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Abstract

This thesis focuses on hydrogen atom (H-atom) transfer reactions of the lowest electronic excited state of the d⁸ dimer [Pt₂(P₂O₅H₂)₄]⁴⁻ (the ground state is abbreviated Pt₂; the lowest excited state is a long-lived triplet, abbreviated ³Pt₂*). Factors that influence initial rates of reaction of the excited state with alcohol and hydrocarbon H-atom donors are examined in Chapter 2. Observation of a large kinetic deuterium isotope effect (kH/kD=4) for phosphorescence quenching by α-secphenethyll alcohol verifies the importance of bond strength in determining reaction rates. A plot of H-atom abstraction rates versus C-H bond strengths reveals that bond strength is not the sole determinant of abstraction rate, however. Faster rates are observed when an α-hydroxy functionality is present, and the size of the substrate also influences abstraction rates. Chapter 3 features characterization of a diplatinum dihydride complex (Pt₂H₂) that acts as a key intermediate in H-atom transfer reactions. ³Pt₂* reacts with hydrogen-atom donors to give a complex characterized by strong absorption at 314 nm; NMR (¹H and ³¹P) and IR spectroscopic studies show that the complex is an axial dihydride (Pt₂H₂). Reactions of Pt₂H₂ include photochemical release of H₂, rapid thermal reduction of O₂, and thermal reduction of HCl to H₂. Chapter 4 describes synthesis and characterization of a derivative of Pt₂ modified by substitution of electron-withdrawing BF₂⁺ groups for ligand H⁺. The new compound exhibits photophysical properties (phosphorescence lifetime, quantum yield, absorption and emission maxima) virtually identical with those of ³Pt₂*, but dramatically shifted ground-state electrochemical properties (peak potential for oxidation shifted +750 mV). Comparisons of thermal reactivity (preparation of axial dihalide and dihydride complexes) and photochemical reactivity (reductive quenching and H-atom transfer quenching) of the two platinum dimers, detailed in Chapter 5, provide further insight into the factors influencing H-atom transfer in binuclear d⁸-d⁸ compounds.
# Table of Contents

Dedication i
Acknowledgments iii
Abstract v
Table of Contents vi
List of Figures vii
List of Tables xiii

Chapter 1 Background on Tetrakis(pyrophosphito(2-))diplatinate(II) 1
("Pt$_2^+$")
References 17

Chapter 2 Factors Influencing Rates of H-Atom Abstraction by $^3$Pt$_2^+$ 23
References 50

Chapter 3 Dihydridotetrakis(pyrophosphito(2-))diplatinate(III) 56
References 71

Chapter 4 Synthesis and Characterization of [Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$]$_{4-}$
("BF$_2$Pt$_2^+$")
References 99

Chapter 5 Comparative Reactivity of Pt$_2$ and BF$_2$Pt$_2$ 102
References 124
List of Figures

Chapter 1

| Figure 1.1 | Two views of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4]^{4-}$ (eight ligand protons not shown) | 3 |
| Figure 1.2 | Molecular orbital diagram for a d$^8$-d$^8$ dimer | 5 |
| Figure 1.3 | Orbitals involved in the lowest electronic transitions of a d$^8$-d$^8$ dimer | 6 |
| Figure 1.4 | Electronic absorption and emission spectra of $K_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4]$ (from Ref.16) | 8 |
| Figure 1.5 | The role of photon energy in creating excited state oxidants and reductants | 10 |
| Figure 1.6 | Modified Latimer diagram for $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4]^{4-}$ | 13 |

Chapter 2

| Figure 2.1 | Diagram of spectroscopic energy contribution to bond formation during H-atom abstraction | 26 |
| Figure 2.2 | Initial orbital interactions for H-atom transfer by the $n,\pi^*$ electronic excited state of a ketone (from Ref.2) | 26 |
| Figure 2.3 | Quenching rate constants versus E-H bond energies for H-atom donors (E=Sn, Ge, Si, C) | 33 |
| Figure 2.4 | Quenching rate constants versus bond energies for alcohol and hydrocarbon H-atom donors | 36 |
Figure 2.5  Possible initial orbital interactions involved in a polar transition state for H-atom transfer to $^3\text{[Pt}_2\text{(P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2\text{)}_4]^4\text{-}^*$

Figure 2.6  Docking interaction between $^3\text{[Pt}_2\text{(P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2\text{)}_4]^4\text{-}^*$ and alcohol substrates

Figure 2.7  Quenching rate constants versus substituent (R) cone angles for a series of alcohols PhCH(OH)R

Figure 2.8  $^1\text{H NMR}$ spectra of 0.15 M $\alpha$-secphenyl alcohol in CD$_3$CN  a) alone b) with 1.1 M H$_2$O c) with .001 M Pt$_2$ d) with 0.017 M acetic acid

Figure 2.9  Stern-Volmer plot for $\alpha$-secphenethyl alcohol

Chapter 3

Figure 3.1  $^{31}\text{P NMR}$ spectrum of the photoproduct generated by 370-nm irradiation (3.5 h) of a 0.043 M [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] and 0.083 M PhCH(OH)CH$_3$ degassed CD$_3$CN solution

Figure 3.2  $^1\text{H NMR}$ spectrum of the photoproduct generated by 370-nm irradiation (1.5 h) of a 0.0081 M [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] and 0.016 M PhCH(OH)CH$_3$ degassed CD$_3$CN solution

Figure 3.3  Simulated $^1\text{H NMR}$ spectra of three isotopomeric forms of the hypothetical Pt$_2$(POP)$_2$H$_2$ molecule (4, 5, 6 spins)

Figure 3.4  Simulated $^1\text{H NMR}$ spectra of two of the isotopomeric forms of the hypothetical Pt$_2$(POP)$_2$H$_2$ molecule (6, 7 spins)

Figure 3.5  Simulated $^{31}\text{P NMR}$ spectra of three isotopomeric forms of the hypothetical Pt$_2$(POP)$_2$H$_2$ molecule (4, 5, 6 spins)
Figure 3.6 Simulated $^{31}\text{P}$ NMR spectra of two of the isotopomeric forms of the hypothetical Pt$_2$(POP)$_2$H$_2$ molecule (6, 7 spins)

Figure 3.7 Experimental and simulated $^{31}\text{P}$-decoupled $^1\text{H}$ NMR spectra of the photoprocess generated by 370-nm irradiation (3.5 h) of a 0.043 M [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] and 0.083 M PhCH(OH)CH$_3$ degassed CD$_3$CN solution

Figure 3.8 Enlargement of the left satellite in Figure 3.2 and first-order hand simulation of the same spectral region using the 11-spin asymmetric isotopomer of [Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$]$^{4-}$

Figure 3.9 UV-Vis Absorption spectra recorded a) before and b) after 11 minutes of 370-nm irradiation of a 2x10$^{-4}$ M [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] and 2x10$^{-3}$ M PhCH(OH)CH$_3$ degassed CH$_3$CN solution. Inset: Growth of Pt$_2$H$_2$ over ten minutes photolysis of 2x10$^{-4}$ M [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] with 0.01 M PhCH(OH)CH$_2$CH$_3$ in degassed CH$_3$CN.

Chapter 4

Figure 4.1 Previously reported inorganic and organometallic complexes containing BF$_2^+$ substitution

Figure 4.2 Structure of [Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$]$^{4-}$ showing the three most likely arrangements of BF$_2^+$ substituents
Figure 4.3  Difference IR spectra of a) K_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4] in nujol mull, b), c) [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4] and d), e) [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5)_4H_2(BF_2)_6] in nujol mull (b,d) and dichloromethane solution (c,e)

Figure 4.4  $^{19}$F NMR spectra of [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5)_4H_2(BF_2)_6]

a) at 84.25 MHz, 0.04 M solution in CD_3CN
b) at 470 MHz, 0.02 M solution in CD_3CN

Figure 4.5  $^{31}$P NMR spectra of [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5)_4H_2(BF_2)_6]

a) at 36 MHz, 0.04 M solution in CD_3CN
b) at 202 MHz, 0.01 M solution in CD_3CN

Figure 4.6  $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra of [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5)_4H_2(BF_2)_6] and [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4] at 107 MHz; 0.02, 0.05 M solutions in CD_3CN

Figure 4.7  $^{1}$H NMR spectra of [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5)_4H_2(BF_2)_6] at 90 MHz, 0.04 M and 0.08 M solutions in CD_3CN

Figure 4.8  Cyclic voltammograms of (a) [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5)_4H_2(BF_2)_6] and (b) [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4] in CH_3CN at room temperature and (c) [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4] in CH_3CN at -25 °C

Figure 4.9  Comparative electronic absorption and emission spectra of a) [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5)_4H_2(BF_2)_6] and b) [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4] in degassed CH_3CN solution at room temperature
Chapter 5

Figure 5.1  
Electronic absorption spectra of a) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$Cl$_2$] and b) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$Cl$_2$]  

Figure 5.2  
Electronic absorption spectra of a) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$Br$_2$] and b) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$Br$_2$]  

Figure 5.3  
Electronic absorption spectra of a) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$I$_2$] and b) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$I$_2$]  

Figure 5.4  
Electronic absorption spectra of a) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$H$_2$] and b) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$H$_2$]  

Figure 5.5  
Molecular orbital picture of electronic transitions in axial dihalide and dihydride complexes of [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] and [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$]  

Figure 5.6  
$^{31}$P NMR spectra of a) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$] and b) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$Cl$_2$] in CD$_3$CN solution at 36 MHz  

Figure 5.7  
$^{19}$F NMR spectra of a) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$] and b) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$Cl$_2$] in CD$_3$CN solution at 84 MHz  

Figure 5.8  
Electronic absorption spectra showing decomposition of [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$Cl$_2$] over time
Figure 5.9  Stern-Volmer plot for quenching of the triplet excited state of [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4] with TMPD  115

Figure 5.10  Quenching rate constants versus oxidation potentials for aromatic amine quenchers with the triplet excited states of [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4] and [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5)_4H_2(BF_2)_6]  118

Figure 5.11  Quenching rate constants versus C-H bond strengths for H-atom donor quenchers with the triplet excited states of [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4] and [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5)_4H_2(BF_2)_6]  121
List of Tables

Chapter 2

Table 2.1 Rate constants and E-H bond energies (E=Sn, Ge, Si, C) for quenching of $^3\text{TBA}_4\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4^*$ by H-atom donors in CH$_3$CN 34

Table 2.2 H-atom abstraction quenching rates with $^3\text{TBA}_4\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4^*$ for selected H-atom donors in different solvents 39

Table 2.3 Activation parameters for quenching of the triplet excited state of [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] by isopropanol, benzyl alcohol, and α-secphenethyl alcohol in CH$_3$CN 42

Table 2.4 Comparative H-atom abstraction rates for selected substrates with $^3\text{TBA}_4\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4^*$, $^3\nu_n\pi^*$ benzophenone, and tert-butoxy radical 46

Chapter 4

Table 4.1 IR data and assignments for K$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] in nujol mull, and IR data for [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] and [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$] in nujol mull and dichloromethane solution 81

Table 4.2 Compendium of chemical analysis results for [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] and [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$] 84
Chapter 5

Table 5.1  Electronic absorption spectra of axial dihalide and dihydride complexes of [TBA]₄[Pt₂(P₂O₅H₂)₄] and [TBA]₄[Pt₂(P₂O₅)₄H₂(BF₂)₆]  106

Table 5.2  Rates of reductive quenching of the triplet excited states of [TBA]₄[Pt₂(P₂O₅H₂)₄] and [TBA]₄[Pt₂(P₂O₅)₄H₂(BF₂)₆] correlated with quencher oxidation potentials  119

Table 5.3  Rates of H-atom transfer quenching of the triplet excited states of [TBA]₄[Pt₂(P₂O₅H₂)₄] and [TBA]₄[Pt₂(P₂O₅)₄H₂(BF₂)₆], correlated with the C-H bond strengths for the H-atom donor quenchers  121
Chapter 1. Background on Tetrakis(pyrophosphito(2-))diplatinate(II) ("Pt₂")

Introduction

Startlingly intense green luminescence from a synthetic side-product caught the attention of Max Roundhill's group in 1977. The emissive species was first thought to be a monomer reported by a Russian scientist twenty years earlier, but solution of the crystal structure in 1980 revealed the complex to be a platinum dimer with four bridging pyrophosphito ligands. This robust, totally inorganic complex has since been the subject of more than 70 papers! The recent publication of two review articles makes an extensive background section here largely unnecessary; however, information relevant to the present work is presented.

Synthesis and Structure:

Heating to dryness an aqueous solution of potassium tetrachloroplatinate and excess phosphorous acid produces $K_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4]$ (abbreviated $K_4Pt_2$) in approximately 90% crude yield. A reasonable (but unverified) stoichiometric equation for formation of $K_4Pt_2$ is shown.

$$2 \text{K}_2\text{PtCl}_4 + 8 \text{H}_3\text{PO}_3 \xrightarrow{\Delta} K_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4] + 4 \text{H}_2\text{O} + 8 \text{HCl}$$

As the potassium, barium or sodium salt, the chartreuse tetraanion dissolves only in $\text{H}_2\text{O}$. Metathesis to bis(triphenylphosphine)nitrogen(1+) (PPN), tetra-$n$-butylammonium (TBA) or tetraphenylarsonium (TPA) salts extends solubility to
organic solvents including acetonitrile, dichloromethane, methanol, ethanol, and acetone. The complex is a di-acid in aqueous solution, with $pK_{a1} = 2$ and $pK_{a2} = 7.9$. Degradation occurs in basic media, but the complex is stable in 1 M HClO$_4$.\textsuperscript{10}

The structure of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4]^{4-}$ (abbreviated Pt$_2$) can be visualized as two d$^8$ ML$_4$ fragments tied together in a face-to-face, rigorously eclipsed fashion along the z axis. Two idealized views of the complex are shown in Figure 1.1. Four $\mu$-pyrophosphito ligands, viewable as condensed, doubly deprotonated dimers of phosphorous acid, bind the two platinum atoms through eight phosphorous atoms. Sometimes referred to as a "lantern," the essentially D$_{4h}$ structure results in an unoccupied exterior axial site on each platinum atom. The common name "platinum pop" arises from the P-O-P bridging linkages of the ligands. Formal assignment of a 2-charge to each pyrophosphito ligand results in two Pt(II) centers.

A long (2.925 Å) Pt-Pt bond length reflects the lack of a formal bond between the two metal centers (\textit{vide infra}). Phosphorous-oxygen bond lengths range from 1.62 Å for the bridging oxygen to 1.58 and 1.52 Å for the terminal oxygens.\textsuperscript{11} Observation of two sets of terminal P-O bond lengths suggests that descriptive resonance structures can be drawn with alternating P=O and POH moieties. The 8 ligand hydrogen atoms have not been located by x-ray or neutron diffraction, but are thought to occupy asymmetric bridging positions between the terminal oxygen atoms on adjacent ligands (O(H)···O distance = 2.505 Å).\textsuperscript{11} The resultant fluted ring of H-bonded oxygen atoms around each axial site probably provides a key component of the stability of the complex.

**Molecular Orbital Diagram:**

The molecular orbital diagram for the class of face-to-face d$^8$ dimers with $\pi$-acceptor ligands was first formulated in 1975 by Mann, Gordon and Gray.\textsuperscript{12} The general approach consists of mixing the orbitals of two identical monomeric square-
Figure 1.1  Two views of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4]^{4-}$ (eight ligand protons not shown)
planar fragments along the z-axis (Figure 1.2). The building block, monomeric square-planar molecular orbital diagram, shown at the left in Figure 1.2, is meant to be illustrative rather than exact.\textsuperscript{13} The orbitals labelled \((n+1)s, px,\) and \(py,\) and \((n)\) \(d_{x^2-y^2}\) are actually high-energy, antibonding molecular orbitals from sigma combination with the ligands. The torus on \((n)d_{z^2}\) also causes this orbital to be raised somewhat in energy upon combination with the ligands. The \((n)d_{xy}\) and \(d_{xz}, yz\) orbitals possess the wrong symmetry to form sigma bonds with the ligands, but they are lowered in energy by \(\pi\)-interactions with empty orbitals in the \(\pi\)-acceptor ligands. Since the degree of \(\pi\) bonding is unknown, the \(\pi\)-symmetry orbitals are arbitrarily placed at slightly bonding levels in Figure 1.2. Similarly, the \((n+1)p_z\) orbital is arbitrarily placed near the \(d_{x^2-y^2}\) orbital. Luckily, such uncertainties in the details of metal-ligand bonding do not significantly impact understanding of excited-state chemistry in these dimers. Metal-ligand interactions affect the orbitals involved in higher excited states, but these states effectively decay to the lowest, metal-centered singlet and triplet states on the timescales studied in this work.

The lowest-energy electronic transitions of the dimeric complex are well described by sigma interactions of \((n)d_{z^2}\) and \((n+1)p_z\) orbitals between the two metals. (The letter n denotes the principal quantum number of the orbital.) As shown in Figure 1.3, both types of orbitals have lobes pointing directly into the center of the dimer at the equivalent orbital on the other side; the combination of exact energy match and good spatial overlap leads to a strong interaction. The molecular orbitals formed include a pair of sausage-shaped bonding MO's referred to as \(d\sigma\) and \(p\sigma,\) and a corresponding pair of antibonding \(d\sigma^*\) and \(p\sigma^*\) MO's that contain a node in the middle of the sausage (between the two metals). Orbital occupancy through \(d\sigma^*\) produces a formal metal-metal bond order of zero in the ground state; secondary mixing of \(d\sigma\) with \(p\sigma\) is used to explain the existence of some experimentally observed net bonding stabilization.
Figure 1.2  Molecular orbital diagram for a d⁸-d⁸ dimer

ML₄  L₄MML₄  ML₄
Figure 1.3  Orbitals involved in the lowest electronic transitions of a d^8-d^8 dimer

**HOMO-LUMO Region**

The MO diagram for Pt_2 can be built from a face-to-face interaction of two square-planar ML_4 fragments. The combination of two d^8 Pt(II)'s results in a pσ → dσ^* HOMO-LUMO transition.

Note that the A_{2u} excited state thus created contains a single electron in the pσ orbital localized between the Pt centers and in the dσ^* orbital pointing out along the z axis.
Basic Photophysics:

The electronic absorption spectrum of the tetra-n-butylammonium (TBA) salt of
Pt$_2$ in acetonitrile (Figure 1.4) exhibits an intense band at 372 nm ($\epsilon=33,400$) and a
weak one at 453 nm ($\epsilon=155$), assigned to the singlet and triplet $d\sigma^*p\sigma$ absorptions
$^1A_{1g}\rightarrow^1A_{2u}$ and $^1A_{1g}\rightarrow^3A_{2u}$, respectively.$^{14, 15}$ Excitation into the 372 nm band of
a degassed acetonitrile solution of Pt$_2$ via 355 nm laser light (Nd:YAG) produces long-
lived ($\tau_0=10\ \mu$s, $\lambda_{\text{max}}=518$ nm) green phosphorescence.$^{16}$ Fluorescence has also been
characterized in the potassium salt in aqueous solution ($\tau_0<40\ \text{ps}; \lambda_{\text{max}}=407$ nm).$^6$
Polarized electronic absorption experiments using TBA$_4$Pt$_2$ allowed assignment of the
higher-energy spectroscopic transitions at 315, 285, and 246 nm as triplet $d\sigma^*\rightarrow$
d$x_2\cdot y_2$, singlet $d\sigma^*\rightarrow d_x^2\cdot y_2$, and triplet $d_{xz, yz} \rightarrow p\sigma$, respectively.$^{15}$

The lowest-energy singlet and triplet electronic transitions promote an electron
from a Pt-Pt antibonding $d\sigma^*$ orbital to a bonding $p\sigma$ orbital and formally create a
metal-metal bond in the excited state. Comparison of metal-metal vibrational
progressions in the low-temperature absorption and emission spectra indicates that the
Pt-Pt interaction in the excited state is much stronger than in the ground state,$^{17}$ and
resonance Raman experiments support this observation.$^{18}$ The excited-state Pt-Pt
distance is estimated as 2.75 Å, a shrinkage of 0.2 Å from the ground state.$^{19}$

Pt$_2$ is a remarkable chromophore. The phosphorescence lifetime remains
essentially constant at 10 $\mu$s as the environment is varied from water/ethylene glycol
glass at 77 K$^{20}$ to room temperature or above in a number of solvents: ethanol,
methanol, acetonitrile, water, acid, dimethylformamide, and ethylene glycol. Absorption
and emission maxima shift up to 8 nm in different solvents.$^{21}$ The bright green
phosphorescence emission is observed even in dim roomlight and sunlight; the
phosphorescence quantum yield is an unprecedented 50%.$^{10}$ The light energy added to
the compound in the triplet excited state is estimated at 2.5 eV (58 kcal/mol) from the
Figure 1.4  Electronic absorption and emission spectra of $K_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_{4}]$  (from Ref.16)
zero-zero$_0$ spectroscopic transition. Long-term irradiations in degassed acetonitrile do not lead to decomposition of the complex, presumably because the excited state possesses increased bonding. The combination of high energy and long lifetime of the triplet excited state make Pt$_2$ an attractive candidate for bimolecular photochemical reactions, and a wide range of reactivity has, in fact, been observed from the excited state.

**Energy Transfer and Related Quenching Processes:**

Substrates with triplet energies lower than that of Pt$_2$ quench the triplet emission intensity and lifetime of $^3$Pt$_2$. An energy transfer mechanism has been implicated in the isomerization of stilbenes by $^3$Pt$_2$. Terminal olefins are thought to quench the excited state by a combination of energy transfer and addition to the axial site to form a diradical species.

**Electron Transfer:**

Photochemical promotion of an electron from the highest occupied molecular orbital (HOMO) to the lowest unoccupied molecular orbital (LUMO) generates an excited species that is more powerful as a reductant and as an oxidant than it was in the ground state. The reducing power of the excited state (the excited state's ability to be oxidized) is determined by the energy of the electron that has been promoted from the HOMO to the LUMO. Oxidation of the ground state of a molecule involves removing an electron from the HOMO of the complex. Photochemical promotion of the electron to the LUMO should make the electron easier to remove by the amount of the spectroscopic transition. Similarly, the excited state is a better oxidant than the ground state because putting an electron into the hole left by the promoted electron is more energetically favored (by the amount of the spectroscopic transition) than putting an electron into the LUMO of the ground state.
Figure 1.5  The role of photon energy in creating excited state oxidants and reductants
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All the electrochemical potentials mentioned in this work refer to the reduction half-reaction between the two species involved. Thus, the ground-state oxidation potential of Pt$_2$, abbreviated $E^0$(3-/4-), describes the half-reaction shown below.

$$\text{Pt}_2^{3-} + \text{e}^- \rightarrow \text{Pt}_2^{4-}$$

Using this convention, the thermodynamically favored direction for a given electron transfer can be quickly assessed using the Nernst equation, $\Delta G = -nF E^0$. All potentials given are referenced to the normal hydrogen electrode (NHE).

In the ideal situation, measurement of the ground-state reduction and oxidation potentials provides useful predictive information about excited-state redox potentials. Unfortunately, the ground-state electrochemical behavior of Pt$_2$ is considerably less than ideal. The ground-state electrochemical oxidation of Pt$_2$ in acetonitrile is irreversible ($E_p$(3-/4-) $\sim$ +0.8 V; $E_p$ denotes the peak potential).$^{26, 27}$ Furthermore, while several authors have reported looking for it, the reduction of Pt$_2$ has not been observed in acetonitrile at any potential between +2.0 and -2.0 eV.$^{26, 27, 28}$ The reduced Pt$_2$ species generated by pulse radiolysis in aqueous solution is reported to be extremely short-lived.$^{29}$ When an applied potential is oscillated between $\pm$2 V, the characteristic green emission is observed.$^{28}$ This electrogenerated chemiluminescence is ascribed to disproportionation of electrochemically-produced Pt$_2^{5-}$ and Pt$_2^{3-}$ species to form one ground-state (Pt$_2^{4-}$) and one excited-state ($^3$Pt$_2^*$) molecule. Electrochemiluminescence was also observed by reduction alone at $\sim$-2.8 V, in the presence of tetra-$n$-butylammonium ion. Based on this phenomenon, the ground-state reduction potential of Pt$_2$ was predicted to occur at $\sim$-2.7 V.$^{29}$
From the rates of excited-state quenching with several substrates of known reduction potentials, the excited-state oxidation potential of Pt₂ in acetonitrile, \( E^0(3-/4-) \), has been estimated as \( \leq -1.0 \) V vs NHE.\(^{16, 30, 31}\) The excited state is thus a powerful reductant; it should be able to reduce any substrate with a reduction potential less negative than \(-1.0\) eV vs NHE.\(^{32}\) Combination of the excited-state energy with the estimated oxidation potential of the ground state gives a predicted value of \( E(3-/4-) \) = \(-1.7\) V.

\[
\begin{align*}
Pt_2^{3-} + e^- & \rightarrow Pt_2^{4-} & E_p &= +0.8 \text{ V (downhill as written)} \\
Pt_2^{4-} + hv & \rightarrow Pt_2^{4-} & E_{0-0} &= -2.5 \text{ V (}\Delta G\text{>0; uphill as written)} \\
Pt_2^{3-} + e^- & \rightarrow Pt_2^{4-} & E^0 &= -1.7 \text{ V (uphill as written)}
\end{align*}
\]

The excited-state reduction potential of Pt₂ has also been estimated by several workers.\(^{10, 21, 28}\) Quenching experiments were carried out in methanol and acetonitrile with a series of aromatic amine quenchers spanning a range of oxidation potentials. Application of Marcus theory to the data allowed one group\(^1\) to extract a value of the excited-state reduction potential (\( E^0(4-/5-) \)\(^{-1.3}\) V) and an estimate of the reorganization energy involved in the electron transfer process (\( \lambda \approx 1.4 \) V). Based on the estimated excited-state reduction potential, the ground-state reduction potential \( E(4-/5-) \) is expected at \( \approx -1.2 \) V vs NHE. The lack of observation of any reductive wave is puzzling.

The relationships between the redox potentials of the ground and excited state are compactly illustrated in the modified Latimer diagram (Figure 1.6). Recall that the potential of \(-1.7\) V beside the arrow connecting Pt₂\(^{4--}\) and Pt₂\(^{3-}\) refers to the half-reaction written in the energetically uphill, reductive direction.
Figure 1.6  Modified Latimer diagram for $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4]^{4-}$
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The driving force for electron transfer from Pt$_2^{4-+}$ to an acceptor molecule (A) can be calculated by adding together the two appropriate half-reactions in the direction that produces the desired electron transfer:

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Pt}_2^{4-+} & \rightarrow \text{Pt}_2^{3-} + e^- & E_{1/2} &= +1.7 \text{ V} \\
A + e^- & \rightarrow A^- & E_{1/2} &= -1.6 \text{ V (for example)}
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\text{Pt}_2^{4-+} + A \rightarrow \text{Pt}_2^{3-} + A^- \quad E = +0.1 \text{ V}
\]

By the Nernst equation, the net electron transfer in the example shown is thermodynamically downhill.

**Halogen Atom Transfer:**

A variety of diplatinum(III) complexes containing two axial ligands have been prepared from Pt$_2$.\textsuperscript{6} The dihalide complexes Pt(III)$_2$X$_2$ (X=Cl, Br, I) are prepared thermally by reaction of Pt$_2$ with the appropriate X$_2$, or under oxidizing conditions in the presence of X$^-$ ions.\textsuperscript{33} The intense ultraviolet bands observed in the electronic spectra of the Pt$_2$X$_2$ complexes have been assigned as ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) (X$\rightarrow$Pt) transitions.\textsuperscript{34}

In the presence of alkyl halides, abbreviated RX, Pt$_2$ photochemically undergoes oxidative addition to produce the axial dihalide complexes.\textsuperscript{35} The mechanism of the photochemical transformation was first postulated as electron transfer to RX, followed by X$^-$ dissociation from RX$^-$ and addition to the mixed valence Pt(II)Pt(III) dimer generated by the original electron transfer. This mechanism generated excitement with the suggestion that back electron transfer from a substrate could be inhibited by fast follow-up chemistry at the oxidized site.\textsuperscript{36} Subsequent work indicates that the reaction
actually proceeds by an inner-sphere electron transfer (atom transfer) mechanism.\textsuperscript{37} Pt\textsubscript{2} can be regenerated photolectically in methanol from Pt\textsubscript{2}X\textsubscript{2},\textsuperscript{38} forming a catalytic cycle.

**Hydrogen-Atom Transfer:**

In 1985, Roundhill reported that broad-band photolysis of Pt\textsubscript{2} in isopropanol catalytically yields acetone, with corresponding evolution of H\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{39} Postulation of the primary photoprocess as H-atom abstraction of the homolytically weak αC-H in isopropanol generated a great deal of interest in the system. Subsequent studies show that this is an exciting two-color photocatalytic process.\textsuperscript{36} Narrow-band irradiation into the 372 nm absorption band of the TBA salt of Pt\textsubscript{2} in the presence of isopropanol, benzyl alcohols, and tin and germanium hydrides leads to loss of 372 nm absorption and concomitant formation of an axial dihydride intermediate with a strong UV absorption at 314 nm.\textsuperscript{40} Selective photolysis into the new band causes quantitative evolution of Pt\textsubscript{2}, as evidenced by reformation of the peak at 372 nm. A variety of researchers have investigated H-atom transfer reactivity with Pt\textsubscript{2}; previous work on this subject is described more fully in the introduction to Chapter 2.

**Other Similar Compounds:**

Because of the promising prospects for achieving useful photochemical transformations with Pt\textsubscript{2}, many attempts have been made to synthesize analogues. The structurally and spectroscopically related d\textsuperscript{8}-d\textsuperscript{8} dimer Ir(tmb)\textsubscript{4} (abbreviated Ir\textsubscript{2}; tmb = 2,5-diisocyno-2,5-dimethylhexane) has been shown to abstract halogen and hydrogen atoms to form Ir\textsubscript{2}X\textsubscript{2} or Ir\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2}.\textsuperscript{41} The spectroscopic energy of \textsuperscript{3}Ir\textsubscript{2} is about 30 kcal/mole, but several H-atom transfer substrates react faster with \textsuperscript{3}Ir\textsubscript{2} than with \textsuperscript{3}Pt\textsubscript{2}.
Efforts to synthesize other transition-metal complexes with the \( \mu \)-pyro-phosphito ligand have been unfruitful. A platinum dimer with a very similar bridging methylene(bis)phosphonito ligand (abbreviated pcp) has been synthesized.\(^{42}\) While many of the photophysical properties of Pt\(_2\)(pcp)\(^{4-}\) are unchanged from those of Pt\(_2\), the electron-donating quality of the pcp ligand alters the reactivity. A substantial amount of reactivity with this complex analogous to that seen with Pt\(_2\) has been reported;\(^{22}\) however, one disadvantage with Pt\(_2\)(pcp)\(^{4-}\) is the reduction in excited-state lifetime to 55ns.\(^{43}\)
References


7. Zipp, Arden P. Coord. Chem. Rev. 84, 47 (1988). "The Behavior of the Tetra-μ-Pyrophosphito-Diplatinum(II) Ion \( \text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)^{4-} \) and Related Species."


32. A quenching rate of $4.6 \times 10^7$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ observed with 4-dimethylamino-benzophenone ($E_{1/2} = -1.8$ V) indicates that the oxidation potential of $^{3}\text{Pt}_{2}^{*}$ may be as high as $-1.8$ V in acetonitrile, a value more in line with the predicted value. Zietlow, Miriam H., Ph. D. Dissertation (California Institute of Technology, 1989).


34. Che, Chi-Ming; Mak, Thomas C. W.; Miskowski, Vincent M. and Gray, Harry B. J. Am. Chem. Soc 108, 7840 (1986). "Binuclear Platinum (III) Complexes. Preparation, Structure, and $d\delta \sigma^{*}$ Spectrum of [Bu$_4$N]$_2$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$(CH$_3$CN)$_2$]."


Chapter 2. Factors Influencing Rates of H-Atom Abstraction by $^3\text{Pt}_2^*$

Introduction

What is H- Atom Abstraction?

The phrase "hydrogen atom abstraction" (or "hydrogen atom transfer") implies that both an electron and a proton are transferred from one species to another, and that no evidence is observed for outer-sphere electron transfer, proton transfer, or hydride transfer. These conditions are often satisfied by showing that electron transfer is not energetically allowed, given the redox potentials of the two species involved, or that $\text{H}^+$ or $\text{H}^-$ transfer is not expected from the relative acidities or hydride affinities of the two species involved. Four limiting scenarios can be envisioned within the general requirements of H-atom transfer. Apparent transfer of a hydrogen atom can be accomplished by partial initial transfer of a proton, followed by an electron, from the H-atom donor to the H-atom abstractor. Alternatively, the transfer could involve partial movement of a hydride from the H-atom donor to the H-atom abstractor, followed by electron transfer back to the H-atom donor. An electron could be partially transferred from the H-atom donor to the abstractor species and then a proton could follow. The fourth possibility is partial transfer of an electron to the H-atom donor, in conjunction with transfer of hydride to the H-atom abstractor. A fifth idealized scenario represents a midway point between the four limiting cases: exactly simultaneous transfer of an electron and a proton, i.e., an intact $\text{H}_2^+$, from the H-atom donor to the H-atom abstractor. Each substrate/reagent pair will find a unique combination of the above limiting cases -- all of which qualify as abstraction of a hydrogen atom -- that results in the lowest possible energy pathway for reaction.\(^1\)
The rates of H-atom transfer reactions are determined by the energy needed to reach the transition state, defined as the highest-energy configuration of atoms and electrons along the lowest energy path leading to H-atom transfer. For each hydrogen atom transfer reaction, the transition state will represent a unique distribution of electrons and atoms between the two reactants.

**Background on H-atom Transfer in Organic Systems**

Elements of the following discussion have been adapted from N. J. Turro's book, *Modern Molecular Photochemistry*.\(^2\) Hydrogen-atom (H-atom) abstraction by organic excited states has been examined in exquisite detail, and this very readable book provides an excellent introduction to the subject, with a number of leading references.

Based on studies with \(\text{R}_3\text{C}^-\) as an approximation of \(\pi,\pi^*\) excited states, and \(\text{RO}^-\) radicals as an approximation of \(n,\pi^*\) excited states, five major factors affecting H-atom transfer reaction rates in organic systems have been identified:\(^2\)

1. The strength of the bond being broken.
2. The strength of the bond being formed.
3. Polar or charge-transfer effects on the energy of the transition state relative to the energy of the reactant.
4. Solvent effects on the reagent, substrate, and transition state.
5. Steric effects on the approach of the reagent and substrate.

The first two factors listed above usually control the enthalpy change of the H-atom transfer reaction. Because the activation energy for the reaction must be at least as large as any uphill enthalpy changes, the combination of these two factors strongly influences the rates of abstraction. The last three factors usually provide second-order perturbations on the reaction rates. Each factor is discussed below.
1. To the extent that the transition state for H-atom abstraction involves a concerted transfer of an electron and proton; i.e., an intact hydrogen atom, the energetic factors influencing the transition state of the atom transfer must include the sum of the energies of all the bonds made and broken during the transfer. Enthalpic effects that are due to the strength of the bond being broken are relatively easy to study, since bond strengths for a variety of closed-shell H-atom donors have been measured. In general, abstraction rates are slower when stronger bonds are being broken.

2. In n,π* or π,π* organic excited states, the absorption of a photon generates an activated diradical species. Bond formation between an H-atom and the electronically excited diradical produces a monoradical species; unfortunately, the C-H or O-H bond energies of interest for such monoradicals are mostly unknown. For a series of reactions with the same excited-state species, however, the strength of the bond being formed remains constant.

The excited-state energy may be considered to contribute to the strength of the bond being formed between the excited diradical and the H-atom. The strength of this bond measured relative to the electronically excited diradical species will be much greater than the strength measured relative to the ground state. Figure 2.1 demonstrates that the two measurements should differ by the amount of the spectroscopic transition between the ground state and the excited diradical. The observation of faster H-atom abstraction rates for organic species with higher-energy electronic excited states indicates that differences in the bond strengths of the O-H or C-H bonds being formed are small compared to the spectroscopic energies. Alternatively, the strengths of the O-H or C-H bonds formed from the ground-state abstractor molecules may parallel the excited-state energies of the abstractor molecules.
Figure 2.1  Diagram of spectroscopic energy contribution to bond formation during H-atom abstraction
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Figure 2.2  Initial orbital interactions for H-atom transfer by the $n,\pi^*$ electronic excited state of a ketone (from Ref.2)
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3. If the transition state for H-atom abstraction involves partial electron transfer in conjunction with proton or hydride transfer, the energetics of the H-atom abstraction transition state must include the appropriate redox potentials of the organic excited state and the H-atom donor, as well as the relative acidities or hydride affinities of the reduced and oxidized species. The initial interactions involved in H-atom transfer reactions can be deduced for organic compounds because comparisons of orbital energy levels between compounds can be made with some certainty. For example, the first interaction in the \( n,\pi^* \) ketone states is thought to be an interaction between filled sigma orbitals of the H-atom donor and the half-empty, oxygen-localized HOMO (or "hole") of the electronically excited abstractor species (Figure 2.2). H-atom donors with electron-rich, relatively high-lying \( \sigma \) orbitals will react faster with \( n,\pi^* \) states than H-atom donors with lower-lying \( \sigma \) orbitals, because the initial electron transfer-like interaction will be more energetically favorable in the former case. This is referred to as a "polar effect."

4. Differences in solvation of the reactants and products affect the overall energetics of the reaction; differences in solvation between the reactants and the transition state affect the rate of the reaction. For example, a transition state with electron transfer character could be stabilized by reaction in a polar solvent, resulting in faster rates.

5. Steric effects on the approach of the reagent and substrate will be reflected in the change in entropy between the reactants and the transition state. Entropy effects on the rate can be important, since the transition state is expected to be associative. The entropy change of the overall reaction should be small, since two species react to give two products.
Background on H-atom Transfer in Non-Organic Systems

H-atom transfer from organic and organometallic H-atom donors has been observed in several ground-state organometallic systems\(^6\); notably the metal carbonyl radicals \(\cdot\text{Re}(\text{CO})_5\) or \(\cdot\text{Re}(\text{CO})_4\text{L}\), and \(\cdot\text{Mn}(\text{CO})_3\text{L}_2\).\(^7,\ ^8\) H-atom abstraction of the \(\alpha\)-C-H bond in \(\alpha\)-secphenethyl alcohol has also been postulated as a reaction pathway for the electrochemically generated rhenium(VI) complex \([\text{Re}(\text{py})_4(\text{O}_2)]^{2+}\),\(^9\) the lowest electronic excited state of \([\text{Os(tmc)}_4(\text{O}_2)]^{2+}\) (tmc= 1,4,8,11-tetramethyl-1,4,8,11-tetra-azacyclotetradecane).\(^10\) Electronically excited atomic mercury can abstract hydrogens from substrates with very strong bonds, including alkanes.\(^11\) A variety of alcohols react with electronically excited uranyl ion, \({}^\ast\text{UO}_2^{2+}\), via an H-atom abstraction pathway.\(^12,\ ^13,\ ^14\) Recently, H-atom transfer has been reported as an important reaction of the \(3\text{A}_{2u}\) electronic excited states of the \(d^8-d^8\) dimers \(\text{Pt}_2\) (\textit{vide infra}), \(\text{Pt}_2(\text{pcp})_4^{4-}\) (pcp=methylene(bis)phosphonito),\(^15\) and \(\text{Ir}_2(\text{tmb})_4^{2+}\) (tmb=2,5-diisocyano-2,5-dimethylhexane).\(^16\)

In the last five years, several researchers have amassed convincing evidence for H-atom abstraction as a reaction pathway for \(3\text{Pt}_2^\ast\). Quenching of the emission intensity and/or lifetime of the triplet excited state of the metal complex is observed with a variety of substrates that have homolytically weak bonds to hydrogen, including alcohols,\(^15,\ ^17,\ ^18,\ ^19,\ ^20\) \(\text{H}_3\text{PO}_3,\ ^17\) toluene,\(^19\) cyclohexene and cyclohexadiene,\(^15,\ ^16\) and triorganostannanes, \(-\text{germanes, -silanes}.\(^17,\ ^21,\ ^22\)\) Stern-Volmer kinetics are followed in all cases, indicating a bimolecular reaction between \(3\text{Pt}_2^\ast\) and the substrate as the deactivation pathway. Transient absorptions attributed to \(\text{Pt}_2\text{H}^\cdot\) are observed 0.1 \(\mu\text{sec}\) after a light pulse in a solution of \(\text{Pt}_2\) with various H-atom donors.\(^15,\ ^17,\ ^18,\ ^20,\ ^23\) The expected radical coupling products have been observed after photolyses of \(\text{Pt}_2\) with several organic substrates.\(^17,\ ^19\) While quenching of \(3\text{Pt}_2^\ast\) and/or suggestive product formation\(^15,\ ^17,\ ^18,\ ^19,\ ^20,\ ^22,\ ^24,\ ^25,\ ^26,\ ^27\) are observed with many substrates that have activated C-H bonds, quenching of the excited state is slow with
substrates that do not have activated C-H bonds. An inverse correlation of quenching rate with bond strength was observed for a series of substrates R₃EH (E = Sn, Ge, Si). Over a bond-energy difference of ~25 kcal/mol, abstraction rates varied from 10⁸ to 10⁴ M⁻¹s⁻¹. A kinetic isotope effect of 1.7 for quenching with Bu₃SnH and Bu₃SnD was taken as evidence for partial Sn-H bond dissociation in the transition state. This body of evidence indicates that abstraction of a hydrogen atom from an H-atom donor substrate is, in fact, a deactivation pathway for ³Pt₂⁺. Moreover, a number of substrates can be found for which the quenching rate is a meaningful probe of the H-atom abstraction rate.

Factors Expected to Influence H-atom Transfer in Non-Organic Systems

Little mechanistic information is available about non-organic complexes that exhibit excited-state H-atom transfer reactivity; however, reaction rates are expected to be influenced by the same factors discussed above. The first factor does not depend on the abstractor species, so it will not be affected by the change to an inorganic or organometallic excited state. The strength of the bond formed between the abstractor species and the H-atom is likely to be a complete unknown, as bond energies for inorganic or organometallic radicals are even more rarely measured than those for organic radicals. Furthermore, excited-state energies will not necessarily be effective predictors of H-atom abstraction rates in the non-organic systems, because the bond energies implicitly being compared do not depend solely on the excitation energy. Homolytic metal-hydrogen bond strengths are extremely variable, for example, even for the same metal in different complexes.

Excited-state redox potentials can provide a qualitative assessment of the importance of polar factors; however, absolute orbital energy levels are rarely known in the non-organic systems, so it is difficult to decide what excited state orbitals will interact with the donor orbitals. The initial H⁺ or H⁻ transfer scenarios may not be as
farfetched for non-organic species as they are for the organic systems studied.\textsuperscript{29}

Solvent effects may appear to be reversed in some inorganic and organometallic systems. For example, where the abstractor excited state is highly charged, an electron transfer-like interaction could actually result in reduced polarity in the transition state, and hence a faster reaction in less polar solvents. Interesting differences in entropy effects can also be envisioned for the inorganic and organometallic excited states.

This chapter discusses the dependence of H-atom transfer rates with $^3\text{Pt}_2^*$ on substrate bond strength, steric and solvent. The importance of polar effects and the strength of the bond being formed, along with a continued consideration of steric contributions, are examined in more detail in Chapter 5.
Experimental

Materials. [TBA]_4Pt_2 was prepared from the potassium salt as described previously.\(^{30a}\) [PPN]_4Pt_2 was precipitated by dissolving excess [PPN]Cl in a mixture of water and a minimum of methanol and adding an aqueous solution of [TBA]_4Pt_2. Burdick and Jackson high-purity, UV grade acetonitrile was used as received for all experiments. Benzyl alcohol, 1-phenyl-1-ethanol (referred to hereafter as α-secphenethyl alcohol), 1-phenyl-1-propanol, and diphenylmethane were purchased from Aldrich, reagent-grade; 2-methyl-1-phenyl-1-propanol was purchased from Wiley, 97%; and 1-cyclohexylethanol was obtained from Calbiochem. The liquid alcohols and hydrocarbons were purified by distillation under reduced pressure in the presence of sodium metal to remove water and peroxides, and stored under argon, in septum-covered roundbottom flasks, in the dark. The solid quencher was sublimed under vacuum two times immediately prior to use. Purification of ethylbenzene and cumene is detailed elsewhere.\(^{16}\) Isopropanol and sec-butanol (Adrich Gold Label, 99+%) and toluene (Burdick and Jackson High-Purity Solvent) were used without purification from freshly opened bottles. The perdeuterated compound PhCD(OD)CD_3 was synthesized by a Grignard reaction using phenylmagnesium bromide and deuterated acetaldehyde. The monodeuterated alcohol PhCD(OH)CH_3 was synthesized by reducing acetophenone with NaBD_4 and quenching the reaction with H_2O.

Bulk photolysis. Acetonitrile solutions containing [TBA]_4Pt_2 (3×10^{-4} M) were degassed with at least 5 freeze-pump-thaw cycles. Stirred solutions were irradiated in a 1 cm cell, and the absorbance changes were measured in an attached 1 mm cell. Cary 17 and Shimadzu UV-260 absorption spectrometers were used. For the irradiation source, a 1000W Hg-Xe lamp with Corning 0-52 cutoff and 7-39 band-pass filter combination provided a 30 nm fwhm transmittance band centered at 370 nm. \(^{1}\)H NMR and/or GC were used to identify the organic products after photolysis.
Quenching studies. Acetonitrile solutions containing [TBA]$_4$Pt$_2$ (1-3 x10$^{-4}$ M) plus incrementally varied quencher concentrations were degassed with at least 5 freeze-pump-thaw cycles on a vacuum line with a limiting pressure of ~10$^{-5}$ torr. Quenchers were added directly to the quenching cell (roundbottom flask connected by two arms to 1 mm and 1 cm cuvettes and sealed by teflon vacuum valves) using a syringe of the appropriate volume (between 10 mL and 1 mL); the solution was opened to air for the addition of each quencher aliquot. Excited-state lifetimes ($\tau$) were measured with a Quanta Ray Nd:YAG (8 ns fwhm; 355 nm excitation) laser system described elsewhere.$^{30b}$ Kinetic data were analyzed by Stern-Volmer plots of $\tau_0/\tau$, $1/\tau$ or ($1/\tau$-$1/\tau_0$) versus quencher concentration. The Stern-Volmer equation describes the dependence of the excited-state lifetime on the quencher concentration when deactivation of the excited state occurs via bimolecular reactions with quencher. Stern-Volmer plots for most quenchers appeared linear within the accuracy of the data points. For $\alpha$-secphenethyl alcohol, however, a combination of points from several different studies gives a distinctly curved plot at concentrations >0.1 M. (See Appendix 2.2.) The rate reported for this quencher is based on the slope of the line for [Q]<0.1 M, which gave a correlation coefficient of 1.00 for 6 points. Rates slower than 10$^4$ M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$ can be measured only as upper limits by the quenching method. For substrates that quench below this rate, quencher concentrations large enough (>1 M) to perturb the solution medium are needed to see substantial changes in the emission lifetime (~10%). Since the redox potentials and triplet states of the substrates examined are out of reach of Pt$_2$, quenching rates are assumed to correspond to H-atom abstraction rates.

NMR. Spectra were obtained on a JEOL FX90Q 90MHz FTNMR spectrometer. Deuterated acetonitrile (Aldrich, 1 mL ampules) was used as the solvent, and residual CHD$_2$CN (chemical shift = 1.9 ppm) was used as a reference. Samples were not degassed.
**Results and Discussion**

Table 2.1 and Figure 2.3 show the range of H-atom transfer quenching rate constants for $^3\text{Pt}^\pi_2$ in acetonitrile, correlated with E-H bond strength$^{31, 32, 33, 34}$ (E = species bonded to H; the abstractable H is underlined). A trend of slower rates with higher substrate bond strength is apparent in the stannane/germane/silane quencher series.$^{22}$ The hydrocarbon substrates clearly do not follow this trend; exceptions to the trend are also evident for some alcohols. The present work focuses on the alcohol and hydrocarbon substrates, because the process of untangling the factors affecting rates is facilitated by comparisons between substrates where only C-H bonds are being broken.

**Figure 2.3** Quenching rate constants versus E-H bond energies for H-atom donors (E=Sn, Ge, Si, C)
Table 2.1  Rate constants and E-H bond energies (E=Sn, Ge, Si, C) for quenching of $^3$[TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$]$^+$ by H-atom donors in CH$_3$CN

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Bond Strength (kcal/mole)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Quenching Rate (M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>triphenylmethane</td>
<td>80</td>
<td>32</td>
<td>$&lt;1$×10$^4$</td>
<td>22</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>diphenylmethane</td>
<td>84±2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>2×10$^4$a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cumene</td>
<td>84.4±1.5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5×10$^3$</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethylbenzene</td>
<td>85.4±1.5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.4×10$^4$</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>88.0±1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4×10$^3$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benzhydrol</td>
<td>81±4</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3×10$^5$</td>
<td>42</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCH(OH)CH$_3$</td>
<td>82.4±3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.8×10$^6$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCH(OH)CH$_2$CH$_3$</td>
<td>82.4±3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>1.2×10$^6$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCH(OH)CH(CH$_3$)$_2$</td>
<td>82.4±3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>5.6×10$^5$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCH(OH)C(CH$_3$)$_3$</td>
<td>82.4±3</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>3×10$^4$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benzyl alcohol</td>
<td>85±3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4×10$^6$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclohexylmethanol</td>
<td>91±1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>4×10$^4$a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sec-butanol</td>
<td>91±1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9×10$^3$</td>
<td>36</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isopropanol</td>
<td>91±1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4×10$^3$</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>93±1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>10$^3$</td>
<td>17</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>94±2</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>130</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>triphenyltin hydride</td>
<td>65±10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.0×10$^8$</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>triphenylgermane</td>
<td>68±10</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.9×10$^7$</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>tributyltin hydride</td>
<td>70±5</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>1.2×10$^7$</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>triphenylsilane</td>
<td>84±4</td>
<td>34,31</td>
<td>1.6×10$^5$</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>triethylsilane</td>
<td>90±4</td>
<td>34</td>
<td>2.0×10$^4$</td>
<td>21</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclohexene</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.2×10$^6$</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclohexadiene</td>
<td>73</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>8.2×10$^6$</td>
<td>16</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a = rate determined by two- or three-point line
Alcohol and hydrocarbon quenching rates are compared in Figure 2.4. \( ^3\text{Pt}_2^* \) is quenched by a variety of alcohols, with rates ranging from \( 4 \times 10^6 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \) to \( <150 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \). H-atom transfer is expected to be the major contributor to the quenching rate, based on a large kinetic isotope effect (\textit{vide infra}) and the observed product formation (\( \text{Pt}_2\text{H}_2, \text{H}_2 \), and/or expected aldehyde, ketone, or ketyl coupling products).

Furthermore, the oxidation potentials of the alcohol and hydrocarbon substrates examined are too high for outer-sphere electron transfer to \( ^3\text{Pt}_2^* \).\(^{35} \) In contrast to the reactions with alcohols, very slow rates (\( \sim 10^4 \text{ M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1} \)) are observed for all the hydrocarbons studied. Radical coupling products observed after bulk photolysis of \( \text{Pt}_2 \) with toluene, ethylbenzene and cumene indicate that H-atom transfer does occur with these quenchers.\(^{36} \) A particularly striking departure from the expected dependence of rate on bond strength is exhibited by triphenylmethane. The tertiary C-H bond is very weak, yet the complex exhibits a level of quenching measurable only as an upper limit. No search has been made for organic coupling products from bulk photolyses with this substrate.

Clearly, quenching rates for \( ^3\text{Pt}_2^* \) with benzyl alcohols are much faster than the rates for hydrocarbons with comparable benzylic C-H bond strengths. This wording implies that the alcohol rates are faster than the "normal" hydrocarbons. The opposite is possible; the hydrocarbon rates may be slower than the "expected" rates exhibited by the alcohols. Some combination of the two situations also may apply. The departure from quenching rate dependence on bond strength indicates that some other factor must be important for \( ^3\text{Pt}_2^* \) reactivity with organic H-atom donors. The list of factors expected to affect H-atom abstraction rates also includes polar effects, solvent effects, and steric effects.\(^2 \)
Figure 2.4  Quenching rate constants versus bond energies for alcohol and hydrocarbon H-atom donors.
Polar effects

The Pt₂ excited state can be viewed as an excited radical species that will interact with empty σ* substrate orbitals; alternatively, the half-empty do* orbital can be visualized as an oxidizing "hole" that will interact with electron-rich substrates (Figure 2.5). The hydrocarbons are easier to oxidize than the alcohols, yet they react slower with ³Pt₂⁺; this observation is inconsistent with a polar effect involving initial electron transfer from the substrate to the metal excited state. A large kinetic deuterium isotope effect (kH/kD=4) observed for quenching of ³Pt₂⁺ with PhCH(OH)CH₃ and PhCD(OH)CD₃ or PhCD(OH)CH₃ argues against polar effects for the benzyl alcohols. For a pure H-atom transfer to Pt₂⁺, the transition state occurs when the metal complex is stabilized enough by the incipient Pt-H bond and related structural rearrangements to overcome the energy of the C-H bond that is being ruptured. The maximum deuterium isotope effect expected for a linear (Pt-H-C), pure H-atom transfer transition state in the absence of tunnelling is 7.3⁷ A change from hydrogen to deuterium is not expected to affect the redox potentials of the substrate; but the bond energy difference between the two species is approximately 1 kcal/mol, based on half of the difference in IR bond stretching frequency (i.e., the difference in zero-point energies) for the two bonds of interest. The observed isotope effect suggests that the breaking C-H bond is intimately involved in the transition state for this alcohol, and that electron transfer contributions are relatively unimportant.

Initial proton or hydride transfer is possible, but not likely because the carbonium ions and carbanions formed should be high in energy. Acid/base chemistry with Pt₂ occurs at the ligands³⁸; no evidence has been seen for protonation at Pt in the ground or excited state. The hydride affinity of the excited state is unknown.
Figure 2.5  Possible initial orbital interactions involved in a polar transition state for H-atom transfer to $^3\text{[Pt}_2\text{(P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)\text{]}^4^-$. 

Solvent effects

Interactions with solvent provide another possible source of the non-correspondence of reaction rate with bond strength. Pt$_2$ is a tetranion and the quenchers are neutral; since no electron transfer character is expected, interactions of CH$_3$CN with the separated reactants and the associated transition state should be fairly similar. H-atom transfer rates have been previously reported for a variety of quenchers in four different solvents.$^{15, 17, 20}$ Addition of the present work in acetonitrile indicates that there are very definitely some solvent-dependent quenching rates (Table 2.2). Rates in acetonitrile are generally the slowest rates observed. This observation is consistent with coordination of solvent at the axial sites in the excited state that interferes with approach of the substrate. Acetonitrile is expected to coordinate more strongly than water (and presumably methanol as well) on the basis of the observed stabilities for the Pt(III)$_2$CH$_3$CN versus Pt(III)$_2$H$_2$O complexes.$^{39, 40}$
Table 2.2  H-atom abstraction quenching rates with $^3\text{TBA}_4\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4^+$ for selected H-atom donors in different solvents

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quencher</th>
<th>Acetone</th>
<th>Methanol</th>
<th>CH$_3$CN</th>
<th>DMSO</th>
<th>H$_2$O pH 1</th>
<th>H$_2$O pH 7</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>isopropanol</td>
<td>3x10$^3$ a</td>
<td>1.0x10$^5$ c</td>
<td>4x10$^3$</td>
<td>7x10$^4$ a 7x10$^5$ d</td>
<td>2x10$^4$ a</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sec-butanol</td>
<td></td>
<td>1.5x10$^5$ c</td>
<td>9x10$^3$</td>
<td>8x10$^4$ a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph$_2$CHOH</td>
<td>2.5x10$^6$ d</td>
<td>3x10$^5$</td>
<td>1x10$^6$</td>
<td>3x10$^6$ a 2x10$^7$ d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PhCH$_2$OH</td>
<td>2.5x10$^6$ c</td>
<td>4x10$^6$</td>
<td>1x10$^6$</td>
<td>5x10$^6$ d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>cyclohexene</td>
<td>1.3x10$^6$ e</td>
<td>&lt;10$^4$</td>
<td>1.2x10$^6$ b</td>
<td>2x10$^5$ a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Ph$_3$CH</td>
<td></td>
<td>4x10$^5$ a</td>
<td>2x10$^4$</td>
<td>2x10$^5$ a 6.3x10$^6$ d</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Et$_3$SiH</td>
<td>1.3x10$^7$ d</td>
<td>1.2x10$^7$</td>
<td></td>
<td>2x10$^5$ a</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

a=ref. 17  b=ref. 16  c=ref. 20  d=ref. 15  e=ref. 18

Steric effects

Steric interactions are a very plausible source of the unusual reactivity patterns observed for reactions of $^3\text{Pt}_2^+$ with organic substrates. In the triplet excited state of Pt$_2$ responsible for H-atom transfer reactions, an electron has been promoted from a d$\sigma^*$ orbital whose lobes point in opposite directions out along the Pt-Pt axis to a $\pi\sigma$ orbital localized between the two Pt's. The 6p orbitals are quite diffuse, so the $\pi\sigma$ orbital may also have appreciable extension to the axial sites on platinum. Whatever the orbital interactions involved, the C-H bond needs to come in and form a basically linear transition state along the Pt-H-C coordinate. The environment around the axial site of Pt$_2$ consists of a fluted cup of H-bonded terminal oxygen species from the ligands. This ring of ligands should be both hydrophilic and somewhat bulky.
**Steric effects one: alcohols versus hydrocarbons**

Benzyl alcohol contains phenyl and OH substituents attached to the carbon bearing two abstractable hydrogens, and sports the fastest rate observed for the organic quenchers. Ethylbenzene has approximately the same bond strength, the same number of abstractable hydrogens, and similar steric bulk from the phenyl and methyl substituents, but the rate is slower by two orders of magnitude than that observed for benzyl alcohol. The same apparent acceleration of rates for substrates that contain a hydroxyl group is observed in a comparison of α-secphenethyl alcohol with cumene. It is possible that these comparisons are not entirely fair, because the hydroxyl substituent is not as big as a methyl group. However, the reaction rate with toluene is three orders of magnitude slower than the rate with benzyl alcohol, even though toluene clearly has smaller substituents on the carbon bearing the abstractable hydrogens.

The presence of OH bonds in the alcohols gives rise to the possibility of H-bonding interactions between the substrate and Pt$_2$ ligands; such interactions may specifically facilitate the approach of alcohol substrates to the hydrophilic axial sites on the metal complex, preparatory to abstraction by $^3$Pt$_2^*$. Hypothetical docking interactions are shown in Figure 2.6.

Unfortunately, conclusive evidence for interactions between the alcohol and Pt$_2$ cannot be found through NMR. Previous workers$^9, 41$ have attributed (implicitly or explicitly) changes in the NMR spectra of alcohols to H-bonding interactions between a metal complex and the alcohol. Addition of acetic acid to a sample of the alcohol produces the same effect, however, suggesting that changes in the NMR signals are due to fast exchange of the hydroxyl proton on the NMR timescale, which could be catalyzed by any acidic substance. (See Appendix 2.1.)
Figure 2.6  Docking interaction between $^{3}\text{[Pt}_{2}(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)^{4-}\cdot\cdot}$ and alcohol substrates
**Steric effects two: too little, too big or just right**

Slow quenching rates are observed with small aliphatic alcohols. To probe the energetics of the transition state for H-atom transfer, activation parameters for isopropanol were obtained from the results of temperature-dependent quenching studies\(^\text{42}\) (Table 2.3). Interestingly, the observation of a small activation enthalpy (2.4 kcal/mole) for isopropanol suggests that the relatively high bond strength for this substrate does not significantly affect the H-atom abstraction rate. The free energy of activation is dominated by a large entropy term (\(\Delta S^\ddagger = -33\) e.u.), consistent with a fair amount of organization during the approach to the transition state. For effective H-atom abstraction, the \(\alpha\)-CH bond must point toward the Pt\(_2\) axial site, with the three other substituents on carbon pointing back from the axial site like a parachute. For isopropanol this may not be a preferred orientation. The small size of the methyl groups in isopropanol may allow the alcohol to swing freely and orient in ineffectual ways even when the postulated docking H-bond interactions are in place.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Pt(_2) Quenching Rate (M(^{-1})s(^{-1}))</th>
<th>(\Delta H^\ddagger) kcal/mole</th>
<th>(\Delta S^\ddagger) e.u., cal/mole K</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>isopropanol (CH(_3))(_2)CHOH</td>
<td>(5 \times 10^3)</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>-33</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benzyl alcohol PhCH(_2)OH</td>
<td>(3.5 \times 10^6)</td>
<td>2.4</td>
<td>-19</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(\alpha)-sec-phenethyl alcohol PhCH(_2)OH(CH(_3))</td>
<td>(1.5 \times 10^6) (±0.3)</td>
<td>1.6</td>
<td>-26</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
An increase in quenching rate is observed on going from isopropanol to sec-butanol, even though the αC-H bond-energy difference between the two substrates is expected to be negligible. The quenching rate with cyclohexylmethanol shows the same trend. The effect has been previously reported without explanation; quenching rates measured in methanol were observed to increase in the series isopropanol, sec-butanol, and 2-pentanol. Addition of a bulkier group to the carbon bearing the abstractable hydrogen apparently limits the choice of substituents that can point toward the Pt₂ axial site, and thus lessens the need for reorientation on approach to the transition state. Predictions can be based on this postulate; for example, cyclohexanol is predicted to quench faster than isopropanol, and ΔS⁺ is expected to be less negative for cyclohexanol than for isopropanol. The very slow rates observed for quenching with ethanol and methanol probably reflect the higher bond strengths in these substrates, since for methanol any orientation of the docked alcohol should present a C-H bond toward the axial site.

The very similar activation enthalpies for benzyl alcohol and isopropanol (Table 2.3) indicate that bond strength does not control the difference in rates for the two substrates. The faster rate observed for benzyl alcohol is thus consistent with the postulate that a certain amount of bulk on the substrate encourages effective orientation of the alcohol in the transition state. Figure 2.7 demonstrates that addition of too much steric bulk to the substrate can slow the reaction, however. Abstraction rates for a series of benzylic alcohols of the general formula PhCH(OH)R are plotted versus substituent (R) cone angle. Increasing steric bulk on the R substituent allows the alcohol less choice about what group can point in toward the axial site; this steric guidance is expected to ease the ordering requirements of the transition state and result in faster reactions (vide supra). Excessive bulkiness apparently causes steric congestion on the approach to the transition state, however, resulting in the observed decrease in rates.
Temperature-dependent studies indicate that the activation entropy controls the quenching rate differences for benzyl alcohol and α-secphenetheryl alcohol ($\Delta S^+ = -16$ and -25 e.u., respectively).\(^{44}\) (See Table 2.3.) The increased negative activation entropy observed upon substitution of a methyl group for a hydrogen atom supports the postulate that there is a certain ideal amount of steric bulk that effectively directs the $\alpha$-CH toward the axial site, but still allows a close approach for the abstraction step. The less negative value of the activation entropy for benzyl alcohol may also arise from the greater freedom of choice presented by the availability of two abstractable hydrogens for this substrate, as opposed to only a single hydrogen for α-secphenetheryl alcohol.
The slow rates with the hydrocarbon substrates are consistent with a general lack of affinity of hydrophobic complexes for the hydrophilic axial site on the metal complex. Across the whole series of hydrocarbons studied, decreasing bond strength is accompanied by increasing steric bulk on the carbon bearing the abstractable hydrogen. For triphenylmethane and diphenylmethane, the general lack of affinity for the axial site apparently combines with steric hindrance caused by the bulky phenyl groups to override the driving force for abstraction caused by the very weak bonds. Within the toluene/cumene/ethylbenzene series, a maximum rate is observed for ethylbenzene. Toluene reacts slowest, indicating that the combination of higher bond strength and general lack of affinity for the axial site overcome the choice of three abstractable hydrogens and the steric situation most reminiscent of the "ideal" found for benzyl alcohol.

Comparison with Organic H-atom Abstractors and Conclusions

An organic analogue of $^3\text{Pt}_{2}^*$ is the $^3\pi,\pi^*$ excited state of benzophenone. The excited state lifetimes of the two molecules are equivalent; the triplet energy of benzophenone is higher by 11 kcal/mol. Previous work using a series of triorganostannanes, -germanes, and -silanes demonstrated that H-atom transfer quenching rates with $^3\text{Pt}_{2}^*$ qualitatively parallel the rates observed for the same quenchers with electronically excited benzophenone.

The quenching rates of selected alcohol and hydrocarbon substrates with $^3\text{Pt}_{2}^*$ and excited benzophenone and the ground-state reaction rates of the same substrates with tBuO⁻ are shown in Table 2.4. The inorganic system reacts more selectively than the organic systems with the H-atom transfer substrates studied; while the quenching rates with the organic abstractor species vary by only one or two orders of magnitude, quenching rates with $^3\text{Pt}_{2}^*$ span four orders of magnitude.
Table 2.4 Comparative H-atom abstraction rates for selected substrates with $^{3}\text{TBA}_4\text{Pt}_2\left(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2\right)_4^*$, $^{3}\pi_\pi^*$ benzophenone, and tert-butoxy radical

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Quenching Rate with $^{3}\text{Pt}_2^*$ (M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Quenching Rate with t-BuO$^*$ (M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
<th>Quenching Rate with $^{3}\pi_\pi^*$ (M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>Ref.</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cumene</td>
<td>5x10$^3$</td>
<td>8.7x10$^5$</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5.2x10$^5$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethylbenzene</td>
<td>1.4x10$^4$</td>
<td>1.05x10$^6$</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5.0x10$^5$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>4x10$^3$</td>
<td>2.3x10$^5$</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.7x10$^5$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benzhydrol</td>
<td>3x10$^5$</td>
<td>6.9x10$^6$</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>4.2x10$^7$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>$\alpha$-secphenethyl alcohol</td>
<td>1.8x10$^6$</td>
<td>1.8x10$^6$</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.7x10$^6$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sec-butanol</td>
<td>9x10$^3$</td>
<td>1.8x10$^6$</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>1.3x10$^6$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isopropanol</td>
<td>4x10$^3$</td>
<td>1.8x10$^6$</td>
<td>49</td>
<td>5.6x10$^5$</td>
<td>50</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethanol</td>
<td>10$^3$</td>
<td>1.1x10$^6$</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>methanol</td>
<td>130</td>
<td>2.9x10$^5$</td>
<td>49</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

The novel and rather sensitive steric control available for H-atom abstraction using $^{3}\text{Pt}_2^*$ raises the possibility of selective functionalization of organic substrates. The active sites of $\text{Pt}_2$ resemble tulips or magnolias; the excited-state orbitals pointing out from the axial sites are surrounded by a cup of petallike ligands (Figure 2.6). In contrast to the insensitive abstraction reactivity observed for the organic abstractors, $^{3}\text{Pt}_2^*$ demonstrates a refined hunger for hydrogen atoms attached to substrates that are attracted by its hydrophilic petals. Even more discrimination is supplied by the degree of openness of the petals; only substrates that are just the right size are allowed to approach the center of the flower with the correct orientation for quick reaction.
Appendix 2.1. NMR data for alcohols in the presence of Pt$_2$

Spectra of $\alpha$-secphenethyl alcohol, $\alpha$-secphenethylalcohol with added water, and $\alpha$-secphenethylalcohol with $10^{-3}$ equivalents of Pt$_2$ are shown in Figure 2.8(a-c). The chemical shift of the hydroxyl proton varies slightly with the concentration of the alcohol or with the concentration of added water, but the splitting of this peak and the methine peak do not change under such conditions. In the presence of very small amounts of Pt$_2$ ($10^{-5}$ M), no changes are observed in the NMR spectrum of 0.15 M alcohol. At concentrations $\geq 10^{-4}$ M Pt$_2$, however, the hydroxyl residue and the residual H$_2$O singlet broaden into a single low, broad hump. The methine residue, originally a resolved quartet of doublets (from coupling to three methyl protons and the hydroxyl proton), collapses to a sharp quartet. While the spectrum of the alcohol is not affected by addition of [TBA]PF$_6$, the same changes are observed upon addition of acetic acid (Figure 2.8(d)).
Figure 2.8  $^1$H NMR spectra of 0.15 M α-sacphenyl alcohol in CD$_3$CN  

a) alone

b) with 1.1 M H$_2$O  
c) with 0.001 M Pt$_2$  
d) with 0.017 M acetic acid
Appendix 2.2. Curved Stern-Volmer plot for $\alpha$-sec-phenethyl alcohol

Figure 2.9  Stern-Volmer plot for $\alpha$-secphenethyl alcohol
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This chapter is substantially based on Reference 1. Additional details have been supplied, and the supplementary NMR simulation materials have been placed in the discussion section rather than appended at the end.

Introduction

Hydrogen-atom transfer has been established as an important reaction pathway for the $d\sigma^*p\sigma$ triplet excited state of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)\text{H}_2]^{4-}$ (Pt$_2$). Substrates that serve as H-atom donors include alcohols with $\alpha$(C-H) bonds and triorganosilanes, -germanes, and -stannanes. These substrates all react photochemically with Pt$_2$ upon 370-nm irradiation to produce the same complex,$^2$ which is characterized by strong absorption at 314 nm. NMR and IR spectroscopic studies reported here show that the common photoproduct is a binuclear platinum(III) dihydride, $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)\text{H}_2]^{4-}$ (Pt$_2$H$_2$).

Experimental Section

The title compound (Pt$_2$H$_2$) is generated by 370-nm narrow-band irradiation of freeze-pump-thaw degassed acetonitrile solutions of [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] containing the hydrogen-atom donor. The extent of the photoreaction and stability of the photoproduct strongly depend on the nature of the substrate; Pt$_2$H$_2$ usually decomposes thermally, regenerating Pt$_2$ by reaction with unreacted substrate as well as with other photoproducts. We have found that Pt$_2$H$_2$ is reasonably stable only when generated by photolysis of either Bu$_3$SnH, PhCH(OH)CH$_2$CH$_3$, or PhCH(OH)CH$_3$ with Pt$_2$. The latter
H donor was used in all experiments described here because conversion of Pt₂ to Pt₂H₂ at concentrations as high as 0.04 M was achieved (the half-life of Pt₂H₂ is at least 1.5 weeks in this case). The alcohols were purchased from Aldrich and distilled before use. Acetonitrile (B and J High Purity Solvent) and CD₃CN (Aldrich Gold Label) were used as received. Both ¹H and ³¹P NMR spectra were obtained on a 400 MHz JNM-GX400 FT NMR spectrometer after direct irradiation of a vacuum-sealed 10-mm NMR tube containing Pt₂ and PhCH(OH)CH₃. The IR spectrum was taken on a Beckman IR 4240; for this experiment the photoproduct was generated in a photolysis cell and transferred to the IR cell in a rigorous N₂ atmosphere. A Shimadzu UV-260 spectrometer was used to record the UV-Vis absorption spectra. The composition of the atmosphere above the irradiated solutions before and after reaction with HCl and DCI was analyzed by mass spectrometry; the stoichiometry of release of H₂ during photolysis of the intermediate was measured by Toepler pumping.

Computer simulations were performed by using the Nicolet NMRSIM program at the Southern California Regional NMR Facility at Caltech. Because of the prohibitive number of spins (10-12) in the actual isotopomers, smaller spin systems (<7) were studied extensively and the results were extrapolated where possible. For example, Jₚ-Ο-Ρ > 42 Hz produces a triplet in the ¹H spectrum of an AA'XX' (A=¹H; X=³¹P) spin system and a quintet with the same splitting in the ¹H spectrum of an AA'XX'X''X'''X'''' spin system. A reasonable extrapolation is to assume that the ¹H spectrum of the actual AA''XX''X'''X''''X''''' spin system consists of a nine-line pattern with the same splitting for Jₚ-Ο-Ρ > 42 Hz.

The following set of coupling constants was used, although simulations on the smaller spin systems did not require all the values: ²Jₚ-Η, 11 Hz; ³Jₚ-Η, 3.7 Hz (also could be ⁴Jₚ-Η); ¹Jₚₐₜ-Η, 884 Hz; ²Jₚₐₜ-Η, 103 Hz; ³Jₜ-Η, 26 Hz; ²Jᵢₜ-Ο-Ρ, 45 Hz (any value >42 Hz gives the same result); ¹Jₜ, 2190 Hz; ¹Jₜₜ, 8000 Hz.
other coupling constants were defined as 0.01 Hz. Very similar systems containing <10
spins have been completely simulated; these simulations indicate that P-P couplings
occur through the P-Pt-P and P-Pt-Pt-P linkages as well as through the P-O-P
linkage. The magnitudes of the P-P couplings other than via the P-O-P bridge could
not be determined in the present work, however.

**Results and Discussion**

The $^{31}$P NMR spectrum of the 314-nm photoproduct (Figure 3.1) consists of an
apparent triplet at 27.9 ppm with a splitting of 7.4 Hz (vide infra) and $^{195}$Pt
satellites ($J_{Pt-P}=2200$ Hz) spanning ~100 Hz. The spectra measured at 300 and 230
K are identical. The chemical shift and Pt-P coupling are consistent with known
binuclear Pt(III) complexes containing axial ligands: $Pt_2X_2= [Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4X_2]^{4-}$;
$X= Cl, Br, SCN, NO_2$. Proton decoupling of the $^{31}$P NMR spectrum collapses the central
peaks to a singlet.

The $^1$H NMR spectrum (Figure 3.2) exhibits a complicated symmetric pattern
centered at -9.6 ppm, a chemical shift consistent with the presence of terminal hydrido
ligands. Analysis of the spectrum suggests an axial structure (H-Pt-Pt-H linkage)
in which the presence of chemically equivalent but magnetically inequivalent atoms
results in a deceptively simple pattern. Because of the 33.8% natural abundance of
NMR-active (I=1/2) $^{195}$Pt (*Pt), the spectrum is further complicated by the
presence of three isotopomeric forms of Pt$_2$H$_2$: H-Pt-Pt-H (44%), H-*Pt-Pt-H
(45%) and H-*Pt-*Pt-H (11%).
Figure 3.1 \( ^{31}\text{P} \) NMR spectrum of the photoproduct generated by 370-nm irradiation (3.5 h) of a 0.043 M [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4] and 0.083 M PhCH(OH)CH_3 degassed CD_3CN solution.
Figure 3.2  \(^1\)H NMR spectrum of the photoproduc}t generated by 370-nm irradiation (1.5 h) of a 0.0081 M [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4] and 0.016 M PhCH(OH)CH₃ degassed CD₃CN solution.
In the simplest symmetric isotopomer (both Pt nuclei are NMR-inactive), all eight $^{31}$P atoms have the same chemical shift but can couple to one another via the P-O-P bridges, thereby influencing the $^1$H spectrum in a manner dependent on the magnitude of the $^{31}$P-$^{31}$P coupling. The two $^1$H nuclei are similarly chemically equivalent and magnetically inequivalent, so the molecule is an AA'XX'X''X'''X''''X'''''''X'''''' spin system (A, $^1$H; X, $^{31}$P). For large $J_{P-O-P}$, the $^{31}$P nuclei effectively act as an equivalent group to the $^1$H nuclei, splitting their signal into the observed nine-line pattern in the central peak. (Seven lines are clearly visible; the other two are presumably buried under the satellites to either side.) The apparent splitting of 7.3 Hz agrees with that seen in the $^{31}$P NMR spectrum, but simulation of both $^1$H and $^{31}$P spectra on analogous systems containing two (Figures 3.3, 3.5) or four (Figures 3.4, 3.6) $^{31}$P atoms has shown that this splitting is actually the average of the $^{31}$P-$^1$H couplings, $^2J_{P-H} = 11.0$ and $^3J_{P-H} = 3.7$ Hz.\(^8\)

The small, finely split satellites at the sides of the central peak in the $^1$H spectrum correspond to $^2J_{Pt-H}$ coupling (103 Hz) in the asymmetric isotopomer (spin system AA'XX'X''X'''X''''X'''''''X''''''M, M = *Pt). The analogous inner part of the more distant peaks corresponds to $^1J_{Pt-H}$ coupling (284 Hz). The apparent doublet character in each of the four multiplets corresponding to the asymmetric molecule is $^1$H-$^1$H coupling (26 Hz); the further fine splitting arises from $^1J_{P-H}$ and $^3J_{P-H}$ couplings. This interpretation is supported by the $^{31}$P-decoupled spectrum shown in Figure 3.5, which strongly emphasizes the doublet character of these peaks. Only the central phosphorous signal was accessed with the decoupler used; this may account for the apparently incomplete decoupling of the outer satellites. A computer simulation using an AA'XX'X''X''M system (Figures 3.4 and 3.6) and a remarkably accurate first-order hand simulation using the coupling constants reported above (Figure 3.7) also support the interpretation of the finely split satellite regions of the spectrum.
Figure 3.3  Simulated $^1$H NMR spectra of three isotopomeric forms of the hypothetical Pt$_2$(POP)H$_2$ molecule (4, 5, 6 spins)

$^1$H spectra of three isotopomeric forms of

$$\text{H-Pt-Pt-H}_\text{P-O-P}$$

a) HPtPtH, 4 spins:  $h=15$ cm, $L=1$
insert: enlargement of triplet, same parameters.

b) H*Pt*PtH, 5 spins:  $h=4$ cm, $L=3$

c) H*Pt*PtH, 6 spins:  $h=3$ cm, $L=2$

Simulated $^1$H NMR spectra of two of the isotopomeric forms of the hypothetical $\text{Pt}_2(\text{POP})_2\text{H}_2$ molecule (6, 7 spins)

$I^1$H spectra of two of the isotopomeric forms of $\text{H-Pt-Pt-H}$

\[
\begin{array}{c}
\text{POP} \\
\text{H-Pt-Pt-H} \\
\text{POP}
\end{array}
\]

a) $\text{HPtPtH}$, 6 spins : $h=15 \text{ cm}, L=1$
insert: enlargement of quintet, same parameters.

b) $\text{HPt*PtH}$, 7 spins : $h=4 \text{ cm}, L=3$
Figure 3.5 Simulated $^{31}$P NMR spectra of three isotopomeric forms of the hypothetical Pt$_2$(POP)$_2$H$_2$ molecule (4, 5, 6 spins)

$^{31}$P spectra of three isotopomeric forms of

$$\text{Pt}_2^{\text{POP}} \quad \text{H-H-Pt-H}$$

a) HPtPH, 4 spins : $h=15 \text{ cm, } L=2$
insert: enlargement of triplet, same parameters.

b) HPt'H, 5 spins : $h=4 \text{ cm, } L=4$

c) HPt'H, 6 spins : $h=3 \text{ cm, } L=4$

![Diagram showing three spectra for different isotopomeric forms with peak heights and labels]
Figure 3.6  Simulated $^{31}$P NMR spectra of two of the isotopomeric forms of the hypothetical Pt$_2$(POP)$_2$H$_2$ molecule (6, 7 spins)

$^{31}$P spectra of two of the isotopomeric forms of

\[
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P_{OP}^P \\
H-Pt-Pt-H \\
P_{OP}^P
\end{align*}
\]

a) HPtPtH, 6 spins: \( h=15 \text{ cm}, L=2 \)
insert: enlargement of triplet, same parameters.

b) HPt*PtH, 7 spins: \( h=4 \text{ cm}, L=4 \)
Figure 3.7  Experimental and simulated $^{31}$P-decoupled $^1$H NMR spectra of the photoproduct generated by 370-nm irradiation (3.5 h) of a 0.043 M [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] and 0.083 M PhCH(OH)CH$_3$ degassed CD$_3$CN solution.

There is some noise in the central singlet of the $^1$H spectrum, and an unexplained peak on the left (*) that has no counterpart on the right. Since it seems doubtful that such an asymmetric element could belong to the spectrum of Pt$_2$, the peak was ignored.

The spectrum shown here is actually a composite three simulations which together constitute the $^1$H spectrum of Pt$_2$.

- HPtPtH, 2 spins: $h=15$ cm, $L=3$
- HPt*PtH, 3 spins: $h=3$ cm, $L=3$
- H*Pt*PtH, 4 spins: $h=5$ cm, $L=3$
Figure 3.8  
Enlargement of the left satellite in Figure 3.2 and first order hand simulation of the same spectral region using the 11-spin asymmetric isotopomer of [Pt₂(P₂O₅H₂)₄]⁴⁻.

Simulation:
Not to same scale as above:

\[ ^3J_{H,H} = 26 \text{ Hz} \]
\[ ^2J_{P,H} = 11 \text{ Hz} \]
\[ ^3J_{P,H} = 3.7 \text{ Hz} \]
Using the values of $^{2}J_{\text{Pt-H}}$ and $^{1}J_{\text{Pt-H}}$ obtained in the asymmetric isotopeomer to simulate the H-•Pt-•Pt-H $^{31}P$-decoupled $^{1}H$ spectrum produces a four-line pattern with a splitting of 485 Hz between the outer lines and the center for $J_{\text{Pt-Pt}} > 3000$ Hz (Figure 3.5). In the actual non-decoupled spectrum, the outermost multiplets occur at 485 Hz from the center and show the same pattern and splitting as the central peak, because of the $^{31}P$ atoms. The splitting of the inner two lines in the simulated spectrum depends on the value of $J_{\text{Pt-Pt}}$; $J_{\text{Pt-Pt}} \sim 8000$ Hz reproduces the splitting between two sharp peaks spanning the central singlet in the experimental spectrum and also fits with literature values for Pt-Pt couplings in similar molecules. The overall interpretation of the spectrum is supported by the integration; the outer multiplets should account for 27.5% (22% + 5.5%) of the total integrated intensity of the spectrum, and the experimental value is 30%. Both $^{31}P$ and $^{1}H$ NMR spectra thus provide good evidence for an axial dihydrido structure (Pt$_2$H$_2$), which is analogous to other Pt$_2$X$_2$ complexes.

A medium-intensity IR band at 1840 cm$^{-1}$, corresponding to Pt-H stretching, appears after 370-nm irradiation of an acetonitrile solution of Pt$_2$ with a slight excess of PhCH(OH)CH$_3$. The band disappears when air is allowed into the IR cell. The observed 1840 cm$^{-1}$ Pt-H stretching frequency falls in the terminal M-H range, whereas bridging hydrides are characterized by a much lower Pt-H vibrational frequency.

A recent crystal structure of the related complex Ir$_2$H$_2$ exhibits electron density at the axial sites that is attributable to the hydrogen atoms. NMR ($\delta = -10.6$ ppm for Ir-H) and IR (Ir-H stretch = 1940 cm$^{-1}$) spectra for Ir$_2$H$_2$ and Pt$_2$H$_2$ are quite similar, providing additional confirmation of the axial nature of the dihydride ligands in Pt$_2$H$_2$. 
The intense absorption band in the electronic spectrum of Pt$_2$H$_2$ at 314 nm
(Figure 3.8) has an extinction coefficient equal to that of the $d\sigma^* \rightarrow p\sigma$ transition of Pt$_2$
($\sim 3.7 \times 10^4$ M$^{-1}$cm$^{-1}$). In analogy to other Pt$_2X_2$ complexes,$^4$, $^{13}$ it is assigned to the
allowed $\sigma \rightarrow d\sigma^*$ transition$^{14}$ of $d^7 \cdot d^7$ Pt$_2$H$_2$. Irradiation (313nm) into the Pt$_2$H$_2$ $\sigma$
$\rightarrow d\sigma^*$ absorption band quantitatively produces H$_2$ and Pt$_2$. (Photochemical reductive
elimination is a general reaction of $d^7 \cdot d^7$ M$_2X_2$ complexes.)$^{14}$, $^{15}$, $^{16}$ Broad-band
photolysis of Pt$_2$ and PhCD(OH)CD$_3$ in CH$_3$CN produces mainly D$_2$ and HD, with small
amounts of H$_2$. The gaseous product distribution is consistent with photochemical
elimination of D$^+$ from Pt$_2$D$_2$, followed by radical reactions of D$^+$ with acetonitrile.$^{17}$

Other chemical properties of Pt$_2$H$_2$ are also consistent with its formulation as a
terminal dihydride. It reacts with HCl and DCl to generate H$_2$ and HD, respectively.
Dioxygen reacts with Pt$_2$H$_2$ very rapidly with quantitative regeneration of Pt$_2$. The
spectroscopic evidence and the reaction with H$^+$ point to the formal oxidation state
assignment Pt$_2$$^{III}$(H$_2$)$_2$, but the O$_2$ chemistry indicates that the molecule can also be
viewed as a Pt$_2$$^{II}$(H$^-$)$_2$ species with the redox centers being the hydrido ligands. The H$^-$
groups can be released (and potentially transferred to substrates) either chemically or
photochemically in a manner not unlike the alkyl-radical chemistry of certain Co($^{III}$)
complexes.$^{18}$, $^{19}$
Figure 3.9  UV-Vis Absorption spectra recorded a) before and b) after 11 minutes of 370-nm irradiation of a 2x10^{-4} M [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4] and 2x10^{-3} M PhCH(OH)CH_3 degassed CH_3CN solution. Inset: Growth of Pt_2H_2 over ten minutes photolysis of 2x10^{-4} M [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4] with 0.01 M PhCH(OH)CH_2CH_3 in degassed CH_3CN.
References


5. Terminal Pt-H complexes exhibit \( ^1H \) NMR signals at negative \( \delta \) values; Pt-H-Pt species usually have \( \delta > 0.6 \).


8. The \( J_{P-H} \) of 3.7 Hz could arise from coupling via H-Pt-Pt-P (\( ^3J \)) or H-Pt-P-O-P (\( ^4J \)).


17. The H₂ bond (104 kcal/mol) is stronger than the H-CH₂CN bond (93±2.5 kcal/mol). See Ch.2, Ref.31 and *CRC Handbook of Chemistry and Physics, 63rd Ed.*


Chapter 4. Synthesis and Characterization of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_4\text{H}_2(\text{BF}_2)_6]^{4-}$ ("BF$_2$Pt$_2$")

**Introduction/Background**

To test the postulate that enhanced H-atom abstraction reactivity of $^3\text{Pt}_2$ with alcohol substrates is facilitated by docking H-bonding interactions, an attempt was made to perturb the interligand OH network in the metal complex. Close examination of the H-bonding arrangement around an axial site in Pt$_2$ reveals four 6-membered rings fused together around a central Pt atom. The arrangement of atoms within each 6-membered ring resembles the arrangement of atoms in the enol tautomer of a $\beta$-diketone complex:
In the deprotonated form, β-diketones serve as bidentate ligands for a rich array of transition and main group elements. For example, a variety of β-diketones and related 3-amino-1-ketones undergo ready substitution of BF$_2^+$ for the enolic H$.^{1, 2}$ Synthetic routes involve direct reaction with BF$_3$ gas or BF$_3$·OEt$_2$. The reaction stoichiometry has been postulated as either

\[
\text{BF}_3 + x\cdot\text{H} \rightarrow x\cdot\text{BF}_2 + \text{HF}
\]

or

\[
2 \text{BF}_3 + x\cdot\text{H} \rightarrow x\cdot\text{BF}_2 + \text{HBF}_4.
\]

The key to obtaining a bridging difluoroboron moiety seems to be the presence of a moderately acidic proton (pK$_a$=9 for acetylacetone)$^3$ in the vicinity of two atoms with donor electron pairs available for coordination to boron. When no proton is present and/or only one donor atom is present, BF$_3$ forms Lewis acid/Lewis base adducts like BF$_3$·NH$_3$ or BF$_3$·OEt$_2$.\(^4\)

The first difluoroborato-bridged organic β-diketone was reported in 1905;\(^5\) more recently, the substitution has been introduced into similar 6-membered rings in a number of organometallic and inorganic complexes.\(^6, 7, 8, 9, 10, 11\) Figure 4.1 shows a sampling of such complexes. In many cases the substitution was employed to gain information about the conformation of the H-bonding structure in the original ring.\(^12, 13\)

Reaction of [TBA]$_4$Pt$_2$ with BF$_3$ or BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ produces a new compound that bears a striking physical and photophysical resemblance to Pt$_2$, but is much harder to oxidize. Unfortunately, the structure of the modified species has not been determined by x-ray analysis. A variety of spectroscopic and chemical evidence supports one or all of the structures shown in Figure 4.2; the complex is abbreviated as BF$_2$Pt$_2$.\(^$
Figure 4.1  Previously reported inorganic and organometallic complexes containing BF$_2^+$ substitution

Ref. 11

Ref. 6

Ref. 7

Ref. 8

3. $X = F$
4. $X = Cl$
5. $X = Br$
6. $X = I$
Figure 4.2  Structure of $[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_4\text{H}_2(\text{BF}_2)_6]^4-$ showing the three most likely arrangements of BF$_2^+$ substituents

The BF$_2^+$ groups may adopt three relative orientations on the front and back faces of the dimer, as shown with these schematic representations.
Experimental:

Syntheses  Boron trifluoride is an acidic, corrosive gas that reacts with almost everything. In the first BF$_2$Pt$_2$ syntheses, BF$_3$ gas was bubbled through a mineral oil trap into a solution of 1.0 g [TBA]$_4$Pt$_2$ in 70 mL CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and then through another trap into a kill solution of NaOH in H$_2$O. Since Pt$_2$ reacts photochemically with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ in room light, the entire operation was carried out in the dark, using a flashlight or red darkroom light at an oblique angle. Argon was flushed through the system for about ten minutes before and after the BF$_3$ gas, as BF$_3$ reacts with moisture in the air. The flask containing the [TBA]$_4$Pt$_2$ solution was cooled in an ice bath during all successful syntheses. The time period during which BF$_3$ was allowed to flow varied between 1 and 5 minutes, but the flow rate was not monitored. After residual BF$_3$ was flushed out with Ar, the system was opened to air and new diethyl ether (Fisher, anhydrous) was poured onto the dichloromethane solution. Filtration, followed by copious washings with diethyl ether while the product was on the frit, produced an approximately 30% yield of fine, bright green powder. The remainder of the product usually sat on the bottom of the reaction flask as a viscous yellow oil.

The second approach to the synthesis made use of the easier-to-handle BF$_3$ etherate reagent (Aldrich). Direct addition of neat BF$_3$ etherate (0.5 - 3.0 mL) to solid [TBA]$_4$Pt$_2$ (300mg) under a partial argon blanket in a large, unstoppered test tube resulted in a yellow solution; addition of newly opened diethyl ether caused precipitation of a bright green solid. Crude yields obtained using the BF$_3$-OEt$_2$ reagent were higher (around 70-80%), but the purity of the product was more variable.

Purification was accomplished by dissolution of samples in small amounts of acetonitrile (200mg in 1/2 mL), followed by centrifugation, decantation from the insoluble white impurity, and reprecipitation in diethylether. An approximately 70% yield based on crude product was obtained. Samples treated in this manner exhibit cleaner UV-Vis absorption spectra and higher carbon and hydrogen elemental analysis
ratios than the crude product. Chromatography was not used for purification because disappearance of emission indicated that decomposition occurred when the complex was left on a TLC plate. Attempted recrystallizations by slow evaporation of solvent or layering methods usually gave yellow oils or oily solids, mixed with small amounts of lacy or needlelike whitish crystals. Very small green crystals were obtained by enclosing an open vial containing an acetonitrile solution of BF₂Pt₂ in a larger chamber of toluene.

**Instrumentation** C, H, N analyses were performed in the analytical facility at Caltech; F, B, P, C, H and Pt were performed by Galbraith Laboratories. Both a Perkin Elmer 1600 series FTIR spectrometer and a Beckman 4240 IR spectrometer were used for the IR spectra. UV-Vis absorption spectra were measured on Shimadzu UV-260 and Cary 17 UV-Vis spectrometers; all spectra were run against air:air baselines.

Emission spectra were recorded on a previously described¹⁵ emission spectrometer; 366 nm excitation light from an Oriel 200W Hg/Xe lamp was selected with a Spex 1670 monochromator and an Oriel 366 nm(#5643) interference filter. Emitted light was sent through a cutoff filter into a Spex 1870 monochromator connected to a Hamamatsu R955 photomultiplier tube and EG and G 182-A lock-in amplifier. Uncorrected spectra were printed out on a chart recorder. The relative phosphorescence quantum yield for \( ^3\text{BF}_2\text{Pt}_2^* \) was estimated by matching the absorbances of \(~10^{-5}\) M solutions of BF₂Pt₂ and Pt₂, and comparing the maximum emission intensities for the two compounds. The extinction coefficient of BF₂Pt₂ was estimated with the same sample. Phosphorescence lifetime measurements were carried out using the laser system described in Chapter 2.

Cyclic voltammetry was measured in Burdick and Jackson UV grade acetonitrile, with 0.1M TBAPF₆ (recrystallized from ethanol) as the supporting electrolyte. The acetonitrile was taken directly from the bottle without further purification and bubbled
with argon for 15-20 minutes before recording the spectra. A platinum disc working
electrode and a platinum wire counterelectrode were used; the reference was a standard
calomel electrode in a Luggin capillary, with a Pt wire connection to the non-aqueous
solution. The room temperature voltammograms were measured using EG and G PAR
electronics, Models 175 (Universal Programmer), 173 (Potentiostat/Galvanostat) and
179 (Digital Coulometer) with a Houston Instruments 2000 X-Y recorder. The low-
temperature voltammogram was obtained with a BAS-100 Electrochemical Analyzer
hooked up to a Bausch and Lomb Houston Instruments DMP-40 series digital plotter.
The supporting electrolyte was 0.1 M [TBA]triflate, and a glassy carbon working
electrode and silver wire reference electrode were employed for the low-temperature
measurement.

NMR's were carried out in CD$_3$CN (Aldrich ampules) on a JEOL FX90Q FTNMR
spectrometer ($^{19}$F, $^{31}$P) and on a Bruker 500 MHz FTNMR ($^{19}$F, $^{31}$P, $^{195}$Pt).
Sample concentrations are reported with each spectrum. All NMR spectra are shown
with applied field increasing from left to right and frequency decreasing from left to
right. The NMR spectrometers automatically assign negative chemical shifts values to
all shifts upfield of a reference, so the frequency and chemical shift scales track one
another. The convention in $^{19}$F NMR, however, is to assign positive numbers to
chemical shifts upfield of CCl$_3$F. So that a narrow frequency window (6000Hz) could
be used, the $^{19}$F spectra in this thesis were originally referenced to 1% C$_6$F$_6$ in C$_6$D$_6$,
which was measured at $+163$ vs neat CCl$_3$F. $^{19}$F chemical shifts of spectra shown here
have been converted to a reference of neat CCl$_3$F=0, and the fluorine sign convention
followed. Fluorine chemical shifts are reproducible to $\pm 2$ ppm between different
samples on the same spectrometer, and the precision of the numbers is probably 0.1
ppm within any given spectrum. Shifts of all peaks on the 500 MHz instrument are 4
ppm downfield from those on the 90MHz instrument, for unknown reasons. $^{31}$P spectra
were referenced to neat H$_3$PO$_4$ for the 90MHz instrument and to 85% H$_3$PO$_4$ in D$_2$O for
the 500 MHz instrument. $^{31}\text{P}$ and $^{19}\text{F}$ NMR samples on the FX90Q were first shimmed on $^2\text{D}$, then locked on external $^7\text{Li}$ sample so that references in separate tubes could be employed. Standards for the $^{19}\text{F}$ and $^{31}\text{P}$ NMRs were prepared by Wilmad Glass Co. in sealed 5 mm tubes. The $^{195}\text{Pt}$ standard for the $[\text{TBA}]_4\text{BF}_2\text{Pt}_2$ spectrum was $[\text{TBA}]_4\text{Pt}_2$ in $\text{CD}_3\text{CN}$; the latter was standardized against a nearly saturated solution of $\text{K}_2\text{PtCl}_4$ in $\text{D}_2\text{O}$.

Results and Discussion

Solubility, Stability, Synthesis

The bright green product obtained from reaction of $[\text{TBA}]_4\text{Pt}_2$ with $\text{BF}_3(\text{g})$ or $\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{OEt}_2$ is highly soluble in $\text{CH}_3\text{CN}$, soluble in acetone, methanol and ethanol, and slightly soluble in dichloromethane. Unlike $[\text{TBA}]_4\text{Pt}_2$, however, the modified species is not soluble in water. Over time, the complex reverts to $\text{Pt}_2$ in the presence of protic solvents. In acetonitrile it appears indefinitely stable; a solution that has been sitting in a capped NMR tube for two years is still yellow and emissive, although the UV-Vis and NMR spectra indicate that some impurities have developed. The same tube indicates that the complex is more stable to air oxidation than $\text{Pt}_2$, as $\text{Pt}_2$ solutions tend to turn dark green or blue over a period of weeks or months in undegassed solutions of acetonitrile.

Use of differing excesses of $\text{BF}_3$ reagent during synthesis does not change the physical or photophysical characteristics of the green product; however, use of less than a stoichiometric amount of $\text{BF}_3\cdot\text{OEt}_2$ led to a very sticky solid with absorption bands only slightly shifted from those of $\text{Pt}_2$ (371 nm, 245 nm). Varying amounts of white solid ($\text{HBF}_4$, $\text{B(OH)}_3$, other boric acids?) precipitate from concentrated acetonitrile solutions of the product; more precipitate was observed in unpurified samples that were prepared with greater excesses of $\text{BF}_3$ reagent. Such samples sometimes exhibit extra peaks at 334 or 257 nm in the UV-Vis spectrum or appear to be a duller green.
Infrared

IR spectra of K₄Pt₂, [TBA]₄Pt₂ and [TBA]₄BF₂Pt₂ are shown for comparison in Figure 4.3. Peaks present at 3500 cm⁻¹ and 1600 cm⁻¹ in the Nujol mull spectra of both K₄Pt₂ and [TBA]₄Pt₂ are absent in BF₂Pt₂, consistent with the loss of waters of hydration during synthesis with BF₃, with loss of P-O-H bonds or both. The major fingerprint bands for all three complexes are summarized in Table 4.1. For K₄Pt₂ in fluorolube mull, the band assignments made previously are shown.¹⁸ The broad, strong peak assigned as the PO₂H bend in K₄Pt₂, on the basis of a 300 cm⁻¹ shift in the deuterated complex, is dramatically absent from the BF₂Pt₂ spectrum.

Table 4.1 IR data and assignments for K₄[Pt₂(P₂O₅H₂)₄] in nujol mull, and IR data for [TBA]₄[Pt₂(P₂O₅H₂)₄] and [TBA]₄[Pt₂P₂O₅H₂(BF₂)₆] in nujol mull and dichloromethane solution

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Assignment (ref. 18)</th>
<th>Frequency (cm⁻¹)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>K₄Pt₂ fluorolube</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>3491</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1672</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1479 sh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>1384 sh</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>POH bend</td>
<td>1329</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>PO term stretch</td>
<td>1085</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>910</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>915</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>P-O-P stretch</td>
<td>695</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 4.3  Difference IR spectra of a) $\text{K}_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4]$ in nujol mull, b), c) $\text{TBA}_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4]$ and d), e) $\text{TBA}_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_4\text{H}_2(\text{BF}_2)_6]$ in nujol mull (b,d) and dichloromethane solution (c,e)
Analytical Results

Chemical analyses (C,H,N,B,F, Pt) are most consistent with the presence of 6 BF$_2^+$ groups added to the Pt$_2$ framework. See Table 4.2. Analysis of parent [TBA]$_4$Pt$_2$ gives reproducibly low values for C and H.$^{19}$ A single determination of P and Pt on the [TBA]$_4$Pt$_2$ sample used to synthesize the first BF$_2$Pt$_2$ sample for which analyses are given below indicates that Pt is slightly low and P is high. The anomalously high phosphorous analyses suggest that some P-containing substance (not seen in the NMR) is the culprit in the low C and H analyses. The P:Pt ratio in Pt$_2$ of 4.4:1 is nearly identical with that observed in the daughter BF$_2$Pt$_2$, suggesting that the phosphorous impurity follows through in the synthesis.

Repeated analyses of different BF$_2$Pt$_2$ samples give varying C and H percentages, all lower than calculated for BF$_2$Pt$_2$. Presumably this is due in part to the same factors that produce low C and H analyses in the parent Pt$_2$. The presence of the approximately 1-2% fluoroborated impurity indicated by NMR for the samples analyzed should raise the B and F and lower the other elements. Important ratios to note are the F:B (2.0:1), F:Pt (6.2:1 in both samples analyzed), and B:Pt (3.2:1). These ratios clearly indicate the presence of 6 BF$_2$ groups, and possibly a small amount of impurity containing B and F. The ratios are not consistent with 4 BF$_2^+$ or 4 BF$_3$ groups. While neither BF$_2$Pt$_2$ sample analyzes satisfactorily for every element, the combination of values from the two determinations is consistent with the presence of 6 BF$_2^+$ groups. Both boron and fluorine analyses are simply too low for the complex to be the complex with all eight ligand H$^+$ moieties replaced by BF$_2^+$ groups.

A small amount of impurity containing boron and fluorine may be present in the samples used for this work, but electrochemical, photophysical, IR, and NMR data indicate that the samples contain no residual Pt$_2$. All four techniques allow ready differentiation between the two complexes.
Table 4.2  Compendium of chemical analysis results for [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] and [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Sample</th>
<th>Analysis Source</th>
<th>%C</th>
<th>%H</th>
<th>%N</th>
<th>%P</th>
<th>%Pt</th>
<th>%O</th>
<th>%B</th>
<th>%F</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>[((CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_3$)$_4$N]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$]</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>39.79</td>
<td>7.9</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>12.8</td>
<td>20.2</td>
<td>16.5</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Galbraith</td>
<td>42.83</td>
<td>8.28</td>
<td>13.49</td>
<td>19.69</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caltech</td>
<td>38.26</td>
<td>7.49</td>
<td>2.88</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>[((CH$_3$(CH$_2$)$_3$)$_4$N]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$]</td>
<td>Calculated</td>
<td>34.6</td>
<td>6.6</td>
<td>2.5</td>
<td>11.1</td>
<td>17.6</td>
<td>14.4</td>
<td>2.9</td>
<td>10.26</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 1 (BF$_3$·OEt$_2$)</td>
<td>Galbraith</td>
<td>36.32</td>
<td>6.99</td>
<td></td>
<td>11.61</td>
<td>16.62</td>
<td>2.94</td>
<td>10.07</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caltech</td>
<td>33.59</td>
<td>6.28</td>
<td>2.56</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Sample 2 (BF$_3$(g))</td>
<td>Galbraith</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>17.76</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>10.72</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caltech</td>
<td>34.04</td>
<td>6.40</td>
<td>2.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caltech</td>
<td>33.54</td>
<td>6.26</td>
<td>2.46</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caltech</td>
<td>34.12</td>
<td>6.29</td>
<td>2.39</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>Caltech</td>
<td>33.9</td>
<td>6.35</td>
<td>2.53</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
NMR

$^{19}$F and $^{31}$P spectra have been obtained at 84.25 and 36 MHz (90 MHz for $^{1}$H, hereafter referred to as 90 MHz)) and 470 and 202 MHz (500 MHz for $^{1}$H, hereafter referred to a 500 MHz) on BF$_2$Pt$_2$ samples synthesized using BF$_3$(g). A $^{1}$H spectrum was obtained at 90 MHz, and a $^{195}$Pt spectrum was obtained on the 500 MHz instrument; but an attempted $^{11}$B spectrum was unsuccessful. Spectra of BF$_2$Pt$_2$ samples synthesized using BF$_3$·OEt$_2$ have been measured only on the 90 MHz instrument, and only $^{19}$F and $^{31}$P spectra have been recorded. Representative spectra are shown in Figures 4.4-4.7. The NMR chemical shifts of phosphorous and platinum atoms in the modified complex occur in regions upfield and clearly distinct from Pt$_2$.$^{20}$ Both spectra are more complicated than those of Pt$_2$, but elements of similarity exist.

Parent Pt$_2$ contains 8 NMR-active phosphorous atoms ($l=1/2$) and two platinum atoms ($^{195}$Pt, 33% abundant, $l=1/2$); 45% of the dimers will have no NMR-active platinum, 45% will have one NMR-active platinum and 11% will have two NMR-active platinum atoms. The eight ligand hydrogens have not been observed in the $^{1}$H NMR, and no evidence for J coupling with these hydrogens has been seen. Both fluorine and boron are NMR-active ($^{19}$F, 100% abundant, $l=1/2$; $^{10}$B, 18.8% abundant, $l=3$; $^{11}$B, 81.2% abundant, $l=3/2$), so Pt$_2$ substituted with 6 BF$_2^+$ groups represents a combination of 32-, 33- and 34-spin systems, excluding the protons!

Several complicating factors exist with this collection of spins. The $^{11}$B nucleus has a quadrupole moment, known to cause broadening of peaks in some environments.$^{17}$ Fluorine atoms bound to $^{10}$B actually have a slightly different chemical shift than those bound to $^{11}$B; NMR signals from the 80% of the fluorine nuclei that are bound to $^{11}$B appear upfield of the $^{10}$B-bound fluorine signals.$^{1}$ Fluorines bound to either boron nucleus can exhibit complex splitting patterns if coupling to boron is resolvable.$^{21}$
The chemical shift range of the relatively broad, main part of the $^{19}$F NMR spectrum (131-138ppm) (Figure 4.4) falls in the region of BF$_2^+$ shifts for difluoroborato-β-diketones.$^1$ The pattern consists of two sets of signals integrating 1:1 whose symmetry misleadingly suggests a coupling pattern. However, a chemical shift difference of ~6 ppm observed on both the 90 MHz and 500 MHz instruments indicates that the signals actually arise from chemically inequivalent nuclei. The pattern suggests two very different and equally likely F environments, consistent with a F$_A$, F$_B$ situation. The distribution of peaks within each broadly defined F$_A$ or F$_B$ region indicates one (BF$_3$-OEt$_2$ syntheses) or several (BF$_3$(g) syntheses) microenvironments for the fluorines. Relative integrations of signals assigned to the different microenvironments suggest that they are not equally likely, but they are found in the same relative proportions in the F$_A$ and F$_B$ signals. In the 500 MHz spectrum, each "microenvironment" signal resolves to two peaks of the same height, separated by approximately 60-65 Hz. The latter splitting is consistent with F$_A$-F$_B$ coupling. A 60-65 Hz peak separation is also observed in the 90 MHz spectra of samples from both synthetic methods.

The $^{19}$F NMR also reveals a set of sharp lines in the 150-153 ppm region characteristic of BF$_4^-$ or coordinated BF$_3$.$^{22}$ Integration of spectra obtained using different pulse delays and samples gives areas for these sharp lines of between 1 and 10% of the total fluorine signal. The small relative amount of BF$_3$-like signal argues against a single molecule containing a mixture of BF$_2^+$ and BF$_3$ substituents. The peaks are more probably due to an impurity; BF$_3$-CH$_3$CN,$^{23}$ 24 residual BF$_3$-OEt$_2$, or the white solid described earlier are all possibilities. Interestingly, spectra of one sample before and after removal of some white impurity gave identical integrations of ~1% for the impurity signal.
$^{19}\text{F NMR spectra of } [\text{TBA}]_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_4\text{H}_2(\text{BF}_2)_6]$

a) at 84.25 MHz, 0.04 M solution in CD$_3$CN
b) at 470 MHz, 0.02 M solution in CD$_3$CN
The fluorine NMR's are particularly suggestive of BF$_2^+$ substitution. Different $^{19}$F chemical shifts are expected for the two fluorines of each BF$_2^+$ moiety because one fluorine atom is directed toward the axial site and one is directed toward the P-O-P bridge. Comparison of spectra for complexes synthesized differently suggests that reaction with BF$_3$ gas produces several substitution patterns (see the three structures in the introduction) or freezes out several conformations that do not interconvert in solution, whereas the BF$_3$-OEt$_2$ synthesis is more selective for a single conformation or substitution pattern. By comparison, the BF$_2^+$-substituted organic $\beta$-diketone $^{19}$F NMR spectra$^1$ consist of sharp, two-line signals separated by 25 Hz, arising from the fluorines bound to $^{10}$B (20%) and those bound to $^{11}$B (80%). Several spectra exhibit resolvable coupling to $^{11}$B, resulting in a quartet. The lack of F-F coupling indicates that the two fluorine nuclei appear equivalent on the NMR timescale; i.e., the six-membered ring conformations must interconvert quickly in solution. Unfortunately, $^{19}$F spectra for the metalla-$\beta$-diketonates and phosphinito complexes have not been reported.

$^{31}$P NMR

The $^{31}$P signal is shifted from 68 ppm for Pt$_2$ to 58 ppm for Pt$_2$BF$_2$, referenced to H$_3$PO$_4$. (Figure 4.5) The $^{31}$P spectrum of BF$_2$Pt$_2$ synthesized with BF$_3$(g) or BF$_3$-OEt$_2$ consists of three or four Pt$_2$-like patterns separated by approximately 1 ppm, each with a central peak surrounded by two broad satellites from JPt-P coupling of approximately 3100 Hz. A fluxional process could explain the broadness of the signals, but no striking temperature-dependent changes are observed in 90MHz spectra from -45 to +40°C. An alternative explanation for the broadness is the presence of quadrupolar boron nuclei.
Figure 4.5 $^{31}$P NMR spectra of [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$]

a) at 36 MHz, 0.04 M solution in CD$_3$CN
b) at 202 MHz, 0.01 M solution in CD$_3$CN
The constancy of the chemical-shift difference between the peaks at different magnetic field strengths indicates the presence of at least three phosphorous environments. The close spacing of the signals (as opposed to \(~8\) ppm between Pt2 and BF2Pt2 signals) suggests that the phosphorous environments are not highly dissimilar. No matter what the substituents are, structures involving asymmetry between the two ML4 fragments (e.g., all the substituents on one ML4 half of the molecule, or more substituents on one side than on the other) should result in very different NMR chemical shifts for phosphorous and/or platinum nuclei on the two sides of the molecule.

**195Pt NMR**

The 195Pt spectrum of BF2Pt2, obtained only with the BF3(g) synthesis product, is shifted upfield from the spectrum of Pt2 (Figure 4.6). The Pt2 pattern, centered at -3567.3 ppm versus K2PtCl4 in D2O, extends symmetrically over a 112 ppm range. The tallest peak of the BF2Pt2 pattern is at -3696.6 ppm, and the pattern extends asymmetrically from -3613 to -3755 ppm (142 ppm). The splitting pattern is encouragingly similar to that of Pt2, but contains many extra peaks. Interpretation is complicated because correction of a large roll in the baseline rendered the relative peak heights questionable. The separation between the tallest peaks ranges from 2700 to 3100 Hz, consistent with the Pt-P couplings seen in the 31P spectrum. The spectrum may consist of overlapping spectra from platinum atoms in slightly different environments; for example, the platinum chemical shift could be sensitive to the chair, boat, or twist-boat conformation of the ring containing BF2+.'s.
Figure 4.6  $^{195}$Pt NMR spectra of [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$] and [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] at 107 MHz; 0.02, 0.05 M solutions in CD$_3$CN.
$^1$H NMR

Proton NMR spectra of [TBA]$_4$BF$_2$Pt$_2$ (Figure 4.7) exhibit a broad peak with a sample- or concentration-dependent chemical shift and relative integration in the 3.5-5.0 ppm region. The integration with respect to the TBA counterion protons was higher than expected for 2 ligand protons per metal complex, but such comparisons must be made with caution. The lack of observation of O-H stretches in the IR suggests that the NMR peak is not due to water; in addition, adding water to [TBA]$_4$Pt$_2$ produces a very broad signal at ~2.5 ppm.

Figure 4.7  $^1$H NMR spectra of [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$] at 90 MHz, 0.04 M and 0.08 M solutions in CD$_3$CN.

0.09 M

0.04 M
**Electrochemistry**

As shown in Figure 4.8, the oxidation waves for both Pt$_2^{25}$ and BF$_2$Pt$_2$ are highly irreversible in CH$_3$CN; however, the peak potential for oxidation of BF$_2$Pt$_2$ in the ground state is shifted +750mV relative to Pt$_2$. No reduction wave is observed for either complex at room temperature, but a low-temperature cyclic voltammogram of Pt$_2$ in acetonitrile exhibits a nearly reversible reduction wave at +2.4 V vs Ag wire (peak separation ~100mV) that integrates for approximately the same number of electrons as the irreversible oxidation wave that appears at ~1.0 V vs Ag wire in the low-T spectrum. The single measurement is not definitive; low-temperature electrochemical measurements on both systems merit future investigation. Substitution with electron-withdrawing BF$_2^+$ groups would be expected to make the complex harder to oxidize, as observed. This effect has been utilized in the metalla-β-diketonates, where substitution with the BF$_2^+$ group allows synthesis of some manganese complexes that are too sensitive to oxidation to be isolable as the H-bound precursors.$^{26}$ The lowered susceptibility of BF$_2$Pt$_2$ to air oxidation is also consistent with the observed potential difference between the two complexes.

**Photophysics**

Photophysical properties of the modified compound (Figure 4.9) are startlingly similar to those of Pt$_2$, considering the large change in electrochemistry. All electronic absorption bands in BF$_2$Pt$_2$ are blue-shifted relative to Pt$_2$, but the extinction coefficients and band shapes are virtually identical in both compounds. The phosphorescence emission maximum is shifted from 518 nm for Pt$_2$ to 512 nm for BF$_2$Pt$_2$. While the phosphorescence quantum yield is approximately the same in the two compounds ($\pm 20\%$), a large increase is observed in the fluorescence quantum yield of BF$_2$Pt$_2$. An excitation spectrum needs to be taken before this peak can be definitively assigned as fluorescence, however. The phosphorescence lifetime of 10 $\mu$s for $^3$Pt$_2^*$ is
Figure 4.8  Cyclic voltammograms of (a) [TBA][Pt2(P2O5)4H2(BF2)6] and (b) [TBA][Pt2(P2O5H2)4] in CH3CN at room temperature and (c) [TBA][Pt2(P2O5H2)4] in CH3CN at -25 °C
Figure 4.9  Comparative electronic absorption and emission spectra of
a) $[\text{TBA}]_4\text{[Pt}_2\text{(P}_2\text{O}_5\text{)H}_2\text{(BF}_2\text{)}_6]$ and b) $[\text{TBA}]_4\text{[Pt}_2\text{(P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2\text{)}_4]$ in
degassed CH$_3$CN solution at room temperature.
unchanged in the modified complex, indicating that no new vibrational deactivation modes have been introduced. In comparison, the Pt₂ analogue Pt₂(gcp)₄⁴⁻ (gcp = methylene(bis)pyrophosphito) derivative exhibits slightly red-shifted absorption bands and blue-shifted phosphorescence emission. The lifetime of the triplet state of Pt₂(gcp)₄⁴⁻ is reduced to 55 ns, however.²⁷

Figure 4.10 shows comparative MO levels for the two species. The spacings between levels in the BF₂Pt₂ diagram were obtained by making band assignments for BF₂Pt₂ based on an earlier interpretation of the Pt₂ spectrum.¹⁹ The dₓ² HOMO level of BF₂Pt₂ was then placed 0.75 eV lower than that of Pt₂, as indicated by electrochemistry. Qualitatively, the metal-centered orbitals have moved down in energy, consistent with decreased electron density on platinum. The fact that the dₓ², y₂ level moves down less than the other metal orbitals reflects the greater ligand field of the BF₂-substituted ligands. Enhancement of the π-accepting ability of the pyrophosphito ligands by the electron-withdrawing BF₂ groups causes stronger backbonding and a shortened Pt-P bond, which in turn results in stronger sigma interactions between the ligand and metal. This well-documented effect²⁸ overrides the decreased energy of the sigma donor levels of the BF₂-substituted pyrophosphito ligands, and results in a stronger bonding interaction between dₓ²-y₂ and the ligand orbitals (this produces a higher-lying sigma antibonding "dₓ²-y₂" orbital). The extra lowering of the π symmetry dₓz, yz levels can be rationalized in terms of a better energy match between the metal orbitals and empty π-acceptor ligand orbitals of the BF₂-substituted complex.
Figure 4.10 Relative placement of selected molecular orbitals for [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] and [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$]

\[ \text{hv} \quad 3.33 \text{ eV} \]

\[ \text{hv} \quad 3.39 \text{ eV} \]

\[ d_{x^2-y^2} \quad 0.38 \text{ eV} \]

\[ p\sigma \quad 0.69 \text{ eV} \]

\[ d\sigma^* \quad 0.75 \text{ eV} \]

\[ d_{xz,yz} \quad 0.93 \text{ eV} \]
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Conclusions

Chemical precedent and $^{19}$F NMR evidence indicate BF$_2^+$ substitution for H$^+$ in the new molecule, and analytical results are consistent with the presence of 6 BF$_2^+$. The H-atoms in the parent are presumed to bridge oxygens on neighboring ligands; BF$_2^+$/s are expected to do the same. The possibility of slow establishment of equilibrium in solutions of the original product between less and more substituted molecules is ruled out by the stable, reproducible shifts observed in the electrochemistry, IR and UV-Vis absorption and emission spectroscopy. The $^{31}$P NMR suggests a symmetric arrangement of the 6 substituents between the two Pt fragments. The three structures shown in the introduction emerge as most likely for a complex containing 6 BF$_2^+$ substituents; any or all could be correct.

The six-membered rings in the ligands of the three possible structures can also exist in several conformations. Fluorine NMR spectra of samples synthesized by different methods indicate that the conformations in the 6 membered rings and/or relative locations of the substituents may be determined during synthesis and remain unaltered over time in solution.

BF$_2$Pt$_2$ provides an unprecedented opportunity to study the role played by steric and electronic factors in ground- and excited-state reactivity of the d$^8$ dimers. The similarities in lifetime, energy, quantum yield and dσ$^*$πσ character of the triplet excited states of Pt$_2$ and BF$_2$Pt$_2$ are truly remarkable. The major differences between the two molecules consist of the change in hydrophilicity and steric bulk around the axial sites and the dramatic shift in ground-state oxidation potentials. The ability to change one variable at a time and monitor the experimental outcome is a much sought-after goal in science. The Pt$_2$/BF$_2$Pt$_2$ system provides an unusually close approximation of that situation.
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Chapter 5. Comparative Reactivity of Pt\textsubscript{2} and BF\textsubscript{2}Pt\textsubscript{2}

Introduction

In analogy to the products of oxidative addition to Pt\textsubscript{2} described in the introductory chapter, a series of BF\textsubscript{2}Pt\textsubscript{2}X\textsubscript{2} (X=Cl, Br, I, H) complexes have been prepared. The products are identified on the basis of the similarity of their electronic absorption spectra to the spectra of the corresponding Pt\textsubscript{2}X\textsubscript{2} complexes. Additionally, BF\textsubscript{2}Pt\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2} has been characterized by \textsuperscript{31}P and \textsuperscript{19}F NMR spectroscopy, and the Pt-H IR bond stretch for BF\textsubscript{2}Pt\textsubscript{2}H\textsubscript{2} has been measured. The second portion of the chapter deals with comparative excited state reactivities of BF\textsubscript{2}Pt\textsubscript{2} and Pt\textsubscript{2}. Reductive quenching and H-atom transfer quenching results are presented and discussed.

Experimental:

Syntheses The axial dibromide and diiodide derivatives of BF\textsubscript{2}Pt\textsubscript{2} were thermally prepared by adding a few drops of bromine or a few crystals of iodine to solutions of 0.10 g [TBA]\textsubscript{4}BF\textsubscript{2}Pt\textsubscript{2} in a minimum (1-5 mL) of acetonitrile.\textsuperscript{3} To make BF\textsubscript{2}Pt\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}, Cl\textsubscript{2}(g) was bubbled through a solution of 0.10 g BF\textsubscript{2}Pt\textsubscript{2} in 3-4 mL of CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}. The solids were precipitated and washed with diethyl ether. Crude yields were 70\% for BF\textsubscript{2}Pt\textsubscript{2}I\textsubscript{2}, 55\% for BF\textsubscript{2}Pt\textsubscript{2}Br\textsubscript{2} and 78\% for BF\textsubscript{2}Pt\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}. When dilute solutions of Br\textsubscript{2} in CH\textsubscript{3}CN were added to BF\textsubscript{2}Pt\textsubscript{2} or Pt\textsubscript{2} in CH\textsubscript{3}CN, fairly stable intermediates absorbing at 271 nm and 274 nm, respectively, were observed in the UV-Vis spectra. A complex growth and decay of several intermediates was observed upon addition of dilute I\textsubscript{2} to acetonitrile solutions of Pt\textsubscript{2} and BF\textsubscript{2}Pt\textsubscript{2}. 
Photochemical generation of the axially substituted Pt(III) complexes was performed using 370-nm narrow-band irradiation of freeze-pump-thaw degassed acetonitrile solutions of [TBA]_4BF_2Pt_2 containing the hydrogen or halogen atom donor. A photolysis cell was placed in front of a 1000 W lamp (approximately 10^{18} photons/minute) for the stipulated length of time. Temperature was not controlled, but a water filter was employed to absorb IR light from the lamp, and placement of the entire apparatus in a hood ensured constant air flow over the irradiated sample.

Approximately complete conversion of a 2.2 \times 10^{-4} M solution of BF_2Pt_2 to BF_2Pt_2H_2, as monitored by changes in UV-Vis absorbance, was achieved with a 0.05 M solution of \( \alpha \)-secphenethyl alcohol after 7 minutes' photolysis. For the IR experiment, an acetonitrile solution 1.4 \times 10^{-3} M in BF_2Pt_2 and 7.2 \times 10^{-3} M in \( \alpha \)-secphenethyl alcohol reached approximately 60\% conversion to the dihydride after 35 minutes photolysis. Irradiation of a solution 0.016 M in 1,2-dibromopropane (Matheson, Coleman, Bell) and 2.2 \times 10^{-4} M in [TBA]_4Pt_2 produced complete disappearance of the absorbance of the starting platinum complex within 3 minutes. Three new bands appeared at 334, 298, and 272 nm; with continued photolysis the absorbance of the 272 nm band increased and then began to decrease. When the sample was allowed to sit in the dark, the 272 nm band continued to decrease; after 24 hours the spectrum exhibited peaks only at 300 and 336 nm. Irradiation of a 0.017 M 1,2-dichloropropane (Eastman) and 3 \times 10^{-4} M [TBA]_4Pt_2 solution for a total of two hours produced an increase in the absorbance at 274 nm and an approximately 30\% decrease in the absorbance at 366 nm; storage in the dark for 24 hours produced no changes in the spectrum.

**Instrumentation** NMR data were obtained as described in Chapter 4. UV-Vis absorption spectra were measured on Shimadzu UV-260 and Cary spectrometers; the emission spectrometer is described in Chapter 4. Quenching experiments were carried out using a frequency-tripled, Q-switched Nd:YAG laser at 355 nm; 8 ns fwhm; power
set at .01 W at 10 Hz. The power was measured using an Ophir laser-power meter. Raman shifting of the 355 nm line to ~400 nm was accomplished by passing the focused laser line through a methanol solution. Unfortunately, the 300 cm glass tube used to hold the methanol was not long enough, and the focused laser beam damaged the faces. Other difficulties were encountered in trying to filter out the residual 355 nm light; a very sharp cutoff filter is needed that does not fluoresce or get chewed up by the laser.

Quenching Studies N,N,N',N'-tetramethylphenylenediamine (TMPD), N,N,N',N'-tetramethylbenzidine (TMBD), and triphenylamine (TPA) quenchers were purchased from Aldrich, reagent grade, and purified by sublimation. Diphenylamine was purchased from Matheson, Coleman and Bell, and recrystallized from a hot toluene/pentane mixture. The 10-methylphenothiazine (MPTZ) was obtained from Pfaltz and Bauer; the white, crystalline solid was not purified. The sublimed amines were briefly handled in air during transfer to a storage vial; solutions were made up in volumetric flasks purged with argon. For the intensity-quenching experiment, transfers of TMPD aliquots to the quenching cell were performed in a glove bag under argon. Alcohol and hydrocarbon substrates were prepared as described in Chapter 2.

Pt$_2$ and BF$_2$Pt$_2$ concentrations ranged from $1.3 \times 10^{-4}$ M in the lifetime-quenching experiments. For the intensity-quenching experiment with TMPD, the concentration of BF$_2$Pt$_2$ was $6 \times 10^{-4}$ M, so that appreciable phosphorescence emission intensity could be seen when the complex was excited into the triplet absorption. An Oriel 436 nm (#5645) interference filter was used to select the excitation line. Quenching data measured using two different samples of BF$_2$Pt$_2$ complex fit on the same Stern-Volmer plot; all rates were measured using samples synthesized with BF$_3$(g), rather than BF$_3$·OEt$_2$. For methanol, the quenching rate was obtained as an upper limit by simply measuring the lifetime in neat quencher. Partial hydrolysis of BF$_2$Pt$_2$ to Pt$_2$ was observed in the UV-Vis spectrum taken after the laser measurement.
Results and Discussion

I. Axially Substituted Binuclear Pt(III) Complexes

Because of the instability of BF$_2$Pt$_2$ in H$_2$O, the non-photochemical syntheses of the dihalide complexes were modified from reported procedures by the use of non-aqueous solvents.$^{1,2,3}$ Like Pt$_2$H$_2$, BF$_2$Pt$_2$H$_2$ is readily formed by narrow-band photolysis of BF$_2$Pt$_2$ with benzyl alcohol or α-sec-phenethyl alcohol.$^4$ Photochemical reaction of BF$_2$Pt$_2$ with 1,2-dichloropropane$^5$ produced only about 30% apparent conversion to the dichloride. Irradiation of BF$_2$Pt$_2$ in the presence of 1,2-dibromopropane produced fast conversion to a species that appeared to be the dibromide and one that corresponded to the intermediate (271 nm absorption) observed in the thermal reaction with Br$_2$. Over time or continued irradiation, the original photogenerated spectrum changed to one with bands only at 336 and 300 nm, corresponding to the thermally prepared BF$_2$Pt$_2$Br$_2$.

UV-Vis spectra

The comparative UV-Vis data in Table 5.1 illustrates the changes in absorption bands of BF$_2$Pt$_2$X$_2$ compounds relative to the analogous bands in Pt$_2$X$_2$ (Figures 5.1-5.4). A comparison of the bis(acetonitrile) adduct of Pt$_2$ (λ$_{max}$=211 nm) with the other Pt$_2$X$_2$ complexes led Che et al. to conclude that the electronic transition in the dihalide complexes originally assigned as dσ→dσ$^*$ contains a significant amount of ligand-to-metal charge transfer (LMCT) character.$^2,6$ Assuming that the interaction between the metal centers (i.e., the splitting of the dσ and dσ$^*$ levels) is unperturbed by substitution of BF$_2$+ groups on the ligands, LMCT bands should redshift as the metal orbitals are lowered by the electron withdrawing BF$_2$+ substituents (Figure 5.5). Observation of the opposite trend for the dibromide, dichloride and dihydride complexes is consistent with a larger splitting between dσ and dσ$^*$ in the BF$_2$Pt$_2$, caused by
stronger metal-metal interactions. Better overlap of the lower energy metal orbitals in BF$_2$Pt$_2$ with the axial ligand sigma orbitals would also raise the (d)$\sigma^*$ orbital and produce the observed shifts to higher energy. The latter departure from the simple LMCT picture is supported by the shift in Pt-H IR stretch from 1830 cm$^{-1}$ in Pt$_2$H$_2$ to 1940 cm$^{-1}$ in BF$_2$Pt$_2$H$_2$, which indicates a stronger Pt-H bond in the BF$_2^+$-substituted complex.

Table 5.1 Electronic absorption spectra of axial dihalide and dihydride complexes of [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] and [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$]

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>X$_2$</th>
<th>Pt$_2$X$<em>2$ $\lambda</em>{\text{max}}$ (nm)</th>
<th>BF$_2$Pt$<em>2$ $\lambda</em>{\text{max}}$ (nm)</th>
<th>$\Delta E$ (cm$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>$\Delta E$ (eV)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>H$_2$</td>
<td>314</td>
<td>294</td>
<td>+2166</td>
<td>+0.27</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Cl$_2$</td>
<td>285 349</td>
<td>276 321</td>
<td>+1144 +2499</td>
<td>+0.14 +0.31</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>Br$_2$</td>
<td>308 349</td>
<td>301 336</td>
<td>+755 +1109</td>
<td>+0.094 +0.14</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>I$_2$</td>
<td>338 438</td>
<td>364 455</td>
<td>-2113 -853</td>
<td>-0.26 -0.11</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Low-temperature spectroscopy on the series of new compounds should shed some light (heheheh) into the nature of the structural changes introduced by the BF$_2^+$ substituents. Low-temperature absorption and emission spectroscopy in combination with Raman studies will provide valuable information on the Pt-Pt stretching frequency, and by inference, the length and strength of the metal-metal bond in these Pt(III) complexes.
Figure 5.1  Electronic absorption spectra of a) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$Cl$_2$] and b) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$Cl$_2$]

![Absorbance vs Wavelength graph](image1)

Figure 5.2  Electronic absorption spectra of a) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$Br$_2$] and b) [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF)$_6$Br$_2$]

![Absorbance vs Wavelength graph](image2)
Figure 5.3  Electronic absorption spectra of a) \([\text{TBA}]_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4\text{H}_2]\) and b) \([\text{TBA}]_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_4\text{H}_2(\text{BF}_2)_6\text{H}_2]\)

Figure 5.4  Electronic absorption spectra of a) \([\text{TBA}]_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4\text{H}_2]\) and b) \([\text{TBA}]_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_4\text{H}_2(\text{BF}_2)_6\text{H}_2]\)
Molecular orbital picture of electronic transitions in axial dihalide and dihydride complexes of $[\text{TBA}]_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4]$ and $[\text{TBA}]_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_4\text{H}_2(\text{BF}_2)_6]$

Pure LMCT picture; $\text{BF}_2\text{Pt}_2$
transitions will redshift

$\text{BF}_2\text{Pt}_2\text{X}_2$  $\text{Pt}_2\text{X}_2$

Mixing of ligand orbitals into metal orbitals; stronger interaction
with $\text{BF}_2\text{Pt}_2$ causes blueshift
NMR

The $^{31}$P NMR spectrum of BF$_2$Pt$_2$Cl$_2$ (Figure 5.6) is similar to that of Pt$_2$Cl$_2$, but shifted upfield by a slightly greater amount (~15 ppm) than BF$_2$Pt$_2$ is shifted from Pt$_2$ (~10 ppm). The spacing of the platinum satellites (an approximation of $^1J_{Pt-P}$) is reduced from 3100 Hz in BF$_2$Pt$_2$ to 2200 Hz in BF$_2$Pt$_2$Cl$_2$; the same effect is observed for Pt$_2$ ($\delta=68$ ppm, $^1J_{Pt-P} = 3075$ Hz) and Pt$_2$Cl$_2$ ($\delta=28$ ppm, $^1J_{Pt-P} = 2085$ Hz). Three sets of Pt$_2$Cl$_2$-like signals separated by 2.5 ppm appear in the BF$_2$Pt$_2$Cl$_2$ spectrum, consistent with the three less well-resolved signals observed in the $^{31}$P NMR of the parent BF$_2$Pt$_2$. (See Chapter 4.)

The $^{19}$F NMR spectra of BF$_2$Pt$_2$Cl$_2$ and BF$_2$Pt$_2$ are shown for comparison in Figure 5.7. One set of fluorine residues in BF$_2$Pt$_2$Cl$_2$ shifts upfield relative to its position in the BF$_2$Pt$_2$ molecule, remains sharp, and displays a new set of peaks separated by 60 Hz. The other signal becomes less well resolved, but its chemical shift range does not change from that of BF$_2$Pt$_2$. The fluorine NMR spectrum of BF$_2$Pt$_2$Cl$_2$ provides support for the interpretation of the fluorine NMR of BF$_2$Pt$_2$. The fluorine that points toward the axial sites, defined as $F_a$, is expected to be sensitive to the occupation of the axial sites by chlorine atoms; hence its chemical shift changes more dramatically than that of $F_b$, which points out toward the P-O-P bridge.
Figure 5.6 $^{31}P$ NMR spectra of a) $[\text{TBA}]_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_4\text{H}_2(\text{BF}_2)_6]$ and b) $[\text{TBA}]_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_4\text{H}_2(\text{BF}_2)_6\text{Cl}_2]$ in CD$_3$CN solution at 36 MHz.
Figure 5.7  $^{19}\text{F} \text{NMR}$ spectra of a) $[\text{TBA}]_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_4\text{H}_2(\text{BF}_2)_6]$ and
b) $[\text{TBA}]_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_4\text{H}_2(\text{BF}_2)_6\text{Cl}_2]$ in CD$_3$CN solution at 84 MHz.
Axial Ligand Loss

UV-Vis spectra of $\text{BF}_2\text{Pt}_2\text{Cl}_2$ taken immediately after synthesis, after four weeks in a closed vial, after dissolution in $\text{CD}_3\text{CN}$ and 2 hours in the nmr tube, and after sitting on glass wool in air and room light are shown in Figure 5.8. The relative magnitudes of the spectral changes indicate that some decomposition takes place over time even in the solid, but that the process is accelerated by dissolution and exposure to air and/or light. The decomposition apparently involves loss of Cl and also loss of $\text{BF}_2^+$, with at least partial return to $\text{Pt}_2$. More work to discover the nature of the instability is clearly warranted. Photolysis of $\text{Pt}_2\text{X}_2$ in methanol regenerates $\text{Pt}_2$, and photochemical release of the axial ligands is well known; perhaps a similar mechanism applies here.

Figure 5.8  Electronic absorption spectra showing decomposition of $[\text{TBA}]_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5)_4\text{H}_2(\text{BF}_2)_6\text{Cl}_2]$ over time.
II. Excited State Reactivity

Reductive Quenching

BF$_2$Pt$_2$ is expected to be easier to reduce than Pt$_2$ by extrapolation from the observed oxidation behavior; no ground-state reduction wave is observed for either complex in acetonitrile. Since previous work indicates that $^3$Pt$_2^*$ is a relatively good excited-state oxidant, $^3$BF$_2$Pt$_2^*$ should be a sensational excited-state oxidant! Reductive quenching of $^3$Pt$_2^*$ has been measured using aromatic amine quenchers with oxidation potentials spanning the range 0.3 to 1.2V vs NHE. Separate quenching rate measurements in methanol$^9$, $^{10}$ agree well, but Vogler$^{11}$ reports that no quenching is observed in acetonitrile using even the readily oxidized compound N,N,N',N' - tetramethylenediamine (TMPD). Partly in an attempt to resolve this discrepancy, and partly because both the quencher oxidation potentials$^9$, $^{12}$, $^{13}$ and the Pt$_2$ and BF$_2$Pt$_2$ measurements are reported in acetonitrile, comparative reductive quenching of BF$_2$Pt$_2$ and Pt$_2$ has been investigated in acetonitrile. The present work uses a series of uncharged aromatic amines similar to that used by the previous workers. Experimental difficulties are discussed at some length, followed by experimental results in Table 5.2 and Figure 5.10, and a discussion of the results.

Stern-Volmer plots for lifetime quenching of $^3$Pt$_2^*$ with TMPD and TMBD curve downward at high quencher concentrations; i.e., the lifetime does not decrease as rapidly as expected (Figure 5.9). In fact, the lifetime of Pt$_2^*$ actually increases as the "quencher" 10-methylphenothiazine is added! Relatively bad fits of the supposedly exponential decay of the emission signal with time were also observed, especially at high quencher concentrations. All three amines exhibit absorbance tails in the 350 nm region that can be excited by the 355 nm laser line.$^{14}$, $^{15}$ Raman shifting of the 355 nm laser line to 400 nm allows selective excitation of only the Pt$_2$ absorption. Two points obtained with different 10-methylphenothiazine concentrations using 400 nm excitation show the expected decrease in lifetime, with a calculated quenching rate of
roughly \(10^6 \text{M}^{-1}\text{s}^{-1}\). Unfortunately, the homemade Raman shifter proved to be self-destructive, so the 400 nm laser excitation was not available for use with any of the other quenchers.

Figure 5.9  Stern-Volmer plot for quenching of the triplet excited state of \([\text{TBA}]_4[\text{Pt}_2(\text{P}_2\text{O}_5\text{H}_2)_4]\) with TMPD
The triplet energies of the amines chosen for the study are above the triplet energy of Pt2, so energy transfer quenching of $^3\text{Pt}_2^*$ by the amines is expected to be negligible.\textsuperscript{16} Energy transfer in the reverse direction could be a problem, however. Phosphorescence lifetimes on the order of milliseconds have been observed for aromatic amines.\textsuperscript{17} Formation of Pt2* by energy transfer from the excited organic triplet state to ground state Pt2 would artificially increase the apparent lifetime of the triplet excited state of the metal dimer, thereby decreasing the apparent quenching rate. When the quencher is in low concentrations, the absorption tail at 355 nm has negligible intensity, and the lifetime decreases linearly with quencher concentration, as expected. However, as the quencher concentration increases, the lifetime appears to stop decreasing, presumably because the quencher is being excited and replenishing $^3\text{Pt}_2^*$ by energy transfer at the same time it is quenching $^3\text{Pt}_2^*$ by electron transfer. No experimental details are reported in Vogler's paper\textsuperscript{11}, but it is possible that if only a single, high concentration of TMPD was used to measure the quenching, the lifetime and/or emission intensity of Pt2* would not appear to be quenched!

A second complication in the studies is that $^3\text{Pt}_2^*$ lifetimes measured in the presence of amine quencher change significantly as the pulse repetition rate of the laser is varied from 1 to 10 Hz. Slower quenching rates are observed when the pulse repetition rate of the laser is decreased. In addition to decreasing the power and stability of the laser, lowering the pulse repetition rate allows the sample a longer time to relax between pulses. (Although the effects on the lifetime did not seem to be levelling off, repetition rates slower than 1 Hz were not employed because of the very great laser instability at the low repetition rates.) If the reduced Pt2 and oxidized amine species (abbreviated $\text{R}_3\text{N}^+$) generated by reductive quenching do not recombine before the next laser pulse, $^3\text{Pt}_2^*$ created in the second pulse will rapidly reduce $\text{R}_3\text{N}^+$. Even if very small amounts of $\text{R}_3\text{N}^+$ persist in solution,\textsuperscript{18} the combination of reductive and oxidative quenching of the metal excited state could result in an observed quenching rate much
faster than that expected for reductive quenching alone. Although a fast recombination rate is expected for the oppositely charged Pt₂⁵⁻ and R₃N⁺ species, flash experiments¹⁰ indicate that decay of the absorption attributed to R₃N⁺ (R₃N⁺ = N,N-dimethylaniline, TMBD, TMPD) can take up to milliseconds after the flash.

While the first problem discussed can be readily avoided in emission intensity quenching experiments by exciting into the triplet absorption at 436 nm, the second problem is impossible to avoid in intensity-quenching measurements, since continuous excitation will produce a steady-state concentration of R₃N⁺. Observation of R₃N⁺ in the flash experiment indicates that Pt₂ does oxidize aromatic amines. The previously observed rates⁹,¹⁰ for reductive quenching of the metal excited state, however, and by extrapolation the previously calculated excited-state reduction potential of 1.3 V for Pt₂, may be artificially high.

Because of the experimental difficulties detailed above, few well-determined electron transfer rates have been obtained in the present study. The points in parentheses in Figure 5.10 denote rates that are based on changes in ³Pt₂⁺ or ³BF₂Pt₂⁺ lifetime using only one or two different quencher concentrations. Nevertheless, two important observations can be made. For ³Pt₂⁺, reductive quenching rates for which experimental complications have been partially eradicated are generally slower than those previously observed. The change of solvent from methanol to acetonitrile may be an important factor in this difference;¹⁰ alternatively, the interference from R₃N⁺ discussed above may be important. The very slow quenching rate of ³Pt₂⁺ with diphenylamine -- essentially no quenching observed -- remains a mystery.

The second observation is that ³BF₂Pt₂⁺ does react much faster than ³Pt₂⁺ with quenchers expected to undergo oxidation. The greater excited-state oxidizing power of BF₂Pt₂ is consistent with the presence of electron-withdrawing substituents. The
observed differences in reductive quenching rates measured in the two systems are roughly consistent with the expected 750 mV shift in ground-state reduction potential. Thus, for any Pt₂ reduction potential >-2.75 V, the ground-state reduction wave of BF₂Pt₂ should be within the solvent window of acetonitrile. The conspicuous absence of such a wave may indicate that the difference in excited-state reduction potentials is actually less than 750 mV, so that both reduction potentials are hidden behind the solvent window. Another possibility is that electron transfer to BF₂Pt₂ and/or Pt₂ across the electrode surface is kinetically impeded in some way, perhaps by the repulsion between a tetraanion and a negatively biased electrode surface, so neither wave is observed at the thermodynamically expected potential. The latter observation is the easiest to reconcile with Nagle’s estimate of the reduction potential of ³Pt₂* as 1.3 V, which suggests that the ground state reduction wave should be observed at ~1.2 V vs NHE, a value well within the solvent window of acetonitrile.

Figure 5.10 Quenching rate constants versus oxidation potentials for aromatic amine quenchers with the triplet excited states of [TBA]₄[Pt₂(P₂O₅H₂)₄] and [TBA]₄[Pt₂(P₂O₅)₄H₂(BF₂)₆]

Comparative reductive quenching
Table 5.2  Rates of reductive quenching of the triplet excited states of [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5H_2)_4] and [TBA]_4[Pt_2(P_2O_5)_4H_2(BF_2)_6] correlated with quencher oxidation potentials

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Quencher</th>
<th>Oxidation Potential (vs. NHE)</th>
<th>BF_2Pt_2 Quenching Rate (M^{-1}s^{-1})</th>
<th>Pt_2 Quenching Rate (M^{-1}s^{-1})</th>
<th>Nagle's Pt_2 Quenching Rate (M^{-1}s^{-1})</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>TMPD</td>
<td>0.35</td>
<td>(6x10^9 a)</td>
<td>1x10^8</td>
<td>1.2x10^{10}</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TMBD</td>
<td>0.60</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.0x10^9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMT</td>
<td>0.95</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>3.9x10^7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10-MPTZ</td>
<td>0.97</td>
<td>(7x10^6)</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DMA</td>
<td>1.02</td>
<td></td>
<td></td>
<td>1.2x10^7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>DPA</td>
<td>1.03</td>
<td>(5x10^8)</td>
<td>~10^4</td>
<td>1.5x10^6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>TPA</td>
<td>1.16</td>
<td>3x10^8</td>
<td>8x10^5</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Parentheses = rate was determined by two or three point lines

a = intensity quenching, 436 nm excitation

TMPD=N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl-phenylenediamine

TMBD=N,N,N',N'-tetramethyl-benzidine

DMT=dimethyltoluidine

10-MPTZ=10-methylphenothiazine

DMA=dimethylaniline

DPA=diphenylamine

TPA=triphenylamine
H-atom Transfer

In contrast to the large differences observed in electron transfer reactivity, quenching rates for $^3\text{Pt}_2^*$ and $^3\text{BF}_2\text{Pt}_2^*$ with a given H-atom donor are almost all within a factor of 5 (Table 5.4, Figure 5.11).\textsuperscript{3} Neither metal complex reacts consistently faster than the other with the H-atom donors studied. $^3\text{Pt}_2^*$ exhibits faster quenching rates with the benzylic alcohols. With the hydrocarbons and small aliphatic alcohols, $^3\text{BF}_2\text{Pt}_2^*$ is quenched faster.

The overarching similarity of H-atom transfer rates in the two systems supports the postulation of a relatively pure H-atom abstraction mechanism. As described in Chapter 2, the initial stages of atom transfer reactions may involve orbital interactions similar to those involved in electron transfers. The relative reactivity of BF$_2$Pt$_2$ and Pt$_2$ with H-atom donors provides a sensitive probe of this first interaction. Because of the differences in excited-state redox properties of the two metal complexes, comparisons of their H-atom transfer quenching rates allow a qualitative assessment of how much electron transfer character is involved in the transition state for H-atom abstraction with a given type of substrate. The strength of the Pt-H bond being formed may also influence relative reaction rates with the two metal systems. The fact that the metal hydride stretch in the IR is at higher energy for the BF$_2$Pt$_2$ complex indicates that removing a hydrogen atom from the dihydride is more difficult for BF$_2$Pt$_2$H$_2$ than for Pt$_2$H$_2$. This does not necessarily mean that it will be more difficult to remove an H-atom from the monohydride BF$_2$Pt$_2$H$^+$ than from Pt$_2$H$^+$, but it is a reasonable postulate. A third possible source of differences in reactivity is the altered steric situation around the axial site.
Table 5.3  Rates of H-atom transfer quenching of the triplet excited states of [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] and [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$], correlated with the C-H bond strengths for the H-atom donor quenchers

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Substrate</th>
<th>Bond Strength (kcal/mole)</th>
<th>Reference</th>
<th>Pt$_2$ Quenching Rate (M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</th>
<th>BF$_2$Pt$_2$ Quenching Rate (M$^{-1}$s$^{-1}$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>cumene</td>
<td>84.4±1.5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>5x10$^3$</td>
<td>9x10$^3$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>ethylbenzene</td>
<td>85.4±1.5</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.4x10$^4$</td>
<td>3.7x10$^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>88.0±1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4x10$^3$</td>
<td>1.4x10$^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>α-secphenethyl alcohol</td>
<td>82.4±3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>1.8x10$^6$</td>
<td>2.3x10$^5$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>benzyl alcohol</td>
<td>85±3</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4x10$^6$</td>
<td>2.2x10$^6$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>sec-butanol</td>
<td>91±1</td>
<td>33</td>
<td>9x10$^3$</td>
<td>4x10$^4$</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>isopropanol</td>
<td>91±1</td>
<td>31</td>
<td>4x10$^3$</td>
<td>9x10$^4$</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

Figure 5.11  Quenching rate constants versus C-H bond strengths for H-atom donor quenchers with the triplet excited states of [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$H$_2$)$_4$] and [TBA]$_4$[Pt$_2$(P$_2$O$_5$)$_4$H$_2$(BF$_2$)$_6$]

![Graph showing quenching rate constants versus bond strength](image)
For the alcohol substrates, the oxidation and reduction potentials are expected to be too high and low, respectively, for the metal excited states to oxidize or reduce.\textsuperscript{19} Observation of the large isotope effect (kH/kD=4) with \(\alpha\)-secphenethyl alcohol and \(^3\text{Pt}_2^*\) also indicates that the abstraction process for the benzylic alcohols involves a relatively pure H-atom transfer. In the absence of polar factors, a second possible reason for the differences in reactivity with alcohols is the strength of the bond being formed in the transition state. Since the Pt-H bond in BF\(_2\)Pt\(_2\) is assumed to be stronger than the analogous bond in Pt\(_2\), however, the faster reactivity of Pt\(_2\) with the benzylic alcohols is apparently not due to the strength of the bond being formed.

In view of the H-atom transfer reactivity trends discussed in Chapter 3, the most likely reason for the slower reactivity of the benzylic alcohols with \(^3\text{BF}_2\text{Pt}_2^*\) is the loss of hydrophilicity and increased steric bulk from BF\(_2^+\) substitution around the axial site. The fast rates and correspondingly small entropies of activation for benzylic alcohol and \(\alpha\)-secphenethyl alcohol suggest that the steric situation for those two alcohols with \(^3\text{Pt}_2^*\) is very close to ideal; any perturbations will increase the entropic contribution to the transition-state barrier and slow the rate, as observed.

\(^3\text{BF}_2\text{Pt}_2^*\) reacts faster with isopropanol than with sec-butanol, and \(^3\text{Pt}_2^*\) reacts faster with sec-butanol than with isopropanol. This trend also makes sense in light of the discussions in Chapter 2. Addition of some steric bulk to the axial site in BF\(_2\)Pt\(_2\) should help guide even the small isopropanol substrate toward the Pt center with the orientation necessary for efficient abstraction. As the alcohol gets larger, however, the combination of steric bulk on the substrate and on the metal complex results in a hindered approach of the substrate to the axial site, and thus a slower rate.

The faster quenching of \(^3\text{BF}_2\text{Pt}_2^*\) relative to \(^3\text{Pt}_2^*\) with the hydrocarbon quenchers is consistent with an initial interaction involving filled orbitals on the quencher and the low-lying hole in the d\(\sigma^*\) orbital of the excited metal complex. Faster quenching of a better excited state oxidant by substrates that are easier to oxidize
suggests that electron transfer to the metal complex is a component of the quenching process for those substrates, and the hydrocarbons are known to have relatively low ionization potentials. An isotope effect would be a useful probe of how much electron transfer character is actually involved; a larger effect would be expected for $^{3}\text{Pt}_2^*$ than for $^{3}\text{BF}_2\text{Pt}_2^*$, since the quenching process with the latter complex presumably involves more electron transfer character. The observed difference in rates between the two metal complexes for the hydrocarbon substrates is also consistent with the assumed differences in Pt-H bond strength. The effectively "teflon-coated" axial site of BF$_2$Pt$_2$ should be more appealing to the less polar substrates as well, although the increased steric bulk may hinder the approach of the more substituted hydrocarbons.

**Conclusion**

A Pt$_2$ complex modified by substitution of 6 electron-withdrawing BF$_2^+$ groups for 6 H$^+$ moieties in the ligands exhibits a variety of thermal and photochemical reactivity analogous to that observed with Pt$_2$. Reductive quenching measurements with a series of aromatic amines demonstrate that $^{3}\text{BF}_2\text{Pt}_2^*$ is a much better excited state oxidant than $^{3}\text{Pt}_2^*$, as expected from the observed shift in peak potential for oxidation of the ground state. The new complex exhibits the same reactivity with H-atom donor substrates as the parent complex, including formation of an axial dihydride complex. H-atom transfer rates with the two metal complexes are similar overall, but $^{3}\text{BF}_2\text{Pt}_2^*$ is more active toward hydrocarbons and small aliphatic alcohols than $^{3}\text{Pt}_2^*$. Both steric and electronic factors are important in determining the rates of reaction with the two complexes. An ability to predict the relative reactivities of the two complexes is rapidly developing, and a firm basis has been set from which detailed mechanistic work on H-atom transfer in this novel inorganic system can be launched.
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