## LIST OF FIGURES

| EIOT OF FIGURES   |
|---|
| <b>Figure 2-1:</b> Cartoon representation of the components implicated in electron transfer for Fe(II) oxidation by <i>Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans</i> strain ATCC 33020. The product of the <i>iro</i> gene is not thought to play a role in this strain, but may in others   |
| <b>Figure 2-2:</b> Genes proposed to encode the components of Fe(II oxidation in <i>Acidithiobacillus ferrooxidans</i> strain ATCC 3302035  |
| <b>Figure 3-1:</b> Growth of TIE-1 and SW2 on 4 mM Fe(II)Cl <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O + varying concentrations of NTA. A. Data for TIE-1: ♦ - 0 mM NTA, ■ - 7.5 mM NTA, ▲ - 15 mM NTA, ● - 20 mM NTA, $\times$ - Abiotic + 20 mM NTA. B Data for SW2: ♦ - 0 mM NTA, ■ - 7.5 mM NTA, ● - 10 mM NTA, ▲ 15 mM NTA, $\times$ - Abiotic + 20 mM NTA. No growth was observed in cultures of TIE-1 or SW2 where only NTA and no Fe(II) was added indicating that these strains cannot use NTA as a substrate for growth The lower concentration of Fe(II) at time 0 in the cultures where NTA has been added as compared to the cultures with no NTA addition indicates there is a pool of Fe(II) we cannot measure with the <i>Ferrozine</i> assay. Error bars represent the error on duplicate cultures   |
| <b>Figure 3-2:</b> Concentrations of A. Fe(II) and B. NTA species in the phototrophic basal medium (pH 6.8). Concentrations are represented as % of total Fe(II) (4 mM Fe(II)Cl <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O) and NTA (20 mM Na <sub>2</sub> NTA) as calculated with MINEQL <sup>+</sup> . See text for model parameters  |
| <b>Figure 3-3:</b> H₂ inhibits the Fe(II) oxidation activity of both TIE-1 and SW2 to varying degrees depending on the concentration of NaHCO₃ A. Data for TIE-1: $\blacktriangle$ - H₂ + 1 mM NaHCO₃ + 0.5 mM FeCl₂·H₂O; $\blacksquare$ - N₂ + 20 mM NaHCO₃ + 0.5 mM FeCl₂·H₂O; $\blacksquare$ - N₂ + 20 mM NaHCO₃ + 0.5 mM FeCl₂·H₂O. B Data for SW2: $\blacktriangle$ - H₂ + 1 mM NaHCO₃ + 0.5 mM FeCl₂·H₂O; $\blacksquare$ - N₂ + 20 mM NaHCO₃ + 0.5 mM FeCl₂·H₂O; $\blacksquare$ - N₂ + 1 mM NaHCO₃ + 0.5 mM FeCl₂·H₂O; $\blacksquare$ - N₂ + 20 mM NaHCO₃ + 0.5 mM FeCl₂·H₂O. Data are representative of at least two independent experiments. The volume of the assay was 1 ml and the assay bottles were shoot vigorously to ensure maximal H₂ saturation of the cell suspension solution. Error bars represent the error on duplicate cell suspension assays for TIE-1 and triplicate assays for SW2 |
| Figure 3-4: The Fe(II) oxidation activity of cell suspensions of TIE-1 and SW2 is completely light dependent. ♦ - H <sub>2</sub> pre-grown TIE-1 cells + N <sub>2</sub> + 20 mM NaHCO <sub>3</sub> + 1 mM FeCl <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O, incubated in the dark. ■ - H <sub>2</sub> pre-grown SW2 cells + N <sub>2</sub> + 20 mM NaHCO <sub>3</sub> + 2 mM FeCl <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O   |

incubated in the dark ......51

| <b>Figure 3-5:</b> Fe(II) oxidation activity of cell suspensions of TIE-1 pregrown photoautotrophically on different inorganic electron donors. ■ - TIE-1 pre-grown on 10 mM thiosulfate, ◆ - TIE-1 pre-grown on H <sub>2</sub> , ▲ - TIE-1 pre-grown on 4 mM FeCl <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O + 10 mM NTA. Error bars represent the error on triplicate cell suspension assays   |
|--|
| <b>Figure 3-6:</b> The Fe(II) oxidation activity of H <sub>2</sub> pre-grown cells of TIE-1 decreases with increasing concentration of gentamicin. All assays here contain 1 mM FeCl <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O and 20 mM NaHCO <sub>3</sub> . — (short dash) - no gentamicin added; ■ - 0.1 mg/ml gentamicin; ◆ - 0.2 mg/ml gentamicin; ▲ - 0.5 mg/ml gentamicin; ● - 1 mg/ml gentamicin; + - 2 mg/ml gentamicin; × - 4 mg/ml gentamicin; — (long dash) abiotic control + 4 mg/ml gentamicin  |
| <b>Figure 3-7:</b> A cartoon representation of the flow of electrons from Fe(II) and $H_2$ to the photosynthetic electron transport chain and $CO_2$ . For simplicity, Fe(II) oxidation is represented as occurring outside the cell. The red lines, associated with $k_1$ , represent the pathway and the overall rate of electron flow from Fe(II) to the photosynthetic electron transport chain. The blue lines, associated with $k_2$ , represent the pathway and the overall rate of electron flow from $H_2$ to the photosynthetic electron transport chain. OM: outer membrane; PERI: periplasm; CM: cytoplasmic membrane; ICM: intracytoplasmic membrane; CYT: cytoplasm.   |
| <b>Figure 3-8:</b> Hydrogenase and Fe(II) oxidation activity for TIE-1 as measured by benzyl viologen (BV) reduction and <i>Ferrozine</i> , respectively. A. Hydrogenase activity for TIE-1: $\blacklozenge$ - H <sub>2</sub> + 20 mM NaHCO <sub>3</sub> + 1 mM FeCl <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O + 5 mM BV; $\blacksquare$ - H <sub>2</sub> + 20 mM NaHCO <sub>3</sub> + 5 mM BV. B. Fe(II) oxidation activity for TIE-1: $\blacktriangle$ H <sub>2</sub> + 20 mM NaHCO <sub>3</sub> + 1 mM FeCl <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O; $\blacksquare$ - N <sub>2</sub> + 20 mM NaHCO <sub>3</sub> + 1 mM FeCl <sub>2</sub> ·H <sub>2</sub> O. The volume of the assay was 1 ml and the assay bottles were shook vigorously to ensure maximal H <sub>2</sub> saturation of the cell suspension solution. Error bars represent the error on triplicate cell suspension assays |
| <b>Figure 4-1:</b> Fe(II)-oxidation by the two enrichment cultures and <i>Thiodictyon</i> strain F4. ● - F4, ■ - enrichment 1, ▲ - enrichment 2, □ - uninoculated control, ⋄ - medium inoculated with F4, incubated in the dark. All cultures, except the dark control, were incubated at 40 cm  |

Figure 4-3: Differential interference contrast (DIC) micrographs of the enrichments and *Thiodictyon* strain F4. Α. A representative micrograph of the two enrichments growing photosynthetically on 10 mM Fe(II)<sub>ag</sub> supplemented with 1 mM acetate. Three major cell morphologies are observed: approximately 1-1.5 µm by 4-5 µm, rod shaped cells with gas vacuoles (light areas within the cells) which tended to aggregate around the HFO precipitates (I), 1.5-2 µm by 3.5-4 μm rod shaped cells with no gas vesicles (II) and 0.5-1 μm by 1.5-2 μm rod shaped cells (III). B. DIC micrograph of *Thiodictyon* strain F4, growing photosynthetically on 10 mM Fe(II)<sub>ag</sub>. Cells are approximately 1.5-2 µm by 5-7 µm and contain gas vacuoles. Note the similarity in size and shape between cells of *Thiodictyon* strain F4 and cells of type I in the enrichment culture. C. DGGE of the enrichments and *Thiodictyon* strain F4. From left to right lanes correspond to enrichment 1, enrichment 2 and *Thiodictyon* strain F4 ......107

**Figure 4-5:** Isotopic data for the two enrichments incubated at 40 cm from the light source and the uninoculated control. The  $\delta 56$ Fe values for duplicate samples of the Fe(II)<sub>aq</sub> and HFO fractions taken from single cultures are plotted as a function of time. A. Enrichment 1. ■ and  $\Box$  - duplicate Fe(II)<sub>aq</sub> fractions. ▲ and  $\triangle$  - duplicate HFO fractions. B. Enrichment 2. ■ and  $\Box$  - duplicate Fe(II)<sub>aq</sub> fractions.  $\triangle$  and  $\triangle$  - duplicate HFO fractions. C. The uninoculated control. ■ and  $\Box$  - duplicate Fe(II)<sub>aq</sub> fractions. The dashed line plots on graphs A., B., and C. are Fe(II)<sub>aq</sub> concentrations (mM) as determined by *Ferrozine* assay and the error bars represent the error on triplicate assays for each time

point. The shaded box on each of the graphs illustrates the error on the isotopic measurements from the uninoculated control. In some cases the points are larger than the error .......113

**Figure 4-6:** Isotopic data for *Thiodictyon* strain F4 incubated at 40, 80, and 120 cm from the light source and the uninoculated and dark controls. The  $\delta$ 56Fe values for duplicate samples of the Fe(II)<sub>aq</sub> and HFO fractions taken from single cultures are plotted as a function of time. A. F4 incubated at 40 cm from the light. ■ and □ - duplicate  $Fe(II)_{aq}$  fractions.  $\blacktriangle$  and  $\triangle$  - duplicate HFO fractions. B. F4 incubated at 80 cm from the light.  $\blacksquare$  and  $\square$  - duplicate Fe(II)<sub>ag</sub> fractions.  $\blacktriangle$  and △ - duplicate HFO fractions. C. F4 incubated at 120 cm from the light.  $\blacksquare$  and  $\Box$  - duplicate Fe(II)<sub>aq</sub> fractions.  $\blacktriangle$  and  $\triangle$  - duplicate HFO fractions. D and E. The uninoculated and dark controls, respectively.  $\blacksquare$  and  $\Box$  - duplicate Fe(II)<sub>aq</sub> fractions. The dashed line plots on graphs A., B., and C. are Fe(II)<sub>ag</sub> concentrations (mM) as determined by Ferrozine assay and the error bars represent the error on triplicate assays for each time point. The shaded box on each of the graphs illustrates the error on the isotopic measurements from the uninoculated and dark controls. In some cases the plotted points are larger than the error.......115

**Figure 5-1:** Mutants 76H3 and A2 are specifically defective in Fe(II) oxidation. A. Normal growth of mutant 76H3 and A2 with  $H_2$  as the electron donor. Data are representative of two independent cultures. B. Defects in phototrophic growth on Fe(II) for mutants 76H3 and A2 compared to wild type. Growth was stimulated with  $H_2$  present in the headspace initially. Data are representative of duplicate cultures. C. Mutant 76H3 and A2 carrying plasmids pT198 and pT498, respectively, show 80% of Fe(II) oxidation compared to the wild type in the cell suspension assay. D. Organization of the genomic regions surrounding the mutated genes in mutants 76H3 and A2. The black

arrows indicate the disrupted genes and the transposon insertion sites are marked by the open triangles. The numbers provided below the open reading frames (all arrows) are consistent with the numbers given for the identical regions from the CGA009 genome.......145

Figure 5-5: Fe(II) oxidation by 1003 + p2B3 (A), p9E12 (B), p11D3 (C), and p12D4 (D) in comparison to SW2 and 1003. On all graphs, ■ – Fe total for 1003 + cosmid; ▲ – Fe total for SW2; ♦ – Fe total for 1003; □ – Fe(II) for 1003 + cosmid strain;  $\Diamond$  – Fe(II) for SW2;  $\Delta$  – Fe(II) for 1003. When both the concentration of Fe(II) and total Fe were followed in cell suspension assays over time, a decrease in the amount of Fe(II) was observed while the total amount of Fe stayed constant. This shows that Fe(II) is being oxidized by these cosmid containing strains rather than being sequestered within the cells or chelated by cell surface components or other cell produced molecules. Assavs were normalized for cell number and the error bars represent the error on triplicate Ferrozine measurements. In these assays, it seem as though Fe(II) is not oxidized to completion by SW2 or the cosmid strains. This, however, is due to OD<sub>570</sub> absorbance by the high number of cells in the sample taken for the [Fe] measurement......153

**Figure 5-6:** Fe(II) oxidation conferring cosmids p2B3, p9E12, p11D3 and p12D4 do not confer the ability to grow photoautotrophically on

Fe(II) to 1003. ♦ – p2B3; ■ – p9E12; ▲ - p11D3; X – p12D4; ж – 1003; ■ – SW2.......154

Restriction map of p2B3, p9E12, p11B3 and p12D4 Figure 5-8: digested with Pstl. The red bars represent fragments in the Pstl digest common among p2B3, p9E12 and p11D3. The position of fragment 3 in the p12D4 Pstl digest is inferred from the sizes of the other fragments in this digest relative to those in the digests of p2B3 and p9E12. This fragment likely represents a smaller piece of the common fragment in red due to partial digest conditions. The green bars represent the positions of the pH5 and pH6 inserts on fragment 3 of the p9E12 PstI digest inferred from sequence data. Fragment 6 in the HindIII digest of p9E12 was common among all the cosmids. From sequence data, fragments 7 and 3 in the p9E12 Pstl digest are contiguous as are fragments 5, 6, and 2, and 1, although, the position of Pstl fragment 7 with respect to fragment 3 is arbitrary (i.e., fragment 7 could be on the other side of fragment 3). The positioning, however, is likely accurate given the nature of the sequence at the end of the P3 T7 and the sequence on fragment 4 (P4, 100% identical at the DNA and protein level to E. coli) in comparison to the sequence at the beginning of P3 T7 (97% identical at the protein level to Rhodobacter sphaeroides 2.4.1. The positions of p9E12 Pstl fragments 4, 8 and 9 are inferred from comparison to the digests of the other cosmids ......158

**Figure 5-9:** The 9.4 kb *PstI* fragment of p9E12 confers Fe(II) oxidation activity to *Rhodobacter capsulatus* SB1003 when cloned into pBBR1MCS5(Gm<sup>R</sup>). This activity is independent of the orientation in which the fragment is cloned suggesting that the gene(s) responsible for the observed phenotype is cloned with its endogenous promoter 176

**Figure 5-10:** Sequence region of the pP3 insert that contains genes predicted to encode a putative PQQ containing protein (bold red), a permease of the drug/metabolite transporter superfamily (bold blue) an acetyltransferase of the GNAT family (bold pink), and a permease with

| no homol  | log in <i>F</i> | Rhodobacter | (bold ( | green). | Candidate      | promote  | rs for |
|-----------|-----------------|-------------|---------|---------|----------------|----------|--------|
| these ger | nes are         | highlighted | in the  | color   | representative | e of the | gene   |
| sequence  | )               |             |         |         |                |          | 177    |

**Figure 6-1:** A. Heme stain of soluble (S) and membrane (M) proteins of SW2 cells grown phototrophically on Fe(II), H<sub>2</sub>, and acetate, and *Rhodobacter capsulatus* SB1003 grown photoheterotrophically on RCV. The arrow highlights a *c*-type cytochrome of approximately 15 kDa that appears unique to the membrane fraction of Fe(II)-grown SW2 cells. B. Total soluble and membrane protein profiles of SW2 grown on Fe(II), H<sub>2</sub>, and acetate. The red dots highlight proteins that appear unique to the membrane fraction of Fe(II)-grown cells and the arrow identifies the protein that corresponds to the heme in part A..................193