VMNL.GE.VS(NL).AND.vmnl.LT.vs(nl+1)) WF=VMNL
С
         IF (J.GE.I) THEN
              np=numdis*(numdis+1)/2-(numdis-I+1)*(numdis-I+2)/2+(j-I+1)
         ELSE
              np=numdis*(numdis+1)/2-(numdis-j+1)*(numdis-j+2)/2
      $
                          +(I-j+1)
         END IF
C
C
C
         BETA 4
         sum6=sum6+0.5*((DCOEF(NP)*Q(NQN+J))*Q(NQN+I))*WF
С
1650
         continue
1680
         continue
С
         sum7=0.
         do 1700 i=1, numdis
         mp=ms*numdis+numdis*(nl-1)+i
C
C
C
         BETA 2
1700
         sum7=sum7+((1.El0*scoefav(mp))*Q(NL)*q(nqn+i))*1.E-10
С
         sum 8=0.
         if (nl.eq.1) go to 1900
С
         do 1800 nr=1,n1-1
         do 1800 i=1, numdis
C
C
C
         BETA 3
         \label{eq:mp2*ms*numdis+NUMDIS*(nl-1)*(nl-2)/2+(nr-1)*numdis+isum8=sum8+(1.El0*scoefav(mp)*q(nR))*q(nQN+I)*1.E-10
1800
С
1900
         dqdt(nl)=dqdt(nl)+sum6+sum7+sum8
С
         q(nqv)=q(nqn+1)*vm
С
       end if
C
C
C
č
         calculate the derivatives of monomer - coagulation
   * * *
       this is to replace the condensation process
if (docond.and.qtotd.ge.qmin) then
С
   ***
С
            IF (DOSCONST) THEN
              DQDT(NQN+1)=0.
           ELSE
                 suml=0.
                 do nr=1,ms
                     suml=suml+(scoefav(numdis*(nr-1)+1)*q(nr))*q(nqn+1)
                 end do
                 dqdt(nqn+1)=dqdt(nqn+1)-SUM1
           END IF
C
C
\tilde{C}
         dqdl(nqn+2) to dqdl(neq) --- diers to numdisers ! #/kg-sec
C
         DO 1601 K=2, NUMDIS
         dqdt(nqn+K)=0.
         CONTINUE
1601
```
C C C C C C dqdt(1) to dqdt(ms) --- sectional masses ! kq/kg-sec do 1901 nl=1,ms С С condensing monomer on the mass of seciton nl sum7=0. i=1 mp=ms\*numdis+numdis\*(nl-l)+i C C C 1701 BETA 2 sum7=sum7+((1.El0\*scoefav(mp))\*Q(NL)\*q(nqn+i))\*1.E-10С sum 8=0.if (nl.eq.1) go to 1901 С condensing monomer on particles smaller than section nl, С С but move into section nl С NR=NL-1 i=1 C C C C BETA 3 mp=2\*ms\*numdis+NUMDIS\*(nl-1)\*(nl-2)/2+(nr-1)\*numdis+i 1801 sum8=sum8+(1.E10\*scoefav(mp)\*q(nR))\*q(nQN+I)\*1.E-10с 1901 dqdt(n1) = dqdt(n1) + sum7 + sum8C C calculate the intersectional evaporation fluxes С С С if (doevap) then С do L=1,ms-1 EIL(L+1)=EVP(NUMDIS+L+1)\*Q(L+1)/ \$ ((AVGVS(L+1)/AVGVS(L))-1.)end do EIL(1)=EVP(NUMDIS+1)\*Q(1)/((AVGVS(1)/(NUMDIS\*VM))-1.) EIL(MS+1)=0. С С include the evaporation C SUM1=0. do L=1,ms dqdt(L)=dqdt(L)-evp(numdis+L)\*q(L)+EIL(L+1)-EIL(L) suml=suml+evp(numdis+L)\*q(L) end do С end if С IF (DOSCONST) THEN  $\dot{D}QDT(NQN+1)=0.$ ELSE dqdt(nqn+1)=dqdt(nqn+1)+sum1/vm END IF С q(nqv)=q(nqn+1)\*vmend if С С С

-342-

```
IF(.NOT.DOCOND.AND.DOEVAP) then
С
         calculate the intersectional evaporation fluxes
С
С
           do L=1,ms-1
              EIL(L+1)=EVP(NUMDIS+L+1)*Q(L+1)/
     $
                        ((AVGVS(L+1)/AVGVS(L))-1.)
           end do
           EIL(1)=EVP(NUMDIS+1)*Q(1)/((AVGVS(1)/(NUMDIS*VM))-1.)
           EIL(MS+1)=0.
С
         include the evaporation
С
С
           SUM1=0.
           do L=1,ms
              dqdt(L)=dqdt(L)-evp(numdis+L)*q(L)+EIL(L+1)-EIL(L)
              suml=suml+evp(numdis+L)*q(L)
           end do
C
           sum2=evp(2)*q(nqn+2)+evp(numdis)*q(nqn+numdis)
           do i=2, numdis-1
              dqdt(nqn+i)=dqdt(nqn+i)+evp(i+1)*q(nqn+i+1)-evp(i)*q(nqn+i)
              sum2=sum2+evp(i)*q(nqn+i)
           end do
           dqdt(nqn+numdis)=dqdt(nqn+numdis)+EIL(1)/(VM*NUMDIS)
     $
                  -evp(numdis)*q(nqn+numdis)
C
C
           IF (DOSCONST) THEN
             DQDT(NQN+1)=0.
           ELSE
              dqdt(nqn+1)=dqdt(nqn+1)+sum2+sum1/vm
           END IF
С
         end if
С
С
      IF (DEBUG.AND.SR.GT.ONE) THEN
          DEBUG=.FALSE.
CLOSE (25,STATUS='SAVE')
      ENDIF
000000000
         surface reacion
         dqdt(neq+1)=dqdt(neq+1)-2.74e8*temp*exp(-29.427+
1.93e-2*(temp-833.))*q(neq+1)
     Ş
         IF (DOCOND) THEN
           SUMQ=0.
DO I=1,MS
              SUMQ=SUMQ+Q(I)
           END DO
C
C
           DO I=1,MS
              Q(I)=Q(1)/SUMQ
           END DO
С
        END IF
С
      RETURN
      END
```

-343-

```
FUNCTION evap(X,i,TGAS,PGAS,NBTYPE)
*****
   PURPOSE:
         To Calculate the evaporation rate of a Particle
   ON ENTRY:
                           Log of Particle.Mass [ln(kg)]
         Х
                           number of monomer for discrete regime
Gas Temperature [K]
         i
         TGAS
                           Gas Total Pressure [Pa]
         PGAS
                           Flag for coefficient type
11 : discrete evaporation rate
12 : sectional evaporation function for integration
         NBTYPE
                                 in subroutine COEF
   ON RETURN:
                           Particle evap Rate ( defined in the discrete - sectional paper - J.J.)
         evap
   COMMENTS:
         None.
    Č
       include 'index.inc'
INCLUDE 'PCONS.INC'
                                              ! Physical Constants (RGAS,PI)
! COMMON for Physical Properties
! COMMON for Gas Properties (PSAT)
       INCLUDE 'PHYSPT.INC'
                'GAS.INC'
       INCLUDE
                'FLAGS.INC'
       INCLUDE
       include 'parmk.inc'
       include 'avgcof.inc'
С
       VEL=SQRT(8.*RGAS*TGAS/(PI*CONMW)) ! Mean Kinetic Velocity, Monomer
       FREEMN=3.*DIFFUS/VEL
                                                Adjusted Mean Free Path, Monomer
       vml=conmw/6.023e26
                                              1
                                               kg/molecule
       vl=vml/densty
                                              1
                                               Cu.m./molecule
       dl=(6.*v1/pi)**0.3333
                                              ! m
С
       V=EXP(X)
                                     ! Mass of Single Particle [kg]
       D=ZERÒ
                                     1
                                       Initialize Šo .
       CALL RHODD(V,D,RHO)
                                       RHODD Returns Diameter, given Mass
С
       AKN=2.*FREEMN/D
                                    ! Knudsen Number, Particle with Monomer
С
       f=fn(akn)
С
         00000000
                  p3=(1.-(d1/d)**3)**0.6667
p4=1.5*(d/d1)**3*(1.-(1.-(d1/d)**3)**0.6666)
p5=exp(4.*surten*v1*p4/(d*1.38e-23*tgas))
                  evap=p1*p2*p3*p5*f
         else
                  pl=exp(4.*surten*vl/(d*1.38e-23*tgas))
p3=pi/4.*vel*((p2*d)*d)*pl*F
evap=p3/v
С
         end if
С
       RETURN
       END
C
C
         FUNCTION Fn(KN) ! Fuchs & Sutugin scaled to Diffusive Limit
         REAL KN
```

Fn=(1.333\*KN \* (1.+KN) ) / ( 1. + 1.71\*KN + 1.333\*KN\*KN )
RETURN
END

```
SUBROUTINE GAUSBT(F,XL,XU,RELER,ABSER,ROUND,ANSWR,IER,IPRNT,
       $FIXSZ, BASESZ, INNER, TGAS, PGAS, NBTYPE)
PURPOSE:
          To Calculate the Outer Sectional Integral for Sectional
Coagulation Coefficients.
    ON ENTRY:
          F
                               Function to be integrated
                               Lower Limit on Outer Integral
Upper Limit on Outer Integral
Relative Error Tolerance for Integration
           XL
           XU
           RELER
                               Absolute Error Tolerance for Integration
Unit Round-Off Error (largest X that 1.+X=1.)
Logical Unit Number for Output Messages
           ABSER
           ROUND
           IPRNT
                                Inner Integral Size Limit
Inner Integral Size Limit
          FIXSZ
           BASESZ
                               Flag to Interpret Inner Size Limits
           INNER
                               Gas Temperature [K]
Gas Total Pressure [Pa]
           TGAS
           PGAS
          NBTYPE
                               Flag for Type of Sectional Integral
    ON RETURN:
          ANSWR
                               Double Integral Value
           IER
                               Error Return Flag
    COMMENTS:
          ALSO SEE DOCUMENTATION FOR GAUS2.
    *****
С
      DIMENSION A(2,21),X(21),H(21),ISIDE(21)

FUN(XD,HD)=0.5*HD*(F(XD+.2113248654052*HD,RELER,ABSER,ROUND,

$ IPRNT,FIXSZ,BASESZ,INNER,TGAS,PGAS,NBTYPE)+

$ F(XD+.788675134598*HD,RELER,ABSER,ROUND,
        IPRNT, FIXSZ, BASESZ, INNER, TGAS, PGAS, NBTYPE))
       Ś
        NMAX=21
        H(1)=XU-XL
        A(2,1) = FUN(XL,H(1))
        IF(IER.NE.1)GO TO 2
        IF(10.*ABS(H(1))/RELER.LT.AMAX1(ABS(XU),ABS(XL)))GO TO 7
     2 IF (ABS(XU-XL).GT.4.*ROUND*AMAX1(ABS(XL),ABS(XU)))GO TO 8
        ANSWR=A(2,1)
IER=-2
        RETURN
     8 RATIO=AMAX1(ABS(XU/H(1)),ABS(XL/H(1)))
Nl=2-IFIX(1.4427*ALOG(RATIO*ROUND))
C+
        NI=-IFIX(1.4427*ALOG(RATIO*ROUND))
NMAX=MINO(NMAX,N1)
        IF(NMAX.GT.1)GO TO 10
        IER=-1
        RETURN
    10 ISIDE(1)=2
DO 1 I=2,NMAX
     ISIDE(I)=2

1 H(I)=.5*H(I-1)

X(2)=XL

N=2

A QUE
     4 SUM=0.
        A(1,N) = FUN(X(N),H(N))
        A(2,N) = FUN(X(N) + H(N), H(N))
        SUM=A(1,N)+A(2,N)
IF(ABS(SUM-A(ISIDE(N),N-1))/RELER.LT.ABS(SUM)+ABSER)GO TO 3
        IF(N.EQ.NMAX)GO TO 9
```

-346-

N=N+1 ISIDE(N)=1 X(N)=X(N-1) GO TO 4 3 A(ISIDE(N),N-1)=SUM IF(ISIDE(N).EQ.1)GO TO 5 6 IF(N.EQ.2)GO TO 7 N=N-1 A(ISIDE(N).EQ.2)GO TO 6 5 ISIDE(N).EQ.2)GO TO 6 5 ISIDE(N)=2 X(N)=X(N-1)+H(N-1) GO TO 4 9 IER=N-1 XL=X(N) XU=X(N)+2.\*H(N) RETURN 7 IER=0 ANSWR=A(2,1) D IF (ANSWR.EQ.0.) WRITE(1,90) XL,XU,RELER D 90 FORMAT('GAUSBT) XL=',1PG15.7,5X,'XU=',G15.7,5X, D \$'RELER=',G10.3) RETURN END

SUBROUTINE JSET(DIM) С PURPOSE: To set up COMMON blocks for Nucleation Routine J (in cgs units). ON ENTRY: /NUCLO/ variables must be preset. ON RETURN: /NUCL1/, /NUCL2/ variables set. /TRANS/ variables set. COMMENTS: BCE must be set elsewhere. JSET should be called once before Nucleation routine J is called, if conditions (T, VP, RMS, PGAS, etc.) change, recall JSET. C\* С PARAMETER ( PI = 3.1416 ) PARAMETER ( ZERO=0. , ONE=1. , TWO=2. , THREE=3. ) PARAMETER ( TH1=ONE/THREE , TH2=TWO/THREE ) С PARAMETER ( RGAS = 8.314E+7 ) PARAMETER ( AN = 6.023E+23 ) PARAMETER ( BK = RGAS/AN ) ! Gas Constant, erg/K/mole ! Avogadro's Number, molecules/mole ! Boltzmann Constant, erg/K/molecule С REAL MW COMMON /NUCL0/ T,VP,MW,DENSTY,SURTEN,RMS,PGAS COMMON /NUCL1/ SUE,RSCALE,TB,TS,DIMSOR,WEIGHT COMMON /NUCL2/ VL,VM,DIAM,SAM,CS,VELQ,VPAT,DSMIN,DIKELV COMMON /TRANS/ DIFFUS,DIMDIM,BCE С ! cm diameter of smallest aerosol DSMIN=DIM С ! ug/cu.m per #/cc monomer ! Source rate in #/cc/sec 2=-1. ! Avoid /0 errors WEIGHT=1.E12\*MW/AN SOURCE=RMS/WEIGHT IF (SOURCE.EQ.ZERO) SOURCE=-1. ! Liquid Molar Volume, cc/mole ! Molecular Volume, cc/molecule VL=MW/DENSTY VM=VL/AN Molecular Diameter, cm Molecular Surface Area, cm\*cm DIAM=(6.\*VM/PI)\*\*TH1 1 SAM=Pİ\*DIAM\*DIAM CS=VP/(BK\*T) ! Concentration VELQ=SQRT(RGAS\*T/(TWO\*PI\*MW)) ! 0.25 Mean Morecuran VPAT=VP/1.0133E+6 ! Vapor Pressure, atm SUE=SURTEN\*SAM/BK/T ! Surface Energy in kT units for monomer RSCALE=SAM\*CS\*CS\*VELQ ! Characteristic Rate Scale, #/cc/sec TB=CS/RSCALE ! Characteristic Collision Time, sec, sat. COURCE ! Characteristic Source Time, seconds, sat. Dimensionless Source Rate SAM=PÌ\*DIAM\*DIÁM Molecular Barrace Mea, om om ? Concentration (Sat.), molecules/cc W)) ! 0.25 Mean Molecular Velocity, cm/sec C C C C The following are used only by the cgs condensation rate routines IF (DIFFUS.LE.0.) THEN COLLDI=(DIAM+3.72E-8)/2. ! Collision diameter (with air) DIFFUS=(2./3.)\*(RGAS\*T/PI)\*\*1.5\*SQRT(0.5/MW+0.5/29.0) (DCAC/COLLDI\*\*2/AN ! Diffusivity of monomer in air С RETURN END

-348-

SUBROUTINE MAEROS(TIME, DELTIM, Q, TGAS, PGAS, IPRNT, IFLAG, NEWCOF) PURPOSE: To Calculate an Aerosol Size Distribution, At a Future Time, Using a Sectional Representation. This Routine is the Driver for the Expanded Sectional MultiComponent Aerosol Package (ESMAP). ON ENTRY (ARGUMENTS): TIME Current Time [sec] Time Step, after which MAEROS returns [sec] Sectional Mass Array [kg/cu.m] DELTIM Q(NEQ) TGAS Gas Temperature [K] Pressure, total [Pa] PGAS Logical Unit Number for Output (often 6) Flag for Integration Routine IPRNT IFLAG Flag that controls which coefficients are calculated; NEWCOF Negative values cause use of current coefficients, while Positive values call for the following action: 1 = Interpolate Temperature and Pressure (4 sets) 2 = Only Use TGAS1 and PGAS1 (1 set) 2 = Only Use TGASI and PGASI (1 set 3 = Interpolate Temperature, Use PGASI (2 set 4 = Interpolate Pressure, Use TGASI (2 set 5 = Recalculate Only Deposition Set(s) 6 = Recalculate Only Condensation Set(s) 7 = Recalculate Only Deposition & Condensation Set(s) 8 = Modify Condensation Coefficients by factor DELSAT 9 = Recalculate Only Condensation for TGASI, PGASI 11-15 = 1-5 respectively, but No Condensation (2 sets)(2 set) ON ENTRY (COMMON): /TPSET/ TGAS1,TGAS2 Min and Max Temperatures [K] Min and Max Pressures [Pa] PGAS1, PGAS2 Mass Deposited on (Surface, Component) [kg] Machine Unit Round-Off Error /PSRATE/PSRATE(NEMAX) /DEPSIT/DEPSIT(3, KC)/ROUND/ UROUND /INDEX/ MS,KC Number of Size Sections and Components ON RETURN (ARGUMENTS): Sectional Mass Array has been updated. Updated to new Time. Q TIME NEWCOF Set to Negative of Initial Absolute Value. ON RETURN (COMMON): /INDEX/ COMMENTS: This version of MAEROS uses the EPISODE integration package (Note Episode was modified to use higher IFLAG with a YMIN) Program was revised by DALE WARREN to: couple a vapor phase concentration to aerosol condensation - handle rapid condensation processes while conserving number - handle homogeneous nucleation in the presence of an aerosol use microgram/cubic meter units in expanded printout
reduce roundoff errors (often Fatal) for lower precision machines
optionally use Jim Crump's unified container deposition model
use data file storage of calculated average coefficients - store more state variables and parameters in COMMON blocks - use structured FORTRAN-77 for increased clarity and efficiency - include more program comments (mine usually lower case) This code is based on the MAEROS package written by Fred Gelbard,

-349-

and available from SANDIA LABORATORIES. LOCAL VARIABLES: Keeps track of how many T,P cases needed: =1 (T1/T2,P1/P2) = 2 (T1,P1) = 3 (T1/T2,P1) = 4 (T1,P1/P2)NEWSET THE MASS OF THE MASS OF EACH COMPONENT DEPOSITED IS ALSO CALCULATED BY USING A MASS BALANCE TO DETERMINE THE MASS REMOVED FROM THE AEROSOL AND PARTITIONING THAT MASS TO THE THREE DEPOSITION SURFACES BASED ON THE RELATIVE REMOVAL RATES ON THE SURFACES AVERAGED OVER THE TIME STEP. THIS CODE WAS WRITTEN BY FRED GELBARD. UROUND=MACHINE ROUND-OFF ERROR (I.E. SMALLEST NUMBER ADDED TO ONE WHICH IS GREATER THAN ONE) MACHINES VALUES FOR UROUND 1.2E-7 DG ECLIPSE IBM 360/370 DEC 10 CDC 6600/7600 9.6E-7 7.7E-9 7.7E-15 UNIVAC 1108 1.5E-8 С INCLUDE 'PARMK.INC' INCLUDE 'PCONS.INC' ! Dimensioning ! Numerical Constants INCLUDE FROMS.INC ! Numerical Constants DIMENSION Q(NEMAX),QKSUM(8),QKLEFT(8),QT(MMAX) DIMENSION WORK(NWMAX),IWORK(5) ! Workspace for Integration DIMENSION DQDTJ(NEMAX) ! Only needed to set /NUCL/ exactly -DRW include 'flags.inc' INCLUDE 'INDEX.INC' ! COMMON for Sectional Pointers D INCLUDE 'INDEX.INC' INCLUDE 'PHYSPT.INC' INCLUDE 'TPSET.INC' INCLUDE 'AVGCOF.INC' INCLUDE 'PSRATE.INC' INCLUDE 'DEPSIT.INC' INCLUDE 'PARINT.INC' INCLUDE 'GAS INC' ! COMMON for Physical Properties ! COMMON for T,P set for interpolation ! COMMON for Sectional Coefficients ! COMMON for Sectional Particle Source Rates ! COMMON for Deposited Mass Estimates ! COMMON for Integration Parameters INCLUDE 'GAS.INC' COMMON /EPCOMR/ NRMIN, NRMAX common /epcomy/ ymin, hmaxmx ! COMMON for DRIVES in EPIS ! set for episode drive С DATA NRMIN / 1 / DATA TNMASS / ZERO / DATA NEWSET / 0 / ! First Q that must stay non-negative ! Total (cumulative) Negative Mass ! Number of T,P sets EXTERNAL DIFFUN ! Derivative Calculator C\*\*\* C C CHECK IF VARIABLES HAVE ACCEPTABLE VALUES CALL CHECKE(TIME, DELTIM, Q, TGAS, PGAS, IPRNT, IFLAG, NEWCOF) IF (IFLAG.LT.-1 .OR. IFLAG.GT.3) THEN IF (IFLAG.NE.7) THEN ! New EPIEXP WRITE(IPRNT,31) IFLAG,TIME ! Bad Input from STOP 'SUBROUTINE CHECKE DETECTED DATA PROBLEM' ! New EPIEXP ! Bad Input from Main Program END IF END IF 31 FORMAT(' CHECK RETURNED ERROR CODE', 14, ' AT TIME =', 1PE15.4) С ! Main Program Sets Method Flag for Episode MF=MFEPI AERROR=RELE ! Main Sets (Relative) Local Error Tolerance ! Number of aerosol Q sections ! Allow for one vapor phase D.E. NOMK=MS\*KC NOV=NOMK+1 NQN=NQMK+2 ! Follow Total Nucleation

-350-

```
! Number of Simultaneous O.D.E.s
       NEQ=NQN+numdis
                                               ! Last Q that must stay non-negative
       NRMAX=Neq+3
С
       vm=conmw/6.023e23*1.e-3
                                              ! kg/molecule
С
C***
                  SET THE CONDENSATION FLAG
       IF (IABS(NEWCOF).GE.11) THEN
         ICONDN=0
                                               ! No Condensation
       ELSE
                                               ! Need Condensation Coefficients
         ICONDN=1
       END IF
С
       IF (KTOL.LE.5.AND.IFLAG.EQ.7) IFLAG=-1 ! If using EPI.EXP have shortcut
C
C***
                   SET /INDEX/ POINTERS TO THE COEFFICIENT ARRAY, COEFAV
      IF (IFLAG.GE.0) THEN
NB2A=((MS-2)*(MS-1))/2
NB2B=((MS-1)*MS)/2+NB2A
NB3=NB2B+((MS-1)*MS)/2
NB4=NB3+MS
         NDEPST=NB4+((MS-1)*MS)/2
                                               ! Offset for Deposition Coef.
                                               ! Offset for Growth Coef.
! If cond, NUMCOF= 2*MS*MS + 4*MS
! If cond, NUMCOF= 2*MS*MS + 4*MS
C
C
         NGROW=NDEPST+3*MS
         NUMCOF=NGROW+ICONDN*(3*MS-1)
         NUMCOF=NDEPST
         numcofd=2*numdis*ms+((ms-1)*(ms-2)/2+ms-1)*numdis
         nddcof=numdis*(numdis+1)/2
       END IF
       IF (IFLAG.EQ.-1) IFLAG=1
C
C***
C
                  COMPUTE COEFFICIENTS AS SPECIFIED BY NEWCOF
       IF (NEWCOF.GE.O.AND.NEWCOF.NE.8) THEN
                                                      ! Need to Do Integrals
С
         IF (NEWCOF.LT.5) THEN
                                               ! Try to set NEWSET for (T,P) range
           NÈWSET=NEWCOF
         ELSE IF (NEWCOF.GE.11.AND.NEWCOF.LE.14) THEN
NEWSET=NEWCOF-10
         ELSE IF (NEWCOF.EQ.9) THEN
           NEWSET=2
         END IF
С
         IF (NEWCOF.EQ.5.OR.NEWCOF.EQ.7.OR.NEWCOF.EQ.15) THEN
         ISTART=NDEPST+1
ELSE IF (NEWCOF.EQ.6) THEN
           ISTART=NGROW+1
         ELSE
           ISTART=1
                                                        ! ISTART SET
         END IF
С
         IF (NEWCOF.EQ.5.OR.NEWCOF.GE.11) THEN
           IFNSH=NGROW
         ELSE
           IFNSH=NUMCOF
                                                        ! IFNSH SET
         END IF
С
         CALL COEF (NEWCOF, TGAS1, PGAS1, IPRNT)
C
C
         DO I=ISTART, IFNSH
                                                        ! Transfer to CT1P1
           CT1P1(I)=COEFAV(I)
         END DO
         do i=1,numcofd
j=i+ifnsh
           ctlpl(j)=scoefav(i)
```

-351-

```
end do
          DO I=1,NDDCOF
            J=I+IFNSH+NUMCOFD
            CTIP1(J)=DCOEF(I)
          END DO
          IF (NEWSET.EQ.1 .OR. NEWSET.EQ.3) THEN
CALL COEF(NEWCOF, TGAS2, PGAS1, IPRNT)
            DO I=ISTART, IFNSH
CT2P1(I)=COEFAV(I)
                                                          ! Transfer to CT2P1
            END DO
            do i=1,numcofd
j=i+ifnsh
               ct2pl(j)=scoefav(i)
            end do
            DO I=1,NDDCOF
               J=I+IFNSH+NUMCOFD
               CT2Pl(J)=DCOEF(I)
            END DO
          END IF
          IF (NEWSET.EQ.1 .OR. NEWSET.EQ.4) THEN
CALL COEF(NEWCOF,TGAS1,PGAS2,IPRNT)
            DO I=ISTART, IFNSH
               CT1P2(I)=COEFAV(I)
                                                          ! Transfer to CT1P2
            END DO
            do i=1,numcofd
               j=i+ifnsh
               ctlp2(j)=scoefav(i)
            end do
            DO I=1,NDDCOF
               J=I+IFNSH+NUMCOFD
               CT1P2(J)=DCOEF(I)
            END DO
          END IF
          IF (NEWSET.EQ.1) THEN
            CALL COEF (NEWCOF, TGAS2, PGAS2, IPRNT)
            DO I=ISTART, IFNSH
CT2P2(I)=COEFAV(I)
                                                          ! Transfer to CT2P2
            END DO
            do i=1,numcofd
  j=i+ifnsh
  ct2p2(j)=scoefav(i)
            end do
            DO I=1,NDDCOF
               J=I+IFNSH+NUMCOFD
               CT2P2(J) = DCOEF(I)
            END DO
          END IF
       END IF
                             ! CT#P# arrays set as required by NEWCOF, NEWSET
C
C***
C
C
                   SET ACTIVE COEFAV ARRAY OF COEFFICIENTS
       IF (TGAS.EQ.TGAS1 .AND. PGAS.EQ.PGAS1) THEN
          DO I=1, NUMCOF
            COEFAV(I)=CT1P1(I)
          END DO
          do i=1,numcofd
            j=i+numcof
            scoefav(i)=ctlpl(j)
          end do
          DO I=1,NDDCOF
            J=I+NUMCOF+NUMCOFD
            DCOEF(I)=CT1P1(J)
```

.

-352-

С

С

С

```
END DO
C
C
         LSE ! Linear Interpolation of Available Coefficients in T,P
TZ=(TGAS-TGAS1)/(TGAS2-TGAS1)
PZ=(PGAS-PGAS1)/(PGAS2-PGAS1)
DO L-1 NUCCOE
       ELSE
         DO I=1,NUMCOF
            COEFAV(I) = (1.-TZ) * ((1.-PZ)*CT1P1(I) + PZ*CT1P2(I)) + TZ * ((1.-PZ)*CT2P1(I) + PZ*CT2P2(I))
      $
         END DO
         do i=1,numcofd
            j=i+numcof
            $
          end do
         do i=1,NDDCOF
            j=i+numcof+NUMCOFD
            DCOEF(i)=(1.-tz)*((1.-pz)*ctlpl(j)+pz*ctlp2(j))
      $
                        +tz*((1.-pz)*ct2pl(j)+pź*ct2p2(j))
          end do
       END IF
C
C
C
C
C
C
C
* * *
                   SET GAS PROPERTIES (IN /GAS/ COMMON) TO CURRENT VALUES
       CALL SETGAS(TGAS, PGAS)
                                      ! Set TEMP, PRES, PSAT, DENAIR, FREEMP, VISCOS
       IF (NEWCOF.EQ.8) THEN
          ISTART=NGROW+1
         DO I=ISTART, NUMCOF
            COEFAV(I)=DELSAT*COEFAV(I)
         END DO
       END IF
С
       NEWCOF = -IABS(NEWCOF)
                                      ! Set Negative As Have Desired COEFAV
CCCC
       STORE THE INITIAL DEPOSITION RATES (IN KG/SEC) OF THE K-TH
       COMPONENT ON THE J-TH DEPOSITION SURFACE IN DEPSIT(J,K)
       DO J=1,3
         DO K=1, KC
            DEPSIT(J,K)=ZERO
            DO L=1,MS
       DEPSIT(J,K) = DEPSIT(J,K) + COEFAV(3*(L-1)+NDEPST+J)*O(K+(L-1)*KC)
           END DO
         END DO
       END DO
CCCC
       STORE THE AEROSOL RELEASED OVER THE TIME STEP (IN KG), AND THE INITIAL SUSPENDED OF THE K-TH COMPONENT IN QKSUM(K)
       DO K=1,KC
SORSK=ZERO
         QKSUM(K)=ZERO
         DO L=1,MS
            SORSK=SORSK+PSRATE((L-1)*KC+K)
            QKSUM(K) = QKSUM(K) + \dot{Q}((L-1) + KC + \dot{K})
         END DO
         QKSUM(K) = (QKSUM(K) + SORSK*DELTIM) * VOLUME
       END DO
C
C***
                   STORE THE INITIAL CONDENSATION RATE (of KC) IN CONDNS
С
       IF (ICONDN.NE.0) THEN
                                               ! Condensation
         DO L=1,MS
```

-353-

```
SUM=ZERO
              DO K=1,KC
                SUM = SUM + Q(KC * (L-1) + K)
              END DO
              QT(L)=SUM
           END DO
           QVAP=Q(NQV)*DENAIR
                                                                   ! Must set for CALCON
           CALL CALCON(QT,QVAP,SR,CONDNS,Z)
                                                                   ! Find CONDNS
        END IF
C
C***
C
                      CALL THE TIME INTEGRATION PACKAGE TO TAKE A TIME STEP
        TOUT=TIME+DELTIM
                                                        ! Destination Time
C
C
  70 WRITE(3,235) Nrmax,TIME,H0,TOUT,AERROR,ABSE,KTOL,MF,IFLAG
235 FORMAT(/5X,'ON CALL TO DRIVES:'/' NEQN=',I3,3X,'TIME=',1PE9.2,
$ 3X,'STEP=',E10.2,3X,'TOUT=',E9.2/' RELE=',E9.2,4X
$ 'ABSE=',E9.2,4X,'KTOL=',I4,4X,'MF=',I4,4X,'IFLAG=',I4/)
С
        CALL DRIVE(Nrmax, TIME, H0, Q, TOUT, AERROR, KTOL, MF, IFLAG)
С
Ĉ
        IF THE CONCENTRATION OF A COMPONENT GOES NEGATIVE, SET IT TO
Č
        ZERO AND RESET IFLAG TO -1 TO RESTART TIME INTEGRATION
        IF (IFLAG.EQ.0 .OR. IFLAG.EQ.-7) THEN
                                                                   ! No serious error
           NÈRRS=0
           QNMASS=ZERO
           DO I=1, NRMAX
              IF (Q(I).LT.ZERO) THEN
                 INDY=7
                                             1
                                               With RK, IFLAG=-1
                 NERRS=NERRS+1
                                             ! Keep track of number of negatives
                 QNMASS=QNMASS-Q(I)
                                             ! Negative Mass this DELTIM time period
                 Q(I)=ZERO
                                             ! Correct negative mass to zero
              END IF
           END DO
C
C
           TNMASS=TNMASS+QNMASS
  INMASS-INMASS (NMASS)

IF (NERRS.GT.0) WRITE(4,840) TIME,NERRS,QNMASS*1.E9,TNMASS*1.E9

840 FORMAT(/' AT TIME', 1PE10.3, ' THERE WERE ',13,

$ ' NEGATIVE MASS SECTIONS FOUND'/

$ ' NEGATIVE MASS ELIMINATED WAS',1P2E13.3, ' UG/CU.M.'/)
С
                                             ! reduce h0 step size and integrate on
           if (iflag.eq.-7) then
              iflag=7
                                             ! flag that y is changed slightly
              deltim=tout-time
             h0=h0/10.
goto 70
           enð if
           if (indy.eq.7) then
iflag=7
                                             ! must start again for negative mass
             h0=h0/10.
                                            ! reduce step size
        end if
end if
С
С
        IF (IFLAG.GE.0) THEN
           RETURN
        ELSE
           WRITE(IPRNT, 27) IFLAG, TIME
С
    27 FORMAT(//' EPISODE ERROR NUMBER', 14, 3X, 'SEE EPISODE LISTING'/
$ 3X, 'TIME REACHED WHEN ERROR OCCURED =', E11.4//)
    WRITE(IPRNT,29) (Q(I),I=1,NEQ)
29 FORMAT(' VALUES OF Q ARRAY'/(1P8E10.2))
С
           RETURN
```

-354-

END IF С END

.

```
SUBROUTINE NLIST(IO)
С
PURPOSE:
             SUBROUTINE TO LIST THE CONDITIONS FOR SIMULATION AND THE PROPERTIES
             OF CONDENSIBLE SPECIES.
     ON ENTRY:
                         File Number to Write Out To
            IO
             /NUCL#/ varibles preset
     ON RETURN:
            All unchanged.
     COMMENTS:
            None.
   С
          include 'index.inc'
         include 'parmk.inc'
INCLUDE 'PCONS.INC'
                                                  ! Numerical constants
         include 'sizes.inc'
          include 'xsizes.inc'
         INCLUDE 'FLAGS.INC'
INCLUDE 'TPSET.INC'
С
         PARAMETER ( AN = 6.023E+23 ) ! Avogadro's Number, molecules/mole
PARAMETER ( BK = 8.314/6.023E16 ) ! Boltzmann Constant, erg/K/molecule
REAL MW ! Molecular Weight
COMMON /NUCL0/ T,VP,MW,DENSTY,SURTEN,RMS,PGAS
COMMON /NUCL1/ SUE,RSCALE,TB,TS,DIMSOR,WEIGHT
COMMON /NUCL2/ VL,VM,DIAM,SAM,CS,VELQ,VPAT,DSMIN,DIKELV
COMMON /TRANS/ DIFFUS,DIMDIM,BCE
С
            WRITE(10,5)
WRITE(10,50) DOKELV,DONUCL,DOCOND,DOSCONST
             WRITE(IO,60) DOINIT, DOCOAG, DOCOAGD, DOEVAP, SI
             WRITE(10,61) MS,KC, NUMDIS
С
            IF (CAO.LE.O.) GO TO 1
WRITE(IO,71)
WRITE(IO,73) CAO,RKA
C
l
            CONTINUE
C
            WRITE(IO,10)
WRITE(IO,20) SUE
IF (DTF.LE.0) THEN
                WRITE(IO,23)TGAS1
             ELSE
               WRITE(IO, 25) TGAS1, TGAS2, DTF
            END IF
WRITE(IO,30) VPAT
            WRITE(IO,32) MW,DENSTY,SURTEN
WRITE(IO,34) DIFFUS
WRITE(IO,36) 1.E4*DIAM,1.E4*DIKELV
WRITE(IO,38) RMS
С
            RETURN
С
         FORMAT(/15X,'*** OPTIONS OF THIS SIMULATION ***'/)
FORMAT(/15X,'*** CONDENSING SYSTEM PROPERTIES ***'/)
FORMAT(' Dimensionless Surface Energy =',1PF10.3)
FORMAT(' Temperature =',1pE10.3,' K')
5
10
20
23
```

-356-

FORMAT(' Temperature ranges :',lPEl0.3,'-',lPEl0.3,' K with l gradient =',lPEl0.3,' K/sec') FORMAT(' V.P. at initial temperature =',lPEl0.3,' atm') FORMAT(' MW =',F7.2,4X,'Density =',F6.3,4X,'Surface Tension =', l F8.3,' dyne/cm') FORMAT(' Diffusivity =',F7.4,' cm\*cm/sec') FORMAT(' Diameters: Monomer =',F7.4,' um',4X, l 'Kelvin =',F7.4,' um') FORMAT(' Mass Source Rate =',lPEl0.3,' ug/cu.m./sec'/) FORMAT(' Flags: KELV =',Ll,' NUCL =',Ll,' COND =',Ll, l ' Sconst =',Ll/) FORMAT(' Flags: INIT =',Ll,' COAG =',Ll,' COAGD =',Ll, l ' DOEVAP =',Ll,' SI =',Ll/) FORMAT(' Ms =',I5,' Kc =',I5,' Numdis =',I5//) FORMAT(' Other chemical reactions but silane occur wiht ') FORMAT(' Ca =',lPEl0.3,' mol/cu.cm. ','Ka =',lPEl0.3,' /sec'//) END 73

END

-357-

SUBROUTINE PRESET(TEMP, PRES, RATEG) С PURPOSE: To initialize cgs /NUCLO/ from MKS PHYSPT COMMONs. Used to interface standard cgs nucleation routine J with the Multicomponent Aerosol Code. ON ENTRY: Temperature [K] Pressure, total [Pa] Condensible Generation Rate [kg/cu.m/sec] TEMP PRES RATEG /CONDNS/ variables set /STOKES/ DENSTY (a.k.a. DENMKS) set ON RETURN: /NUCLO/ variables all set. /TRANS/ DIFFUS,BCE set. COMMENTS: Should be called once at beginning by Main program. \*\*\*\*\*\* For CGS Nucleation Subroutine: REAL MW COMMON /NUCLO/ T,VP,MW,DENSTY,SURTEN,RMS,PGAS COMMON /TRANS/ DIFFUS,DIMDIM,BCE C C\*\*\* C From MKS Main Program: (DENSTY & SURTEN renamed) COMMON /CONDNS/ DELSAT,CONMW,GASMW,SIGMA,DIFF,BETACE COMMON /STOKES/ DENMKS COMMON /GAS/ TEM,PRE,PSAT D C C\*\*\* INCLUDE 'GAS.INC' ! PSAT Equate or Interconvert Variables Ĉ T=TEMP ! K from K dynes/sq.cm from Pascals vapor pressure Molecular Weight g/cc from kg/cu.m VP=10.\*PSAT 1 MW=CONMW DENSTY=1.E-3\*DENMKS ! dynes/cm from newtons/m ! ug/cu.m from kg/cu.m source rate ! dynes/sq.cm from Pascals total pressure SURTEN=1.E3\*SIGMA RMS=1.E9\*RATEG PGAS=10.\*PRES С DIFFUS=1.E4\*DIFF ! cm\*cm/sec from m\*m/sec
! beta in Chapmann-Enskog collision theory BCE=BETACE С RETURN END

-358-

```
SUBROUTINE PRINFO(IP, METHOD)
นชั่นขนบนขนบนขนบนขนนน้
         PURPOSE:
      To Print a Brief Header Naming the Time Integration Package and
       Parameters Used In the Simulation.
  ON ENTRY:
      ΙP
                 Logical Unit Number for Output Device or File
      METHOD
                 CHAR*8 Name of Time Integration Package
  ON RETURN:
      All variables unchanged.
  COMMENTS:
      Nonessential subroutine; may be called once early by Main Program.
  С
    INCLUDE 'PARINT.INC'
INCLUDE 'PHYSPT.INC'
INCLUDE 'FLAGS.INC'
                            ! COMMON for Integration Parameters
    CHARACTER*8 METHOD
 RETURN
    END
```

```
SUBROUTINE PRINTO(Q, TIME, VOLU, IFLAG, IPRNT)
PURPOSE:
              This routine prints outs the size distribution after each specified time is reached.
     ON ENTRY:
             Q
TIME
                                        Array of Sectional Mass Concentrations [kg/cu.m]
                                        Current Time [sec]
                          Volume of Container [cu.m]
Initialization Flag (1 if first call)
Logical Unit Number of Output Device or File
Also numerous COMMON block variables must be set.
              VOLU
              IFLAG
              IPRNT
     ON RETURN:
             All variables unchanged.
     COMMENTS:
             Set for 80 column wide output.
       include 'index.inc' ! common for sectional p
INCLUDE 'PARMK.INC' ! Dimensioning
INCLUDE 'PCONS.INC' ! Numerical parameters of
INCLUDE 'SIZES.INC' ! COMMON for Sectional S
INCLUDE 'DEPSIT.INC' ! COMMON for Gas Propert
INCLUDE 'GAS.INC' ! COMMON for Gas Propert
INCLUDE 'FLAGS.INC' ! COMMON for Simulation
COMMON /CONDNS/ DELSAT,CONMW,GASMW
COMMON /STOKES/ DENSTY
COMMON /STOKES/ DENSTY
COMMON /NUCL1/ SUE,RSCALE,TB,TS,DIMSOR,WEIGHT
COMMON /NUCL2/ VL,VM,DIAM1,SAM,CS,VELQ,VPAT,DSMIN
DIMENSION Q(NEMAX),QTV(MMAX),CUMDEP(8),QTN(MMAX)
                                                     ! common for sectional pointers
                                                    ! Numerical parameters (RGAS,PI)
! COMMON for Sectional Sizes
! COMMON for Deposited Mass Array
! COMMON for Gas Properties
! COMMON for Gas Properties
                                                     ! COMMON for Simulation Flags
С
          DATA DT0 / 0. / ! Initial dimensionless time (assumes no vapor)
С
С
             nqmk=ms*kc
             nqv=nqmk+1
             ngn=ngmk+2
             neq=nqn+numdis
С
             С
\mathbf{C}
          q(nqv)=q(nqn+1)*Vm
QVAP=Q(NQV)*DENAIR
QREF=WEIGHT*CS
                                                     ! kg/kg
                                                     Vapor Mass Concentration KG/Cu.m.
Mass Density of Saturated Vapor
                                                     ! Boundary between nucleation and condensation
          DIN=DS(1)
С
          IF (IFLAG.EQ.1) THEN
                                                     ! IFLAG=1 to Initialize
             CUMTOT=ZERO
             DO I=1,KC
                CUMDEP(I)=ZERO
                                                    ! Initialize to no previous deposition
          END DO
END IF
С
          SUM=0.
                                                     ! UG/KG
          COUNT=0.
          SURFAC=0.
          DAV=0.
```

```
-360-
```

С if (numdis.eq.1) go to 6 С do 5 J=NQN+2, NEQ ddiam(J-nqn)=diaml\*(J-nqn)\*\*(1./3.)\*1.e-2 qtn(J)=q(J)\*1.e-3 ! #/GM ! meter dt(Ĵ)=q(Ĵ)\*vm\*(J-nqn)\*1.e9 ! ug/KG sum=sum+qt(J) COUNT=COUNT+QTN(J) surfac=surfac+q(J)\*pi\*ddiam(J-nqn)\*\*2\*l.el ! cm\*cm/GM DAV=DAV+QTN(J)\*DDIAM(J-NQN) C 5 continue С 6 continue ddiam(1)=diam1\*1.e-2 ! monomer diameter in meter qt(nqn+1)=Q(NQV)\*1.e9 qtn(nqn+1)=Q(NQV)/vm\*1.e-3 SUM=SUM+Q(NQN+1)\*VM\*1.E9 ! monomer mass in ug/KG ٢ monomer number in #/GM ! UG/KG COUNT=COUNT+Q(NQN+1)/1.E3surfac=surfac+qtn(nqn+1)\*pi\*diaml\*\*2
DAV=DAV+QTN(NQN+1)\*DDIAM(1) ! cm\*cm/GM С DO I=1,MS QT(I)=ZERO DO J=1,KC QT(I) = QT(I) + Q(J + KC \* (I-1)) \* 1.E9! ug/KG size I END DO SUM=SUM+QT(I) ! Note QT(I) units: ug/KG total VHMEAN=ALOG(VS(I+1)/VS(I))/(1./VS(I)-1./VS(I+1)) ! kg n Remember: VS, VHMEAN is particle mass in Kilograms ! kg mean particle С DHMEAN=ALOG(DS(I+1))/DS(I))/(1./DS(I)-1./DS(I+1))С DDIAM(NUMDIS+I)=DHMEAN Note: DS, DHMEAN is particle diameter in Meters FACTAV=6./DENSTY/DHMEAN С ! sq.m. / kq aerosol С  $QTN(I) = QT(I) / VHMEAN \times 1.E - 12$ ! #/GM С COUNT=COUNT+QTN(I) SURFAC=SURFAC+QT(1)\*FACTAV\*1.E-8 ! cm\*cm/GM DAV=DAV+QTN(I)\*DHMEAN END DO С WRITE(IPRNT,10) TIME,SUM,(ddiam(i-nqn),qt(i),qtn(i), \$ i=nqn+1,neq) С TYPE 10, TIME, SUM, (ddiam(i-nqn), qt(i), qtn(i), \$ i=nqn+1,neq) С write(iprnt,12) (ds(i),ds(i+1),qt(i),qtn(i), \$ I=1,MS) С TYPE l2,(ds(i),ds(i+l),qt(i),qtn(i), \$ I=1,MS) C C FORMAT(///25X,' TIME =', lPGl0.4,' SEC'//
\$ 8X,'TOTAL SUSPENDED MASS =', lPEll.4,' UG/KG'//
\$ 8X,'DIAMETER RANGE (MICRON)', 2X,'UG/KG','
\$ (4x,6pfl0.4,15x,1PEl3.3,1PEl3.3))
format(4X,6PFl0.4,' --',6PFl0.4,1PEl3.3,1PEl3.3) 10 #/GM'/ 12 С WRITE(IPRNT, 13) COUNT, SURFAC С TYPE 13, COUNT, SURFAC

-361-

FORMAT(/' TOTAL NUMBER =', 1PE11.3, ' #/GM', 6X, S 'TOTAL SURFACE AREA=', 1PE11.3, ' Sq.Cm./GM') 13 WRITE(IPRNT, 14) DENAIR, temp С TYPE 14,DENAIR,temp FORMAT(/' DENSITY OF CARRYING GAS=',1PE11.3,' KG/Cu.m.',6X, ' TEMPERATURE=',1PE11.3,' K') 14 C C IF (COUNT.LE.O.) GO TO 1099 00000000 DAV=DAV/COUNT ! METER STDEV=0. DO I=1, NUMDIS STDEV=STDEV+(DDIAM(I)-DAV)\*\*2\*QTN(NQN+I) END DO 00000000 DO I=1,MS STDEV=STDEV+(DDIAM(NUMDIS+I)-DAV)\*\*2\*QTN(I) END DO STDEV=(STDEV/COUNT)\*\*0.5
COUNT=COUNT\*DENSTY\*1.E3 С #/CU.M. SUM=SUM\*DENSTY\*1.E-9 ! KG/CU.M. С TYPE \*,rka С 1099 CONTINUE SR=SRATIO(QVAP) ! ( IF (TS.GE.ZERO) THEN DIMT=DT0+TIME/TS ! Calculate SR from QVAP=SR\*PSAT\*CONMW/RGAS/TEMP ELSE DIMT=DT0+TIME/TB END IF IF (SAVDIS) WRITE(26,60) TIME,SR,DIMT FORMAT(1X,1P3E15.5,4X,'t , S , td') DO I=1,MS IF (SAVDIS) THEN DÌMEAN=1.E6\*SQRT(DS(I))\*SQRT(DS(I+1)) ! mean dp in microns DIMQ=QT(I)/QREF DELX=ALOG10(DS(I+1)/DS(I)) WRITE(26,61) DIMEAN, DIMO, DELX FORMAT(1X, 1P3E15.5) END IF END DO IF (SAVDIS) THEN DO I=NQN+1, NEQ DIMEAN=1.E6\*DDIAM(I-NQN) WRITE (26,62) DIMÈAN,QT(I),QTN(I) END DO DO I=1,MS DIMEAN=1.E6\*SQRT(DS(I)\*DS(I+1)) WRITE (26,62) DIMEAN, QT(I), QTN(I) END DO END IF 

#### -362-

```
C-
       $ 11x,'1',12x,'2',12x,'3',12x,'4',12x,'5',12x,'6',12x,'7',12x,'8')
            DO I=1, MS
               WRITE(IPRNT,19) DS(I),DS(I+1),(1.E9*Q(J+KC*(I-1)),J=1,KC)
FORMAT(6PF11.4,' --',6PF10.4,2X,1P8E13.3)
    19
            END DO
С
            DO I=1,KC
                QT(I) = ZERO
                DO L=1,MS
                   QT(I) = QT(I) + Q(I + KC * (L-1)) * 1.E9
                                                                         ! ug/cu.m. of comp I
                END DO
            END DO
    WRITE(IPRNT, 34)(QT(I), I=1, KC)
34 FORMAT(/35X, 'TOTAL OF EACH COMPONENT (UG/M**3)'/26X, 1P8E13.4)
            DO K=1,KC
               QT(K) = QT(K) * VOLU
                                                                           ! uq of component K
            END DO
            WRITE(IPRNT,15) (QT(K),K=1,KC)
FORMAT(61X,'uG'/26X,1P8G13.3)
    15
С
         END IF
     IF (DODVAP) WRITE(IPRNT,36) SR
36 FORMAT(/1X,'SATURATION RATIO=',G13.4/)
С
         IF (IFLAG.EQ.1) RETURN
                                                               ! First printout so no changes
C
C***
                         Handle Deposition
         IF (DODEPO) THEN
            DÓ K=1,KČ
               QT(K) = ZERO
DO J=1,3
                  QT(K) = QT(K) + DEPSIT(J,K) + 1.E9
                                                                         ! ug of component K deposited
                END DO
            END DO
            TOTDEP=ZERO
            DO K=1,KC
                TOTDEP=TOTDEP+QT(K)
                                                               ! ug total deposited in time period
            END DO
            CUMTOT=CUMTOT+TOTDEP
                                                               ! ug deposited from start time
            WRITE(IPRNT,2) TOTDEP,CUMTOT
FORMAT(/15X,'TOTAL DEPOSITED MASS =',1PG10.4,' UG',3X,
'CUMULATIVE =',G10.4,' UG')
2
        Ś
С
         IF (KC.GT.1.AND.TOTDEP.GT.0.) THEN ! Multicompo
IF (DELDEP.GT.0.) THEN ! Unified de
WRITE(IPRNT,8)(1.E9*DEPSIT(1,K),K=1,KC)
FORMAT(45X,'COMPONENT (uG)'/6X,'CEILING',12X,1P8G13.4)
WRITE(IPRNT,9)(1.E9*DEPSIT(2,K),K=1,KC)
FORMAT(6X,'VERTICAL WALLS',5X,1P8G13.4)
                                                                           ! Multicomponent Mass Deposited
                                                                           ! Unified deposition rate
8
9
         FORMAT(0A, 'VERTICAL WALLS', 5X, 1P8G13.4)
WRITE(IPRNT, 39)(1.E9*DEPSIT(3,K),K=1,KC)
FORMAT(6X,'FLOOR',14X,1P8G13.4)
WRITE(IPRNT,4)(QT(K),K=1,KC)
FORMAT(/30X,'TOTAL DEPOSITED OF EACH COMPONENT (UG)'/25X,
1P8G13.4)
END IE
39
4
        $
                END IF
С
               DO K=1,KC
                  CUMDEP(K)=CUMDEP(K)+QT(K) ! Component deposition since start
                END DO
               WRITE(IPRNT,7) (CUMDEP(K),K=1,KC)
FORMAT(30X,'CUMULATIVE DEPOSITED (UG)'/25X,1P8G13.4)
7
            END IF
         END IF
C
C***
C
                        Handle Nucleation
```

-363-

С	IF (DONUCL) THEN
С	TNUC=Q(NQN)/(PI*DENSTY*(DIN**3)/6.) ! #/cu.m. nuclei formed
С	Unfortunately DIN is inconsistent way of estimating nuclei size
С	VHMEAN=ALOG(VS(2)/VS(1))/(1./VS(1)-1./VS(2)) ! kg mean particle
С	TNUC=Q(NQN)/VHMEAN*1.E-6 ! #/CC
С	IF (TNUC.NE.O.) WRITE(IPRNT,190) Q(NQN)*1.E9,TNUC
c190	FORMAT(/T5, 'Total Nucleation has been', 1PE12.3, 'ug/cu.m. or',
С	\$ E14.3,' #/cc')
С	END IF
С	
С	write(iprnt,36) sr
С	
	RETURN

.

END

-364-

```
SUBROUTINE RHODD(V,D,RHO)
PURPOSE:
          To Interconvert Particle Mass and Diameter.
          Whichever one is set to zero will be calculated from the other.
    ON ENTRY:
                                                  Note: Set to 0. if to be found from D Note: Set to 0. if to be found from V
          V
                    Particle Mass [kg]
                    Particle Diameter [m]
          D
    ON RETURN:
          V, D are set.
          RHO
                   (Constant) Particle Density [kg/cu.m]
    COMMENTS:
          This routine is not adequate for multicomponent aerosols with
components of differing densities. As written, RHODD merely
returns the set DENSITY (now 1.E3 Kg/cu.m.) and interconverts
particle mass (V) and diameter(D). To be more complete, a volume
average density over all sectional components could be used.
      INCLUDE 'PCONS.INC'
INCLUDE 'PHYSPT.INC'
                                        ! Numerical constants
                                        ! MKS physical properties
       RHO=DENSTY
       IF (V.LE.ZERO) THEN
          IF (D.GT.ZERO) THEN

V = 3.1416/6.* D*D*D * RHO ! Volume of Sphere
          ELSE
            TYPE 10, V,D
                                                            ! Nothing Known
          END IF
       ELSE
          IF (D.LE.ZERO) THEN
          D = (6.*V/(PI*RHO)) ** 0.3333
ELSE
                                                           ! Diameter of Sphere
            TYPE 10, V,D
                                                            ! Nothing Unknown
       END IF
END IF
RETURN
10
       FORMAT(' RHODD Arg Error:',4X,'V=',1PE12.3,4X,'D=',1PE12.3)
       END
```

.

-365-

```
SUBROUTINE SETGAS(TGAS, PGAS)
PURPOSE:
          To set gas properties kept in /GAS/ COMMON.
    ON ENTRY:
                              Gas Temperature [K]
Gas Total Pressure [Pa]
          TGAS
          PGAS
    ON RETURN:
                              Gas Temperature [K]
Gas Total Pressure [Pa]
Saturation Vapor Pressure [Pa]
Gas Density [kg/cu.m]
Gas Mean Free Path [m]
Gas Viscosity
          /GAS/
                    TEMP
          .
                    PRES
          11
                    PSAT
          11
                    DENAIR
                    FREEMP
          11
           17
                    VISCOS
    COMMENTS:
"Gas" refers to the background gas, in this case, air.
This SETGAS version is for air only.
          Routine only called once unless temperature or pressure change.
       INCLUDE 'PCONS.INC'
INCLUDE 'GAS.INC'
                                         ! Numerical constants
                                         ! COMMON for gas properties
        COMMON /CONDNS/ DELSAT, CONMW, GASMW
С
        TEMP=TGAS
PRES=PGAS
       PSAT should be determined as a function of TEMP.
For now it is assumed PSAT was set earlier and is fixed.
DENAIR=1.21E-4*PGAS*GASMW/TGAS
DENAIR=1.
C
C
С
        VISCOS=.003661*TGAS
VISCOS=.0066164*VISCOS*SQRT(VISCOS)/(TGAS+114.)
        FREEMP=VISCOS/DENAIR*SQRT(1.89E-4*GASMW/TGAS)
С
        RETURN
        END
```

-366-

```
FUNCTION SRATIO(QVAP)
                                           ! Finds SRATIO using MKS values
*****
    PURPOSE:
           To Calculate the Current Saturation Ratio.
    ON ENTRY:
                                 Vapor Mass Concentration [kg/cu.m]
Molecular Weight of Condensible
Vapor Temperature [K]
Vapor Pressure of Condensible [Pa]
           QVAP
           /CONDNS/CONMW
           /GAS/
                      TEMP
           11
                      PSAT
    ON RETURN:
           SRATIO
                                 Saturation Ratio (Pl/PSAT) [-]
    COMMENTS:
          Used when a D.E. is used to follow the vapor concentration,
i.e., when DODVAP is .TRUE.
Note QVAP = Q(NQV), where vapor subscript NQV=MS*KC+1
   INCLUDE 'PCONS.INC' ! Numerical Constants (RGAS)
INCLUDE 'GAS.INC' ! COMMON for TEMP,PSAT
COMMON /CONDNS/ DELSAT,CONMW ! CONMW needed
SRATIO=QVAP*RGAS*TEMP/CONMW/PSAT ! MKS Partial Pressure Ratio
        RETURN
        END
```

### -368-

SUBROUTINE STORE (IODIR, NEWCOF, TGAS, PGAS, IPRNT, SNAME) PURPOSE: To Store/Restore Sectional Coefficients To/From a Data File. This saves the effort of recalculating coefficients each time the program is run. ON ENTRY: IODIR Determines Direction of Data Transfer: l = Input from File 0 = Output to File NEWCOF Flag to control calculation of sectional coef. Gas Temperature [K] TGAS Gas Total Pressure [Pa] Logical Unit Number for Output Messages Coefficient File Name (CHAR\*20) PGAS IPRNT SNAME ON RETURN: COEFAV array is filled if IODIR=1 All other variables unchanged. INCLUDE 'PARMK.INC' Dimensioning INCLUDE 'PHYSPT.INC' COMMON for Physical Properties COMMON for Sectional Pointers COMMON for Sectional Coefficients 'INDEX.INC' INCLUDE 'AVGCOF.INC' INCLUDE 'SIZES.INC' COMMON for Sectional Boundaries INCLUDE INCLUDE 'SIZES.INC' ! COMMON FOR Sectional Boundari INCLUDE 'FLAGS.INC' ! COMMON FOR LOGIC VARIABLES COMMON /DBLK/ CT1P1(NCMAX),CT1P2(NCMAX),CT2P1(NCMAX),CT2P2(NCMAX) EQUIVALENCE (PPROP1,ACELOV),(PPROP2,DELDEP),(PPROP3,PSAT), (PPROP4,DENSTY),(PPROP5,FTHERM) С Ś CHARACTER\*20 SNAME Coefficient File Name CHARACTER\*6 AJ ! Dummy to Read in Label (of COMMON) DIMENSION DIAM(MMAX1) ! Diameter consists of MS+1 elements DIMENSION PPROP1(4), PPROP2(3), PPROP3(6), PPROP4(5), PPROP5(5) DIMENSION OPROP1(4), OPROP2(3), OPROP3(6), OPROP4(5), OPROP5(5) WALLS С Labels: CHAMBR CONDNS STOKES THERM Č С msnu=numdis\*ms C IF (IODIR.EQ.0) THEN ! ON IODIR=0, Output to File SNAME (.CO) OPEN (UNIT=2,FILE=SNAME,STATUS='NEW') WRITE(2,29) WRITE(2,30) NEWCOF,MS,KC,TGAS,PGAS write(2,50) numdis WRITE(2,31) 'CHAMBR',PPROPI 'CHAMBR', PPROP1 'WALLS', PPROP2 'CONDNS', PPROP3 'STOKES', PPROP4 'THERM', PPROP5 WRITE(2,31) WRITE(2,31) WRITE(2,31) WRITE(2,31) WRITE(2,31) WRITE(2,32) NB2A, NB2B, NB3, NB4, NDEPST, NGROW, NUMCOF, NUMCOFD, NDDCOF WRITE(2,38) WRITE(2,33) (DS(I),I=1,MS+1) WRITE(2,\*) 'BETA lB (Growth from Adjacent Sections)'
WRITE(2,33) (COEFAV(I),I=1,NB2A)
WRITE(2,\*) 'BETA 2A (Loss by Coagulation with Smaller)'
WRITE(2,33) (COEFAV(I),I=NB2A+1,NB2B)
WRITE(2,\*) 'BETA 2B (Gain by Coagulation with Smaller)'
WRITE(2,33) (COEFAV(I),I=NB2B+1,NB3)
WRITE(2,\*) 'BETA 3B (Self Coagulation Losses)'
WRITE(2,33) (COEFAV(I),I=NB3+1,NB4)
WRITE(2,\*) 'BETA 4 (Loss by Coagulation with Larger)' 0000000000

```
WRITE(2,33) (COEFAV(I), I=NB4+1, NDEPST)
          write(2,*) 'scavenging coagulation'
write(2,*) 'beta 1'
          write(2,33) (scoefav(i),i=1,msnu)
write(2,*) 'beta 2'
         write(2,*) 'beta 2'
write(2,33) (scoefav(i),i=msnu+1,2*msnu)
write(2,*) 'beta 3'
write(2,*) 'beta 3'
          write(2,33) (scoefav(i),i=2*msnu+1,2*msnu+((ms-1)*(ms
    $
                                  -2)/2+ms-1)*numdis)
          write(2,*) 'coagulation coefficients for discrete region'
write(2,33) (dcoef(i),i=1,numdis*(numdis+1)/2)
          write(2, *) 'evaporation coefficient for discrete and section'
          write(2,33) (evp(i),i=1,numdis+ms)
          WRITE(2,*) 'WALL DEPOSITION (per second)'
          WRITE(2,33) (COEFAV(I), I=NDEPST+1, NGROW)
          WRITE(2,*) 'CONDENSATIONAL GROWTH'
          WRITE(2,33) (COEFAV(I), I=NGROW+1, NUMCOF)
22 FORMAT(1X)
29 FORMAT(' ----- MAEROS COEFFICIENT FILE -----')
30 FORMAT(' NEWCOF=',I3,3X,'MS=',I3,3X,'KC=',I3,3X,
   $ 'TGAS=',lPG16.8,3X,'PGAS=',lPG16.8)
50 format(' numdis=',i3)
31 FORMAT(1X,A6,4X,1P7G16.8)
32 FORMAT(' INDICES:',5X,7I6)
33 FORMAT(1X,5(1PE12.4))
38 FORMAT(' SECTIONAL DIAMETERS IN METERS')
40 FORMAT(' NEWCOF=',I3,3X,'MS=',I3,3X,'KC=',I3,3X,
   $ 'TGAS=',G16.8,3X,'PGAS=',G16.8)
60 format(' numdis=',i3)
41 FORMAT(1X,A6,4X,7G16.8)
43 FORMAT(1X,A6,4X,7G16.8)
43 FORMAT(1X,5(1PE12.4))
53 FORMAT(1X,5(1PE12.4))
 22 FORMAT(1X)
      ELSE
                                        ! On IODIR=1, Read coefficients from STORAGE.CO
          OPEN (UNIT=2, FILE=SNAME, STATUS='OLD')
             DO I=1,8
                 READ(2,22)
             END DO
             READ(2,32) NB2A, NB2B, NB3, NB4, NDEPST, NGROW, NUMCOF, NUMCOFD, NDDCOF
          NJUMP=(MS+1)/5+2
             DO I=1,NJUMP
                 READ(2,22)
             END DO
          READ(2,22)
         READ(2,53)
READ(2,22)
READ(2,53)
                              (CT1P1(I), I=1, NB2A)
                              (CT1P1(I), I=NB2A+1, NB2B)
         READ(2,53) (CTIP1(I),I=NB2A+I,NB2B)

READ(2,22)

READ(2,22)

READ(2,22)

READ(2,53) (CTIP1(I),I=NB3+1,NB4)

READ(2,22)

READ(2,23) (CTIP1(I),I=NB4+1,NDEPST)
         READ(2,22)
READ(2,22)
READ(2,53) (CT1P1(NUMCOF+I),i=1,msnu)
```

-369-

С

С

С

С

С

С

```
READ(2,22)
READ(2,53) (CT1P1(NUMCOF+I),i=msnu+1,2*msnu)
READ(2,22)
READ(2,53) (CT1P1(NUMCOF+I),i=2*msnu+1,2*msnu+((ms-1)*(ms
-2)/2+ms-1)*numdis)
READ(2,53) (CT1P1(NUMCOF+NUMCOFD+I),i=1,numdis*(numdis+1)/2)
C
READ(2,53) (cT1P1(NUMCOF+NUMCOFD+I),i=1,numdis*(numdis+1)/2)
C
READ(2,53) (evp(i),i=1,numdis+ms)
END IF
CLOSE (2)
RETURN
C
900 WRITE(IPRNT,910) SNAME ! SNAME is for different conditions
910 FORMAT(/' *** PROPERTIES INCONSISTENT WITH ',A20,' ***'/)
CLOSE (2)
IODIR=-1 ! Flag that file was not appropriate
RETURN ! Program must compute it's own COEFAV
END
```

-370-

# -371-

APDATA.INC

C C AVGCOF.INC

C C

COMMON /AVGCOF/ COEFAV(NCMAX),SCOEFAV(NDSMAX), \* DCOEF(NDDMAX),EVP(NEMAX) COMMON /DBLK/ CT1P1(NCMAX),CT1P2(NCMAX),CT2P1(NCMAX),CT2P2(NCMAX)

### CHOOSE.INC

## INCLUDE 'FLAGS.INC'

C C
С

FLAGS.INC COMMON for Control Flags LOGICAL\*1 DOINIT,DOSORC,DODEPO,DOCOAG,DOCOAGD,DOCOND LOGICAL\*1 DOLIMT,DONUCL,DOKELV LOGICAL\*1 USEBCE,SI,DOEVAP,DOSCONST LOGICAL\*1 DEBUGJ COMMON /CFLAGS/ DOINIT,DOSORC,DODEPO,DOCOAG,DOCOAGD,DOCOND, \$ DOLIMT, \$ SI,DOEVAP,DOSCONST COMMON /NFLAGS/ DOKELV,DONUCL,USEBCE COMMON /SFLAGS/ DEBUGJ

C C C GAS.INC

C C

COMMON /GAS/ TEMP, PRES, PSAT, DENAIR, FREEMP, VISCOS ! Gas Properties

INDEX.INC

-376-

COMMON /INDEX/ MS,KC,NQV,NQN, \$ NB2A,NB2B,NB3,NB4,NDEPST,NGROW,ICONDN,NUMCOF, \$ NUMDIS,NUMCOFD,NDDCOF,TOTMASSP,RATIO,DTF,PSIH40, \$ RKA,CA0 ! Pointers

C C

C NEMAX.INC C

PARAMETER ( NEMAX = 140 )	! NEMAX.INC : 100 Simultaneous ODEs
PARAMETER ( NDMAX = 30 )	! maximum of discrete variables

-
PARMK.INC

C C

INCLUDE 'N PARAMETER	EMAX.INC' ( MKMAX=NEMAX-2 )	! Set NEMAX ! Maximum Diff. Eq. for Q's
PARAMETER	( MMAX=NEMAX , MMAX1=MMAX+1 )	! Maximum Sections
PARAMETER	( NDSMAX=2*NDMAX*(NEMAX-NDMAX)+	((NEMAX-NDMAX-1)
\$	*(NEMAX-NDMAX-2)/2+NEMAX-NDMAX-	1)*NDMAX)
PARAMETER	(NDDMAX=NDMAX*(NDMAX+1)/2)	. ,
PARAMETER	( NCMAX=2*(NEMAX-NDMAX)*(2+NEMA	X-NDMAX)+
\$	ndsmax+NDDMAX )	! Numbér Coefficients
PARAMETER	( NWMAX=6*NEMAX+3 )	! WORK Array

-378-

PCONS.INC

C C

PARAMETER ( ZERO=0. , ONE=1. , TWO=2. ) PARAMETER ( PI = 3.1416 ) PARAMETER ( RGAS = 8.314E3 ) ! MKS 00000

PHYSPT.INC

PHYSPT.INC to establish uniform COMMON for physical properties COMMON Variables Initialized and Described in APDATA.INC

COMMON /CONDNS/ DELSAT,CONMW,GASMW,SURTEN,DIFFUS COMMON /STOKES/ DENSTY,CHI,FSLIP,STICK,GAMMA

-380-

PSRATE.INC

C C

COMMON /PSRATE/ PSRATE(NEMAX) ! Sectional Particle Source Rates

-

· · · · · ·

### ROUND.INC

C C

COMMON /ROUND/ UROUND ! Unit Round-Off Error (5.96E-8 for VAX REAL\*4)

SIZES.INC

COMMON /SIZES/ DS(MMAX1),VS(MMAX1) ! Sectional Diam & Masses

C C

-384-

C TPSET.INC C

COMMON /TPSET/ TGAS1,TGAS2,PGAS1,PGAS2 ! T,P set for interpolation

C C XSIZES.INC

COMMON /XSIZES/ XS(MMAX1),DEL(MMAX), ! Sectional Sizes II \$ AVGVS(MMAX1)

! ms (36) (number of sections) ! numdis (number of discrete sizes) ! discrete (y) (include discrete part) ! DPMIN,DPMAX (size range of sectional regime) ! nucl (y) (nucleation is included) ! cond (y) (condensation is included) ! coag (y) (coagulation in discrete regime) ! coagd (y) (coagulation in discrete regime) ! silane (y) (include silane reaction) ! init (y) (initial aerosol exists) ! evpc (y) (evapation is included) ! sconst (y) (use constant saturation ratio) 15, 9, Ŷ, 0.003E-6,3.E-6, Ν, N, Y, Y, Ν, Ν, Ν, ! sconst (y) (use constant saturation ratio)
! sconst (y) (use constant saturation ratio)
! Sc (value of constant saturation ratio)
! QINIT initial mass of aerosol (kg/cu.m.)
! KK peak of initial aerosol (location)
! PSIH40 initial Psih4 in pascal
! TGAS1 initial Temperature in K Ν, 0. 1.657E-10, 30, 0., 873.16, TGAS2 end Temperature in K DTF Temperature gradient (k/sec) 1298., 1 0., 1 2, 3.84E3, 1.E18, newcof 1 densty (kg/m\*\*3)
ql (initial monomer in #/m\*\*3) t ! psat (sat. vapor pressure in pascal) ! gasmw (molecular weight of carrier gas in gm) ! conmw (mole. weight of condensible species) 8.516É-7, 28.8, 79.90 ! surten (in Nt/m) (surface tension) ! surten (in Nt/m) (surface tension) ! rateg (kg/sec/m\*\*3) (constant monomer rate) ! Rka (/sec) (lst order reaction rate) ! Ca0 (mol/cc) (initial reactant conc) 32.45E-3, 0., 0.1, 0., TI52 Ν TI1.CO

THIS IS A SIMPLE CALCULATION. THE OUTPUT DATA FILE IS TI52.OUT WHICH DESCRIBES THE DISTRIBUTION OF AEROSOL PARTICLES STARTING WITH 1.E18 /CU.M. MONOMERS UNDER A TEMPERATURE OF 873.16 K.

-386-

USING MF= 20 RELE= 1.000E-03 ABSE= 1.000E-20 KTOL= 8

\*\*\* OPTIONS OF THIS SIMULATION \*\*\* Flags: KELV =T NUCL =F COND =F Sconst =F Flags: INIT =F COAG =T COAGD =T DOEVAP =F SI =F Ms = 15 Kc = 1 Numdis = 9

\*\*\* CONDENSING SYSTEM PROPERTIES \*\*\*

Dimensionless Surface Energy = 13.811 Temperature = 8.732E+02 K V.P. at initial temperature = 8.404E-12 atm MW = 79.90 Density = 3.840 Surface Tension = 32.450 dyne/cm Diffusivity = 0.0470 cm\*cm/sec Diameters: Monomer = 0.0004 um Kelvin = 0.0004 um Mass Source Rate = 0.000E+00 ug/cu.m./sec

TIME =0.0000E+00 SEC

TOTAL SUSPENDED MASS = 3.2910E+02 UG/KG

DIAMETER 0.0004 0.0005 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0007 0.0008 0.0008 0.0008 0.0008 0.0008 0.0009 0.0015 0.0027 0.0047	RANGE	(MICRON) 0.0015 0.0027 0.0047 0.0083	UG/KG 3.291E+02 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00	#/GM 2.481E+15 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00
0.0259		0.0457	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
0.0806		0.1421	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
0.1421		0.2508	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
0.2508		0.4424	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
0.4424		0.7807	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
0.7807		1.3774	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
1.3774		2.4303	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
2.4303		4.2880	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
NUMBER =	2.481	E+15 #/GM	TOTAL	SURFACE AREA=

DENSITY OF CARRYING GAS= 4.031E-01 KG/Cu.m.

TOTAL

TEMPERATURE= 8.732E+02 K

1.273E+01 Sq.Cm./GM

### TIME =1.0000E-02 SEC

TOTAL SUSPENDED MASS = 3.2910E+02 UG/KG

$\begin{array}{cccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccccc$	$\begin{array}{llllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllllll$
--	--

TOTAL NUMBER = 8.165E+14 #/GM TOTAL SURFACE AREA= 8.127E+00 Sq.Cm./GM DENSITY OF CARRYING GAS= 4.031E-01 KG/Cu.m. TEMPERATURE= 8.732E+02 K

TIME =0.1000 SEC

TOTAL SUSPENDED MASS = 3.2910E+02 UG/KG

DIAMETER 0.0004 0.0005 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007	RANGE	(MICRON)	UG/KG 2.782E-01 7.400E-01 1.155E+00 1.519E+00 1.843E+00	#/GM 2.097E+12 2.789E+12 2.901E+12 2.863E+12 2.779E+12
0.0007 0.0008 0.0008 0.0008			2.131E+00 2.389E+00 2.620E+00 2.828E+00	2.677E+12 2.572E+12 2.469E+12 2.369E+12
0.0009		0.0015	1.534E+02	5.807E+13
0.0015		0.0027	1.403E+02 1.931E+01	9.6/2E+12 2 A23E+11
0.0047		0.0083	5.994E-01	1.369E+09
0.0083		0.0147	6.312E-03	2.625E+06
0.0147		0.0259	2.768E-05	2.096E+03
0.0259		0.0457	5.536E-08	7.632E-01
0.0457		0.0806	5.294E-11	1.329E-04
0.0806		0.1421	2.116E-14	9.669E-09
0.1421		0.2508	4.093E-18	3.405E-13
0.2508		0.4424	3.854E-22	5.837E-18
0.4424		0.7807	<b>1.740</b> E-26	<b>4.</b> 798E-23
0.7807		1.3774	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
1.3774		2.4303	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
2.4303		4.2880	0.000E+00	0.000E+00

TOTAL NUMBER = 9.151E+13 #/GMTOTAL SURFACE AREA= 3.665E+00 Sq.Cm./GMDENSITY OF CARRYING GAS= 4.031E-01 KG/Cu.m.TEMPERATURE= 8.732E+02 K

TIME = 1.000 SEC

TOTAL SUSPENDED MASS = 3.2911E+02 UG/KG

DIAMETER 0.0004 0.0005 0.0006 0.0006 0.0007 0.0007 0.0008	RANGE	(MICRON)	UG/KG 3.420E-07 1.863E-05 1.080E-04 3.078E-04 6.292E-04 1.065E-03 1.601E-03	#/GM 2.578E+06 7.021E+07 2.714E+08 5.801E+08 9.486E+08 1.338E+09 1.724E+09 2.202E+00
0.0008			2.220E-03	2.092E+09 2 434E+09
0.0009		0.0015	1.816E+00	6.874E+11
0.0015		0.0027	5.606E+01	3.864E+12
0.0027		0.0047	1.833E+02	2.301E+12
0.0047		0.0083	8.132E+01	1.858E+11
0.0083		0.0147	6.442E+00	2.679E+09
0.0147		0.0259	1.428E-01	1.082E+07
0.0259		0.0457	1.215E-03	1.675E+04
0.0457		0.0806	4.562E-06	1.145E+01
0.0806		0.1421	8.093E-09	3.698E-03
0.1421		0.2508	7.003E-12	5.825E-07
0.2508		0.4424	2.963E-15	4.487E-11
0.4424		0.7807	5.940E-19	1.638E-15
0.7807		1.3774	5.259E-23	2.640E-20
1.3774		2.4303	1.865E-27	1.705E-25
2.4303		4.2880	0.000E+00	0.000E+00

TOTAL NUMBER = 7.050E+12 #/GMTOTAL SURFACE AREA= 1.501E+00 Sq.Cm./GMDENSITY OF CARRYING GAS=4.031E-01 KG/Cu.m.TEMPERATURE= 8.732E+02 K

TIME = 5.000 SEC

TOTAL SUSPENDED MASS = 3.2911E+02 UG/KG

RANGE	(MICRON)	UG/KG 1.415E-17 3.522E-13 3.225E-11 4.815E-10 3.063E-09 1.202E-08 3.481E-08	<pre>#/GM 1.066E-04 1.328E+00 8.103E+01 9.074E+02 4.618E+03 1.511E+04 3.748E+04</pre>
		8.195E-08	/./22E+04
		l.664E-07	1.394E+05
	0.0015	1.326E-03	5.021E+08
·	0.0027	9.684E-01	6.675E+10
	0.0047	4.048E+01	5.080E+11
	0.0083	1.763E+02	4.028E+11
	0.0147	1.012E+02	4.209E+10
	0.0259	9.891E+00	7.490E+08
	0.0457	2.551E-01	3.517E+06
	0.0806	2.449E-03	6.146E+03
	0.1421	1.019E-05	4.655E+00
	RANGE	RANGE (MICRON) 0.0015 0.0027 0.0047 0.0083 0.0147 0.0259 0.0457 0.0806 0.1421	RANGE (MICRON) UG/KG 1.415E-17 3.522E-13 3.225E-11 4.815E-10 3.063E-09 1.202E-08 3.481E-08 8.195E-08 1.664E-07 0.0015 1.326E-03 0.0027 9.684E-01 0.0027 9.684E-01 0.0047 4.048E+01 0.0083 1.763E+02 0.0147 1.012E+02 0.0259 9.891E+00 0.0457 2.551E-01 0.0806 2.449E-03 0.1421 1.019E-05

-390-

0.1421	 0.2508	1.955E-08	1.626E-03
0.2508	 0.4424	1.746E-11	2.644E-07
0.4424	 0.7807	6.986E-15	1.926E-11
0.7807	 1.3774	1.158E-18	5.811E-16
1.3774	 2.4303	7.228E-23	6.606E-21
2.4303	 4.2880	1.496E-27	2.490E-26

TOTAL NUMBER = 1.021E+12 #/GMTOTAL SURFACE AREA= 7.880E-01 Sq.Cm./GMDENSITY OF CARRYING GAS=4.031E-01 KG/Cu.m.TEMPERATURE= 8.732E+02 K

TIME = 10.00 SEC

TOTAL SUSPENDED MASS = 3.2911E+02 UG/KG

DIAMETER	RANGE	(MICRON)	UG/KG	# /GM
0.0004		(	9.443E-26	7.118E-13
0.0005			4.073E-19	1.535E-06
0.0006			3.629E-16	9.118E-04
0 0006			2.104E - 14	3.964E-02
0 0007			3.385E-13	5 103E-01
0 0007			2.640E - 12	3.317E+00
0.0008			1.305E-11	1 405E+01
0.0008			4.735E-11	4 462E+01
0.0008			1377E - 10	1.153E+02
0.0009		0 0015	8 718E-06	3 301E+06
0.0015		0.0027	6.199E-02	4.273E+09
0.0027		0.0047	8 753E+00	1 098E+11
0.0027		0.0083	1.124E+02	2569E+11
0.0083		0.0147	1.686E+02	7 014E+10
0.0147		0.0259	3.747E+01	2.838E+09
0.0259		0.0457	1.746E+00	2.408E+07
0.0457		0.0806	2.630E-02	6.602E+04
0.0806		0.1421	1.610E-04	7.358E+01
0.1421		0.2508	4.375E-07	3.639E-02
0.2508		0.4424	5.361E-10	8.120E~06
0.4424		0.7807	2.851E-13	7.861E-10
0.7807		1.3774	6.080E-17	3.052E-14
1.3774		2.4303	4.754E-21	4.345E-19
2.4303		4.2880	1.268E-25	2.110E-24

TOTAL NUMBER = 4.440E+11 #/GMTOTAL SURFACE AREA= 5.973E-01 sq.Cm./GMDENSITY OF CARRYING GAS=4.031E-01 KG/Cu.m.TEMPERATURE= 8.732E+02 K

TIME = 20.00 SEC

TOTAL SUSPENDED MASS = 3.2911E+02 UG/KG

DIAMETER RANGE (	(MICRON)	UG/KG	#/GM
0.0004		0.000E+00	0.000E+00
0 0005		9.078E - 28	3 422E-15
0.0006		2 387E-23	5 997E-11
0.0006		1 0465-20	1072 E - 00
0.0000		1.0406-20	1.9/2E-06
0.0007		6.724E-19	1.014E-06
0.0007		1.462E-17	1.837E-05
0.0008		1.606E-16	1.730E-04
0.0008		1.111E-15	1.047E-03
0 0008		5.5198-15	4622E-03
	0.015		1.0220 00
0.0009 0	1.0012	/./U/E-09	2.918E+03
0.0015 (	0.0027	1.640E-03	1.131E+08
0 0027 (	0.0047	1.086E+00	1 363E+10

0.0047		0.0083	4.297E+01	9.817E+10		
0.0083		0.0147	1.780E+02	7.405E+10		
0.0147		0.0259	9.763E+01	7.394E+09		
0.0259		0.0457	9.171E+00	1.264E+08		
0.0457		0.0806	2.285E-01	5.736E+05		
0.0806		0.1421	2.104E-03	9.616E+02		
0.1421		0.2508	8.173E-06	6.799E-01		
0.2508		0.4424	1.377E-08	2.086E-04		
0.4424		0.7807	9.712E-12	2.678E-08		
0.7807		1.3774	2.655E-15	1.333E-12		
1.3774		2.4303	2.592E-19	2.369E-17		
2.4303		4.2880	8.481E-24	1.411E-22		
TOTAL NUMBER =	1.9351	E+11 #/GM	TOTAL	SURFACE AREA=	4.526E-01	l Sq.Cm./GM
						0 <b>2</b> 00m 00 h
DENSITY OF CARRY	YING GA	AS = 4.031	E-OI KG/Cu.	m. TEMP	ERATURE=	8./32E+02 K

TIME = 50.00 SEC

TOTAL SUSPENDED MASS = 3.2911E+02 UG/KG

DIAMETER 0.0004 0.0005	RANGE	(MICRON)	UG/KG 0.000E+00 0.000E+00	#/GM 0.000E+00 3.277E-33
0.0006			0.000E+00	7.612E-26
0.0007			0.000E+00	1.803E-18
0.0007			2.295E-28	2.884E-16
0.0008			1.375E-26	1.481E-14
0.0008			3.743E-25 5.793E-24	3.52/E-13 4 852E-12
0.0009		0.0015	5.683E-15	2.152E-03
0.0015		0.0027	1.620E-06	1.117E+05
0.0027		0.0047	2.540E-02	3.188E+08
0.0047		0.0083	5.229E+00	1.195E+10
0.0083		0.0147	9.118E+01	3.793E+10
0.0147		0.0259	1.794E+02	1.359E+10
0.0259		0.0457	5.045E+01	6.956E+08
0.0457		0.0806	2.776E+00	6.967E+06
0.0806		0.1421	4.619E-02	2.110E+04
0.1421		0.2508	2.938E-04	2.444E+01
0.2508		0.4424	7.593E-07	1.150E-02
0.4424		0.7807	7.767E-10	2.142E-06
0.7807		1.3774	2.940E-13	1.476E-10
1.3774		2.4303	3.841E-17	3.510E-15
2.4303		4.2880	1.646E-21	2.738E-20

TOTAL NUMBER = 6.448E+10 #/GMTOTAL SURFACE AREA= 3.140E-01 Sq.Cm./GMDENSITY OF CARRYING GAS=4.031E-01 KG/Cu.m.TEMPERATURE= 8.732E+02 K

#### TIME = 100.0 SEC

TOTAL SUSPENDED MASS = 3.2912E+02 UG/KG

DIAMETER	RANGE	(MICRON)	UG/KG	#/GM
0.0004		. ,	0.000E+00	0.000E+00
0.0005			0.000E+00	0.000E+00
0.0006			0.000E+00	0.000E+00
0.0006			0.000E+00	6.732E-37
0.0007			0.000E+00	2.308E-32
0.0007			0.000E+00	5.129E-29

-392-

0.0008 0.0008 0.0008		0.000E+00 0.000E+00 0.000E+00	2.043E-26 2.542E-24 1.378E-22
0.0009	 0.0015	4.329E-22	1.639E-10
0.0015	 0.0027	7.033E-10	4.848E+01
0.0027	 0.0047	4.923E-04	6.178E+06
0.0047	 0.0083	5.534E-01	1.264E+09
0.0083	 0.0147	3.015E+01	1.254E+10
0.0147	 0.0259	1.670E+02	1.264E+10
0.0259	 0.0457	1.177E+02	1.622E+09
0.0457	 0.0806	1.339E+01	3.362E+07
0.0806	 0.1421	3.712E-01	1.696E+05
0.1421	 0.2508	3.512E-03	2.922E+02
0.2508	 0.4424	1.265E-05	1.916E-01
0.4424	 0.7807	1.718E-08	<b>4.</b> 737E-05
0.7807	 1.3774	8.324E-12	4.178E-09
1.3774	 2.4303	1.357E-15	1.240E-13
2.4303	 4.2880	7.146E-20	1.189E-18

TOTAL NUMBER = 2.811E+10 #/GMTOTAL SURFACE AREA= 2.382E-01 Sq.Cm./GMDENSITY OF CARRYING GAS=4.031E-01 KG/Cu.m.TEMPERATURE= 8.732E+02 K

## **APPENDIX A4**

# LIST OF SRC CODE

 $\mathbf{with}$ 

K. Okuyama and H.V. Nguyen

! main program for simple reaction-coagulation
! model program SRC Programmed by Jin Jwang Wu Persons to contact : Richard C. Flagan (818) 356-4383 (818) 356-4410 Hung V. Nguyen California Institute of Technology, Pasadena, CA 91125. FEATURES : This code was written based on the simple reaction-coagulation model derived by J.J. Wu, K. Okuyama, H. V. Nguyen, and R.C. Flagan (1986). The aerosol is divided into two modes. The first consists of the monomers and newly formed particles. The second consists of the seed particles. In this code, a first-order reaction is used. The reaction rate constant is a poly-nomial function of time to represent the varying temperature case. Intra and inter Brownian coagulation between the two modes are considered two modes are considered. SUBROUTINES : INPUT -- input of reaction rate constant, output time range, initial seed particle concentration and size, initial reactant concentration, and output file name. OUTPUT -- print the mass, number concentrations and sizes of the two modes of aerosol. COAGCOEF -- calculate the Brownian coagulation coefficients. RHODD -- interconvert particle mass and diameter. DIFFUN -- compute the derivatives for DRIVE. VARIABLES : Q(1) -- number concentration of the first mode [ /cu.m.]  $\tilde{Q}(2)$  -- mass concentration of the first mode [kg/cu.m.] Q(3) -- number concentration of the second mode [kg/cu.m.] Q(4) -- mass concentration of the second mode [kg/cu.m.] DAV -- average diameter of the first mode [m] DVS -- average diameter of the second mode [m] COEF1 -- coagulation coefficient within the first mode [sec/cu.m.] COEF2 -- coagulation coefficient within the second mode COEF3 -- coagulation coefficient between the two modes RXNK -- reaction rate constant [ /sec] CA -- initial reactant concentration [mol/cc] TGAS -- temperature [K] PGAS -- pressure [pascal] VM -- mass of the condensible species [kg]

С INCLUDE 'NEMAX.INC' INCLUDE 'SRCDATA.INC' С DIMENSION Q(10), DQDT(10), WORK(63), IWORK(6) С COMMON /DBLK1/ RELE, ABSE, KTOL, MFEPI, H0, NEMAX COMMON /DBK2/ RXNK, RHO, CA, VM, STICK, FSLIP, CHI, GAMMA, TGAS, PGAS, \$ GASMW, CONMW, DENAIR, VISCOS, FREEMP, TMASS, TNUM, COLT COMMON /EPCOMY/ YMIN, HMAXMX COMMON /POLY/ A(10) С EXTERNAL DIFFUN С DENAIR=1.21E-4\*PGAS\*GASMW/TGAS ! density of carrier gas (kg/cu.m.) VISCOS=.003661\*TGAS VISCOS=.0066164\*VISCOS\*SQRT(VISCOS)/(TGAS+114.) FREEMP=VISCOS/DENAIR\*SQRT(1.89E-4\*GASMW/TGAS) ! viscosity (mks) ! mean free path (m) С CALL INPUT (NEQ, Q, TMIN, TMAX) С WRITE (2,10) TYPE 10 FORMAT ( ' TIME(SEC) 10 DIMQ1 DIMQ2 DAV(M) DIMQ3 \$ DIMQ4 DVS(M)') С CALL OUTPUT (NEQ,Q,TOUT) С T=0. ADELT=(-ALOG10(TMIN)+ALOG10(TMAX))/100. DO I=1,101 С DELT=ALOG10(TMIN)+ADELT\*(I-1) DELT=10.\*\*(DELT) DELT=DELT/RXNK ! 1/RXNK is the reaction С ! characteristic time TOUT=DELT С CALL DRIVE(NEQ, T, H0, Q, TOUT, RELE, KTOL, MFEPI, IFLAG) С IF ((IFLAG.LT.-1 .OR. IFLAG.GT.3) .AND. IFLAG.NE.7) THEN
WRITE(2,14) IFLAG TYPE 14, IFLAG STOP 14 FORMAT(' -- IFLAG TO EP MAEROS MUST BE -1 thru 3, not', I3) END IF С CALL OUTPUT (NEQ, Q, TOUT) С END DO С CLOSE (2)

-395-

		STOP					
С		LIND					
C C							
Č							
Č*:	* * * * *	*****	***************************************				
С		SUBROUTINE INPU	ſ (NEQ,Q,TMIN,TMAX)				
C C*:	****	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*******				
č	ממזות	OCE.					
	PURP	INPUT OF REACTION RATE CONSTANT, OUTPUT TIME RANGE, INITIAL SEED PARTICLE CONCENTRATION AND SIZE, INITIAL REACTANT CONCENTRATION, AND OUTPUT FILE NAME.					
CCC	ON E	NTRY: NEQ	Number of Equations				
C C	ON R	ETURN:					
Ċ		Q TMTN	Array of Variables Lower Limit of Dimensionless Output Time				
č		TMAX	Upper Limit of Dimensionless Output Time				
C*	* * * * *	* * * * * * * * * * * * * * * * *	*******				
C C							
c		DIMENSION Q(10) CHARACTER*20 IF:	,DQDT(10),WORK(63),IWORK(6) ILE				
C	\$	COMMON /DBLK1/ I COMMON /DBK2/ R GZ	RELE,ABSE,KTOL,MFEPI,H0,NEMAX XNK,RHO,CA,VM,STICK,FSLIP,CHI,GAMMA,TGAS,PGAS, ASMW,CONMW,DENAIR,VISCOS,FREEMP,TMASS,TNUM,COLT				
C	·	COMMON /EPCOMY/ COMMON /POLY/ A	YMIN,HMAXMX (10)				
-		TYPE 5					
5		TYPE 7	IE K IN FORM A(1) + A(2) + A(3) + * t ')				
7		FORMAT(' INPUT A ACCEPT 16, (A(I)	A(1), A(2), A(3)') ,I=1,10)				
С							
9		FORMAT(' RANGE (	OF OUTPUT DIMENSIONLESS TIME')				
10		TYPE 10 FORMAT(' TMIN AN	ND TMAX ')				
16 C		ACCEPT 16,TMIN, FORMAT(10G14./)	IMAX				
20		TYPE 20 FORMAT(' SEED PA	ARTICLE NUMBER CONCENTRATION IN /CU.M.')				
С		ACCEPT $16, Q(3)$					
40		TYPE 40 FORMAT(' SEED PA	ARTICLE MEAN DIAMETER IN METER')				
С							
50		FORMAT(' INITIA ACCEPT 16,CA	L REACTANT CONCENTRATION IN MOL/CC')				
С		TYPE 60					

FORMAT(' OUTPUT FILE NAME') ACCEPT 70,IFILE 60 70 FORMAT(A) С OPEN(UNIT=2, FILE=IFILE, STATUS='NEW') С Q(4)=Q(3)\*(3.1416\*RHO\*DVS\*\*3)/6. ! kg/cu.m. C C DO I=1,2 Q(I) = 0.END DO С VM=CONMW/(6.023E26)
TMASS=CA\*CONMW\*1.E3+q(4) ! kg/molecule
! total mass of condensible species С ! kg/cu.m. TNUM=CA\*6.023E29 ! total number concentration of ! monomers /cu.m. С RETURN END 0000000 SUBROUTINE OUTPUT(NEQ,Q,TOUT) DIMENSION Q(10), DQDT(10), WORK(63), IWORK(6) С COMMON /DBLK1/ RELE, ABSE, KTOL, MFEPI, H0, NEMAX COMMON /DBK2/ RXNK, RH0, CA, VM, STICK, FSLIP, CHI, GAMMA, TGAS, PGAS, GASMW, CONMW, DENAIR, VISCOS, FREEMP, TMASS, TNUM, COLT Ś COMMON /EPCOMY/ YMIN, HMAXMX COMMON /POLY/ A(10) C C DAV=0.! average diameter of new particle mode DVS=0. ! average diameter of seed mode IF (Q(1).LE.O.) GO TO 2 DAV=((6.\*Q(2))/(3.1416\*RHO\*Q(1)))\*\*0.33333 ! meter 2 CONTINUE IF (Q(3).LE.O.) GO TO 4 dVS=((6.\*Q(4))/(3.1416\*RHO\*Q(3)))\*\*0.33333 ! meter CONTÍNUE 4 С TRXN=1./RXNK DIMQ1=Q(1)/TNUM DIMQ2=Q(2)/TMASS DIMQ3=Q(3)/TNUM DIMQ4=Q(4)/TMASS ! reaction characteristic time, sec

-397-

```
С
         TYPE 40, TOUT, DIMQ1, DIMQ2, DAV, DIMQ3, DIMQ4, DVS
С
         WRITE (2,40) TOUT, DIMQ1, DIMQ2, DAV, DIMQ3, DIMQ4, DVS
С
40
         FORMAT (1X,7(lpell.3))
Ċ
         RETURN
         END
0000000
  SUBROUTINE COAGCOEF(DX, DY, COEF)
С
PURPOSE:
         CALCULATE THE BROWNIAN COEFFICIENT BETWEEN
         TWO PARTICLES WITH DIAMETERS DX AND DY.
   ON ENTRY:
                           Gas Temperature [K]
         TGAS
         PGAS
                           Gas Pressure, Total [Pa]
                           Background Gas Density [kg/cu.m]
Background Gas Mean Free Path [m]
Background Gas Viscosity
         DENAIR
         FREEMP
         VISCOS
   ON RETURN:
         COEF
                           Coagulation Coefficient
   COMMENTS:
       REFERENCES: FUCHS, N.A. 'MECHANICS OF AEROSOLS', 291-294,
       PERGAMON (1964). GIESEKE,J.A., LEE,K.W. AND REED,L.D.,
'HAARM-3 USERS MANUAL', BMI-NUREG-1991 (1978). DRAKE,R.L.
'A GENERAL MATHEMATICAL SURVEY OF THE COAGULATION EQUATION,'
       IN TOPICS IN CURRENT AEROSOL RESEARCH BY HIDY, G.M. AND
       BROCK, J.R. (EDS.) VOL.3 PERGAMON, N.Y. 1972.
          DIMENSION Q(10), DQDT(10), WORK(63), IWORK(6)
С
         COMMON /DBLK1/ RELE, ABSE, KTOL, MFEPI, H0, NEMAX
COMMON /DBK2/ RXNK, RHO, CA, VM, STICK, FSLIP, CHI, GAMMA, TGAS, PGAS,
GASMW, CONMW, DENAIR, VISCOS, FREEMP, TMASS, TNUM, COLT
      $
         COMMON /EPCOMY/ YMIN, HMAXMX
         COMMON /POLY/ A(10)
С
       PARAMETER ( PI = 3.1416 )
С
       U=0.
       Ŭ=0.
       CALL RHODD(V,DX)
                                     ! Calculate Particle Diameters
       CALL RHODD (U, DY)
С
       AKX=2.*FREEMP/DX
                                     ! Knudsen Number (X in air)
       AKY=2 *FREEMP/DY
                                     ! Knudsen Number (Y in air)
       BMOBLX=1.+AKX*(FSLIP+.4*EXP(-1.1/AKX))
BMOBLY=1.+AKY*(FSLIP+.4*EXP(-1.1/AKY))
C
C
   CHI=DYNAMIC SHAPE FACTOR ; GAMMA=AGGLOMERATION SHAPE FACTOR
```

-398-

FCHIX=CHI FCHIY=CHI FGAMX=GAMMA FGAMY=GAMMA DSUM=FGAMX\*DX+FGAMY\*DY DIFX=1.4642E-24\*TGAS\*BMOBLX/(DX\*FCHIX\*VISCOS) DIFY=1.4642E-24\*TGAS\*BMOBLY/(DY\*FCHIY\*VISCOS) CCC BROWNIAN COAGULATION COEFFICIENT VXSPED=SQRT(3.51E-23\*TGAS/V) VYSPED=SQRT(3.51E-23\*TGAS/U) VMEAN=SQRT(VXSPED\*VXSPED+VYSPED\*VYSPED) AMX=2.5465\*DIFX/VXSPED AMY=2.5465\*DIFY/VYSPED GX=((DX+AMX)\*\*3-(DX+DX+AMX\*AMX)\*\*1.5)/(3.\*DX\*AMX)-DX GY=((DY+AMY)\*\*3-(DY+DY+AMY\*AMY)\*\*1.5)/(3.\*DY\*AMY)-DY GMEAN = SQRT(GX\*GX+GY\*GY)COEF=DX+DY COEF=2.\*PI\*(DIFX+DIFY)\*DSUM/(COEF/(COEF+2.\*GMEAN) + \$ 8.\*(DIFX+DIFY)/(VMEAN\*COEF\*STICK)) С 5 RETURN END CCCCC Ĉ č SUBROUTINE RHODD(V,D) C C\* PURPOSE: TO INTERCONVERT PARTICLE MASS AND DIAMETER. WHICHEVER ONE IS SET TO ZERO WILL BE CALCULATED FROM THE OTHER. ON ENTRY: Note: Set to 0. if to be found from D Note: Set to 0. if to be found from V v Particle Mass [kg] D Particle Diameter [m] ON RETURN: V, D are set. RHO (Constant) Particle Density [kg/cu.m] \*\*\*\*\* COMMON /DBLK1/ RELE, ABSE, KTOL, MFEPI, H0, NEMAX COMMON /DBK2/ RXNK, RHO, CA, VM, STICK, FSLIP, CHI, GAMMA, TGAS, PGAS, GASMW, CONMW, DENAIR, VISCOS, FREEMP, TMASS, TNUM, COLT \$ COMMON /EPCOMY/ YMIN, HMAXMX COMMON /POLY/ A(10) С С PARAMETER ( ZERO=0. , ONE=1. , TWO=2. ) ! PCONS.INC PARAMETER ( PI = 3.1416 ) С IF (V.LE.ZERO) THEN IF (D.GT.ZERO) THEN V = 3.1416/6.\* D\*D\*D \* RHO ! Volume of Sphere

-399-

-3

С

ELSE

```
TYPE 10, V,D
                                                  ! Nothing Known
         END IF
      ELSE
           (D.LE.ZERO) THEN
         \mathbf{IF}
          D = (6.*V/(PI*RHO)) ** 0.3333
                                                  ! Diameter of Sphere
         ELSE
           TYPE 10, V,D
                                                  ! Nothing Unknown
         END IF
      END IF
      RETURN
10
      FORMAT(' RHODD Arg Error:',4X,'V=',1PE12.3,4X,'D=',1PE12.3)
      END
00000000
                         ******
SUBROUTINE DIFFUN(NEQ, T, Q, DQDT)
        DIMENSION Q(10), DQDT(10), WORK(63), IWORK(6)
С
         COMMON /DBLK1/ RELE, ABSE, KTOL, MFEPI, HO, NEMAX
         COMMON /DBK2/ RXNK, RHO, CA, VM, STICK, FSLIP, CHI, GAMMA, TGAS, PGAS
     $
                       GASMW, CONMW, DENAIR, VISCOS, FREEMP, TMASS, TNUM, COLT
        COMMON /EPCOMY/ YMIN, HMAXMX
COMMON /POLY/ A(10)
C
C
       DENAIR=1.21E-4*PGAS*GASMW/TGAS
                                         ! density of carrier gas (kg/cu.m.)
       VISCOS=.003661*TGAS
       VISCOS=.0066164*VISCOS*SQRT(VISCOS)/(TGAS+114.) ! viscosity (mks)
       FREEMP=VISCOS/DENAIR*SQRT(1.89E-4*GASMW/TGAS)
                                                          ! mean free path (m)
С
        RXNK=A(1)
        DO I=2,10
                RXNK=RXNK+A(I)*T**(I-1)
        END DO
С
        DO I=1,NEQ
                DQDT(I)=0.
        END DO
С
        COEF1=0.
        VAV=0.
        IF (Q(2).LE.O.) GO TO 10
DAV=((6.*Q(2))/(3.1416*RHO*Q(1)))**0.33333
CALL COAGCOEF(DAV,DAV,COEF1)
                                                        ! meter
        CALL RHODD(VAV, DAV)
                                                          ! vav in kg
```

-400-

10	continue						
20	COEF2=0. IF (Q(4).LE.0.) GO TO 20 DVS=((6.*q(4))/(3.1416*RHO*Q(3)))**0.33333 CALL COAGCOEF(DVS,DVS,COEF2) CONTINUE	!	meter				
30	COEF3=0. IF (Q(2).LE.0OR.Q(4).LE.0.) GO TO 30 CALL COAGCOEF(DAV,DVS,COEF3) CONTINUE						
C	RXNTERM≈RXNK*CA*6.023E29*EXP(-RXNK*T) RINTERCOAG=COEF3*Q(1)*Q(3)	!	/sec cu.m.				
	DQDT(1)=-(COEF1*Q(1))*Q(1)/2.+RXNTERM-RINTERCOAG DQDT(2)=RXNTERM*VM-RINTERCOAG*VAV DQDT(3)=-(COEF2*Q(3))*Q(3)/2. DQDT(4)=RINTERCOAG*VAV						
C	RETURN END						