
Force-Detected Nuclear Magnetic Resonance Independent of Field Gradients

Thesis by Garrett Michael Leskowitz

in partial fulfillment of the requirements
for the degree of Doctor of Philosophy

California Institute of Technology

Pasadena, California

2003

(Defended January 14, 2003)

Acknowledgements

First and foremost, I would like to acknowledge the support and guidance of my thesis advisor and mentor, Dan Weitekamp. It is my good fortune to have worked with and learned from someone who has his special blend of insight, enthusiasm, rigor, and the big picture. I appreciate his patience with my own sometimes erratic style and pace in scientific work, and I will miss our collaboration. His efforts to promote his students in the scientific community through opportunities to present at conferences are atypical and show great confidence in his own work and in us.

I would like to thank the many scientists I have worked with and those who have shown interest and given help, advice, and encouragement in my studies and research, particularly Richard Driver, Alan Davison, Craig Lehmann, Andrew Barron, Constantino Yannoni, Dan Rugar, John Baldeschwieler, and James Yesinowski.

I would also like to thank the students I have worked with in Dan's group. Jack Hwang and Pedro Pizarro gave much needed help early on, and my first and continuing conversations with Len Mueller, John Marohn, and particularly with Paul Carson were largely the reason why I decided to join the group. (I also thank Len Mueller for time off from postdoctoral research to complete this thesis!) Jim Kempf, Mike Miller, and Bruce Lambert merit special mention for help, new ideas, and friendship during our shared years at Caltech, and I am happy to see the traditions carried on by Ramez Elgammal, Valerie Norton, and Mark Butler. But particular thanks go to my principal collaborator, my adjoint in the dual spaces of science and

jazz, Lou Madsen. Without his drive, and efforts, and insight in virtually all phases of the work described in this thesis, BOOMERANG would not have advanced to anywhere near its current state. I consider it a privilege to have worked in such company.

I would like to acknowledge financial support from Caltech and from NASA's Jet Propulsion Laboratory, and I would like to thank Thomas George and Weilong Tang of JPL's Microdevices Laboratory and the several students and colleagues who worked with them on the effort to construct microfabricated versions of BOOMERANG technology.

I would like to thank Mary Mason for her love, patience, and encouragement. Together we are far greater than our sum. Finally, but certainly not least, I would like to thank my parents, George and Mary Leskowitz, and my sister, Laurie, for their encouragement and love, and for their example as thinking and caring humans, which I have tried to follow.

Abstract

This thesis describes a new method of magnetic resonance detection based on mechanical displacements caused by magnetic forces, which is general with respect to sample and pulse sequence. A spin-bearing sample placed inside a flexible magnet assembly distorts that assembly in proportion to the sample's magnetization. Radio-frequency fields that modulate the sample's spin magnetization at this detector's mechanical resonance frequency encode magnetic resonance spectra into the detector's trajectory. A key insight is that such mechanical detection can be performed within optimized detectors with no need for field gradients inside the sample volume, circumventing the deleterious consequences of such gradients for sensitivity and resolution. The new method is called Better Observation of Magnetization, Enhanced Resolution, and No Gradient (BOOMERANG), and its sensitivity is predicted to exceed that of inductive detection at microscopic size scales.

A prototype BOOMERANG spectrometer optimized for 3 mm diameter liquid and solid samples is described. The device uses direct digital synthesis of radio-frequency waveforms in its operation and fiber-optic interferometry to detect picometer-scale motions of a detector magnet. This magnet is bound to a tuned mechanical oscillator inside a magnet assembly designed for homogeneity of the magnetic field in the sample. Several types of time-domain FT-NMR spectra on test samples are presented. The data confirm theory and design principles.

The favorable scaling of BOOMERANG's sensitivity and the numerous potential uses for NMR at reduced size scales motivate construction of spectrometers optimized for microscopic samples. Geometric concerns in scaling down BOOMERANG are addressed quantitatively. At size scales where the number of spins is such that mean magnetization is smaller than fluctuations, such fluctuations, if not accounted for, can dominate the noise regardless of the physical detection method used. A measurement paradigm using correlations of these fluctuations to encode spectra is proposed to suppress this quantum noise, and the sensitivity of this method, which we call Correlated Observations Narrow Quantum Uncertainty, Enhancing Spectroscopic Transients (CONQUEST), is analyzed. BOOMERANG and CONQUEST promise to extend the applicability of nuclear magnetic resonance (NMR) for chemical analysis to samples and problems that are currently inaccessible by NMR due to poor sensitivity.

Contents

Chapter 1: Overview	1
1.0 Introduction	1
1.1 Better Observation of Magnetization, Enhanced Resolution, and No Gradient (BOOMERANG)	3
1.2 Problems Caused by Field Inhomogeneity	6
1.3 Outline	9
References	12
Chapter 2: On Signal Detection and Noise	14
2.0 BOOMERANG Force Detection	14
2.1 Optimization of the Sensor Magnet	18
2.2 Inductive Detection	21
2.3 A Note About Reciprocity	30
References	32
Chapter 3: BOOMERANG Prototype	33
3.0 Overview	33
3.1 Magnet Assembly	35
3.2 Oscillator	41
3.3 Fiber-Optic Interferometer	46
3.4 Signal Conditioning and Acquisition	50
3.5 Rf System	51
3.6 Cyclic Inversion with Phase-Cycled Efficient ARP	55
3.7 System Noise Analysis	62
3.7.0 Brownian motion revisited. Displacement calibration	62
3.7.1 Photon shot noise	64
3.7.2 Photon pressure fluctuations	66
3.7.3 Photocurrent shot noise	66
3.7.4 Johnson noise in the transimpedance	67
3.7.5 Electronic noise in the preamp	67

3.7.6 Digitization noise	68
References	70
Chapter 4: Spectroscopy	71
4.0 CW Spectroscopy	71
4.1 Inversion-Recovery	72
4.2 Time Sequencing – FT-NMR with Half-Passages	73
4.3 Nutation – t_1 and t_2 Noise	77
4.4 Spin Echo	78
4.5 Heteronuclear J Spectroscopy	80
References	81
Chapter 5: BOOMERANG at the Micron Scale and Below	82
5.0 Introduction	82
5.1 Spin Noise	84
5.2 Correlated Observations Narrow Quantum Uncertainty, Enhancing Spectroscopic Transients (CONQUEST)	86
5.2.1 Mean and variance of the signals	87
5.2.2 Remarks	91
5.3 BOOMERANG for Surface-Bound Samples	94
5.4 Torsional BOOMERANG for Nanoscopic Samples	97
5.5 Final Remarks – On Partitioning Samples and Microfabrication of Advanced Analytical Instruments	99
References	102
Appendix A: Connections to Other Mechanical Detection Methods	103
References	110
Appendix B: Sensor Optimization	112
B.0 Global Optimization with Massless Support	112
B.1 Hockey-Puck Design with Added Inert Mass	121
References	124
Appendix C: Damping Due to Eddy Currents	125
References	132

Appendix D: Partition Function, Polarization, and Moment Generating Function for N Isochronous Spins	133
D.1 Partition Function	133
D.2 Polarization.....	134
D.3 Moment generating function	135

List of Figures

1.1 Flexible-sphere model of idealized mechanical detector	4
1.2 Cylindrical detector magnet.....	4
1.3 Magnet assembly	5
1.4 Sensitive slice in the sample volume	7
2.1 Force field near a sample moment.....	16
2.2 Sensor magnet dimensions and definition of R_{\max}	18
2.3 <i>SNR</i> vs. scaled radius and height of the sensor magnet	20
2.4 Electric field near a sample dipole.....	23
2.5 Density plot of the electric field near a sample	24
2.6 Inductive detector model	25
2.7 Dimensions for optimal NMR coil	26
2.8 <i>SNR</i> and scaling for inductive detection and BOOMERANG	28
2.9 <i>SNR</i> and scaling in proton NMR	29
3.1 Block diagram of the BOOMERANG prototype.....	33
3.2 BOOMERANG prototype magnet assembly	35
3.3 Calculation of the field along the symmetry axis.....	37
3.4 Distribution of fields within the sample	38
3.5 Simulated line shapes for three positions of the sensor magnet.....	39
3.6 FT-NMR spectrum of water.....	40
3.7 BOOMERANG prototype oscillator assembly	41
3.8 Drift over time of the oscillator frequency.....	45
3.9 ^{19}F Nutation time series showing phase aberrations.....	46
3.10 Fiber-optic interferometer	47
3.11 Displacement noise spectral density	49
3.12 Signal acquisition and conditioning	50
3.13 RF system	52
3.14 Rf coil assembly	53
3.15 Efficient ARP	57

3.16 Driving the oscillator with efficient ARP	59
3.17 Phase cycling for efficient ARP	60
3.18 Driving the oscillator	61
3.19 Signal conditioning path.....	63
3.20 Interferometer displacement calibration	65
3.21 Digitization noise	68
4.1 ^1H CW-NMR spectrum of liquid water	72
4.2 Measurement of ^{19}F T_1 by inversion-recovery	73
4.3 Time sequencing for pointwise acquisition.....	74
4.4 FT-NMR with adiabatic half-passages	76
4.5 Nutation of proton magnetization in water	78
4.6 ^{19}F spin echo in hexafluoroisopropanol	79
4.7 Heteronuclear J spectrum of fluoroacetonitrile	80
5.1 Probability distribution for the net magnetization	86
5.2 Time sequence for the CONQUEST method	87
5.3 Force map over a flat circular sample.....	94
5.4 BOOMERANG for surface samples	96
5.5 Magnetic selection of sample region	97
5.6 Force maps for design of torsional oscillators.....	98
5.7 Xylophone-bar oscillators.....	99
A.1 Force-detected magnetic resonance methods.....	103
B.1 Curve defining the detector magnet.....	112
B.2 Optimal shape for the detector magnet	118
B.3 Optimal shape compared to optimal right cylinder.....	121
B.4 <i>SNR</i> vs. scaled radius and height of the sensor magnet	122
C.1 Eddy currents due to relative motion of the magnets.....	125
C.2 Integration paths for equation (C.8).....	129
C.3 Power dissipation in the magnets.....	130

List of Tables

5.1 Expectation values of powers of l_z	90
5.2 Expectation values and variances of first- and second-order signals.....	91