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Abstract

We prove the twisted weighted fundamental lemma for the group GL(4) × GL(1) relative to a certain outer automorphism α, which yields GSp(4) as a twisted endoscopic group. This version of the fundamental lemma is needed to stabilize the twisted trace formula for the pair (GL(4) × GL(1), α). This stabilized twisted trace formula is required for Arthur’s classification of the discrete spectrum of GSp(4) in terms of automorphic representations of GL(4).
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Chapter 1

Introduction

We give a brief outline of the trace formula and the problem of stabilization. Introductions to the stabilization of the trace formula, from which much of this section is taken, can be found in [Art97] and in the introduction to [Art02b].

1.1 The trace formula

We take $G$ to be a connected reductive algebraic group defined over a number field $F$. We let $\mathbf{A}$ denote the ring of adeles of $F$. Via the diagonal embedding $G(F)$ embeds discretely in $G(\mathbf{A})$. The group $G(\mathbf{A})$ of adelic points of $G$ acts on the Hilbert space $L^2(Z(\mathbf{A})G(F) \backslash G(\mathbf{A}))$ by right translation; here $Z$ denotes the center of $G$. Automorphic representation theory is concerned with the representations of $G(\mathbf{A})$ that occur in this action. The trace formula is a powerful tool in this study.

Suppose for the moment that $G/F$ is anisotropic modulo the center, that is $Z(\mathbf{A})G(F) \backslash G(\mathbf{A})$ is compact, for example we could take $G$ to be the group of units in a definite quaternion algebra over $\mathbf{Q}$. In this case the representation of $G(\mathbf{A})$ on $L^2(Z(\mathbf{A})G(F) \backslash G(\mathbf{A}))$ decomposes discretely. That is we have

$$L^2(Z(\mathbf{A})G(F) \backslash G(\mathbf{A})) = \bigoplus_{\pi \in \Pi(G(\mathbf{A}))} m_\pi \pi$$

as a direct sum over irreducible representations of $G(\mathbf{A})$ with finite multiplicities $m_\pi \in \mathbb{Z}_{\geq 0}$. Let $f \in C_c^\infty(G(\mathbf{A}))$ be a smooth function on $G(\mathbf{A})$ with compact support; we have a linear map

$$R(f) : L^2(Z(\mathbf{A})G(F) \backslash G(\mathbf{A})) \to L^2(Z(\mathbf{A})G(F) \backslash G(\mathbf{A}))$$

given by integrating $f$ against the action of $G(\mathbf{A})$, that is

$$(R(f) \varphi)(x) = \int_{G(\mathbf{A})} f(y) \varphi(xy) \, dy.$$
The map \( R(f) \) is of trace class and the trace formula, due in this case to Selberg, gives two expansions for the trace of \( R(f) \). On the one hand we can write the trace of \( R(f) \) as a sum over representations of \( G(\mathbb{A}) \),

\[
\text{tr} \, R(f) = \sum_{\pi \in \Pi(G(\mathbb{A}))} m_\pi \text{tr}(\pi(f)) .
\]

On the other we have

\[
\text{tr} \, R(f) = \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(G(\mathbb{A}))} \text{vol}(Z(\mathbb{A})G_\gamma(F) \setminus G_\gamma(\mathbb{A})) \int_{G_\gamma(\mathbb{A}) \setminus G(\mathbb{A})} f(g^{-1}\gamma g) \, dg,
\]

a sum over conjugacy classes in \( G(F) \) of orbital integrals; here \( G_\gamma \) denotes the connected component of the centralizer of \( \gamma \).

In the case that \( G \) is not anisotropic modulo the center the arguments above immediately break down since the action of \( G(\mathbb{A}) \) on \( L^2(Z(\mathbb{A})G(F) \setminus G(\mathbb{A})) \) does not decompose discretely. The cusp forms do, however, lie in the discrete spectrum and we have a trace formula due to Arthur that applies to any reductive group \( G \); see [Art78] and [Art80]. The trace formula gives an identity of distributions,

\[
\sum_{o \in O} J_o(f) = \sum_{\chi \in \mathcal{X}} J_\chi(f)
\]

for \( f \) a smooth function on \( G(\mathbb{A}) \). The sum on the left is over conjugacy classes in \( G(F) \) while the sum on the right is over automorphic representations of \( G \) and its Levi subgroups.

The first refinement of Arthur was to make the trace formula invariant, that is to write each of the expansions above as a sum of invariant distributions. We take the invariant trace formula from [Art88a]. The invariant trace formula gives two expansions for a certain linear form \( I(f) \); we have a geometric expansion

\[
I(f) = \sum_M |W_0^M| |W_0^G|^{-1} \sum_{\gamma \in \Gamma(M(F))} a^M(\gamma) I_M(\gamma, f)
\]

given as a sum over conjugacy classes of Levi subgroups \( M \) of \( G \). The terms \( I_M(\gamma, f) \) are built out of weighted orbital integrals. And we have a spectral expansion

\[
I(f) = \sum_M |W_0^M| |W_0^G|^{-1} \int_{\Pi(M)} a^M(\pi) I_M(\pi, f) \, d\pi
\]

given in terms of data associated to representations of the Levi subgroups \( M \).

Some of the terms in the above expansions are entirely similar to the case that \( G/F \) is anisotropic.
On the geometric side we have, for $\gamma \in G(F)$ which is semisimple and elliptic,

$$I_G(\gamma, f) = \int_{G,\gamma(A)\backslash G(A)} f(g^{-1}\gamma g) \, dg.$$  

On the spectral side we have, for an irreducible representation $\pi$ of $G(A)$ which occurs discretely in the representation of $G(A)$ on $L^2$, $a^G(\pi)$ equal to the multiplicity of $\pi$ in the discrete spectrum and $I_G(\pi, f) = \text{tr}(\pi(f))$.

The most powerful applications of the trace formula, for example to questions of functoriality, come about when the trace formula is not used in isolation. Identities between the geometric sides of the trace formula for different groups produce corresponding identities between the spectral sides out of which one can hope to prove relationships (functorialities) between the automorphic representations of the groups in question.

Suppose now that we have two groups $G$ and $G'$. In order to match up the geometric sides of the trace formula for $G$ and $G'$ one needs to be able to

1. transfer conjugacy classes between the two groups, and

2. transfer functions between the two groups given by identities between orbital integrals.

We note that it is enough to treat 2 for functions of the form $\prod_v f_v$ given as a product over the local groups, this turns the problem of transferring functions into a local one.

This is precisely the strategy carried out by Jacquet and Langlands in [JL70] to prove functoriality for $G = D^\times$, the group of units in a quaternion algebra $D$, and $G' = \text{GL}(2)$. The characteristic polynomial for elements of $G$ and $G'$ gives an injection $i : \Gamma(G(F)) \hookrightarrow \Gamma(G'(F))$. Jacquet and Langlands also define a correspondence

$$f = \prod_v f_v \mapsto f' = \prod_v f'_v$$

from $C_c^\infty(G(A))$ to $C_c^\infty(G'(A))$, such that

$$I_{G'}(\gamma', f') = I_G(\gamma, f)$$

if $\gamma' = i(\gamma)$ and is zero if $\gamma' \in \Gamma(G'(F))$ does not lie in the image of $i$. Using the trace formula they then prove functoriality between $G$ and $G'$.

There is a serious obstacle in applying this argument to prove functoriality for other groups; for example between a group and its quasi-split inner form. The transfer of conjugacy classes between $G$ and $G'$ is only defined up to conjugacy over the algebraic closure of $F$. For the cases considered by Jacquet and Langlands this is not a problem; elements of $\text{GL}(2, F)$ which are conjugate in $\text{GL}(2, \overline{F})$ are already conjugate in $\text{GL}(2, F)$. However, in general, the notion of stable conjugacy (conjugacy
over $\mathcal{F}$) is a strictly coarser equivalence relation. This is already apparent in the case of $\text{SL}(2)$; see [LL79].

In the same way one can only hope to transfer functions between groups up to their values on stable orbital integrals, that is, the sum of the orbital integrals over the conjugacy classes within a stable conjugacy class. One would therefore like to be able to write $I(f)$ as a sum of stable distributions parameterized by stable conjugacy classes in $G(F)$.

The first problem is that $I(f)$ is, in general, not stable. To see this let $\gamma \in G(F)$ be semisimple and elliptic. We take a finite set of places $S$ of $F$. Suppose that for each $v \in S$ we choose an element $\gamma'_v \in G(F_v)$ such that $\gamma$ and $\gamma'_v$ are conjugate in $G(F_v)$. We can then define $\gamma' = (\gamma'_v) \in G(A)$ by setting $\gamma'_v = \gamma \in G(F_v)$ for $v \notin S$. In order for the distribution $I(f)$ to be stable we need the orbital integral $I_G(\gamma', f)$ to appear in the geometric expansion of $I(f)$. But this only happens if $\gamma'$ is conjugate in $G(A)$ to an element of $G(F)$, and in general one cannot guarantee that this will happen. Therefore the distribution $I(f)$ is not stable.

1.2 Stabilization

In [Lan83], Langlands suggested a stabilization of the geometric side of the trace formula, at least for the $M = G$ terms, of the form

$$I(f) = \sum_H \iota(G, H)S^H(f^H).$$

Here the sum is over a certain family $\{H\}$ of quasi-split groups, known as elliptic endoscopic groups, attached to $G$ via dual group considerations. The coefficients $\iota(G, H)$ are explicitly defined and the distributions $S^H$ are stable distributions, which depend only on the group $H$. The existence of the functions $f^H$ on $H$ depend on certain local conjectures; see below.

In the case that $G$ is quasi-split one can write this as

$$I(f) = S^G(f) + \sum_{H \neq G} \iota(G, H)S^H(f^H).$$

Each of the groups $H \neq G$ have dimension strictly smaller than $G$, and one can view this as writing $I(f)$ as a main stable term $S^G(f)$ together with an explicit error term coming from the proper elliptic endoscopic groups of $G$.

Such a decomposition for the $M = G$ terms in the trace formula was achieved by Langlands [Lan83] and Kottwitz [Kot86] subject to these local conjectures which we now describe. In order to
obtain the existence of the function $f^H$ above it is sufficient to consider functions of the form

$$f = \prod_v f_v$$

on $G(\mathbb{A})$, where $f_v$ is a smooth compactly supported function on $G(F_v)$, and $f_v$ is the characteristic function of a fixed hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of $G(F_v)$ for almost all $v$. To obtain the function $f^H$ we need to obtain functions $f_v^H$ on $H(F_v)$ for each $v$. The conjectural functions $f_v^H$ need to satisfy an identity between their stable orbital integrals on $H$ and a certain unstable linear combination of orbital integral of $f$. In the case that $v$ is archimedean, this has been achieved by work of Shelstad [She82], for non-archimedean $v$ the existence of $f_v^H$ is conjectural. This conjecture is known in a limited number of cases. The fundamental lemma asserts that for almost all $v$ we can take $f_v^H$ to be the characteristic function of a fixed hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of $H(F_v)$, which guarantees that the function

$$f^H = \prod_v f_v^H$$

is a function on $H(\mathbb{A})$. Furthermore, Waldspurger in [Wal97] has shown that the analog of the fundamental lemma for the Lie algebra of $G$ implies the corresponding transfer conjecture.

Building on the work of Langlands and Kottwitz, Arthur [Art02b] has stabilized, subject to a fundamental lemma for weighted orbital integrals, the remaining terms in the geometric expansion for $I(f)$.

In this thesis we will be interested in the stabilization of the twisted trace formula. This trace formula applies to a pair $(G, \alpha)$ of a connected reductive group $G$ and an automorphism $\alpha$ of $G$. Roughly speaking, the twisted trace formula for $(G, \alpha)$ gives two expansions for the trace of the operator $R(\alpha)R(f)$ on $L^2(G(F) \setminus G(\mathbb{A}))$, where $R(\alpha)$ acts on $L^2$ by composition with $\alpha^{-1}$.

The stabilization of the elliptic part of the twisted trace formula has been completed, subject to appropriate local conjectures for twisted orbital integrals, by Kottwitz and Shelstad in [KS99] and Labesse in [Lab04]. The stabilization of the remaining terms in the twisted trace formula is work in progress; however, it relies on a fundamental lemma for twisted weighted orbital integrals given in [Art02a]; see also Chapter 3.

### 1.3 Transfer from $GSp(4)$ to $GL(4)$

We now specialize to the case of interest in this thesis. In [Art04] Arthur gives, subject to the twisted weighted fundamental lemma proven in this thesis, a classification theorem for the automorphic discrete spectrum of $GSp(4)$; this theorem applies to both generic and non-generic forms and
in particular applies to representations attached to holomorphic Siegel cuspforms. This classification includes a parameterization of the representations of the local groups GSp(4, $F_v$) into packets together with a decomposition of the discrete spectrum of GSp(4) in terms of automorphic representations of GL(4). This also gives a multiplicity formula for representations which appear in the discrete spectrum of GSp(4).

Much is known about the automorphic representation theory of GL(4). Thus a knowledge of this transfer allows one to obtain important information about automorphic representations of GSp(4) from the known facts about GL(4). From the analytic point of view one is interested in properties of the $L$-functions attached to cuspforms on GSp(4), for example the location of poles. On the algebraic side one is interested in properties of the $\ell$-adic representations attached to holomorphic Siegel cuspforms. We hope to explore some of the consequences of this transfer for these associated objects.

The results of [Art04] are achieved by a comparison of the stable trace formula for GSp(4) with a stable twisted trace formula for GL(4) $\times$ GL(1); see also [KS02, Remark 9.3]. This work is part of a program of Arthur’s to prove functoriality between classical groups and the appropriate general linear groups subject to the local conjectures required in the stabilization of the necessary trace formulas.

For GSp(4) the fundamental lemma for invariant orbital integrals is proven in [Hal97]; see also [Wei94]. The weighted fundamental lemma in [Art02b] required for the stabilization of the full trace formula does not apply to GSp(4) since its proper Levi subgroups are products of general linear groups, and hence do not possess proper elliptic endoscopic groups. Therefore, all the local conjectures required for the stabilization of the trace formula for GSp(4) have been established.

For the stabilization of the twisted trace formula for GL(4) $\times$ GL(1) and the automorphism $\alpha$ given in Section 3.2 below, the twisted fundamental lemma for invariant orbital integrals is proven in [Fli99]. Flicker’s proof is for fields of odd residual characteristic, however, this is sufficient for global applications. A weighted variant of the twisted fundamental lemma, stated in [Art02a], is also needed for the stabilization of the full twisted trace formula. This is needed since there are Levi subgroups of GL(4) $\times$ GL(1) that have elliptic twisted endoscopic groups. It is this fundamental lemma which we prove in this thesis, we again restrict ourselves to local fields of odd residual characteristic. This is the first such twisted weighted fundamental lemma to be proven when the twisting is of a group theoretic nature, moreover the geometric methods used to prove the unweighted fundamental lemma, for example in [LN04], do not apply to the weighted fundamental lemma.

In Chapter 2 we give some definitions and results used throughout this thesis, we also give enough details in order to give the statement of the twisted weighted fundamental lemma in Chapter 3. The
conjectural identity is given by the formula

\[
\sum_{k \in \Gamma} \Delta_{M,K}(\ell',k)r^G_M(k) = \sum_{G' \in E_M(G)} \iota_{M',G'}(G, G')s^G_{M'}(\ell').
\]

The left hand side consists of a finite linear combination of twisted weighted orbital integrals on the group \(G\) with respect to the Levi subgroup \(M\). We take \(M'\) to be an elliptic twisted endoscopic group for \(M\); the right hand side is then a finite linear combination of stable weighted orbital integrals on certain groups \(G'\) that contain \(M'\) as a Levi subgroup.

From Chapter 4 onwards we specialize to the twisted weighted fundamental lemma for \(G\) equal to \(GL(4) \times GL(1)\). We begin in Chapter 4 by determining all endoscopic groups that appear in the statement of the twisted weighted fundamental lemma, and in Chapter 5 we compute the necessary weight functions, which appear in our weighted orbital integrals.

As above, the twisted weighted fundamental lemma applies to a pair \((M, M')\) of a Levi subgroup \(M\) of \(G\) and an unramified elliptic twisted endoscopic group \(M'\) for \(M\). There are four such pairs given in the table below, here \(E\) denotes the unramified quadratic extension of the local nonarchimedean field \(F\).

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>(M)</th>
<th>(M')</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>((GL(2) \times GL(2)) \times GL(1))</td>
<td>(GL(2) \times GL(1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((GL(1) \times GL(2) \times GL(1)) \times GL(1))</td>
<td>(GL(2) \times GL(1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>((GL(1) \times GL(2) \times GL(1)) \times GL(1))</td>
<td>(\text{Res}_{E/F}(GL(1)) \times GL(1))</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>(GL(1)^4 \times GL(1))</td>
<td>(GL(1)^3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

We now outline the proof of the fundamental lemma for each pair \((M, M')\). We take \(F\) to be a local field of characteristic zero. We let \(R\) denote the ring of integers in \(F\). We denote by \(q\) the cardinality of the residue field of \(F\) that for now we assume is odd and greater than three.

In Chapter 6 we prove the fundamental lemma for the first pair. We begin by writing both sides of the fundamental lemma in this case as untwisted orbital integrals on \(GL(2,F)\). The identity to be proven then takes the form

\[
FL(A) : L(A) = R(A)
\]

indexed by elements \(A \in GL(2,F)\). Moreover, since both sides vanish if the conjugacy class of \(A\) in \(GL(2,F)\) does not intersect \(GL(2,R)\) we may assume that \(A \in GL(2,R)\). We split the proof into two cases depending on whether \(A\) lies in a split or elliptic torus. In the former case we may assume that \(A = \begin{pmatrix} a & \varepsilon \\ d & \varepsilon \end{pmatrix}\) is diagonal. We find that both \(L(A)\) and \(R(A)\) depend only on \(|a - 1|, |d - 1|, |a - d|\) and \(|ad - 1|\). Since we are assuming that \(F\) has odd residual characteristic we have the following three cases
Case 1. \( q^{-M} = |ad - 1| = |a - d| = |d - 1| \geq |a - 1| = q^{-N} \)

Case 2. \( q^{-M} = |a - 1| = |d - 1| = |ad - 1| \geq |a - d| = q^{-N} \)

Case 3. \( q^{-M} = |a - 1| = |d - 1| = |a - d| \geq |ad - 1| = q^{-N} \).

In each case we denote \( L(A) \) (resp. \( R(A) \)) by \( L(M, N) \) (resp. \( R(M, N) \)). In cases 1 and 3 we prove that

\[
qL(M, N + 1) - L(M, N) = qR(M, N + 1) - R(M, N)
\]

and in case 2 we prove that

\[
L(M, N + 1) - L(M, N) = R(M, N + 1) - R(M, N).
\]

In each case we exploit cancellations between the integrals on either side of \( FL(A) \) allowing us to readily compute the differences. Thus the proof of the identity \( FL(A) \), when \( A \) lies in a split torus, is reduced to proving the identity under the assumption

\[
|ad - 1| = |a - d| = |d - 1| = |a - 1|.
\]

We then compute both sides of \( FL(A) \) under this assumption and show that they are equal. In the case that \( A \) lies in an elliptic torus we again reduce the proof to certain cases, which we then prove, by following a similar strategy.

The proofs of the fundamental lemma for the Levi subgroup

\[ M = (\text{GL}(1) \times \text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(1)) \times \text{GL}(1) \]

and both its unramified elliptic twisted endoscopic groups are given in Chapters 7 and 8. The proof uses the twisted topological Jordan decomposition which is described in Section 5.6. We can write any element \( \gamma \alpha \) with \( \gamma \in M(R) \) uniquely as

\[ \gamma \alpha = us \alpha = sau, \]

where \( sa \) has finite order prime to \( q \) and \( u \) is topologically unipotent, i.e., \( u^{q^n} \to I \) as \( n \to \infty \). Using this decomposition allows us to write the twisted weighted orbital integral at \( \gamma \alpha \) as an (untwisted) weighted orbital integral at \( u \) on the group \( G_{sa} \), the centralizer of \( sa \) in \( G \). The main part of the proof of the fundamental lemma is when \( s \) is the identity. In this case the twisted weighted orbital integrals become untwisted weighted orbital integrals on \( \text{Sp}(4) \). These integrals are of a type that appear on the right hand side of the fundamental lemma treated in Chapter 6. We are then able to use the calculations from there to prove the fundamental lemma for both pairs \((M, M')\). When \( s \)
is not the identity, the groups $G_{s\alpha}$ have dimension strictly smaller than $\text{Sp}(4)$ and the fundamental lemma can be readily verified in these cases.

In Chapter 9 we prove the fundamental lemma for the diagonal torus in $\text{GL}(4) \times \text{GL}(1)$. We again use the twisted topological Jordan decomposition. The main part of the proof comes down to proving an identity between weighted orbital integrals on $\text{Sp}(4)$ with respect to the diagonal torus. We establish this identity by exploiting cancellations between the relevant integrals on $\text{Sp}(4)$.

We delay to Chapter 10 the computation of certain $p$-adic integrals that are needed in the proof of the fundamental lemma.
Chapter 2

Preliminaries

In this chapter we give a few preliminary definitions and results that will be used throughout this thesis, often without reference. We also give enough details so that the statement of the twisted weighted fundamental lemma, given in Chapter 3, makes sense.

2.1 Twisted conjugacy

Let $G^0$ be a connected reductive group defined over $F$, a field of characteristic zero. We take $\alpha$ to be a quasi-semisimple automorphism of $G^0$. By definition this means that there exists a pair $(B, T)$ (not necessarily defined over $F$) with $B$ a Borel subgroup of $G^0$ and $T$ a maximal torus in $B$ such that $\alpha(B) = B$ and $\alpha(T) = T$. Throughout we allow $\alpha$ to be trivial in which case we recover the untwisted definitions.

We assume further that $\alpha$ is of finite order and form the semidirect product $G^+ = G^0 \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$ and take $G$ to be the connected component $G^0 \rtimes \alpha$. We say that $\gamma \in G^0$ is $\alpha$-semisimple if the element $\gamma\alpha \in G$ is semisimple, i.e., the automorphism of $G^0$ given by $\text{Int}(\gamma) \circ \alpha$ is quasi-semisimple in the sense above.

The twisted conjugacy class of $\gamma \in G^0$ is

\[ \{ g^{-1}\gamma \alpha(g) : g \in G^0 \} . \]

We note that for $g \in G^0$ we have

\[ g^{-1}\gamma \alpha g = g^{-1}\gamma \alpha(g) \alpha, \]

and so the notion of twisted conjugacy of $\gamma$ is equivalent to conjugacy of $\gamma\alpha$ by elements of $G^0$, we will use these notions interchangeably.

The twisted centralizer of $\gamma \in G^0$ is

\[ Z_{G^0}(\gamma\alpha) = \{ g \in G^0 : g^{-1}\gamma \alpha(g) = \gamma \} . \]
We say that $\gamma \alpha$ is strongly regular if $Z_{G^0}(\gamma \alpha)$ is abelian. We denote by $G_{\gamma \alpha}$ the connected component of $Z_{G^0}(\gamma \alpha)$.

2.2 Parabolic subgroups

Having fixed $F$ by a parabolic subgroup of $G^0$ we mean a parabolic subgroup defined over $F$. Similarly by a Levi subgroup of $G^0$ we mean a Levi subgroup $M^0$ of a parabolic subgroup $P^0$ such that both $M^0$ and $P^0$ are defined over $F$. If $M^0$ is stable under $\alpha$ we denote by $M$ the connected component $M^0 \times \alpha$ of $M^0 \times \langle \alpha \rangle$. We say that $\gamma \alpha \in M$ is strongly $G^0$-regular if $\gamma \alpha$ is strongly regular as an element of $M$ and $G_{\gamma \alpha} = M_{\gamma \alpha}$.

We denote by $\hat{G}^0$ the dual group of $G^0$; see [Bor79, Section 2]. For a quasi-split group $G^0$ there is a bijection between the parabolic subgroups of $G^0$ and the parabolic subgroups of $\hat{G}^0$ the dual group of $G^0$; see [Bor79, Section 3].

2.3 $GSp(4)$ and $Sp(4)$

We let $J$ denote the matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & 1 \\
1 & -1 \\
-1 & 1 \\
-1 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix},
$$

and we set

$$Sp(4) = \{g \in \text{GL}(4) : J^t g J^{-1} = g \},$$

and

$$GSp(4) = \{g \in \text{GL}(4) : J^t g^{-1} J^{-1} = \lambda g \}.$$

The intersection with $GSp(4)$ of the upper triangular Borel subgroup of $GL(4)$ is a Borel subgroup of $GSp(4)$. The proper parabolics of $GSp(4)$ that contain this Borel subgroup are the Siegel parabolic, which has Levi decomposition

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} g & x & r \\ aw' g^{-1} w & 1 & r \\ a & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} : g \in \text{GL}(2), a \in \text{GL}(1) \right\},$$
where
\[
w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]
and the Klingen parabolic which has Levi decomposition
\[
\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & \ast \\ g & a^{-1} \det g \end{pmatrix} \right\} \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & r & s \\ 1 & r & 1 & -x \\ 1 & 1 & \ast & \ast \end{pmatrix} : g \in \text{GL}(2), a \in \text{GL}(1) \right\}.
\]

The intersection of each of these parabolic subgroups with Sp(4) is a parabolic subgroup of Sp(4), we refer to their intersection with Sp(4) by the same name.

The dual group of GSp(4) is GSp(4, C) and under the bijection between parabolic subgroups of \( G \) and \( \hat{G} \) the Siegel and Klingen parabolics are switched.

### 2.4 Weighted orbital integrals

We now assume that \( F \) is a local nonarchimedean field of characteristic zero. Let \( M \) be a Levi subset of \( G \). We fix \( K \) a maximal compact subgroup of \( G^0(F) \), which is admissible relative to \( M \) in the sense of [Art81, Section 1]. Associated to \( (G, M) \) is a weight function
\[
v_M : G^+(F) \to \mathbb{C}
\]
defined in [Art88b, Section 1]; see also Chapter 5. We do not give the definition here but note that \( v_M \) is left \( M^+(F) \) invariant and right \( K \) invariant.

Let \( \gamma \alpha \in M(F) \) be strongly \( G^0 \)-regular. We define
\[
D_G(\gamma \alpha) = \det(1 - \text{Ad}(\gamma \alpha))_{\mathfrak{g}/\mathfrak{g}_\gamma \alpha},
\]
where \( \text{Ad}(\gamma \alpha) \) denotes the adjoint action of \( \gamma \alpha \) on the Lie algebra \( \mathfrak{g} \) of \( G^0 \) and \( \mathfrak{g}_{\gamma \alpha} \) is the Lie algebra of \( G_{\gamma \alpha} \).

Let \( f \) be a smooth complex valued function of compact support on \( G(F) \). The weighted orbital integral of \( f \) is defined by
\[
J_M(\gamma \alpha, f) = |D_G(\gamma \alpha)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{G_{\gamma \alpha}(F) \backslash G^0(F)} f(g^{-1} \gamma \alpha g) v_M(g) \, dg.
\]
The integral depends on the choice of a Haar measure on \( G_{\gamma \alpha}(F) \), however we suppress this from our notation. We will usually take the measure on \( G_{\gamma \alpha}(F) \) that gives its maximal compact sub-
group volume one. We also note that since $G_{\gamma \alpha} \subset M^0$ the function $v_M$ descends to the quotient $G_{\gamma \alpha}(F) \setminus G^0(F)$.

### 2.5 Endoscopy

We continue with $F$ local nonarchimedean of characteristic zero and we let $W_F$ denote the Weil group of $F$. Let $\hat{G}^0$ denote the dual group of $G^0$ and $L^0 = \hat{G}^0 \rtimes W_F$ the $L$-group of $G^0$. By duality we obtain an automorphism $\hat{\alpha}$ of $\hat{G}^0$; see [KS99, Section 1.2].

The definition of endoscopic datum $(H, \mathcal{H}, s, \xi)$ is found in [KS99, Section 2.1]. Here $H$ is a quasi-split group over $F$, $H$ is a split extension of $\hat{H}$ by $W_F$ and $\xi : H \to L^0$ is an $L$-homomorphism which maps $\hat{H}$ isomorphically onto $Z_{\hat{G}^0}(s\hat{\alpha})^0$, the connected component of the centralizer of $s\hat{\alpha}$ in $\hat{G}^0$.

There are many issues that are dealt with by Kottwitz and Shelstad in the theory of endoscopy that do not appear in our case. For us it will be sufficient to consider $Z_{\hat{G}^0}(s\hat{\alpha})^0$ together with a Galois action on $Z_{\hat{G}^0}(s\hat{\alpha})^0$ given by a homomorphism $\rho : \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \to Z_{\hat{G}^0}(s\hat{\alpha})$.

We use this homomorphism to form the $L$-group $Z_{\hat{G}^0}(s\hat{\alpha})^0 \rtimes W_F$ and take $H$ to be the quasi-split group with this $L$-group.

Let $H$ be a twisted endoscopic group for $G^0$. Then by [KS99, Theorem 3.3.A] we have a canonical map

$$A_{H/G} : \text{Cl}_{ss}(H) \to \text{Cl}_{ss}(G^0, \alpha)$$

from semisimple conjugacy classes in $H(\overline{F})$ to semisimple twisted conjugacy classes in $G^0(\overline{F})$. This map respects the action of $\text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F)$. If we take $T$ to be a maximal torus in $G^0$, which is stable under $\alpha$ and $T_H$, a maximal torus in $H$, then the map $A_{H/G}$ is given by a norm map $N : T \to T_H$ which factors through $T/(1 - \alpha)T$.

We say that $\gamma \in H(F)$ is strongly $G^0$-regular if its image under $A_{H/G}$ is a strongly regular twisted conjugacy class in $G^0(F)$. The stable conjugacy class of a strongly $G^0$-regular $\gamma$ is the intersection of $H(F)$ with the conjugacy class of $\gamma$ in $H(\overline{F})$, it is a finite union of conjugacy classes in $H(F)$. Similarly the stable twisted conjugacy class of $\delta \in G^0(F)$, which is strongly regular, is the intersection of $G^0(F)$ with the twisted conjugacy class of $\delta$ in $G^0(\overline{F})$; it is a finite union of twisted conjugacy classes in $G^0(F)$.

Kottwitz and Shelstad define a transfer factor $\Delta(\gamma, \delta)$ in [KS99, Chapter 4]. The function $\Delta(\gamma, \delta)$ depends only on the stable conjugacy class of $\gamma$ and the twisted conjugacy class of $\delta$ in $G^0(F)$. Moreover $\Delta(\gamma, \delta)$ is non-zero if and only if $\delta$ lies in $A_{H/G}(\gamma)$. Thus it follows that if we fix $\gamma$ then...
there are only finitely many twisted conjugacy classes \( \{ \delta \} \) in \( G^0(F) \) for which \( \Delta(\gamma, \delta) \) is non-zero.

### 2.6 Notation

In addition to the notation introduced above we fix the following notation throughout this thesis.

Throughout \( F \) will be a local nonarchimedean field of characteristic zero. We let \( R \) denote the ring of integers in \( F \) and we let \( \pi \) denote a uniformizer in \( R \). We fix the Haar measure on \( F \) that gives \( R \) volume one. We let \( q \) denote the order of the residue field of \( F \), which we take to have characteristic \( p \). We use \( v \) and \( | \cdot | \) to denote the additive and multiplicative valuations on \( F \), which we normalized so that \( v(\pi) = 1 \) and \( |\pi| = q^{-1} \). We let \( U_F \) denote the group of units in \( R \) and \( U_F^m \) denotes the subgroups of \( U_F \) defined by

\[
U_F^m = \begin{cases} 
U_F, & \text{if } m = 0; \\
1 + \pi^m R, & \text{if } m > 0.
\end{cases}
\]

We fix an algebraic closure \( \overline{F} \) of \( F \) and denote again by \( | \cdot | \) the extension of \( | \cdot | \) to \( \overline{F} \). We will frequently denote by \( \Gamma \) the Galois group \( \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \). For a group \( G_1 \) with an action of \( \text{Gal}(\overline{F}/F) \) we let \( G_1^\Gamma \) denote the elements of \( G_1 \) that are fixed by \( \Gamma \).

For an algebraic group \( H \) we let \( X(H) \) denote the group of characters of \( H \) and \( H^0 \) denotes the connected component of the identity in \( H \). For a field extension \( E/F \) and \( H \) an algebraic group defined over \( E \) we let \( \text{Res}_{E/F} H \) denote the restriction of scalars of \( H \) to \( F \).

For a compact open subgroup of a \( p \)-adic group we use \( 1_K \) or \( \text{char}_K \) to denote the characteristic function of \( K \).

For ease of notation we frequently use blank entries in matrices to denote zeros. Given \( A_i \in \text{GL}(n_i), \ 1 \leq i \leq k \) we let \( \text{diag}(A_1, \ldots, A_k) \) denote the block diagonal matrix in \( \text{GL}(n_1 + \ldots + n_k) \) with block diagonal entries \( A_1, \ldots, A_k \).
Chapter 3

The twisted weighted fundamental lemma

In this chapter we give the statement of the twisted weighted fundamental lemma from [Art02a]. As remarked there it is stated in such a way that it includes the statement of the weighted fundamental lemma found in [Art02b, Section 5].

3.1 The twisted weighted fundamental lemma

Let $G^0$ be a connected reductive algebraic group defined over $F$, a local field of characteristic zero. We let $\alpha$ be a quasi-semisimple automorphism of $G^0$. We form the semidirect product $G^+ = G^0 \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$ and we take $G$ to be the connected component $G^0 \rtimes \alpha$ of $G^+$. We assume that $G^0$ is unramified over $F$. We fix a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup $K$ of $G^0(F)$. Let $\hat{G}^0$ denote the dual group of $G^0$ and let $\hat{\alpha}$ denote the automorphism of $\hat{G}^0$ dual to $\alpha$.

Let $M = M^0 \rtimes \alpha$ be a Levi subset as defined in [Art88b, Section 1]. Equivalently $M^0$ is a Levi component of a parabolic subgroup $P^0$ (defined over $F$) of $G^0$ such that both $M^0$ and $P^0$ are stable under $\alpha$. We let $\hat{M}^0$ denote the dual group of $M^0$. Suppose now that $M'$ represents an unramified, elliptic, twisted endoscopic datum

$$(M', \mathcal{M}', s_M', \xi_M')$$

for $M^0$; see [KS99, Section 2.1]. Here $s_M'$ is a semisimple element in $\hat{M} = \hat{M}^0 \rtimes \hat{\alpha} \subset \hat{G}^0 \rtimes \hat{\alpha}$. We suppose that $\mathcal{M}'$ is an $L$-subgroup of $LM^0 = \hat{M}^0 \rtimes W_F$ and that $\xi_{LM}$ is the inclusion of $\mathcal{M}'$ in $LM^0$. Let $Z(\hat{M}) = Z(\hat{M}^0)^{\hat{\alpha}}$ denote the centralizer of $\hat{M}$ in $\hat{M}^0$. We define $E_{LM'}(G)$ to be the set of twisted endoscopic data for $G^0$ of the form

$$(G', G', s', \xi'),$$

where $s' \in s_M'Z(\hat{M})^\Gamma$, $\hat{G}'$ is the connected centralizer of $s'$ in $\hat{G}$ and $\xi$ is the identity embedding of
\(G' = M' \hat{G}'\) into \(L G^0\). The elements of \(E_{M'}(G)\) are taken up to translation of \(s'\) by \(Z(\hat{G})^F\).

We now set

\[
\iota_{M'}(G, G') = \frac{|Z(\hat{M})^F/Z(\hat{M})^G|}{|Z(\hat{G'})^F/Z(\hat{G})^G|},
\]

and

\[
r^G_M(k) = J_M(k, u),
\]

where \(k \in M(F)\) is strongly \(G^0\)-regular, and \(u = u_K\) is the stabilizer in \(G(F)\) of the unit in the Hecke algebra of \(G^0(F)\).

**Conjecture 3.1.** (The twisted weighted fundamental lemma) Let \(\ell'\) be a strongly \(G^0\)-regular, stable conjugacy class in \(M'(F)\). Then

\[
\sum_{k \in \Gamma_{G \rightarrow M}(F)} \Delta_{M,K}(\ell', k)r^G_M(k) = \sum_{G' \in E_{M'}(G)} \iota_{M'}(G, G')s^{G'}_{M'}(\ell'),
\]

where \(s^{G'}_{M'}(\ell')\) is the function defined uniquely for the unramified connected pair \((G', M')\) in \([\text{Art02b, Section 5}]\) and \(\Delta_{M,K}\) is the twisted transfer factor for \(M^0\), normalized relative to the hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup \(K \cap M^0(F)\) of \(M^0(F)\).

The transfer factor \(\Delta\) is defined as the product of the terms \(\Delta_1, \Delta_{11}\) and \(\Delta_{111}\) from \([\text{KS99, Chapter 4}]\). We also remark that as in \([\text{Art99, (3.2)}]\), the coefficients \(\iota_{M'}(G, G')\) are zero unless \(G'\) is elliptic.

The function \(s^{G'}_{M'}(\ell')\) is inductively defined by

\[
s^{G'}_{M'}(\ell') = \sum_{\ell'_1} r^{G'}_{M'}(\ell'_1) - \sum_{G'_1} \iota_{M'}(G', G'_1)s_{M'}^{G'_1}(\ell'),
\]

where the first sum is over representatives \(\ell'_1\) for the conjugacy classes in \(M'(F)\) within the stable conjugacy class \(\ell'\) and the second sum is over \(G'_1 \in E_{M'}(G')\) with \(G'_1 \neq G'\).

### 3.2 Our case

We now describe the situation we are considering in this thesis. We take \(G^0 = \text{GL}(4) \times \text{GL}(1)\) and we take \(\alpha\) to be the automorphism of \(G^0\) given by

\[
\alpha : (g, e) \mapsto (J^t g^{-1} J^{-1}, e \det g),
\]
where $J$ is the matrix

$$J = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 & -1 & -1 \\ 1 & -1 & 1 & 1 \\ -1 & 1 & -1 & 1 \\ -1 & -1 & -1 & -1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

We have $\hat{G}^0 = \text{GL}(4, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(1, \mathbb{C})$ and the automorphism $\hat{\alpha}$ is given by

$$\hat{\alpha} : (h, t) \mapsto (tJ^t h^{-1} J^{-1}, t).$$

When one takes $M^0 = G^0$ in the statement of the twisted weighted fundamental lemma one recovers the statement of the twisted fundamental lemma proven in [Fli99]. Therefore we consider only proper parabolic subgroups of $G^0$. The proper standard parabolics $P^0$ of $G^0$, which are stable under $\alpha$ are those whose projection onto $\text{GL}(4)$ are of the form

$$\begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \\ * & * & * & * \end{pmatrix}. $$

We take $M^0$ to be the Levi component in each of these parabolic subgroups that contain the diagonal torus in $G^0$. We refer to these Levi subgroups as the (2,2) Levi, the (1,2,1) Levi and the diagonal Levi.

The integrals $r^G_M(\gamma \alpha)$ depend on the choice of a measure on $G_{\gamma \alpha}$, there is a similar such dependence in the definition of $s^G_{M'}(\ell')$. Within a stable conjugacy class these measures are chosen so that stable conjugacy is measure preserving. Having done this, if we are now given $\gamma \alpha \in M(F)$ and $\gamma' \in M'(F)$, such that $\Delta(\gamma', \gamma) \neq 0$, we normalize the measures on $M_{\gamma \alpha}$ and $M_{\gamma'}$ such that under this normalization the (unweighted) twisted fundamental lemma holds for the pair $(M, M')$.

For the proof of the twisted weighted fundamental lemma for $(\text{GL}(4) \times \text{GL}(1), \alpha)$ we assume that the residual characteristic of $F$ is odd.
Chapter 4

Endoscopic groups

Throughout this chapter we adopt the notation of Section 3.2. We now determine the unramified elliptic twisted endoscopic groups $M'$ for each of the Levi subgroups $M^0$ of $G^0$ given in Section 3.2. We refer to [KS99, Section 2.1] for the definition of twisted endoscopic groups. For each such endoscopic group $M'$ we also compute the set of elliptic twisted endoscopic groups for $G^0$ in $\mathcal{E}_{M'}(G)$, which contain $M'$ as a Levi subgroup; and for each group $G'$ in $\mathcal{E}_{M'}(G)$ we compute the coefficient $\iota_{M'}(G, G')$.

The elliptic twisted endoscopic groups for $G^0$ itself are computed in [Fli99, Section I.F]; these results are recalled in Section 4.1. We use these results below in computing the sets $\mathcal{E}_{M'}(G)$ and the norm maps from $M$ to $M'$.

4.1 Twisted endoscopic groups for $\text{GL}(4) \times \text{GL}(1)$

In this section we recall results from [Fli99, Section I.F] on the twisted endoscopic groups for $G^0$. First we note that given $s\hat{\alpha} \in \hat{G}$, assumed semisimple, the twisted centralizer $Z_{\hat{G}^0}(s\hat{\alpha})$ depends only on the component of $s$ lying in $\text{GL}(4, \mathbb{C})$. Moreover, after twisted conjugation, we can assume that we have

$$s = (\text{diag}(1, 1, c, d), 1).$$

Furthermore the $\hat{\alpha}$-conjugacy class of $s$ does not change if $c$ is replaced by $c^{-1}$, $d$ by $d^{-1}$ and $(c, d)$ by $(d, c)$. We recall that a twisted endoscopic group $H$ is called elliptic if $(Z(H)^0)^0$ is contained in $Z(\hat{G}^0)$. The elliptic twisted endoscopic groups of $G^0$ are given below.

1. $c = d = 1$: The twisted centralizer of $s$ is isomorphic to $\text{GSp}(4, \mathbb{C})$ and we get $\text{GSp}(4)$ as a twisted endoscopic group.

2. $c = d = -1$: The connected component of the twisted centralizer of $s$ is isomorphic to $\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C})^2/\mathbb{C}^\times$ with $\mathbb{C}^\times$ embedded via $z \mapsto (z, z^{-1})$. If we have a trivial Galois action then we obtain $\text{(GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'$, where the prime denotes the subgroup of pairs $(A, B)$ with
\[ \det A = \det B, \] as a twisted endoscopic group. We can also have a non-trivial Galois action with \( \Gamma \) acting through a quadratic extension \( E/F \) in which case we obtain \( \text{Res}_{E/F} \text{GL}(2)' \), with the prime here denoting determinant in \( F^\times \), as a twisted endoscopic group.

3. \( c = 1, \ d = -1 \): The connected component of the twisted centralizer of \( s \) is isomorphic to \( (\text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(1, \mathbb{C}))^2)' \) with the prime denoting the subgroup of triples \( (A, a, b) \) with \( \det A = ab \). In this case we only obtain elliptic endoscopic datum if \( \Gamma \) acts through a quadratic extension \( E/F \); in which case we obtain \( (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{Res}_{E/F} \text{GL}(1)))/\text{GL}(1) \), with \( \text{GL}(1) \) embedded as \( (z, z^{-1}) \), as a twisted endoscopic group.

As noted in [Fli99, Section I.F] none of these groups, with the exception of \( \text{GSp}(4) \) (see 4.5), have proper elliptic endoscopic groups. Let \( H \) be a twisted endoscopic group for \( G^0 \) and let \( T_{\hat{H}} \) denote the diagonal torus in \( H \). Let \( T \) denote the diagonal torus in \( G^0 \). The norm maps \( N : T \to T_{\hat{H}} \) are given below.

1. \( H = \text{GSp}(4) \): \( N : (\text{diag}(x, y, z, t), w) \alpha \mapsto \text{diag}(xyw, xzw, tyw, ztw) \)

2. \( H = (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))' \): \( N : (\text{diag}(x, y, z, t), w) \alpha \mapsto (\text{diag}(xyw, ztw), \text{diag}(xzw, ytw)) \)

3. \( H = \text{Res}_{E/F} \text{GL}(2)' \): \( N : (\text{diag}(x, y, z, t), w) \alpha \mapsto (\text{diag}(xyw, ztw), \text{diag}(xzw, ytw)) \)

4. \( H = (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{Res}_{E/F} \text{GL}(1))/\text{GL}(1) \): \( N : (\text{diag}(x, y, z, t), w) \alpha \mapsto (\text{diag}(xw, tw), y, z) \).

### 4.2 Twisted endoscopic groups for the (2,2) Levi

In this section we take \( M^0 \) to be the (2,2) Levi in \( G^0 \). We have \( \widehat{M^0} = \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(1, \mathbb{C}) \), which sits inside \( \widehat{G^0} \) as the (2,2) Levi. The restriction of \( \hat{\alpha} \) to \( \widehat{M^0} \) is given by

\[
(A, B, t) \mapsto (tw^tB^{-1}w, tw^tA^{-1}w, t),
\]

where

\[
w = \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

**Lemma 4.1.** The only elliptic twisted endoscopic group for \( M^0 \) is \( \text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(1) \).

**Proof.** Let \( s \in \widehat{M^0} \) be such that \( s \hat{\alpha} \) is semisimple. We may assume that \( s \) is diagonal, and after twisted conjugacy in \( \widehat{M^0} \) we can assume that it is of the form

\[
s = (\text{diag}(1, 1, \lambda_1, \lambda_2), s_2).
\]
We now compute $Z_{\hat{M}^0}(s\hat{a})$. We see that $(A, B, t) \in Z_{\hat{M}^0}(s\hat{a})$ if and only if we have

$$Aw^tBw = \begin{pmatrix} t \\ t \end{pmatrix}$$

and

$$B \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} w^tAw = \begin{pmatrix} t\lambda_1 \\ t\lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

Which is if and only if we have $A = tw^tB^{-1}w$ and

$$B \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} B^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \lambda_1 \\ \lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$

So if $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ then we have

$$Z_{\hat{M}^0}(s\hat{a}) = \left\{ (A, tw^tA^{-1}w, t) \in \hat{M}^0 : A \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}), t \in \mathbb{C}^\times \right\},$$

while if $\lambda_1 \neq \lambda_2$ then we have

$$Z_{\hat{M}^0}(s\hat{a}) = \left\{ \left( \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} ty^{-1} \\ tx^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, t \right) \in \hat{M}^0 : x, y, t \in \mathbb{C}^\times \right\}.$$

Both of these centralizers are connected hence we can only have a trivial Galois action. Therefore only when we have $\lambda_1 = \lambda_2$ do we get elliptic twisted endoscopic data for $M^0$. In this case we have $Z_{\hat{M}^0}(s\hat{a}) \cong \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(1, \mathbb{C})$ and hence we get $\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(1)$ as a twisted endoscopic group for $M^0$. \qed

We now compute $E_{M'}(G)$.

**Lemma 4.2.** Let $M'$ represent the elliptic twisted endoscopic datum for $M^0$. Then the elliptic twisted endoscopic groups for $G^0$ in $E_{M'}(G)$ are $\text{GSp}(4)$ and $(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'$, the prime denoting the subgroup of pairs $(A, B)$ with $\det A = \det B$. Each group occurs with multiplicity one.

**Proof.** We may as well take $s = (I, I, 1) \in \hat{M}^0$ which gives rise to $M' = \text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(1)$. We need to look at the translations of $s\hat{a}$ by elements in $Z(\hat{M})$ taken modulo $Z(\hat{G})$. We have

$$Z(\hat{M}) = \{ (\text{diag}(a, a, b, b), ab) \}$$

and

$$Z(\hat{G}) = \{ (\text{diag}(a, a, a, a^2)) \}.$$
Thus we need to look for elliptic endoscopic datum for $G^0$ arising from elements of the form $(\text{diag}(1, 1, \lambda, \lambda), \lambda)\hat{\alpha} \in \hat{G}$. So we get endoscopic datum only when we have $\lambda = \pm 1$ and we must have a trivial Galois action in both cases.

We note that $\hat{M}'$ sits inside $\text{GSp}(4, \mathbb{C})$ as the Siegel Levi, hence we have $M' = \text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(1)$ sitting inside $\text{GSp}(4)$ as the Klingen Levi. We have $M'$ sitting inside $(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'$ as $(T \times \text{GL}(2))'$ where $T$ is the diagonal torus in $\text{GL}(2)$ and the prime again denotes the subgroup of pairs with equal determinant. The coefficients $\iota_{M'}(G, G')$ are equal to 1 for $G'$ equal to $\text{GSp}(4)$ and $(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'$.

### 4.3 Twisted endoscopic groups for the $(1,2,1)$ Levi

In this section we take $M^0$ to be the $(1,2,1)$ Levi in $G^0$. We have

$$\hat{M}^0 = \text{GL}(1, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(1, \mathbb{C}) \times \text{GL}(1, \mathbb{C}),$$

which sits inside $\hat{G}^0$ as the $(1,2,1)$ Levi. The restriction of $\hat{\alpha}$ to $\hat{M}^0$ is given by

$$(a, g, b, t) \mapsto (tb^{-1}, t(det g)^{-1}g, ta^{-1}, t).$$

**Lemma 4.3.** The unramified elliptic twisted endoscopic groups for $M^0$ are $\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(1)$ and $\text{GL}(1) \times \text{Res}_{E/F} \text{GL}(1)$, where $E/F$ is the unramified quadratic extension.

**Proof.** After twisted conjugacy in $\hat{M}^0$ we can assume that we have

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ \lambda_1 & \lambda_2 & s_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Then $(a, g, b, t) \in Z_{\hat{M}^0}(s\hat{\alpha})$ if and only if

$$\begin{pmatrix} ab, g & \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ \lambda_1 \end{array} \right)(\det g)^{-1}ab\lambda_2 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} t & \left( \begin{array}{c} t \\ t\lambda_1 \end{array} \right) \\ t\lambda_2 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

Hence we need $ab = t$ and

$$g \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ \lambda_1 \end{pmatrix} g^{-1} = \begin{pmatrix} \det g^{-1}t \\ \det g^{-1}t\lambda_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
Therefore if $\lambda_1 = 1$ then we have $g$ is any element of $GL(2, \mathbb{C})$, while if $\lambda_1 \neq 1$ then

$$g \in \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix}, \begin{pmatrix} x & y \\ z & w \end{pmatrix} \right\}. $$

Thus we see that if $\lambda_1 = 1$ then

$$Z_{\hat{M}_0}(s\hat{a}) = \left\{ (a, g, a^{-1} \det g, \det g) : g \in GL(2, \mathbb{C}), a \in \mathbb{C}^\times \right\},$$

while if $\lambda_1 = -1$ then

$$Z_{\hat{M}_0}(s\hat{a}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, a^{-1} xy, xy \end{pmatrix} \right\} \cup \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, a^{-1} xy, xy \end{pmatrix} \right\},$$

and if $\lambda_1 \neq \pm 1$ then

$$Z_{\hat{M}_0}(s\hat{a}) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, a^{-1} xy, xy \end{pmatrix} \right\}. $$

When $\lambda_1 = 1$ we have a connected centralizer and hence we have a trivial Galois action. In this case we have elliptic endoscopic data and we get $GL(2) \times GL(1)$ as a twisted endoscopic group for $M^0$.

When $\lambda_1 = -1$ to get elliptic endoscopic datum we need to have a non-trivial Galois action acting through a quadratic extension by

$$\begin{pmatrix} a, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, a^{-1} xy, xy \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \begin{pmatrix} a, \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix}, a^{-1} xy, xy \end{pmatrix}. $$

In order for our endoscopic data to be unramified we need this quadratic extension to be unramified. In this case we get $GL(1) \times \text{Res}_{E/F} GL(1)$ as a twisted endoscopic group for $M^0$.

Finally, when $\lambda_1 \neq \pm 1$ the data is never elliptic.

We now compute $\mathcal{E}_{M'}(G)$ for $M' = GL(2) \times GL(1)$.

**Lemma 4.4.** Let $M' = GL(2) \times GL(1)$. Then the only elliptic twisted endoscopic group for $G^0$ in $\mathcal{E}_{M'}(G)$ is $GSp(4)$ with multiplicity two.

**Proof.** Recall that $M'$ is given by the element $s\hat{a} = (\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, \lambda_2), s_2)\hat{a} \in \hat{M}$. We have

$$Z(\hat{M}) = \{(\text{diag}(a, c, c, a^{-1} c^2), c^2)\}$$

and so we need to look for elliptic twisted endoscopic groups for $G^0$ given by translating $s\hat{a}$ by
elements of the form \((\text{diag}(1, \lambda, \lambda, \lambda^2), \lambda^2) \in \hat{G}^0\). Thus we need to look at elements of the form \((\text{diag}(1, \lambda, \lambda^2, \lambda^2), \lambda^2) \hat{\alpha} \in \hat{G}\). After twisted conjugacy we can look at the elements of the form \((\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, \lambda^2), s_2) \hat{\alpha}\). Since we must have a trivial Galois action we get elliptic endoscopic data if and only if \(\lambda^2 = \lambda^{-1}\); in which case we get \(G\text{Sp}(4)\).}

We have \(\hat{M}'\) sitting inside \(G\text{Sp}(4, \mathbb{C})\) as the Klingen Levi and so we get \(M' = GL(2) \times GL(1)\) sitting inside \(G\text{Sp}(4)\) as the Siegel Levi. We also have \(\iota_{M'}(G, G\text{Sp}(4)) = 1\).

**Lemma 4.5.** Let \(M' = GL(1) \times \text{Res}_{E/F} GL(1)\). Then the elliptic twisted endoscopic groups for \(G^0\) in \(\mathcal{E}_{M'}(G)\) consists of \((GL(2) \times \text{Res}_{E/F} GL(1))/GL(1)\) and \(\text{Res}_{E/F} GL(2)'/\text{Res}_{E/F} GL(2)'\). Each group appears with multiplicity two.

**Proof.** Recall that \(M'\) is given by the element \((\text{diag}(1, 1, -1, \lambda^2), s_2) \hat{\alpha} \in \hat{M}\). We need to look for elliptic twisted endoscopic groups for \(G^0\) given by translating \(s \hat{\alpha}\) by elements of the form \((\text{diag}(1, \lambda, \lambda, \lambda^2), \lambda^2)\).

Thus we need to look at elements of the form \((\text{diag}(1, \lambda, -\lambda, \lambda^2), \lambda^2 s_2) \hat{\alpha} \in \hat{G}\). After conjugacy we can look at the elements \((\text{diag}(1, 1, -1, \lambda^2), s_2) \hat{\alpha} \in \hat{G}\). Thus we get elliptic data if \(\lambda^2 = \pm \lambda^2\). When \(\lambda^2 = \lambda^{-1}\) we get \((GL(2) \times \text{Res}_{E/F} GL(1))/GL(1)\), while if \(\lambda^2 = -\lambda^2\) we get \(\text{Res}_{E/F} GL(2)'\).

In this case we have \(M'\) sitting inside each group in \(\mathcal{E}_{M'}(G)\) as the diagonal torus. And we have \(\iota_{M'}(G, G') = 1\) for both \(G' = (GL(2) \times \text{Res}_{E/F} GL(1))/GL(1)\) and \(G' = \text{Res}_{E/F} GL(2)'\).

### 4.4 Twisted endoscopic groups for the diagonal Levi

We now take \(M^0\) to be the diagonal torus in \(G^0\). We have \(\hat{M}^0 = GL(1, \mathbb{C})^5\), which sits inside \(\hat{G}^0\) as the diagonal torus.

**Lemma 4.6.** The unramified elliptic twisted endoscopic group for \(M^0\) is \(GL(1)^3\).

**Proof.** Since \(\hat{M}^0\) is abelian we see that for any \(s \in \hat{M}^0\) we have

\[
Z_{\hat{M}^0}(s \hat{\alpha}) = \{(x, y, z, w, t) \in \hat{M}^0 : xw = yz = t\}.
\]

Hence we have \(Z_{\hat{M}^0}(s \hat{\alpha}) \cong (\mathbb{C}^\times)^3\) and we get \(GL(1)^3\) as the only twisted endoscopic group for \(M^0\). Furthermore, it is both elliptic and unramified.

We now compute \(\mathcal{E}_{M'}(G)\).
Lemma 4.7. Let $M' = GL(1)^3$. Then the elliptic twisted endoscopic groups for $G^0$ in $\mathcal{E}_{M'}(G)$ are $GSp(4)$ and $(GL(2) \times GL(2))'$; each group appears with multiplicity two.

Proof. We have
\[ Z(\hat{M}) = \{(\text{diag}(x, y, ty^{-1}, tx^{-1}), t) \}. \]
Thus we need to look for the elliptic twisted endoscopic groups for $G^0$ given by elements of the form
\[ (\text{diag}(1, y^{-1}, yw, w), \hat{\alpha}) \in \hat{G}. \]
We can conjugate such an element to $(\text{diag}(1, 1, 1, 1), \hat{\alpha})$. Since we must have a trivial Galois action we get elliptic data when we have $w = 1$ and $y^2 = 1$, in which case we get $GSp(4)$, or when we have $w = -1$ and $y^2 = 1$, in which case we get $(GL(2) \times GL(2))'$.

For $G'$ equal to both $GSp(4)$ and $(GL(2) \times GL(2))'$ we have $M'$ sitting inside as the diagonal torus and we have $\iota_{M'}(G, G') = 1$.

4.5 Endoscopic groups for $GSp(4)$

We will also need to know the endoscopic groups for $GSp(4)$. There is only one proper elliptic endoscopic group for $GSp(4)$ namely $(GL(2) \times GL(2))/GL(1)$ with $GL(1)$ embedded as $a \mapsto (a, a^{-1})$, see [Fli99, Section 1.F]. It is given by the element $\text{diag}(1, -1, -1, 1) \in GSp(4, \mathbb{C})$. The norm map is given by
\[ \text{diag}(a, b, cb^{-1}, ca^{-1}) \mapsto (\text{diag}(1, (ab)^{-1}c), \text{diag}(a, b)). \]

For each proper Levi subgroup $M$ of $GSp(4)$ we also need to compute the elliptic endoscopic groups for $GSp(4)$ in $\mathcal{E}_M(GSp(4))$. Since we are taking $M$ as an endoscopic group for itself the elements of $\mathcal{E}_M(GSp(4))$ are given by elements $s \in Z(\hat{M})$ taken modulo translation by $Z(GSp(4, \mathbb{C}))$, which equals $\{\text{diag}(x, x, x, x)\}$.

Lemma 4.8. Let $M$ be the Siegel Levi in $GSp(4)$. Then the elliptic endoscopic groups in $\mathcal{E}_M(GSp(4))$ are $GSp(4)$ and $(GL(2) \times GL(2))/GL(1)$ each with multiplicity one.

Proof. We have $\hat{M}$ sitting inside $GSp(4, \mathbb{C})$ as the Klingen Levi. So we have
\[ Z(\hat{M}) = \{\text{diag}(x, y, y, x^{-1}y^2)\}. \]
And we get that the elliptic endoscopic groups in $\mathcal{E}_M(GSp(4))$ are $GSp(4)$ and $(GL(2) \times GL(2))/GL(1)$ each with multiplicity one.
We have $M$ sitting inside $(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1)$ as $(T \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1)$ where $T$ is the diagonal torus in $\text{GL}(2)$. And we have $\iota_M(\text{GSp}(4), (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1)) = \frac{1}{2}$.

**Lemma 4.9.** Let $M$ be the Klingen Levi in $\text{GSp}(4)$. Then the only elliptic endoscopic group in $\mathcal{E}_M(\text{GSp}(4))$ is $\text{GSp}(4)$ with multiplicity one.

**Proof.** We have $\hat{M}$ sitting inside $\text{GSp}(4, \mathbb{C})$ as the Siegel Levi. So we have

$$Z(\hat{M}) = \{\text{diag}(x, x, y)\}.$$ The only elliptic endoscopic group given by such an element is $\text{GSp}(4)$ itself which we obtain when $x = y = 1$. \hfill \Box

**Lemma 4.10.** Let $M$ be the diagonal Levi in $\text{GSp}(4)$. Then the elliptic endoscopic groups in $\mathcal{E}_M(\text{GSp}(4))$ are $\text{GSp}(4)$ and $(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1)$, each with multiplicity one.

**Proof.** We have $\hat{M}$ sitting inside $\text{GSp}(4, \mathbb{C})$ as the diagonal torus. So we have

$$Z(\hat{M}) = \{\text{diag}(x, y, y^{-1} z, x^{-1} z)\},$$

and we get that the elliptic endoscopic groups in $\mathcal{E}_M(\text{GSp}(4))$ are $\text{GSp}(4)$ and $(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1)$, each with multiplicity one. \hfill \Box

We have $M$ sitting inside $(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1)$ as the diagonal torus and we have

$$\iota_M(\text{GSp}(4), (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1)) = \frac{1}{2}.$$
Chapter 5

Weight functions

In this chapter we compute all the weight functions needed in the proof of the fundamental lemma.

5.1 Notations and definitions

We recall the necessary notations and definitions from [Art88b, Section 1] needed to define the weight functions.

Let $G^0$ be a connected reductive algebraic group over $F$. Let $\alpha$ be a quasi-semisimple automorphism of $G^0$ defined over $F$. We form the semidirect product $G^+ = G^0 \rtimes \langle \alpha \rangle$ and take $G$ to be the connected component $G^0 \rtimes \alpha \subset G^+$.

A parabolic subgroup of $G^+$ is the normalizer in $G^+$ of a parabolic subgroup of $G^0$. A parabolic subset of $G$ is by definition a non-empty set of the form $P^+ \cap G$ where $P^+$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G^+$ defined over $F$. Let $P = P^+ \cap G$ be a parabolic subset of $G$, a Levi component of $P$ will be a set $M = M^+ \cap P$ where $M^+$ is the normalizer in $G^+$ of some Levi component of $P^0 = G^0 \cap P$ which is defined over $F$. We call such an $M$ a Levi subset of $G$. We denote by $N_P$ the unipotent radical of $P^0$ in $G^0$.

Let $M$ be a Levi subset of $G$. We define $\mathcal{F}(M)$ to be the collection of parabolic subsets of $G$ which contain $M$, and let $\mathcal{L}(M)$ denote the collection of Levi subsets of $G$ which contain $M$. Any $P \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ has a unique Levi component $M_P$ in $\mathcal{L}(M)$. We write $\mathcal{P}(M)$ for the set of $P \in \mathcal{F}(M)$ with $M_P = M$. Let $A_M$ denote the split component of the centralizer of $M$ in $M^0 = M^+ \cap G^0$. Let $X(M)_F$ denote the group of characters of $M^+$ defined over $F$ and set

$$a_M = \text{Hom}(X(M)_F, \mathbb{R}),$$

a real vector space of dimension equal to the dimension of $A_M$. Since $A_M \subset A_{M^0}$ we get a canonical embedding $a_M \hookrightarrow a_{M^0}$. We fix a Weyl invariant Euclidean metric on a maximal such space, the restriction of this metric provides a Euclidean metric on any subspace.
By restriction we have a canonical identification

\[ a_M = \text{Hom}(X(A_M), \mathbb{R}). \]

We set \( a_M^* = X(M)_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = X(A_M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \).

We now fix a Levi subset \( M \) of \( G \). Let \( P \in \mathcal{F}(M) \), then we write \( A_P = A_{M_P} \) and \( a_P = a_{M_P} \). Let \( \Delta_P \subset a_P^* \) denote the simple roots of \((P, A_P)\). Let \( Q \) be a parabolic subset of \( G \) containing \( P \). Then we have \( A_Q \subset A_P \) and by restriction we have a map \( X(A_P) \to X(A_Q) \), which yields a surjection \( a_P^* \to a_Q^* \) and hence an embedding \( a_Q \to a_P \). On the other hand, since \( P \subset Q \) we have by restriction an injection \( a_Q^* = X(M_Q)_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \to X(M_P)_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} = a_P^* \). This gives rise to canonical splittings

\[ a_P = a_Q \oplus a_P^Q \]

and

\[ a_P^* = a_Q^* \oplus (a_P^Q)^*. \]

We also have the set of “coroots”

\[ \{ \beta_0^\vee \in a_{P_0}^G : \beta_0 \in \Delta_{P_0} \}, \]

where \( P_0^0 = P^+ \cap G^0 \). We recall the definition of these coroots from [Art78, Section 1]. Let \( P_0 \) be a minimal parabolic subgroup of \( G^0 \) contained in \( P^0 \). Each \( \beta_0 \in \Delta_{P_0} \) is the restriction to \( a_{P_0}^G \) of a unique root \( \beta_1 \in \Delta_{P_0} \). We then define \( \beta_0^\vee \) to be the projection onto \( a_{P_0}^G \) of \( \beta_1^\vee \in a_{P_0}^G \).

We have a natural inclusion \( a_P \subset a_{P_0} \) and so for each \( \beta \in \Delta_P \) we can define

\[ \beta^\vee = \sum_{\beta_0} \beta_0^\vee, \]

where the sum if over those \( \beta_0 \in \Delta_{P_0} \) which equal \( \beta \) when restricted to \( a_P \). We define \( \Delta_P^\vee \subset a_P^G \) to be the set of such \( \beta^\vee \) and note that \( \Delta_P^\vee \) is a basis of \( a_P^G \). Let \( a_{P, C} = a_P \otimes_{\mathbb{R}} C \), then for \( \lambda \in a_{P, C} \) we define

\[ \theta_P(\lambda) = \text{vol}(a_{P}^G / \mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee))^{-1} \prod_{\beta \in \Delta_P} \lambda(\beta^\vee). \]

We have a homomorphism

\[ H_M : M^+(F) \to a_M \]
defined by

\[ \langle H_M(m), \chi \rangle = \log |\chi(m)| \]

for \( \chi \in X(M)_F \). Let \( P \in \mathcal{P}(M) \) then using the Iwasawa decomposition

\[ G^+(F) = N_P(F)M^+(F)K \]

we can extend \( H_M \) to a map

\[ H_P : G^+(F) \to \mathfrak{a}_M \]

by taking \( H_P \) to be zero on \( N_P(F) \) and \( K \). Then we set

\[ v_P(\lambda, x) = \exp(-\lambda(H_P(x))) \]

for \( \lambda \in \mathfrak{a}_{P_C}^* \) and \( x \in G^+(F) \). We now set

\[ v_M(\lambda, x) = \sum_{P \in \mathcal{P}(M)} v_P(\lambda, x)\theta_P(\lambda)^{-1}. \]

By [Art81, Lemma 6.2] for each \( x \in G^+(F) \) this function extends to a smooth function on \( i\mathfrak{a}_M^* \). We define \( v_M(x) \) to be the value of the function \( v_M(\lambda, x) \) at \( \lambda = 0 \). We note that \( v_M(mxk) = v_M(x) \) for all \( m \in M^+(F) \) and \( k \in K \).

### 5.2 Twisted weight functions

In this section we adopt the notation of Section 3.2 and compute the weight functions for the relevant Levi subgroups of \( G^0 = \text{GL}(4) \times \text{GL}(1) \). We will use the following basic fact in computing the weight functions below.

**Lemma 5.1.** For \( v = (v_1, \ldots, v_n) \in F^n \) define \( |v| = \max\{|v_1|, \ldots, |v_n|\} \). Then for all \( k \in \text{GL}(n, R) \) and \( v \in F^n \) we have \( |vk| = |v| \).

**Proof.** We clearly have \( |vk| \leq |v| \) and replacing \( v \) by \( vk^{-1} \) yields the result.

#### 5.2.1 The (2,2) Levi

In this section we take \( M^0 \) to be the (2,2) Levi in \( G^0 \). We have \( M = M^0 \rtimes \alpha \). Let \( P^0 \) (resp. \( Q^0 \)) be the upper (resp. lower) block triangular parabolic in \( G^0 \) with \( M^0 \) as its Levi component. We have
$M = M^0 \times \alpha$ and if we set $P = P^0 \times \alpha$ and $Q = Q^0 \times \alpha$ then we have $\mathcal{P}(M) = \{P, Q\}$. We let $N_P$ (resp. $N_Q$) denote the unipotent radical of $P^0$ (resp. $Q^0$). Let $x \in G^0(F)$ and write

$$x = n_P m_P k_P = n_Q m_Q k_Q$$

with obvious notation. We write $m_P = (A_P, B_P, c_P) \in \text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(1)$ and similarly we write $m_Q = (A_Q, B_Q, c_Q)$.

**Lemma 5.2.** With notation as above we have

$$v_M(x) = \text{vol} \left( a_{P_M}/Z(\Delta_{P_M}) \right) \left( \log |\det A_Q| - \log |\det A_P| \right).$$

**Proof.** For $(A, B, c) \in M^0$ we have

$$\alpha : (A, B, c) \mapsto (w^t B^{-1} w, w^t A^{-1} w, c \det AB),$$

and hence

$$A_M = \{ a = (\text{diag}(a_1, a_1), \text{diag}(a_1^{-1}, a_1^{-1}), a_2) \}.$$ We fix the basis $\{ \chi_1, \chi_2 \}$ of $X(A_M)$ given by $\chi_i : a \mapsto a_i$. We have

$$A_{M^0} = \{ b = (\text{diag}(b_1, b_1), \text{diag}(b_2, b_2), b_3) \}$$

and we fix the basis $\{ \varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3 \}$ of $X(A_{M^0})$ given by $\varphi_i : b \mapsto b_i$. We have $\Delta_{P^0} = \{ \varphi_1 - \varphi_2 \}$.

We now compute $(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)^\vee$. Let $\delta_{\varphi_1}, \delta_{\varphi_2}, \delta_{\varphi_3}$ denote the basis of $a_{M^0}^*$ given by $\delta_{\varphi_i}(\varphi_j) = \delta_{ij}$, the Kronecker delta symbol.

To determine $(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)^\vee$ we may as well work inside $\text{GL}(4)$. We set $P_0$ equal to the upper triangular Borel subgroup of $\text{GL}(4)$ and we take $M_0$ to be the diagonal torus in $P_0$. We have

$$A_{M_0} = M_0 = \{ c = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4) \}$$

and we fix the basis $\{ \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4 \}$ of $X(M_0)$ given by $\beta_i : c \mapsto c_i$. We define $\delta_{\beta_i} \in a_{M_0}$ similarly.

We now describe the splittings $a_{P_0}^* = a_{P^0}^* \oplus (a_{P_0}^{P_0})^*$ and $a_{P_0} = a_{P^0} \oplus a_{P_0}^{P_0}$. The map $X(A_{P^0}) \to X(M_0)$ is given by

$$\beta_1 \mapsto \varphi_1 \quad \beta_2 \mapsto \varphi_1 \quad \beta_3 \mapsto \varphi_2 \quad \beta_4 \mapsto \varphi_2$$
and the map \( a_{P_{0}} \to a_{P_{0}} \) is given by

\[
\varphi_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 + \beta_2) \quad \varphi_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(\beta_3 + \beta_4).
\]

Thus we have

\[
a_{P_{0}} = a_{P_{0}} \oplus a_{P_{0}}^* = \text{Span}\{\delta_{\beta_1} + \delta_{\beta_2}, \delta_{\beta_3} + \delta_{\beta_4}\} \oplus \text{Span}\{\delta_{\beta_1} - \delta_{\beta_2}, \delta_{\beta_3} - \delta_{\beta_4}\}
\]

and

\[
a_{P_{0}}^* = a_{P_{0}}^* \oplus (a_{P_{0}}^*)^* = \text{Span}\{\beta_1 + \beta_2, \beta_3 + \beta_4\} \oplus \text{Span}\{\beta_1 - \beta_2, \beta_3 - \beta_4\}.
\]

Therefore we have

\[
\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 = \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 + \beta_2) - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_3 + \beta_4) = \beta_2 - \beta_3 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_1 - \beta_2) + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_3 - \beta_4)
\]

equal to the projection of \( \beta_2 - \beta_3 \) onto \( a_{P_{0}}^* \). Now \((\beta_2 - \beta_3)^\vee = \delta_{\beta_2} - \delta_{\beta_3}\) whose projection onto \( a_{P_{0}} \) is

\[
\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{\beta_1} + \delta_{\beta_2}) - \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{\beta_3} + \delta_{\beta_4}).
\]

Hence we have \((\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)^\vee = \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{\varphi_1} - \delta_{\varphi_2})\).

The map \( X(A_{M'}) \to X(A_M) \) is given by

\[
\varphi_1 \mapsto \chi_1 \quad \varphi_2 \mapsto -\chi_1 \quad \varphi_3 \mapsto \chi_2.
\]

We have \( \Delta_P = \{2\chi_1\} \), \( \Delta_Q = \{-2\chi_1\} \) and

\[
(2\chi_1)^\vee : \chi_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \quad \chi_2 \mapsto 0.
\]

Hence for \( \lambda = a_1\chi_1 + a_2\chi_2 \in a_{M,C}^* \) we have

\[
\theta_P(\lambda) = \frac{a_1}{2 \text{vol}(a_{P_{0}}^*/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta^P))}
\]

and

\[
\theta_Q(\lambda) = -\frac{a_1}{2 \text{vol}(a_{P_{0}}^*/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta^P))}.
\]

We now make explicit the isomorphism between \( X(A_M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \) and \( X(M)_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R} \). We have a basis for \( X(M)_F \) given by the characters

\[
\psi_1 : ((A, B), c) \mapsto \det A \det B^{-1} \quad \psi_2 : ((A, B), c) \mapsto c
\]
of $M^0$. The restriction map $X(A_M) \to X(M)_F$ is given by $\psi_1 \mapsto 4\chi_1$ and $\psi_2 \mapsto \chi_2$. Now we have

$$H_M(m_P) : \chi_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{4} \log |\det A_P B_P^{-1}| \quad \chi_2 \mapsto \log |c_P|.$$ 

Therefore,

$$v_P(\lambda, x) = \exp \left( -\frac{a_1}{4} \log |\det A_P B_P^{-1}| - a_2 \log |c_P| \right)$$

and similarly for $v_Q(\lambda, x)$. Hence $v_M(\lambda, x)$ equals

$$\frac{2 \vol(a_P^G/Z(\Delta_P^c))}{a_1} \left[ \exp \left( -\frac{a_1}{4} \log |\det A_P B_P^{-1}| - a_2 \log |c_P| \right) - \exp \left( -\frac{a_1}{4} \log |\det A_Q B_Q^{-1}| - a_2 \log |c_Q| \right) \right].$$

Taking the limit as $\lambda = a_1 \chi_1 + a_2 \chi_2 \to 0$ we get

$$v_M(x) = -\frac{\vol(a_P^G/Z(\Delta_P^c))}{2} \left( \log |\det A_P B_P^{-1}| - \log |\det A_Q B_Q^{-1}| \right).$$

But we have $|\det A_P B_P| = |\det A_Q B_Q|$ and hence

$$v_M(x) = \vol(a_P^G/Z(\Delta_P^c)) \log |A_Q| - \log |\det A_P|,$$

which completes our computation. \hfill \qed

We now compute $v_M$ on the unipotent radical of $P^0$.

**Lemma 5.3.** We have

$$v_M \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}, 1 \right) = \vol(a_P^G/Z(\Delta_P^c)) \log \max \{1, |x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3|\}.

**Proof.** We write

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_3 & x_4 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & \\ 1 & y_1 & y_2 \\ 1 & y_3 & y_4 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} A_Q & \\ B_Q & \end{pmatrix}.$$

Applying the vector $(1, 0, 0, 0) \wedge (0, 1, 0, 0)$ and using Lemma 5.1 allows us to deduce that

$$\log |\det A_Q| = \log \max \{1, |x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3|\}.$$
and the result follows.

5.2.2 The (1,2,1) Levi

In this section we take $M^0$ to be the (1,2,1) Levi in $G$. Let $P^0$ (resp. $Q^0$) be the upper (resp. lower) triangular parabolic in $G^0$ with $M^0$ as its Levi component. We have $M = M^0 \times \alpha$ and if we set $P = P^0 \times \alpha$ and $Q = Q^0 \times \alpha$ then we have $\mathcal{P}(M) = \{P, Q\}$. We let $N_P$ (resp. $N_Q$) denote the unipotent radical of $P^0$ (resp. $Q^0$). Let $x \in G^0(F)$ and write

$$x = n_P m_P k_P = n_Q m_Q k_Q$$

with obvious notation. We write $m_P = (a_P, B_P, c_P, d_P) \in GL(1) \times GL(2) \times GL(1) \times GL(1)$ and similarly we write $m_Q = (a_Q, B_Q, c_Q, d_Q)$.

Lemma 5.4. With notation as above we have

$$v_M(x) = \frac{\text{vol}(a_P^F/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee))}{2} \left( \log |a_Q c_Q^{-1}| - \log |a_P c_P^{-1}| \right).$$

Proof. We have

$$A_M = \{a = (a_1, I, a_1^{-1}, a_2)\}.$$

We fix the basis $\{\chi_1, \chi_2\}$ of $X(A_M)$ given by $\chi_i : a \mapsto a_i$. We have

$$A_{M^0} = \{b = (b_1, \text{diag}(b_2, b_2, b_3, b_4))\}$$

and we fix the basis $\{\varphi_1, \varphi_2, \varphi_3, \varphi_4\}$ of $X(A_{M^0})$ given by $\varphi_i : b \mapsto b_i$. We have

$$\Delta_{P^0} = \{\varphi_1 - \varphi_2, \varphi_2 - \varphi_3\}.$$

We now compute $(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)^\vee$ and $(\varphi_2 - \varphi_3)^\vee$. Let $\delta_{\varphi_1}, \delta_{\varphi_2}, \delta_{\varphi_3}, \delta_{\varphi_4}$ denote the basis of $a_{M^0}^*$ given by $\delta_{\varphi_i}(\varphi_j) = \delta_{ij}$.

To determine $(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)^\vee$ and $(\varphi_2 - \varphi_3)^\vee$ we may as well work inside $GL(4)$. We set $P_0$ equal to the upper triangular Borel subgroup of $GL(4)$ and we take $M_0$ to be the diagonal torus in $P_0$. We have

$$A_{M_0} = M_0 = \{c = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, c_3, c_4)\}$$

and we fix the basis $\{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3, \beta_4\}$ of $X(M_0)$ given by $\beta_i : c \mapsto c_i$. We define $\delta_{\beta_i} \in a_{M_0}$ similarly.
We now need to describe the splittings $a_{P_0}^* = a_{P_0}^* \oplus (a_{P_0}^{p_0})^*$ and $a_{P_0} = a_{P_0} \oplus a_{P_0}^{p_0}$. The map $X(A_{P_0}) \to X(M_0)$ is given by

$$
\beta_1 \mapsto \varphi_1 \quad \beta_2 \mapsto \varphi_2 \quad \beta_3 \mapsto \varphi_2 \quad \beta_4 \mapsto \varphi_3,
$$

and the map $a_{P_0} \hookrightarrow a_{P_0}$ is given by

$$
\varphi_1 \mapsto \beta_1 \quad \varphi_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}(\beta_2 + \beta_3) \quad \varphi_3 \mapsto \beta_4.
$$

Thus we have

$$
a_{P_0} = a_{P_0} \oplus a_{P_0}^{p_0} = \text{Span}\{\delta_{\beta_1}, \delta_{\beta_2} + \delta_{\beta_4}, \delta_{\beta_3}\} \oplus \text{Span}\{\delta_{\beta_2} - \delta_{\beta_3}\},
$$

and

$$
a_{P_0}^* = a_{P_0}^* \oplus (a_{P_0}^{p_0})^* = \text{Span}\{\beta_1, \beta_2 + \beta_3, \beta_4\} \oplus \text{Span}\{\beta_2 - \beta_3\}.
$$

Therefore we have

$$
\varphi_1 - \varphi_2 = \beta_1 - \frac{1}{2}(\beta_2 + \beta_3) = \beta_1 - \beta_2 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_2 - \beta_3)
$$
equal to the projection of $\beta_1 - \beta_2$ onto $a_{p_0}$. Now we have $(\beta_1 - \beta_2)^\vee = \delta_{\beta_1} - \delta_{\beta_2}$ whose projection onto $a_{p_0}$ is

$$
\delta_{\beta_1} - \frac{1}{2}(\delta_{\beta_2} + \delta_{\beta_3}).
$$

Hence we have $(\varphi_1 - \varphi_2)^\vee = \delta_{\varphi_1} - \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\varphi_2}$. Now

$$
\varphi_2 - \varphi_3 = \frac{1}{2}(\beta_2 + \beta_3) - \beta_4 = \beta_3 - \beta_4 + \frac{1}{2}(\beta_2 - \beta_3)
$$
equals the projection of $\beta_3 - \beta_4$ onto $a_{p_0}$. Now we have $(\beta_3 - \beta_4)^\vee = \delta_{\beta_3} - \delta_{\beta_4}$ whose projection onto $a_{p_0}$ is

$$
\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{\beta_2} + \delta_{\beta_3}) - \delta_{\beta_4}.
$$

Hence we have $(\varphi_2 - \varphi_3)^\vee = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\varphi_2} - \delta_{\varphi_3}$.

The map $X(A_{M_0}) \to X(A_M)$ is given by

$$
\varphi_1 \mapsto \chi_1 \quad \varphi_2 \mapsto 0 \quad \varphi_3 \mapsto -\chi_1 \quad \varphi_4 \mapsto \chi_2.
$$
We have $\Delta_P = \{\chi_1\}$, $\Delta_Q = \{-\chi_1\}$ and 

$$(\chi_1)^\vee : \chi_1 \mapsto 1 \quad \chi_2 \mapsto 0.$$ 

Hence for $\lambda = a_1\chi_1 + a_2\chi_2 \in a_{M,C}^*$ we have 

$$\theta_P(\lambda) = \frac{a_1}{\text{vol}(a_P^G/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee))},$$ 

and 

$$\theta_Q(\lambda) = -\frac{a_1}{\text{vol}(a_P^G/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee))}.$$ 

We now make explicit the isomorphism between $X(A_M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$ and $X(M)_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}$. We have a basis for $X(M)_F$ given by the characters 

$$\psi_1 : (a, B, c, d) \mapsto ac^{-1}, \quad \psi_2 : (a, B, c, d) \mapsto acd^2 \det B$$ 

on $M^0$. The restriction map $X(A_M) \to X(M)_F$ is given by $\psi_1 \mapsto 2\chi_1$ and $\psi_2 \mapsto 2\chi_2$. Now we have 

$$H_M(m_P) : \chi_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \log |a_pc_p^{-1}| \quad \chi_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \log |a_pc_p^2 \det B_p|.$$ 

Therefore, 

$$v_P(\lambda, x) = \exp \left( -\frac{a_1}{2} \log |a_pb_p^{-1}| - \frac{a_2}{2} \log |a_pc_p^2 \det B_p| \right)$$ 

and similarly for $v_Q(\lambda, x)$. We can set $a_2 = 0$ and take the limit as $a_1 \to 0$ to give 

$$v_M(x) = \frac{\text{vol}(a_P^G/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee))}{2} \left( \log |a_Qc_Q^{-1}| - \log |a_Pc_P^{-1}| \right)$$ 

as wished. 

We now compute $v_M$ on the unipotent radical of $P^0$.

**Lemma 5.5.** We have 

$$v_M \left( \begin{array}{cccc}
1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\
 & 1 & x_4 & \\
 & & 1 & x_5 \\
 & & & 1
\end{array} \right), 1$$

equal to 

$$\frac{\text{vol}(a_P^G/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee))}{2} \left( \log \max\{1,|x_1|,|x_2|,|x_3|\} + \log \max\{1,|x_4|,|x_5|,|x_1x_4 + x_2x_5 - x_3|\} \right).$$
Proof. We write

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\
1 & x_4 & & \\
1 & x_5 & & \\
1 & & & \\
\end{pmatrix}
= \begin{pmatrix}
1 & y_1 & & \\
y_2 & & & \\
y_3 & y_4 & y_4 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
a_Q & & & \\
B_Q & & & \\
c_Q & & & \\
\end{pmatrix}^{k_Q}.
\]

Applying the vector \((1, 0, 0, 0)\) allows us to deduce that

\[
\log |a_Q| = \log \max \{1, |x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3| \}.
\]

Taking the transpose inverse of the above matrix equation and applying the vector \((0, 0, 0, 1)\) allows us to deduce that

\[
\log |c_Q^{-1}| = \log \max \{1, |x_4|, |x_5|, |x_1x_4 + x_2x_5 - x_3| \}
\]

and the result follows.

5.2.3 The diagonal Levi

Let \(M^0\) be the diagonal Levi subgroup in \(G^0\). For the proof of the fundamental lemma it is (essentially) sufficient to compute \(v_M\) on elements of \(G^0\) fixed by \(\alpha\), i.e., elements of the form \(g = (g_1, g_2) \in \text{Sp}(4) \times \text{GL}(1)\). For now we show that for such a \(g\) \(v_M(g)\) is, up to a scalar, equal to \(v_{M_1}(g_1)\) where \(M_1\) is the diagonal Levi in \(\text{Sp}(4)\). We will then compute \(v_{M_1}\) on the unipotent radical of the upper triangular Borel subgroup in \(\text{Sp}(4)\).

Let \(B^0\) (resp. \(B_1\)) denote the upper triangular Borel subgroup of \(G^0\) (resp. \(\text{Sp}(4)\)).

Lemma 5.6. For \(g \in (g_1, g_2) \in G^0(F)\) with \(g_1 \in \text{Sp}(4)\) we have

\[
v_M(g) = \frac{\text{vol}(a_{B_1}^{\text{Sp}(4)} / Z(\Delta_{B_1}))}{\text{vol}(a_{B_1}^{\Delta_1} / Z(\Delta_{B_1}^{\prime})))} v_{M_1}(g_1).
\]

Proof. We have

\[
A_M = \left\{ a = (\text{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_2^{-1}, a_1^{-1}), a_3) \right\},
\]

and we fix the basis \(\{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3\}\) of \(X(A_M)\) given by \(\chi_i : a \mapsto a_i\). We have

\[
A_M^a = \{ b = (\text{diag}(b_1, b_2, b_3, b_4), b_5) \},
\]
and we fix the basis \{\varphi_1, \ldots, \varphi_5\} of \(X(A_{M^0})\) given by \(\varphi_i : b \mapsto b_i\). The map \(X(A_{M^0}) \to X(A_M)\) given by restriction is given by

\[
\varphi_1 \mapsto \chi_1 \quad \varphi_2 \mapsto \chi_2 \quad \varphi_3 \mapsto -\chi_2 \quad \varphi_4 \mapsto -\chi_1 \quad \varphi_5 \mapsto \chi_3.
\]

We have

\[A_{M_1} = \{a = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_2^{-1}, a_1^{-1})\}.
\]

We identify \(a_{M_1}\) with the subspace of \(a_M\) of elements which are zero on \(\chi_3\) and we identify \(a_{M_1}^*\) with the subspace \(\{a_1\chi_1 + a_2\chi_2\}\) of \(a_M^*\).

We now compute \(\theta_B(\lambda)\) for \(\lambda = a_1\chi_1 + a_2\chi_2 + a_3\chi_3 \in a_{M,C}^*\). We have

\[\Delta_{B^0} = \{\varphi_1 - \varphi_2, \varphi_2 - \varphi_3, \varphi_3 - \varphi_4\},\]

and

\[\Delta_B = \{\chi_1 - \chi_2, 2\chi_2\}.
\]

We have

\[(\chi_1 - \chi_2) : \chi_1 \mapsto 2 \quad \chi_2 \mapsto -2 \quad \chi_3 \mapsto 0,
\]

and

\[(2\chi_2) : \chi_1 \mapsto 0 \quad \chi_2 \mapsto 1 \quad \chi_3 \mapsto 0.
\]

On the other hand

\[\Delta_{B_1} = \{\chi_1 - \chi_2, 2\chi_2\},\]

and we have

\[(\chi_1 - \chi_2) : \chi_1 \mapsto 1 \quad \chi_2 \mapsto -1,
\]

and

\[(2\chi_2) : \chi_1 \mapsto 0 \quad \chi_2 \mapsto 1.
\]

Hence we see that for \(\lambda = \lambda_1 + a_3\chi_3 \in a_{M,C}^*\) with \(\lambda_1 \in a_{M_1,C}^*\) we have \(\theta_B(\lambda) = \theta_{B_1}(\lambda_1)\). Now each Borel subgroup of \(G^0\), which is \(\alpha\) stable and which contains \(M^0\) is of the form \(w^{-1}B^0w\) with \(w = (w_1, 1)\) where \(w_1\) is an element of the Weyl group of \(\text{Sp}(4)\). Hence we deduce that for each Borel subgroup \(P^0\) of \(G^0\) which contains \(M^0\) we have

\[
\text{vol}(a_{P_1}/Z(\Delta_{P_1}^\vee))\theta_P(\lambda) = \text{vol}(a_{P_1}^{\text{Sp}(4)}/Z(\Delta_{P_1}^\vee))\theta_{P_1}(\lambda_1),
\]

where \(P_1\) denotes the Borel subgroup of \(\text{Sp}(4)\) which is contained in \(P^0\).
Next we compute \( v_p(\lambda, g) \) and \( v_{P_1}(\lambda_1, g_1) \). In order to compute \( v_p(\lambda, g) \) we need to write 
\[ g = n_pm_pk_p \]
with \( n_p \in N_p(F) \), \( m_p \in M^0(F) \) and \( k_p \in K \). But if we write \( g_1 = n_{P_1}m_{P_1}k_{P_1} \) with obvious notation then we have
\[ g = (g_1, g_2) = (n_{P_1}, 1)(m_{P_1}, g_2)(k_{P_1}, 1). \]

Hence we have for \( \lambda = \lambda_1 + a_3\chi_3 \) that
\[ v_p(\lambda, g) = v_{P_1}(\lambda_1, g_1)|g_2|^{-a_3}. \]

Thus we get
\[ v_M(\lambda, g) = \sum_{P \in P(M)} v_p(\lambda, g)\theta_P(\lambda)^{-1} \]
\[ = \frac{\text{vol}(a_B^0/Z(\Delta_B^0))}{\text{vol}(a_{B_1}^{Sp(4)}/Z(\Delta_{B_1}^0))}|g_2|^{-a_3} \sum_{P_1 \in P(M_1)} v_{P_1}(\lambda_1, g_1)\theta_{P_1}(\lambda_1)^{-1}. \]

And now taking the limit as \( \lambda \to 0 \) gives the result.

We now compute \( v_{M_1} \) on the unipotent radical of \( B_1 \). We set
\[ n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 + x_1x_4 & x_3 \\ 1 & x_4 & x_2 \\ 1 & -x_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in N_{B_1}(F). \]

In order to do this we need to write \( n = n_1m_1k_1 \) for each Borel subgroup of \( \text{Sp}(4) \) containing \( M_1 \) and then if we write
\[ m_1 = \text{diag}(a, b, b^{-1}, a^{-1}) \]
we need to compute \( |a| \) and \( |b| \).

The Weyl group of \( \text{Sp}(4) \) is isomorphic to \( D_8 \) with generators
\[ w_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, w_2 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 1 \\ 1 & -1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]
Explicitly the Weyl group is given by

\[ \{ e, (12)(34), (23), (14), (1243), (1342), (13)(24), (14)(23) \}, \]

where we have

\[
\begin{align*}
  e &= I \\
  (12)(34) &= w_1 \\
  (23) &= w_2 \\
  (14) &= w_1 w_2 w_1 \\
  (1243) &= w_2 w_1 \\
  (1342) &= w_1 w_2 \\
  (13)(24) &= w_2 w_1 \\
  (14)(23) &= w_1 w_2 w_1 w_2.
\end{align*}
\]

- \( w = e \). In this case we have \(|a| = |b| = 1\).
- \( w = (12)(34) \). In this case we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  -y_1 & 1 \\
  1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

Multiplying \( n \) on the left by such an element we can put \( n \) in the form

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  1 & x_1 \\
  1 & -x_1 \\
  1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

For \( m > 0 \) and \( u \in U_F \) we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  1 & u\pi^{-m} \\
  u^{-1}\pi^m & 1
\end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix}
  1 & \pi^{-m} \\
  \pi^m & -u^{-1}
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and hence we deduce that

\(|a| = \max\{1, |x_1|\}\)
and
\[ |b|^{-1} = \max\{1, |x_1|\}. \]

• \( w = (23) \). In this case we have
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -y_2 & y_1 & y_3 \\ 1 & y_1 \\ -y_4 & 1 & y_2 - y_1y_4 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Multiplying \( n \) on the left by such an element we can put \( n \) in the form
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 & x_4 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

And as above we deduce that
\[ |a| = 1 \]

and
\[ |b| = \max\{1, |x_4|\}. \]

• \( w = (14) \). In this case we have
\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ y_4y_1 - y_2 & 1 & y_4 \\ y_1 \\ -y_3 & y_1 & y_2 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Using the vector \((1, 0, 0, 0)\) we deduce that
\[ |a| = \max\{1, |x_1|, |x_2 + x_1x_4|, |x_3|\}. \]

and using \((1, 0, 0, 0) \land (0, 0, 1, 0)\) we deduce that
\[ |ab^{-1}| = \max\{1, |x_1|^2, |x_3 + x_1x_2 + x_1^2x_4|\}. \]
• $w = (1243)$. In this case we have

$$w^{-1}N_{B_1}w = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & y_1 \\
-y_2 & 1 & y_3 & y_1 \\
1 & -y_4 & y_2 - y_1 y_4 & 1 \\
y_1 y_4 - y_2 & 1 & y_4 & y_1 \\
y_3 & 1 & y_2 \\
y_1 & 1 & y_4 \\
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

Using the vector $(0, 0, 1, 0)$ we deduce that

$$|b| = \max\{1, |x_1|\}^{-1},$$

and using $(1, 0, 0, 0) \land (0, 0, 1, 0)$ we deduce that

$$|ab^{-1}| = \max\{1, |x_1|^2, |x_3 + x_1 x_2 + x_1^2 x_4|\}.$$  

• $w = (1342)$. In this case we have

$$w^{-1}N_{B_1}w = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & y_1 y_4 - y_2 & y_4 \\
y_1 & 1 \\
y_3 & -y_3 & 1 & y_2 \\
y_1 & 1 & y_4 \\
y_3 & 1 & y_2 \\
y_1 & 1 & y_4 \\
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

Using the vector $(0, 1, 0, 0)$ we deduce that

$$|b| = \max\{1, |x_4|, |x_4|\},$$

and using $(0, 1, 0, 0) \land (0, 0, 1, 0)$ we deduce that

$$|a^{-1}b| = \max\{1, |x_4|\}.$$  

• $w = (13)(24)$. In this case we have

$$w^{-1}N_{B_1}w = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & -y_1 \\
y_2 & 1 \\
y_3 & -y_3 & 1 & y_1 \\
y_4 & y_1 y_4 - y_2 & 1 \\
y_1 y_4 - y_2 & 1 \\
\end{pmatrix}.$$
Using the vector \((0, 1, 0, 0)\) we deduce that

\[ |b| = \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_4|\}, \]

and using \((1, 0, 0, 0) \wedge (0, 1, 0, 0)\) we deduce that

\[ |ab| = \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_4|, |x_3 - x_1x_2|, |x_2^2 - x_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_4|\}. \]

\(w = (14)(23)\). In this case we have

\[ w^{-1}N_{B_1}w = \begin{pmatrix}
  1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & -1 & 0 \\
  0 & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}. \]

Using the vector \((1, 0, 0, 0)\) we deduce that

\[ |a| = \max\{1, |x_1|, |x_2 + x_1x_4|, |x_3|\}, \]

and using \((1, 0, 0, 0) \wedge (0, 1, 0, 0)\) we deduce that

\[ |ab| = \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_4|, |x_3 - x_1x_2|, |x_2^2 - x_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_4|\}. \]

Let’s set \(\lambda = a_1\chi_1 + a_2\chi_2 \in a^*_{M_1, C}\). Where \(\chi_i\) is the character of \(M_1\) mapping \(\text{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_1^{-1}, a_1^{-1})\) to \(a_i\). Let \(x \in \text{Sp}(4, F)\) and let \(P_1\) be a Borel subgroup containing \(M_1\). We write \(x = n_{P_1}m_{P_1}k_{P_1}\) with the usual notation where \(m_{P_1} = \text{diag}(a_{P_1}, b_{P_1}, b_{P_1}^{-1}, a_{P_1}^{-1})\). Then we have

\[ H_{P_1}(x) : \chi_1 \mapsto \log |a_{P_1}| \quad \chi_2 \mapsto \log |b_{P_1}|. \]

Hence we have \(v_{P_1}(\lambda, x) = |a_{P_1}|^{a_1}|b_{P_1}|^{a_2}\) and therefore for \(\lambda = \beta a_2\chi_1 + a_2\chi_2 \in a^*_{M_1, C}\) we have

\[ v_{P_1}(\lambda, x) = (|a_{P_1}|^\beta |b_{P_1}|)^{a_2}. \]

Next we compute \(\theta_{P_1}\) for each of these Borel subgroups \(P_1 = w^{-1}B_1w\) and \(\lambda = \beta a_2\chi_1 + a_2\chi_2 \in a^*_{M_1, C}\). These functions are given in the table below.
\[ w \quad \Delta_{P_1} \quad \theta_{P_1}(\lambda)/a_2^2 \\
\hline
\text{e} \quad 2\chi_2, \chi_1 - \chi_2 \quad \beta - 1 \\
(12)(34) \quad 2\chi_1, \chi_2 - \chi_1 \quad \beta(1 - \beta) \\
(23) \quad -2\chi_2, \chi_1 + \chi_2 \quad -(\beta + 1) \\
(14) \quad 2\chi_2, -\chi_1 - \chi_2 \quad -(\beta + 1) \\
(1243) \quad -2\chi_1, \chi_1 + \chi_2 \quad -\beta(\beta + 1) \\
(1342) \quad 2\chi_1, -\chi_1 - \chi_2 \quad -\beta(\beta + 1) \\
(13)(24) \quad -2\chi_1, \chi_1 - \chi_2 \quad \beta(1 - \beta) \\
(14)(23) \quad -2\chi_2, -\chi_1 + \chi_2 \quad \beta - 1 \\
\hline
\]

For \( \beta \in \mathbb{C} \) we set \( \theta_{P_1}(\beta) = \theta_P(\lambda)/a_2^2 \). We have

\[
v_{M_1}(x, \varphi) = \sum_{P_1} \frac{\text{vol}(a_{B_1}^{GSp(4)}/Z(\Delta_{B_1}^\vee))}{a_2^2 \theta_{P_1}(\beta)} (|\alpha_{P_1}|^2 |b_{P_1}|)^{a_2}.
\]

The value at \( a_2 = 0 \) of this expression is equal to

\[
v_{M_1}(x) = \frac{\text{vol}(a_{B_1}^{GSp(4)}/Z(\Delta_{B_1}^\vee))}{2} \sum_{P_1} \frac{1}{\theta_{P_1}(\beta)} (\beta \log |\alpha_{P_1}| + \log |b_{P_1}|)^2
\]

for any value of \( \beta \). The calculations above give the following.

**Lemma 5.7.** We have \( v_{M_1}(n) \) equal to \( \frac{\text{vol}(a_{B_1}^{GSp(4)}/Z(\Delta_{B_1}^\vee))}{2} \) times

\[-(A^2 + 2B^2 + 2C^2 + D^2 + 2E^2 + F^2) + 2(AB + AE + BD + CD + EF)\]

where

\[
A = \log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_4|, |x_3 - x_1x_2|, |x_2^2 - x_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_4|\} \\
B = \log \max\{1, |x_1|, |x_2 + x_1x_4|, |x_3|\} \\
C = \log \max\{1, |x_1|\} \\
D = \log \max\{1, |x_1|^2, |x_3 + x_1x_2 + x_1^2x_4|\} \\
E = \log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_4|\} \\
F = \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}.
\]

Combining Lemmas 5.6 and 5.7 we get the following.
Corollary 5.8. For
\[
n = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & x_1 & x_2 + x_1 x_4 & x_3 \\
1 & x_4 & x_2 & \cdot \\
1 & \cdot & -x_1 & \cdot \\
1 & \cdot & \cdot & 1
\end{pmatrix},
\]
equal to \(v_M(n)\) equal to \(\frac{\text{vol}(a^G_P/\mathcal{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee)))}{2}\) times
\[-(A^2 + 2B^2 + 2C^2 + D^2 + 2E^2 + F^2) + 2(AB + AE + BD + CD + EF),\]
where \(A, \ldots, F\) are as in Lemma 5.7.

5.3 Weight functions for \(\text{GSp}(4)\)

In this section we compute the weight functions for the Levi subgroups of \(\text{GSp}(4)\).

5.3.1 The Siegel Levi

In this section we take \(M\) to be the Siegel Levi in \(\text{GSp}(4)\). Let \(P\) (resp. \(Q\)) be the upper (resp. lower) triangular parabolic in \(\text{GSp}(4)\) with \(M\) as its Levi component. Then we have \(\mathcal{P}(M) = \{P, Q\}\).

We let \(N_P\) (resp. \(N_Q\)) denote the unipotent radical in \(P\) (resp. \(Q\)). Let \(x \in \text{GSp}(4, F)\) and write
\[
 x = n_P m_P k_P = n_Q m_Q k_Q
\]
with obvious notation. We write
\[
m_P = \begin{pmatrix}
A_P \\
_{\cdot} w \cdot A_P^{-1} w
\end{pmatrix} \in \text{M}(F),
\]
and similarly for \(m_Q\).

Lemma 5.9. With notation as above we have
\[
v_M(x) = \frac{\text{vol}(a^G_P/\mathcal{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee)))}{2} (\log |\det A_Q| - \log |\det A_P|).
\]

Proof. We have
\[
A_M = \{a = \text{diag}(a_1, a_1^{-1} a_2, a_1^{-1} a_2)\}.
\]
We fix the basis \{\chi_1, \chi_2\} of \(X(A_M)\) given by \(\chi_i : a \mapsto a_i\). We have \(\Delta_P = \{2\chi_1 - \chi_2\}\) and \(\Delta_Q = \{-2\chi_1 + \chi_2\}\). We now compute \((2\chi_1 - \chi_2)^\vee\).

Let \(\delta_{\chi_1}, \delta_{\chi_2}\) denote the basis of \(a^*_M\) given by \(\delta_{\chi_i}(\chi_j) = \delta_{ij}\). We set \(P_0\) equal to the upper triangular Borel subgroup of GSp(4) and we take \(M_0\) to be the diagonal torus in \(P_0\). We have

\[
A_{M_0} = M_0 = \{c = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, c_2^{-1}c_3, c_1^{-1}c_3)\}
\]

and we fix the basis \{\beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3\} of \(X(M_0)\) given by \(\beta_i : c \mapsto c_i\). We define \(\delta_{\beta_i} \in a_{M_0}\) similarly.

We now need to describe the splittings \(a^*_P = a^*_P \oplus (a^*_P)^\ast\) and \(a_{P_0} = a_P \oplus a^*_P\). The map \(X(A_P) \twoheadrightarrow X(M_0)\) is given by

\[
\beta_1 \mapsto \chi_1 \quad \beta_2 \mapsto \chi_1 \quad \beta_3 \mapsto \chi_2
\]

and the map \(a_P \hookrightarrow a_{P_0}\) is given by

\[
\chi_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{2}\beta_1 + \beta_2 \quad \chi_2 \mapsto \beta_3.
\]

Thus we have

\[
a_{P_0} = a_P \oplus a^*_P = \text{Span}\{\delta_{\beta_1} + \delta_{\beta_2}, \delta_{\beta_3}\} \oplus \text{Span}\{\delta_{\beta_1} - \delta_{\beta_2}\},
\]

and

\[
a^*_P = a^*_P \oplus (a^*_P)^\ast = \text{Span}\{\beta_1 + \beta_2, \beta_3\} \oplus \text{Span}\{\beta_1 - \beta_2\}.
\]

Therefore we have

\[
2\chi_1 - \chi_2 = \beta_1 + \beta_2 - \beta_3 = 2\beta_2 - \beta_3 + (\beta_1 - \beta_2)
\]

equal to the projection of \(2\beta_2 - \beta_3\) onto \(a_P\). Now we have \((2\beta_2 - \beta_3)^\vee = \delta_{\beta_2}\) whose projection onto \(a^*_P\) is \(\frac{1}{2}(\delta_{\beta_1} + \delta_{\beta_2})\). Hence we have \((2\chi_1 - \chi_2)^\vee = \frac{1}{2}\delta_{\chi_1}\).

Hence for \(\lambda = a_1\chi_1 + a_2\chi_2 \in a^*_{M,C}\) we have

\[
\theta_P(\lambda) = \frac{a_1}{2 \text{vol}(a^*_P/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee))},
\]

and

\[
\theta_Q(\lambda) = -\frac{a_1}{2 \text{vol}(a^*_P/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee))}.
\]

We now make explicit the isomorphism between \(X(A_M) \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}\) and \(X(M)_F \otimes_{\mathbb{Z}} \mathbb{R}\). We have a
basis for \(X(M)_F\) given by the characters

\[
\psi_1 : \begin{pmatrix} A & bw^t \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow \det A
\]

and

\[
\psi_2 : \begin{pmatrix} A & bw^t \end{pmatrix} \rightarrow b.
\]

The restriction map \(X(M)_F \rightarrow X(A_M)\) is given by \(\psi_1 \mapsto 2\chi_1\) and \(\psi_2 \mapsto \chi_2\). Therefore,

\[
H_M(m_P) : \chi_1 \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \log |\det A_P| \quad \chi_2 \mapsto \log |b_P|,
\]

and so

\[
v_P(\lambda, x) = \exp \left( -\frac{a_1}{2} \log |\det A_P| - a_2 \log |b_P| \right).
\]

We have a similar expression for \(v_Q(\lambda, x)\). Taking \(a_2 = 0\) and letting \(a_1 \to 0\) gives

\[
v_M(x) = \text{vol}(a_P^{GSp(4)}/Z(\Delta_P^\vee)) (\log |\det A_Q| - \log |\det A_P|)
\]

as desired.

The computation of \(v_M\) on the unipotent radical of \(P\) follows directly from the proof of Lemma 5.3.

**Lemma 5.10.** We have

\[
v_M \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & r \\ 1 & r & s \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \text{vol}(a_P^{GSp(4)}/Z(\Delta_P^\vee)) \log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s|, |xs - r^2|\}.
\]

### 5.3.2 The Klingen Levi

In this section we take \(M\) to be the Klingen Levi in \(GSp(4)\). Let \(P\) (resp. \(Q\)) be the upper (resp. lower) triangular parabolic in \(GSp(4)\) with \(M\) as its Levi component, then we have \(P(M) = \{P, Q\}\). We let \(N_P\) (resp. \(N_Q\)) denote the unipotent radical in \(P\) (resp. \(Q\)). Let \(x \in GSp(4, F)\) and write

\[
x = n_P m_P k_P = n_Q m_Q k_Q
\]
with obvious notation. We write

\[ m_P = \begin{pmatrix} a_P \\ B_P \\ a_P^{-1} \det B_P \end{pmatrix} \in M(F) \]

and similarly for \( m_Q \).

**Lemma 5.11.** With notation as above we have

\[ v_M(x) = \text{vol}(a_P^{\text{GSp}(4)} / \mathbb{Z}((\Delta_P^-))) (\log |a_Q| - \log |a_P|). \]

**Proof.** We have

\[ A_M = \{ a = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_2, a_1^{-1} a_2^2) \}. \]

We fix the basis \( \{ \chi_1, \chi_2 \} \) of \( X(A_M) \) given by \( \chi_i : a \mapsto a_i \). We have \( \Delta_P = \{ \chi_1 - \chi_2 \} \) and \( \Delta_P = \{ \chi_2 - \chi_1 \} \). We now compute \( (\chi_1 - \chi_2)^\vee \).

Let \( \delta_{\chi_1}, \delta_{\chi_2} \) denote the basis of \( a_M^* \) given by \( \delta_{\chi_i}(\chi_j) = \delta_{ij} \). We set \( P_0 \) equal to the upper triangular Borel subgroup of \( \text{GSp}(4) \) and we take \( M_0 \) to be the diagonal torus in \( P_0 \). We have

\[ A_{M_0} = M_0 = \{ c = \text{diag}(c_1, c_2, c_2^{-1} c_3, c_1^{-1} c_3) \}, \]

and we fix the basis \( \{ \beta_1, \beta_2, \beta_3 \} \) of \( X(M_0) \) given by \( \beta_i : c \mapsto c_i \). We define \( \delta_{\beta_i} \in a_{M_0} \) similarly.

We now describe the splittings \( a_{P_0}^* = a_P^* \oplus (a_{P_0}^*)^\vee \) and \( a_{P_0} = a_P \oplus a_{P_0}^P \). The map \( X(A_P) \to X(M_0) \) is given by

\[ \beta_1 \mapsto \chi_1 \quad \beta_2 \mapsto \chi_2 \quad \beta_3 \mapsto 2\chi_2, \]

and the map \( a_P \hookrightarrow a_{P_0} \) is given by

\[ \chi_1 \mapsto \beta_1 \quad \chi_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \beta_3. \]

Thus we have

\[ a_{P_0} = a_P \oplus a_{P_0}^P = \text{Span}\{ \delta_{\beta_1}, \delta_{\beta_2} + 2\delta_{\beta_1} \} \oplus \text{Span}\{ \delta_{\beta_2} \}, \]

and

\[ a_{P_0}^* = a_P^* \oplus (a_{P_0}^P)^* = \text{Span}\{ \beta_1, \beta_3 \} \oplus \text{Span}\{ 2 \beta_2 - \beta_3 \}. \]

Therefore we have

\[ \chi_1 - \chi_2 = \beta_1 - \frac{1}{2} \beta_3 = \beta_1 - \beta_2 + \left( \beta_2 - \frac{1}{2} \beta_3 \right). \]
equal to the projection of $\beta_1 - \beta_2$ onto $a_P^*$. Now we have $(\beta_1 - \beta_2)^\vee = \delta_{\beta_1} - \delta_{\beta_2}$ whose projection onto $a_P$ is $\delta_{\beta_1}$. Hence we have $(\chi_1 - \chi_2)^\vee = \delta_{\chi_1}$.

Therefore for $\lambda = a_1 \chi_1 + a_2 \chi_2 \in a_{M,C}^*$ we have

$$\theta_P(\lambda) = \frac{a_1}{\text{vol}(a_P^*/Z(\Delta_P^\vee))}$$

and

$$\theta_Q(\lambda) = -\frac{a_1}{\text{vol}(a_P^*/Z(\Delta_P^\vee))}.$$

We now make explicit the isomorphism between $X(A_M) \otimes Z \mathbb{R}$ and $X(M) \otimes Z \mathbb{R}$. We have a basis for $X(M)_F$ given by the characters

$$\psi_1: \begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \\ a^{-1} \det B \end{pmatrix} \mapsto a$$

and

$$\psi_2: \begin{pmatrix} a \\ B \\ a^{-1} \det B \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \det B.$$

The restriction map $X(M)_F \to X(A_M)$ is given by $\psi_1 \mapsto \chi_1$ and $\psi_2 \mapsto 2\chi_2$. So we have

$$H_M(m_P): \chi_1 \mapsto \log |\det a_P| \quad \chi_2 \mapsto \frac{1}{2} \log |\det B_P|,$$

and therefore,

$$v_P(\lambda, x) = \exp \left( -a_1 \log |a_P| - \frac{a_2}{2} \log |\det B_P| \right).$$

We have a similar expression for $v_Q(\lambda, x)$. Setting $a_2 = 0$ and taking the limit as $a_1 \to 0$ gives

$$v_M(x) = \text{vol}(a_P^{GSp(4)}/Z(\Delta_P^\vee)) \left( \log |a_Q| - \log |a_P| \right)$$

as desired.

The computation of $v_M$ on the unipotent radical of $P$ follows directly from the proof of Lemma 5.5.
Lemma 5.12. We have

\[
v_M \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & r & s \\ 1 & r \\ 1 & -x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \text{vol}(a^\text{GSp}(4)_P/Z(\Delta_P)) \log \max \{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}.
\]

5.3.3 The diagonal Levi

In this section we take \(M\) to be the diagonal Levi in \(\text{GSp}(4)\). We will compute \(v_M\) on the unipotent radical of the upper triangular Borel subgroup of \(\text{GSp}(4)\). We follow the strategy in the twisted case; we first relate the function \(v_M\) to \(v_{M_1}\), where \(M_1\) is the diagonal torus in \(\text{Sp}(4)\) and then use Lemma 5.7.

Let \(B\) denote the upper triangular Borel subgroup of \(\text{GSp}(4)\) and let \(B_1\) denote its intersection with \(\text{Sp}(4)\).

Lemma 5.13. For \(g \in \text{Sp}(4, F)\) we have

\[
v_M(g) = \frac{\text{vol}(a^\text{GSp}(4)_B/Z(\Delta_B))}{\text{vol}(a^\text{Sp}(4)_B/Z(\Delta_{B_1})))} v_{M_1}(g).
\]

Proof. We have

\[A_M = \{a = (\text{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_2^{-1}a_3, a_1^{-1}a_3))\}\]

and we fix the basis \(\{\chi_1, \chi_2, \chi_3\}\) of \(X(A_M)\) given by \(\chi_i: a \mapsto a_i\). We have

\[A_{M_1} = \{a = \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_2^{-1}, a_1^{-1})\}\]

We identify \(a_{M_1}\) with the subspace of \(a_M\) given by those elements which are zero on \(\chi_3\) and we identify \(a^*_M\) with the subspace \(\{a_1\chi_1 + a_2\chi_2\}\) of \(a^*_M\).

We now compute \(\theta_B(\lambda)\) for \(\lambda \in a^*_M, C\). We have

\[\Delta_B = \{\chi_1 - \chi_2, 2\chi_2 - \chi_3\}\]

and

\[(\chi_1 - \chi_2)^\vee: \chi_1 \mapsto 1, \chi_2 \mapsto -1, \chi_3 \mapsto 0\]
and 
\[(2\chi_2 - \chi_3) \vee: \chi_1 \mapsto 0 \quad \chi_2 \mapsto 1 \quad \chi_3 \mapsto 0.\]

We have
\[\Delta_{B_1} = \{\chi_1 - \chi_2, 2\chi_2\},\]
and
\[(\chi_1 - \chi_2) \vee: \chi_1 \mapsto 1 \quad \chi_2 \mapsto -1,\]
and
\[(2\chi_2) \vee: \chi_1 \mapsto 0 \quad \chi_2 \mapsto 1.\]

Hence we see that for \(\lambda = \lambda_1 + a_3\chi_3 \in a^*_M \subset \mathbb{C}\), with \(\lambda_1 \in a^*_M \subset \mathbb{C}\), we have \(\theta_B(\lambda) = \theta_{B_1}(\lambda_1)\). Now each Borel subgroup of \(GSp(4)\) is of the form \(w^{-1}Bw\) with \(w\) an element of the Weyl group of \(Sp(4)\). Hence we deduce that for each Borel subgroup \(P\) of \(GSp(4)\) that contains \(M\) we have
\[
\text{vol}(a^{GSp(4)}_P / Z(\Delta_P^\vee))\theta_P(\lambda) = \text{vol}(a^{Sp(4)}_{P_1} / Z(\Delta_{P_1}^\vee))\theta_{P_1}(\lambda_1),
\]
where \(P_1 = P \cap Sp(4)\).

Next we compute \(v_P(\lambda, g)\) and \(v_{P_1}(\lambda_1, g)\). In order to compute \(v_P(\lambda, g)\) we need to write \(g = n_P m_P k_P\) with \(n_P \in N_P(F)\), \(m_P \in M^0(F)\) and \(k_P \in K\). Since we are assuming that \(g \in Sp(4)\) we can do this inside \(Sp(4)\) and assume that \(m_P \in M_1\) for each \(P\). Hence we have for \(\lambda = \lambda_1 + a_3\chi_3\) that
\[v_P(\lambda, g) = v_{P_1}(\lambda_1, g).\]

And we get
\[
v_M(\lambda, g) = \sum_{P \in P(M)} v_P(\lambda, g)\theta_P(\lambda)^{-1}
\]
\[
= \frac{\text{vol}(a^G_{P_1} / Z(\Delta_{P_1}^\vee))}{\text{vol}(a^{Sp(4)}_{B_1} / Z(\Delta_{B_1}^\vee))} \sum_{P_1 \in P(M_1)} v_{P_1}(\lambda_1, g_1)\theta_{P_1}(\lambda_1)^{-1}.
\]

Taking the limit as \(\lambda \to 0\) gives the result. \(\Box\)

Since the unipotent radical of \(B\) lies inside \(Sp(4)\) we conclude the following Corollary of Lemmas 5.13 and 5.7.
Corollary 5.14. Let
\[
 n = \begin{pmatrix}
 1 & x_1 & x_2 + x_1 x_4 & x_3 \\
 1 & x_4 & x_2 & -x_1 \\
 1 & & & 1
\end{pmatrix} \in N_B(F)
\]

Then \( v_M(n) \) is equal to \( \frac{\text{vol}(a_B^{GL(4)}/Z(\Delta_B^\vee))}{2} \) times
\[
-(A^2 + 2B^2 + 2C^2 + D^2 + 2E^2 + F^2) + 2(AB + AE + BD + CD + EF),
\]
where
\[
A = \log \max \{1, |x_2|, |x_4|, |x_3 - x_1 x_2|, |x_2^2 - x_3 x_4 + x_1 x_2 x_4| \}
B = \log \max \{1, |x_1|, |x_2 + x_1 x_4|, |x_3| \}
C = \log \max \{1, |x_1| \}
D = \log \max \{1, |x_1|^2, |x_3 + x_1 x_2 + x_1^2 x_4| \}
E = \log \max \{1, |x_2|, |x_4| \}
F = \log \max \{1, |x_4| \}.
\]

5.4 Other groups

We will also need to compute weighted orbital integrals on groups closely related to \( GL(2) \). We now compute \( v_M \) for \( M \) the diagonal torus in \( GL(2) \).

Lemma 5.15. Let \( M \) be the diagonal torus in \( GL(2) \) and \( B \) the upper triangular Borel subgroup containing \( M \). Then we have
\[
v_M \begin{pmatrix}
 1 & x \\
 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix} = \frac{\text{vol}(a_B^{GL(2)}/Z(\Delta_B^\vee))}{2} \log \max \{1, |x| \}.
\]

Proof. Let \( Q \) denote the lower triangular Borel subgroup of \( GL(2) \). Then we have \( \mathcal{P}(M) = \{P, Q\} \). We have
\[
A_M = \{a = (a_1, a_2) \}.
\]
and we let \( \chi_i \in X(M) \) be given by \( \chi_i : a \mapsto a_i \). We have \( \Delta_P = \{ \chi_1 - \chi_2 \} \), \( \Delta_Q = \{ \chi_2 - \chi_1 \} \) and

\[
(\chi_1 - \chi_2)^\vee : \chi_1 \mapsto 1 \quad \chi_2 \mapsto -1.
\]

Let \( \lambda = a_1 \chi_1 + a_2 \chi_2 \in a_{M,G}^* \) then

\[
\theta_Q(\lambda) = \frac{a_2 - a_1}{\text{vol}(a_P^G/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee))}.
\]

We set

\[
n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

If \( x \in R \) then we have \( n \in \text{GL}(2, R) \) and \( v_M(n) = 0 \). Next we note that for \( m > 0 \) and \( u \in U_F \) we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & u \pi^{-m} \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pi^{-m} \\ u^{-1} \pi^m & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} \pi^m & u \\ -u^{-1} \pi^m & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in N_Q(F)M(F)GL(2, R)
\]

Therefore, if \( x \notin R \) then

\[
v_M(a_1 \chi_1 + a_2 \chi_2, n) = \frac{\text{vol}(a_P^G/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee))}{a_2 - a_1} \exp(-a_1 \log |x| + a_2 \log |x|)
\]

and taking the limit as \( \lambda \to 0 \) gives \( v_M(n) = \text{vol}(a_P^G/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_P^\vee)) \log |x| \) as required.

\[\square\]

5.5 Normalization of volumes

Let \( M^0 \) be one of our Levi subgroups of \( G^0 \) and let \( M' \) be a twisted endoscopic group for \( M^0 \). We need to normalize \( \text{vol}(a_P^{G'/Z(\Delta_{P'}^\vee)}) \) for \( P \) a parabolic subset of \( G \) with Levi component \( M \) with \( \text{vol}(a_{P'}^{G'/Z(\Delta_{P'}^\vee)}) \) where \( G' \in E_{M'}(G) \) and \( P' \) is a parabolic subgroup of \( G' \) with levi component \( M' \).

The norm map gives an isomorphism between \( a_P \) and \( a_{P'} \); and restricts to give an isomorphism between \( a_P^{G'} \) and \( a_{P'}^{G'} \). We choose measures on these spaces, which are preserved by this isomorphism.

First we take \( M^0 \) to be the \((2,2)\) Levi in \( G^0 \) and \( P^0 \) the upper triangular parabolic in \( G^0 \) with \( M^0 \) as a Levi component. Then we have

\[
A_M = \{ a = ((\text{diag}(a_1, a_1), \text{diag}(a_1^{-1}, a_1^{-1})), a_2) \},
\]

and

\[
N(aa) = \text{diag}(a_1 a_2, a_2, a_1^{-2} a_2) \in \text{GSp}(4),
\]
and
\[ N(aa) = (\text{diag}(a_2^2 a_2, a_1^{-2} a_2), \text{diag}(a_2, a_2)) \in (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'. \]

Using this we see that we have
\[ \text{vol}(a_G^G / Z(\Delta_P^\vee)) = \text{vol}(a_{\text{GSp}(4)}^G / Z(\Delta_P^\vee)) = \text{vol}(a_{\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2)}^G / Z(\Delta_P^\vee)). \]

Next we take \( M^0 \) to be the \((1,2,1)\) Levi in \( G^0 \) and \( P^0 \) the upper triangular parabolic in \( G^0 \) with \( M^0 \) as a Levi component. First we take \( M' = \text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(1) \). Then we have
\[ A_M = \{ a = (\text{diag}(a_1, 1, 1, a_1^{-1}), a_2) \}, \]
and
\[ N(aa) = \text{diag}(a_1 a_2, a_1 a_2, a_1^{-1} a_2, a_1^{-1} a_2) \in \text{GSp}(4). \]

Using this we see that we have
\[ \text{vol}(a_{\text{GSp}(4)}^G / Z(\Delta_P^\vee)) = 2 \text{vol}(a_{\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(1)}^G / Z(\Delta_P^\vee)). \]

Next we take \( M^0 \) to be the \((1,2,1)\) Levi in \( G^0 \) and \( P^0 \) the upper triangular parabolic in \( G^0 \) with \( M^0 \) as a Levi component. We take \( M' = \text{GL}(1) \times \text{Res}_{E/F} \text{GL}(1) \). Then we have
\[ A_M = \{ a = (\text{diag}(a_1, 1, 1, a_1^{-1}), a_2) \}, \]
and
\[ N(aa) = (\text{diag}(a_1 a_2, a_1^{-1} a_2), \text{diag}(a_1 a_2, a_1^{-1} a_2)) \in \text{Res}_{E/F} \text{GL}(2)', \]
and
\[ N(aa) = (\text{diag}(a_1 a_2, a_1^{-1} a_2), 1, 1) \in (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{Res}_{E/F} \text{GL}(1))/\text{GL}(1). \]

Using this we see that we have
\[ \text{vol}(a_{\text{GSp}(4)}^G / Z(\Delta_P^\vee)) = \text{vol}(a_{\text{GSp}(4)}^G / Z(\Delta_P^\vee)). \]
for each elliptic endoscopic group \( G' \in \mathcal{E}_{M'}(G) \).

Next we take \( M^0 \) equal to the diagonal Levi in \( G^0 \) and \( P^0 \) the upper triangular parabolic in \( G^0 \) with \( M^0 \) as a Levi component. We have
\[ A_M = \{ a = (\text{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_2^{-1}, a_1^{-1}), a_3) \}, \]
\[ N(a\alpha) = \text{diag}(a_1 a_2 a_3, a_1 a_2^{-1} a_3, a_1^{-1} a_2 a_3, a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3) \in \text{GSp}(4), \]

and

\[ N(a\alpha) = (\text{diag}(a_1 a_2 a_3, a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3), \text{diag}(a_1 a_2^{-1} a_3, a_1 a_2^{-1} a_3)) \in (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'. \]

Using this we see that we have

\[ \text{vol}(a_{P_1}' / Z(\Delta_{P_1}')) = 2 \text{vol}(a_{P_1}' \text{GSp}(4) / Z(\Delta_{P_1}')), \]

and

\[ \text{vol}(a_{P_1}' / Z(\Delta_{P_1}')) = 2 \text{vol}(a_{P_2}' (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2)) / \text{GL}(1) / Z(\Delta_{P_2}')). \]

We also need to do the same for \text{GSp}(4) and its elliptic endoscopic group \((\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2)) / \text{GL}(1)\).

First we take \(M\) equal to the Siegel Levi in \text{GSp}(4). Then we have

\[ A_M = \{ a = \text{diag}(a_1, a_1, a_1^{-1} a_2, a_1^{-1} a_2) \}, \]

and

\[ N(a\alpha) = (\text{diag}(1, a_1^{-2} a_2), \text{diag}(a_1, a_1)) \in (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2)) / \text{GL}(1). \]

Using this we see that we have

\[ \text{vol}(a_{P_1}' \text{GSp}(4) / Z(\Delta_{P_1}')) = \frac{1}{2} \text{vol}(a_{P_2}' (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2)) / \text{GL}(1) / Z(\Delta_{P_2}')). \]

Next we take \(M\) equal to the diagonal Levi in \text{GSp}(4). We have

\[ A_M = \{ \text{diag}(a_1, a_2, a_2^{-1} a_3, a_1^{-1} a_3) \}, \]

and

\[ N(a) = (\text{diag}(1, a_1^{-1} a_2^{-1} a_3), \text{diag}(a_1, a_2)) \in (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2)) / \text{GL}(1). \]

Therefore we have

\[ \text{vol}(a_{P_1}' \text{GSp}(4) / Z(\Delta_{P_1}')) = \frac{1}{2} \text{vol}(a_{P_2}' (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2)) / \text{GL}(1) / Z(\Delta_{P_2}')). \]

### 5.6 Weighted orbital integrals

In this section we prove a couple of lemmas that will be useful in the computation of our weighted orbital integrals. We begin with the following lemma, which allows us to write our weighted orbital
integrals as integrals over the Levi subgroup itself. We continue with the notation of Section 5.1.

**Lemma 5.16.** Assume further that $N_P$ and $K$ are stable under $\alpha$. Let $K_M = M^0(F) \cap K$. For $a \in M^0(F)$ for which $a\alpha$ is strongly $G^0$-regular let $\varphi_\alpha : N \to N$ denote the inverse of the bijection $N \to N : n \mapsto a^{-1}na\alpha(n)$ and define

$$\sigma_P(a) = \int_{N(F) \cap K} v_M(\varphi_\alpha(n)) \, dn,$$

where the Haar measure on $N(F)$ is normalized to give $N(F) \cap K$ volume one. Let $\gamma \alpha \in M(F)$ be strongly $G^0$-regular then

$$r^G_M(\gamma \alpha) = |D_G(\gamma \alpha)|^{1/2} \int_{G_{\gamma \alpha}(F) \backslash G^0(F)} 1_K(g^{-1}\gamma \alpha(g))v_M(g) \, dg$$

$$= |D_G(\gamma \alpha)|^{1/2} \int_K \int_{N(F)} \int_{M_{\gamma \alpha}(F) \backslash M^0(F)} 1_K(k^{-1}n^{-1}m^{-1}\gamma \alpha(m)\alpha(n)\alpha(k))v_M(mnk) \, dm \, dn \, dk$$

$$= |D_G(\gamma \alpha)|^{1/2} \int_{N(F)} \int_{M_{\gamma \alpha}(F) \backslash M^0(F)} 1_K(n^{-1}m^{-1}\gamma \alpha(m)\alpha(n))v_M(n) \, dm \, dn.$$

If we set $a = m^{-1}\gamma \alpha(m) \in M^0(F)$ then we have

$$n^{-1}m^{-1}\gamma \alpha(m)\alpha(n) = a(a^{-1}n^{-1}a\alpha(n)),$$

which lies in $K$ if and only if $a \in K_M$ and $a^{-1}n^{-1}a\alpha(n) \in N(F) \cap K$. Hence we have

$$r^G_M(\gamma \alpha) = |D_G(\gamma \alpha)|^{1/2} \int_{M_{\gamma \alpha}(F) \backslash M^0(F)} 1_K(m^{-1}\gamma \alpha(m)) \int_{N(F) \cap K(a^{-1}n^{-1}a\alpha(n))} v_M(n) \, dn \, dm.$$

Let $n' = a^{-1}n^{-1}a\alpha(n)$ so that $n = \varphi_\alpha(n')$ then we have

$$\int_{N(F) \cap K(a^{-1}n^{-1}a\alpha(n))} v_M(n) \, dn = \int_{N(F) \cap K} v_M(\varphi_\alpha(n')) \left| \frac{\partial n}{\partial n'} \right| \, dn'.$$

But we have

$$\left| \frac{\partial n}{\partial n'} \right| = \frac{|D_M(\gamma \alpha)|^{1/2}}{|D_G(\gamma \alpha)|^{1/2}}.$$
and hence
\[ r_M^G(\gamma \alpha) = |D_M(\gamma \alpha)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M,\alpha(F)\backslash M_0(F)} 1_{K_1}(m^{-1}\gamma \alpha(m)) \left( \int_{N(F) \cap K} v_M(\varphi^{-1}_{m^{-1}\gamma \alpha(m)}(n)) \, dn \right) \, dm = |D_M(\gamma \alpha)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M,\alpha(F)\backslash M_0(F)} 1_{K_1}(m^{-1}\gamma \alpha(m)) \sigma_P(m^{-1}\gamma \alpha(m)) \, dm \]
as wished.

We now give a reduction for weighted orbital integrals using the topological Jordan decomposition; see [BWW02, Section 3].

We continue with the notation above and assume that \(G_0\) is defined over \(\mathbb{R}\) and let \(K = G_0(\mathbb{R})\). Assume further that the automorphism \(\alpha\) has order prime to the residual characteristic of \(F\) and that \(K\) is stable under \(\alpha\). For \(\gamma \in G_0(\mathbb{R})\) we can write \(\gamma \alpha\) uniquely as
\[ \gamma \alpha = us_\alpha = s\alpha u \]
with \(s\alpha\) absolutely semisimple (i.e., \(s\alpha\) has finite order prime to the residual characteristic of \(F\)) and \(u\) topologically unipotent (i.e., \(u^nv \to 1\), the identity in \(G_0\), as \(n \to \infty\)).

We now make the assumption of [BWW02, Lemma 5.5]. That is, we assume that if \(s_1\alpha\) and \(s_2\alpha\) for \(s_1, s_2 \in K\) are residually semisimple and conjugate by an element of \(G_0(F)\) then they are also conjugate by an element of \(K\). This is automatic in the case that \(\alpha\) is trivial. In the case that \(G_0 = GL(4) \times GL(1)\) and \(\alpha\) is as in Section 3.2 this is verified in [BWW02]; see also [Fli99, Section I.H]. Under this assumption we have for \(g \in G_0(F)\) that if \(g^{-1}\gamma \alpha(g) \in G_0(\mathbb{R})\) then \(g \in Z_{G_0}(s\alpha)(F)K\). For \(g \in Z_{G_0}(s\alpha)\) we have
\[ g^{-1}us\alpha(g) = g^{-1}ug. \]
Hence \(g^{-1}us\alpha(g) \in K\) if and only if \(g^{-1}ug \in K\). Furthermore, if we fix \(s\alpha\) and set \(G_1 = Z_{G_0}(s\alpha)\) then we have
\[ Z_{G_0}(us\alpha) = Z_{G_1}(u). \]

Assume now that \(\gamma \in M_0(\mathbb{R})\). Then we have \(u, s \in M_0(\mathbb{R})\) and, as in Lemma [BWW02, Lemma 5.5],
\[ r_M^G(us\alpha) = |D_G(us\alpha)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{G_1,u(F)\backslash G_1(F)} 1_{K_1}(g^{-1}ug)v_M(g) \, dg, \]
where \(G_{1,u}\) denotes the connected component of the centralizer of \(u\) in \(G_1\) and the measure on \(G_1(F)\) is taken to give \(K_1 = G_1(F) \cap K\) volume one.

We now assume further that \(G_1\) is connected. We note that this is the case if \(G_0 = GSp(4)\)
and $\alpha$ is trivial or if $G^0 = \text{GL}(4) \times \text{GL}(1)$ and $\alpha$ is as in Section 3.2. Then $K_1$ is a hyperspecial maximal compact subgroup of $G_1(F)$ and $P_1 = Z_{p_0}(s\alpha)$ is a parabolic subgroup of $G_1$. Hence by the Iwasawa decomposition we again have

$$G_1(F) = P_1(F)K_1.$$ 

Moreover, $P_1$ has Levi decomposition $M_1N_1$ where $M_1 = Z_{M^0}(s\alpha)$ and $N_1 = Z_{N^0}(s\alpha)$. We normalize the Haar measures on $M_1(F)$ and $N_1(F)$ to give $M_1 \cap K_1$ and $N_1 \cap K_1$ volume one. We can now mimic the proof of Lemma 5.16 to deduce the following.

**Lemma 5.17.** For $a \in M_1(F)$ strongly $G_1$-regular let $\varphi_a: N_1 \to N_1$ denote the inverse of the bijection $N_1 \to N_1: n \mapsto a^{-1}n^{-1}an$ and define

$$\sigma_P(a) = \int_{N_1(F) \cap K_1} v_M(\varphi_a(n)) \, dn.$$ 

With the notations above we have

$$r_M^G(usa) = |D_{M_1}(u)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{M_1,u(F) \setminus M_1(F)} 1_{K_{M_1}}(m^{-1}um)\sigma_{P_1}(m^{-1}um) \, dm.$$
Chapter 6

The fundamental lemma for the (2,2) Levi

In this chapter we take $M^0$ to be the (2,2) Levi in $G^0$. We have

$$M^0 = \left\{ \left( \begin{pmatrix} A & \ast \\ B & \ast \end{pmatrix}, c \right) : A, B \in \text{GL}(2), c \in \text{GL}(1) \right\}$$

and we write such an element as a triple $(A, B, c)$. The restriction of $\alpha$ to $M^0$ is given by

$$\alpha : (A, B, c) \mapsto (w^t B^{-1} w, w^t A^{-1} w, c \det AB),$$

where

$$w = \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$  

We set $M' = \text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(1)$ the unramified elliptic twisted endoscopic group for $M$. In this chapter we prove the fundamental lemma for the pair $(M, M')$.

6.1 Twisted integrals

In this section we concentrate on the calculation of the twisted integrals. Note that we have

$$(I, B, 1)^{-1} (A, B, c) \alpha (I, B, 1) = (Aw^t B^{-1} w, I, c \det B),$$

and hence every twisted conjugacy class in $M^0$ contains a representative of the form $(A, I, c)$. We now determine the stable twisted conjugacy class of such an element.

**Lemma 6.1.** Assume that $\gamma \alpha \in M(F)$ be semisimple. Let $m \in M(\overline{F})$ such that $m^{-1} \gamma \alpha (m) \in$
M(F). Then there exists \( m_1 \in M(F) \) such that

\[
m \gamma \alpha (m^{-1}) = m_1 \gamma \alpha (m_1^{-1}).
\]

**Proof.** We may assume that \( \gamma = (A, I, c) \). We take \( m = (D, E, f) \in M(F) \) and assume that 
\( m^{-1}(A, I, c) \alpha (m) \in M(F) \). We have

\[
m^{-1}(A, I, c) \alpha (m) = (D^{-1} A w^t E^{-1} w, E^{-1} w^t D^{-1} w, c \det DE).
\]

Hence we have \( E_1 = E^{-1} w^t D^{-1} w \in \text{GL}(2, F) \) and therefore,

\[
\text{GL}(2, F) \ni D^{-1} A w^t E^{-1} w = D^{-1} A D w^t E_1 w
\]

from which it follows that \( D^{-1} A D \in \text{GL}(2, F) \). Now there exists \( D_1 \in \text{GL}(2, F) \) such that 
\( D_1^{-1} A D_1 = D^{-1} A D \). Then we can take \( m_1 = (D_1, w^t D_1^{-1} w E_1, 1) \).

Thus the stable twisted conjugacy class of a strongly regular element \( \gamma \) is equal to the twisted conjugacy class of \( \gamma \). We now show that the twisted orbital integrals on \( G^0 \) can be written as untwisted orbital integrals on \( \text{GL}(2) \).

**Lemma 6.2.** Let \( \gamma \alpha = (A, I, c) \in M(F) \) be semisimple and strongly \( G^0 \)-regular. Then if \( c \notin U_F \) we have \( r_{M}^{G}(\gamma \alpha) = 0 \). Otherwise, let \( T_1 \) denote the centralizer of \( A \) in \( \text{GL}(2) \) then we have

\[
r_{M}^{G}(\gamma \alpha) = |D_M(\gamma \alpha)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{T_1(F) \setminus \text{GL}(2, F)} 1_{\text{GL}(2, R)}(C^{-1} AC) \sigma_F(C^{-1} AC, I, 1) \ dC.
\]

**Proof.** By Lemma 5.16 we have

\[
r_{M}^{G}(\gamma \alpha) = |D_M(\gamma \alpha)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{T(F) \setminus M^0(F)} 1_{K_M}(m^{-1} \gamma \alpha (m)) \sigma_F(m^{-1} \gamma \alpha (m)) \ dm.
\]

But now let \( m = (C, D, e) \in M^0(F) \) then we have

\[
m^{-1} \gamma \alpha (m) = (C^{-1} A w^t D^{-1} w, D^{-1} w^t C^{-1} w, c \det CD).
\]

Thus we see that if \( m^{-1} \gamma \alpha (m) \in K_M \) then we have \( D^{-1} w^t C^{-1} w \in \text{GL}(2, R) \) from which it follows that we must have \( \det CD \in U_F \). But this then forces \( e \in U_F \) and hence if \( c \notin U_F \) then \( r_{M}^{G}(\gamma \alpha) \) vanishes.
Now assume that $c \in U_F$. Then we have that $m^{-1} \gamma \alpha(m) \in K_M$ if and only if $D^{-1} w^t C^{-1} w = C_1 \in \text{GL}(2, R)$ and

$$C^{-1} Aw^t D^{-1} w = C^{-1} AC w^t C_1 w \in \text{GL}(2, R).$$

Which is if, and only if, $C^{-1} AC \in \text{GL}(2, R)$ and $D = w^t C^{-1} w C_1$ with $C_1 \in \text{GL}(2, R)$. So we have $m^{-1} \gamma \alpha(m) \in K_M$ if, and only if,

$$m = (C, w^t C^{-1} w, e) (I, C_1, 1)$$

with $C^{-1} AC, C_1 \in \text{GL}(2, R)$.

Now we note that for $k \in K_M$ and $n \in N(F)$ we have

$$\varphi_{k^{-1} \gamma \alpha(k)}(n) = k^{-1} \varphi_{\gamma(\alpha(k)n \alpha(k)^{-1})} k,$$

and hence

$$\sigma_P(k^{-1} \gamma \alpha(k)) = \int_{N(F) \cap K} v_M(\varphi_{k^{-1} \gamma \alpha(k)}(n)) \ dn$$

$$= \int_{N(F) \cap K} v_M(k^{-1} \varphi_{\gamma(\alpha(k)n \alpha(k)^{-1})} k) \ dn$$

$$= \int_{N(F) \cap K} v_M(\varphi_{\gamma(\alpha(k)n \alpha(k)^{-1})}) \ dn,$$

which equals $\sigma_P(\gamma)$ after a suitable change of variables.

Therefore the integrand in $r_M^G(\gamma \alpha)$ is invariant under right multiplication of $m$ by an element of $K_M$. Thus if we set $T_1$ equal to the centralizer of $A$ in $\text{GL}(2)$ then we have

$$r_M^G(\gamma \alpha) = |D_M(\gamma \alpha)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int_{T_1(F) \setminus \text{GL}(2, F)} 1_{\text{GL}(2, R)}(C^{-1} AC) \sigma_P(C^{-1} AC, I, 1) \ dC$$

as wished. $\square$

### 6.2 Explicit statement of the fundamental lemma

We now give an explicit statement of the fundamental lemma for the pair $(M, M')$. Let $\gamma \alpha = (A, I, c) \alpha \in M(F)$ be semisimple. Under the norm maps we have

$$N(\gamma \alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} c \det A & c A \\ c A & c \end{pmatrix} \in M'(F) \subset \text{GSp}(4, F),$$
and to

\[ N(\gamma\alpha) = \begin{pmatrix} c \det A \\ c \end{pmatrix}, cA \right) \in M'(F) \subset (\text{GL}(2, F) \times \text{GL}(2, F))'. \]

By Lemma 6.1 the fundamental lemma for the pair \((M, M')\) is the assertion that for all \(A \in \text{GL}(2, F)\) and \(c \in F^\times\) for which \((A, I, c)\alpha \in M\) is strongly \(G^0\)-regular we have

\[ r^{G}_M((A, I, c)\alpha) = r^{G_{\text{Sp}}(4)}_{M'}(\text{diag}(c \det A, cA, c)) + r^{(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'}_{M'}(\text{diag}(c \det A, c)). \]

From Lemma 6.2 we know that the twisted integral vanishes if \(c \not\in U_F\). It is also clear from Lemma 5.16 that the integrals on \(G_{\text{Sp}}(4)\) and \((\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'\) vanish if \(c \not\in U_F\). Thus the fundamental lemma is proven in this case. Moreover, if \(c \in U_F\) then all integrals that appear in the statement of the fundamental lemma are independent of \(c\) and so we may assume that \(c = 1\). Furthermore, we may as well assume that \(A \in K_1 = \text{GL}(2, R)\). Having fixed \(A\) we let \(T_1\) denote the centralizer of \(A\) in \(\text{GL}(2)\). Then we can write

\[ \text{GL}(2, F) = \coprod_{m \geq 0} T_1(F) z_m K_1 \]

for an explicit set of representatives \(z_m\) to be given below.

Let \(P_1\) (resp. \(P_2\)) denote the upper triangular parabolics in \(G_{\text{Sp}}(4)\) (resp. \((\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'\)) of which \(M'\) is a Levi component. By abuse of notation we write

\[ \sigma_p(B) = \sigma_p \begin{pmatrix} B \\ I \end{pmatrix}, 1 \]

\[ \sigma_{p_1}(B) = \sigma_{p_1} \begin{pmatrix} \text{det} B \\ B \end{pmatrix} \]

\[ \sigma_{p_2}(B) = \sigma_{p_2} \begin{pmatrix} \text{det} B \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, B \]

for \(B \in \text{GL}(2, F)\).

Therefore the fundamental lemma we wish to prove is given by the following.

**Proposition 6.3.** Let \(A \in \text{GL}(2, R)\) be such that \(\gamma\alpha = (A, I, 1)\alpha\) is strongly \(G^0\)-regular. Assume that we have \(z_m^{-1} A z_m \in \text{GL}(2, F)\) if and only if \(m \leq N(A)\). Then

\[ |D_M(\gamma\alpha)|^2 \sum_{m=0}^{N(A)} \text{vol}(K_1 \cap z_m^{-1} T_1(F) z_m \setminus K_1) \sigma_p(z_m^{-1} A z_m) \]
is equal to
\[
|D_{M'}(N(\gamma \alpha))|^{1/2} \sum_{m=0}^{N(A)} \text{vol}(K_1 \cap z_m^{-1}T_1(F)z_m \setminus K_1) \left( \sigma_{P_1}(z_m^{-1}A z_m) + \sigma_{P_2}(z_m^{-1}A z_m) \right).
\]

We label the identity of this Proposition by $FL(A)$. We now proceed to prove $FL(A)$. We split the proof into two cases, in the first we assume that $A$ lies in a split torus, while in the second we assume that $A$ lies in an elliptic torus.

### 6.3 Computation of $\sigma_P$, $\sigma_{P_1}$ and $\sigma_{P_2}$

In this section we give the expressions for $\sigma_P$, $\sigma_{P_1}$ and $\sigma_{P_2}$. For ease of notation we set $\text{vol}(a_{P}^{\Delta}/\mathbf{Z}(\Delta_P^{\vee}))$ equal to $\frac{1}{\log q}$, which has the effect of replacing $\log$ by $\log q$ below. We suppress the $q$ from our notation and for the rest of this chapter take $\log$ to be $\log$ to the base $q$. We normalize the other volumes as in Section 5.5.

#### 6.3.1 Calculation of $\sigma_P$

We have

\[
N_P = \left\{ \left( \begin{array}{c} 1 \\ x_1 \\ x_2 \\ 1 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \\ 1 \end{array} \right) \right\}.
\]

If we identify $N_P(F)$ with $F^4$ using $x_1, \ldots, x_4$ as our coordinates then for $x = (A, I, 1) \in M^0(F)$ with

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}
\]

the map $n \mapsto x^{-1}n^{-1}x\alpha(n)$ is given by

\[
\begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \det A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} -d & 0 & b & \det A \\ 0 & \det A - d & 0 & b \\ c & 0 & \det A - a & 0 \\ \det A & c & 0 & -a \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x_1 \\ x_2 \\ x_3 \\ x_4 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Let $B$ denote this matrix then we have

\[
\det B = -\det A^{-2}(\det A - 1)(\det A - \text{tr} A + 1);
\]
and after a change of variables we have, for \( A \in \text{GL}(2, \mathbb{R}) \), \( \sigma_P(A) \) equal to

\[
|\text{det } A - 1| (\text{det } A - \text{tr } A + 1) \int_{F^4} \text{char}_{R^4}(B^4(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4)) \log \max \{1, |x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3|\}.
\]

6.3.2 Calculation of \( \sigma_{P_1} \)

We have

\[
N_{P_1} = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x & r & s \\ 1 & r \\ 1 & -x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.
\]

We identify \( N_{P_1} \) with \( F^3 \) using \( x, r \) and \( s \) as our coordinates. For

\[
y = \begin{pmatrix} \text{det } A \\ A \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

with

\[
A = \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ c & d \end{pmatrix}
\]

the map \( n \mapsto y^{-1} n^{-1} yn \) is given by

\[
f : \begin{pmatrix} x \\ r \\ s \end{pmatrix} \mapsto \text{det } A^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} (\text{det } A - a)x - cr \\ -bx + (\text{det } A - d)r \\ (\text{det } A - 1)s + bx^2 + (d - a) xr - cr^2 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

Therefore after a change of variables we have

\[
\sigma_{P_1}(A) = |(\text{det } A - 1)(\text{det } A - \text{tr } A + 1)| \int_{F^3} \text{char}_{R^3}(f(x, r, s)) \log \max \{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}.
\]

6.3.3 Calculation of \( \sigma_{P_2} \)

In this case we have

\[
\sigma_{P_2}(A) = |\det A - 1| \int_F \text{char}_{R^1}((\text{det } A - 1)x) v_M \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}, I \]

\[
= |\det A - 1| \int_{|x| \leq |\det A - 1|^{-2}} \log \max \{1, |x|\}.
\]
6.4 Proof of the fundamental lemma for split tori

In this section we prove Proposition 6.3 when $A$ lies in a split torus. After conjugation we may assume that $A$ lies in the diagonal torus $T_1$. We begin by giving a double coset decomposition for $GL(2, F)$.

**Lemma 6.4.** For each $m \geq 0$ let $x_m \in F$ be an element of valuation of $-m$. Then we have

$$GL(2, F) = \prod_{m \geq 0} T_1(F) \begin{pmatrix} x_m & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} K_1.$$ 

*Proof.* By the Iwasawa decomposition we have $GL(2, F) = T_1(F)U(F)K_1$, where $U$ denotes the subgroup of $GL(2)$ of upper triangular unipotent matrices. But for $u \in U_F$ and $x \in F$ we have

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & ux \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} u & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} u^{-1} & 1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

To check that the union of double cosets is disjoint we note that

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x_m \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} a & x_n \\ b & 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_n \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} a & ax_n - bx_m \\ b & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

and for this matrix to lie in $K_1$ we would need $a, b \in U_F$ and $m = n$. 

We now fix a sequence of elements $(x_m)$ as in Lemma 6.4 and we set

$$z_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_m \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Note that we have

$$z_m^{-1} \begin{pmatrix} a & \ast \\ d & \ast \end{pmatrix} z_m = \begin{pmatrix} a & (a - d)x_m \\ d & \ast \end{pmatrix},$$

and therefore,

$$\text{vol}(K_1 \cap z_m^{-1} T_1(F) z_m \setminus K_1) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } m = 0; \\ (q - 1)q^{m-1}, & \text{if } m > 0. \end{cases}$$

We now set

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & \ast \\ d & \ast \end{pmatrix}$$

then in the notation of Proposition 6.3 we have $N(A) = v(a - d)$. Using the action of the Weyl
group in GL(2) we can assume that we have $|a - 1| \leq |d - 1|$. We recall that we are assuming that $F$ has odd residual characteristic, so we can split the proof of Proposition 6.3 into the following three cases

**Case 1.** $|ad - 1| = |a - d| = |d - 1| \geq |a - 1|$

**Case 2.** $|a - 1| = |d - 1| = |ad - 1| \geq |a - d|$

**Case 3.** $|a - 1| = |d - 1| = |a - d| \geq |ad - 1|$. 

Our strategy will be to show that each case follows from proving the identity $F_L(A)$ when $|ad - 1| = |a - d| = |d - 1| = |a - 1|$. We then prove that the identity $F_L(A)$ holds in this case.

In order to guarantee that, for any $M \geq 0$, there exists $a, d \in U_F$ such that $|ad - 1| = |a - d| = |d - 1| = |a - 1| = q^{-M}$ we need to make the additional assumption that $q > 3$. See Remark 6.9 below for the case that $q = 3$.

We will need to compute $\sigma_P$, $\sigma_{P_1}$ and $\sigma_{P_2}$ at elements of the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
  a & b \\
  d & \end{pmatrix}
$$

with $a, d \in U_F$ and $0 < |a - d| \leq |b| \leq 1$. For $\sigma_P$ the matrix $B$ of Section 6.3.1 equals

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
  -d & 0 & b & ad \\
  0 & d(a - 1) & 0 & b \\
  0 & 0 & a(d - 1) & 0 \\
  ad & 0 & 0 & -a \\
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

After suitable row operations, invertible over $R$, we can put $B$ in the form

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
  0 & 0 & b & ad - 1 \\
  0 & (a - 1)d & 0 & b \\
  0 & 0 & d - 1 & 0 \\
  d & 0 & 0 & -1 \\
\end{pmatrix}.
$$

Since the function $v_M$ is invariant under right multiplication by $K$ we may assume that $x_1 = d^{-1}x_4$. After multiplying $x_2$ by $d^{-1}$ we get that $\sigma_P(A)$ is given by $|a - 1||d - 1||ad - 1|$ times the integral of

$$
\log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_4^2 - x_2x_3|\}$$
over the region in $F^3$ given by

1. $|x_3| \leq |d-1|^{-1}$
2. $(ad - 1)x_4 + bx_3 \in R$
3. $(a - 1)x_2 + bx_4 \in R$.

We have $\sigma_{P_i}$ at the element

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
a & b \\
d & \end{pmatrix}
$$

equal to $|a - 1||d - 1||ad - 1|$ times the integral of

$$
\log \max \{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}
$$

over the region in $F^3$ given by

1. $|x| \leq |d - 1|^{-1}$
2. $-bx + d(a - 1)r \in R$
3. $(ad - 1)s + x(bx - (a - d)r) \in R$.

### 6.4.1 Reduction in case 1

We assume that we have $N \geq M$ and

$$q^{-M} = |ad - 1| = |a - d| = |d - 1| \geq |a - 1| = q^{-N}.$$

We let $L(M, N)$ (resp. $R(M, N)$) denote the left (resp. right) hand side of the identity $FL(A)$ in this case. We will see that $L(M, N)$ and $R(M, N)$ are well defined. In this section we prove the following Proposition.

**Proposition 6.5.** For all $N \geq M$ we have

$$qL(M, N + 1) - L(M, N) = 3q^{-M} - 3 + (3M + N + 1)(q - 1) = qR(M, N + 1) - R(M, N).$$

**Proof.** We begin by considering the twisted integrals $\sigma_P(z_m^{-1}Az_m)$. We need to integrate

$$\log \max \{1, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_2^2 - x_2 x_3|\}$$

over the region given by
• \(|x_3| \leq |d - 1|^{-1}\)

• \(bx_3 + (ad - 1)x_4 \in R\)

• \((a - 1)x_2 + bx_4 \in R\)

where \(b \in R\) with \(|a - d| \leq |b| \leq 1\).

We first consider when \(|b|^{-1} < |x_3| \leq |d - 1|^{-1}\). Then we have

\[ x_4 = -(ad - 1)^{-1}bx_3u \]

with \(u_1 \in U_F^{v(bx_3)}\) and \(|x_4| = |ad - 1|^{-1}|bx_3| > |b|^{-1}\). Therefore,

\[ x_2 = -(a - 1)^{-1}bx_4u_2 = (a - 1)^{-1}(ad - 1)^{-1}b^2x_3u_1u_2 \]

with \(u_2 \in U_F^{v(bx_4)}\) and \(|x_2| = |a - 1|^{-1}|ad - 1|^{-1}|b^2x_3|\). Therefore,

\[ x_2^2 - x_2x_3 = b^2x_3^2u_1(ad - 1)^{-2}(a - 1)^{-1}((a - 1)u_1 - (ad - 1)u_2) \]

Since

\[ |(a - 1)u_1 - (ad - 1)u_2| = |d - 1| \]

for all such \(u_1\) and \(u_2\) we have

\[ |x_2^2 - x_2x_3| = |(ad - 1)^{-1}(a - 1)^{-1}|bx_3|^2|\].

The contribution to the integral is

\[ |a - 1|^{-1}|ad - 1|^{-1} \int_{|b|^{-1} < |x_3| \leq |d - 1|^{-1}} \log |(ad - 1)^{-1}(a - 1)^{-1}|bx_3|^2| \]

We are now left with the region given by

• \(|x_3| \leq |b|^{-1}\)

• \(|x_4| \leq |ad - 1|^{-1}\)

• \((a - 1)x_2 + bx_4 \in R\).

We now consider the case that \(|x_4| > |b|^{-1}\). Then we have

\[ x_2 = (a - 1)^{-1}bx_4u \]
with \( u \in U_{-n(bx_4)} \) and \( |x_2| = |a - 1|^{-1}|bx_4| \). Now

\[
|x_4^2 - x_2 x_3| = |x_2||x_4^{-1}x_2^{-1} - x_3|,
\]

and

\[
|x_4^{-1}x_2^{-1}| = |a - 1|^{-1}|bx_4| \leq |b|^{-1}.
\]

Therefore making the change of variables \( x_3 \mapsto x_3 - x_4^2x_2^{-1} \) gives the contribution to the integral as

\[
|a - 1|^{-1} \int_{|x_3| \leq |b|^{-1}} \int_{|b|^{-1} < |x_4| \leq |ad - 1|^{-1}} \log \max\{|a - 1|^{-1}|bx_4|, |a - 1|^{-1}|bx_4||x_3|\},
\]

which we can write as the sum of

\[
|a - 1|^{-1}|b|^{-1} \int_{|b|^{-1} < |x_4| \leq |ad - 1|^{-1}} \log |a - 1|^{-1}|bx_4|,
\]

and

\[
|a - 1|^{-1}(|ad - 1|^{-1} - |b|^{-1}) \int_{1 < |x_3| \leq |b|^{-1}} \log |x_3|.
\]

Finally we are left with the remaining contribution, which is

\[
\int_{|x_3| \leq |b|^{-1}} \int_{|x_4| \leq |b|^{-1}} \int_{|x_2| \leq |a|^{-1} - 1} \log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_4^2 - x_2x_3|\}.
\]

We note that the integrals above depend only on \( M, N \) and \( |b| \). We now compute the difference \( qL(M, N + 1) - L(M, N) \). For \( b \) with \( |b| = q^{-k} \) where \( 0 \leq k \leq M \) we set

\[
\sigma_P(M, N, k) = \sigma_P\left(\begin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ d \end{array}\right).
\]

We need to compute \( q\sigma_P(M, N + 1, k) - \sigma_P(M, N, k) \). From the first contribution to the integral the difference is given by

\[
q^{-M+1} \int_{q^k < |x_3| \leq q^M} (M + N + 1 - 2k + 2 \log |x_3|)
\]

minus

\[
q^{-M} \int_{q^k < |x_3| \leq q^M} (M + N - 2k + 2 \log |x_3|).
\]

The difference between the second contributions is given by

\[
q^{-2M+k+1} \int_{q^k < |x_4| \leq q^M} (N + 1 - k + \log |x_4|)
\]
plus
\[ q^{-2M+1}(q^M - q^k) \int_{1 < |x_3| \leq q^k} \log |x_3|. \]

minus
\[ q^{-2M+k} \int_{q^k < |x_4| \leq q^M} (N - k + \log |x_4|) \]

minus
\[ q^{-2M}(q^M - q^k) \int_{1 < |x_3| \leq q^k} \log |x_3|. \]

And the difference between the third contributions is
\[ q^{-2M-N} \int_{|x_3| \leq q^k} \int_{|x_4| \leq q^k} \int_{|x_2| = q^{N+1}} \log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_4^2 - x_2x_3|\}. \]

We note that \(|x_2^{-1}x_4^2| \leq q^{2k-N-1} < q^k\) and so making the change of variables \(x_3 \mapsto x_3 + x_2^{-1}x_4^2\) in this last integral gives
\[ q^{-2M-N} \int_{|x_3| \leq q^k} \int_{|x_4| \leq q^k} \int_{|x_2| = q^{N+1}} N + 1 + \log \max\{1, |x_3|\}. \]

Using Lemma 10.1 we get
\[ q\sigma_P(M, N + 1, k) - \sigma_P(M, N, k) = (3M + N - 2k + 1)(q - 1) - 1 + q^{-M}. \]

Now we have \(qL(M, N + 1) - L(M, N)\) equal to \(q^{-M}\) times
\[ (q\sigma_P(M, N + 1, M) - \sigma_P(M, N, M)) + (q - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} (q\sigma_P(M, N + 1, k) - \sigma_P(M, N, k))q^{M-k-1}. \]

Using the fact that
\[ (1 - q^{-1}) \sum_{i=0}^{m} iq^i = mq^m - \frac{q^m - 1}{q - 1} \]

for all \(m \geq -1\) we get
\[ qL(M, N + 1) - L(M, N) = 3q^{-M} + (3M + N + 1)(q - 1) - 3. \]

We now consider the right hand side of the identity \(FL(A)\). First we consider the relevant integrals on \(GSp(4)\). Here we need to integrate
\[ \log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\} \]

over the region in \(F^3\) given by
• $|x| \leq |d - 1|^{-1}$
• $-bx + d(a - 1)r \in R$
• $(ad - 1)s + x(bx - (a - d)r) \in R$.

First we suppose that $|b|^{-1} < |x|$. Then $r = d^{-1}(a - 1)^{-1}bxu$ with $u \in U_{F}^{-v(bx)}$. We have

$$bx - (a - d)r = bx - (a - d)d^{-1}(a - 1)^{-1}bx = bx(a - 1)^{-1}d^{-1}(d(a - 1) - (a - d)u)$$

and we note that

$$|d(a - 1) - (a - d)u| = |d - 1|$$

for all $u \in U_{F}^{-v(bx)}$. Hence we must have $|s| = |a - 1|^{-1}|bx^2|$. Thus the contribution to the integral is

$$|a - 1|^{-1} |ad - 1|^{-1} \int_{|b|^{-1} < |x| \leq |d - 1|^{-1}} \log |a - 1|^{-1}|bx^2|.$$ 

We are now left with the region

• $|x| \leq |b|^{-1}$
• $|r| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}$
• $(ad - 1)s + x(bx - (a - d)r) \in R$

to integrate over. Making the change of variables $s \mapsto s - (ad - 1)^{-1}x(bx - (a - d)r)$ we see that the contribution to the integral is

$$\int_{|x| \leq |b|^{-1}} \int_{|r| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}} \int_{|s| \leq |ad - 1|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s - (ad - 1)^{-1}x(bx - (a - d)r)|\}.$$ 

Multiplying $x, r$ and $s$ by suitable units this integral equals

$$\int_{|x| \leq |b|^{-1}} \int_{|r| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}} \int_{|s| \leq |ad - 1|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s - \pi^{-M}x(bx - \pi^Mr)|\}.$$ 

The integral on $(GL(2) \times GL(2))'$ is given by

$$\sigma_{P_{2}}(z_{m}^{-1}Az_{m}) = |ad - 1| \int_{1 < |x| \leq |ad - 1|^{-1}} \log |x|.$$ 

We note that the integrals above depend only on $M, N$ and $|b|$. For $|b| = q^{-k}$, $0 \leq k \leq M$, we define $\sigma_{P_{1}}(M, N, k)$ and $\sigma_{P_{2}}(M, N, k)$ as we did for $\sigma_{P}(M, N, k)$. We now compute

$$(q\sigma_{P_{1}}(M, N + 1, k) - \sigma_{P_{1}}(M, N, k)) + (q\sigma_{P_{2}}(M, N + 1, k) - \sigma_{P_{2}}(M, N, k)).$$
First we compute \( q\sigma P_1(M, N + 1, k) - \sigma P_1(M, N, k) \). The first part of the integral contributes

\[
q^{-M+1} \int_{q^k < |x| \leq q^M} N - k + 2 \log |x|
\]

minus

\[
q^{-M} \int_{q^k < |x| \leq q^M} N - k + 2 \log |x|.
\]

While the second part of the integral contributes

\[
q^{-N-2M} \int_{|x| \leq q^k} \int_{|r| = q^{N+1}} \int_{|s| \leq q^M} \log \max \{1, |x||r|, |s - \pi^{-M} x(bx - \pi^{-M} r)|\},
\]

which equals

\[
q^{-N-2M} \int_{|x| \leq q^k} \int_{|r| = q^{N+1}} \int_{|s| \leq q^M} \log \max \{|r|, |s - x r|\},
\]

which equals

\[
q^{-N-M} \int_{|x| \leq q^k} \int_{|r| = q^{N+1}} N + 1 + \log \max \{1, |x|\},
\]

since \( k \leq M \leq N \).

Putting this together and using Lemma 10.1 gives

\[
(q\sigma P_1(M, N + 1, k) - \sigma P_1(M, N, k)) + (q\sigma P_2(M, N + 1, k) - \sigma P_2(M, N, k))
\]

equal to

\[
(3M + N - k + 1)(q - 1) - 2 + 2q^{-M}.
\]

And we get \( qR(M, N + 1) - R(M, N) \) equal to

\[
3q^{-M} - 3 + (3M + N + 1)(q - 1)
\]

as required.

\[
\square
\]

### 6.4.2 Reduction in case 2

We assume that \( N \geq M \) and

\[
q^{-M} = |a - 1| = |d - 1| = |ad - 1| \geq |a - d| = q^{-N}.
\]

We let \( L(M, N) \) (resp. \( R(M, N) \)) denote the left (resp. right) hand side of the identity \( FL(A) \) in this case. We will see that \( L(M, N) \) and \( R(M, N) \) are well defined. In this section we prove the
following Proposition.

**Proposition 6.6.** For all $N \geq M$ we have

$$L(M, N + 1) - L(M, N) = 0 = R(M, N + 1) - R(M, N).$$

**Proof.** We begin by analyzing the twisted integrals $\sigma_P$. For $b$ with $|b| = q^{-k}$ we write

$$\sigma_P(M, N, k) = \sigma_P \begin{pmatrix} a & b \\ d & \end{pmatrix}$$

and we define, for $0 \leq k \leq N$,

$$e(M, N, k) = \sigma_P(M, N + 1, k) - \sigma_P(M, N, k).$$

Now we have

$$q^{N+1} L(M, N + 1) = \sigma_P(M, N + 1, N + 1) + (q - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sigma_P(M, N + 1, k)q^{N-k}$$

$$= \sigma_P(M, N + 1, N + 1) + (q - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sigma_P(M, N, k)q^{N-k} + (q - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{N} e(M, N, k)q^{N-k}$$

$$= \sigma_P(M, N + 1, N + 1) - \sigma_P(M, N, N) + q^{N+1} L(M, N) + (q - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{N} e(M, N, k)q^{N-k}$$

and therefore,

$$q^{N+1} (L(M, N + 1) - L(M, N)) = \sigma_P(M, N + 1, N + 1) - \sigma_P(M, N, N) + (q - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{N} e(M, N, k)q^{N-k}.$$ 

Thus we will be done with the left hand side if we can show that $\sigma_P(M, N + 1, N + 1) = \sigma_P(M, N, N)$ and $e(M, N, k) = 0$ for all $k$.

Now recall that $\sigma_P(M, N, k)$ is given by $q^{-3M}$ times the integral of

$$\log \max \{1, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_4^2 - x_2x_3|\}$$

over the region given by

- $|x_3| \leq q^M$
- $bx_3 + (ad - 1)x_4 \in R$
- $(a - 1)x_2 + bx_4 \in R.$

We now consider the integral over this region for $|b| = q^{-k}$. First suppose that $q^k < |x_3| \leq q^M$. 

Then we have

\[ x_4 = -(ad - 1)^{-1}bx_3u_1 \]

with \( u_1 \in U_F^{-v(bx_3)} \) and

\[ x_2 = -(a - 1)^{-1}bx_4u_2 = (a - 1)^{-1}(ad - 1)^{-1}b^2x_3u_2u_1 \]

with \( u_2 \in U_F^{-v(bx_4)} \). Therefore,

\[
x_4^2 - x_2x_3 = (ad - 1)^{-2}b^2x_3^2u_1^2 - (a - 1)^{-1}(ad - 1)^{-1}b^2x_3^2u_1u_2
\]

\[
= (ad - 1)^{-2}(a - 1)^{-1}b^2x_3^2u_1((a - 1)u_1 - (ad - 1)u_2).
\]

We have

\[ |(a - 1)u_1 - (ad - 1)u_2| = |d - 1| \]

for all \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \) and hence in the range \( q^k < |x_3| \leq q^M \) we have

\[
\log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_4^2 - x_2x_3| \} = \log |x_4^2 - x_2x_3| = 2M - 2k + 2\log |x_3|.
\]

We are now left to integrate over the region

- \(|x_3| \leq \min\{q^k, q^M\}\)
- \(|x_4| \leq q^M\)
- \((a - 1)x_2 + bx_4 \in R\).

Next we suppose that \( q^k < |x_3| \leq q^M \). Then we have

\[ x_2 = -(a - 1)^{-1}bx_4u \]

with \( u \in U_F^{-v(bx_4)} \). Hence,

\[
x_4^2 - x_2x_3 = x_4^2 + (a - 1)^{-1}bx_4ux_3 = (a - 1)^{-1}bx_4u(u^{-1}(a - 1)b^{-1}x_4 + x_3).
\]

Now \(|u^{-1}(a - 1)b^{-1}x_4| \leq q^{-M+k}q^M = q^k\). Hence making the change of variables

\[ x_3 \mapsto x_3 - u^{-1}(a - 1)b^{-1}x_4 \]
gives the integral over this region as
\[ q^M \int_{|x_3|\leq q^k} \int_{q^{k-|x_4|}\leq q^M} (M - k + \log \max\{|x_4|, |x_3x_4|\}). \]

And finally we are left with the integral
\[ \int_{|x_3|\leq q^k, q^{k-|x_4|}\leq q^M} \int_{|x_4|\leq q^M} \log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_4^2 - x_2x_3|\}. \]

It’s clear from above that \( \sigma_P(M,N,k) \) does not depend on \( N \) and hence we have \( e(M,N,k) = 0 \) for all \( k \). Moreover, we see that
\[ \sigma_P(M,N,N) = q^{-3M} \int_{|x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4| \leq q^M} \log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_4^2 - x_2x_3|\} \]

and hence we have \( \sigma_P(M+N,1,N+1) = \sigma_P(M,N,N) \).

Now we turn to the right hand side of the identity \( FL(A) \). Let \( R_1(M,N) \) (resp. \( R_2(M,N) \)) denote the contribution to \( R(M,N) \) from the sum over the \( \sigma_{P_1} \) (resp. \( \sigma_{P_2} \)).

First we consider the integral on \((\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))\)’. We have for \( 0 \leq m \leq N \)
\[ \sigma_{P_2}(z^{-1}_m A z_m) = q^M \int_{|x|\leq q^M} \log \max\{1, |x|\} \]

and it’s clear from this that we have \( R_2(M,N) = R_2(M,N+1) \).

Now we consider the integral on \( \text{GSp}(4) \). For \( |b| = q^{-k}, 0 \leq k \leq N \), we set
\[ \sigma_{P_1}(M,N,k) = \sigma_{P_1} \left( \begin{array}{cc} a & b \\ \hline 0 & c \end{array} \right), \]

and define
\[ e_1(M,N,k) = \sigma_{P_1}(M,N+1,k) - \sigma_{P_1}(M,N,k). \]

As above we have
\[ q^{N+1} (R(M,N+1) - R(M,N)) = \sigma_{P_1}(M,N+1,N+1) - \sigma_{P_1}(M,N,N) + (q-1) \sum_{k=0}^{N} e_1(M,N,k) q^{N-k}. \]

We now show that this expression is equal to zero.

Having fixed \( M \) we set, for \( m \in \mathbb{Z} \),
\[ I(m) = q^{-3M} \int_{|r|\leq q^m} \int_{|s|\leq q^M} |r| \log \max\{1, |r|, |s - \pi m r^2|\}. \]

We note that \( I(m) \) is constant for \( m \geq 2M \). We will express \( \sigma_{P_1}(M,N+1,N+1) - \sigma_{P_1}(M,N,N) \)
and $e_1(M, N, k)q^{N-k}$ in terms of $I(m)$.

We begin by computing $e_1(M, N, k)$. Recall that $\sigma_{P_1}(M, N, k)$ is equal to $q^{-3M}$ times the integral of

$$\log \max \{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}$$

over the region

- $|x| \leq q^M$
- $-bx + d(a - 1)r \in R$
- $(ad - 1)s + x(bx - (a - d)r) \in R$.

First we suppose that $q^k < |x| \leq q^M$. Then we have

$$r = d^{-1}(a - 1)^{-1}bxu$$

with $u \in U_{P}^{v(bx)}$. Therefore,

$$x(bx - (a - d)r) = bx^2d^{-1}(a - 1)^{-1}(d(a - 1) - (a - d)u)$$

and we have

$$|d(a - 1) - (a - d)u| = |d - 1|$$

for all such $u$. Hence over this region the integrand is equal to $\log |ad - 1|^{-1}|bx^2|$ and therefore the contribution to $e_1(M, N, k)$ is zero.

We are now left with the region

- $|x| \leq \min \{q^k, q^M\}$
- $|r| \leq q^M$
- $(ad - 1)s + x(bx - (a - d)r) \in R$.

So after scaling our variables by suitable units we can take this region to be

- $|x| \leq \min \{q^k, q^M\}$
- $|r| \leq q^M$
- $\pi^Ms + x(\pi^kx - \pi^Nr) \in R$.

Making the change of variables $x \mapsto x + \frac{1}{2}\pi^{N-k}r$ and $r \mapsto 2r$, which doesn’t change the integrand, this region becomes
\begin{itemize}
  \item \( |x| \leq \min\{q^k, q^M\} \)
  \item \( |r| \leq q^M \)
  \item \( \pi^M s + \pi^k(x + \pi^{-k}r)(x - \pi^{-k}r) \in R. \)
\end{itemize}

Thus we see that if \( |x| > |\pi^{-k}r| \) then we have
\[
|\pi^k(x + \pi^{-k}r)(x - \pi^{-k}r)| = |\pi^k x^2| = |\pi^k(x + \pi^{k+1-k}r)(x - \pi^{N+1-k}r)|
\]

and the contribution to \( e_1(M, N, k) \) is zero. Therefore \( e_1(M, N, k) \) is equal to the difference between the integral of
\[
q^{-3M} \log \max\{1, |r|, |s|\}
\]

over the regions
\begin{itemize}
  \item \( |r| \leq q^M \)
  \item \( |x| \leq q^{k-N} |r| \)
  \item \( \pi^M s + \pi^k(x + \pi^{N+1-k}r)(x - \pi^{N+1-k}r) \in R, \)
\end{itemize}

and
\begin{itemize}
  \item \( |r| \leq q^M \)
  \item \( |x| \leq q^{k-N} |r| \)
  \item \( \pi^M s + \pi^k(x + \pi^{-k}r)(x - \pi^{-k}r) \in R. \)
\end{itemize}

Over the first region the integral is equal the sum of
\[
q^{-3M} q^{k-N} (1 - q^{-1}) I(2N - k),
\]

the contribution when \( |x| = q^{k-N} |r|, \)
\[
q^{-3M} q^{k-N-2} I(2N - k + 2)
\]

the contribution when \( |x| \leq q^{k-N-2} |r|, \) and
\[
q^{-3M} q^{k-N-1} (1 - 3q^{-1}) I(2N - k + 2) + q^{-3M} q^{k-N-1} \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} 2q^{-a} (1 - q^{-1}) I(2N - k + 2 + a)
\]

the contribution when \( |x| = q^{k-N-1} |r|. \)
Over the second region the integral is equal to the sum of
\[ q^{-3M} q^{k-N-1} I(2N - k) \]

the contribution when \( |x| \leq q^{k-N-1}|r| \), and
\[ q^{-3M} q^{k-N} (1 - 3q^{-1}) I(2N - k) + q^{-3M} q^{k-N} \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} 2q^{-a}(1 - q^{-1}) I(2N - k + a) \]

the contribution when \( |x| = q^{k-N}|r| \).

Hence we have \( e_1(M, N, k)q^{N-k} \) equal to \( q^{-3M} \) times
\[ q^{-1}I(2N - k) + q^{-1}(1 - 2q^{-1}) I(2N - k + 2) + q^{-1} \sum_{a=1}^{\infty} 2q^{-a}(1 - q^{-1}) (I(2N - k + 2 + a) - I(2N - k + a)), \]

which equals \( q^{-3M} \) times the sum of
\[ q^{-1}I(2N - k) - q^{-1}I(2N - k + 2), \]

and
\[ 2q^{-1}(1 - q^{-1}) \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} q^{-a}I(2N - k + 2 + a) - 2q^{-1}(1 - q^{-1}) \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} q^{-a}I(2N - k + 1 + a). \]

We now sum from \( k = 0 \) to \( N \). By telescoping we have
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{N} q^{-1}I(2N - k) - q^{-1}I(2N - k + 2) = q^{-1}I(N) + q^{-1}I(N + 1) - 2q^{-1}I(2M). \]

While we have
\[ \sum_{k=0}^{N} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} q^{-a}I(2N - k + 2 + a) - \sum_{k=0}^{N} q^{-a}I(2N - k + 1 + a) \]

equal to
\[ \sum_{k=1}^{N+1} \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} q^{-a}I(N + k + 1 + a) - \sum_{k=0}^{N} q^{-a}I(N + k + 1 + a), \]

which equals
\[ \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} q^{-a}I(2N + 2 + a) - \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} q^{-a}I(N + 1 + a), \]

which equals
\[ \frac{1}{1 - q^{-1}} I(2M) - \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} q^{-a}I(N + 1 + a), \]
using the fact that $I(m)$ is constant for $m \geq 2M$. Putting this altogether we get

$$q^{3M}(q-1)\sum_{k=0}^{N} e_1(M,N,k)q^{N-k}$$

equal to

$$(1-q^{-1})I(N) + (1-q^{-1})I(N+1) - 2(1-q^{-1})^{2}\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} q^{-a}I(N+1+a).$$

Next we compute $\sigma_{P_1}(M, N+1, N+1) - \sigma_{P_1}(M, N, N)$ in terms of $I(m)$. We have $\sigma_{P_1}(M, N, N)$ equal to $q^{-3M}$ times the integral of

$$\log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}$$

over the region

- $|x|, |r| \leq q^M$
- $\pi^M s + \pi^N x(x-r) \in R$,

which becomes, after the change of variables $r \mapsto x-r$ that doesn't affect the integrand,

- $|x|, |r| \leq q^M$
- $\pi^M s + \pi^N x r \in R$.

Since the region and integrand are symmetric in $x$ and $r$ we can compute this integral as twice the integral when $|x| \leq |r|$ minus the integral when $|x| = |r|$. The contribution from when $|x| \leq |r|$ is

$$\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \int_{|r|,|s| \leq q^M} (1-q^{-1})\pi^a r \log \max\{1, |r|, |s - \pi^N + a^2|\},$$

which equals

$$\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} q^{-a}(1-q^{-1})I(N+a).$$

While the contribution when $|x| = |r|$ is equal to $(1-q^{-1})I(N)$. Hence we have

$$\sigma_{P_1}(M, N+1, N+1) - \sigma_{P_1}(M, N, N)$$

equal to $q^{-3M}$ times

$$\sum_{a=0}^{\infty} \left( q^{-a}(1-q^{-1})I(N+1+a) \right) - (1-q^{-1})I(N+1)$$
minus
\[2 \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} (q^{-a}(1 - q^{-1})I(N + a)) - (1 - q^{-1})I(N).\]

But we have
\[2 \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} q^{-a}(1 - q^{-1})I(N + 1 + a) - 2 \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} q^{-a}(1 - q^{-1})I(N + a)\]
equal to
\[2(1 - q^{-1})^2 \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} (q^{-a}I(N + 1 + a)) - 2(1 - q^{-1})I(N),\]
and hence we have \(q^{2M}(\sigma_{P_1}(M, N + 1, N + 1) - \sigma_{P_1}(M, N, N))\) equal to
\[2(1 - q^{-1})^2 \sum_{a=0}^{\infty} q^{-a}I(N + 1 + a) - (1 - q^{-1})I(N + 1) - (1 - q^{-1})I(N).\]

Thus \(R_1(M, N + 1) - R_1(M, N) = 0\) as required.

\begin{proof}
\end{proof}

6.4.3 Reduction in case 3

We assume that \(N \geq M\) and
\[q^{-M} = |a - 1| = |d - 1| = |a - d| \geq |ad - 1| = q^{-N}.\]

We let \(L(M, N)\) (resp. \(R(M, N)\)) denote the left (resp. right) hand side of the identity \(FL(A)\) in this case. We will see that \(L(M, N)\) and \(R(M, N)\) are well defined. In this section we prove the following Proposition.

**Proposition 6.7.** For all \(N \geq M\) we have
\[qL(M, N + 1) - L(M, N) = 2q^{-M} - 2 + 2(M + N + 1)(q - 1) = qR(M, N + 1) - R(M, N).\]

**Proof.** We begin by considering the twisted integrals \(\sigma_P(z_m^{-1}Az_m)\). Again we need to integrate
\[
\log \max \{1, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_4^2 - x_2x_3|\}
\]
over the region in \(F^3\) given by
\begin{itemize}
  \item \(|x_3| \leq |d - 1|^{-1}\)
  \item \(bx_3 + (ad - 1)x_4 \in R\)
  \item \((a - 1)x_2 + bx_4 \in R\).
\end{itemize}
We first consider the contribution when \(|b|^{-1} < |x_3|\). Then we have

\[ x_4 = -(ad - 1)^{-1}bx_3u_1 \]

with \(u_1 \in U_F^{-v(bx_3)}\). Therefore \(|x_4| = |ad - 1|^{-1}|bx_3| > |b|^{-1}\) and hence

\[ x_2 = -(a - 1)^{-1}bx_4u_2 = (a - 1)^{-1}(ad - 1)^{-1}b^2x_3u_1u_2 \]

with \(u_2 \in U_F^{-v(bx_4)}\). Thus,

\[ x_4^2 - x_2x_3 = (ad - 1)^{-2}(a - 1)^{-1}b^2x_3^2u_1(a_1 - (ad - 1)u_2). \]

Since \(|u_1(a_1 - (ad - 1)u_2)| = |d - 1|\) for all \(u_1\) and \(u_2\) we have

\[ |x_4^2 - x_2x_3| = |(ad - 1)^{-2}b^2x_3^2|. \]

So the contribution when \(|b|^{-1} < |x_3|\) is

\[ |ad - 1|^{-1}|a - 1|^{-1}\int_{|b|^{-1} < |x_3| \leq |d - 1|^{-1}} \log |(ad - 1)^{-2}b^2x_3^2|. \]

We are now left to integrate over

- \(|x_3| \leq |b|^{-1}\)
- \(|x_4| \leq |ad - 1|^{-1}\)
- \((a - 1)x_2 + bx_4 \in R\).

Suppose that \(|x_4| > |b|^{-1}\). Then we have

\[ x_2 = -(a - 1)^{-1}bx_4u \]

with \(u \in U_F^{-v(bx_4)}\), and

\[ x_4^2 - x_2x_3 = x_4^2 + (a - 1)^{-1}bx_4ux_3 = x_4(x_4 + (a - 1)^{-1}bx_3). \]

So after multiplying \(x_3\) by a suitable unit the contribution to the integral is

\[ |a - 1|^{-1}\int_{|x_3| \leq |b|^{-1}} \int_{|b|^{-1} < |x_4| \leq |ad - 1|^{-1}} \log \max\{|\pi^{-M}bx_4|, |x_4(x_4 + \pi^{-M}bx_3)|\}. \]
Finally, when $|x_4| \leq |b|^{-1}$ the contribution is

$$\int_{|x_3| \leq |b|^{-1}} \int_{|x_4| \leq |b|^{-1}} \int_{|x_2| \leq (a-1)^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_4^2 - x_2 x_3|\}.$$

We define $\sigma_P(M, N, k)$ as before and now compute $q \sigma_P(N + 1, M, k) - \sigma_P(N, M, k)$. From the first contribution to the integral the difference is given by

$$q^{-M+1} \int_{q^k < |x_3| \leq q^M} (2N - 2k + 2 + 2 \log |x_3|)$$

minus

$$q^{-M} \int_{q^k < |x_3| \leq q^M} (2N - 2k + 2 \log |x_3|).$$

The difference between the second contributions is

$$2q^{-M-N+k} \int_{|x_4| = q^{N+1}} \log |x_4|,$$

and the difference between the third contributions is zero. Using Lemma 10.1 we get

$$q \sigma_P(M, N + 1, k) - \sigma_P(M, N, k) = 2(M + N - k + 1)(q - 1),$$

and we compute

$$qL(M, N + 1) - L(M, N) = 2q^{-M} - 2 + 2(M + N + 1)(q - 1).$$

We now turn our attention to the right hand side of the identity $FL(A)$. First we look at computing the integrals $\sigma_{P_1}(z_m^{-1} Az_m)$. We are integrating the function

$$\log \max \{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}$$

over the region

- $|x| \leq |d - 1|^{-1}$
- $-bx + d(a - 1)r \in R$
- $(ad - 1)s + x(bx - (a - d)r) \in R.$

If $|b|^{-1} < |x|$ then we have

$$r = d^{-1}(a - 1)^{-1} bxu.$$
with \( u \in U_F^{-\nu(bx)} \). Then
\[
bx - (a - d)r = bx d^{-1} (a - 1)^{-1} (d(a - 1) - (a - d)u),
\]
and we have
\[
|d(a - 1) - (a - d)u| = |d - 1|
\]
for all such \( u \). Hence we have
\[
|s| = |ad - 1|^{-1} |bx^2|.
\]
Therefore, the contribution to the integral is
\[
|ad - 1|^{-1} |a - 1|^{-1} \int_{|b|^{-1} < |x| \leq |d - 1|^{-1}} \log |ad - 1|^{-1} |bx^2|.
\]
The region that’s left is given by
\[\begin{align*}
\bullet & \ |x| \leq |b|^{-1} \\
\bullet & \ |r| \leq |a - 1|^{-1} \\
\bullet & \ (ad - 1)s + x(bx - (a - d)r) \in R.
\end{align*}\]
Making the change of variables \( s \mapsto s - (ad - 1)^{-1}x(bx - (a - d)r) \) gives the remaining integral as
\[
\int_{|x| \leq |b|^{-1}} \int_{|r| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}} \int_{|s| \leq |ad - 1|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s - (ad - 1)^{-1}x(bx - (a - d)r)|\}.
\]
And making the change of variables \( r \mapsto r + (a - d)^{-1}bx \) gives this integral as
\[
\int_{|x| \leq |b|^{-1}} \int_{|r| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}} \int_{|s| \leq |ad - 1|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x|, |r + (a - d)^{-1}bx|, |s - (ad - 1)^{-1}(a - d)xr|\}.
\]
We see that if \(|xr| > |a - d|^{-1}\) then the integrand equals
\[
\log |ad - 1|^{-1} |a - d| |xr|,
\]
and so the contribution to the integral from this region is
\[
|ad - 1|^{-1} \int_{1 < |x| \leq |b|^{-1}} \int_{|a - 1|^{-1} |x|^{-1} < |r| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}} \log |ad - 1|^{-1} |a - d| |xr|.
\]
Now we look at the contribution when \(|xr| \leq |a - d|^{-1}\). This is given, after suitable change of
variables in $x$ and $s$, by

\[
\int_{|x| \leq |b|^{-1}} \int_{|r| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}} \int_{|s| \leq |ad - 1|^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x|, |r + \pi^{M} bx|, |s|\}.
\]

We define $\sigma_{P_{1}}(M, N, k)$ as before and we now compute $q\sigma_{P_{1}}(M, N + 1, k) - \sigma_{P_{1}}(M, N, k)$. The difference between the first contributions to the integrals gives

\[
q^{-M+1} \int_{q^{k} < |x| \leq q^{M}} (N + 1 - k + 2 \log |x|) - q^{-M} \int_{q^{k} < |x| \leq q^{M}} (N - k + 2 \log |x|).
\]

The difference between the second contributions is

\[
q^{-2M}(q - 1) \int_{q^{M} < |y| \leq q^{M + k}} (N - M + \log |y|) \int_{q^{-M} |y| \leq |x| \leq q^{k}} |x|^{-1}
= q^{-M-1}(q - 1)^{2} \int_{1 < |y| \leq q^{k}} (N + \log |y|)(k + 1 - \log |y|)
\]

plus

\[
q^{-2M+1} \int_{q^{M} < |y| \leq q^{M+k}} \int_{q^{-M} |y| \leq |x| \leq q^{k}} |x|^{-1} = q^{-M}(q - 1) \int_{1 < |y| \leq q^{k}} k + 1 - \log |y|.
\]

And the difference between the third contributions is

\[
q^{-N-2M} \int_{|x| \leq q^{k}} \int_{|s| \leq q^{M}, |sr| \leq q^{M}} \int_{|s| = q^{N+1}} \log |s|.
\]

Putting these altogether gives

\[
q\sigma_{P_{1}}(M, N + 1, k) - \sigma_{P_{1}}(M, N, k) = (2M + N - k + 1)(q - 1) - 1 + q^{-M}.
\]

We note that we have

\[
q\sigma_{P_{2}}(M, N + 1, k) - \sigma_{P_{2}}(M, N, k) = (N + 1)(q - 1)
\]

and hence

\[
q(\sigma_{P_{1}}(M, N + 1, k) + \sigma_{P_{2}}(M, N + 1, k)) - (\sigma_{P_{1}}(M, N + 1, k) + \sigma_{P_{2}}(M, N + 1, k))
\]

equals

\[
(2M + 2N - k + 2)(q - 1) - 1 + q^{-M}.
\]

We now compute

\[
qR(M, N + 1) - R(M, N) = 2q^{-M} - 2 + 2(M + N + 1)(q - 1)
\]
as desired.

6.4.4 Proof when $M = N$

We assume that we have

$$|a - 1| = |d - 1| = |ad - 1| = |d - 1| = q^{-M}.$$ 

We let $L(M)$ (resp. $R(M)$) denote the left (resp. right) hand side of the identity $FL(A)$. We now prove the following Proposition which completes the proof of Proposition 6.3 in the case that $A$ lies in a split torus.

**Proposition 6.8.** For all $M \geq 0$ we have

$$L(M) = 4M - 4\frac{1 - q^{-M}}{q - 1} = R(M).$$

**Proof.** We begin by computing the left hand side of $FL(A)$. For $b$ with $|b| = q^{-k}$ we set

$$\sigma_P(M, k) = \sigma_P \left( \begin{array}{c} a \\ b \\ d \end{array} \right).$$

As we have seen $\sigma_P(M, k)$ is equal to the sum of

$$q^{-M} \int_{q^k < |x_3| \leq q^M} \log(q^{2M-2k}|x_3|^2),$$

and

$$q^{-2M+k} \int_{q^k < |x_4| \leq q^M} \log(q^{M-k}|x_4|),$$

and

$$(q^{-M} - q^{-2M+k}) \int_{1 < |x_3| \leq q^k} \log |x_3|,$$

and

$$q^{-3M} \int_{|x_3| \leq q^k} \int_{|x_4| \leq q^k} \int_{|x_2| \leq q^M} \log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_2^2 - x_2x_3|\}.$$ 

Putting this altogether gives

$$\sigma_P(M, k) = (4M - 2k) + \frac{1}{q - 1} (-2 + q^{-M} + q^{3k-3M}) - \frac{q^{3k-3M} - q^{-3M}}{q^3 - 1}.$$
And we get

\[ L(M) = \sigma_P(M, M) + (q - 1) \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} \sigma_P(M, k)q^{M-k-1} = 4M - 4 \frac{1 - q^{-M}}{q - 1}. \]

We now compute \( R(M) \). We define \( \sigma_{P_1}(M, k) \) and \( \sigma_{P_2}(M, k) \) similarly. First we note that

\[ \sigma_{P_2}(M, k) = M - \frac{1 - q^{-M}}{q - 1}. \]

We now compute \( \sigma_{P_1}(M, k) \). As we have seen this is equal to the sum of

\[ q^{-M} \int_{q^k < |x| \leq q^M} \log(q^{M-k}|x|^2), \]

and

\[ q^{-3M} \int_{|x| \leq q^k} \int_{|r| \leq q^M} \int_{|s| \leq q^M} \log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s - (ad - 1)^{-1}x(bx - (ad - 1)r)|\}. \]

We turn our attention to computing this latter integral. It’s clear that if \(|x(bx - (ad - 1)r)| > 1\) then the final term dominates. We begin by computing the contribution to the integral in this case.

We need to compute the volume of \( x \) and \( r \) such that \(|x(bx - (a - d)r)| = q^m\) for \( m > 0\).

Making the change of variables

\[ x \mapsto x + \frac{1}{2}(ad - 1)b^{-1}r, \quad r \mapsto 2r \]

turns this into

\[ |b|^{-1}|bx - (a - d)r||bx - (a - d)r|. \]

We now make the change of variables \( u = bx - (ad - 1)r \) and \( v = bx + (ad - 1)r \), which multiplies the integral by \(|b|^{-1}|ad - 1|^{-1}\). Given \( m \) with \( 0 \leq m < k \) the volume of \( u \) and \( v \) such that \(|uv| = q^{-m}\) is

\[ \sum_{n=0}^{m} \text{vol}(|u| = q^{-n}) \text{vol}(|v| = q^{-m+n}) = (m + 1)q^{-m}(1 - q^{-1})^2. \]

Thus the contribution to \( \sigma_{P_1}(M, k) \) when \(|x(bx - (ad - 1)r)| > 1\) is

\[ q^{k-M} \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} (m + 1)(M + k - m)q^{-m}(1 - q^{-1})^2. \]

We are now left the range of integration

- \(|x| \leq q^k, |r| \leq q^M, x(bx - (ad - 1)r) \in R \)
- \(|s| \leq q^M \)
and after making of change of variables in \( s \) we can take our integrand to be

\[
\log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}.
\]

We set \( t = \lfloor \frac{k}{2} \rfloor \), so that \( |bx^2| > 1 \) if and only if \( |x| > q^l \). We define, for \( a \geq 0 \),

\[
F(q^a) = \int_{|s| \leq q^M} \log \max\{q^a, |s|\} = Mq^M - \frac{q^M - q^a}{q - 1}.
\]

Let us first consider the case that \( q^l < |x| \leq q^k \). Then in order that \( x(bx - (ad - 1)r) \in \mathbb{R} \) we need \( r = (ad - 1)^{-1}bxu \) with \( u \in U_{F^{-v(bx^2)}} \). The volume of such \( r \) equals \( |(ad - 1)^{-1}x^{-1}| \) and the contribution to the integral is

\[
\int_{q^l < |x| \leq q^k} |(ad - 1)^{-1}x^{-1}|F(q^M |bx|).
\]

Now we consider the contribution when \( |x| \leq q^l \). In this case we need to have that \( (ad - 1)xr \in \mathbb{R} \). When \( |x| \leq 1 \) the contribution is

\[
F(1) + \int_{1 < |r| \leq q^M} F(|r|).
\]

Finally we are left with the region \( 1 < |x| \leq q^l \) and \( |r| \leq q^M |x|^{-1} \). Let’s set \( |x| = q^i \) with \( 1 \leq i \leq l \). Then \( |r| \leq q^{M-i} \). Note that for all such \( i \) we have \( q^i \leq q^{M-i} \). If we split up the cases that \( |r| \leq q^i \) and \( q^i < |r| \leq q^M \) then the contribution to the integral is

\[
\sum_{i=1}^{l} \text{vol}(|x| = q^i) \left( q^i F(q^i) + \int_{q^i < |r| \leq q^{M-i}} F(|r|) \right).
\]

Putting this altogether gives \( \sigma_{P_1}(M, k) + \sigma_{P_2}(M, k) \) equal to

\[
(4M - k) + \frac{-3 + 4q^{-M} - q^{-M-k+1}}{q - 1} - \frac{q^{-M-l} - q^{-3M}}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{q^{-3M+3l+2} - q^{-3M+2}}{(q + 1)(q^3 - 1)}.
\]

And we compute the right hand side of \( FL(A) \) to be

\[
4Mq^M - \frac{4q^M - 1}{q - 1}
\]

as required.

\[\square\]

**Remark 6.9.** We made the assumption that \( q > 3 \) in order to ensure that we could reduce to this \( M = N \) case. However, in the case that \( q > 3 \) the reductions made are still valid. The identity proven in the Proposition above is again valid, it’s just that it doesn’t actually represent a case of
the fundamental lemma. Hence the fundamental lemma for the (2,2) Levi is proven in the case that $q = 3$ as well.

### 6.5 Proof of the fundamental lemma for elliptic tori

In this section we prove Proposition 6.3 in the case that $A$ lies in an elliptic torus. In this case we may assume that

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} a & bD \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(2, R)$$

with $v(D) = 0$ or $1$ and $E_D = F(\sqrt{D})$ a quadratic extension of $F$. We note that for $\gamma = (A, I, 1) \in M_0(F)$ we have

$$|D_M(\gamma)|^{\frac{1}{2}} = |b\sqrt{D}| = |D_M'(N(\gamma))|^{\frac{1}{2}}.$$

We take the following from [Fli99, Section I.1]. Let $T_1$ denote the torus in $\text{GL}(2)$ with

$$T_1(F) = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & yD \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(2, F) : x + y\sqrt{D} \in E_D^* \right\}.$$

Let $z_m = \text{diag}(1, \pi^m)$ then we have the double coset decomposition

$$\text{GL}(2, F) = \coprod_{m \geq 0} T_1(F)z_mK_1,$$

where $K_1 = \text{GL}(2, R)$. We have

$$z_m^{-1}Az_m = \begin{pmatrix} a & \pi^m bD \\ \pi^{-m} b & a \end{pmatrix}.$$

and so $z_m^{-1}Az_m \in K_1$ if and only if $m \leq v(b)$. We have

$$K_1 \cap z_m^{-1}T_1(F)z_m = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & \pi^m yD \\ \pi^{-m} y & x \end{pmatrix} \in K_1 \right\}.$$

So if we set $\text{vol}(D, m) = \text{vol}(K_1 \cap z_m^{-1}T_1(F)z_m \setminus K_1)$ then we have

$$\text{vol}(D, m) = \begin{cases} 1, & \text{if } E_D/F \text{ unramified and } m = 0; \\ (q + 1)q^{m-1}, & \text{if } E_D/F \text{ unramified and } m > 0; \\ q^m, & \text{if } E_D/F \text{ ramified.} \end{cases}$$
We set $T(A) = \det A - \text{tr} A + 1$. Then we have

$$\sigma_p(z_m^{-1}Az_m) = |\det A - 1||T(A)| \int_{F^3} \text{char}_K(B^t(x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4) \log \max \{1, |x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_1x_4 - x_2x_3|\},$$

where $B$ is the matrix

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-a & 0 & \pi^m bD & \det A \\
0 & \det A - a & 0 & \pi^m bD \\
\pi^{-m} b & 0 & \det A - a & 0 \\
\det A & \pi^{-m} b & 0 & -a
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

We have $\sigma_{p_3}(z_m^{-1}Az_m)$ equal to $|\det A - 1||T(A)|$ times the integral of

$$\log \max \{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}$$

over the region in $F^3$ given by

- $(\det A - a)x - \pi^{-m} br \in R$
- $-\pi^m bDx + (\det A - a)r \in R$
- $(\det A - 1)s - \pi^{-m} b(r^2 - \pi^2mDx^2) \in R$.

And we have

$$\sigma_{p_2}(z_m^{-1}Az_m) = |\det A - 1| \int_{1 < |x| \leq |\det A - 1|^{-1}} \log |x|.$$ 

As in the case that $A$ lies in a split torus we will reduce the proof of $FL(A)$ to certain cases. We find, in the course of the proof, that the integrals in the identity $FL(A)$ depend only on $|b|$ and $|\det A - 1|$. We first prove the equality in the case that $b$ is a unit. Using similar reductions as above we reduce the proof of $FL(A)$ when $|b| \leq |\det A - 1|$ to the case that $|b| = |\det A - 1|$; we then prove $FL(A)$ in this case. Similarly we reduce the proof of $FL(A)$ when $|\det A - 1| \leq |b^2D|$ to the case that $|\det A - 1| = |b^2D|$; we then prove $FL(A)$ in the case that $|b^2D| \leq |\det A - 1| < |b|$.

We again need to make the assumption that $q > 3$. However the same argument as in Remark 6.9 allows us to deduce the fundamental lemma in the case that $q = 3$ as well.

### 6.5.1 Proof when $b$ is a unit

We begin by proving Proposition 6.3 under the assumption that $b \in U_F$.

**Proposition 6.10.** Let $A$ be as above with $b \in U_F$. If we have $|T(A)| = 1$ then both sides of $FL(A)$ are equal to

$$2|D|^\frac{1}{2}|\det A - 1| \int_{|x| \leq |\det A - 1|^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x|\}.$$
Otherwise we must have \( v(D) = 1 \) and \( a \in U^1_p \), then if we set \( |\det A - 1| = q^{-k} \) we have both sides of \( FL(A) \) equal to
\[
|D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( 2k + 1 + q^{-k-1} - 2 \frac{1 - q^{-k-1}}{q - 1} \right).
\]

**Proof.** We first compute the twisted integral. In this case after applying row operations invertible over \( R \) we get \( B \) in the form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
0 & 0 & a - 1 & b \\
0 & 0 & (\det A - 1)T(A) & 0 \\
b & 0 & \det A - a & 0 \\
0 & b^2 & -\det A(\det A - a) & -ab
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
Hence we have
\[
|x_3| \leq |(\det A - 1)T(A)|^{-1}
\]
and we can take \( bx_4 = -(a - 1)x_3, \ bx_1 = -(\det A - a)x_3 \) and
\[
b^2x_2 = (\det A^2 - a \det A - a^2 + a)x_3.
\]
Then
\[
b^2(x_1x_4 - x_2x_3) = -\det Ab^2T(A)x_3^2
\]
and hence \( |x_1x_4 - x_2x_3| = |T(A)x_3^2| \). So we have
\[
\sigma_P(A) = |\det A - 1||T(A)| \int_{|x_3| \leq |\det A - 1|^{-1}} |T(A)|^{-1} \log \max \{1, |x_3|, |T(A)x_3^2|\}.
\]

The integral on \((GL(2) \times GL(2))'\) is
\[
|\det A - 1| \int_{|x| \leq |\det A - 1|^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x|\}.
\]

In order to compute the integral on \( GSp(4) \) we need to integrate
\[
\log \max \{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}
\]
over \((x, r, s) \in F^3\) such that
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
\det A - a & -b \\
-bD & \det A - a
\end{pmatrix}
\begin{pmatrix}
x \\
r
\end{pmatrix} \in R^2
\]
and

$$(\det A - 1)s + b(Dx^2 - r^2) \in R.$$ 

Doing the row operation $R2 \mapsto bR2 + (\det A - a)R1$ in the matrix above gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} \det A - a & -b \\ T(A) \det A & 0 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Hence we need $|x| \leq |T(A)|^{-1}$ and $(\det A - a)x - br \in R$.

Therefore if $|T(A)| = 1$ we have

$$\sigma_{P_1}(A) = |\det A - 1| \int_{|s| \leq |\det A - 1|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |s|\}$$

and the result follows.

Let $\alpha_1 = a + b\sqrt{D}$ and $\alpha_2 = a - b\sqrt{D}$ be the eigenvalues of $A$ in $E_D$. We have $T(A) = (\alpha_1 - 1)(\alpha_2 - 1)$ and hence if $|T(A)| < 1$ we must have $v(D) = 1$ and $a \in U_D^1$. It follows that $|T(A)| = q^{-1}$. We now assume that this is the case and set $|\det A - 1| = q^{-k}$. The twisted integral is

$$|D|^\frac{1}{2} q^{-k-1} \int_{|x_3| \leq q^{k+1}} \log \max\{1, q^{-1} |x_3|^2\}$$

and the integral on $(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'$ is

$$|D|^\frac{1}{2} q^{-k} \int_{|x| \leq q^k} \log(\max\{1, |x|\}).$$

For the integral on $\text{GSp}(4)$ we first note that $b(r^2 - Dx^2) \in R$ if and only if $x$ and $r$ are in $R$, and hence if and only if $x \in R$. The integral on $\text{GSp}(4)$ is therefore the sum of

$$|D|^\frac{1}{2} q^{-k-1} \int_{|s| \leq q^k} \log \max\{1, |s|\},$$

the term contributing when $|x| \leq 1$, and

$$|D|^\frac{1}{2} q^{-1} \int_{|x| = q} k + 1$$

the term contributing when $|x| = q$.

We compute the twisted integral to be

$$|D|^\frac{1}{2} \left( 2q^{-k-1} \left( (k+1)q^{k+1} - \frac{q^{k+1} - 1}{q - 1} \right) - q^{-k-1}(q^{k+1} - 1) \right).$$
The integral on \((\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'\) equals
\[
|D|^\frac{1}{2} q^{-k} \left( kq^k - \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1} \right)
\]
and the integral on \(\text{GSp}(4)\) equals
\[
|D|^\frac{1}{2} \left( q^{-k-1} \left( kq^k - \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1} \right) + (k+1)(1-q^{-1}) \right).
\]
Hence we get both the left and right hand sides of the identity \(FL(A)\) equal to
\[
|D|^\frac{1}{2} \left( 2k + 1 + q^{-k-1} - 2 \frac{1-q^{-k-1}}{q - 1} \right)
\]
and we are done. \(\square\)

For the rest of this chapter we assume that \(|b| < 1\).

6.5.2 Reduction when \(|b| \leq |\det A - 1|\)

In this section we reduce the proof of Proposition 6.3 in the case that \(|b| \leq |\det A - 1|\) to the case that \(|b| = |\det A - 1|\). We note that if we have \(|b| < 1\) and \(|\det A - 1| = 1\) then we have \(|T(A)| = 1\) and \(|\det A - 1| = 1\). It follows that both sides of \(FL(A)\) vanish in this case. Thus we may as well assume that we also have \(|\det A - 1| < 1\).

Under the assumption \(|b| \leq |\det A - 1| < 1\) we have
\[
|\det A - a| = |a - 1| = |\det A - 1| = q^{-M}
\]
and hence \(|T(A)| = |a - 1|^2 = q^{-2M}\). We set \(n = \det A\) then
\[
n - a(a-1)(n-a)^{-1} = (n-a)^{-1}(a(a-1)^2(a+1) - b^2D(n+a(a-1))).
\]
Hence if \(|b| < |a-1|\) we have
\[
|n - a(a-1)(n-a)^{-1}| = |n - 1|.
\]
On the other hand if \(|b| = |a-1|\) then, provided \(q > 3\), given \(b\) we can choose \(a\) such that \(|a - 1| = |b|\) and
\[
|n - a(a-1)(n-a)^{-1}| = |n - 1|,
\]
we make this further assumption in the case that \(|b| = |a - 1|\).
We now assume that $N \geq M$ and

$$q^{-N} = |b| \leq |\det A - 1| = q^{-M}.$$  

We let $L(M, N)$ (resp. $R(M, N)$) denote the left (resp. right) hand side of the identity $FL(A)$ in this case. We now prove the following Proposition.

**Proposition 6.11.** With the notations and assumptions above we have, for all $N \geq M \geq 1$,

$$L(M, N + 1) - L(M, N) = f q^{-N-1} |D|^\frac{1}{2} \left( 2M - \frac{1-q^{-M}}{q-1} - \frac{1-q^{-3M}}{q^3-1} \right) = R(M, N + 1) - R(M, N)$$

where $f = f(E_D/F)$ is the degree of the residue field extension.

**Proof.** We begin by seeing how to compute $\sigma P(z^{-1}mAzm)$. Recall we have

$$B = \begin{pmatrix}
-a & 0 & \pi^m bD & \det A \\
0 & \det A - a & 0 & \pi^m bD \\
\pi^{-m} b & 0 & \det A - a & 0 \\
\det A & \pi^{-m} b & 0 & -a
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

We now do a series of row operations invertible over $R$ to get $E$ in a suitable form. The row operation $R1 \mapsto n^{-1}(R1 - (\pi^m bD)(n - a)^{-1}R3)$ gives

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-(a - 1)(n - a)^{-1} & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
0 & n - a & 0 & \pi^m bD \\
\pi^{-m} b & 0 & n - a & 0 \\
n & \pi^{-m} b & 0 & -a
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

Now we do $R2 \mapsto R2 - (\pi^m bD)R1$ and $R1 \mapsto aR1 + R4$ to give

$$\begin{pmatrix}
n - a(a - 1)(n - a)^{-1} & \pi^{-m} b & 0 & 0 \\
(a - 1)(n - a)^{-1} & n - a & 0 & 0 \\
\pi^{-m} b & 0 & n - a & 0 \\
n & \pi^{-m} b & 0 & -a
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

Now

$$n - a(a - 1)(n - a)^{-1} = -(n - a)^{-1}(-a(a - 1)^2(a + 1) + b^2 D(n + a(a - 1)))$$
and therefore provided $a - 1 \not\in U_F$ we have

$$|n-a(a-1)(n-a)^{-1}| = |n-a|^{-1}|a-1|^2 = |n-a| > |\pi^m b D|.$$  

Next we do $R2 \mapsto R2 - (a-1)\pi^m b D (a - a^2 + n^2 - an)^{-1} R1$ to give

$$\begin{pmatrix}
(n-a)^{-1}(a-a^2 + n^2 - an) & \pi^{-m} b & 0 & 0 \\
0 & n-a - (a-1)(a-a^2 + n^2 - an)^{-1}b^2 D & 0 & 0 \\
\pi^{-m} b & 0 & n-a & 0 \\
n & \pi^{-m} b & 0 & -a
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

But now

$$|(a-1)(a-a^2 + n^2 - an)^{-1}b^2 D| = |a-1|^{-1}|b^2 D|.$$  

After multiplying row 2 by a suitable unit and adding row 1 to row 4 and multiplying it by $a^{-1}$ we get

$$\begin{pmatrix}
(n-a)^{-1}(a-a^2 + n^2 - an) & \pi^{-m} b & 0 & 0 \\
0 & n-a & 0 & 0 \\
\pi^{-m} b & 0 & n-a & 0 \\
(a-1)(n-a)^{-1} & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}.$$  

Therefore in order to compute the twisted integral we need to integrate the function

$$\log \max \{1, |x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3|\}$$  

over the region

- $|x_2| \leq |n-1|^{-1}$
- $(n-a)^{-1}(a-a^2 + n^2 - an)x_1 + \pi^{-m} b x_2 \in R$
- $\pi^{-m} b x_1 + (n-a) x_3 \in R$
- $(a-1)(n-a)^{-1} x_1 - x_4 \in R$.  

Note that we can set $x_4 = (a-1)(n-a)^{-1} x_1$ and make the change of variables $x_4 \mapsto (a-1)(n-a)^{-1} x_3$ to give our integral as the integral of

$$\log \max \{1, |x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_1^2 - x_2 x_3|\}$$  

over the region

- $|x_2| \leq |n-1|^{-1}$
\( (n-a)^{-1}(a-a^2 + n^2 - an)x_1 + \pi^{-m}bx_2 \in R \)

\( \pi^{-m}bx_1 + (a-1)x_3 \in R \).

First we note that for \( m \) such that \( |\pi^{-m}b| \leq |n-1| \) this region becomes

\( |x_2| \leq |n-1|^{-1} \)

\( |x_1| \leq |n-1|^{-1} \)

\( |x_3| \leq |n-1|^{-1} \).

Now assume that \( |\pi^{-m}b| > |n-1| \). First suppose that \( |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1} < |x_2| \leq |n-1|^{-1} \). Then we have

\[
x_1 = -(n-a)(a-a^2 + n^2 - an)^{-1}\pi^{-m}bx_2u_1
\]

with \( u_1 \in U_F^{-v(\pi^{-m}bx_2)} \) and

\[
|x_1| = |n-a|^{-1}|\pi^{-m}bx_2| > |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}
\]

hence

\[
x_3 = -(a-1)^{-1}\pi^{-m}bx_1u_2
\]

\[
= (a-1)^{-1}(n-a)(a-a^2 + n^2 - an)^{-1}\pi^{-2m}b^2x_2u_1u_2
\]

with \( u_2 \in U_F^{v(\pi^{-m}bx_1)} \); and therefore \( |x_3| = |(n-1)^{-2}||\pi^{-m}b|^2|x_2| \). Now we have \( x_1^2 - x_2x_3 \) equal to

\[
x_2^2\pi^{-2m}b^2u_1(a-1)^{-1}(n-a)(a-a^2 + n^2 - an)^{-2}((n-a)(a-1)u_1 - (a-a^2 + n^2 - an)u_2).
\]

And since

\[
(n-a)(a-1) - (a-a^2 + n^2 - an) = -nT(A)
\]

so

\[
|(n-a)(a-1)u_1 - (a-a^2 + n^2 - an)u_2| = |n-1|^2
\]

for all \( u_1 \) and \( u_2 \). Hence we deduce that

\[
|x_1^2 - x_2x_3| = |\pi^{-m}b(n-a)^{-1}x_2|^2.
\]

Thus the contribution to the integral is

\[
|n-1|^{-2}\int_{|\pi^{-m}b|^{-1} < |x_2| \leq |n-1|^{-1}} 2 \log |\pi^{-m}b(n-1)^{-1}x_2|.
\]
So we are now left with the region

- $|x_2| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}$
- $|x_1| \leq |n-1|^{-1}$
- $\pi^{-m}b x_1 + (a-1)x_3 \in R$.

We first consider the case that $|\pi^{-m}b|^{-1} < |x_1| \leq |n-1|^{-1}$. Then we have

$$x_3 = -(a-1)^{-1} \pi^{-m}bx_1 u$$

with $u \in U_{f^{(1)}(b x_1)}$. Hence $|x_3| = |(n-1)^{-1}\pi^{-m}b||x_1|$. Then

$$x_1^2 - x_2x_3 = x_1^2 + (a-1)^{-1}\pi^{-m}bx_2x_1 u$$

$$= (a-1)^{-1}\pi^{-m}bu x_1 ((a-1)\pi^{-m}b^{-1}u^{-1}x_1 + x_2).$$

Now $|(a-1)\pi^{-m}b^{-1}u^{-1}x_1| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}$ and so making the change of variables

$$x_2 \mapsto x_2 - (a-1)\pi^{-m}b^{-1}u^{-1}x_1$$

gives the integral as

$$|n-1|^{-1} \int_{|\pi^{-m}b|^{-1} < |x_1| \leq |n-1|^{-1}} \int_{|x_2| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}} \log \max\{|(n-1)^{-1}\pi^{-m}bx_1|, |(n-1)^{-1}\pi^{-m}bx_1||x_2|\}.$$

Finally we are left with the region

- $|x_2| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}$
- $|x_1| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}$
- $|x_3| \leq |n-1|^{-1}$.

We see that the integrals above depend only on $|b|$, $|n-1|$ and $m$. For $|a-1| = q^{-M}$ and $|b| = q^{-N}$ we set

$$\sigma_p(M,N,m) = \sigma_p(z_m^{-1}Az_m)$$

then it’s clear from above that we have

$$\sigma_p(M,N+1,m+1) = \sigma_p(M,N,m).$$
for all \(m\) with \(0 \leq m \leq N\). So we have
\[
|D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{N} (qL(M, N + 1) - L(M, N)) = \sum_{m=0}^{N} \text{vol}(D, m) \sigma_P(M, N + 1, m) - \sum_{m=0}^{N} \text{vol}(D, m) \sigma_P(M, N, m),
\]
which equals
\[
\text{vol}(D, 0) \sigma_P(M, N + 1, 0) + \sum_{m=0}^{N} (\text{vol}(D, m + 1) - \text{vol}(D, m)) \sigma_P(M, N, m).
\]
In the case that \(|D| = q^{-1}\) we have \(\text{vol}(D, m) = q^m\) for all \(m\) and hence we see that
\[
q^{N+1}|D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (L(M, N + 1) - L(M, N)) = \sigma_P(M, N + 1, 0).
\]
In the case that \(|D| = 1\) we have \(\text{vol}(D, 0) = 1\) and \(\text{vol}(D, m) = (q + 1)q^{m-1}\). Hence
\[
\text{vol}(D, 1) - \text{vol}(D, 0) = q
\]
and if \(m > 0\) then
\[
\text{vol}(D, m + 1) - \text{vol}(D, m) = (q + 1)q^m - (q + 1)q^{m-1} = (q - 1)(q + 1)q^{m-1}.
\]
So we see that if \(|D| = 1\) then
\[
|D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{N+1} (L(M, N + 1) - L(M, N)) = \sigma_P(M, N + 1, 0) + \sigma_P(M, N, 0).
\]
Now for \(N \geq M\) we have
\[
\sigma_P(M, N, 0) = q^{-3M} \int_{|x_1| \leq q^M} \int_{|x_2| \leq q^M} \int_{|x_3| \leq q^M} \log \max\{1, |x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_1^2 - x_2x_3|\}
\]
Hence we get from Lemma 10.7 that
\[
q^{N+1}|D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (L(M, N + 1) - L(M, N)) = f \left( 2M - \frac{1 - q^{-M}}{q - 1} - \frac{1 - q^{-3M}}{q^3 - 1} \right).
\]
We now turn to computing the right hand side of \(FL(A)\). First we consider the integral on \(GSp(4)\). Recall we need to integrate
\[
\log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}
\]
over the region in \(F^3\) given by
• \((n - a)x - \pi^{-m}br \in R\)
• \(-\pi^{m}Dx + (n - a)r \in R\)
• \((n - 1)s - \pi^{-m}b(r^2 - \pi^{2m}Dx^2) \in R\).

Now consider
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  n - a & -\pi^{-m}b \\
  -\pi^{m}bD & n - a
\end{pmatrix},
\]

Doing the row operation \(R_2 \mapsto R_2 + \pi^{m}bD(n - a)^{-1}R_1\) gives
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  n - a & -\pi^{-m}b \\
  0 & (n - a)^{-1}nT(A)
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Note that \(|T(A)| = |n - 1|^2\) and hence we need to integrate
\[
\log \max\{|x|, |r|, |s|\}
\]
over the region in \(F^3\) given by

• \(|r| \leq |n - 1|^{-1}\)
• \((n - a)x - \pi^{-m}br \in R\)
• \((n - 1)s - \pi^{-m}b(r^2 - \pi^{2m}Dx^2) \in R\).

First suppose that \(|\pi^{-m}b|^{-1} < |r| \leq |n - 1|^{-1}\). Then we have
\[
x = (n - a)^{-1}\pi^{-m}bru
\]
with \(u \in U_F^{(-\pi^{-m}br)}\). So
\[
(n - 1)s - \pi^{-m}b(r^2 - \pi^{2m}Dx^2) = (n - 1)s - \pi^{-m}b(r^2 - \pi^{2m}D((n - a)^{-1}\pi^{-m}bru)^2)
= (n - 1)s - \pi^{-m}br^2(1 - Dbr^2(n - a)^{-2}u^2).
\]

Hence the contribution to the integral is
\[
|n - 1|^{-2} \int_{|\pi^{-m}b|^{-1} < |r| \leq |n - 1|^{-1}} \log |n - 1|^{-1}|\pi^{-m}br^2|.
\]

We are then left with the region

• \(|r| \leq \min\{|n - 1|^{-1}, |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}\}\)
\( |x| \leq |n - 1|^{-1} \)

\( (n - 1)s - \pi^{-m}b(r^2 - \pi^{2m}Dx^2) \in R. \)

The integrals above depend only on \( |b| = q^{-N}, |n - 1| = q^{-M} \) and \( m \). We set

\[ \sigma_{P_1}(M, N; m) = \sigma_{P_1}(z_m^{-1}A_m) \]

and write

\[ \sigma_{P_1}(M, N + 1, m + 1) = \sigma_{P_1}(M, N, m) + e(M, N, m). \]

Let \( R_1(M, N) \) denote the contribution of the \( \text{GSp}(4) \) integral to the right hand side of the identity \( FL(A) \). Then we have \( D^{-\frac{1}{2}}(q^{N+1}R_1(M, N + 1) - q^N R_1(M, N)) \) equal to

\[ \sigma_{P_1}(M, N + 1, 0) + \sum_{m=0}^{N} (\text{vol}(D, m + 1) - \text{vol}(D, m))\sigma_{P_1}(M, N, m) + \sum_{m=0}^{N} \text{vol}(D, m + 1)e(M, N, m). \]

Thus when \( |D| = q^{-1} \) we have \( q^{N+1}|D|^{-\frac{1}{2}}(R_1(M, N + 1) - R_1(M, N)) \) equal to

\[ \sigma_{P_1}(M, N + 1, 0) + \sum_{m=0}^{N} \text{vol}(D, m + 1)e(M, N, m) \]

and when \( |D| = 1 \) we have \( q^{N+1}|D|^{-\frac{1}{2}}(R_1(M, N + 1) - R_1(M, N)) \) equal to

\[ \sigma_{P_1}(M, N + 1, 0) + \sigma_{P_1}(M, N, 0) + \sum_{m=0}^{N} \text{vol}(D, m + 1)e(M, N, m). \]

We now set about computing \( e(M, N, m) \), which is given by the difference between integrating

\[ q^{-3M}\log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\} \]

over the region

\( |r| \leq \min\{q^M, q^{N-m}\} \)

\( |x| \leq q^M \)

\( \pi^M s - \pi^{N-m}(r^2 - D(x\pi^{m+1})^2) \in R, \)

and over the region

\( |r| \leq \min\{q^M, q^{N-m}\} \)

\( |x| \leq q^M \)
(\pi M s - \pi N - m (r^2 - D(x\pi^m)^2) \in R.

When \(|r| \geq |\pi^m x|\) we have

\(|r^2 - D(x\pi^m)^2| = |r|^2 = |r^2 - D(x\pi^{m+1})|^2|

and the integrals cancel. Hence \(e(M, N, m)\) is given by the difference between integrating

\(q^{-3M} \log \max\{1, |x|, |s|\}\)

over the regions

- \(|x| \leq q^M\)
- \(|r| \leq q^{-m-1}|x|\)
- \(\pi M s - \pi N - m (r^2 - D(x\pi^m)^2) \in R,\)

and

- \(|x| \leq q^M\)
- \(|r| \leq q^{-m-1}|x|\)
- \(\pi M s - \pi N - m (r^2 - D(x\pi^m)^2) \in R,\)

Now note that when \(|r| \leq q^{-m-2}|x|\) we have

\(|r^2 - D(x\pi^{m+1})|^2 = |D(x\pi^{m+1})|^2|

and

\(|r^2 - D(x\pi^m)^2| = |D(x\pi^m)^2|\).

Hence \(e(M, N, m)\) is given as the difference between integrating

\(q^{-3M} q^{-m-2}|x| \log \max\{1, |x|, |s|\}\)

over the region

- \(|x| \leq q^M\)
- \(\pi M s - \pi N + m + 2 D x^2 \in R\)

and

- \(|x| \leq q^M\)
\[ \pi^M s - \pi^{N+m} D x^2 \in R \]

plus the difference between integrating

\[ q^{-3M} (1 - q^{-1}) q^{-m-1} |x| \log \max \{1, |x|, |s|\} \]

over the region

- \(|x| \leq q^M\)
- \(\pi^M s - \pi^{N+m+2} x^2 \in R\)

and

- \(|x| \leq q^M\)
- \(\pi^M s - \pi^{N+m} D x^2 \in R\)

But adding all this together gives \(e(M, N, m)\) as the difference between integrating

\[ q^{-3M} q^{-m-2} |x| \log \max \{1, |x|, |s|\} \]

over

- \(|x| \leq q^M\)
- \(\pi^M s - \pi^{N+m+2} D x^2 \in R\)

and

- \(|x| \leq q^M\)
- \(\pi^M s - \pi^{N+m+2} x^2 \in R\)

plus the difference between integrating

\[ q^{-3M} q^{-m-1} |x| \log \max \{1, |x|, |s|\} \]

over the region

- \(|x| \leq q^M\)
- \(\pi^M s - \pi^{N+m+2} D x^2 \in R\)

and

- \(|x| \leq q^M\)
\[ \pi^M s - \pi^{N+m}Dx^2 \in R. \]

Having fixed \( M, N \) and \( D \) we set \( I(k) \) equal to the integral of

\[ |x| \log \max\{1, |x|, |s|\} \]

over the region

- \( |x| \leq q^M \)
- \( \pi^M s - \pi^{N+k}x^2 \in R. \)

Then if \( |D| = 1 \) we have

\[ e(M, N, m) = q^{-3M-m-1}(I(m+2) - I(m)) \]

and if \( |D| = q^{-1} \) we have

\[ e(M, N, m) = q^{-3M-m-2}(I(m+3) - I(m+2)) + q^{-3M-m-1}(I(m+2) - I(m+1)). \]

We need to compute

\[ \sum_{m=0}^{N} \text{vol}(D, m+1)e(M, N, m). \]

When \( |D| = 1 \) this sum is equal to

\[ q^{-3M} \sum_{m=0}^{N} (q+1)q^{-1}(I(m+2) - I(m)) = (1 + q^{-1})(I(N+2) + I(N+1) - I(1) - I(0)) \]

while if \( |D| = q^{-1} \) this sum is equal to

\[ q^{-3M} \sum_{m=0}^{N} (q^{-1}(I(m+3) - I(m+2)) + (m+2) - I(m+1)) = q^{-1}(I(N+3) - I(2)) + I(N+2) - I(1). \]

Finally we also need to compute \( \sigma_P(M, N, 0) \), which equals \( q^{-3M} \) times the integral of

\[ \log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\} \]

over the region

- \( |r| \leq q^M \)
- \( |x| \leq q^M \)
- \( \pi^M s - \pi^N(r^2 - Dx^2) \in R. \)
This equals
\[ \int_{|x| \leq |r| \leq q^M} \int_{\pi^M s - \pi^N (r^2 - D x^2) \in R} \log \max \{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\} \]
plus
\[ \int_{|r| < |x| \leq q^M} \int_{\pi^M s - \pi^N (r^2 - D x^2) \in R} \log \max \{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}, \]
which equals the sum of
\[ \int_{|r| \leq q^M} \int_{\pi^M s - \pi^N r^2 \in R} |r| \log \max \{1, |r|, |s|\} \]
and
\[ q^{-1} \int_{|x| \leq q^M} \int_{\pi^M s - \pi^N D x^2 \in R} |x| \log \max \{1, |x|, |s|\}. \]
Hence we get \( \sigma_{P_1}(M, N, 0) = q^{-3M}(1 + q^{-1})I(0) \) and \( \sigma_{P_1}(M, N + 1, 0) = q^{-3M}(1 + q^{-1})I(1) \) if \(|D| = 1\). While if \(|D| = q^{-1}\) we get \( \sigma_{P_1}(M, N + 1, 0) = I(1) + q^{-1}I(2) \).

We note that when \( m \geq N \) we have
\[ I(m) = \int_{|x| \leq q^M} \int_{|s| \leq q^M} |x| \log \max \{1, |x|, |s|\} \]
which by Lemma 10.4 is equal to
\[ \frac{q}{q + 1} \left( M q^{3M} - q^{3M} - 1 \right). \]
Therefore we have
\[ |D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} q^{N + 1} (R_1(M, N + 1) - R_1(M, N)) = f \left( M - \frac{1 - q^{-3M}}{q^3 - 1} \right). \]

We now compute the integrals on \((\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))^\prime\). We have
\[ \sigma_{P_2}(z_m^{-1} A z_m) = q^{-M} \int_{|x| \leq q^M} \log \max \{1, |x|\} \]
\[ = M - \frac{1 - q^{-M}}{q - 1}. \]
Thus if we set \( \sigma_{P_2}(M, N, m) = \sigma_{P_2}(z_m^{-1} A z_m) \) then we have
\[ \sigma_{P_2}(M, N + 1, m + 1) = \sigma_{P_2}(M, N, m). \]
Hence if we let \( R_2(M, N) \) equal the contribution to the right hand side of \( FL(A) \) from the integral
on \((\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'\) then we have
\[
q^{N+1}|D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (R_2(M, N + 1) - R_2(M, N)) = f \left( M - \frac{1 - q^{-M}}{q - 1} \right).
\]

Putting these together gives
\[
q^{N+1}|D|^{-\frac{1}{2}} (R(M, N + 1) - R(M, N)) = f q^{-N-1}|D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( 2M - \frac{1 - q^{-M}}{q - 1} - \frac{1 - q^{-3M}}{q^3 - 1} \right)
\]
as required.

6.5.3 Proof when \(|b| = |\det A - 1|\)

In this section we prove Proposition 6.3 under the assumption that \(|b| = |\det A - 1|\). It follows that we have \(|a - 1| = |\det A - a| = |b|\) and \(|T(A)| = |b|^2\). Let \(N \geq 1\) and assume that we have \(|b| = |\det A - 1| = q^N\). We let \(L(N)\) (resp. \(R(N)\)) denote the left (resp. right) hand side of the identity \(FL(A)\). We now prove the following Proposition.

Proposition 6.12. With the notations and assumptions above for all \(N \geq 1\) we have \(L(N)\) and \(R(N)\) equal to
\[
|D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{4Nq - 2Nq^{-N}}{q - 1} + \frac{-4q + 3q^{-N+1} + 2q^{-N} - q^{-2N}}{(q - 1)^2} + \frac{q^{-N+3} - q^{-4N}}{(q - 1)(q^3 - 1)} \right)
\]
if \(|D| = q^{-1}\) and equal to
\[
(q + 1) \frac{4N - 2Nq^{-N-1}}{q - 1} + \frac{-4(q + 1) + q^{-N+1}(3q^2 + 6q + 1) - 2q^{-2N}}{(q - 1)^2} + \frac{2q^{-N+3} - q^{-4N}}{(q - 1)(q^3 - 1)} = (2N + 1)q^{-N-1}
\]
if \(|D| = 1\).

Proof. We begin by computing the integrals \(\sigma_P(z_m^{-1} Az_m)\). As we saw in the proof of Proposition 6.11 we can make row operations to put the matrix \(B\) in the form
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  n - a(a - 1)(n - a)^{-1} & \pi^{-mb} & 0 & 0 \\
  (a - 1)(n - a)^{-1} \pi^{-mb} & n - a & 0 & 0 \\
  \pi^{-mb} & 0 & n - a & 0 \\
  n & \pi^{-mb} & 0 & -a
\end{pmatrix}
\]
Next we do $R_2 \mapsto R_2 - (\pi^{-m}b)^{-1}(n-a)R_1$ and multiply the second row by a suitable unit to get
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  n - a(a-1)(n-a)^{-1} & \pi^{-m}b & 0 & 0 \\
  \pi^{-m}b^{-1}T(A) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  \pi^{-m}b & 0 & n-a & 0 \\
  n & \pi^{-m}b & 0 & -a
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

Next we do $R_4 \mapsto a^{-1}(R_4 - R_1)$ to give
\[
\begin{pmatrix}
  n - a(a-1)(n-a)^{-1} & \pi^{-m}b & 0 & 0 \\
  \pi^{-m}b^{-1}T(A) & 0 & 0 & 0 \\
  \pi^{-m}b & 0 & n-a & 0 \\
  (a-1)(n-a)^{-1} & 0 & 0 & -1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

So we wish to integrate
\[
\log \max\{1, |x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_1x_4 - x_2x_3|\}
\]
over the region given by
- $|x_1| \leq |\pi^{-m}b^{-1}T(A)|^{-1} = |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}$
- $\pi^{-m}bx_2 + (n-a(a-1)(n-a)^{-1})x_1 \in R$
- $(n-a)x_3 + \pi^{-m}bx_1 \in R$
- $-x_4 + (a-1)(n-a)^{-1}x_1 \in R$.

Thus we can take $x_4 = (a-1)(n-a)^{-1}x_1$ and make the change of variables $x_3 \mapsto (a-1)(n-a)^{-1}x_3$

to give it as the integral of
\[
\log \max\{1, |x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_1x_4 - x_2x_3|\}
\]
over the region
- $|x_1| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}$
- $\pi^{-m}bx_2 + (n-a(a-1)(n-a)^{-1})x_1 \in R$
- $(a-1)x_3 + \pi^{-m}bx_1 \in R$.

Let’s see how to compute this integral. Recall that $|n-a(a-1)(n-a)^{-1}| \leq |b|$. First suppose
that $|x_1| > |n - a(a - 1)(n - a)^{-1}|^{-1}$. Then we have
\[ x_2 = -\pi^m b^{-1}(n - a(a - 1)(n - a)^{-1})x_1u_1 \]
with $u_1 \in U_F^{-v((n-a(a-1)(n-a)^{-1})x_1)}$ and we note that $|x_2| \leq |x_1|$. Moreover since
\[ |n - a(a - 1)(n - a)^{-1}|^{-1} \geq |b|^{-1} \]
we also have $|x_1| > |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}$ and hence
\[ x_3 = -(a - 1)^{-1}\pi^{-m}bx_1u_2 \]
with $u_2 \in U_F^{-v(\pi^{-m}bx_1)}$ and we have $|x_3| = |\pi^{-m}x_1| \geq |x_1|$. Now
\[ x_1^2 - x_2x_3 = x_1^2 - (n - a(a - 1)(n - a)^{-1})(a - 1)^{-1}x_1^2u_1u_2 \]
\[ = x_1^2(1 - (n - a(a - 1)(n - a)^{-1})(a - 1)^{-1}u_1u_2) \]
and since
\[ 1 - (n - a(a - 1)(n - a)^{-1})(a - 1)^{-1} = (a - 1)^{-1}(n - a)^{-1}((a - 1)(n - a) - n(n - a) + a(a - 1)) \]
\[ = (a - 1)^{-1}(n - a)^{-1}(-n)T(A) \]
we have
\[ |1 - (n - a(a - 1)(n - a)^{-1})(a - 1)^{-1}u_1u_2| = 1 \]
for all $u_1$ and $u_2$. Hence when $|x_1| > |n - a(a - 1)(n - a)^{-1}|^{-1}$ the integrand is equal to $2\log |x_1|$.

Now suppose we have $|b|^{-1} < |x_1| \leq |n - a(a - 1)(n - a)^{-1}|^{-1}$. Then we have $|x_2| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}$ and
\[ x_3 = -(a - 1)^{-1}\pi^{-m}bx_1u \]
with $u \in U_F^{-v(\pi^{-m}bx_1)}$. Therefore $|x_3| = |\pi^{-m}x_1| \geq |x_1|$. Now
\[ x_1^2 - x_2x_3 = x_1^2 + (a - 1)^{-1}\pi^{-m}bx_1x_2u \]
\[ = x_1(x_1 + (a - 1)^{-1}\pi^{-m}bx_2u) \]
but
\[ |(a - 1)^{-1}\pi^{-m}bx_2u| = |\pi^{-m}x_2| \leq |b|^{-1} < |x_1| \]
and hence when $|b|^{-1} < |x_1|$ the integrand is equal to $2\log |x_1|$.
So the contribution to the integral when \( |b|^{-1} < |x_1| \leq |\pi^m b|^{-1} \) is

\[
2|\pi^{-m} b^2|^{-1} \int_{|b|^{-1} < |x_1| \leq |\pi^m b|^{-1}} \log |x_1|.
\]

We are now left with the region

- \(|x_1| \leq |b|^{-1}\)
- \(|x_2| \leq |\pi^{-m} b|^{-1}\)
- \((a - 1)x_3 + \pi^{-m} bx_1 \in R\).

Next we suppose that \(|x_1| > |\pi^{-m} b|^{-1}\). Then we have

\[
x_3 = -(a - 1)^{-1} \pi^{-m} b x_1 u
\]

with \(u \in U_F^{-v(\pi^{-m} bx_1)}\) and so \(|x_3| \geq |x_1| > |x_2|\). Now

\[
x_1^2 - x_2x_3 = x_1^2 + (a - 1)^{-1} \pi^{-m} bu x_1 x_2
\]

and

\[
|u^{-1} \pi^m (a - 1)^{-1} x_1| = |\pi^m x_1| \leq |\pi^{-m} b|^{-1}
\]

so making the change of variables \(x_2 \mapsto x_2 - u^{-1} \pi^m (a - 1)^{-1} x_1\) gives the contribution when \(|\pi^{-m} b|^{-1} < |x_1| \leq |b|^{-1}\) as

\[
|b|^{-1} \int_{|\pi^{-m} b|^{-1} < |x_1| \leq |b|^{-1}} \int_{|x_2| \leq |\pi^{-m} b|^{-1}} \log \max\{|\pi^{-m} x_1|, |\pi^{-m} x_1| |x_2|\},
\]

which equals the sum of

\[
|b|^{-1} |\pi^{-m} b|^{-1} \int_{|\pi^{-m} b|^{-1} < |x_1| \leq |b|^{-1}} \log |\pi^{-m} x_1|
\]

and

\[
|b|^{-1} (|b|^{-1} - |\pi^{-m} b|^{-1}) \int_{1 < |x_2| \leq |\pi^{-m} b|^{-1}} \log |x_2|.
\]

Finally we are left with the region

- \(|x_1| \leq |\pi^{-m} b|^{-1}\)
- \(|x_2| \leq |\pi^{-m} b|^{-1}\)
- \(|x_3| \leq |b|^{-1}\).
With \(|b| = q^{-N}\) we have \(\sigma_P(z_m^{-1} Az_m)\) equal to the sum of

\[
2q^{-N-m} \int_{q^N < |x_1| \leq q^{N+m}} \log |x_1|
\]

and

\[
q^{-N-m} \int_{q^{N-m} < |x_1| \leq q^N} (m + \log |x_1|)
\]

and

\[
(q^{-N} - q^{-N-m}) \int_{1 < |x_2| \leq q^{N-m}} \log |x_2|
\]

and

\[
q^{-3N} \int_{|x_1| \leq q^{N-m}} \int_{|x_2| \leq q^{N-m}} \int_{|x_3| \leq q^N} \log \max \{1, |x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_1^2 - x_2 x_3|\}.
\]

Putting these together we get

\[
\sigma_P(z_m^{-1} Az_m) = (2N + 2m) + \frac{q^{-N} + q^{-3m} - 2}{q - 1} - \frac{q^{-3m} - q^{-3N}}{q^3 - 1}.
\]

Now we compute the left hand side of \(FL(A)\). When \(|D| = q^{-1}\) we get

\[
L(N) = |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{4Nq - 2Nq^{-N}}{q - 1} + \frac{4q + 3q^{-N+1} + 2q^{-N} - q^{-2N}}{(q - 1)^2} + \frac{q^{-N+3} - q^{-4N}}{(q - 1)(q^3 - 1)} \right),
\]

and when \(|D| = 1\) we get \(L(N)\) equal to

\[
(q + 1) \frac{4N - 2Nq^{-N-1}}{q - 1} + \frac{(-4(q + 1)) + q^{-N-1}(3q^2 + 6q + 1) - 2q^{-2N}}{(q - 1)^2} + \frac{2q^{-N+3} - q^{-4N}}{(q - 1)(q^3 - 1)} - (2N + 1)q^{-N-1}.
\]

We now look to compute the right hand side of \(FL(A)\). We have \(\sigma_{P_2}(z_m^{-1} Az_m)\) equal to \(q^{-3N}\) times the integral of

\[
\log \max \{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}
\]

over the region in \(F^3\) given by

- \(|x| \leq |\pi^m b|^{-1}\)
- \(\pi^{-m} br - (n - a)x \in R\)
- \((n - 1)s - \pi^{-m} b(r^2 - \pi^{2m} Dx^2) \in R\).

First suppose that \(|b|^{-1} < |x| \leq |\pi^m b|^{-1}\). Then we have \(|r| = |\pi^m x|\) and

\[
|\pi^{-m} b(r^2 - \pi^{2m} Dx^2)| = |br^m x^2| > 1.
\]
Therefore $|s| = |\pi^m x^2|$ and the contribution to the integral is

$$|\pi^{-m}b^2|^{-1} \int_{|b|^{-1} < |x| \leq |\pi^m b|^{-1}} \log |\pi^m x^2|,$$

which equals

$$q^{2N-m} \int_{q^{N} < |x| \leq q^{N+m}} (2 \log |x| - m).$$

We are then left to compute, after multiplying $s$ by a suitable unit, the integral of

$$\log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}$$

over the region in $F^3$ given by

- $|x| \leq |b|^{-1}$
- $|r| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}$
- $\pi^N s - \pi^{-m}(r^2 - D(\pi^m x)^2) \in R$.

The contribution when $|r^2 - D(\pi^m x)^2| > q^{N-m}$ is

$$q^{N+m} \int_{x \in E_D, q^{N-m} < |x| \leq q^{2(N-m)}} \log |x|_{E_D} + m.$$

Having fixed $N$ and $m$ we set $l = \lfloor \frac{N-m}{2} \rfloor$. If $|D| = 1$ then $\pi^{-m}b(r^2 - D(\pi^m x)^2) \in R$ if and only if $|r| \leq q^l$ and $|x| \leq q^{l+m}$ and the contribution to the integral is

$$\int_{|x| \leq q^{l+m}} \int_{|r| \leq q^l} \int_{|s| \leq q^{N}} \log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}.$$  

If $|D| = q^{-1}$ then we have $\pi^{-m}b(r^2 - D(\pi^m x)^2) \in R$ if and only if $|r| \leq q^l$ and $|x| \leq q^{l+1+m}$ where $l_1 = \lfloor \frac{N-m+1}{2} \rfloor$ and the contribution to the integral is

$$\int_{|x| \leq q^{l_1+m}} \int_{|r| \leq q^l} \int_{|s| \leq q^{N}} \log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}.$$  

When $|D| = q^{-1}$, if $N - m = 2l$ we get $\sigma_{F_{l}}(\varepsilon^{-1} A z_m)$ equal to $q^{-3N}$ times

$$(2N + m)q^{3N} - \frac{2q^{3N} - q^{3N-m}}{q - 1} + \frac{q^{2m+3l+1}}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{q^{3l+2}}{q^3 - 1} + \frac{1}{(q + 1)(q^3 - 1)},$$

while if $N - m = 2l + 1$ we have $\sigma_{F_{l}}(\varepsilon^{-1} A z_m)$ equal to $q^{-3N}$ times

$$(2N + m)q^{3N} - \frac{2q^{3N} - q^{3N-m}}{q - 1} + \frac{q^{2m+3l+3}}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{q^{3l+2}}{q^3 - 1} + \frac{1}{(q + 1)(q^3 - 1)}.$$
We compute the contribution of the integral on $\text{GSp}(4)$ to $R(N)$ to be

$$|D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( \frac{3Nq - (N - 2)q^{-N}}{q - 1} + \frac{-3q + 3q^{-N}}{(q - 1)^2} + \frac{q^{-N+3} - q^{-4N}}{(q - 1)(q^3 - 1)} \right).$$

Now suppose that $|D| = 1$. Then we have $\sigma_P(z_m^{-1}Az_m)$ equal to $q^{-3N}$ times the sum of

$$(2N + m)q^{3N} + (N - m - 2l - 2)q^{N+m+2l} - \frac{2q^{3N} - 2q^{3N-m}}{q - 1} - \frac{q^{N+m+2l}}{q - 1}$$

and

$$-2q^{3N-m} - q^{N+m+2l+2} \frac{q^{3l+2m+1}}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{1}{q^3 - 1} + \frac{q^{3l+2}}{(q + 1)(q^3 - 1)}.$$  

We compute that the contribution of the integral on $\text{GSp}(4)$ to $R(N)$ is equal to

$$-2Nq^{-N+1} + \frac{3N(q + 1) - 2Nq^{-N+1}}{q - 1} + \frac{-3q - 3 + (2q^2 - 2q + 6)q^{-N}}{(q - 1)^2} + \frac{q^{-N+3} + q^{-N} - 2q^{-4N}}{(q - 1)(q^3 - 1)}.$$  

Now we compute the contribution of the integral on $(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'$ to $R(N)$. We have

$$\sigma_P(z_m^{-1}Az_m) = q^{-N} \int_{1 < |x| \leq q^N} \log |x| = N - \frac{1 - q^{-N}}{q - 1}.$$  

And we compute that the contribution when $|D| = q^{-1}$ is

$$|D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \frac{q - q^{-N}}{q - 1} \left( N - \frac{1 - q^{-N}}{q - 1} \right)$$

while when $|D| = 1$ it is

$$\left( q^{-N} + \frac{(q + 1)(1 - q^{-N})}{q - 1} \right) \left( N - \frac{1 - q^{-N}}{q - 1} \right).$$

Putting these calculations together gives the computation of $R(N)$ and finishes the proof. \qed

### 6.5.4 Reduction when $|\det A - 1| \leq |b^2 D|$

We now assume that we have $|\det A - 1| \leq |b^2 D|$. In this section we reduce the proof of Proposition 6.3 in the case that $|\det A - 1| < |b^2 D|$ to the case that $|\det A - 1| = |b^2 D|$.

So we assume that we have $N \geq M$ and

$$q^{-N} = |\det A - 1| \leq |b^2 D| = q^{-2M}|D|.$$  

We let $L(M, N)$ (resp. $R(M, N)$) denote the left (resp. right) hand side of the identity $FL(A)$. 

We note that under the assumption that $|\det A - 1| \leq |b^2D|$ we have $|a - 1|, |\det A - a| \leq |b^2D|$ and so $|T(A)| = |b^2D|$. For ease of notation we set $n = \det A$. We now prove the following Proposition.

**Proposition 6.13.** With the notations and assumptions above we have, for all $M \geq 1$ and $N \geq 2M + v(D)$,

$$qL(M, N+1) - L(M, N) = |D|^\frac{3}{2} \left( (2N + 2M + 3)q - (2N + 1)q^{-M} - 2\frac{q - q^{-M}}{q - 1} \right) = qR(M, N+1) - R(M, N)$$

when $|D| = q^{-1}$ and

$$qL(M, N+1) - L(M, N) = (2N + 2M + 2)(q + 1) - (4N + 4)q^{-M} - 2(q + 1)\frac{1 - q^{-M}}{q - 1} = qR(M, N+1) - R(M, N)$$

when $|D| = 1$.

**Proof.** We begin by computing the twisted integrals $\sigma_p(z_m^{-1}Az_m)$. As above we have

$$B = \begin{pmatrix}
-a & 0 & \pi^m bD & n \\
0 & n-a & 0 & \pi^m bD \\
\pi^{-m} b & 0 & n-a & 0 \\
n & \pi^{-m} b & 0 & -a
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

We now do a series of row operations invertible over $R$ to get $B$ in a suitable form. First we do $R2 \mapsto R2 - (n-a)(\pi^{-m} b)^{-1}R4$ and then divide by $n$ to give

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-a & 0 & \pi^m bD & n \\
-(n-a)\pi^{-m} b^{-1} & 0 & 0 & (a-1)\pi^m b^{-1} \\
\pi^{-m} b & 0 & n-a & 0 \\
n & \pi^{-m} b & 0 & -a
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Next we do $R3 \mapsto aR3 + \pi^{-m} bR1$ and then divide by $n$ to give

$$\begin{pmatrix}
-a & 0 & \pi^m bD & n \\
-(n-a)\pi^{-m} b^{-1} & 0 & 0 & (a-1)\pi^m b^{-1} \\
0 & 0 & a-1 & \pi^{-m} b \\
n & \pi^{-m} b & 0 & -a
\end{pmatrix}.$$
Next we do $R2 \mapsto aR2 - (n - a)\pi^m b^{-1} R1$ to give

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
-a & 0 & \pi^m bD & n \\
0 & 0 & -(n - a)\pi^2 mD & (a^2 - a - n^2 + an)\pi^m b^{-1} \\
0 & 0 & a - 1 & \pi^{-m} b \\
\pi^{-m} b & 0 & -a & 0
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Now we note that

$$a^2 - a - n^2 + an = -a(a - 1)^2(a + 1) + b^2 D(n + a(a - 1))$$

and since $|a - 1| \leq |b| < 1$ so

$$|a^2 - a - n^2 + an| \leq \max\{|a - 1|^2, |b^2 D|\} \leq |b^2|.$$

Thus we can do $R2 \mapsto R2 - (a^2 - a - n^2 + an)\pi^2 m b^{-2} R3$ to give

$$
\begin{bmatrix}
-a & 0 & \pi^m bD & n \\
0 & 0 & a(n - 1)T(A)\pi^2 m b^{-2} & 0 \\
0 & 0 & a - 1 & \pi^{-m} b \\
\pi^{-m} b & 0 & -a & 0
\end{bmatrix}
$$

Thus we need to integrate

$$\log \max\{1, |x_1|, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_3|\}$$

over the region

- $|x_3| \leq |(n - 1)D\pi^{2m}|^{-1}$
- $\pi^{-m} bx_3 + (a - 1)x_3 \in R$
- $-ax_1 + \pi^m bDx_3 + nx_4 \in R$
- $nx_1 + \pi^{-m} bx_2 - ax_4 \in R$.

Therefore we can take $x_1 = a^{-1}\pi^m bDx_3 + a^{-1}nx_4$ and then we need to integrate

$$\log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |a^{-1}nx_4^2 + (a^{-1}\pi^m bDx_4 - x_2)x_3|\}$$

over the region

- $|x_3| \leq |(n - 1)D\pi^{2m}|^{-1}$
Now we make the change of variables $x_2 \mapsto a^{-1}nx_2 + a^{-1}\pi^m bDx_4$ to give our integral as the integral of
\[
\log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x_4^2 - x_2x_3|\}
\]
over the region
\[
\begin{align*}
\bullet & |x_3| \leq |(n - 1)D\pi^{2m}|^{-1} \\
\bullet & \pi^{-m}bx_4 + (a - 1)x_3 \in R \\
\bullet & \pi^{-m}bx_2 + \pi^m bDx_3 + (n - 1)x_4 \in R
\end{align*}
\]
and we have $\sigma_P(z^{-1}A\bar{z}_m)$ equal to $q^{-N-2M}|D|$ times this integral.

First suppose that $|x_3| > |a - 1|^{-1}$. Then we have
\[
|x_4| = |\pi^m b^{-1}(a - 1)x_3| < |x_3|.
\]
Now
\[
|(n - 1)x_4| \leq |bD\pi^m(a - 1)x_3| < |\pi^m bDx_3|,
\]
hence
\[
|\pi^m bDx_3 + (n - 1)x_4| = |\pi^m bDx_3| > 1,
\]
and so $|x_2| = |\pi^{2m}Dx_3|$. Therefore we have
\[
|x_4|^2 = |\pi^{2m}b^{-2}(a - 1)^2x_3^2| < |x_2x_3| = |\pi^{2m}Dx_3^2|
\]
and the integrand equals $\log |\pi^{2m}Dx_3^2|$.

We are now left with the region
\[
\begin{align*}
\bullet & |x_3| \leq \min\{|b^2D^2\pi^m|^{-1}, |a - 1|^{-1}\} \\
\bullet & |x_4| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1} \\
\bullet & \pi^{-m}bx_2 + \pi^m bDx_3 \in R.
\end{align*}
\]
If $|x_3| > |\pi^m bD|^{-1}$ then we have
\[
|x_2| = |\pi^{2m}Dx_3|
\]
and so
\[
|x_2x_3| = |\pi^{2m}Dx_3^2| > |b^2D|^{-1} \geq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-2} \geq |x_4|^2
\]
therefore the integrand is equal to $\log |\pi^{2m} Dx^2_3|$ in this case as well. So the contribution to the integral when $|\pi^m bD|^{-1} < |x_3| \leq |(n-1)D\pi^{2m}|^{-1}$ is

$$|\pi^{-2m} b^2|^{-1} \int_{|\pi^m bD|^{-1} < |x_3| \leq |(n-1)D\pi^{2m}|^{-1}} \log |\pi^{2m} Dx^2_3|,$$

which equals

$$q^{2M-2m} \int_{q^{M+m}|D|^{-1} < |x_3| \leq q^{N+2m}|D|^{-1}} (2\log |x_3| - 2m + \log |D|).$$

We are then left with the region

- $|x_3| \leq |\pi^m bD|^{-1} = q^{M+m}|D|^{-1}$
- $|x_4| \leq |\pi^{-m} b|^{-1} = q^{-m}$
- $|x_2| \leq |\pi^{-m} b|^{-1} = q^{-m}$

to integrate over.

Thus we see that $\sigma_P(z^{-1} Az_m)$ depends only on $m$, $|b|$ and $|n-1|$. We define $\sigma_P(M, N, m) = \sigma_P(z^{-1} Az_m)$ and we see that

$$q\sigma_P(M, N, m) - \sigma_P(M, N, m) = (q-1)(2N + 2m + 2 - \log |D|).$$

So we have

$$qL(M, N + 1) - L(M, N) = q^{-M}|D|^\frac{1}{2} \sum_{m=0}^{M} \text{vol}(D, m)(q-1)(2N + 2m + 2 - \log |D|),$$

which equals

$$|D|^\frac{1}{2} \left((2N + 2M + 3)q - (2N + 1)q^{-M} - 2q\frac{q^{-M}}{q-1}\right)$$

if $|D| = q^{-1}$ and

$$(2N + 2M + 2)(q+1) - (4N + 4)q^{-M} - 2(q+1)\frac{1 - q^{-M}}{q-1}$$

if $|D| = 1$.

We now turn to the computation of the right hand side of the identity $FL(A)$. First we consider the integrals $\sigma_P(z^{-1}_m A z_m)$, which are equal to $|n-1||b^2 D|$ times the integral of

$$\log \max \{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}$$

over the region in $F^3$ given by

- $(n-a)x = \pi^{-m} br \in R$
• $-\pi^m bDx + (n-a)r \in R$

• $(n-1)s - \pi^{-m}b(r^2 - \pi^{2m}Dx^2) \in R$.

We consider

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
  n-a & -\pi^{-m}b \\
  -\pi^m bD & n-a
\end{pmatrix}
$$

then doing $R2 \mapsto R2 + (n-a)\pi^m b^{-1}R1$ gives

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
  n-a & -\pi^{-m}b \\
  -\pi^m bD + (n-a)\pi^m b^{-1}(n-a) & 0
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Now

$$
-\pi^m bD + (n-a)\pi^m b^{-1}(n-a) = \pi^m b^{-1}(-b^2D + (n-a)^2) = \pi^m b^{-1}nT(A),
$$

which has absolute value $|\pi^m bD|$. So after more row operations we get the matrix

$$
\begin{pmatrix}
  0 & -\pi^{-m}b \\
  \pi^m bD & 0
\end{pmatrix}
$$

Therefore $\sigma_{P_1}(z_m^{-1}Az_m)$ is equal to $|(n-1)b^2D|$ times the integral of

$$
\log \max \{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}
$$

over the region in $F^3$ given by

• $|x| \leq |\pi^m bD|^{-1}$

• $|r| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}$

• $(n-1)s - \pi^{-m}b(r^2 - D\pi^{2m}x^2) \in R$.

We set $\sigma_{P_1}(M,N,m) = \sigma_{P_1}(z_m^{-1}Az_m)$. Then we have

$$
q^{2M+N}|D|^{-1}(q\sigma_{P_1}(M,N+1,m) - \sigma_{P_1}(M,N,m))
$$

equal to the sum of

$$(N+1)q^N(q-1)\operatorname{vol}([x,r : |\pi^{-m}b(r^2 - D(\pi^m x)^2)| \leq 1]),$$
the contribution when $|\pi^{-m}b(r^2 - D(\pi^m x)^2)| \leq 1$, and the sum of

$$(q^{N+1} - q^N) \int \max_{x, r : |\pi^{-m}b(r^2 - D(\pi^m x)^2)| > 1} N + \log |\pi^{-m}b(r^2 - D(\pi^m x)^2)|$$

and

$$q^{N+1} \text{vol}(\{x, r : |\pi^{-m}b(r^2 - D(\pi^m x)^2)| \leq 1\}),$$

which is the contribution when $|\pi^{-m}b(r^2 - D(\pi^m x)^2)| > 1$. Putting these contributions together gives $q\sigma_{P_1}(M, N + 1, m) - \sigma_{P_1}(M, N, m)$ as the sum of

$$(N + 1)(q - 1)$$

and

$$q^{-2M + m} |D|(q - 1) \int_{|x| \leq q^M |D|^{-1}, |r| \leq q^{M-m}, |r^2 - D x^2| > q^{M-m}} \log |r^2 - D x^2| - M + m$$

and

$$q^{-2M + m} |D| \text{vol}\{|x| \leq q^M |D|^{-1}, |r| \leq q^{M-m} : |r^2 - D x^2| > q^{M-m}\}.$$

The integral above can be written as the sum of

$$q^{-M} |D|(q - 1) \int_{q^{M-m} < |x| \leq q^M |D|^{-1}} \log |Dx^2| - M + m$$

and

$$q^{-2M + m} |D|(q - 1) \int_{x \in E_D, q^{M-m} < |x| \leq q^{2(M-m)}} \log |x|_{E_D} - M + m$$

And we have $\text{vol}\{|x| \leq q^M |D|^{-1}, |r| \leq q^{M-m} : |r^2 - D x^2| > q^{M-m}\}$ equal to

$$q^{M-m}(q^M |D|^{-1} - q^{M-m}) + \text{vol}\{x \in E_D : q^{M-m} < |x|_{E_D} \leq q^{2(M-m)}\}.$$

Now we compute $q\sigma_{P_1}(M, N + 1, m) - \sigma_{P_1}(M, N, m)$ equal to

$$(N + M + m + 2)q - (N + M + m + 3) + q^{-m}$$

if $|D| = q^{-1}$. And when $|D| = 1$ we have $q\sigma_{P_1}(M, N + 1, m) - \sigma_{P_1}(M, N, m)$ equal to

$$(N + M + m + 1)q - (N + M + m + 2) + 2q^{-m} - 2q^{-M+1} + q^{-M} - 2q^{-m - q^{-M+2}}q + 1$$

when $M - m$ is even and equal to

$$(N + M + m + 1)q - (N + M + m + 2) + 2q^{-m} - q^{-M} - 2q^{-m - q^{-M+1}}q + 1.$$
when \( M - m \) is odd.

With similar notation we have

\[
q\sigma_p(M, N + 1, m) - \sigma_p(M, N, m) = (N + 1)(q - 1).
\]

Using these computations we get

\[
qR(N + 1, M) - R(N, M) = |D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (2N + 2M + 3)q - (2N + 1)q^{-M} - 2 \frac{q - q^{-M}}{q - 1} \right)
\]

when \(|D| = q^{-1}\) and

\[
qR(N + 1, M) - R(N, M) = (2N + 2M + 2)(q + 1) - (4N + 4)q^{-M} - 2(q + 1) \frac{1 - q^{-M}}{q - 1}
\]

when \(|D| = 1\).

\[\square\]

### 6.5.5 Proof when \(|b^2D| \leq |\det A - 1| < |b|\)

In this section we assume that we have \(|b^2D| \leq |\det A - 1| < |b|\) and we prove Proposition 6.3 in this case. We set \(|b| = q^{-M}\) and \(|\det A - 1| = q^{-N}\). We then have \(|T(A)| = |b^2D| = q^{-2M}|D|\). We let \(L(M, N)\) (resp. \(R(M, N)\)) denote the left (resp. right) hand side of the identity \(FL(A)\). Again for ease of notation we set \(n = \det A\). We now prove the following Proposition.

**Proposition 6.14.** Let \(M\) and \(N\) be such that \(M < N \leq 2M + v(D)\). Then \(L(M, N)\) and \(R(M, N)\) are equal to

\[
|D|^{\frac{1}{2}} \left( (2N + 2M + 1)q - (2N + 1)q^{-M} - 4q - 2(q + 1)q^{-M} - \frac{q^{-M}}{q - 1} + \frac{q^{-N+1} + q^{-N-M}}{(q - 1)(q^3 - 1)} \right)
\]

if \(|D| = q^{-1}\) and are equal to

\[
\frac{2(N + M)(q + 1)}{q - 1} - \frac{(4N + 2)q^{-M}}{q - 1} - \frac{4(q + 1) - 4q^{-M}(q + 1) - 2q^{-N+1} + 2q^{-N-M}}{(q - 1)^2} + \frac{2q^{-N} - q^{-N-3M}}{(q - 1)(q^3 - 1)}
\]

if \(|D| = 1\).

**Proof.** We begin by computing \(\sigma_P(z^{-1}_mAz_m)\). As we saw in the proof of Proposition 6.13, we have \(\sigma_P(z^{-1}_mAz_m)\) equal to \(|(n - 1)b^2D|\) times the integral of

\[
\log \max \{|1, x_1, |x_3|, x_4, |x_1^2 - x_2x_3|\}
\]

over the region

- \(|x_3| \leq |(n - 1)D\pi^{2m}|^{-1}\)
\[ \pi^{-m}bx_4 + (a - 1)x_3 \in R \]
\[ \pi^{-m}bx_2 + \pi^mDx_3 + (n - 1)x_4 \in R. \]

As we saw above the contribution to this integral when \(|x_3| > |\pi^mD|^{-1}\) is
\[ |\pi^{-2m}b^2|^{-1} \int_{|\pi^mD|^{-1} < |x_3| \leq (n-1)\pi^{2m}D|^{-1}} \log |\pi^{2m}Dx_3^2|. \]

We are then left to integrate over the region
\[ \pi^{-m}bx_2 + (n - 1)x_4 \in R. \]
\[ \pi^{-m}bx_4 + (a - 1)x_3 \in R \]

We note that if \(|\pi^mD|^{-1} \leq |n - 1|^{-1}\) then this region becomes
\[ |x_3| \leq |\pi^mD|^{-1} \]
\[ |x_4| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1} \]
\[ |x_2| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}. \]

On the other hand if \(|\pi^mD|^{-1} > |n - 1|^{-1}\) then when \(|n - 1|^{-1} < |x_3| \leq |\pi^mD|^{-1}\) we have
\[ x_4 = -\pi^{m-1}(a - 1)x_3u \]
with \(u \in U^{-\pi((n-1)x_3)}\) and \(|x_2| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}\). The integrand in this case equals
\[ \log \max\{|x_3|, |x_4^2 - 2x_3x_4|\}. \]

But for \(x_3\) in this range we have \(|x_3^2||x_3|^{-1} \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}\) and so after a change of variables in \(x_2\) the integral over this range becomes
\[ |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1} \int_{|n-1|^{-1} < |x_3| \leq |\pi^mD|^{-1}} \int_{|x_2| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}} \log \max\{|x_3|, |x_2x_3|\}. \]

We can write this integral as the sum of
\[ |\pi^{-m}b|^{-2} \int_{|n-1|^{-1} < |x_3| \leq |\pi^mD|^{-1}} \log |x_3| \]
and
\[ |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}(|\pi^mD|^{-1} - |n - 1|^{-1}) \int_{|x_2| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x_2|\}. \]

And finally we are left to integrate over
• \(|x_3| \leq |n - 1|^{-1}\)
• \(|x_4| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}\)
• \(|x_2| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}\).

We let \(e \in \{0, 1\}\) be such that \(|D| = q^{-e}\). Using the results of Chapter 10 we get \(\sigma_P(z_m^{-1}A z_m)\) equal to \(q^{-N-2M-e}\) times

\[
(2N + 2m + e)q^{N+2M+e} - \frac{2q^{N+2M+e} - q^{3M-3m} - q^{2M+e}}{q - 1} - \frac{q^{3M-3m} - 1}{q^3 - 1}.
\]

And we compute \(L(M, N)\) to be equal to

\[
|D|^\frac{1}{2} \left( \frac{(2N + 2M + 1)q - (2N + 1)q^{-M}}{q - 1} - \frac{4q - 2(q + 1)q^{-M} - q^{-N+1} + q^{-N-M}}{(q - 1)^2} + \frac{q^{-N+2} - q^{-N-3M-1}}{(q - 1)(q^3 - 1)} \right)
\]

when \(|D| = q^{-1}\) and to be equal to

\[
\frac{2(N + M)(q + 1)}{q - 1} - \frac{4N + 2M}{q - 1} - \frac{4(q + 1) - 4q^{-M}(q + 1) - 2q^{-N+1} + 2q^{-N-M}}{(q - 1)^2} + 2\frac{q^{-N} - q^{-N-3M}}{(q - 1)(q^3 - 1)}
\]

when \(|D| = 1\).

We now turn to the computation of the right hand side of \(F L(A)\). We begin with the integrals \(\sigma_{P_1}(z_m^{-1}A z_m)\), which equal \(|(n - 1)b^2D|\) times the integral of

\[
\log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}
\]

over the region

• \(|x| \leq |\pi^m b D|^{-1}\)
• \(\pi^{-m}br - (n - a)x \in R\)
• \((n - 1)s - \pi^{-m}b(r^2 - D\pi^2m^2x^2) \in R\).

For \(|n - 1|^{-1} < |x| \leq |\pi^m b D|^{-1}\) we have

\[
|r| = |\pi^m b^{-1}(n - 1)x|
\]

and

\[
|s| = |(n - 1)^{-1}b D \pi^m x^2|.
\]

Hence the contribution to the integral is

\[
|n - 1|^{-1}|\pi^{-m}b|^{-1} \int_{|n - 1|^{-1} < |x| \leq |\pi=bd|^{-1}} \log((n - 1)^{-1}b D \pi^m x^2)).
\]
We are then left with the region

- \(|x| \leq \min\{|n-1|^{-1}, |\pi^mb|^{-1}\}
- |r| \leq |\pi^{-m}b|^{-1}
- (n-1)s - \pi^{-m}b(r^2 - D\pi^{2m}x^2) \in R

and we can compute this integral as in the proof of Proposition 6.12 when \(|n-1| = |b|\).

Having fixed \(M, N\) and \(m\) we set \(l = \lfloor \frac{M-m}{2} \rfloor\). When \(|D| = q^{-1}\) we compute \(\sigma_{\mathcal{P}_1}(z_m^{-1}Az_m)\) equal to

\[
N + M + m + 1 + q^{-m-1} - \frac{2 - q^{-m-1}}{q-1} + \frac{q^{-N-2M-1}}{q^3 - 1} + \frac{q^{-N-l}}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{q^{-N-2M+3l+1}}{(q+1)(q^3 - 1)},
\]

and when \(|D| = 1\) we have \(\sigma_{\mathcal{P}_1}(z_m^{-1}Az_m)\) equal to the sum of

\[
N + M + m - \frac{2}{q-1} + 2\frac{q^{-m+1}}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{q^{-N-2M}}{q^4 - 1}
\]

and

\[
(M - 2l - m - 2)q^{-2M+2l+m} + q^{-2M+2l+m}2q + 1 + \frac{q^{-N-2M-3l+2}}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{q^{-N-2M+3l+2}}{(q+1)(q^3 - 1)}.
\]

We now assume that \(|D| = q^{-1}\). We compute the contribution of the integral on \(GSp(4)\) to the right hand side of \(FL(A)\) to be equal to \(|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}\) times

\[
\frac{(N + 2M + 1)q - Nq^{-M}}{q-1} - \frac{3q - q^{-M+1} - 2q^{-M}}{(q-1)^2} + \frac{q^{-N+2} - q^{-N-3M-1}}{(q-1)(q^3 - 1)}
\]

when \(|D| = q^{-1}\). And when \(|D| = q^{-1}\) the integral on \((GL(2) \times GL(2))^\prime\) contributes \(|D|^{\frac{1}{2}}\) times

\[
\frac{q - q^{-M}}{q-1} \left( N - \frac{1}{q} - q^{-N} \right).
\]

The sum of these expressions equals \(L(M, N)\).

We now assume that \(|D| = 1\). The contribution of the integral on \(GSp(4)\) to \(R(M, N)\) is equal to the sum of

\[
(N + M)q^{-M} - \frac{2q^{-M}}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{q^{-N-3M}}{q^3 - 1}
\]

and

\[
(q + 1) \left( \frac{N + 2M} {q-1} - \frac{1 - q^{-M}}{(q-1)^2} + \frac{2Mq^{-M}}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{q^{-N-2M} - q^{-N-3M}}{(q-1)(q^3 - 1)} \right)
\]

and

\[
-\frac{q^{-M}}{q+1} + \frac{q^{-N}(q^3 + 1) - q^{-N-2M}(q+1)}{(q-1)(q^3 - 1)}.
\]
The integral on $(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'$ contributes

$$\left( N - \frac{1 - q^{-N}}{q - 1} \right) \left( q^{-M} + (q + 1) \frac{1 - q^{-M}}{q - 1} \right)$$

to $R(M, N)$. Adding these together we find they are equal to $L(M, N)$. □
Chapter 7

The fundamental lemma for the (1,2,1) Levi I

In this chapter we take $M^0$ to be the (1,2,1) Levi in $G^0$. We have

$$M^0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & y \\ A & b \end{pmatrix}, e \right\} : A \in \text{GL}(2), a, b, e \in \text{GL}(1) \right\}$$

and we write such an element as a tuple $(a, A, b, e)$. The restriction of $\alpha$ to $M^0$ is given by

$$\alpha : (a, A, b, e) \mapsto (b^{-1}, \det A^{-1} A, a^{-1}, ab e \det A).$$

We set $M' = \text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(1)$ an unramified elliptic twisted endoscopic group for $M$. In this chapter we prove the fundamental lemma for the pair $(M, M')$.

7.1 Stable conjugacy

We begin by determining the stable twisted conjugacy class of an $\alpha$-semisimple element $\gamma = (a, A, b, e) \in M^0(F)$. For $m = (a_1, A_1, b_1, e_1) \in M^0$ we have

$$m^{-1} \gamma \alpha (m) = (a_1 b_1^{-1} a, \det A_1^{-1} A_1^{-1} A A_1, (a_1 b_1)^{-1} b, a_1 b_1 \det A_1 e).$$

Now if we assume that $m_1^{-1} m \alpha (m_1) \in M^0(F)$ then it’s clear that we must have $a_1 b_1 \in F$ and $\det A_1 \in F^\times$. Moreover, after twisted conjugation over $F$, we can assume that $A$ is either diagonal or else lies in an elliptic torus of the form

$$\left\{ \begin{pmatrix} x & Dy \\ y & x \end{pmatrix} : x + y \sqrt{D} \in E_D^\circ \right\}$$
with \( v(D) \in \{0, 1\} \) and \( E_D = F(\sqrt{D}) \) a quadratic extension of \( F \).

**Lemma 7.1.** Assume that \( A \) lies in the diagonal torus. Then the stable twisted conjugacy class of \( \gamma \) is equal to the twisted conjugacy class of \( \gamma \).

**Proof.** Let \( T \) denote the diagonal torus in \( \text{GL}(2) \). Then the question is given \( A_1 \in \text{GL}(2, \overline{F}) \) with \( A_1^{-1}AA_1 \in \text{GL}(2, F) \) and \( \det A_1 \in F^\times \) does there exist \( B \in \text{GL}(2, F) \) such that \( B^{-1}AB = A_1^{-1}AA_1 \) and \( \det B = \det A_1 \). We know there exists \( C \in \text{GL}(2, F) \) such that \( C^{-1}AC = A_1^{-1}AA_1 \); and by multiplying \( C \) on the left by an element of \( T(F) \) we can insist that \( \det C = \det A_1 \). \( \square \)

For \( \gamma = (a, A, b, e) \) with \( A \) diagonal we take the Haar measure on \( M_{\gamma \alpha}(F) \), which gives its maximal compact subgroup volume one.

**Lemma 7.2.** Assume that \( A \) is non-central and lies in an elliptic torus as above. Then the stable twisted conjugacy class of \( \gamma \) is equal to the disjoint union of the twisted conjugacy classes of \( \gamma = (a, A, b, e) \) and \( (a, c^{-1}A, b, ce) \) with \( c \in F^\times \setminus N_{E_D/F}E_D^\times \).

**Proof.** Let \( T \) denote the torus in \( \text{GL}(2) \) containing \( A \). First it’s clear that \( (a, A, b, e) \) and \( (a, c^{-1}A, b, ce) \) are not twisted conjugate over \( F \). It’s also clear that they are stably conjugate, since we can conjugate them by an element of the form \( (1, B, 1, 1) \) with \( B \in T(\overline{F}) \) such that \( \det B = c \). Next we show that every element of the stable twisted conjugacy class of \( \gamma \) is conjugate to one of these elements. Let

\[
\gamma_1 = m^{-1} \gamma \alpha(m) = ((a_1b_1)^{-1}a, \det A_1^{-1}A_1^{-1}AA_1, (a_1b_1)^{-1}b, a_1b_1 \det A_1e)
\]

lie in the stable twisted conjugacy class of \( \gamma \). Then we can find \( B \in \text{GL}(2, F) \) such that \( A_1^{-1}AA_1 = B^{-1}AB \). We can change our choice of \( B \) by multiplying \( B \) on the left by an element of \( T(F) \) and hence change \( \det B \) by an element of \( N_{E_D/F}(E_D^\times) \). Thus \( \gamma_1 \) is twisted conjugate over \( F \) to either \( (a, A, b, e) \) or \( (a, c^{-1}A, b, ce) \). \( \square \)

We continue with the assumption that \( A \) lies in an elliptic torus as above. First suppose that \( E_D/F \) is ramified. Then we may take \( c \in U_F \). We note that the weighted orbital integral at the element \( (a, c^{-1}A, b, ce) \) is the same as the weighted orbital integral at the element \( (ca, A, cb, c^{-1}e) \), having multiplied by the element \( (c, \text{diag}(c, c), c, c^{-2}) \) which lies in \( Z(G^0) \cap K \). But now conjugating this element by \( m = (c, I, 1, 1) \) gives \( (a, A, b, e) \). Thus the weighted orbital integral along the twisted conjugacy class of \( \gamma = (a, A, b, e) \) is equal to the weighted orbital integral along the twisted conjugacy class of \( (a, cA, b, ce) \). For such an \( A \) we take the measure on \( M_{\gamma \alpha}(F) \) that gives its maximal compact subgroup volume two.
Next we assume that $E_D/F$ unramified and we take

$$A = \begin{pmatrix} c & Dd \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}$$

with $v(D) = 0$. In this case $(a, \pi A, b, \pi^{-1} e)$ is stably conjugate but not conjugate to $\gamma = (a, A, b, e)$. Conjugating this element by

$$\begin{pmatrix} 1 & \pi \\ \pi^{-1} d & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

gives

$$\begin{pmatrix} a & \begin{pmatrix} c & D\pi d \\ \pi^{-1} d & c \end{pmatrix} \\ b & e \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

If the stable twisted conjugacy class of $\gamma = (a, A, b, e)$ intersects $M^0(R)$ then we can assume that we have $a, b, e \in U_F$ and $A \in \text{GL}(2, R)$ with $A$ as above. If we assume that $(a, A, b, e) \in M^0(R)$ then we see that the twisted conjugacy class of $(a, \pi A, b, \pi^{-1} e)$ intersects $M^0(R)$ if and only if $v(d) \geq 1$; this is clear from the double coset decomposition found in Section 6.5. For such an $A$ we take the measure on $M_{\gamma \alpha}(F)$ that gives its maximal compact subgroup volume one.

### 7.2 Statement of the fundamental lemma

In this section we give the statement of the fundamental lemma for the pair $(M, M')$.

We recall that $M'$ sits inside $\text{GSp}(4)$ as the Siegel Levi and we have $E_{M'}(G)$ equal to $\text{GSp}(4)$ with multiplicity two. Thus in this case the fundamental lemma states that for $\ell'$ a strongly $G$-regular, stable conjugacy class in $M'(F)$ we have

$$\sum_k r^G_M(k\alpha) = 2s^{\text{GSp}(4)}_{M'}(\ell')$$

where the sum on the left is over those twisted conjugacy classes in $M^0(F)$ for which $N(k\alpha) = \ell'$. 

We now compute the function $s^{\text{GSp}(4)}_{M'}(\ell')$ whose definition is given in [Art02b, Section 5]. From Lemma 4.8 we see that for

$$\ell' = \text{diag}(g, aw^t g^{-1} w)$$

a (stable) conjugacy class in $M'(F)$ we have

$$s^{G'}_{M'}(\ell') = r^{G^{\text{GSp}(4)}}_M(\text{diag}(g, aw^t g^{-1} w)) - \frac{1}{2} r^{G''}_{M'}(\text{diag}(1, a \det g^{-1}), g)$$

where $G'' = (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1)$. Therefore the fundamental lemma for the pair $(M, M')$ is
given by the following Proposition.

**Proposition 7.3.** For \( \gamma \alpha = (a, g, b, e) \alpha \in M(F) \) semisimple and strongly \( G^0 \)-regular we have

\[
\sum_{\gamma'} r_{M}^{G}(\gamma') = 2 r_{M'}^{GSp(4)} \begin{pmatrix}
eag & \varepsilon g w^{-1} \varepsilon^{-1} \varepsilon w \\
 \varepsilon b & \det g \end{pmatrix} - r_{M'}^{G''} \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 \\
 a & b \\
 a^{-1} & 1 \\
 \end{pmatrix}, \]

where the sum on the left hand side is over representatives for the twisted conjugacy classes within the stable twisted conjugacy class of \( \gamma \).

For \( \gamma' = \text{diag}(eag, eb \det g w^{-1} w) \in M'(F) \) we take the Haar measure on \( M_{\gamma'}(F) \) that gives its maximal compact subgroup volume one.

For \( P^0 \) the upper triangular (1,2,1) parabolic in \( G^0 \) we set \( \text{vol}(\begin{pmatrix} a & b \\
 g & h \\
 a & b \\
 \end{pmatrix}/Z(D_{\gamma})) = \frac{1}{\log q} \) and normalize the other volumes as in Section 5.5. This has the effect of replacing \( \log \) by \( \log q \) below. We suppress the \( q \) from our notation and for the rest of this chapter take \( \log \) to be \( \log \) to the base \( q \).

### 7.3 Proof of the fundamental lemma

In this section we prove Proposition 7.3. We begin by noting that for \( \gamma = (a, g, b, e) \in M^0(F) \) the stable twisted conjugacy class of \( \gamma \) does not intersect \( M^0(R) \) if \( |a| \neq |b| \). It’s clear that the integrals on \( GSp(4) \) and \( (GL(2) \times GL(2))/GL(1) \) also vanish in this case.

If \( |a| = |b| \) then we may, after twisted conjugation, assume that \( a, b \in U_F \). Then the stable twisted conjugacy class of \( \gamma \) intersects \( M^0(R) \) if and only if \( eg \) is conjugate in \( GL(2) \) to an element in \( GL(2, R) \). It’s also clear that if \( eg \) is not conjugate to an element in \( GL(2, R) \) then the integrals on \( GSp(4) \) and \( (GL(2) \times GL(2))/GL(1) \) also vanish.

We now assume that we have \( \gamma \in M^0(R) \). We use the twisted topological Jordan decomposition to prove the fundamental lemma. We can write \( \gamma \alpha \in M(R) \) uniquely as

\[
\gamma \alpha = us\alpha = s\alpha u
\]

with \( u \in M^0(R) \) topologically unipotent and \( s\alpha \in M(R) \) absolutely semisimple. The twisted weighted orbital integrals can now be computed using 5.17. We set \( N \) equal to the unipotent radical of the upper triangular parabolic of which \( M^0 \) is a Levi component, we define \( N' \) in \( GSp(4) \) similarly.

Given \( s = (a_1, g_1, b_1, e_1) \) we have

\[
Z_{M^0}(s\alpha) = \{(a, g, a^{-1}, e) \in M^0 : g^{-1} g_1 g = g_1, \det g = 1\}.
\]

For \( u = (a, g, a^{-1}, e) \in Z_{M^0}(s\alpha) \) topologically unipotent we have that the norm of \( \gamma \alpha \) in \( GSp(4) \)
is equal to the product of the absolutely semisimple element
\[ a_1 e_1 \begin{pmatrix} g_1 \\ a_1^{-1} b_1 \det g_1 w' g_1^{-1} w \end{pmatrix} \]
and topologically unipotent element
\[ a e \begin{pmatrix} g \\ a^{-2} \det gw' g^{-1} w \end{pmatrix}. \]

We can then also use Lemma 5.17 to compute the weighted orbital integrals on GSp(4).

We now proceed to prove the fundamental lemma by analyzing the possibilities for \( s \).

### 7.3.1 \( s \) equal to the identity

We first consider the case that \( s \) is the identity. In this case we have \( Z_{G^0}(\alpha) = \text{Sp}(4) \times \text{GL}(1) \) and we take \( \gamma = (u, e) \in \text{Sp}(4, R) \times U_F \) topologically unipotent.

**Lemma 7.4.** Suppose that \( s \) is the identity, then the fundamental lemma holds.

**Proof.** We have
\[ u = \begin{pmatrix} a \\ g \\ a^{-1} \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(4, R) \]
topologically unipotent. By Lemma 5.17 we have
\[ r_G^G((u, e) \alpha) = r_{\text{Klingen}}^{\text{Sp}(4)}(u) \]
and hence for \( \gamma = (u, e) \) we have
\[ \sum_{\gamma'} r_G^G(\gamma' \alpha) = \sum_{u'} r_{\text{Klingen}}^{\text{Sp}(4)}(u') \]
where \( \{u'\} \) is a set of representatives for the conjugacy classes within the stable conjugacy class of \( u \). But now using Lemma 5.16 and the double coset decompositions for \( \text{SL}(2, F) \) given in [Fli99, Lemma I.I.3] we have
\[ \sum_{u'} r_{\text{Klingen}}^{\text{Sp}(4)}(u') = r_{\text{Klingen}}^{\text{GSp}(4)}(u). \]

From the fundamental lemma for the \((2,2)\) Levi proven above we have
\[ r_{\text{Klingen}}^{\text{GSp}(4)}(u) = r_{(2,2)}^G((\text{diag}(ag, 1), 1) \alpha) - r_{(T \times \text{GL}(2))'}^{(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'}((\text{diag}(a^2, 1), ag)). \]
Therefore to prove Proposition 7.3 we need to show that
\[ r_{(2,2)}^G((\text{diag}(ag, 1), 1)\alpha) - r_{(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'}^G((\text{diag}(a^2, 1), ag)) = 2r_{M'}^{\text{Sp}(4)}((\text{diag}(ag, w^t(ag)^{-1}w)), 1)\alpha). \]

First we note that
\[ r_{M'}^{G'}((\text{diag}(a, a^{-1}), g)) = r_{(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'}^G((\text{diag}(a^2, 1), ag)). \]

Next we note that the element
\[ \begin{pmatrix} ag \\ w^t(ag)^{-1}w \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GSp}(4) \]
lies in Sp(4) and by Lemma 5.16 we have
\[ 2r_{M'}^{\text{Sp}(4)}((\text{diag}(ag, w^t(ag)^{-1}w))) = 2r_{M'}^{\text{Sp}(4)}((\text{diag}(ag, w^t(ag)^{-1}w))). \]

Since this element is topologically unipotent we can apply Lemma 5.17 to get
\[ 2r_{M'}^{\text{GSp}(4)}((\text{diag}(ag, w^t(ag)^{-1}w))) = r_{(2,2)}^G((\text{diag}(ag, w^t(ag)^{-1}w), 1)\alpha). \]

After twisted conjugation we have
\[ r_{(2,2)}^G((\text{diag}(ag, w^t(ag)^{-1}w), 1)\alpha) = r_{(2,2)}^G((\text{diag}((ag)^2, I), 1)\alpha) \]
and from the calculations of Chapter 6 we have
\[ r_{(2,2)}^G((\text{diag}((ag)^2, I), 1)\alpha) = r_{(2,2)}^G((\text{diag}(ag, I), 1)\alpha) \]
and we are done.

\[ \square \]

7.3.2 \( s \) central

We now assume that \( s = (a_1, g_1, b_1, e_1) \) with \( g_1 \) a scalar matrix. Therefore we have \( u = (a, g, a^{-1}, e) \) with \( a, e \in \text{GL}(1) \) and \( g \in \text{SL}(2) \). In this section we prove Proposition 7.3 for \( \gamma = us \) either by reducing the proof to Lemma 7.4 or by showing that both sides of the identity in Proposition 7.3 vanish. We begin with the following Lemma.

**Lemma 7.5.** Let \( \gamma \alpha = (a, g, b, e)\alpha \in M(F) \) be semisimple and strongly \( G^0 \)-regular. Then for
\[ \lambda, \mu \in U_F \text{ we have} \]
\[ r_M^G(\gamma \alpha) = r_M^G((\lambda a, \mu g, \lambda b, e)\alpha). \]

**Proof.** Since we are free to scale \( \gamma \) by an element of \( Z(G^0) \cap K \) without changing the value of \( r_M^G(\gamma \alpha) \) we have
\[ r_M^G((\lambda a, \mu g, \lambda b, e)\alpha) = r_M^G((\lambda \mu^{-1} a, g, \lambda \mu^{-1} b, \lambda^{-1} \mu e)\alpha). \]
But now for \( m = (\lambda, I, \mu^{-1}, 1) \) we have
\[ m^{-1}(\lambda \mu^{-1} a, g, \lambda \mu^{-1} b, \lambda^{-1} \mu e)\alpha(m) = (a, g, b, e) \]
and we are done. \( \square \)

Now suppose that \( a_1 = b_1 \). Then by Lemma 7.5 we have \( r_M^G(\gamma \alpha) = r_M^G(u \alpha) \) and the fundamental lemma in this case follows from Lemma 7.4. Proposition 7.3 in the case that \( a_1 \neq b_1 \) follows from the following.

**Lemma 7.6.** With notation as above assume that we have \( a_1 \neq b_1 \). Then both sides of the fundamental lemma vanish.

**Proof.** We first compute \( N \cap Z_{G^0}(s \alpha) \), by abuse of notation we work inside GL(4). For
\[ n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 1 & x_4 \\ 1 & x_5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \in N \]
we have
\[ \alpha(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x_5 & x_4 & x_3 - x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_5 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ 1 & -x_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \]
and
\[ s^{-1}ns = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1^{-1} c_1 x_1 & a_1^{-1} c_1 x_2 & a_1^{-1} b_1 x_3 \\ 1 & b_1 c_1^{-1} x_4 \\ 1 & b_1 c_1^{-1} x_5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]
Thus we need

\[ x_1 = -b_1 c_1^{-1} x_5 \]
\[ x_2 = b_1 c_1^{-1} x_4 \]
\[ x_4 = a_1^{-1} c_1 x_2 \]
\[ x_5 = -a_1^{-1} c_1 x_1. \]

From which it follows that \( x_1 = a_1^{-1} b_1 x_1 \) and \( x_2 = a_1^{-1} b_1 x_2 \). But since we are assuming that \( a_1 \neq b_1 \), it follows that \( x_1 = x_2 = x_4 = x_5 = 0 \). But now we need \( x_3 = a_1^{-1} b_1 x_3 \), and hence \( x_3 = 0 \) in this case as well. Thus when \( a_1 \neq b_1 \) the twisted integral vanishes by Lemma 5.17.

We now consider the right hand side of the fundamental lemma. First we consider the integral on \( \text{GSp}(4) \). The absolutely semisimple part of \( N(\gamma \alpha) \) is

\[ s_1 = a_1 e_1 \begin{pmatrix} g_1 & \frac{1}{a_1^{-1} b_1 \det g_1 w'} \end{pmatrix}. \]

We now compute \( Z_{\text{GSp}(4)}(s_1) \cap N' \). For

\[ n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_3 & x_1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in N' \]

we have

\[ s_1^{-1} n s_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & a_1^{-1} b_1 x_1 & a_1^{-1} b_1 x_2 \\ 1 & a_1^{-1} b_1 x_3 & a_1^{-1} b_1 x_1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

from which it follows that \( Z_{\text{GSp}(4)}(s) \cap N' = \{I\} \) if \( a_1 \neq b_1 \) and hence by Lemma 5.17 the integral on \( \text{GSp}(4) \) vanishes.

Finally we consider the integral on \((\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1)\). The norm of the element \( \gamma \alpha \) in \((\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1)\) is equal to

\[ \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a^{-2} a_1^{-1} b_1 \end{pmatrix}, e_1 a_1 e a_{1} g g \] \( \in (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1) \).

And therefore if \( a_1 \neq b_1 \) then \( a_1^{-1} b_1 \notin U^1_k \), and since \( u \) is topologically unipotent \( a^{-2} \in U^1_k \). Hence we have \( a^{-2} a_1^{-1} b_1 \notin U^1_k \) and the integral on \((\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1) \) vanishes. \( \square \)
7.3.3 \( s \) diagonal

In this section we prove Proposition 7.3 in the case that \( s \) is diagonal but not central. So we take

\[
s = \begin{pmatrix} a_1, \begin{pmatrix} c_1 \\ d_1 \end{pmatrix}, b_1, e_1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

with \( c_1 \neq d_1 \). After twisted conjugation we may assume that \( a_1 = c_1 = 1 \). We now compute \( N_1 = N \cap Z_G(salpha) \); by abuse of notation we consider \( N \subset GL(4) \).

**Lemma 7.7.** Let \( s = (1, \text{diag}(1, d_1), b_1, e_1) \). Then we have the following possibilities for \( N_1 \).

1. If \( b_1 = d_1 = -1 \) then
   \[
   N_1 = \begin{cases} 
   1 & x_1 & x_2 & -x_1x_2 \\
   1 & -x_2 & 1 & -x_1 \\
   1 & 1 & \end{cases}.
   \]

2. If \( b_1 = d_1 \neq -1 \) then
   \[
   N_1 = \begin{cases} 
   1 & x_1 & 0 & 0 \\
   1 & 0 & 1 & -x_1 \\
   1 & 1 & \end{cases}.
   \]

3. If \( b_1 = d_1^{-1} \neq -1 \) then
   \[
   N_1 = \begin{cases} 
   1 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\
   1 & d_1 x_2 & 1 & 0 \\
   1 & 1 & \end{cases}.
   \]

4. If \( b_1 = 1 \) and \( d_1 \neq 1 \) then
   \[
   N_1 = \begin{cases} 
   1 & 0 & 0 & x_3 \\
   1 & 0 & 1 & 0 \\
   1 & 1 & \end{cases}.
   \]

5. In all other cases \( N_1 = \{I\} \).
Proof. For

\[ n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\ 1 & x_4 \\ 1 & x_5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

we have

\[ \alpha(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x_5 & x_4 & x_3 - x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_5 \\ 1 & x_2 \\ 1 & -x_1 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \]

and

\[ s^{-1}ns = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & d_1 x_2 & b_1 x_3 \\ 1 & b_1 x_4 \\ 1 & b_1 d_1^{-1} x_5 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} . \]

Hence we need

\[
\begin{align*}
x_1 &= -b_1 d_1^{-1} x_5 \\
x_2 &= b_1 x_4 \\
x_4 &= d_1 x_2 \\
x_5 &= -x_1 .
\end{align*}
\]

Thus unless \( b_1 = d_1 \) we have \( x_1 = x_5 = 0 \). And unless \( b_1 = d_1^{-1} \) we have \( x_2 = x_4 = 0 \). And the only way both can happen is if \( b_1 = d_1 = -1 \) (since we are assuming that \( d_1 \neq 1 \)). We also need to have

\[ b_1 x_3 = x_3 - x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_5 \]

and hence we need to have

\[ (1 - b_1) x_3 = x_1 x_4 + x_2 x_5 = (d_1 - 1)x_1 x_2 . \]

Putting this all together completes the proof.

We now compute the twisted integral in each of the above cases. We have

\[ u = \begin{pmatrix} a, & \begin{pmatrix} c \\ c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}, & a^{-1}, & e \end{pmatrix} \]
and so the stable twisted conjugacy class of $\gamma = us$ is equal to the twisted conjugacy class of $\gamma$.

**Lemma 7.8.** With notation as in Lemma 7.7 the twisted integral $r^G_M(\gamma \alpha)$ is given by the following.

1. If $b_1 = d_1 = -1$ then
   
   $$r^G_M(\gamma \alpha) = |ac - 1||ac^{-1} - 1| \int_{|x_1| \leq |ac^{-1} - 1|^{-1}} \int_{|x_2| \leq |ac - 1|} \log \max \{1, |x_1|, |x_2|, |x_1x_2|\}.$$

2. If $b_1 = d_1 \neq -1$ then
   
   $$r^G_M(\gamma \alpha) = |ac^{-1} - 1| \int_{|x_1| \leq |ac^{-1} - 1|^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x_1|\}.$$

3. If $b_1 = d_1^{-1} \neq -1$ then
   
   $$r^G_M(\gamma \alpha) = |ac - 1| \int_{|x_2| \leq |ac - 1|^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x_2|\}.$$

4. If $b_1 = 1$ and $d_1 \neq \pm 1$ then
   
   $$r^G_M(\gamma \alpha) = |a - 1| \int_{|x_3| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x_3|\}.$$

5. In all other cases $r^G_M(\gamma \alpha) = 0$.

**Proof.** In each case we compute $u^{-1}n^{-1}un$ for $n \in N$. In the first case we have

$$u^{-1}n^{-1}un = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & (1-a^{-1}c)x_1 & (1-a^{-1}c^{-1})x_2 & -(1-a^{-1}c)(1-a^{-1}c^{-1})x_1x_2 \\
1 & -1 & (1-a^{-1}c^{-1})x_2 & -(1-a^{-1}c)x_1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & -(1-a^{-1}c)x_1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.$$
In the third case we have

\[
u^{-1}n^{-1}u_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & (1-a^{-1}c^{-1})x_2 & 0 \\ 1 & (1-a^{-1}c^{-1})d_1x_2 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

In the fourth case we have

\[
u^{-1}n^{-1}u_n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & (1-a^{-2})x_3 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

And of course in the fifth case the integral vanishes.

Now we turn to the corresponding integrals on GSp(4). The absolutely semisimple part of \(N(\gamma\alpha)\) is

\[
s_1 = e_1 d_1 b_1 \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\ 0 & x_1 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

**Lemma 7.9.** With notation as above we have the following possibilities for \(N'_1 = Z_{GSp(4)}(s_1) \cap N'\).

1. If \(b_1 = 1\) then

\[
N'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & x_1 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

2. If \(b_1 = d_1 = -1\) then

\[
N'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_3 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
3. If \( b_1 = d_1 \notin \{1, -1\} \) then

\[
N'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & x_3 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

4. If \( b_1 = d_1^{-1} \notin \{1, -1\} \) then

\[
N'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]

5. In all other cases \( N'_1 = \{I\} \).

**Proof.** For

\[
n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_3 & x_1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix} \in N'
\]

we have

\[
s_1^{-1}ns_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & b_1x_1 & d_1b_1x_2 \\ 1 & b_1d_1^{-1}x_3 & b_1x_1 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

and the result follows.

We now need to compute the weighted integral on \( Z_{\text{GSp}(4)}(s_1) \) at the element

\[
u = \begin{pmatrix} ac & ac^{-1} & a^{-1}c & a^{-1}c^{-1} \end{pmatrix}
\]

These integrals are given in the following Lemma.

**Lemma 7.10.** With notation as above the integral \( 2r_{M'}^{\text{GSp}(4)}(N(\gamma\alpha)) \) is given by the following.

1. If \( b_1 = 1 \) then

\[
2r_{M'}^{\text{GSp}(4)}(N(\gamma\alpha)) = 2|a - 1| \int_{|x_1| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x_1|\}.
\]
2. If $b_1 = d_1 = -1$ then

$$2r_{GSp(4)}(N(\gamma \alpha)) = |ac - 1| |ac^{-1} - 1| \int_{|x_2| \leq |ac^{-1} - 1| - 1} \int_{|x_3| \leq |ac^{-1} - 1| - 1} \log \max \{1, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_2x_3|\}.$$ 

3. If $b_1 = d_1 \neq -1$ then

$$2r_{GSp(4)}(N(\gamma \alpha)) = |ac^{-1} - 1| \int_{|x_3| \leq |ac^{-1} - 1| - 1} \log \max \{1, |x_3|\}.$$ 

4. If $b_1 = d_1^{-1} \neq -1$ then

$$2r_{GSp(4)}(N(\gamma \alpha)) = |ac - 1| \int_{|x_2| \leq |ac^{-1} - 1| - 1} \log \max \{1, |x_2|\}.$$ 

5. In all other cases $2r_{GSp(4)}(N(\gamma \alpha)) = 0$.

Proof. We take $n \in N'_1$. In the first case we have

$$u^{-1}n^{-1}un = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & (1 - a^{-2})x_1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & (1 - a^{-2})x_1 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

In the second case we have

$$u^{-1}n^{-1}un = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & (1 - a^{-2}c^{-2})x_2 \\
1 & (1 - a^{-2}c^2)x_3 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.$$ 

In the third case we have

$$u^{-1}n^{-1}un = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 \\
1 & (1 - a^{-2}c^2)x_3 & 0 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.$$
In the fourth case we have

\[ u^{-1}n^{-1}un = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & (1 - a^{-2}c^{-2})x_2 \\ 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

And in the fifth case it’s clear that the integral vanishes. \(\square\)

For the integral on \((\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1)\) the norm of \(\gamma \alpha\) is

\[ \left( \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ a^{-2}b_1 \end{pmatrix}, e_1 ea \text{diag}(c, d_1 c^{-1}) \right) \in (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1). \]

Thus we see that the integral vanishes unless \(b_1 = 1\) in which case it equals

\[ |a - 1| \int_{|x| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x|\}. \]

Combining the above lemmas proves Proposition 7.3 in this case.

7.3.4 \(s\) elliptic

We now assume that we have \(g_1 \in \text{GL}(2, F)\) which is non-central and lies in an elliptic torus. After stable twisted conjugation we can assume that we have

\[ g_1 = \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & Dd_1 \\ d_1 & c_1 \end{pmatrix} \in \text{GL}(2, R) \]

with \(d_1 \neq 0\) and \(v(D) = \{0, 1\}\). We let \(E_D = F(\sqrt{D})\). For \(s \alpha\) to be absolutely semisimple we need to have

\[ g_1^k = \begin{pmatrix} x^k \\ x^k \end{pmatrix} \]

for some \(x \in F\) and \(k\) prime to the residual characteristic of \(F\). But then, as an element of \(E_D\), we have \(g_1 = \zeta x\) for some \(k^{th}\) root of unity \(\zeta\). Since we’re assuming that \(g_1\) is non-central we must have \(\zeta \notin F^x\). Hence we must have \(E_D/F\) unramified and \(v(D) = 0\). After twisted conjugation we can take

\[ s = \begin{pmatrix} 1, \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & D \\ 1 & c_1 \end{pmatrix}, b_1, e_1 \end{pmatrix}. \]
We now compute $N_1 = N \cap Z_{G_0}(s\alpha)$, which by abuse of notation we consider as a subgroup of $GL(4)$.

**Lemma 7.11.** With notation as above we have the following possibilities for $N_1$.

1. If $b_1 = -1$ and $c_1 = 0$ then

\[
N_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix}
1 & x_1 & x_2 & (Dx_1^2 - x_2^2)/2 \\
1 & Dx_1 \\
1 & -x_2 \\
1 
\end{pmatrix} \right\}.
\]

2. If $b_1 = 1$ then

\[
N_1 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_3 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 & 0 \\
1 \end{pmatrix} \right\}.
\]

3. In all other cases we have $N_1 = \{I\}$.

**Proof.** For

\[
n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 & x_3 \\
1 & x_4 \\
1 & x_5 \\
1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

we have

\[
\alpha(n) = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & -x_5 & x_4 & x_3 - x_1 x_4 - x_2 x_5 \\
1 & x_2 \\
1 & -x_1 \\
1 \end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
s^{-1}ns = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & c_1 x_1 + x_2 & Dx_1 + c_1 x_2 & b_1 x_3 \\
1 & (c_1^2 - D)^{-1}(b_1 c_1 + b_1 Dx_5) \\
1 & (c_1^2 - D)^{-1}(-b_1 x_4 + b_1 c_1 x_5) \\
1 \end{pmatrix}.
\]
Hence we need
\[ x_1 = -(c_1^2 - D)^{-1}(-b_1x_4 + b_1c_1x_5) \]
\[ x_2 = (c_1^2 - D)^{-1}(b_1c_1x_4 - b_1Dx_5) \]
\[ x_4 = Dx_1 + c_1x_2 \]
\[ x_5 = -c_1x_1 - x_2. \]

So we have
\[ (c_1^2 - D)x_1 = b_1x_4 - b_1c_1x_5 \]
and from the third and fourth equations we get
\[ (c_1^2 - D)x_1 = -x_4 - c_1x_5. \]

Hence we have
\[ (1 + b_1)x_4 + c_1(1 - b_1)x_5 = 0. \]

We also have
\[ (c_1^2 - D)x_2 = b_1c_1x_4 - b_1Dx_5 \]
and from the third and fourth equations we get
\[ (c_1^2 - D)x_2 = c_1x_4 + Dx_5. \]

So we have
\[ (1 + b_1)x_4 + c_1(1 - b_1)x_5 = 0 \]
\[ c_1(b_1 - 1)x_4 - D(1 + b_1)x_5 = 0. \]

Hence we deduce that
\[ (c_1^2(1 - b_1)^2 - D(1 + b_1)^2)x_4 = 0 \]
and
\[ (c_1^2(1 - b_1)^2 - D(1 + b_1)^2)x_5 = 0. \]

Thus unless \( b_1 = -1 \) and \( c_1 = 0 \) we have \( x_1 = x_2 = x_4 = x_5 = 0 \). Now we also need to have
\[ x_3 - x_1x_4 - x_2x_5 = b_1x_3. \]
Thus if \( b_1 = 1 \) then we can take \( x_3 \) to be anything we like. On the other hand if \( b_1 = -1 \) and \( c_1 = 0 \) we have \( x_4 = Dx_1, \ x_5 = -x_2 \) and \( x_3 = \frac{1}{2} (Dx_1^2 - x_2^2) \). 

We take

\[
 u = \left( a, \begin{pmatrix} c & Dd \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}, a^{-1}, e \right) \in Z_{\mathcal{M}^0}(s\alpha)
\]

to be topologically unipotent, so \( c \in U^1_F \) and \( d \in (\pi) \). We have

\[
 us = \left( aa_1, \begin{pmatrix} cc_1 + Dd & D(c + dc_1) \\ c + dc_1 & cc_1 + Dd \end{pmatrix}, a^{-1}b_1, ee_1 \right).
\]

Now \( c + dc_1 \in U_F \) and hence we deduce that it is only the twisted conjugacy class of \( us \) that intersects \( M^0(R) \), i.e., the other twisted conjugacy class within the stable twisted conjugacy class of \( us \) does not intersect \( M^0(R) \). The twisted integrals at the element \( us \) are given by the following lemma.

**Lemma 7.12.** With notation as above the twisted integrals \( r^G_M(\gamma \alpha) \) are given by the following.

1. If \( b_1 = -1 \) and \( c_1 = 0 \) then

\[
 r^G_M(\gamma \alpha) = 2|D_G(\gamma \alpha)|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \log \max\{1, |x_1|, |x_2|\}
\]

over the region

\[
 \bullet \ (1 - a^{-1}c)x_1 - a^{-1}dx_2 \in R \\
 \bullet \ -a^{-1}dDx_1 + (1 - a^{-1}c)x_2 \in R.
\]

2. If \( b_1 = 1 \) then

\[
 r^G_M(\gamma \alpha) = |a - 1| \int_{|x_3| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x_3|\}.
\]

3. In all other cases \( r^G_M(\gamma \alpha) = 0 \).

**Proof.** First suppose we have \( b_1 = -1 \) and \( c_1 = 0 \) then we have

\[
 u^{-1}n^{-1}un = \begin{pmatrix}
 1 & (1 - a^{-1}c)x_1 - a^{-1}dx_2 & -a^{-1}dDx_1 + (1 - a^{-1}c)x_2 \\
 1 & * \\
 1 & *
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
If $b_1 = 1$, then we have

$$u^{-1}n^{-1}un = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & (1-a^{-2})x_3 \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ 1 & 0 & & \\ 1 & & & \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

And in all other cases it’s clear that the integral vanishes. 

Next we look at the integrals on $GSp(4)$. The absolutely semisimple part of $N(\gamma\alpha)$ is

$$s_1 = e_1 \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & D \\ 1 & c_1 \\ b_1c_1 & -b_1D \\ -b_1 & b_1c_1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

**Lemma 7.13.** With notation as above we have the following possibilities for $N'_1 = Z_{GSp(4)}(s_1) \cap N'$.

1. If $b_1 = -1$ and $c_1 = 0$ then

$$N'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & D_x3 \\ 1 & x_3 & x_1 \\ 1 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

2. If $b_1 = 1$ then

$$N'_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -D_x3 \\ 1 & x_3 & 0 \\ 1 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

3. In all other cases $N'_1 = \{I\}$.

**Proof.** For

$$n = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & x_2 \\ 1 & x_3 & x_1 \\ 1 & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$
we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
1 & b_1(c_1^2 + D)x_1 - b_1c_1x_2 - b_1c_1Dx_3 & -2b_1c_1Dx_1 + b_1c_1^2x_2 + b_1D^2x_3 \\
1 & -2b_1c_1x_1 + x_2 + c_1^2b_1x_3 & b_1(c_1^2 + D)x_1 - b_1c_1x_2 - b_1c_1Dx_3 \\
1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

\[s^{-1}n_s = (c_1^2 - D)^{-1}\]

Hence we need

\[
(c_1^2 - D) x_1 = b_1(c_1^2 + D) x_1 - b_1c_1 x_2 - b_1c_1D x_3
\]

\[
(c_1^2 - D) x_2 = -2b_1c_1D x_1 + b_1c_1^2 x_2 + b_1D^2 x_3
\]

\[
(c_1^2 - D) x_3 = -2b_1c_1 x_1 + b_1 x_2 + c_1^2b_1 x_3.
\]

That is

\[
(b_1c_1^2 + b_1D + D - c_1^2) x_1 - b_1c_1 x_2 - b_1c_1D x_3 = 0
\]

\[
-2b_1c_1D x_1 + (D + b_1c_1^2 - c_1^2) x_2 + b_1D^2 x_3 = 0
\]

\[
-2b_1c_1 x_1 + b_1 x_2 + (c_1^2b_1 + D - c_1^2) x_3 = 0.
\]

Equation 1 times \(D\) plus equation 2 times \(c_1\) gives

\[
D(D - c_1^2)(1 + b_1)x_1 + c_1(1 - b_1)(D - c_1^2)x_2 = 0
\]

and since \(D - c_1^2 \neq 0\) we have

\[
D(1 + b_1)x_1 + c_1(1 - b_1)x_2 = 0
\]

Next we do equation 2 times \(c_1^2b_1 + D - c_1^2\) minus equation 3 times \(b_1D^2\) to give

\[
2b_1c_1D(1 - b_1)(c_1^2 - D)x_1 + (b_1 - 1)(c_1^2 - D)(-(c_1^2 - D) + b_1(c_1^2 + D))x_2 = 0
\]

and since \(D - c_1^2 \neq 0\) we have

\[
2b_1c_1D(1 - b_1)x_1 + (b_1 - 1)(-(c_1^2 - D) + b_1(c_1^2 + D))x_2 = 0.
\]

Thus we have

\[
D(1 + b_1)x_1 + c_1(1 - b_1)x_2 = 0
\]

\[
2b_1c_1D(1 - b_1)x_1 + (b_1 - 1)(-(c_1^2 - D) + b_1(c_1^2 + D))x_2 = 0,
\]
which yields

\[(D(b_1 + 1)^2 - c_1^2(b_1 - 1)^2)x_1 = 0\]

and

\[(b_1 - 1)(c_1^2(b_1 - 1)^2 - D(b_1 + 1)^2)x_2 = 0.\]

Therefore if \(c_1 = 0\) and \(b_1 = -1\) we can take \(x_1\) and \(x_2\) to be whatever we like; and then we have \(Dx_3 = x_2\). Now if \(b_1 = 1\) then we have \(x_1 = 0\) and \(x_2 = -Dx_3\). In all other cases we have \(x_1 = x_2 = x_3 = 0\).

Now we compute the integrals on \(\text{GSp}(4)\). We need to compute the relevant integrals at the element

\[u = e\begin{pmatrix} ac & adD \\ ad & ac \\ a^{-1}c & -a^{-1}dD \\ -a^{-1}d & a^{-1}c \end{pmatrix}.\]

Lemma 7.14. With notation as above \(2r_{M'}^r(N(\gamma\alpha))\) is given by the following.

1. If \(b_1 = -1\) and \(c_1 = 0\) then we have \(2r_{M'}^r(N(\gamma\alpha))\) equal to

\[2|D_{\text{GSp}(4)}(N(\gamma\alpha))|^{\frac{1}{2}} \int \log\{1, |x_1|, |x_3|\}\]

over the region

- \((a^2 - c^2 - Dd^2)x_1 + 2cdDx_3 \in R\)
- \(2cdx_1 + (a^2 - c^2 - Dd^2)x_3 \in R\).

2. If \(b_1 = 1\) then we have \(2r_{M'}^r(N(\gamma\alpha))\) equal to

\[2|a - 1| \int_{|x_3| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x_3|\}.\]

3. In all other cases we have \(2r_{M'}^r(N(\gamma\alpha)) = 0\).

Proof. Let’s consider the first case. We have

\[u^{-1}n^{-1}un = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & (a^2 - c^2 - Dd^2)x_1 + 2cdDx_3 & * \\ 1 & 2cdx_1 + (a^2 - c^2 - Dd^2)x_3 & * \\ 1 & 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}.\]
In the second case we have

\[ u^{-1}n^{-1}un = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & -D(1-a^{-2})x_3 \\ 1 & (1-a^{-2})x_3 & 0 \\ 1 & 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

And it’s clear that in the third case that the integral vanishes.

Again we recall that the integral on \((GL(2) \times GL(2))/GL(1)\) vanishes unless \(b_1 = 1\) in which case it equals

\[ |a-1| \int_{|x| \leq |a-1|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x|\}. \]

Thus it’s clear that the fundamental lemma holds in all cases except perhaps when \(b_1 = -1\) and \(c_1 = 0\). We have \(|D_G(\gamma \alpha)| = |D_{GSp(4)}(N(\gamma \alpha))|\) and in this case we need to show that the integrals of \(\log \max\{1, |x|, |y|\}\) over the regions in \(F^2\) given by

\[ \begin{pmatrix} a-c & -d \\ -dD & a-c \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in R^2 \]

and

\[ \begin{pmatrix} a^2-c^2-Dd^2 & 2cdD \\ 2cd & a^2-c^2-Dd^2 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} x \\ y \end{pmatrix} \in R^2 \]

are equal.

We have

\[ \det \begin{pmatrix} a-c & -d \\ -dD & a-c \end{pmatrix} = \max\{|a-c|^2, |d|^2\} \]

and

\[ \det \begin{pmatrix} a^2-c^2-Dd^2 & 2cdD \\ 2cd & a^2-c^2-Dd^2 \end{pmatrix} = \max\{|a^2-c^2-Dd^2|^2, |d|^2\} \]

\[ = \max\{|a^2-c^2|^2, |d|^2\} \]

\[ = \max\{|a-c|^2, |d|^2\} \]

since \(a, c \in U^1_F\).
Thus if $|d| \geq |a - c|$ both these matrices lie in

$$GL(2, R) \begin{pmatrix} d \\ d \end{pmatrix}$$

and if $|d| < |a - c|$ then both these matrices lie in

$$GL(2, R) \begin{pmatrix} a - c \\ a - c \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

Hence the integrals above are equal and the proof of Proposition 7.3 is now complete.
Chapter 8

The fundamental lemma for the (1,2,1) Levi II

In this chapter we again take \( M^0 \) to be the (1,2,1) Levi in \( G^0 \). We have

\[
M^0 = \left\{ \begin{pmatrix} a & \cr & A \\ & b \end{pmatrix}, e : A \in \text{GL}(2), a, b, e \in \text{GL}(1) \right\}
\]

and we write such an element as a tuple \((a, A, b, e)\). The restriction of \( \alpha \) to \( M^0 \) is given by

\[
\alpha : (a, A, b, e) \mapsto (b^{-1}, \det A^{-1} A, a^{-1}, abe \det A).
\]

We set \( M' = \text{GL}(1) \times \text{Res}_{E/F} \text{GL}(1) \) an unramified elliptic twisted endoscopic group for \( M^0 \). In this chapter we prove the fundamental lemma for the pair \((M, M')\).

8.1 Statement of the fundamental lemma

Let \( E \) denote the unramified quadratic extension of \( F \). We fix \( D \in F \) with \( v(D) = 0 \) such that \( E = F(\sqrt{D}) \). Let \( R_E \) denote the ring of integers in \( E \) and \( U_E \) the group of units. We let \( | \cdot |_E \) denote the multiplicative valuation on \( E \) normalized such that \( |\pi|_E = q^{-2} \). Given \( \beta \in E \) we let \( \beta' \) denote its Galois conjugate. We fix the Haar measure on \( E \) that gives \( R_E \) volume one.

We recall from Lemma 4.5 that the elliptic twisted endoscopic groups for \( G^0 \) in \( \mathcal{E}_{M'}(G) \) are \( G_1 = \text{Res}_{E/F} \text{GL}(2)' \) and \( G_2 = (\text{GL}(2) \times \text{Res}_{E/F} \text{GL}(1))/(\text{GL}(1)) \). Moreover each group appears with multiplicity two and we have \( M' \) sitting inside both of these groups as the diagonal torus.

The stable twisted conjugacy classes in \( M^0(F) \), which transfer to \( M'(F) \), are those with repre-
sentatives of the form
\[
\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ a & bD \\ b & a \\ d \end{pmatrix}, \quad e
\]
Moreover as we saw in Section 7.1 the stable twisted conjugacy class of \(\gamma\) is the disjoint union of the twisted conjugacy classes of \(\gamma\) and
\[
\gamma' = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ a & b\pi^{-1}D \\ b\pi & a \\ d \end{pmatrix}, \quad e
\]
And we have, using [KS99, Chapter 4], \(\Delta(N(\gamma \alpha), \gamma) = (-1)^{v(b)}\) and \(\Delta(N(\gamma \alpha), \gamma') = (-1)^{v(b)+1}\).
We let \(\beta = a + b\sqrt{D} \in E^\times\). Then since neither \(G_1\) or \(G_2\) have proper elliptic endoscopic groups the fundamental lemma is given by the following Proposition.

**Proposition 8.1.** Let \(\gamma\) and \(\gamma'\) be as above then we have
\[
\nu_{M}^{G_1}(\gamma \alpha) - \nu_{M}^{G_1}(\gamma' \alpha) = (-1)^{v(b)} \left(2r_{M'}^{G_1} \begin{pmatrix} cc\beta \\ de\beta \end{pmatrix} + 2r_{M'}^{G_2} \begin{pmatrix} ce \\ de \end{pmatrix}, \beta \right).
\]

For \(P^0\) the upper triangular \((1,2,1)\) parabolic in \(G^0\) we set \(\text{vol}(a_{P^0}^{G_1}/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_\rho)) = \frac{1}{\log q}\) and normalize the other volumes as in Section 5.5. This has the effect of replacing \(\log\) by \(\log q\) below. We suppress the \(q\) from our notation and for the rest of this chapter take \(\log\) to be \(\log\) to the base \(q\).

**8.2 Proof of the fundamental lemma**

We note that both sides of the identity in Proposition 8.1 vanish if the stable twisted conjugacy class of \(\gamma\) does not intersect \(M^0(R)\). Thus we may assume that we have
\[
\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} c \\ a & bD \\ b & a \\ d \end{pmatrix}, \quad e \in M^0(R).
\]
We now compute $2r_{\mathcal{M}}^G(N(\gamma\alpha))$ and $2r_{\mathcal{M}}^G(N(\gamma\alpha))$. We have

$$2r_{\mathcal{M}}^G(N(\gamma\alpha)) = |c\beta - d\beta|_E \int_{|x| \leq |c\beta - d\beta|_E^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x|_E\}$$

and

$$2r_{\mathcal{M}}^G(N(\gamma\alpha)) = |c - d| \int_{|x| \leq |c - d|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x|\}.$$

As in the previous chapter we use the twisted topological Jordan decomposition of $\gamma\alpha$ to prove the fundamental lemma. So we write $\gamma\alpha = us\alpha = s\alpha u$ as a commuting product of an absolutely semisimple element $s\alpha$ and a topological unipotent element $u$. We again analyze the possibilities for $s\alpha$ and prove the fundamental lemma for each such $s\alpha$ and every topologically unipotent element $u$ that commutes with it.

**8.2.1 $s$ equals the identity**

We now assume that $s$ is equal to the identity. With a slight change in notation we take

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} c & \alpha^{-1} & bc^{-1}D & e \end{pmatrix} \in \text{Sp}(4, R) \times U_F$$

with $c, e \in U^1_F$, $\beta = a + b\sqrt{D} \in U^1_E$ and $a^2 - Db^2 = c^2$.

In order to use the calculations and reductions of Chapter 6 we make the further assumption that $q > 3$. However, arguing as in Remark 6.9 will give the fundamental lemma in the case $q = 3$ as well.

We set $|b| = q^{-M}$ and $|c - 1| = q^{-N}$. Then we have

$$|D_G(\gamma\alpha)|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{cases} q^{-2N-M}, & \text{if } N \leq M; \\ q^{-N-3M}, & \text{if } N \geq M. \end{cases}$$

$$|D_M(\gamma\alpha)|^{\frac{1}{2}} = q^{-M}$$

$$|D_{G_1}(N(\gamma\alpha))|^{\frac{1}{2}} = \begin{cases} q^{-2N}, & \text{if } N \leq M; \\ q^{-2M}, & \text{if } N \geq M. \end{cases}$$

$$|D_{G_2}(N(\gamma\alpha))|^{\frac{1}{2}} = q^{-N}.$$

Using Lemma 5.17 we note that the twisted weighted orbital integrals we need to compute on $G^0$ are equal to the weighted orbital integrals on $\text{GSp}(4)$ with respect to the Klingen Levi. Let $M_1$ denote the Klingen Levi in $\text{GSp}(4)$ and $P_1$ the upper triangular parabolic of which $M_1$ is a Levi
component. We also set $N_1$ equal to the unipotent radical in $P_1$. We let $\sigma_{P_1}$ denote the function

$$\sigma_{P_1}(a) = \int_{N_1(F) \cap GSp(4, \mathbb{R})} v_{M_1}(\varphi_a(n)) \, dn$$

where $\varphi_a : N_1 \to N_1$ the inverse of the map $N_1 \to N_1 : n \mapsto a^{-1}n^{-1}an$. By abuse of notation we identify $\gamma$ with its component lying in $Sp(4)$; then we have $r_G^M(\gamma) - r_G^M(\gamma')$ equal to $|D_M(\gamma \alpha)|^{\frac{1}{2}}$ times

$$\sigma_{P_1}(\gamma) + (q + 1) \sum_{m=1}^{M} (-1)^m q^{m-1} \sigma_{P_1}(z_m^{-1} \gamma z_m)$$

where

$$z_m = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & \pi^m & \\ & & 1 & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

And Proposition 8.1 says that it equals

$$(-1)^M \left( 2q^{-2N} \left( Nq^{2N} - \frac{q^{2N} - 1}{q^2 - 1} \right) + q^{-N} \left( Nq^N - \frac{q^N - 1}{q - 1} \right) \right)$$

if $N \leq M$ and equals

$$(-1)^M \left( 2q^{-2M} \left( Nq^{2M} - \frac{q^{2M} - 1}{q^2 - 1} \right) + q^{-N} \left( Nq^N - \frac{q^N - 1}{q - 1} \right) \right)$$

if $N \geq M$.

We now set about computing

$$\sigma_{P_1}(\gamma) + (q + 1) \sum_{m=1}^{M} (-1)^m q^{m-1} \sigma_{P_1}(z_m^{-1} \gamma z_m).$$

To put us in the same shape as Chapter 6 we scale our element $\gamma$ by $c$ to give

$$\gamma = \begin{pmatrix} c^2 & & & \\ & a & bD & \\ & b & a & \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix},$$

which of course doesn’t change the value of $\sigma_{P_1}(z_m^{-1} \gamma z_m)$. And in the notation of Chapter 6 we have

$$n = \det \begin{pmatrix} a & bD \\ b & a \end{pmatrix} = c^2.$$
We have \(|n - 1| = |c - 1| = q^{-N}\) and \(|b| = q^{-M}\). Note also that we have \(|\beta - 1| = \max\{|a - 1|, |b|\}.

But since \(a^2 - b^2 D = c^2\) it follows that \(|\beta - 1| = \max\{|c - 1|, |b|\}.

We begin by proving Proposition 8.1 under the assumption that \(|b|^2 \leq |n - 1| \leq |b|\). So we have \(M \leq N\). As we have seen in Section 6.5.5 \(\sigma_{P_1}(z_m^{-1}\gamma z_m)\) for \(0 \leq m \leq M\) equals the sum of

\[
N + M + m - \frac{2}{q - 1} + 2\frac{q^{-m+1}}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{q^{-N-2M}}{q^3 - 1}
\]

and

\[
(M - 2l - m - 2)q^{-2M+2l+m+1} + q^{-2M+2l+m+1} \frac{2q + 1}{q + 1} + \frac{q^{-N-2M+3l+2m+1}}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{q^{-N-2M+3l+2}}{(q + 1)(q^3 - 1)}
\]

where \(l = \lfloor \frac{N-m}{2}\rfloor\). Using this we compute that

\[
\sigma_{P_1}(\gamma) + (q + 1) \sum_{m=1}^{M} (-1)^m q^{m-1} \sigma_{P_1}(z_m^{-1}\gamma z_m)
\]

equals

\[
(-1)^M \left(2q^{-M} \left(Mq^{2M} - q^{2M} - 1 \right) + q^{-N+M} \left(Nq^N - \frac{q^N - 1}{q - 1} \right) \right).
\]

And since \(|D_M(\gamma \alpha)| = q^{-M}\) Proposition 8.1 follows in this case.

In proving the fundamental lemma for the (2,2) Levi in the case of an elliptic torus we reduced the proof to this case. We now follow these same reductions for the fundamental lemma here. First we assume that we have \(|c - 1| \leq |b|^2\). We set

\[
\sigma_{P_1}(M, N, m) = \sigma_{P_1}(z_m^{-1}\gamma z_m)
\]

and

\[
L(M, N) = q^{-M} \left(\sigma_{P_1}(N, M, 0) + (q + 1) \sum_{m=1}^{M} (-1)^m q^{m-1} \sigma_{P_1}(N, M, m) \right).
\]

We now compute \(qL(M, N + 1) - L(M, N)\). As we have seen in the proof of Proposition 6.13 we have \(q\sigma_{P_1}(M, N + 1, m) - \sigma_{P_1}(M, N, m)\) equal to

\[
(N + M + m + 1)q - (N + M + m + 2) + 2q^{-m} - 2q^{-M+1} + q^{-M} - 2\frac{q^{-m} - q^{-M+2}}{q + 1}
\]

if \(M - m\) is even and equal to

\[
(N + M + m + 1)q - (N + M + m + 2) + 2q^{-m} - q^{-M} - 2\frac{q^{-m} - q^{-M+1}}{q + 1}
\]

if \(M - m\) is odd.
Using this we compute that \( qL(M, N + 1) - L(M, N) \) equals \((-1)^M\) times the sum of

\[
q^{-N+M} \left( (N + 1)q^{N+1} - \frac{q^{N+1} - 1}{q - 1} \right) - q^{-N+M} \left( Nq^{N} - \frac{q^{N} - 1}{q - 1} \right)
\]

and

\[
2q^{-M+1} \left( Mq^{2M} - \frac{q^{2M} - 1}{q^2 - 1} \right) - 2q^{-M} \left( Mq^{2M} - \frac{q^{2M} - 1}{q^2 - 1} \right)
\]

as required.

Now assume that we have \( q^{-N} = |c - 1| = |n - 1| \geq |b| = q^{-M} \). Again we set

\[
\sigma_{P_1}(M, N, m) = \sigma_{P_1}(z_m^{-1}z_m)
\]

and

\[
L(M, N) = \sigma_{P_1}(M, N, 0) + (q + 1) \sum_{m=1}^{M} (-1)^{m}q^{m-1}\sigma_{P_1}(M, N, m).
\]

We denote, as in the case of the (2,2) Levi,

\[
e(M, N, m) = \sigma_{P_1}(M + 1, N, m + 1) - \sigma_{P_1}(M, N, m),
\]

and we have

\[
L(M + 1, N) + L(M, N) = \sigma_{P_1}(M + 1, N, 0) - \sigma_{P_1}(M, N, 0) + (q + 1) \sum_{m=0}^{M} (-1)^{m+1}q^{m}e(M, N, m).
\]

And as we have seen in the proof of Proposition 6.11

\[
e(M, N, m) = q^{-3N-m-1}(I(m + 2) - I(m))
\]

where \( I(m) \) is equal to the integral of

\[
|x| \log \max\{1, |x|, |s|\}
\]

over the region

- \(|x| \leq q^N\)
- \(\pi^N s - \pi^{m+M} x^2 \in \mathbb{R}\).

And we have \( \sigma_{P_1}(M, N, 0) = q^{-3N}(1 + q^{-1})I(0) \) and \( \sigma_{P_1}(M + 1, N, 0) = q^{-3N}(1 + q^{-1})I(1) \).

Hence we have

\[
L(M + 1, N) + L(M, N) = (q + 1)q^{-3N-1}((-1)^{M+1}I(M + 2) + (-1)^{M}I(M + 1)).
\]
But since $I(m)$ is constant for $m \geq M$ so we have $L(M + 1, N) + L(M, N) = 0$ as required.

The proof of Proposition 8.1 is now complete under the assumption that $s$ equals the identity.

### 8.2.2 $s$ not equal to the identity

We now analyze the other possibilities for $s$. Let’s take

$$s = (a_1, g_1, b_1, e_1).$$

First we assume that $g_1 \in Z(GL(2))$. Then we have $u \in M^0(F)$ topologically unipotent and $\alpha(u) = u$. If $a_1 = b_1$ then from Lemma 7.5 we have

$$r_{G_1}^G(usa) = r_{G_1}^G(u\alpha).$$

It’s clear that when $a_1 = b_1$ we also have

$$r_{G_1}^G(N(usa)) = r_{G_1}^G(N(u\alpha))$$

and

$$r_{G_2}^G(N(usa)) = r_{G_2}^G(N(u\alpha)).$$

Hence in this case Proposition 8.1 follows from the case that $s$ is equal to the identity.

Next we assume that $g_1$ is central and $a_1 \neq b_1$. Then from Lemma 7.6 we see that the left hand side of the identity in Proposition 8.1 vanishes. It’s clear that the corresponding integrals on $G_1$ and $G_2$ also vanish. Thus we are done with the case that $g_1$ is the identity.

Now we suppose that $g_1 \notin Z(GL(2))$. Then we can take

$$s = \left(1, \begin{pmatrix} c_1 & D \\ 1 & c_1 \end{pmatrix}, b_1, e_1 \right)$$

and

$$u = \left(a, \begin{pmatrix} c & Dd \\ d & c \end{pmatrix}, a^{-1}, e \right)$$

topologically unipotent with $c^2 - Dd^2 = 1$. In this case, as remarked before Lemma 7.12, the other twisted conjugacy class within the twisted conjugacy class of $usa$ does not intersect $M^0(R)$. The twisted integrals in this case have been computed in Lemma 7.12.

We now compute the integrals on $G_1$ and $G_2$. 
Lemma 8.2. We have $2r_{M}^{G_1}(N(usa)) = 0$ unless $b_1 = -1$ and $c_1 = 0$ in which case it equals
\[
\max\{|a-c|_E,|d|_E\} \int_{|x|_E \leq \max\{|a-c|_E,|d|_E\}^{-1}} \log \max\{1,|x|_E\}.
\]

Proof. We have the norm of $s$ in $GL(2,E)'$ equal to
\[
\left( e(c_1 + \sqrt{D}) \begin{array}{c} e(b_1(c_1 - \sqrt{D})) \
\end{array} \right).
\]
If we let $N'$ denote the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup containing $M'$ then we have $N' \cap Z_{G_1}(N(\alpha)) = \{I\}$ unless
\[
e(c_1 + \sqrt{D}) = e(b_1(c_1 - \sqrt{D}));
\]
which is if and only if $c_1 = 0$ and $b_1 = -1$. Let $\beta = c + d\sqrt{D}$ then when $c_1 = 0$ and $b_1 = -1$ we have
\[
2r_{M}^{G_1}(N(usa)) = |a\beta - a^{-1}\beta^{-1}|_E \int_{|x|_E \leq |a\beta - a^{-1}\beta^{-1}|_E^{-1}} \log \max\{1,|x|_E\}
\]
\[
= |1 - a^{-1}\beta^{-1}|_E \int_{|x|_E \leq |1 - a^{-1}\beta^{-1}|_E^{-1}} \log \max\{1,|x|_E\}
\]
\[
= \max\{|a-c|_E,|d|_E\} \int_{|x|_E \leq \max\{|a-c|_E,|d|_E\}^{-1}} \log \max\{1,|x|_E\}
\]
as required. \qed

Lemma 8.3. We have $2r_{M}^{G_2}(N(usa)) = 0$ unless $b_1 = 1$ in which case it equals
\[
|a-1| \int_{|x| \leq |a-1|^{-1}} \log \max\{1,|x|\}.
\]

Proof. We have the norm of $s$ in $(GL(2,F) \times E^\times)/F^\times$ equal to
\[
\left( \left( \begin{array}{c} e \
\end{array} \right),c_1 + \sqrt{D} \right).
\]
If we let $N'$ denote the unipotent radical of a Borel subgroup containing $M'$ then $N' \cap Z_{G_2}(N(\alpha)) = \{I\}$ unless $b_1 = 1$. In this case we see from above that we have
\[
2r_{M}^{G_2}(N(usa)) = |a-1| \int_{|x| \leq |a-1|^{-1}} \log \max\{1,|x|\}
\]
and we are done. \qed
So unless either $b_1 = 1$ or $b_1 = -1$ and $c_1 = 0$ all integrals vanish and the fundamental lemma holds. If we have $b_1 = 1$ then by Lemma 7.12 the twisted integral is equal to

$$|a - 1| \int_{|x_3| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x_3|\}$$

and we are done in this case.

Now suppose that $b_1 = -1$ and $c_1 = 0$. Then by Lemma 7.12 we need to show that the integral of

$$2 \int \log \max \{1, |x_1|, |x_2|\}$$

over the region

- $(a - c)x_1 - dx_2 \in R$
- $-dDx_1 + (a - c)x_2 \in R$

is equal to

$$\int_{|x| \leq \max \{|a - c|, |d|\}^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x| E\}.$$ 

If we let $\max \{|a - c|, |d|\} = q^{-n}$, then this latter integral is equal to

$$2 \left( q^{2n} - \frac{q^{2n} - 1}{q^2 - 1} \right).$$

We now turn to the first integral. As we saw in Section 7.3.4 this integral is equal to

$$2 \int_{|x_1| \leq \max \{|a - c|, |d|\}^{-1}} \int_{|x_2| \leq \max \{|a - c|, |d|\}^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x_1|, |x_2|\},$$

which equals, by Lemma 10.8,

$$2 \left( q^{2n} - \frac{q^{2n} - 1}{q - 1} + \frac{q^{2n+1}}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{1}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{q^2}{(q + 1)(q^3 - 1)} \right)$$

which equals

$$2 \left( q^{2n} - \frac{q^{2n} - 1}{q^2 - 1} \right).$$

The proof of Proposition 8.1 is now complete.
Chapter 9
The fundamental lemma for the diagonal Levi

In this chapter we prove the fundamental lemma for $M^0$ equal to the diagonal torus in $G^0$ and $M'$ equal to $\text{GL}(1)^3$, the unique unramified elliptic twisted endoscopic group for $M^0$. The restriction of $\alpha$ to $M^0$ is given by

$$\alpha : (\text{diag}(a, b, c, d), e) \mapsto (\text{diag}(d^{-1}, c^{-1}, b^{-1}, a^{-1}), abcde).$$

9.1 Statement of the fundamental lemma

We note that for $\gamma = (\text{diag}(a, b, c, d), e) \in M^0(F)$ and $m = (\text{diag}(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1), e_1) \in M^0(F)$ we have

$$m^{-1}(\text{diag}(a, b, c, d), e)\alpha(m) = (\text{diag}(a_1d_1^{-1}a, b_1c_1^{-1}b, c_1^{-1}c_1, a_1d_1^{-1}d), a_1b_1c_1d_1e).$$

It’s clear from this that the stable twisted conjugacy class of $\gamma$ is equal to the twisted conjugacy class of $\gamma$. Therefore the fundamental lemma for the pair $(M, M')$ is given by the following Proposition.

**Proposition 9.1.** For $(\text{diag}(a, b, c, d), e)\alpha \in M(F)$ which is strongly $G^0$-regular we have

$$r^G_{M'}((\text{diag}(a, b, c, d), e)\alpha) - 2r^{\text{GSp}(4)}_{M'}(\text{diag}(abe, ace, bde, cde))$$

equal to

$$2r^{(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))'}_{M'}(\text{diag}(abe, cde), \text{diag}(ace, bde)) - r^{(\text{GL}(2) \times \text{GL}(2))/\text{GL}(1)}_{M'}(\text{diag}(1, a^{-1}d), \text{diag}(abe, ace)).$$

We set $\text{vol}(a_G^G/\mathbb{Z}(\Delta_B^\vee)) = \frac{2}{\log q}$ and normalize the other volumes as in Section 5.5. This has the effect of replacing $\log$ by $\log_q$ below. We suppress the $q$ from our notation and for the rest of this
chapter take log to be log to the base $q$.

9.2 Proof of the fundamental lemma

As above for $m = (\text{diag}(a_1, b_1, c_1, d_1), e_1) \in M^0(F)$ we have

$$m^{-1}(\text{diag}(a, b, c, d), e)\alpha(m) = (\text{diag}((a_1d_1)^{-1}a, (b_1c_1)^{-1}b, (b_1c_1)^{-1}c, (a_1d_1)^{-1}d), a_1b_1c_1d_1e).$$

Hence we see that the twisted conjugacy class of $(\text{diag}(a, b, c, d), e) \in M^0(F)$ intersects $M^0(R)$ if and only if we have $|a| = |d|, |b| = |c|$ and $|abe| = 1$. It’s clear that unless these conditions are met then the same is true of the conjugacy class of $N(\gamma \alpha)$ in $M'(F)$. Thus we may as well assume that we have

$$\gamma = (\text{diag}(a, b, c, d), e) \in M^0(R).$$

Under the assumption that $\gamma \in M^0(R)$ we have

$$2r_{M'}^{(GL(2) \times GL(2))'}(\text{diag}(abe, cde), \text{diag}(ace, bde)) - r_{M'}^{(GL(2) \times GL(2))/GL(1)}(\text{diag}(1, a^{-1}d), \text{diag}(abe, ace)).$$

equal to

$$2|ab - cd||ac - bd|\int_{|x| \leq |a-cd|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x|\} \int_{|y| \leq |ac-bd|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |y|\}$$

minus

$$2|a - d||b - c|\int_{|x| \leq |a-d|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x|\} \int_{|y| \leq |b-c|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |y|\}.$$  

We now prove Proposition 9.1 using the twisted topological Jordan decomposition. As before we write $\gamma \alpha = us \alpha = sa \alpha$ and analyze the possibilities for $s$.

9.2.1 $s$ equal to the identity

We begin by proving Proposition 9.1 in the case that $s$ is the identity. We take $\gamma = (u, e) \in \text{Sp}(4, R) \times \text{GL}(1, R)$ topologically unipotent. We write

$$u = \text{diag}(a, b, b^{-1}, a^{-1}).$$

Then with the normalizations above we have, from Lemma 5.17, $r_M^G(\gamma \alpha) = 2r_{M'}^{\text{GSp}(4)}(u)$. Thus in order to prove Proposition 9.1 in this case we need to prove that

$$2r_{M'}^{\text{GSp}(4)}(\text{diag}(a, b, b^{-1}, a^{-1})) - 2r_{M'}^{\text{GSp}(4)}(\text{diag}(ab, ab^{-1}, a^{-1}b, a^{-1}b^{-1}))$$
is equal to
\[ 2|ab - 1||a - b| \int_{|x| \leq |ab - 1|^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x|\} \int_{|y| \leq |a - b|^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |y|\} \]

minus
\[ 2|a - 1||b - 1| \int_{|x| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x|\} \int_{|y| \leq |b - 1|^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |y|\}. \]

We have |a - 1| < 1 and |b - 1| < 1. Since we are in odd residual characteristic we have at least three of |ab - 1|, |a - b|, |a - 1| and |b - 1| equal. For \( N \geq M \) we define \( I(N, M) \) to be equal to
\[ 2r_{M'}^{GSp(4)}(\text{diag}(a, b, b^{-1}, a^{-1})) \]
for \( a \) and \( b \) such that
\[ |a - 1| = q^{-N}, |b - 1| = |a - b| = |ab - 1| = q^{-M} \]

and we define \( I(M, N) \) to be equal to \( 2r_{M'}^{GSp(4)}(\text{diag}(a, b, b^{-1}, a^{-1})) \) for \( a \) and \( b \) such that
\[ |ab - 1| = q^{-N}, |a - 1| = |b - 1| = |a - b| = q^{-M}. \]

Using the action of the Weyl group in \( \text{Sp}(4) \) we see that in order to prove Proposition 9.1 in the case that \( s \) is the identity it suffices to prove the following Lemma.

**Lemma 9.2.** For \( N \geq M \) we have \( I(N, M) - I(M, N) \) equal to
\[ 2q^{-2M} \left( Mq^M - \frac{q^M - 1}{q - 1} \right) \left( Mq^M - \frac{q^M - 1}{q - 1} \right) - 2q^{-N-M} \left( Nq^N - \frac{q^N - 1}{q - 1} \right) \left( Mq^M - \frac{q^M - 1}{q - 1} \right). \]

We now see how to compute \( 2r_{M'}^{GSp(4)}(a, b, b^{-1}, a^{-1}) \). Using the notation of Lemma 5.7 we need to integrate
\[ -(A^2 + 2B^2 + 2C^2 + D^2 + 2E^2 + F^2) + 2(AB + AE + BD + CD + EF) \]
over the region
- \( |x_1| \leq |a - b|^{-1} \)
- \( |x_4| \leq |b - 1|^{-1} \)
- \((ab - 1)x_2 + b(a - b)x_1x_4 \in R\)
- \((a^2 - 1)x_3 + ab^{-1}(1 - b^2)x_1x_2 \in R\).

We assume that \( |a - b| = |b - 1| \). We first note that if \( |x_1x_4| > |b - 1|^{-1} \) then we must have both
\[ |x_1| > 1 \text{ and } |x_4| > 1. \] Now
\[ |x_1x_4| > |b - 1|^{-1} \implies |x_2| = |ab - 1|^{-1}|a - b||x_1x_4| \geq |x_1x_4| > |b - 1|^{-1} \]
\[ \implies |x_1x_2| > |b - 1|^{-1}. \]

So if \(|x_1x_4| > |b - 1|^{-1}\) then we have
\[ x_2 = -(ab - 1)^{-1}(a - b)bx_1x_4u \]

with \(u \in U_{v}^{-v((b-1)x_1x_4)}\) and we have
\[ x_3 = -(a^2 - 1)^{-1}(1 - b^2)ab^{-1}x_1x_2v \]
\[ = (a^2 - 1)^{-1}(ab - 1)^{-1}(1 - b^2)(a - b)ax_1^2x_4uv \]

with \(v \in U_{v}^{-v((b-1)x_1x_2)}\).

Now suppose that \(|x_1x_4| \leq |b - 1|^{-1}\), then we have \(|x_2| \leq |ab - 1|^{-1}\). Now if \(|x_1x_2| > |b - 1|^{-1}\) then we have
\[ x_3 = -(a^2 - 1)^{-1}(1 - b^2)ab^{-1}x_1x_2w \]

with \(w \in U_{v}^{-v((b-1)x_1x_2)}\).

And finally if we have \(|x_1x_4| \leq |b - 1|^{-1}\) and \(|x_1x_2| \leq |b - 1|^{-1}\) then we have \(|x_3| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}\).

So we have divided our region of integration into three regions. The first is given by
- \(|x_1x_4| > |b - 1|^{-1}\)
- \(x_2 = -(ab - 1)^{-1}(a - b)bx_1x_4u, u \in U_{v}^{-v((b-1)x_1x_4)}\)
- \(x_3 = (a^2 - 1)^{-1}(ab - 1)^{-1}(1 - b^2)(a - b)ax_1^2x_4uv, v \in U_{v}^{-v((b-1)x_1x_2)}\).

The second is given by
- \(|x_1x_4| \leq |b - 1|^{-1}\)
- \(|x_2| \leq |ab - 1|^{-1}, |x_1x_2| > |b - 1|^{-1}\)
- \(x_3 = -(a^2 - 1)^{-1}(1 - b^2)ab^{-1}x_1x_2w, w \in U_{v}^{-v((b-1)x_1x_2)}\).

And the third by
- \(|x_1x_4| \leq |b - 1|^{-1}\)
- \(|x_2| \leq |ab - 1|^{-1}, |x_1x_2| \leq |b - 1|^{-1}\)
\[ |x_3| \leq |a - 1|^{-1}. \]

We now compute \( I(N, M) - I(M, N) \) over each of these three regions.

9.2.1.1 Region 1

Over the first region we clearly have

\[ B = \log |x_3| \]
\[ C = \log |x_1| \]
\[ E = \log |x_2| \]
\[ F = \log |x_4| \]

for both \( I(N, M) \) and \( I(M, N) \).

Next we compute \( A \) over region 1 under the assumption that \( |a - b| = |b - 1| < 1 \). We have

\[ A = \log \max \{|x_2|, |x_3 - x_1 x_2|, |x_2^2 - x_3 x_4 + x_1 x_2 x_4|\}. \]

Now

\[ x_2^2 - x_3 x_4 + x_1 x_2 x_4 = x_1^2 x_4^2 u (ab - 1)^{-2} (a^2 - 1)^{-1} (a - b)^2 \]

and

\[ (a - b)(a^2 - 1)b - (ab - 1)(1 - b^2)a - (ab - 1)(a^2 - 1)b = (a - b)(1 - b^2). \]

Therefore

\[ |x_2^2 - x_3 x_4 + x_1 x_2 x_4| = |x_1^2 x_4^2||ab - 1|^{-2}|a^2 - 1|^{-1}|b - 1|^3 \]

and so

\[ A = \log \left( |x_1^2 x_4^2||ab - 1|^{-2}|a^2 - 1|^{-1}|b - 1|^3 \right). \]

For \( D \) we note that

\[ x_3 + x_1 x_2 + x_1^2 x_4 = x_1^2 x_4 (a^2 - 1)^{-1} (1 - b^2) \]

and

\[ (1 - b^2)(a - b)a - (a^2 - 1)(a - b)b + (a^2 - 1)(ab - 1) = (b^2 - 1)(ab - 1). \]

First we look at \( I(N, M) \). In this case over region 1 we have

\[ \bullet \; A = 2 \log |x_1| + 2 \log |x_4| + N - M \]
\[
\begin{align*}
B &= 2 \log |x_1| + \log |x_4| + N - M \\
C &= \log |x_1| \\
D &= 2 \log |x_1| + \log |x_4| + N - M \\
E &= \log |x_1| + \log |x_4| \\
F &= \log |x_4| \\
\end{align*}
\]

and so

\[-(A^2 + 2B^2 + 2C^2 + D^2 + 2E^2 + F^2) + 2(AB + AE + BD + CD + EF)\]

equals

\[4(N - M) \log |x_1| + 2(N - M) \log |x_4| + 4(\log |x_1|)^2 + 8 \log |x_1| \log |x_4| + 2(\log |x_4|)^2.\]

Next we compute \(I(M, N)\) over region 1. In this case we have

\[
\begin{align*}
A &= 2 \log |x_1| + 2 \log |x_4| + 2(N - M) \\
B &= 2 \log |x_1| + \log |x_4| + N - M \\
C &= \log |x_1| \\
E &= \log |x_1| + \log |x_4| + N - M \\
F &= \log |x_4| \\
\end{align*}
\]

For \(D\), we have

\[x_3 + x_1 x_2 + x_1^2 x_4 = (a^2 - 1)^{-1}(ab - 1)^{-1} x_1^2 x_4 u ((1 - b^2)(a - b)av + (a^2 - 1)(ab - 1)u^{-1} - (a^2 - 1)(a - b)b).\]

Now

\[v = 1 + (b - 1)^{-2}(ab - 1) x_1^{-2} x_4^{-1} y\]

with \(y \in R\), so

\[(1 - b^2)(a - b)av = (1 - b^2)(a - b)a + (1 - b^2)(a - b)a(b - 1)^{-2}(ab - 1)x_1^{-2}x_4^{-1} y\]

and

\[|(1 - b^2)(a - b)a(b - 1)^{-2}(ab - 1)x_1^{-2}x_4^{-1} y| = |(ab - 1)x_1^{-2}x_4^{-1} y| < q^{-M-N}.\]

Since

\[(a - b^2)(a - b)a + (a^2 - 1)(ab - 1) - (a^2 - 1)(a - b)b = (ab - 1)(b^2 - 1)\]
we get

\[ |x_3 + x_1x_2 + x_1^2x_4| = |x_1^2x_4| \]

and so \( D = 2 \log |x_1| + \log |x_4| \). Therefore for \( I(M, N) \) over region 1 we have

\[-(A^2 + 2B^2 + 2C^2 + D^2 + 2E^2 + F^2) + 2(AB + AE + BD + CD + EF) \]

equal to

\[ 4(N - M) \log |x_1| + 4(N - M) \log |x_4| + 4(\log |x_1|)^2 + 8 \log |x_1| \log |x_4| + 2(\log |x_4|)^2. \]

Hence we see that the contribution from region 1 to \( I(N, M) - I(M, N) \) is equal to

\[ 2(M - N)q^{-M-N} \int_{1 \leq |x_4| \leq q^M} \int_{q^M |x_4|^{-1} < |x_1| \leq q^M} \log |x_4| \]

which equals

\[ 2(M - N)q^{-M} \int_{1 \leq |x_4| \leq q^M} (1 - |x_4|^{-1}) \log |x_4|. \]

9.2.1.2 Region 2

We now compute the contribution from the integrals over region 2 to \( I(N, M) - I(M, N) \). We begin by computing the contribution to \( I(N, M) \). In this case region 2 is given by

- \(|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_4| \leq q^M\)
- \(|x_1x_4| \leq q^M < |x_1x_2|\)
- \(x_3 = -(a^2 - 1)^{-1}(1 - b^2)ab^{-1}x_1x_2w, \ w \in \mathbb{U}^{-v((b-1)x_1x_2)}\).

We note that we have \(|x_1|, |x_2| > 1, |x_4| < |x_2|\) and \(|x_3| = q^{N-M}|x_1x_2| > q^N\). So we have

\[ A = \log \max\{|x_2|, |x_3 - x_1x_2|, |x_2^2 - x_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_4|\} \]
\[ B = N - M + \log |x_1| + \log |x_2| \]
\[ C = \log |x_1| \]
\[ D = \log \max\{|x_1|^2, |x_3 + x_1x_2 + x_1^2x_4|\} \]
\[ E = \log |x_2| \]
\[ F = \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}. \]
For $A$ we have

$$x_3 - x_1 x_2 = -(a^2 - 1)^{-1}b^{-1}((1 - b^2)aw + (a^2 - 1)b)x_1 x_2$$

and since

$$(1 - b^2)a + (a^2 - 1)b = (a - b)(1 + ab)$$

so

$$|x_3 - x_1 x_2| = q^{N-M}|x_1 x_2|.$$ 

And we have

$$x_2^2 - x_3 x_4 + x_1 x_2 x_4 = x_2^2 + (a^2 - 1)^{-1}(1 - b^2)ab^{-1}aw x_1 x_2 x_4 + x_1 x_2 x_4$$

$$= x_2(x_2 + (a^2 - 1)^{-1}b^{-1}((1 - b^2)aw + (a^2 - 1)b)x_1 x_4).$$

We note that

$$(1 - b^2)a + (a^2 - 1)b = (a - b)(1 + ab)$$

and hence that $|(1 - b^2)aw + (a^2 - 1)b| = q^{-M}$ for all $w$. Therefore after scaling $x_1$ and $x_2$ by suitable units, which doesn’t affect $B$ or $E$, we get

$$A = \log \max\{q^{N-M}|x_1 x_2|, |x_2(x_2 + \pi^{M-N}x_1 x_4)|\}.$$ 

We now make the change of variables $x_4 \mapsto x_4 - \pi^{N-M}x_1 x_2$, which again doesn’t affect $B$ or $E$, to give

$$A = N - M + \log |x_1| + \log |x_2| + \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}.$$ 

For $D$ we have

$$x_3 + x_1 x_2 + x_1^2 x_4 = x_1(((a^2 - 1)b - (1 - b^2)aw)(a^2 - 1)^{-1}b^{-1}x_2 + x_1 x_4).$$

Since

$$(a^2 - 1)b - (1 - b^2)a = (a + b)(ab - 1)$$

we have

$$|(a^2 - 1)b - (1 - b^2)aw)(a^2 - 1)^{-1}b^{-1}| = q^{N-M}$$

for all $w$. Thus after scaling $x_2$ by a suitable unit we have

$$D = \log \max\{|x_1|^2, |x_1(\pi^{M-N}x_2 + x_1 x_4)|\}.$$
So we have

\[ A = N - M + \log |x_1| + \log |x_2| + \log \max\{1, |x_4|\} \]
\[ B = N - M + \log |x_1| + \log |x_2| \]
\[ C = \log |x_1| \]
\[ D = \log |x_1| + \log \max\{|x_1|, |\pi^{M-N}x_2 + x_1x_4|\} \]
\[ E = \log |x_2| \]
\[ F = \log \max\{1, |x_4|\} \].

If we have \(|x_2| > q^{2M-N}\) then

\[ D = N - M + \log |x_1| + \log |x_2| \]

on the other hand if \(|x_2| \leq q^{2M-N}\) then we can do the change of variables \(x_4 \mapsto x_4 - \pi^{M-N}x_1^{-1}x_2\), which doesn’t change the value of \(B\) or \(C\), to give

\[ D = 2 \log |x_1| + \log \max\{1, |x_4|\} \].

The difference between the integrand

\[-(A^2 + 2B^2 + 2C^2 + D^2 + 2E^2 + F^2) + 2(AB + AE + BD + CD + EF)\]

when

\[ D = N - M + \log |x_1| + \log |x_2| \]

and

\[ D = 2 \log |x_1| + \log \max\{1, |x_4|\} \]

is

\[(N - M + \log |x_2| - \log \max\{1, |x_4|\})^2 - (\log |x_1|)^2.\]

**Lemma 9.3.** The integral of

\[(N - M + \log |x_2| - \log \max\{1, |x_4|\})^2 - (\log |x_1|)^2\]

over the region

- \(|x_1|, |x_4| \leq q^M\)
- \(|x_2| \leq q^{2M-N}\)
• $|x_1x_4| \leq q^M < |x_1x_2|$ is zero.

Proof. We assume that $N < 2M$ so that this region is non-empty. We note that we must have $|x_1| > q^{N-M}$. The volume of $x_1, x_2, x_4$ such that $\log |x_1| = k$ with $N - M < k \leq M$ is

$$q^k(1 - q^{-1})(q^{2M-N} - q^{M-k})q^{M-k} = (1 - q^{-1})(q^{3M-N} - q^{2M-k}).$$

We now the volume of $x_1, x_2, x_4$ such that $\log |x_2| - \log \max\{1, |x_4|\} = M - N + k$, with $N - M < k \leq M$, is the sum of

$$q^{M-N+k}(1 - q^{-1})(q^M - q^{N-k}) = (1 - q^{-1})(q^{2M-N+k} - q^M),$$

the contribution when $|x_4| \leq 1$, and

$$(1 - q^{-1})^2(q^M - q^{N-k}) \sum_{i=M-N+k+1}^{2M-N} q^i = (1 - q^{-1})(q^M - q^{N-k})(q^{2M-N} - q^{M-N+k}),$$

the contribution when $|x_4| > 1$. This sum equals

$$(1 - q^{-1})(q^{3M-N} - q^{2M-k})$$

as required. \hfill \Box

Therefore we can assume that $D = N - M + \log |x_1| + \log |x_2|$ in all cases and then we have

$$-(A^2 + 2B^2 + 2C^2 + D^2 + 2E^2 + F^2) + 2(AB + AE + BD + CD + EF)$$

equal to

$$2(N - M) \log |x_1x_2| + 4(\log |x_1| + \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}) \log |x_2| - 2(\log \max\{1, |x_4|\})^2.$$ 

So $I(N, M)$ is equal to $q^{-3M}$ times the integral of this function over the region

• $|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_4| \leq q^M$

• $|x_1x_4| \leq q^M < |x_1x_2|$. 

We compute the contribution from the $2(N - M) \log |x_1x_2|$ term. If we make the change of variables $z = x_1x_2$ then the integral becomes

$$2(N - M)q^{-3M} \int_{1 \leq |x_1| \leq q^M} \int_{|x_4| \leq q^M} |x_1|^{-1} \log |z|,$$

which equals the integral of

$$2(N - M)q^{-M} \left( (M + \log |x_1|)|x_1|^{-1} - M|x_1|^{-2} - \frac{|x_1|^{-1} - |x_1|^{-2}}{q - 1} \right)$$

over $1 \leq |x_1| \leq q^M$. We will compute the remaining terms when we compute $I(M, N)$ over region 2.

We now compute the contribution to $I(M, N)$ over region 2. This region is given by

- $|x_1|, |x_4| \leq q^M, |x_1x_4| \leq q^M$
- $|x_2| \leq q^N, |x_1x_2| > q^M$
- $x_3 = -(a^2 - 1)^{-1}(1 - b^2)ab^{-1}x_1x_2w, w \in U_F^{-v(b-1)x_1x_2}$.

We note that we must have $|x_2| > 1, |x_3| = |x_1x_2|$ and $|x_4| < |x_2|$. So we have

- $A = \log \max\{|x_2|, |x_3 - x_1x_2|, |x_2^2 - x_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_4|\}$
- $B = \log \max\{|x_1x_2|, |x_2 + x_1x_4|\}$
- $C = \log \max\{1, |x_1|\}$
- $D = \log \max\{1, |x_1|^2, |x_3 + x_1x_2 + x_1^2x_4|\}$
- $E = \log |x_2|$
- $F = \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}$.

We note that

$$B = \log |x_2| + \log \max\{1, |x_1|\}.$$

As we saw above $|x_3 - x_1x_2| = |x_1x_2|$ and so

$$A = \log \max\{|x_2|, |x_1x_2|, |x_2^2 - x_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_4|\}.$$

We have

$$x_2^2 - x_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_4 = x_2(x_2 + (a^2 - 1)^{-1}b^{-1}x_1x_4((1 - b^2)aw + (a^2 - 1)b)).$$
and we note that
\[(1 - b^2)a + (a^2 - 1)b = (a - b)(1 + ab).\]

Hence
\[|(a^2 - 1)^{-1}b^{-1}x_1x_4((1 - b^2)aw + (a^2 - 1)b)| = |x_1x_4|\]
for all \(w\). So after multiplying \(x_4\) by a suitable unit we can take
\[A = \log |x_2| + \log \max\{1, |x_1|, |x_2 - x_1x_4|\}.\]

Making the change of variables \(x_4 \mapsto x_4 + x_1^{-1}x_2\) when \(|x_2| \leq q^M\) gives
\[A = \begin{cases} 
2\log |x_2|, & \text{if } |x_2| > q^M; \\
\log |x_1| + \log |x_2| + \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}, & \text{if } |x_2| \leq q^M.
\end{cases}\]

Now we look at \(D\). We have
\[x_3 + x_1x_2 + x_1^2x_4 = x_1((a^2 - 1)^{-1}b^{-1}((a^2 - 1)b - (1 - b^2)aw)x_2 + x_1x_4).\]

We write
\[w = 1 + a^{-1}bx_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x\]
with \(|x| \leq q^M\). Then
\[x_3 + x_1x_2 + x_1^2x_4 = x_1((a^2 - 1)^{-1}b^{-1}((a + b)(ab - 1) + bx_1^{-1}x_2^{-1}x)x_2 + x_1x_4)
\]
\[= (a^2 - 1)^{-1}b^{-1}(a + b)(ab - 1)x_1x_2 + (a^2 - 1)^{-1}(b^2 - 1)x + x_1^2x_4.\]

Multiplying \(x_2\) and \(x\) by suitable units gives
\[x_3 + x_1x_2 + x_1^2x_4 = \pi^N \cdot x_1x_2 + \pi^{-M}x + x_1^2x_4.\]

Now if \(|x_1| > 1\) then this equals
\[x_1^2(x_4 + x_1^{-N}x_1x_2 + x_1^{-2})\]
and we can make the change of variables \(x_4 \mapsto x_4 - \pi^{N-M}x_1^{-1}x_2 + \pi^{-M}x_1^{-2}\) to get \(x_1^2x_4\). On the other hand if \(|x_1| \leq 1\) then we have
\[x + \pi^{N-M}x_1x_2 + x_1^2x_4\]
and we can make a change of variables $x \mapsto x - \pi^{N-M} x_1 x_2 - x_1^2 x_4$ to get $x$. So we have

$$D = \begin{cases} 
2 \log |x_1| + \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}, & \text{if } |x_1| > 1; \\
\log \max\{1, |x|\}, & \text{if } |x_1| \leq 1.
\end{cases}$$

Putting this altogether gives

$$A = \begin{cases} 
2 \log |x_2|, & \text{if } |x_2| > q^M; \\
\log |x_2| + \log |x_1| + \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}, & \text{if } |x_2| \leq q^M.
\end{cases}$$

$$B = \log |x_2| + \log \max\{1, |x_1|\}$$

$$C = \log \max\{1, |x_1|\}$$

$$D = \begin{cases} 
2 \log |x_1| + \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}, & \text{if } |x_1| > 1; \\
\log \max\{1, |x|\}, & \text{if } |x_1| \leq 1.
\end{cases}$$

$$E = \log |x_2|$$

$$F = \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}$$

and we need to integrate the function

$$-(A^2 + 2B^2 + 2C^2 + D^2 + 2E^2 + F^2) + 2(AB + AE + BD + CD + EF)$$

over the region

- $|x|, |x_1|, |x_4| \leq q^M$, $|x_2| \leq q^N$
- $|x_1 x_4| \leq q^M < |x_1 x_2|$.

When $|x_1| \leq 1$ the integrand is equal to

$$2(\log \max\{1, |x|\} + \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}) \log |x_2| - (\log \max\{1, |x|\})^2 - (\log \max\{1, |x_4|\})^2.$$

But if we have $|x_1| \leq 1$ then integrating over $x$ is the same as integrating over $x_4$ and we can replace this function by

$$4 \log \max\{1, |x_4|\} \log |x_2| - 2(\log \max\{1, |x_4|\})^2.$$

If we now assume we have $|x_2| \leq q^M$ so that $|x_1| > 1$ then we are in the situation considered above, when computing $I(N, M)$, and we take our integrand to be

$$4(\log |x_1| + \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}) \log |x_2| - 2(\log \max\{1, |x_4|\})^2.$$
Finally we have the region $|x_2| > q^M$ and $|x_1| > 1$ then the integrand is equal to

$$4(\log \max\{1, |x_4|\} + \log |x_1|) \log |x_2| - 2(\log \max\{1, |x_4|\})^2.$$ 

Thus we can take our integrand to be

$$4(\log \max\{1, |x_4|\} + \log \max\{1, |x_1|\}) \log |x_2| - 2(\log \max\{1, |x_4|\})^2$$

in all cases. Therefore the contribution to $I(M, N)$ from region 2 is given by $q^{-N-2M}$ times the integral of this function over the region

- $|x_1|, |x_4| \leq q^M$, $|x_1 x_4| \leq q^M$
- $|x_2| \leq q^N$, $|x_1 x_2| > q^M$.

So the contribution from region 2 to $I(N, M) - I(M, N)$ is equal to the integral of

$$2(N - M)q^{-M} \left( (M + \log |x_1|)|x_1|^{-1} - M|x_1|^{-2} - \frac{|x_1|^{-1} - |x_1|^{-2}}{q - 1} \right)$$

over $1 \leq |x_1| \leq q^M$, plus the integral of

$$q^{-3M} \left( 4(\log |x_1| + \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}) \log |x_2| - 2(\log \max\{1, |x_4|\})^2 \right)$$

over the region

- $|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_4| \leq q^M$
- $|x_1 x_4| \leq q^M < |x_1 x_2|$

minus the integral of

$$q^{-N-2M} \left( 4(\log \max\{1, |x_1|\} + \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}) \log |x_2| - 2(\log \max\{1, |x_4|\})^2 \right)$$

over the region

- $|x_1|, |x_4| \leq q^M$, $|x_2| \leq q^N$
- $|x_1 x_4| \leq q^M < |x_1 x_2|$.

We now compute the difference of these integrals. We begin with the $\log \max\{1, |x_1|\} \log |x_2|$ term. Given $|x_1| \geq 1$ the volume of $x_4$ is $q^M|x_1|^{-1}$. So over the first region we compute

$$q^{-2M} \int_{1 < |x_1| \leq q^M} |x_1|^{-1} \log |x_1| \int_{q^M|x_1|^{-1} < |x_2| \leq q^M} \log |x_2|$$
while over the second we compute
\[
q^{-N-M} \int_{1<|x_1| \leq q^M} |x_1|^{-1} \log |x_1| \int_{q^M|q|^{-1} < |x_2| \leq q^N} \log |x_2|.
\]

The integral over \( x_2 \) over the first region gives
\[
Mq^M - (M - \log |x_1|)q^M|x_1|^{-1} - \frac{q^M - q^M|x_1|^{-1}}{q - 1}
\]
while over the second region we get
\[
Nq^N - (M - \log |x_1|)q^M|x_1|^{-1} - \frac{q^N - q^M|x_1|^{-1}}{q - 1}.
\]

Multiplying the first by \( q^{-2M} \) and the second by \( q^{-N-M} \) and subtracting gives
\[
(M - N)q^{-M} + q^{-N-M}(q^M - q^N)(M - \log |x_1|)|x_1|^{-1} + q^{-N-M}q^N - q^M \frac{q^M - q^M|x_1|^{-1}}{q - 1},
\]
which we then need to multiply by \( |x_1|^{-1} \log |x_1| \) and integrate over \( 1 \leq |x_1| \leq q^M \).

Next we consider the \( \log \max \{1, |x_4| \} |x_2| \) term. Given \( |x_4| \geq 1 \) and \( x_2 \) with \( |x_2| > |x_4| \) the volume of \( x_1 \) is \( q^M(|x_4|^{-1} - |x_2|^{-1}) \). So over the first region we need to compute
\[
q^{-2M} \int_{1 \leq |x_4| \leq q^M} \int_{|x_4| < |x_2| \leq q^M} |x_4|^{-1} \log |x_4| \log |x_2| - |x_2|^{-1} \log |x_4| \log |x_2|
\]
while over the second we need to compute
\[
q^{-N-M} \int_{1 \leq |x_4| \leq q^M} \int_{|x_4| < |x_2| \leq q^N} |x_4|^{-1} \log |x_4| \log |x_2| - |x_2|^{-1} \log |x_4| \log |x_2|.
\]

We consider the \( |x_4|^{-1} \log |x_4| \log |x_2| \) term. Taking the difference over these two regions means we need to compute the integral of
\[
\left( (M - N)q^{-M} - (q^{-2M} - q^{-N-M})|x_4| \log |x_4| + \frac{q^{-2M} - q^{-N-M}}{q - 1} \right) |x_4|^{-1} \log |x_4|
\]
over the region \( 1 \leq |x_4| \leq q^M \). Next we consider the \( |x_2|^{-1} \log |x_2| \log |x_4| \) term. Taking the difference over these two regions means we need to compute the integral of \( (1 - q^{-1}) \log |x_4| \) times
\[
\frac{N(N+1)}{2} q^{-N-M} - \frac{\log |x_4|(|\log |x_4| + 1)}{2} q^{-N-M} - \frac{M(M+1)}{2} q^{-2M} + \log |x_4|(|\log |x_4| + 1) q^{-2M}
\]
over the region \( 1 \leq |x_4| \leq q^M \).
Finally we consider the $(\log \max\{1, |x_4|\})^2$ term. Given $|x_4| = q^k \geq 1$ the volume of $x_1$ and $x_2$ in the first region is

$$
\sum_{a=1}^{M-k} q^a (1 - q^{-1}) \sum_{b=M-a+1}^M q^b (1 - q^{-1}) = \sum_{a=1}^{M-k} q^a (1 - q^{-1}) (q^M - q^{M-a})
= (1 - q^{-1}) \left( \frac{q^{2M-k+1} - q^{M+1}}{q - 1} - (M - k)q^M \right)
= q^{2M-k} - q^M - (M - k)q^M(1 - q^{-1}).
$$

While the volume of $x_1$ and $x_4$ in the second region is

$$
\sum_{a=M-N+1}^{M-k} q^a (1 - q^{-1}) \sum_{b=M-a+1}^N q^b (1 - q^{-1}) = \sum_{a=M-N+1}^{M-k} q^a (1 - q^{-1}) (q^N - q^{M-a})
= q^{N+M-k} - q^M - (N - k)(1 - q^{-1})q^M.
$$

Thus in computing the difference between the two regions we need to integrate

$$
(q^{-N-M} - q^{-2M} + (N - \log |x_4|)(1 - q^{-1})q^{-N-M} - (M - \log |x_4|)q^{-2M}(1 - q^{-1})) (\log |x_4|)^2
$$

over $1 \leq |x_4| \leq q^M$. Adding this altogether gives the contribution to $I(N, M) - I(M, N)$ over region 2 as the integral of the sum of

$$
6(M - N)q^{-M}|x|^{-1} \log |x|,
4q^{-N-M} \left( M(q^M - q^N) + \frac{q^N - q^M}{q - 1} \right) |x|^{-2} \log |x|,
2 \left( \frac{2q^{-2M} - q^{-N-M}}{q - 1} + N(N + 1)(1 - q^{-1})q^{-N-M} - M(M + 1)(1 - q^{-1})q^{-2M} \right) \log |x|,
4q^{-N-M}(q^N - q^M)|x|^{-2}(\log |x|)^2,
2(Mq^{-2M} - (M + 1)q^{-2M-1} - Nq^{-N-M} + (N + 1)q^{-N-M-1})(\log |x|)^2,
$$

and

$$
2(N - M)q^{-M} \left( M|x|^{-1} - M|x|^{-2} - \frac{|x|^{-1} - |x|^{-2}}{q - 1} \right)
$$

over the region $1 \leq |x| \leq q^M$.

### 9.2.1.3 Region 3

We have $I(N, M)$ given by $q^{-N-3M}$ times the integral of

$$
-(A^2 + 2B^2 + 2C^2 + D^2 + 2E^2 + F^2) + 2(AB + AE + BD + CD + EF)
$$
over the region

- $|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_4| \leq q^M$
- $|x_1x_4|, |x_1x_2| \leq q^M$
- $|x_3| \leq q^N$.

And we have $I(M, N)$ given by $q^{-N-3M}$ times the integral of the same function over the region

- $|x_1|, |x_4| \leq q^M$, $|x_1x_4| \leq q^M$
- $|x_2| \leq q^N$, $|x_1x_2| \leq q^M$
- $|x_3| \leq q^M$.

Thus after removing the common region we need to compute the integral of our function over the region

- $|x_1|, |x_2|, |x_4| \leq q^M$
- $|x_1x_4|, |x_1x_2| \leq q^M$
- $q^M < |x_3| \leq q^N$.

and subtract from it the integral over the region

- $|x_1|, |x_4| \leq q^M$, $|x_1x_4| \leq q^M$
- $q^M < |x_2| \leq q^N$, $|x_1x_2| \leq q^M$
- $|x_3| \leq q^M$.

We first compute the integrand over the first of these subregions. We have

$$A = \log \max\{|x_3|, |x_2^2 - x_3x_4 + x_1x_2x_4|\}$$
$$B = \log |x_3|$$
$$C = \log \max\{1, |x_1|\}$$
$$D = \log \max\{1, |x_1|^2, |x_3 + x_1x_2 + x_1^2x_4|\}$$
$$E = \log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_4|\}$$
$$F = \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}.$$
After the change of variables $x_3 \mapsto x_3 \pm x_1 x_2$, which doesn’t change the region of integration, we have

$$A = \log \max \{|x_3|, |x_2^2 - x_3 x_4|\}$$
$$B = \log |x_3|$$
$$C = \log \max \{1, |x_1|\}$$
$$D = \log \max \{1, |x_1|^2, |x_3 + x_1^2 x_4|\}$$
$$E = \log \max \{1, |x_2|, |x_4|\}$$
$$F = \log \max \{1, |x_4|\}.$$  

We can make the change of variables $x_4 \mapsto x_4 + x_2^2 x_3^{-1}$ which doesn’t alter $E$ since $x_2 x_3^{-1} \in R$ to get

$$A = \log |x_3| + \log \max \{1, |x_4|\}$$
$$B = \log |x_3|$$
$$C = \log \max \{1, |x_1|\}$$
$$D = \log \max \{|x_1|^2, |x_3 + x_1^2 x_4|\}$$
$$E = \log \max \{1, |x_2|, |x_4|\}$$
$$F = \log \max \{1, |x_4|\}.$$  

If $|x_3| > q^M |x_1|$ then $D = \log |x_3|$. On the other hand if $|x_3| \leq q^M |x_1|$ then we can do a change of variables in $x_4$ to get

$$D = 2 \log |x_1| + \log \max \{1, |x_4|\}.$$  

The difference in the integrand between taking

$$D = 2 \log |x_1| + \log \max \{1, |x_4|\}$$

and taking $D = \log |x_3|$ is

$$(\log |x_3| - (\log |x_1| + \log \max \{1, |x_4|\}))^2 - (\log |x_1|)^2.$$  

**Lemma 9.4.** The integral of

$$(\log |x_3| - (\log |x_1| + \log \max \{1, |x_4|\}))^2 - (\log |x_1|)^2$$

over the region
• $1 \leq |x_1| \leq q^M$
• $|x_2|, |x_4| \leq q^M|x_1|^{-1}$
• $q^M < |x_3| \leq \min\{q^M|x_1|, q^N\}$

is zero.

Proof. We fix $k$ with $0 \leq k \leq M$ and set $M_1 = \min\{M + k, N\}$. The volume of $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ with $|x_1| = q^k$ is

$$q^k(1 - q^{-1})q^{M-k}q^{M-k}(q^{M_1} - q^M) = (1 - q^{-1})(q^{2M + M_1 - k} - q^{3M - k}).$$

Now we compute the volume of $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ such that \(\log |x_3| - (\log |x_1| + \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}) = k\). If $|x_4| \leq 1$ then the volume is

$$\sum_{i=M-k+1}^{M_1-k} q^i(1 - q^{-1})q^{M-i}q^{k+i}(1 - q^{-1}) = (1 - q^{-1})(q^{M + M_1 - k} - q^{2M}).$$

Now assume that $|x_4| > 1$. Then the region is given by

• $1 < |x_4| \leq q^{M-k}$
• $q^{M-k}|x_4|^{-1} < |x_1| \leq q^M|x_4|^{-1}$
• $q^M < |x_3| \leq \min\{q^M|x_1|^{-1}, q^N\}$.

So we need

$$q^M < |x_3| = q^k|x_1x_4| \leq \min\{q^M|x_1|^{-1}, q^N\}.$$

So the total volume of $x_1, x_2, x_3, x_4$ with $|x_4| > 1$ and $|x_3| = q^k|x_1x_4|$ is

$$\sum_{i=1}^{M-k} q^i(1 - q^{-1}) \sum_{j=M-k-i+1}^{M_1-k-i} q^j(1 - q^{-1})q^{M-j}q^{k+i+j}(1 - q^{-1}),$$

which equals

$$(1 - q^{-1})(q^{2M + M_1 - k} - q^{3M - k} - q^{M + M_1} + q^{2M})$$

as required. \(\square\)

By this lemma we can assume that we have $D = \log |x_3|$. Then over the first subregion we have

$$-(A^2 + 2B^2 + 2C^2 + D^2 + 2E^2 + F^2) + 2(AB + AE + BD + CD + EF)$$
equal to

\[ 2(\log \max \{1, |x_1|\} + \log \max \{1, |x_2|, |x_4|\}) \log |x_3| \]

plus

\[-2(\log \max \{1, |x_1|\})^2 - 2(\log \max \{1, |x_2|, |x_4|\} - \log \max \{1, |x_4|\})^2.\]

The contribution from the \(2 \log \max \{1, |x_1|\} \log |x_3|\) term is

\[ 2q^{2M} \left( Nq^N - Mq^M - \frac{q^N - q^M}{q - 1} \right) \int_{1 \leq |x_1| \leq q^M} |x_1|^{-2} \log |x_1|. \]

The contribution from the \(-2(\log \max \{1, |x_1|\})^2\) term is

\[ -2q^{2M}(q^N - q^M) \int_{1 \leq |x_1| \leq q^M} |x_1|^{-2}(\log |x_1|)^2. \]

The contribution from the \(2 \log \max \{1, |x_2|, |x_4|\} \log |x_3|\) term is

\[ 4q^M \left( Nq^N - Mq^M - \frac{q^N - q^M}{q - 1} \right) \int_{1 \leq |x_4| \leq q^M} \log |x_4| \]

plus

\[-2q^M(1 - q^{-1}) \left( Nq^N - Mq^M - \frac{q^N - q^M}{q - 1} \right) \int_{1 \leq |x_4| \leq q^M} \log |x_4|. \]

The contribution from the \(-2(\log \max \{1, |x_2|, |x_4|\} - \log \max \{1, |x_4|\})^2\) term is

\[ -2q^M(q^N - q^M) \int_{|x_4| \leq |x_2| \leq q^M} |x_2|^{-1}(\log \max \{1, |x_2|\} - \log \max \{1, |x_4|\})^2, \]

which equals

\[ -2q^{M-1}(q^N - q^M) \int_{1 \leq |x_2| \leq q^M} |x_2|^{-1}(\log |x_2|)^2 \]

plus

\[ -2q^M(q^N - q^M) \int_{1 \leq |x_4| \leq |x_2| \leq q^M} |x_2|^{-1}(\log |x_2x_4^{-1}|)^2. \]

Making the change of variables \(y = x_2x_4^{-1}\), this latter term equals

\[ -2q^M(q^N - q^M) \int_{1 \leq |y| \leq q^M} |y|^{-1}(q^M|y|^{-1} - q^{-1}(\log |y|)^2. \]

Adding this altogether gives the integral of

\[-(A^2 + 2B^2 + 2C^2 + D^2 + 2E^2 + F^2) + 2(AB + AE + BD + CD + EF)\]
over the first subregion as the integral of

$$2q^{2M} \left( Nq^N - Mq^M - \frac{q^N - q^M}{q - 1} \right) |x|^{-2} \log|x| - 4q^{2M}(q^N - q^M)|x|^{-2}(\log|x|)^2$$

plus

$$2q^{M-1}(q + 1) \left( Nq^N - Mq^M - \frac{q^N - q^M}{q - 1} \right) \log|x|$$

over $1 \leq |x| \leq q^M$.

We now compute the integral over the second subregion. This subregion is given by

- $|x_3|, |x_4| \leq q^M$
- $q^M < |x_2| \leq q^N$
- $|x_1| \leq q^M|x_2|^{-1}$.

We note that $|x_1| < 1$ and we have, after a change of variables,

$$A = 2 \log|x_2|$$
$$B = \log|x_2|$$
$$C = 0$$
$$D = \log \max\{1, |x_3|\}$$
$$E = \log|x_2|$$
$$F = \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}.$$

And

$$-(A^2 + 2B^2 + 2C^2 + D^2 + 2E^2 + F^2) + 2(AB + AE + BD + CD + EF)$$

equals

$$-(\log \max\{1, |x_4|\})^2 + 2 \log|x_2| \log \max\{1, |x_4|\} + 2 \log|x_2| \log \max\{1, |x_3|\} - (\log \max\{1, |x_3|\})^2.$$ 

But integrating over $x_4$ is the same as integrating over $x_3$. Hence we can replace this function by

$$-2(\log \max\{1, |x_4|\})^2 + 4 \log|x_2| \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}.$$
Thus to compute the integral we need to multiply this function by $q^{2M}|x_2|^{-1}$ and integrate over $q^M < |x_2| \leq q^N$ and $|x_4| \leq q^M$. We have

$$\int_{q^M < |x_2| \leq q^N} |x_2|^{-1} = (N - M)(1 - q^{-1}),$$

and so the integral of $-2(\log \max \{1, |x_4|\})^2$ yields

$$-2(N - M)q^{2M}(1 - q^{-1}) \int_{1 \leq |x_4| \leq q^M} (\log |x_4|)^2.$$ 

We have

$$\int_{q^M < |x_2| \leq q^N} |x_2|^{-1} \log |x_2| = \left( \frac{N(N + 1)}{2} - \frac{M(M + 1)}{2} \right) (1 - q^{-1})$$

and so the contribution of $4 \log |x_2| \log \max \{1, |x_4|\}$ is

$$2(N(N + 1) - M(M + 1))q^{2M}(1 - q^{-1}) \int_{1 \leq |x_4| \leq q^M} \log |x_4|.$$ 

Thus the integral over the second subregion is equal to the integral over $1 \leq |x| \leq q^M$ of

$$2(N(N + 1) - M(M + 1))q^{2M}(1 - q^{-1}) \log |x| - 2(N - M)q^{2M}(1 - q^{-1})(\log |x|)^2.$$ 

Combining this together we get that the contribution to $I(N, M) - I(M, N)$ over region 3 is equal to $q^{-3M-N}$ times the integral over $1 \leq |x| \leq q^M$ of

$$2q^{2M} \left( Nq^N - Mq^M - \frac{q^N - q^M}{q - 1} \right) |x|^{-2} \log |x| + 2q^{M-1}(q + 1) \left( Nq^N - Mq^M - \frac{q^N - q^M}{q - 1} \right) \log |x|$$

plus

$$-2(N(N + 1) - M(M + 1))q^{2M}(1 - q^{-1}) \log |x| + 2q^{2M} \left( (N - M)(1 - q^{-1}) - 2(q^N - q^M)|x|^{-2} \right) (\log |x|)^2.$$ 

### 9.2.1.4 Putting it altogether

Gathering together the computations above we get that $I(N, M) - I(M, N)$ is equal to the integral of the sum of

$$2(M - N)q^{-M} \log |x|,$$

$$2( -(M^2 + M - N)q^{-2M} + (N + M^2 + M + 1)q^{-2M-1} + M^2q^{-N-M} - (M + 1)^2q^{-N-M-1}) \log |x|,$$

$$4(M - N)q^{-M} |x|^{-1} \log |x|,$$

$$2q^{-N-M} \left( Mq^M + (N - 2M)q^N + \frac{q^N - q^M}{q - 1} \right) |x|^{-2} \log |x|,$$
$$2(\log |x|)^2,$$

and

$$2M(N - M)q^{-M}|x|^{-1} - 2M(N - M)q^{-M}|x|^{-2} - 2(N - M)q^{-M}\frac{|x|^{-1}}{q - 1} + 2(N - M)q^{-M}\frac{|x|^{-2}}{q - 1}$$

over the region $1 \leq |x| \leq q^M$. Using the results of Chapter 10 we compute this integral to be equal to

$$2q^{-2M} \left( Mq^M - \frac{q^M - 1}{q - 1} \right) \left( Mq^M - \frac{q^M - 1}{q - 1} \right) - 2q^{-N-M} \left( Nq^N - \frac{q^N - 1}{q - 1} \right) \left( Mq^M - \frac{q^M - 1}{q - 1} \right)$$

and the proof of Lemma 9.2 is now complete.

### 9.2.2 $s$ not equal to the identity

We now assume that $s$ is not the identity. After twisted conjugation we may assume that we have

$$s = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & & & \\ & 1 & & \\ & a_1 & \ & \\ b_1 & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}$$

with $a_1^k = b_1^k = c_1^k = 1$ for some $k$ prime to the residual characteristic of $F$ and with $a_1$ and $b_1$ not both 1. Since $M^0$ is abelian $u \in M(F)$ commutes with $sa$ if and only if $\alpha(u) = u$ and hence if and only if $u$ is of the form

$$u = \begin{pmatrix} a & & & \\ b & \ & & \\ b^{-1} & \ & & \\ \alpha & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$ 

We take $N$ equal to the unipotent radical of the upper triangular Borel in $G^0$ and compute the possibilities for $N_1 = N \cap Z_{G^0}(sa)$. By abuse of notation we consider $N \subset \text{GL}(4)$.

**Lemma 9.5.** With notation as above we have the following possibilities for $N_1$.

1. If $a_1 = 1$ then we have

$$N_1 = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ & 1 & x_4 & 0 \\ & & 1 & 0 \\ & & & 1 \end{pmatrix}.$$
2. If $a_1 = b_1 = -1$ then we have

\[ N_1 = \begin{cases} 
(1 & x_1 & x_2 & -x_1x_2) \\
1 & 0 & -x_2 & 1 \\
1 & -x_1 & 1 
\end{cases} \]

3. If $a_1 \neq \pm 1$ and $b_1 = a_1$ then we have

\[ N_1 = \begin{cases} 
(1 & x_1 & 0 & 0) \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & -x_1 & 1 
\end{cases} \]

4. If $a_1 \neq \pm 1$ and $b_1 = a_1^{-1}$, then we have

\[ N_1 = \begin{cases} 
(1 & 0 & x_2 & 0) \\
1 & 0 & a_1x_2 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 
\end{cases} \]

5. If $b_1 = 1$ then we have

\[ N_1 = \begin{cases} 
(1 & 0 & 0 & x_3) \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 
\end{cases} \]

6. In all other cases we have $N_1 = \{I\}$.

**Proof.** We take

\[ n = \begin{cases} 
1 & x_1 & x_2 + x_1x_4 & x_3 \\
1 & x_4 & x_5 \\
1 & x_6 & 1 
\end{cases} \]

We have

\[ \alpha(n) = \begin{cases} 
1 & -x_6 & x_3 - x_4x_6 & x_3 - x_2x_6 - x_1x_5 \\
1 & x_4 & x_2 \\
1 & -x_1 & 1 
\end{cases} \]
and

\[ s^{-1}ns = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & x_1 & a_1(x_2 + x_1x_4) & b_1x_3 \\ 1 & a_1x_4 & b_1x_5 \\ 1 & b_1a_1^{-1}x_6 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix}. \]

We now find \( n \) such that \( \alpha(n) = s^{-1}ns \). First we note that

- \( x_1 = -x_6 \)
- \( x_1 = x_6 = 0 \) unless \( a_1 = b_1 \)
- \( x_4 = 0 \) unless \( a_1 = 1 \).

Let’s first assume that \( a_1 = 1 \). Then we have \( b_1 \neq 1 \) and so \( x_1 = x_6 = 0, x_2 = x_5 = 0 \) and \( x_3 = 0 \). We now assume that we have \( a_1 \neq 1 \). Therefore we must have \( x_4 = 0 \). We have \( x_2 = x_5 = 0 \) unless \( a_1 = b_1^{-1} \) and we also need to have

\[ (1 - b_1)x_3 = x_2x_6 + x_1x_5 = (b_1^{-1} - 1)x_1x_2. \]

The result now follows.

We now compute the integral \( r_M^G(usa) \) in each of these cases.

**Lemma 9.6.** With notation as above we have the following possibilities for \( r_M^G(usa) \).

1. If \( a_1 = b_1 = -1 \) then

\[ r_M^G(usa) = 4|a - b||ab - 1| \int_{|x_1| \leq |a-b|^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x_1|\} \int_{|x_2| \leq |ab-1|^{-1}} \log \max \{1, |x_2|\}. \]

2. In all other cases \( r_M^G(usa) = 0 \).

**Proof.** We let \( n \in \mathcal{N}_1(F) \) and compute \( v_M(n) \). When \( a_1 = 1 \) we have \( n \in \text{Sp}(4) \) and \( v_M(n) = 0 \) by Corollary 5.8. Similarly when \( a_1 \neq \pm 1 \) and \( b_1 = a_1 \) we have \( n \in \text{Sp}(4) \) and \( v_M(n) = 0 \) by Corollary 5.8. When \( a_1 \neq \pm 1 \) and \( b_1 = a_1^{-1} \) we have

\[
\begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & a_1x_2 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} = \begin{pmatrix} 1 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & x_2 \\ 1 & 0 \\ 1 \end{pmatrix} \begin{pmatrix} 1 \\ 1 \\ 1/a_1 \\ a_1 \end{pmatrix}
\]
and

\[
v_M \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\
1 & 0 & x_2 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 1 \\
1 & 0 & 0 & 1
\end{pmatrix} = 0
\]

by Corollary 5.8. Finally when \( a_1 = b_1 = -1 \) we have

\[
n = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & x_1 & x_2 & -x_1 x_2 \\
1 & 0 & -x_2 & 1 \\
1 & -x_1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and one can compute as in the proof of Lemma 5.7 that

\[
v_M(n) = 4 \log \max \{1, |x_1|\} \log \max \{1, |x_2|\}.
\]

Moreover for \( u = \text{diag}(a, b, a^{-1}, b^{-1}) \) we have

\[
u^{-1}_n \nu u = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & (1 - a^{-1}b)x_1 & (1 - a^{-1}b^{-1})x_2 & -(1 - a^{-1}b)(1 - a^{-1}b^{-1})x_1 x_2 \\
1 & 0 & -(1 - a^{-1}b)x_2 & 1 \\
1 & (1 - a^{-1}b)x_1 & -(1 - a^{-1}b)x_2 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and the result now follows.

We now consider the integral on GSp(4). We have \( N(usa) \) equal to the product of

\[
s_1 = c_1 \begin{pmatrix}
1 \\
a_1 \\
b_1 \\
a_1 b_1
\end{pmatrix}
\]

and

\[
\begin{pmatrix}
ab \\
ab^{-1} \\
a^{-1}b \\
a^{-1}b^{-1}
\end{pmatrix}.
\]

We take \( N' \) equal to the unipotent radical of the upper triangular Borel in GSp(4) and we
compute the possibilities for $N'_1 = N \cap Z_{GSp(4)}(s_1)$.

**Lemma 9.7.** With notation as above we have the following possibilities for $N'_1$.

1. If $a_1 = 1$ then we have

   $N'_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & x_1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 0 & 1 & x_1 \\ 1 & -x_1 & 1 & \end{cases}$.

2. If $a_1 = b_1 = -1$ then we have

   $N'_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & x_4 & 0 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \end{cases}$.

3. If $a_1 \neq \pm 1$ and $b_1 = a_1$ then we have

   $N'_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & x_4 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 1 & \end{cases}$.

4. If $a_1 \neq \pm 1$ and $b_1 = a_1^{-1}$, then we have

   $N'_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 & 0 & x_3 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & \end{cases}$.

5. If $b_1 = 1$ then we have

   $N'_1 = \begin{cases} 1 & 0 & x_2 & 0 \\ 0 & 1 & 0 & x_2 \\ 1 & 0 & 1 & \end{cases}$.

6. In all other cases we have $N'_1 = \{I\}$. 
Proof. We take
\[
 n = \begin{pmatrix}
 1 & x_1 & x_2 + x_1 x_4 & x_3 \\
 1 & x_4 & x_2 & -x_1 \\
 1 & -x_1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}
\]
and we have
\[
 s_1^{-1} n s_1 = \begin{pmatrix}
 1 & a_1 x_1 & b_1 (x_2 + x_1 x_4) & a_1 b_1 x_3 \\
 1 & b_1 a_1^{-1} x_4 & b_1 x_2 & -a_1 x_1 \\
 1 & -a_1 x_1 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix}.
\]
So if we have \( s_1^{-1} n s_1 = n \) then we have the following implications

- \( a_1 \neq 1 \): \( x_1 = 0 \)
- \( b_1 \neq 1 \): \( x_2 = 0 \)
- \( a_1 \neq b_1^{-1} \): \( x_3 = 0 \)
- \( a_1 \neq b_1 \): \( x_4 = 0 \)

and the result now follows. \( \square \)

We now compute the integral \( 2r_{GSp(4)}^G(N(\gamma \alpha)) \) in each of these cases.

**Lemma 9.8.** With notation as above we have the following possibilities for \( 2r_{GSp(4)}^G(N(\gamma \alpha)) \).

1. If \( a_1 = b_1 = -1 \) then
\[
 2r_{GSp(4)}^G(N(\gamma \alpha)) = 2 |a - b| |ab - 1| \int_{|x_4| \leq |a - b|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x_4|\} \int_{|x_3| \leq |ab - 1|^{-1}} \log \max\{1, |x_3|\}.
\]

2. In all other cases we have \( 2r_{GSp(4)}^G(N(\gamma \alpha)) = 0. \)

**Proof.** We let \( n \in N_1(F) \). Suppose first that \( a_1 = b_1 = -1 \) then
\[
 v_{M'} \begin{pmatrix}
 1 & 0 & 0 & x_3 \\
 1 & x_4 & 0 & 1 \\
 1 & 0 & 1 & 1
\end{pmatrix} = 2 \log \max\{1, |x_3|\} \log \max\{1, |x_4|\}. \]
Now for $u = \text{diag}(ab, ab^{-1}, a^{-1}b, a^{-1}b^{-1})$ and $n$ as above we have

$$u^{-1}n^{-1}un = \begin{pmatrix}
1 & 0 & 0 & (1 - a^{-2}b^{-2})x_3 \\
1 & (1 - a^{-2}b^2)x_4 & 0 & \\
1 & 0 & 1 & \\
1 & 0 & 1 & 
\end{pmatrix}$$

and the result is clear in this case. In all other cases one can check that for $n \in N'_1$ we have $v_{M'}(n) = 0$.

Finally we consider the integrals on $(GL(2) \times GL(2))'$ and $(GL(2) \times GL(2))/GL(1)$. We have

$\gamma = us = \text{diag}(a, b, a_1b^{-1}, b_1a^{-1})$ and as we saw above the integral on $(GL(2) \times GL(2))'$ is equal to

$$2|ab - a_1b^{-1}b_1a^{-1}| \int |x| \leq |ab - a_1b^{-1}b_1a^{-1}|^{-1} \log \max \{1, |x|\} \int |y| \leq |aa_1b^{-1} - bb_1a^{-1}|^{-1} \log \max \{1, |y|\}.$$ 

and the integral on $(GL(2) \times GL(2))/GL(1)$ is equal to

$$2|a - b_1| |a - b_1^{-1}| \int |x| \leq |a - b_1|^{-1} \log \max \{1, |x|\} \int |y| \leq |b - a_1b^{-1}|^{-1} \log \max \{1, |y|\}.$$ 

Now it’s clear that the integral on $(GL(2) \times GL(2))/GL(1)$ vanishes unless we have $a_1 = b_1 = 1$ and the integral on $(GL(2) \times GL(2))'$ vanishes unless $a_1 = b_1 = \pm 1$ in which case it is equal to

$$2|ab - 1| |a - b| \int |x| \leq |ab|^{-1} \log \max \{1, |x|\} \int |y| \leq |a - b|^{-1} \log \max \{1, |y|\}.$$ 

And the fundamental lemma is now proven!
Chapter 10

Some \( p \)-adic integrals

In this chapter we compute certain \( p \)-adic integrals that were required in the proof of the fundamental lemma. All these integrals are over open subsets of \( F^n \). In each case we take the measure on \( F^n \) that gives \( R^n \) volume one; and we suppress it from our notation. Also throughout \( \log \) denotes the logarithm taken to the base \( q \).

Lemma 10.1. For \( k \geq 0 \) we have

\[
\int_{1 \leq |x| \leq q^k} \log |x| = kq^k - \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1}.
\]

Proof. We have

\[
\int_{1 \leq |x| \leq q^k} \log |x| = \sum_{i=0}^{k} iq^i (1 - q^{-1}) = kq^k - \sum_{i=0}^{k-1} q^i = kq^k - \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1}
\]
as wished. \( \square \)

As a corollary we have the following.

Lemma 10.2. Assume that \( 0 \leq a \leq b \) then

\[
\int_{q^a < |x| \leq q^b} \log |x| = bq^b - aq^a - \frac{q^b - q^a}{q - 1}.
\]

Lemma 10.3. Let \( M \geq 0 \). Then we have

\[
\int_{1 \leq |x| \leq q^M} |x|^k \log |x| = (1 - q^{-1}) \left( Mq^{(M+1)(k+1)} - \frac{q^{(M+1)(k+1)} - q^{k+1}}{q^{k+1} - 1} \right)
\]

if \( k \neq -1 \) and

\[
\int_{1 \leq |x| \leq q^M} |x|^{-1} \log |x| = \frac{M(M + 1)}{2} (1 - q^{-1}).
\]
**Proof.** We have
\[
\int_{1 < |x| \leq q^M} |x|^k \log |x| = (1 - q^{-1}) \sum_{m=1}^{M} mq^{(k+1)m}.
\]

If \( k = -1 \) then it’s clear that this integral is equal to
\[
\frac{M(M + 1)}{2} (1 - q^{-1}).
\]

On the other hand if \( k \neq -1 \) then we have
\[
\sum_{m=1}^{M} mq^{(k+1)m} = \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{q^{(M+1)(k+1)} - q^{m(k+1)}}{q^{k+1} - 1}
\]
\[
= \left( M q^{(M+1)(k+1)} - \sum_{m=1}^{M} \frac{q^{m(k+1)}}{q^{k+1} - 1} \right)
\]
\[
= \left( M q^{(M+1)(k+1)} - \frac{q^{(M+1)(k+1)} - q^{k+1}}{(q^{k+1} - 1)^2} \right)
\]
as wished. \( \square \)

**Lemma 10.4.** Let \( M \geq 0 \) then we have
\[
\int_{|x| \leq q^M} \int_{|s| \leq q^M} |x| \log \max\{1, |x|, |s|\}
\]
**equal to**
\[
\frac{q}{q + 1} \left( Mq^{3M} - \frac{q^{3M} - 1}{q^3 - 1} \right).
\]

**Proof.** We write this integral as the sum of
\[
\int_{|s| \leq |x| \leq q^M} |x| \log \max\{1, |x|\}
\]
and
\[
\int_{|x| < |s| \leq q^M} |x| \log \max\{1, |x|, |s|\}.
\]
The first integral equals
\[
\int_{|x| \leq q^M} |x|^2 \log \max\{1, |x|\}.
\]
The second equals
\[
\int_{|s| \leq q^M} \log \max\{1, |s|\} \int_{|x| < |s|} |x|,
\]
which equals
\[ \frac{q^{-1}}{q + 1} \int_{|s| \leq q^M} |s|^2 \log \max \{1, |s|\}. \]

Thus the sum of the two integrals is
\[ \left( 1 + \frac{q^{-1}}{q + 1} \right) \int_{|x| \leq q^M} |x|^2 \log \max \{1, |x|\}, \]

which equals
\[ \frac{q}{q + 1} \left( M q^M - \frac{q^{3M} - 1}{q^3 - 1} \right) \]

by Lemma 10.3.

Lemma 10.5. Let \( M \geq 0 \) then we have
\[ \int_{1 \leq |x| \leq q^M} (\log |x|)^2 = M^2 q^M - \frac{(2M - 1)q^M}{q - 1} + 2 \frac{q^M - q}{(q - 1)^2} + \frac{1}{q - 1}. \]

Proof. We have
\[
\int_{1 \leq |x| \leq q^M} (\log |x|)^2 = \sum_{k=0}^{M} k^2 q^k (1 - q^{-1})
= \sum_{k=0}^{M} k^2 q^k - \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} (k + 1)^2 q^k
= M^2 q^M - \sum_{k=0}^{M-1} (2k + 1) q^k
= M^2 q^M - 2 \left( \frac{(M - 1)q^M}{q - 1} - \frac{q^M - q}{(q - 1)^2} \right) - \frac{q^M - 1}{q - 1}
= M^2 q^M - \frac{(2M - 1)q^M}{q - 1} + 2 \frac{q^M - q}{(q - 1)^2} + \frac{1}{q - 1}
\]
as wished. \( \square \)

Lemma 10.6. Let \( M \geq 0 \) then we have
\[ \int_{1 \leq |x| \leq q^M} |x|^k = (1 - q^{-1}) \frac{q^{(M+1)(k+1)} - 1}{q^{k+1} - 1} \]
if \( k \neq -1 \) and we have
\[ \int_{1 \leq |x| \leq q^M} |x|^{-1} = (M + 1)(1 - q^{-1}). \]
Proof. Assume that $k \neq -1$ then we have

$$
\int_{1 \leq |x| \leq q^M} |x|^k = (1 - q^{-1}) \sum_{m=0}^{M} q^{(k+1)m} = (1 - q^{-1}) \frac{q^{(M+1)(k+1)} - 1}{q^{k+1} - 1}.
$$

And when $k = -1$ the result is clear.

Lemma 10.7. Assume that $0 \leq k \leq M$. Then

$$
\int_{|x_2| \leq q^M} \int_{|x_3| \leq q^k} \int_{|x_4| \leq q^k} \log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4|, |x^2_4 - x_2 x_3|\}
$$
equals

$$(M + k)q^{M+2k} - \frac{2q^{M+2k} - q^{M+k} - q^{3k} - 1}{q - 1} - \frac{q^{3k} - 1}{q^3 - 1}.
$$

Proof. We first consider the contribution when $q^k < |x_2| \leq q^M$. Then the integral is

$$
\int_{|x_3| \leq q^k} \int_{|x_4| \leq q^k} \int_{q^k < |x_2| \leq q^M} \log \max\{|x_2|, |x_2| x_2^2 x_3^2 - x_3\}.
$$

Now $|x^2_4 x_2^{-1}| < q^k$ and so we can make a change of variables $x_3 \mapsto x_3 + x^2_4 x_2^{-1}$ to give

$$
\int_{|x_3| \leq q^k} \int_{|x_4| \leq q^k} \int_{q^k < |x_2| \leq q^M} \log \max\{|x_2|, |x_2||x_3|\},
$$

which equals

$$
q^{2k} \int_{q^k < |x_2| \leq q^M} \log |x_2| + q^k (q^M - q^k) \int_{1 < |x_3| \leq q^k} \log |x_3|.
$$

So we are now left with $|x_2|, |x_3|, |x_4| \leq q^k$. Since the integrand is symmetric in $x_2$ and $x_3$ we may as well take twice the integral with $|x_3| < |x_2|$ plus the integral with $|x_3| = |x_2|$. The contribution when $|x_3| < |x_2|$ is

$$
\int_{|x_4| \leq q^k} \int_{|x_3| < |x_2|} \int_{|x_2| \leq q^k} \log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_4|, |x^2_4 - x_2 x_3|\}.
$$

If $|x_2| > |x_4|$ then $|x_2^2 - x_2 x_3| = |x_2||x_2^2 x_4^{-1} - x_3|$ and $|x_2^2 x_4^{-1} x_3| < |x_4| < |x_2|$. Hence we can make the change of variables $x_3 \mapsto x_3 + x_2 x_4^{-1}$ to get the integral

$$
\int_{|x_4| < |x_2|} \int_{|x_3| < |x_2|} \int_{|x_2| \leq q^k} \log \max\{1, |x_2|, |x_2||x_3|\},
$$
which equals
\[
\int_{1 \leq |x_2| \leq q^k} (|\pi x_2|)^2 \log |x_2| + \int_{|x_4| < |x_2|} \int_{1 \leq |x_3| < |x_2|} \int_{1 < |x_2| \leq q^k} \log |x_3|.
\]

Now suppose that $|x_2| \leq |x_4|$ then $|x_2^2| > |x_2 x_3|$ and hence the integral in this case is
\[
\int_{|x_2| \leq |x_4|} \int_{|x_3| < |x_2|} \int_{|x_2| \leq q^k} 2 \log \max \{1, |x_4| \}.
\]

Thus the total contribution to the integral in the lemma is the sum of
\[
2 \int_{1 \leq |x_2| \leq q^k} (|\pi x_2|)^2 \log |x_2| + 2 \int_{|x_4| < |x_2|} \int_{1 \leq |x_3| < |x_2|} \int_{1 < |x_2| \leq q^k} \log |x_3|
\]
and
\[
4 \int_{|x_2| \leq |x_4|} \int_{|x_3| < |x_2|} \int_{|x_2| \leq q^k} \log \max \{1, |x_4| \}.
\]

Now we look at the contribution when $|x_2| = |x_3|$. We split it up into three cases

(a) $|x_2| < |x_4|$, integrand equals $\log \max \{1, |x_4|^2 \}$

(b) $|x_2| = |x_4|$

(c) $|x_2| > |x_4|$, integrand equals $\log \max \{1, |x_2|^2 \}$.

In case (a) the contribution is
\[
\int_{|x_2| < |x_4| \leq q^k} \int_{|x_3| = |x_2|} \int_{|x_2| \leq q^k} \log \max \{1, |x_4|^2 \}
\]
while in (c) the contribution is
\[
\int_{|x_4| \leq q^k} \int_{|x_3| = |x_2|} \int_{|x_4| < |x_2| \leq q^k} \log \max \{1, |x_2|^2 \}.
\]

Now we consider case (b). In this case the contribution is
\[
\int_{1 \leq |x_2| = |x_3| = |x_4| \leq q^k} \log |x_2| + \log \max \{1, |x_4^2 x_2^{-1} - x_3| \}.
\]

So the integral is given by the sum of the following terms

1. $q^{2k} \sum_{m=k+1}^{M} mq^m (1 - q^{-1})$
2. $q^k (q^M - q^k) \sum_{m=1}^{k} mq^m (1 - q^{-1})$
3. $2 \sum_{m=1}^{k} m q^{2m-2} q^m (1 - q^{-1})$
4. $2 \sum_{m=1}^{k} q^m (1 - q^{-1}) q^{m-1} n q^n (1 - q^{-1})$

5. $4 \sum_{m=1}^{k} m (1 - q^{-1})^2 q^{3m-1}/(1 - q^{-2})$

6. $2 \sum_{m=1}^{k} m q^{3m-2} (1 - q^{-1})^3/(1 - q^{-2}) + 2 \sum_{m=1}^{k} m q^{3m-1} (1 - q^{-1})^2$

7. $\sum_{m=1}^{k} m q^{3m} (1 - q^{-1})^3$

8. $\sum_{m=1}^{k} q^{3m} (1 - q^{-1})^2 (m(1 - q^{-1}) - (q^{-1} - q^{-m-1})/(1 - q^{-1}))$

We can combine terms 5, 6, 7 and 8 to give

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k} 2mq^3 (q^3 - 2q^2 + 1) - \sum_{m=1}^{k} q^3 (1 - q^{-1})(q^{-1} - q^{-m-1}).$$

We can then combine this with term 3 to give

$$\sum_{m=1}^{k} 2mq^3 (1 - q^{-3}) + \sum_{m=1}^{k} q^3 (1 + q^{-1})(-q^{-1} + q^{-m-1}).$$

Combining this with term 4 gives

$$- \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} q^3 m - \sum_{m=0}^{3k-1} q^m.$$

And combining with term 1 gives

$$2kq^3 - \sum_{m=0}^{k-1} q^3 m - q^2k \sum_{m=0}^{M-1} q^m + q^{2k} (Mq^M - kq^k),$$

which equals

$$2kq^3 - \frac{q^{3k} - 1}{q^3 - 1} - q^{2k} \frac{q^M - 1}{q - 1} + q^{2k} (Mq^M - q^{k}).$$

And we have term 2 equal to

$$q^k (q^M - q^k) \left( kq^k - \frac{q^k - 1}{q - 1} \right).$$

Hence the integral is equal to

$$(M + k)q^{M + 2k} - \frac{2q^{M + 2k} - q^{M + k} - q^{3k}}{q - 1} - \frac{q^{3k} - 1}{q^3 - 1}$$

as claimed.

\[\square\]

**Lemma 10.8.** Assume that $0 \leq a \leq b \leq c$. Then

$$\int_{|x| \leq q^a} \int_{|r| \leq q^b} \int_{|s| \leq q^c} \log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\} = cq^{a+b+c} - \frac{q^{a+b+c}}{q - 1} + \frac{q^{a+2b+1}}{q^2 - 1} + \frac{1}{q^3 - 1} + \frac{q^{3a+2}}{(q + 1)(q^3 - 1)}.$$
Proof. The contribution when \( q^b < |s| \leq q^c \) is

\[
q^{a+b} \int_{q^b < |s| \leq q^c} \log |s| = q^{a+b} \left( cq^c - bq^b - \frac{q^c - q^b}{q - 1} \right).
\]

We are now left with

\[
\int_{|x| \leq q^a} \int_{|r| \leq q^b} \int_{|s| \leq q^b} \log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\},
\]

which equals

\[
2 \int_{|x| \leq q^a} \int_{|r| \leq |s| \leq q^b} |s| \log \max\{1, |x|, |s|\} - \int_{|x| \leq q^a} \int_{|r| = |s| \leq q^b} (1 - q^{-1}) |s| \log \max\{1, |x|, |s|\},
\]

This equals

\[
(1 + q^{-1}) \int_{|x| \leq q^a} \int_{|s| \leq q^b} |s| \log \max\{1, |x|, |s|\}.
\]

Now the contribution when \( |s| > q^a \) is

\[
(1 + q^{-1}) q^a \int_{q^a < |s| \leq q^b} |s| \log |s| = q^a \left( bq^{2b} - (a + 1)q^{2a} - \frac{q^{2b} - q^{2a+2}}{q^2 - 1} \right)
\]

by Lemma 10.3.

We are now left with

\[
(1 + q^{-1}) \int_{|x| \leq q^a} \int_{|s| \leq q^a} |s| \log \max\{1, |x|, |s|\} = aq^{3a} - \frac{q^{3a} - 1}{q^3 - 1}
\]

by Lemma 10.4.

Thus

\[
\int_{|x| \leq q^a} \int_{|r| \leq q^b} \int_{|s| \leq q^c} \log \max\{1, |x|, |r|, |s|\}
\]

is equal to the sum of

\[
q^{a+b} \left( cq^c - bq^b - \frac{q^c - q^b}{q - 1} \right),
\]

\[
q^a \left( bq^{2b} - (a + 1)q^{2a} - \frac{q^{2b} - q^{2a+2}}{q^2 - 1} \right),
\]

and

\[
aq^{3a} - \frac{q^{3a} - 1}{q^3 - 1}.
\]
Adding these terms together gives

\[
    cq^{a+b+c} - \frac{q^{a+b+c}}{q-1} + \frac{q^{a+2b+1}}{q^2-1} + \frac{1}{q^3-1} + \frac{q^{3a+2}}{(q+1)(q^3-1)}
\]

as wished.
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