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Abstract

This thesis presents results demonstrating the use of particulate composition measurements to determine the mechanisms of aerosol formation in both chamber and field studies. Aerosol composition measurements are also used to theoretically estimate the water-uptake behavior and ability to nucleate cloud droplets of atmospheric aerosol; these estimates are compared with in-situ airborne measurements. Common to all studies presented is the use of online aerosol mass spectrometry, a technique with high time resolution and minimal artifacts.

Chemical mechanisms involved in particle formation from the photooxidation of isoprene were explored in chamber studies using both online and offline mass spectrometry. The yield of aerosol and the nature of oligomers formed was found to depend on the NOx concentration. Peroxides were found to be important under low-NOx conditions while under high-NOx conditions the majority of the particulate mass was found to derive from reaction products of methacrolein.

Particle formation from photooxidation of aliphatic amines was shown to be a feasible route of secondary organic aerosol formation in the atmosphere. Chamber studies at low relative humidity demonstrated that particle formation is primarily the result of acid-base reactions between amines and nitric or sulfuric acid, though diverse oxidized organic compounds are also formed. Thermodynamic calculations show that certain amines can compete with ammonia to form aminium salts at atmospherically relevant concentrations. An airborne field study near a major bovine source in the San Joaquin Valley, CA, gave evidence of particulate amine formation in the atmosphere.
The composition of particulate emissions from ships was studied during a joint shipboard and airborne field project in the Eastern Pacific. Particulate emissions were found to contain significantly higher levels of organic material than accounted for in current inventories. Observed hydrophobic organic material is concentrated in smaller particles and acts to suppress hygroscopic growth and activity of ship-exhaust particles as cloud condensation nuclei.

Ongoing research involves quantifying the impact of reactions within cloud droplets on the organic composition of aerosols. A recently completed field campaign investigated the role of particle chemistry in determining if aerosols can act as ice crystal nuclei.
Table of Contents

Dedication ........................................................................................................................................ iii

Acknowledgements ....................................................................................................................... iv

Abstract.......................................................................................................................................... viii

List of Tables .................................................................................................................................. xviii

List of Figures .................................................................................................................................. xx

1 Introduction .................................................................................................................................. 1

1.1 Background and Motivation ................................................................................................... 2

1.2 Organization of Thesis .......................................................................................................... 3

1.3 References ............................................................................................................................. 7

2 Chemical Composition of Secondary Organic Aerosol Formed from the
Photooxidation of Isoprene ............................................................................................................... 9

2.1 Abstract .................................................................................................................................. 10

2.2 Introduction .......................................................................................................................... 11

2.3 Experimental Section ........................................................................................................... 13

2.3.1 Chamber Experiments ..................................................................................................... 13

2.3.2 Filter Extractions ............................................................................................................ 14

2.3.3 Liquid Chromatography / Electrospray Ionization – Mass Spectrometry ............. 15

2.3.4 ESI – Ion Trap Mass Spectrometry .................................................................................. 17

2.3.5 Matrix Assisted Laser Desorption Ionization -Time of flight Mass
Spectrometer ............................................................................................................................... 18

2.3.6 High Resolution ESI-MS .............................................................................................. 18
2.3.7 Aerodyne Time of Flight Aerosol Mass Spectrometer ........................................ 19
2.3.8 Gas Chromatography / Mass Spectrometry .......................................................... 20
2.3.9 Gas Chromatography – Flame Ionization Detection ............................................. 21
2.3.10 Total Aerosol Peroxide Analysis ....................................................................... 22
2.3.11 Particle-Into-Liquid Sampler Coupled to Ion Chromatography ......................... 23

2.4 Results ..................................................................................................................... 24
  2.4.1 High-NOx Condition ......................................................................................... 24
  2.4.2 Low-NOx Condition ......................................................................................... 31

2.5 Discussion ............................................................................................................... 37
  2.5.1 Gas-Phase Chemistry ....................................................................................... 37
  2.5.2 High-NOx SOA ............................................................................................... 39
    2.5.2.1 Importance of MACR Oxidation ............................................................... 39
    2.5.2.2 Oligomers .................................................................................................. 40
    2.5.2.3 Organic Nitrates ........................................................................................ 41
    2.5.2.4 2-MG as Monomeric Units ........................................................................ 41
    2.5.2.5 Mono-Acetate and Mono-Formate Oligomers .......................................... 42
    2.5.2.6 Heterogeneous Esterification Reactions ................................................... 43
    2.5.2.7 Additional Routes for SOA Formation ..................................................... 48
  2.5.3 Low-NOx SOA ................................................................................................. 51
    2.5.3.1 Hydroperoxides: Key Component to SOA Formation .............................. 51
    2.5.3.2 Oligomerization ........................................................................................ 52
    2.5.3.3 Acid Catalysis ........................................................................................... 53
    2.5.3.4 Formation Mechanism of Low-NOx SOA products Observed by GC/MS 54
3 Secondary Aerosol Formation from Atmospheric Reactions of Aliphatic Amines

3.1 Abstract ................................................................. 91
3.2 Introduction ......................................................... 92
3.3 Experimental ....................................................... 94
  3.3.1 PILS-IC ............................................................. 95
  3.3.2 Aerodyne cToF-AMS ........................................... 97
  3.3.3 Effective density ................................................. 98
  3.3.4 Oxidation experiments ....................................... 100
3.4 Atmospheric reaction pathways of amines .................. 101
3.5 Salt aerosol formation from acid-base reactions of amines .... 103
  3.5.2 Atmospheric formation of aminium nitrate salts: theory ... 104
  3.5.3 Atmospheric formation of aminium nitrate salts; experimental ...... 106
  3.5.4 Aminium sulfate salts ........................................... 109
3.6 Aerosol formation from photooxidation and ozonolysis of amines ... 110
  3.6.1 Experimental protocol ........................................ 115
  3.6.2 Trimethylamine (TMA) photooxidation .................... 116
<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Section</th>
<th>Title</th>
<th>Page</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>4.3.3</td>
<td>Aerosol Hygroscopicity and Refractive Index (DASH-SP)</td>
<td>172</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.4</td>
<td>Cloud Condensation Nuclei Counter (CCNc)</td>
<td>173</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.5</td>
<td>Size Distributions and Particle Number Concentration</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.3.6</td>
<td>Flight Strategy</td>
<td>174</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4</td>
<td>Results</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.1</td>
<td>Meteorology</td>
<td>175</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.2</td>
<td>Particle Number Concentrations and Size Distributions</td>
<td>176</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.3</td>
<td>Submicrometer Aerosol Chemical Composition</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.3.1</td>
<td>Total Aerosol Mass and Major Components</td>
<td>178</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.3.2</td>
<td>Inorganic Aerosol</td>
<td>179</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.3.3</td>
<td>Organic Aerosol</td>
<td>180</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.4</td>
<td>Aerosol Mixing State</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.5</td>
<td>Refractive Index</td>
<td>182</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.6</td>
<td>Hygroscopic Properties of the Aerosol</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.6.1</td>
<td>Subsaturated Water Uptake</td>
<td>183</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.4.6.2</td>
<td>CCN</td>
<td>184</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5</td>
<td>Discussion</td>
<td>186</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5.1</td>
<td>Enhancements in Mass Production and Water Uptake in the Plume Aerosol</td>
<td>187</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5.2</td>
<td>Amines as an Atmospheric Base</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5.2.1</td>
<td>Is Nitric Acid or Ammonia the Limiting Reactant?</td>
<td>188</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5.2.2</td>
<td>Sources and Character of Amines</td>
<td>190</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5.2.3</td>
<td>Total Amine Mass Calculations</td>
<td>191</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.5.3</td>
<td>Degree of Oxidation and Volatility in the Aerosol</td>
<td>193</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
5 Comprehensive Simultaneous Shipboard and Airborne Characterization of Exhaust from a Modern Container Ship at Sea ................................. 244

5.1 Abstract ........................................................................................................ 245
5.2 Introduction .................................................................................................. 246
5.3 Methods ....................................................................................................... 248
  5.3.1 Container Ship ...................................................................................... 248
  5.3.2 Shipboard Platform Measurements .................................................... 248
  5.3.3 Aircraft-Based Measurements ............................................................. 249
    5.3.3.1 Aerosol Number and Volume ......................................................... 250
    5.3.3.2 Aerosol Mass, Chemistry, and Absorption .................................... 251
    5.3.3.3 Aerosol Hygroscopic Growth and Cloud Condensation Nuclei Activity 252
  5.3.4 Theory for Predicting Subsaturated Hygroscopic Growth .................... 253
  5.3.5 Theory for Cloud Condensation Nuclei (CCN) Closure ....................... 253
5.4 Results ......................................................................................................... 256
5.4.1 Flight Tracks and Meteorology ................................................................. 256
5.4.2 In-Stack Composition .............................................................................. 258
5.4.3 Particle Number, Volume, and Mass in the Airborne Exhaust Plume....... 260
5.4.4 Airborne Particulate Composition ............................................................ 262
5.4.5 Aerosol Hygroscopicity ........................................................................... 264
5.4.6 CCN Activity .......................................................................................... 266

5.5 Discussion .................................................................................................... 268
5.5.1 Comparison of Ship and Airborne Data .................................................... 268
5.5.2 Plume Dilution and Aging ........................................................................ 272
5.5.3 Hygroscopic Growth ............................................................................... 273
5.5.4 CCN Closure ......................................................................................... 275

5.6 Implications .................................................................................................. 276
5.7 Acknowledgments ....................................................................................... 278
5.8 Supporting Information ............................................................................... 278
5.8.1 Shipboard Platform Test Methods ............................................................ 279
5.8.1.1 Measurement of Stack Gases ............................................................... 279
5.8.1.2 Measurement of Particulate Matter (PM) mass and Ions ...................... 279
5.8.1.3 Measurement of Particle-Phase Elemental and Organic Carbon ...... 279
5.8.1.4 Measurement of Size-Resolved Particulate Composition .................. 280
5.8.1.5 Measurement of Carbonyls ................................................................. 280
5.8.1.6 C₁₀ to C₃₀ Hydrocarbons, Including Naphthalene and PAHs ............ 281
5.8.1.7 1,3 Butadiene; Benzene; Toluene; Ethylbenzene and Xylenes .......... 281

5.8 Literature Cited ............................................................................................ 283
6 Conclusions and Future Studies .................................................................................. 307

6.1 Conclusions............................................................................................................. 308
6.2 Future Studies ....................................................................................................... 312

6.2.1 Tracing Organic Aerosol Production from Cloud Processing Reactions ...... 312
6.2.2 Determining the Chemical Characteristics of Ice Nuclei ......................... 313

6.3 References............................................................................................................. 316

Appendices

Appendix A  Secondary Organic Aerosol Formation from Isoprene
Photooxidation

Appendix B  Apportionment of primary and secondary organic aerosols in Southern
California during the 2005 study of organic aerosols in riverside
(SOAR-1)

Appendix C  Aerosol hygroscopicity in the marine atmosphere: A closure study using
high-time-resolution, multiple-rh DASH-SP and size-resolved C-ToF-AMS
data
List of Tables

Table 2.1 High-NOₓ chamber experiments conducted………………………………64
Table 2.2 Quantified SOA products (in ng/m³) from high-NOₓ chamber experiments….65
Table 2.3 Low-NOₓ chamber experiments conducted………………………………..66
Table 2.4 Low-NOₓ isoprene SOA products elucidated by GC/MS .......................67
Table 3.1 Summary of structures of amines studied and estimated global emissions from 
animal husbandry………………………………………………………………..142
Table 3.2 Initial conditions of all experiments conducted…………………………….143
Table 3.3 Theoretically calculated dissociation constants for different nitrate systems. 
\( K_{P,298} \) for ammonium nitrate = 7.14E-7 Pa²…………………………………….144
Table 3.4 Estimated percent mass yields\(^a\) of non-salt aerosol during ozonolysis and 
photooxidation. All yields have an uncertainty of ~25% of their 
magnitude……………………………………………………………………….145
Table 3.5 Thermodynamic data for various amine nitrate systems. Amines and 
nitric acid are in the gas phase and nitrates are solid…………………………….146
Table 4.1 Summary of in-plume and out-of-plume measurements of composition and 
particle number and mass concentration………………………………….….….217
Table 4.2 DASH-SP hygroscopicity and dry particle refractive index data…………….218
Table 4.3 Summary of CCN data for flights A and B……………………………..…..219
Table 4.4 Summary of \( \kappa \) values for the total aerosol and the organic component of the 
aerosol……………………………………………………………………………….220
Table 5.1 Selected Heavy Fuel Oil Properties…………………………………..…….288
Table 5.2 Gaseous stack emission factors in g (kWhr)\(^{-1}\) by chemical class………….289
Table 5.3 Particulate Stack Emission Factors

Table 5.4 Summary of absorption coefficient and non-refractory dry particulate composition in the ship exhaust plume at various plume ages

Table 5.5 Gas and particulate emission factors in g (kg fuel)$^{-1}$ from this and previous studies of emissions from ships burning heavy fuel oil
List of Figures

Figure 1.1. Estimated radiative forcing of climate in 2005 relative to pre-industrial times……………………………………………………………………………………………………8

Figure 2.1. ESI-ITMS negative mode spectra collected via direct infusion analyses. (a) 500 ppb isoprene, high-NO\textsubscript{x}, seeded; (b) 500 ppb MACR, high-NO\textsubscript{x}, seeded…..68

Figure 2.2. MALDI positive mode spectrum obtained with a graphite matrix for a 500 ppb isoprene, high-NO\textsubscript{x}, dry seeded experiment…………………………………….69

Figure 2.3. LC/MS TIC of filter extracts from 500 ppb, high-NO\textsubscript{x}, nucleation (a) Isoprene, and (b) MACR experiments. (c), (d), and (e) LC/MS EICs of organic nitrate species common to both MACR and isoprene high-NO\textsubscript{x} samples………70

Figure 2.4. (a) Mass spectrum for the largest chromatographic peak from Figure 2.3d (b) Upfront CID mass spectrum for the same chromatographic peak ……………..71

Figure 2.5. TOF-AMS spectra collected at low vaporizer temperatures for: (a) 50 ppb isoprene, 250 ppb NO\textsubscript{x}, H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, no seed, (b) 500 ppb MACR, 800 ppb NO\textsubscript{x}, H\textsubscript{2}O\textsubscript{2}, with seed, and (c) 500 ppb isoprene, HONO, no seed……………………………72

Figure 2.6. (a) TIC of a high-NO\textsubscript{x} isoprene nucleation experiment collected using GC/MS; (b) EI mass spectrum for the 2-MG residue; (c) EI mass spectrum for a linear dimer made up of two 2-MG residues………………………………………73

Figure 2.7. MALDI positive mode spectrum obtained with a graphite matrix for a 500 ppb isoprene, low-NO\textsubscript{x}, acid seeded experiment ……………………………..74

Figure 2.8. TOF-AMS spectra for a 500 ppb isoprene low-NO\textsubscript{x} experiment. (a) Low temperature vaporizer (~ 150°C); (b) high temperature vaporizer (~ 600°C)…..75
Figure 2.9. Time evolution plots produced from the TOF-AMS instrument for selected fragment ions and the total organic mass observed from a typical low-NO_x experiment..........................................................76

Figure 2.10. (a) GC/MS TIC of isoprene low-NO_x SOA; (b) averaged EI spectrum for the dimeric products eluting between 58.8 and 59.2 min and fragmentation scheme; (c) averaged CI(CH_4) spectrum for the latter products.................77

Figure 2.11. Reaction mechanism of isoprene oxidation under low- and high-NO_x conditions..................................................................................................................78

Figure 2.12. ESI-ITMS negative mode product ion spectra from a high-NO_x isoprene SOA sample. (a) MS^2 spectrum for an isolated m/z 323 ion; (b) MS^3 spectrum for an isolated m/z 323 ion.................................................................79

Figure 2.13. ESI-ITMS negative mode product ion mass spectra for high-NO_x SOA. (a) Product ion mass spectrum for a mono-acetate dimer (m/z 161); (b) Product ion mass spectrum for a mono-formate trimer (m/z 249).................................80

Figure 2.14. Proposed charge-directed nucleophilic reaction occurring during collisional activation in (−)ESI-ITMS.................................................................81

Figure 2.15. (a) GC/MS EIC (= m/z 219) for high-NO_x isoprene nucleation sample treated only with TMS derivatization; (b) duplicate sample, but treated by hydrolysis/ethylation followed by TMS derivatization. (c) EI mass spectrum for ethyl ester of 2-MG acid; (d) EI mass spectrum for ethyl ester of linear 2-MG acid dimer ..................................................................................82

Figure 2.16. Proposed mechanism for SOA formation from isoprene photooxidation under high-NO_x conditions.................................................................83
Figure 2.17. Proposed gas-phase formation mechanism for a C₄ hydroxydialdehyde monomer…………………………………………………………………………84

Figure 2.18. Low-NOₓ SOA formation pathways as elucidated by GC/MS…………85

Figure 2.19. (a) GC/MS EIC for a PM₂.₅ aerosol sample collected in Rondônia, Brazil; (b) averaged EI mass spectrum for the TMS derivatives of the isomeric hemiacetal dimers………………………………………………………………..86

Figure 2.20. EI mass spectra for low-NOₓ SOA products. (a), (b), and (c) mass spectra of isomeric C₅ alkene triols; (d) and (e) mass spectra of diastereoisomeric 2-methyltetrol performate derivatives. (f) and (g) mass spectra of diastereoisomeric 2-methyltetrols…………………………………………………………………...89

Figure 3.1. Calculation of the effective density. (A) Triethylammonium nitrate (TEAN); (B) the same distributions shown in (A) after photooxidation ……………….147

Figure 3.2. Mass spectra for the nitrate salts of the amines studied…………………148

Figure 3.3. Time series from exp. 19 in Table 3.2 of the cToF-AMS (bottom) and the PILS-IC (top)………………………………………………………………….149

Figure 3.4. Time Series of data from the DMA (Panel A), PILS-IC (Panel B), and cToF-AMS (Panel C) showing rapid replacement of ammonium sulfate by methylammonium sulfate……………………………………………………...150

Figure 3.5. Mechanisms of amine oxidation by: (A) OH in the presence of NOₓ, (B) Ozone, in the absence of NOₓ, and (C) OH in the absence of NOₓ…………..152

Figure 3.6. Photooxidation of trimethylamine. Panel (A) NOₓ and ozone; panel (B) particle volume; panel (C) ionic species measured by the PILS-IC; panels (D) and (E) fragment ions from the cToF-AMS……………………………………….153
Figure 3.7.  Aerosol mass spectra from the cToF-AMS during different stages of trimethylamine photooxidation and ozonolysis..................154

Figure 3.8.  Ozonolysis of trimethylamine. Panel (A) ozone concentration; panel (B) DMA volume; panel (C) formate concentration; panel (D) ions measured by the PILS-IC..................................155

Figure 3.9.  Methylamine photooxidation. Panel (A) Ozone and NOx concentrations; panel (B) time series for the DMA; panel (C) time series for the PILS-IC; panel (D) fragment ions from the cToF-AMS............................156

Figure 3.10. Photooxidation of 500 ppb triethylamine. Panel (A) Ozone and NOx concentrations; panel (B) time series for the DMA; panel (C) time series for the PILS-IC; panel (D) fragment ions from the cToF-AMS.........................157

Figure 3.11. Ozonolysis of triethylamine. Panel (A) Ozone concentrations; panel (B) time series for the DMA; panel (C) time series for the PILS-IC; panel (D) fragment ions from the cToF-AMS..........................158

Figure 3.12. Photooxidation of diethlyamine. The volume trace from the DMA (bottom panel), and the prominent peaks in the cToF-AMS spectra (Panels A-C)........159

Figure 3.13. Photooxidation of 100 ppb of ethanolamine. Panel (A) Ozone and NOx concentrations; panel (B) time series for the DMA; panel (C) time series for the PILS-IC; panel (D) fragment ions from the cToF-AMS.........................160

Figure 3.14. cToF-AMS spectra of aerosol generated from TEA oxidation under various conditions.........................................................161

Figure 4.1. A) Complete flight tracks for the two flights in the San Joaquin Valley of California. B/C) Detailed tracks for flights A and B (12 and 30 July 2007)......221
Figure 4.2. Time series of particle number concentration and meteorological data for flight A. Lower panel) Time series of aerosol size distribution

Figure 4.3. Time series of particle number concentration and meteorological data for flight B. Lower panel) Time series of aerosol size distribution

Figure 4.4. Vertical profiles of particle number concentration and meteorological data for flights A (upper panel) and B (lower panel)

Figure 4.5. HYSPLIT five-day backward trajectory analysis for flights A and B

Figure 4.6. Time series of PILS water-soluble aerosol composition and C-ToF-AMS composition for flights A and B

Figure 4.7. Spatial distribution of various aerosol species (diethylamine, ethylamine, ammonium, nitrate, excess nitrate and organic) during flight A

Figure 4.8. Spatial distribution of various aerosol species (ethylamine, ammonium, nitrate, excess nitrate and organic) during flight B

Figure 4.9. Vertical distribution of total submicrometer particulate mass and species concentrations from both the PILS and C-ToF-AMS, for flights A and B

Figure 4.10. Aerosol mass spectra from the C-ToF-AMS in the background aerosol and in the plume at various downwind distances from the feedlot for flight B

Figure 4.11. A comparison of the plume organic mass spectra versus the background aerosol organic mass spectra for flights A and B

Figure 4.12. Speciated size distributions as determined by the C-ToF-AMS for flights A and B

Figure 4.13. Growth factor data from flight A at RHs of 74% and 92%

Figure 4.14. Growth factor data from flight B at RHs of 74% (A), 85% (B) and
92% (C)................................................................................................................234

Figure 4.15. Relationship between the hygroscopic growth factor at 92% RH and mass
fractions of nitrate and organics during flight B.................................................235

Figure 4.16. Time series of activated fraction of aerosol (CCN/CN), and total CCN
concentration during flights A and B.................................................................236

Figure 4.17. Normalized CCN activation ratio, (CCN/CN)$_{\text{measured}}$/(CCN/CN)$_{\text{ammonium sulfate}}$
as a function of hygroscopic growth factors for flight B.................................237

Figure 4.18. The relationship between the $m/z$ 57:44 ratio, the organic mass fraction, the
CCN fraction with less droplet growth than ammonium sulfate ($D_i < D_{AS}$), and the
hygroscopic growth factor at RH = 92%............................................................238

Figure 4.19. Spatial distribution of the calculated organic growth factors, using the ZSR
mixing rule, for flights A and B.................................................................239

Figure 4.20. Critical supersaturation versus delta T calibration for ammonium sulfate
aerosol............................................................................................................240

Figure 4.21. Critical supersaturation versus delta T calibration for ammonium sulfate
aerosol............................................................................................................241

Figure 4.22. Growth kinetics plots for ammonium sulfate aerosol (500 cm$^3$/min)........242

Figure 4.23. Growth kinetics plots for aerosol ammonium sulfate aerosol
(1000 cm$^3$/min)..........................................................................................243

Figure 5.1. Photograph taken of the container ship.........................................293

Figure 5.2. Flight track of the CIRPAS Twin Otter during the ship exhaust plume
study........................................................................................................294
Figure 5.3. Size-resolved particulate chemical composition obtained through the MOUDI/filter setup in the ship’s stack.............................................................295

Figure 5.4. TEM image of particles collected with the in-stack thermophoretic sampler.................................................................296

Figure 5.5. Time series of the aerosol number concentration measurements........297

Figure 5.6. Time series of all instruments measuring aerosol mass or volume during the first in-plume approach to the ship.........................................................298

Figure 5.7. Top Panel: particle number and volume distributions at different plume ages. Middle Panel: chemically resolved mass distribution during the first in-plume approach to the ship. Bottom Panel: organic:sulfate mass ratio in the ship exhaust plume.................................................................299

Figure 5.8. Reference AMS organic mass spectrum from a diesel bus chase study, C-ToF-AMS organic mass spectra from the ship exhaust plume and background aerosol in the marine boundary layer.................................................300

Figure 5.9. Hygroscopic growth factors for particles measured by the DASH-SP and predicted using a volume-weighted mixing rule...........................................301

Figure 5.10. CCN droplet closure within the exhaust plume. Top Panel: Results obtained using bulk (size-averaged) chemistry. Bottom Panel: Results obtained when size-resolved chemistry is used in the calculations............................................302

Figure 5.11. Growth kinetics plot for aerosol in and out of the ship exhaust plume......303

Figure 5.12. Flow diagram of the sampling system used on-board the container ship.................................................................................................304
Figure 5.13. Vertical profiles of relative humidity and potential temperature during the study…………………………………………………………………………………………………305

Figure 5.14. NOAA Hysplit three-day back trajectory for the air mass present during the study…………………………………………………………………………………………………306

Figure 6.1. C-ToF-AMS data from Research Flight 3 of the ICE-L field campaign showing enhanced organic and chloride in mixed phase and ice clouds………317