Spblimp1/krox: A Transcriptional Regulator with a Central Role in Endomesoderm Specification in Sea Urchin Embryos.

Spblimp1/krox: a sea urchin transcription factor

Thesis by

Carolina B. Livi

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

California Institute of Technology

Pasadena, California

2006

(Submitted December 21st, 2005)

© 2006

Carolina B. Livi

All Rights Reserved

Acknowledgements

I must first thank my advisor, Dr. Eric Davidson. It was a difficult, long, and windy road, but one worth traveling.

I would like to thank my committee members, Dr. Marianne Bronner-Fraser, Dr. Scott Fraser and Dr. Kai Zinn, for their continued support and much encouragement.

All members of the Davidson Lab past and present have had some input into the development of this work and myself.

I must specially thank Dr. Chiou-Hwa Yuh (Cathy), as she was instrumental in helping me from the very beginning to the very end of this project.

Also, special thanks to Dr. Andrew Ransick, Dr. Jonathan Rast, and Dr. Veronica Hinman for much guidance during this process.

To Titus Brown for introducing me to dark humor, but specially for releasing Family Relations.

To Dr. Hamid Bolouri, members of the Bolouri Lab past and present, and William Longabaugh in particular for providing us with very user-friendly open source software packages designed specifically with our lab users in mind.

To Sagar Laemle for technical help with the BAC homologous recombination.

To Dr. Anthony Firulli, who took me into his laboratory when I first moved to San Antonio, and who gave my confidence back.

To Dr. Pamela Larsen, who let me join her research group and treated me as a full member of the lab during the last stages of the experimental work.

Dr. Yufeng Wang, who helped me with Bioinformatics and redirected me to a new research area.

To Dr. Kristine Vogel, a colleague, mentor and confidant, but above all, a wonderful friend.

To many friends in science and elsewhere who were present through these many years, and continued to believe that graduation was still on the horizon even after the tenth postponing.

Pam and Ray Heman have been endlessly patient, enormously understanding and always supportive. They are my home away from home and it will always be so.

To my parents, who partially by example and partially through their support made my pursuit of this degree possible. Hopefully, it was worth waiting for.

To my husband, Jim Bower, who believes I can do anything I put my mind to, and holds me accountable for my decisions.

To my son, Ian Bower, who is one of the brightest spots in my life.

Abstract

During cleavage stages, Spblimp1/krox is expressed in the large micromeres and veg2 descendents. During later blastula stages, it is expressed in the endoderm precursors of the veg1 ring of cells distal to the vegetal pole of the blastula. Later, its expression is restricted to the blastopore region and the posterior of the invaginating archenteron, and finally to the midgut and hindgut of the pluteus larva. The expression of Spblimp1/krox is thus dynamic, and involves several distinct spatial territories. A GFP-recombinant BAC was created to distinguish the expression pattern of the early form from that of the late form. The protein coding sequence of GFP was substituted for that of the second exon, 1b. This construct closely mimics Spblimp1/krox expression during early stages of sea urchin development. Using anti-sense morpholino (MASO) knockdown perturbations, we analyzed the downstream targets of Spblimp1/krox. We confirmed previously-published data stating that Spblimp1/krox autoregulates its own expression, and we found that it represses itself. This negative autoregulation is restricted to the mesodermal veg2 territory during the blastula stage, as shown by WMISH analysis of MASO-injected embryos. Blimp1/Krox inputs expected from the perturbation analysis into other genes have been incorporated in our gene regulatory network.

Spblimp1/krox has two isoforms that are alternatively transcribed, 1a and 1b.

Spblimp1/krox1a is expressed starting at gastrulation. Phylogenetic footprinting analysis reveals several conserved sequence patches several hundred bp long, in comparisons between Strongylocentrotus purpuratus and Lytechinus variegatus of the genomic region

surrounding the *blimp1/krox* locus. When included in an injected expression construct, one of these conserved patches recapitulates the expression of the *1a* isoform during embryogenesis. This regulatory module of the *Spblimp1/krox* gene, which lies immediately upstream of the transcription initiation site for *Spblimp1/krox1a*, directs expression with great accuracy to the midgut and hindgut of the postgastrular embryo. Work on the regulation of the early *1b* isoform will be reported elsewhere.

Table of Contents

Title Page	i
Copyright Page	ii
Acknowledgements	iii
Abstract	vi
Table of Contents	viii
Chapter 1: Introduction	I-1
Sea urchins as a developmental model system	I-2
A non-chordate deuterostome model system	I-3
Sea Urchin embryogenesis	I-5
Studying Gene Regulation in Development	I-5
Gene Regulatory Network (GRN)	I-7
The oocyte and single-celled zygote	I-9
Micromeres / The primary mesenchyme GRN	I-10
Macromeres / The Endomesoderm	I-12
Endomesoderm – 6-11 hpf	I-13
Veg 2 Mesoderm – 12-30 hpf	I-14
Early Endoderm – Veg 2 – 12-17 hpf	I-17
Endoderm with Veg $1 - 18-30 \text{ hpf}$	I-17
Mesomeres / The Ectoderm	I-18
Oral Ectoderm	I-18

Aboral Ectoderm	I-18
Apical Plate Ectoderm	I-19
GRN as a testable model: Verifying predicted inputs	I-19
References	I-20
Figure 1. Diagram of purple sea urchin development.	I-26
Figure 2. A view from the genome EM-GRN.	I-31
Figure 3. The oocyte and single celled zygote EM-GRN.	I-33
Figure 4. Micromeres / The Primary Mesenchyme EM-GRN.	I-35
Figure 5. Endomesoderm – 6-11 hpf EM-GRN.	I-37
Figure 6. Endomesoderm up to 30 hpf EM-GRN.	I-39
Figure 7. Veg2 Mesoderm – 12-30 hpf EM-GRN.	I-41
Figure 8. Early Endoderm – Veg2 – 12-17 hpf EM-GRN.	I-43
Figure 9. Endoderm with Veg1 – 18-30 hpf EM-GRN.	I-45
Chapter 2: Expression and function of blimp1/krox, an alternatively transcribed	
regulatory gene of the sea urchin endomesoderm network	II-1
Reprinted with permission from Elsevier.	II-2
Abstract	II-3
Introduction	II-5
Materials and Methods	II-8
5' Race and Sequencing	II-8
Embryo Handling	II-8
Morpholino oligonucleotide sequences	II-

Blimp1/Krox knockdown perturbation	II-9		
BAC Recovery and Sequencing	II-10		
BAC Sequence annotation	II-10		
BAC homologous recombination	II-11		
Recombinant GFP-BAC transgenesis	II-12		
WMISH probes	II-12		
WMISH	II-13		
QPCR	II-14		
Protein sequence alignment and phylogenetic tree	II-15		
Diagrams, graphs and line drawings	II-17		
Results	II-17		
Gene structure and isolation of early splice form	II-17		
Phylogenetic analysis	II-19		
Temporal expression of the alternative splice forms	II-20		
Spatial expression of the blimp1/krox gene	II-21		
Expression of a blimp1/krox1b-GFP knock-in BAC	II-22		
Functional characterization of the early form of blimp1/kro.	x II-23		
Discussion			
Alternative regulation of the blimp1/krox splice isoforms	II-26		
Downstream targets of blimp1/krox expression	II-27		
The blimp1/krox negative autoregulatory loop	II-29		
Acknowledgements			
References	II-31		

	Figure 1: Structure of <i>blimp1/krox</i> gene and splicing isoforms.	II-42	
	Figure 2: Blimp1/Krox protein isoform domains.	II-44	
	Figure 3: Phylogenetic tree of the Blimp1 gene family.	II-46	
	Figure 4: Temporal expression of endogenous <i>blimp1/krox</i> gene.	II-48	
	Figure 5: Spatial expression of blimp1/krox.	II-50	
	Figure 6: Expression of blimp1/krox1b-GFP knockin BAC in transgenic		
		II-52	
	Figure 7: Phenotypes of embryos bearing <i>blimp1/krox1b</i> MASO.	II-54	
	Figure 8: Negative <i>blimp1/krox</i> spatial autoregulation in veg2 mesoderma	l cells.	
		II-56	
	Figure 9: Linkages of the <i>blimp1/krox</i> gene in the endomesoderm gene reg	gulatory	
networ	·k.	II-58	
	Supporting Table 1: ΔCt QPCR table.	II-60	
	Supporting Figure 1: Nucleotide alignment of cDNA and BAC sequences	for	
blimp1	/krox exon 1a, and exon 1b with part of exon 2.	II-62	
	Supporting Figure 2: Global alignment of orthologous sequences.	II-64	
	Supporting Figure 3: Negative control for blimp1/krox WMISH. Embryos		
process	sed through WMISH protocol using sense blimp1/krox probe.	II-71	
	Supporting Figure 4: Gel shifts using oligo 54.5.	II-73	
	Supporting Figure 5: Gel shift using oligo 43.	II-76	
	Supporting Material References	II-78	

Chapter 3: Regulation of Spblimp1/krox1a: an alternatively transcribed isoform ex	pressed			
in the mid and hindgut of the sea urchin gastrula.				
Reprinted with permission from Elsevier.				
Abstract				
Results and Discussion	III-4			
Genomic sequences surrounding the blimp1/krox gene	III-5			
Identification by "Family Relations" analysis of conserved genomic	c			
sequence patches in the vicinity of the Spblimp1/krox gene	III-6			
A DNA fragment which accurately generates the late expression pattern of				
the <i>blimp1/krox</i> gene Spatial expression of 43-CAT and 43-GFP constructs				
putative transcription factor binding sites				
cis-Regulatory analysis of expression driven by module 43 and the				
network model for endomesoderm specification	III-9			
Experimental Procedures	III-10			
Isolation and analysis of BAC clone containing Spblimp1/krox and				
Lvblimp1/krox genes II				
Comparison of the genomic sequences around the blimp1/krox gene	es of S.			
purpuratus and L. variegatus				
Binding site searches	III-11			
Preparation of reporter constructs	III-12			

Microinjection of reporter constructs, whole mount in situ hybridiz	zation,
and embryo imaging	III-13
Accession numbers	III-14
Acknowledgements	III-14
References	III-15
Table 1: Expression data from 43-GFP and 43-CAT transgenic embryos.	III-20
Figure 1: Comparative Family Relations II (FRII) analysis of genomic BA	C
sequences surrounding Spblimp1/krox and Lvblimp1/krox.	III-21
Figure 2: Transgene expression, and endogenous <i>blimp1/krox1a</i> expression	n in
gastrula stage embryos.	III-23
Figure 3: Sequence of fragment 43.	III-25
Chapter 4: Summary	IV-1
Chapter 4: Summary Approaches	IV-1 IV-2
Approaches	IV-2
Approaches Why Build GRN Models	IV-2 IV-5 IV-6
Approaches Why Build GRN Models References	IV-2 IV-5 IV-6
Approaches Why Build GRN Models References Figure 1: WMISH of 25 h embryos using anti-sense probes targeting differences	IV-2 IV-5 IV-6
Approaches Why Build GRN Models References Figure 1: WMISH of 25 h embryos using anti-sense probes targeting differences genes expressed in the vegetal plate.	IV-2 IV-5 IV-6 erent IV-9
Approaches Why Build GRN Models References Figure 1: WMISH of 25 h embryos using anti-sense probes targeting differences expressed in the vegetal plate. Figure 2: Spblimp1/krox1a genbank entry from NCBI.	IV-2 IV-5 IV-6 erent IV-9 IV-12 IV-16

Figure 5: 190bp proximal positive ubiquitous module immediately 5' of exon 1b.

	Figure 6:	3000bp region 5	of exon 1	b containing	multiple of	conserved	sequence
patches	S.						IV-24

Appendices

Appendix A

2002. A provisional regulatory gene network for specification of endomesoderm in the sea urchin embryo. Dev Biol. 246, 162-190.

Appendix B B-1

2002. A genomic regulatory network for development. Science. 295, 1669-1678.

Appendix C C-1

2002. Patchy interspecific sequence similarities efficiently identify positive cisregulatory elements in the sea urchin. Dev Biol. 246, 148-161.