A NEW PARADIGM FOR INTERPRETING STRESS INVERSIONS FROM FOCAL MECHANISMS: HOW 3D STRESS HETEROGENEITY BIASES THE INVERSIONS TOWARD THE STRESS RATE

Thesis by

Deborah Elaine Smith

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements

for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy



California Institute of Technology

Pasadena, California

2006

(Defended March 27, 2006)

© 2006

Deborah Elaine Smith

All Rights Reserved

Acknowledgements

I would like to thank my thesis committee, Tom Heaton, Joann Stock, Jeroen Tromp, Jean-Philippe Avouac, and Nadia Lapusta for their feedback and input. Tom Heaton, my thesis advisor and Joann Stock my academic advisor deserve a special thanks. I really appreciate Tom Heaton's support of me throughout my graduate career, his creativity, and our interesting, innovative research discussions. I'm also grateful that he inspired me to take risks research-wise. He was a great research advisor. I appreciate Joann Stock for her guidance in my graduate career and for her insightful, detailed feedback on my thesis. Jeanne Hardebeck from USGS Menlo Park was also helpful in providing me data and plots for comparison to my results. I also appreciate the help from the administrative staff at Caltech, especially Viola who brightened everyone's day and Tess at the Registrar's Office who was always helpful. Thank you to Caltech and the Southern California Earthquake Center for their support of this thesis work.

My officemates, apartmentmates, and friends have been great. I think some of my fondest memories are of Bill, Tad and I pulling off a couple of practical jokes on my advisor Tom Heaton (remember the exploding chalk and the crayfish!), learning to eat various new foods with my apartmentmates Min, Zhimei, and Yizhen, such as chicken's feet, cow stomach (I don't think I ever managed to eat squid legs though), going horseback riding with Maria from Sweden, and watching all five years worth of *Babylon 5* with Mike.

I miss my two cats, Solomon and Minuet, after having to give them up to a good home because of my asthma, but I have to especially thank my 18 lb Solomon for being a faithful dog-cat. He would always great me at the door when I'd come home then snuggle up with me, purr, and drool all over.

Thanks to Tracy, Mike, Jean, Will, Shawna, Barbara and the women in my small group for the great times we've had hanging out together and praying. Pastor Sherri deserves a special thanks for all the time she's spent with me, encouraging me in the thesis process. My church as a whole, Vision Christian Fellowship, was extremely supportive of me, caring, and loving, which made all the difference. Last, I would like to thank my Lord, Jesus Christ, without whose grace this thesis would not have been possible.

I really don't quite know how to thank my mom and dad. They have consistently and faithfully supported me through all my times at Caltech both good and bad. They were always there when I needed them and they have been great encouragers.

Abstract

Current stress studies often utilize stress inversions of earthquake focal mechanisms to estimate four parameters of the spatially uniform stress tensor, three principal stress orientations, and a ratio of the principal stresses. An implicit assumption in these studies is that earthquakes are good random samplers of stress; hence, the set of earthquake focal mechanisms within some region can be used to estimate the spatial mean stress state within the region. Numerical simulations indicate some regions, such as Southern California, have sufficient stress heterogeneity to bias the stress inversions toward the stress rate orientation and that stress studies using stress inversions need to be reinterpreted by taking this bias into account. An outline of how to subtract out this bias to yield the actual spatial mean stress is presented.

Numerical simulations demonstrate that spatially heterogeneous stress in 3D can bias stress inversions of focal mechanisms toward the stress rate tensor instead of the stress. Stochastic models of 3D spatially heterogeneous stress are created, synthetic earthquake focal mechanisms are generated using the Hencky-Mises plastic yield criterion, and results are compared with Hardebeck's Southern California earthquake catalog [*Hardebeck*, 2006]. The presence of 3D spatial stress heterogeneity biases which orientations are most likely to fail, a bias toward the stress rate tensor. When synthetic focal mechanisms are compared to real data, estimates of two stress heterogeneity parameters for Southern California are obtained: 1) A spatial smoothing parameter, $\alpha \approx 0.8$, where α describes the spectral falloff of 1D cross sections through a 3D grid for the three principal stresses and three orientation angles. 2) A heterogeneity ratio, *HR* \approx 1.25, which describes the relative amplitude of the spatial stress heterogeneity to the spatial mean stress. The estimate for α is tentative; however, varying α for $\alpha \leq 1.0$ has little to no effect on the observation that spatially heterogeneous stress biases failures toward the stress rate. The estimate for *HR* is more robust and produces a bias toward the stress rate of approximately 40%. If the spatial mean stress and the stress rate are not aligned, the average focal mechanism failure mechanism should yield a stress estimate from stress inversions, approximately halfway between the two.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1.	IntroductionI- 1
Chapter 2.	Creating a Spatially Heterogeneous Scalar QuantityII-1
Chapter 3.	Creating a Spatially Heterogeneous Full Stress TensorIII-1
Chapter 4.	Plastic Yield Criterion (Hencky-Mises Failure Criterion) and HowIV-1 Its Interaction with Spatially Heterogeneous Stress Biases Earthquake Failures Toward the Stress Rate Tensor, $\dot{\sigma}_T'$
Chapter 5.	Estimating Stress Heterogeneity and Background Stress in theV-1 Real Earth
Chapter 6.	ConclusionVI-1
Appendix A	• Equations for Translating Between Stress Matrices, Fault Parameters, A-1 and P-T Axes
Appendix B	• Using Unit Quaternions to Represent Rotations: How a UnitB-1 Quaterion Applied to a Reference Vector Can Create Our Fault Parameters, Strike, Dip, and Rake
Appendix C	Coulomb Fracture CriterionC-1