The Number of Bristles and the Pairing of The Chromosomes in Hybrids between Drosophila melanogaster and Drosophila simulans

> Thesis by **Russell** Lee Biddle.

In Partial Fulfillment

of the

Requirements for the

Degree of Doctor of Philoso phy

CALIFORNIA INSTITUTE OF TECHNOLOGY

Pasadena, California

1931.

The Number of Bristles and the Pairing of

The Chromosomes in Hybrids between

Drosophila melanogaster and Drosophila simulans

INTRODUCTION

The hybrids of Drosophila melanogaster and D. sirnulans were found to have certain bristles missing from the body (Sturtevant 1920) that **are** normally present in both parent species. Sturtevant (1929a) found that the average number of bristles present (counting dorsocentrals) in these hybrids varies with the melanogaster stock used, and that the simulans male apparently produces no effect on bristle number. This suggested that the melanogaster egg cytoplasm might cause the effect, but a test gave negative results.

It was at Prof. Sturtevant's suggestion that I have tried to discover whether individual genes which caused the bristles to be missing from the hybrids could be identified in the melanogaster stocks.

I wish to express my appreciation to Prof. A. H. Sturtevant for his direction of the work, and to Prof. T. H. Morgan and Prof. Th. Dobzhansky for their helpful suggestions.

MATERIAL AND METHODS

The various melanogaster and simulans stocks which were used **are** listed alphabetically in tables 1 and 2. Stocks inbred for many generations were used when possible to insure a condition homozygous for any modifiers present. An attempt was made to control the whole chromosome, as far as possible, **bj** using crossover-sup pressors preventing the loss of modifying genes through crossing over in the female parent.

In making the crosses small mass-cultures were used consisting

-1-

TABLE 1 TABLE 1 Into of D. melanogaster stocks used in the experiments

$-2-$

For a more complete description of the characters see Morgan, Bridges and Sturtevant 1925 (1) For Bristle, see King 1927 (2) For giant, see Morgan, Sturtevant and Bridges 1927 (3) For Df 2C ca, see Morgan, sturtevant and Bridges 1928 (4) For y U, see L. V. Morgan 1926 (5) No published data for cg or C_{FL} C_{FR} .

-3-

TABLE 2

List of D. simulans stocks used

For a more complete description of the characters and the stocks see Sturtevant 1929a

(1) No published data for this stock .

 \sim \sim

 $-4-$

of 4 or 5 melanogaster females with 8 or 10 simulans males, or 8 to 10 simulans females with 4 or 5 melanogaster males. When the combination was made in this way the cross of simulans f by melanogaster f caused less difficulty than had been experienced previously by Sturtevant. Morgan, Bonnier and others. The more frequent failure of the latter combination is due to the lower viability of simulans flies in wet and acid food conditions. The females may become weakened and die before laying many eggs or even before mating. To overcome this difficulty the flies were mated and kept in vials with a small amount of food for one day before transferring them to the culture bottles. The bottles were kept in the incubator, set at 25.5° C., until pupae had formed. The parents were then removed and the bottles kept at room temperature $(20-22^{\circ}C.$).

The chance that non-virgin females may be present increases with the number of females used in a mating. Since a large number of simulans females were used in each cross greater care was required in selecting virgins. There was the added difficulty that simulans females are sometimes fertilized a few hours after emerging (Morgan 1929). Hybrid cultures generally consist of only one sex (see Sturtevant 1920). This served as a check, but in acdition all the crosses were made in such a way that flies which were not hybrids could be detected immediately by other characteristics.

In counting the bristles the four dorsocentrals and fourscutellars were considered. To save time in making and recording the counts it was decided to include flies with more than four of the eight bristles missing in the class of "four missing". This tended to lower the computed mean number of missing bristles in cases where many bristles were absent, but did not affect the cases where a smaller number were absent. If only four of the eight bristles had been considered the

 $-5-$

low classes would have been reduced by approximately half and the differences between them would hardly be apparent. The differences between the various high classes are sufficient, as recorded, to distinguish them from one another. Recording all eight bristles would make the differences greater instead of less. In some of the later counts all the eight bristles were recorded.

Preliminary crosses

It was first necessary to find various melanogaster stocks that produced different bristle effects on the hybrids. Females from stocks containing genes in the three large linkage groups were crossed to males from various simulans stocks. In the case of the X and the second chromosome it was possible to use stocks containing recessive genes as well as a dominant gene with linked crossover-suppressors such **as** gt bb"or Cl B b gp a . Cy R Thus in the hybrids the effect on the bristles of each **of** the homologous chromosomes could be determined by comparing the normal hybrids with those showing the character due to the dominant gene. There was no suitable third chromosome stock containing a dominant gene which could be used since any gene affecting the bristles had to be avoided. In this case stocks were used that contained a gene or genes corresponding to the simulans genes. These melanogaster stocks were first balanced against a third chromosome crossover-suppressor and then crossed to homozygous simulans males. The hybrids consisted of two classes and the effect of each chromosome could be observed.

The successful crosses using melanogaster stocks involving the X, second and third chromosomes are shown in tables 3, 4, and 5 with the mean number of bristles missing for each class. The columns headed by B, Cy, st, etc. represent the hybrids vhich showed Bar eye, Curly wing, scarlet eye, etc., but the actual melanogaster chromosome re-

-6-

Preliminary crosses involving chromosome I

TABLE 4

Preliminary crosses involving chromosome II

TABLE 3

 α

Preliminary crosses involving chromosome III

sponsible for this is different in most cases as will be seen by examination of the stocks used in each cross.

It is evident that the same melanogaster stock gave different averages with the different simulans males used in the crosses. This had not been expected, and further tests were made that will be described below.

Crosses to test cytoplasmic différences

in melanogaster stocks

In order to test the effect of the cytoplasm on the bristles reciprocal matins were made between melanogaster stocks producing high and low numb ers of missing bristles. Stocks involving **ClB** could not be used in this way since ClB males do not live. Two stocks were obtained which could be used reciprocally since the recessive genes pres ent would not affect the viability **of** the males. Females having the constitution $Y \cup cV \vee f$ and $Y \cup cC$ oto g^2 were crossed to males of $\frac{1}{30}$ sc ec cto g^2 several different simulans stocks but none of the cultures produced flies (see table 17). Many different numbers of males and females per culture were tried*, and the wings and legs of the females were cut in *Sturtevant (1915) has shown there may be fighting between the males

if two court the same female.

the hope of making mating easier, but all the cultures failed (see Sturtevant 1929a). It is probable that mating did not take place, since many eggs were laid but none hatched. Some of the females were dissected, but no sperm could be found in their receptacles. This does not, however, prove conclusively that no mating took place, since the females were at least nine days old and the sperm may have been used up after a possible mating, but males were still alive in the bottles when the females were

dissected and sperm should be found if a recent mating had occurred. Males of the constitution y U ec ct⁶ g² were crossed to simulans females, but again all the matings failed.

Of the second chromosome stocks $\frac{cg}{dp^2}$ $\frac{cg}{Cy}$ males were crossed with $\frac{gt}{C1D}$ females and the $\frac{CLB}{C1}$ dp² Cy F_1 : females crossed with Morris- $\frac{1}{1}$ + $\frac{1}{1}$ + $\frac{1}{1}$ town simulans males (table 6). The low values - much lower than these for either parent - are probably due to the loss or addition of modifiers through crossing the two stocks. The high average stock $\frac{b}{c}$ EP a was Cy R crossed reciprocally with the low average stock sen and the $\frac{dy}{dx}$ se h $_{F_1}$ + + flies used in crosses with sepia simulans males and females.

$$
\frac{\text{b gp a}}{\text{Oy R}} \varphi \times \frac{\text{se h}}{\text{se h}} \varphi \longrightarrow \frac{\text{Cy}}{+} \frac{\text{se h}}{+} \varphi \text{ and } \varphi
$$
 (A)

$$
\frac{\text{se h}}{\text{se h}} \varphi \times \frac{\text{b gp a}}{\text{Oy R}} \varphi \longrightarrow \frac{\text{Cy se h}}{+} \varphi \text{ and } \varphi
$$
 (B)

The F_1 females (A) and (B) have exactly the same chromosome constitution, but (A) females came from eggs with Cy cytoplasm and (B) females came from eggs with se h cytoplasm. The males are alike except for the X and Y. The (A) males receive their X from the Cy stock and their Y from the se h stock; the (B) males receive their X from se h and their Y from Cy . The different Y chromosomes can be seen to have no effect on the bristles of the hybrids, since the classes from both **(A)** and (B) crosses show practically the same values (table 7). This table also shows the hybrids from (A) and (B) females crossed with se simulans males differ from each other slightly or not at all. If the cytoplasm of the Cy stock was responsible for the high number of missing bristles we would expect the hybrids from (A) to show a higher number missing than those ffrom (B) . The striking difference is that between the number of bristles missing in males compared with females and the separation of these values into four classes in case of the males. TABLE 6

TABLE 7

Mean number of bristles missing in crosses between se sim and Cy se h mel.

Melanogaster	Simulans Parent									
parent	se or producing 9 hybrids				producing mostly o hybrids $se+$					
	Number оf Flies	Cy se	$Cy +$	$+$ se	$+$ $+$	Cy se.	$Cy +$	\div se	$+ +$	Number οf Flies
from $G\overline{Y}$ h s e (A) $+ +$	3372	.25	.24	.18	.20	σ 1.24 .56	1.62 -55	.39 -15	.63 .28	5078 379
se h from Cу (B) $+ +$	1731	.23	.18	-16	.17	1.29	2.01	.40	66	2436
se h from Cy (D) II	1099	.22	.31	.12	.19	1.64	2.45	.44	.83	2088

TABLE 8

Mean number of bristles missing using yy $\frac{Cy}{II}$ $\frac{seh}{Df}$ φ mel. (C)

Simulans male used	Number οf Flies.	$Cy + .$	Dy.Df	$+ +$	\div Df	
$\mathbf{s} \mathbf{e}$	589	2.97	3.12	1.21	1.72	
N. Orl.	661	2.82	2.91	1.25	1.60	
Pas.	652	2.95	3115	1.44	1.65	
Mrstwn	679	1.49	1.52	.51	-57	

This difference is shown to be due to the sex of the hybrid, since males and females which hatched from the same cultures $(A \sigma^r x$ se simulans $\frac{9}{7}$ gave decidedly different values (table 7).

The use of melanogaster attached-X stocks

Since the hybrid males have more bristles missing than the females it was decided to study them in particular and to compare the hybrid males obtained by using melanogaster attached-X stocks with hybrid males from simulans mothers. A melanogaster stock was made up as follows:

$$
yy \frac{9}{7} \text{ from } \frac{pn^2}{yy} \times \frac{BI}{Cy \text{ sp}} \text{ of } \longrightarrow \text{ } yy \frac{Cy}{+} \text{ ?}
$$
\n
$$
yy \frac{Cy}{+} \text{ g} \times \frac{res}{Df \text{ 2C} \text{ ca}} \text{ g} \longrightarrow \text{ } yy \frac{Cy}{+} \text{ g} \text{ }
$$
\n
$$
yy \frac{Cy}{+} \text{ g} \times \frac{pf}{LI \text{ se } h} \text{ g} \longrightarrow \text{ } yy \frac{Cy}{II} \text{ g} \text{ g} \text{ h} \text{ g} \text{ }
$$
\n
$$
yy \frac{Cy}{+} \text{ g} \text{ g} \text{ g} \text{ g} \text{ h} \text{ g} \longrightarrow \text{ } yy \frac{Cy}{II} \text{ g} \text{ g} \text{ h} \text{ g} \text{ }
$$
\n
$$
(0)
$$

The stock was kept by selecting the yy Cy Df females and crossing to se h males. Thus the stock contained the crossover-suppressor including Cy over the normal second chromosome from se h and the third chromosome from se h over the crossover-suppressor including Df. Males and females are alike except for the X-chromosome, but this does not enter into the constitution of the hybrids, since only male hybrids will be produced when either males or females from this stock are crossed to simulans. Many male hybrids were obtained by using yy $\frac{Cy}{II}$ se h females, but unfortunately the crosses (thirty-eight attempts) involving $\frac{cy}{q}$ se h males II Df failed.

Table 8 shows the results of crosses between (C) females and males from four different simulans stocks. The hybrids from Morristown males are seen to give lower values than the others in all four classes. In this table the class labeled **Cy+** corresponds to the Cy se class of table 7. Cy Df corresponds to the Cy+ class, and so on, since the Df chromosome now corresponds to the : third chromosome of table 7. Of

course sepia appeared in the hybrids from the cross with se simulans.

Another melanogaster stock was made up as follows:

 $\frac{B1}{Cy}$ Φ x $\frac{II}{II}$ se h σ \longrightarrow $\frac{Cy}{II}$ se h Φ and σ (D)

These $\frac{Cy}{II}$ se h flies were like the yy $\frac{Cy}{II}$ se h flies with regard to the Y, to one third and to both second chromosomes. The male hybrids from $\frac{dy}{dt}$ se h melanogaster males would differ from the hybrids from yy $\frac{Cy}{11}$ se h females only in the chromosome containing Df. They serve in a partial comparison of male hybrids derived from eggs containing different cytoplasm. Females from (D) were also compared with females from (A) and (B) in table 7. Little difference was expected in the comparison of the females, since they generally give low values with slight variations. The males from (0) and (D) (tables 7 and 8) differ in regard to missing bristles in each case except when Morristown male is crossed to (C) female. Considering the first three crosses it is seen that the difference in each class is consistent. Since the values for (D) males are with se simulans females, a comparison with the hybrids from (C) females by se males alone would be more complete. Here the differences suggest that something is active during development causing one group of hybrids to have more bristles missing than the ohter. This comparison is not exact, however, because the hybrids from (C) contain the Df chromosome while the others contain the normal third chromosome. Considering the four classes of hybrids produced in these crosses and comparing the ratio of Df to se (2.42 to 2.11) with that of $+$ to se (in the cross with (D) : 1.65 to .99) it appears that any effect on the bristles by Df is in the direction of reducing the number missing, thus reducing the differences in our comparison of crosses with (C) and (D) instead of increasing them. A more direct comparison was made by using the following stocks:

 $-13-$

The males and females of (E) and of (F) have the same chromosome (autosome) constitution and when crossed with simulans females or males should produce only male hybrids. The hybrids will have different melanogaster Y, but this has been shown to have no effect on the bristles (see discussion page 10 and table 7). These hybrids should be exactly alike except that one type developed from simulans egg and the other from melanogaster egg. Any difference between the two types should be due to the effect of the egg cytoplasm on the development. The results of the crosses are shown in table 9. This table shows that in each case hybrids developing from melanogaster eggs had a higher number of bristles missing than hybrids of the corresponding class developing from simulans eggs. The chromosome constitution of the hybrids from the two crosses is identical.

Another test was made which shows a definite maternal effect on the bristles of the hybrids. An attached-X stock was made up in such a way that the male and female flies were known to have identical Ychromosomes. A double yellow fiemale from $\frac{pn^2}{yy}$ was mated to a $\frac{b \text{ g}p}{\text{Gy} R}$ male and an F_1 yy Cy female and Cy male crossed. An F_2 Cy male was then crossed back to an F₁ yy Cy female. This stock will be designated as (G).

$$
\overline{XXY} \times XY \xrightarrow{D} \overline{CY} R \longrightarrow \overline{XXY} \xrightarrow{Cy} x \times XY \xrightarrow{Qy} \longrightarrow \overline{XXY} \xrightarrow{Cy} + XY \xrightarrow{Qy} (G)
$$

$$
\overline{XXY} \xrightarrow{Qy} x \times Y \xrightarrow{Qy} \longrightarrow \overline{XXY} \xrightarrow{Qy} + XY \xrightarrow{Qy} (G)
$$

A similar stock was made up using a female from $\frac{ta}{yy}$ and will be designated as (H).

$$
-14
$$

TABLE 9

 ϵ

 α

 \mathbf{x}_i

 \sim

Reciprocal crosses were made between each of the stocks (G) and (H), and black simulans. The hybrids were examined and a record kept of all the dorsocentral and scutellar bristles instead of recording no more than four as was done previously. These results are included in table 9. The differences between classes are in the same direction as those found with stocks (E) and (F).

The Effect of melanogaster

cytoplasm.

The results of all the crosses in which the hybrids from reciprocal matings have their chrome some constitution identical or nearly so are arranged in table 10. The sex chromosomes are marked Xm for melanogaster X and Xs for simulans X. The Y comes from the melanogaster parent in each case. Cy indicates that the hybrids contained the melanogaster Curly chromosome, and $+$ indicates the presence of the not-Curly chromosome. The other chromosomes are identical in each case and are not represented. The terms low, medium, high, etc. are purely arbitrary, based on the mean values shown.

The female hybrids developing from simulans eggs show a slightly higher mean value than those from the reciprocal cross. However, in other crosses using females from (A) values were obtained which approach .55 and in crosses with females from (D) values higher than this were obt aine d. (see table 19). The male hybrids derived from **(C)** were not identical with those derived from (D) since one parent contained the Df chromosome. As shown above the Df chromosome influenced the bristles even less than the homologous normal chromosome. However, the best comparisons for the males are those involving hybrids derived from (E), **(F), (G),** and **(H).** In (E) and **(F)** the t wo groups are identical in constitution except for the origin of the melanogaster Y as mentioned

-16-

TABLE 10.

TABLE 11.

Comparison of males from reciprocal crosses

above. The differences between the mean values 3.19 and 2.48, and the values 1.65 and .89 are certainly significant. In (G) and (H) the two groups of hybrids are identical in chromosome constitution since the stocks were made up in such a way that hybrids from both melanogaster males and females would contain the same chromosomes. Here the values for each class are higher, since all eight of the bristles were recorded. A comparison of these values with the difference between them is shown in table 11.

In each case the hytrids from a melanogaster mother have more bristles missing than those from a simulans mother. The difference between these classes range from 6.1 to 15.6 times the probable error.

In every cross producing males the hybrids which developed from melanogaster eggs showed a higher mean value than those which developed from simulans eggs. The females showed a difference in only one class and that in the opposite direction, but this disagreement has been explained above, partially at least.

Influence of simulans stocks

It has been mentioned above that different results were obtained when various simulans stocks were crossed to the same melanogaster stock.* While most of the simulans stocks gave nearly equal numbers of missing bristles one in particular (Morristown) gave a lower mean value. This difference is not easily detected in the female hybrids, but can be seen plainly in case of the males. Further crosses were made in order to compare male hybrids from various simulans stocks.

Males from four different simulans stocks were crossed with $\text{y}\frac{\text{Cy}}{\text{II}}\frac{\text{se} \cdot \text{h}}{\text{II}}$ females from (C) and the male hybrids compared. These values

*Sturtevant (1929a) did not find this difference possibly because the simulans stocks he used produced a medium effect and also because he dealt mainly with female hybrids.

 $-18-$

are shown in table 8.

Males from eight different simulans stocks were crossed with yy females and from $\frac{pn^2}{yy}$ and $\frac{ba}{yy}$ melanogaster stocks. The results are shown in table 12. For comparison the actual numbers are shown for the cross of yy females from $\frac{\text{ta}}{\text{yy}}$ to the black and Morristown stocks (table 13).

The hybrids from simulans females also differ in the number of birstles missing according to the simulans stock used. Females from six simulans stocks crossed to $\frac{Cy}{II}$ se h males from (D) produced male hybrids which differed from each other just as in the previous crosses. The results are given in table 14. This shows that the difference between the simulans stocks is due to the constitution of the stock. The presence in the stock of inhibitors or modifiers of some sort causes the hybrids to develop with different numbers of missing bristles.

A comparison of the simulans stocks is shown in table 15. The melanogaster parent is listed at the top of each column and the various simulans stocks are arranged in the order of their effect on the bristles of the hybrids. The stock causing the greatest effect means that one causing most bristles to be missing.

In each cross except one the values for the Morristown stock were the lowest. The difference in this one case may be due to the small number of hybrids which were obtained. When black was used in the crosses it produced the greatest effect in all except one case where a small number of hybrids from St. Augustine stock showed a higher value. The other stocks were distributed at random between the highest and lowest values which suggest that they are parctically equal in their effect on the hybrids. It is surprising that the black and Morristown stocks should differ so widely, since they are the most closely related of all the stocks used. The black mutation was found in the Morristown stock (Sturtevant 1929a) and was never outcrossed. Some change in one

 $-19-$

TABLE 12,

Simulans male		yy & from pn2	yy f ⁻ from $\frac{\text{ta}}{\text{2}}$			
	Number of flies	Mean number missing bristles	Number of flies	Mean number missing bristles		
b	438	2.92	552	2.73		
N. Orl.	870	2.26	770	1.93		
se	528	2.28	1409	1.83		
Pas.	948	1.81	634	1.89		
St. Aug.	524	2.19	532	1.32		
Mrstwn.	1424	.78	1358	.74		
y w			118	2.53		
y pn			173	1.38		

Influence of simulans male on bristle number

Comparison of black and Morristown stocks

TABLE 14.

Influence of simulans female on bristle number $\frac{dy}{dx} \frac{\frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{1}{y}}{\frac{1}{x} \cdot \frac{1}{y}} \frac{\frac{1}{y} \cdot \frac{1}{y}}{\frac{1}{y} \cdot \frac{1}{y}}$ used in each case.

 $-21-$

 $\mathcal{L}^{\text{max}}_{\text{max}}$

Simulans stocks arranged in order of effect on the bristles of hybrids.

TABLE 16.

$\label{eq:R} \begin{array}{c} R\,q = \rho \qquad \quad \ \ \, \gamma \qquad \qquad \ \ \, \gamma \qquad \$

 \sim

 \mathbb{R}^n

or both of the stocks must have taken place since then, or else the black mutation affects the bristles also. To test this pair matings were made of black simulans flies and the bristles counted. Of 391 of fspring not one had less than four dorsocentral and four soutellar bristles, while some had extra scutellars. The mean number of bristles was 8.67 \pm .04. It is probable that this excess of bristles is not directlu related to the absence of them in the hybrids since here only the scutellars are duplicated, while in the hybrids both dorsocentrals and scutellars are decreased in number.

The morristown stock was then tested to see if a definite chromosome was the cause of the low bristle effect on the hybrids. It was compared with black to test the second chromosome and with sepia to test the third. A melanogaster stock (ffb pr c se h) was made up which had attached-X chromosomes bearing forked, and was homozygous for the second chromosome characters black, purple, curved and for the third chromosome characters sepia and hairy. Reciprocal matings were made between Morrostown and b and Morristown and se, and the F_1 males in each case crossed to ff b pr c se h melanogaster females. This allowed a comparison of the normal second chromosome of Morristown with the b chromosome in one case, and of the *thermal thrid chromosome of Morristown with the se chromosome* in the other. The effect of the Morrixtown X was tested in both cases. In these crosses all eight of the bristles were recorded. The results are shown in table 16.

The third chromosome of the Morristown stock does not differ from the se chromosome in its effect on the bristles of the hybrids since the values for these two classes are equal. The second chromosome of the Morristown stock is very little different from the b chromosome, since the values are nearly equal. In one case the difference between the black

-22-

and normal classes is $\cdot 77 + .23$ or 3.3 times the probable error while in the other case it is only .56 \pm .30 or 1.9 times the probable error. But both these differences are in the same direction, so it is possible that these two chromosomes differ slightly in their effect on the hybrids. The greatest difference between the Morristown and b and se stocks is due to the difference of the Morristown X chromosome. The values from the reciprocal crosses differ in each class and show that the X chromosomes of the b and se stocks cause more bristles to be missing in the hybrids than the Morristown X chromosome. The differences are 4.2 and 6.0 times the probable error for the b stock and 6.1 and 4.5 times the probable error for the se stock.

Bristles of the parent species

Bristles were missing from some of the flies of every hybrid culture, regardless of the stocks which were used in the cross. Some melanogaster stocks gave higher numbers of missing bristles and some lower, also different results were found to be due to the presence of different chromosomes. The chromosome containing Curly produces a greater effect than its homologue and sepia hairy chromosome seems to produce less effect than its homologue. If specific genes acting on the bristles cause this effect in hybrids, it would be suspected that the Curly chromosome contains more of these, or else certain ones producing greater effect than those in the sppia hairy chromosome. In that case the Curly chromosome might be expected to affect the bristles in melanogaster cultures. To test this $\frac{B1}{Cv}$ melanogaster females were mated to normal melanogaster males and 683 off spring examined. The mean number of bristles present for curly flies was 8.01 \pm .001 and for normal flies was 8.02 \pm .008. Only one fly had less than eight bristles and in that case it looked as though

 $-23-$

the bristle had been present, but was broken off. Homozygous stock of sepia hairy would be expected to have some of the bristles absent, since it would be homozygous also for the genes causing the missing bristles in the hybrids. The bristles of 304 sepia hairy flies (from pair matings) were examined and the mean number of bristles present was found to be $8.64 + .04.$ Not one fly had less than eight bristles and none had extra dorsocentrals. The extra bristles were scutellars and in most cases anterior scut ellars. Duplication of these bristles was found to be one manifestation of the gene for hairy (Mohr 1922). The black simulans stock was tested in the same way, and the mean number of bristles present was found to be $8.67 \pm .04$. The cause of the extra bristles in this stock is not known. The black simulans stock showed the greatest number of bristles missing from the hybrids.

If specific genes cause missing bristles in the hybrids they do not produce a noticeable effect on melanogaster flies either when in heterozygous or in homozygous condition. The simulans flies which were examined had extra bristles, but this is believed to be due to some other cause not related to the hybrid effect.

Success of Crosses

It is easier to make the cross using melanogaster females than when simulans females are used. This had been found previously by Sturtevant, Morgan and others, but the difficulty with simulans females was not as great in this work as in their experiments. This may have been due to the methods that were used. Sturtevant **(1929a)** and Morgan (1929) expressed the opinion that the yellow stocks gave a greater percent of successful cross es since the females were less vigorous and offered less opposition to mating. In the present experiment the yellow simulans stocks gave poor results and the yellow melanogaster stocks gave about the average number

-24-

of successful matings. Table 17 shows the percent of matings with melanogaster females which produced hybrids. Only those stocks are included which were crossed with two or more simulans stocks.

In cases where the cross was one hundred percent successful no more than four or five crosses were attempted, but in some cases as many as fifty unsuccessful attempts at crossing were made. The figure for all the crosses. 44% , is really not a true index since an equal number of attempts at each cross was not made. The values range from 0% to 86% for the simulans males, and from 0% to 100% for the melanogaster females. The stock which gave 100% included only six cultures; the next highest value is 68%.

Table 18 shows the percent of matings with simulans females which produced hybrids. Here the values range from 8% to 100% for the simulans females and from 0% to 83% for the melanogaster males. The figure for all crosses, 45% , is again not a ture index as to the success of the matings. It must be remembered than an average of ten simulans females were used **for** each culture, while only five melanogaster females were used in the crosses.

Considering all the matings the black simulans stock was found to give the highest percent of successful crosses, 79%. The New Orleans stock came next with 68% . In the melanogaster stocks yy Cy from (F) was first with 70% and \underline{Sy} se h from (B) second with 67% of successful crosses. + +

A summary of most of the crosses with the mean number of bristles missing is given in table 19.

Table 20 shows the number of hybrids produced by all the crosses. the 41 males produced by regular melanogaster females were due to nondisjunction in females containing ClB. The 3 females produced by attached-X melanogaster females were due to separation of the attached-X's in the mother.

-25-

$-CQ$

TABLE 17.

Percent of matings which produced hybrids from melanogaster females

TABLE 18.

Percent matings which produced hybrids from simulans females

TABLE 19.

TABLE 20.

$-27-$

Chromosomes of the hybrids

Pairing of the chromosomes in the Diptera was first found by Miss Stevens (1907, 1908). She stated (1908) that there is "pairing of chromosomes in cells somewhat removed from the sphere of the reduction process". Metz $(1914, 1916 a, b)$ found this to be the case in many more species in addition to the ones studied by stevens. The pairing was uniform and complete except in occasional displacements of one or two pairs. and was found to be characteristic of somatic as well as germinal tissues.

In their studies on the chromosomes of the various species of Drosophila Metz and Moses (1923) placed melanogaster and simulans in the same group as having like dhromosome figures in the female. The chromosomes of the males were thought to be similar also until Sturtevant (1929b) showed that the simulans male has a short rod-shaped Y chromosome instead of the hooked Y of melanogaster.

Bonnier (1924) studied the chromosomes of hybrids between melanogaster and simulans and in a drawing of one oogonial plate showed the chromosomes to be paired perfectly as in both parent species. Metz had suggested (1916a, p. 259) that "chromosome pairing is dependent upon the cualitative nature of the chromosomes, - and more specifically upon a qualitative (physico-chemical) similarity between associating members". From this Bonnier concluded (1924, p. 63) that "the same force within the chromosomes, which in melanogaster causes them to lie in pairs close beside each other, is likewise to be found in simulans". He objected to the view of Sturtevant (1921) that species differ from each other in many genes which have arisen through mutations of the same kind as those giving rise to different mutant races. He believed that pairing chromosomes in the hybrids served as proof that at least not many genes differed between the two species. Later he discussed species-differences again (Bonnier 1927) and suggested that two species may be different in a single

-28-

cellular structure other than the genes, that is transferred by the sperm as well as by the ovum.

The difficulty in studying the chromosomes of the hybrids has been to find enough material for study since the ovaries never develop to normal size and are sometimes so rudimentary as to be difficult to find. The work with males is even more difficult. The method used by Frolowa (1926), Stern (1929) and others of studying the nerve ganglia of the larvae gave a better opportunity to study the chromosome group of the hybrids. A good many large cells with distinct chromosome figures have been found, though they are not as numerous as in larvae from either pure species.

The method of fixation wes that used by Stern (1929). Frolowa $(1926, 1929)$ and others, and the sections cut at 5 micra were stained with gentian violet.

When the first chromosome figures of the hybrids were seen it was found that they gave little evidence of pairing. As more of them were found there was the impression that there was no definite arrangement in pairs. Since no work had been done on pairing of the chromosomes in nerve cells it was necessary to study these cells in both parent species in order to be sure that the same pairing occurs here as in the gonial cells.

Larvae of each species were dissected and the nerve cells examined. All cells in which the chromosomes could be seen distinctly were counted and the chromosome groups examined. Each limb of the Vshaped chromsomes was considered separately so that a cell with perfect pairing would be given a score of six: two for each V-shaped chromosome pair and one each for the sex chromosomes and IV chromosomes.

The results of this comparison are shown in figures 1 and 2. Figure 1 shows the curves for pairing of all chromosomes of the hybrids

 $-29.$

and parent species. Figure 2 shows the curves with the sex chromosomes omitted from the count since the X and Y chromosomes might not be expected to pair. These results are from 15 cells of hybrids (all δ from yy mel. $\frac{0}{1}$). 12 cells of melanogaster $(4, 9, 8, 8)$ and 50 cells of simulans (males and females were not distinguished).

In both figures the curve for the hybrids is seen to resemble a normal probability curve, while those for the pure species are very asymmetrical and reach the highest point at complete pairing. It must be rememberd, in case of the hybrids, that when one limb of one V- shaped chromosome was beside that of another it was considered pairing of homologous limbs of identical chromosomes. Since the second and third chromosomes have not been identified in simulans it was impossible to distinguish them in the hybrids.

These results show that in ganglion cells of the hybrids the chromosomes are not paired beyond what might be epxected through random arrangement. The percent of pairing when the sex chromosomes are omitted is 48% in hybrids, 81.7% in normal melanogaster and 87.2% in normal simulans. There is stronger pairing of chromosomes in oogonia of the pure species, but statistical study of these has been made, that the agents that bring about pairing in the two parent species are different and do not cause pairing of the chromesomes in the hybrids.

Discussion of the results

The effect on the bristles cannot be due to a weakened condition of the hybrids because flies with missing bristles do not necessarily show other developmental abnormalities and abnormal flies may have all bristles present. Many females appear to be abnormal in one way or enother, but few bristles are missing. Males generally have an normal appearance, but have many bristles missing. The females often have rough

 $-30-$

FIGURE 2 .

eyes (especially those from certain simulans stocks) while the eyes of the males are normal or practically so. Females hatching from the same culture with males may have rough eyes and the eyes of the males appear perfectly normal. Under conditions of high temperature (29°C) female hybrids rarely develop past the pupal stage, while males emerge and appear normal. Males emerging at 29° have fewer bristles missing than those emerging at 22° C. The abdomen of the females is more often abnormal than the abdomen of the males, and flies with abnormal abdomens may have all the bristles present.

The missing bristles are evidence of imperfect coordination of the genes of the two species. Genetic differences between the species are shown also in the manifestation in the hybrids of the dominant melanogaster characters Bar and Lobe (Morgan 1929) and Delta (Sturtevant 1929a). In respect to facet number Bar differs only slightly from normal eye in the hybrids and Lobe cannot be distinguished at all. The larger eye of simulans is probably responsible for part of this difference. The Delta character due to the melanogaster gene is less extreme in the hybrids than in pure melanogaster, and that due to the simulans Delta gene is more extreme in the hybrids than in pure simulans. The failure of the hybrid chromosomes to pair in somatic stages is taken as evidence of gene difference between the chromosomes of the parent species. The inversion of part or the right limb of chromesome III of simulans (Sturtevant and Plunkett 1926, Sturtevant 1929a) compared with melanogaster, would tend to prevent pairing of that limb. It is possible that other shorter inversions may also be present.

In general hybrids from reciprocal crosses between species do not differ from each other, but in some cases they do and the difference has sometimes been attributed to the effect of the cytoplasm of the egg. Difficulties often encountered in cross-mating land animales are not met

-32-

with in many marine forms in which the eggs and sperm can be brought together outside the body. These forms have been largely used in hybridization experiments. The earlier experiments involving reciprocal crosses were made with different species of echinoderms, especially sea-urchins. Since the hybrids did not develop very far, the results were confined to larval characters. Vernon, Driesch, Boveri, Tennent and others studied hybrids from many sea-urchin crosses and reported reciprocal larvae that resembled the female parent in several respects. Some of the early larval characters were ascribed to the cytoplasm of the egg. The sperm was not supposed to exert an immediate influence on these stages. A complete discussion of these experiments, with the conclusions that were reached, is given by Morgan (1927).

In adition to effects of this kind there have been found other conditions in the cross-fertilized eggs that are supposed to effect the characters of the embryo. Fro example, Baltzer (1910) discovered that some of the paternal chromosomes are eliminated at the first cleavage of the egg in the cross between Strongylocentrotus, Arbacia and Echinus females and Sphaerechinus males, but that chromosome distribution is normal in the reciprocal crosses. Elimination of one or more chromosomes was found by Tennent in crosses between Toxopneustes and Arbacia (1912) and Cidaris females and Lytechinus male (1922) and by Doncaster and Gray (1913) in crosses between species of Echinus. In each case the larvae developed abnormally and when maternal characters were present it was suggested that this is due to the elimination of one or more paternal chromosomes.

Crosses have also been made between closely related species of fishes and even between species of different sub-orders. Reciprocal crosses have given cases of intermediate and also matroclinous hybrids.

 $-33-$

The mitotic divisions were studied in several cases to see if chromosomes were eliminated as in the sea-urchin. Morris (1914) working with hybrids between Fundulus and Ctenolabrus, and G. and P. Hertwig (1914), working with species of Gobius, Crenilabrus and Box, found abnormalities in the divisions with some chromosomes lagging behind, but reported no elimination of chromatin. The larvae often died early in development when the cross was made in one direction, but lived longer when the other cross was made. Pinney (1918) made a study of three reciprocal crosses between Ctenolabrus and Fundulus, Stentotomus and Menidia and compared the early mitotic divisions with the type of hybrid which developed. She reported that hybrids of maternal type may have normal as well as abnormal mitoses in early divisions and that hybrids with mitoses abnormal as well as "prevailing normal" may die during gastrulation before definite characters can be distinguished. She conduded that the cause of abnormal chromosome behavior in the hybrids is the real cause of abnormal development, but that. normal chromosomal behavior in the early stages is not closely correlated with normal development. At least some of the reported "maternal inheritance" must be due to something else besides irregular chromosome behavior in early mitoses.

Many reciprocal crosses have been attempted in Lepidoptera and most of the hybrids were found to be intermediate between the parent forms. In some cases they resembled one parent more than the other, Harrison (Harrison and Doncaster 1914) reported hybrids between species of the Bistoninae that showed "the superior influence of the male", but suggested no explanation of the fact. Others found hybrids that resembled themother in greater or less degree, or that behaved differently when the cross was made in a particular way. In the cross Pygaera curtula female by P. anachoreta male Federley (1911) obtained almost cross-fertilization if mating occurred, but only a few hybrids reached the adult stage. In the

 $-34-$

reci procal cross it was easy to obtain matings, but only about 30 percent of the eggs were fertilized. From these most of the mal s and some of the females developed into adult hybrids. Bytinski-Salz and Günther (1930). found that hybrids from crosses of Celerio porcellus female by C. euphorbia and C. elpenor male and of C. galii female by C. euphorbia male developed normally, but the reciprocal crosses produced adult males and an equal number of female pupae that practically never developed further. The chromosome numbers and divisions were the same in the two types of hybrids as in the parent species. They concluded that the different results must be due to differences in the egg cytoplasm or to a disturbance of the balance between the paternal X and maternal Y chromosomes.

In crosses between races of Lymantria dispar Goldschmidt obtained hybrids of various kinds. Many intersexes were found and these were explained by assuming the presence of sex-determining factors outside of the sex chromosomes. He at first thought (Goldschmidt 1916, 1917) that the determiner for femaleness was inherited through the egg cytopla@s, but later (1922) concluded it was located in the Y or W chromosome. Goldschmidt (1924) also reported cytoplasmic inheritance in characters of caterpillars from inter-racial crosses, but his results have been disputed by Kosminsky (1929) who reached quite opposite conclusions. Kisminsky states $(p. 59)$ "The influence of the maternal or paternal cytoplasm cannot be convincingly confirmed by Goldschmidt's experiments. It is very difficult to draw definite conclusions from the facts which have been discussed by Goldschmidt since he has not taken into consideration the factors for chitin color **Eas well as the circumstance that each "race" represents a mixture of** lines with different factors for chitin coloring. Nevertheless Goldschmidt's observations can be explained completely on the basis of my results, while my principles explain some of these facts better than Goldschmidt's hypotheses."

 $-35-$

Toyama (1912, 1913) reported several characters in the silkworm moth which seemed to show non-Mendelian inheritance. Since then maternal inheritance in the silkworm has been quite thoroughly discussed. Tanaka (1924) thinks true maternal inheritance can occur only in the cases of brown and white egg colors and voltinism. It is quite probable that the egg-color or serosa color is due only to the egg because the sperm has not had time to exert an influence on such early structures. Uda (1923) believes changes in voltinims are due to temperature effects. If there are definite characters for univoltinism and divoltinism he thinks the irregularity can still be due to the "time of action" of the sperm. In any case the characters depend on the chromosomes though there may be a delay of a generation in the production of the effects. Miss Pellew (1925) explains and discusses these results briefly and concludes there is some maternal influence.

A "prematuration" (maternal) effect was considered by Morgan (1912, 1915) as a cuase of the **irregularities** in the inheritance of rudimentary wing in Drosophila Melanogaster. Miss Lynch (1919) found this to be a cause of the partial sterility of homozygous rudimentary or fused females. Miss Redfield (1924, 1926) reported a sex-limited lethal effect which acted only when the mother was homozygous for a second chromosome gene. The females died chiefly in the egg stage due to the influence of the maternal genetic composition on the eggs, before they left the mother's body. Gabritchevsky and Bridges (1928) found an ehhancer of giant which showed a maternal effect on the eggs of females homozygous for the enhancer. Sturtevant (1934) explains the inheritance of coiling in Limnaea as being due to the genetic complex of the unreduced egg regardless of the constitution of the offspring.

In the present work hybrids developing from eggs containing melanogaster cytoplams have more bristles missing than hybrids developing

-36-

from eggs with simulans cytoplasm, even though the chromosomes in each case are identical. This may be interpreted as meaning that the chromosomes in the melanogaster egg have produced some effect on the cytoplame before fertilization. Development might then be slightly different from that of a similar gene complex developing in a simulans egg. Whether the cytoplasm itself (independently of the chromosomes) causes any difference in development cannot be tested, since the hybrids have been found to be completely sterile (Sturtevant 1920). Purely cytoplasmic inheritance is not probable, however, since the results show that the differences among the melanogaster stocks can all be accounted for in terms of chromosomes.

The failure of hybrids to show pairing chromosomes supports the view that the two species differ from each other in having many genes which have become changed, although not enough with striking somatic effects to change greatly the appearance of the species itself. This. of course, is based on the assumption that similarity of genes is responsible for pairing of the chromosomes in the parent species.

SUMMARY

- 1. In crosses between Drosophila melanogaster and D. simulans some of the hybrids have bristles missing that are present in both parents.
- 2. The melanogaster stocks differ in their effect on the bristles in the hybrids, but each stock is constant when crossed to a given simulans stock.
- 3. Male hybrids show the bristle effect more than do female hybrids, and males and females hatching from the same culture show this difference.
- 4. Male hybrids from attached-Xmelanogaster females were compared with male hybrids from simulans females. Hybrids from melanogaster mothers

 $-37-$

differed from hybrids from simulans mothers in regard to the number of missing bristles, although the genetic constitution was identical. This difference is considered to be due to the effect of the chromosomes on the cytoplasm of the egg before the egg leaves the mother's body.

- 5. The simulans stocks differ in their effect on the hybrids. Black produced the greatest effect and Morristown the least. The other stocks which were tested gave generally the same values for missing bristles and are considered to be practically equal in their effects on the hybrids.
- **6. The difference between the black and Morristown stocks was found to** be due chiefly to the X chromosomes of the two stocks.
- 7. No specific genes were identified which caused the bristles to be absent in the hybrids.
- 8. The chromosomes of the hybrids were examined to determine if somatic pairing could be observed. The nerve ganglia of the larvae were used for this purpose. The chromosomes showed no pairing beyond what would be expected through random arrangement.
- 9. The failure to pair is considered as a partial demonstration of gene differences between the chromosomes of the two species. This gene difference is considered as the cause of the missing bristles in the hybrids.

-38-

Baltzer. F. 1910. Über die Beziehung zwischen dem Chromatin und der Entwicklung und Vererbungsrichtung bei Echingdermenbastarden. Archif. f. Zellf. 5:497-621.

- Bonnier, G. 1924. Contributions to the knowledge of intra- and interspecific relationships in Drosophila. Acta Zool. 5:1-122 1927. Species differences and gene differences. Hereditas 9:137-144
- Bytinski-Salz, H. and A. Günther. 1930. Untersuchungen an Letidopterenhybriden I. Zeitschr. f. ind. Abst. u. Vererbsl. 53:153-234.
- Doncaster, L. and J. Gray. 1913. Cytological observations on the early stages of segmentation of Echinus hybrids. Quar. Jour. Mic. Sci. 58:483-510.
- Federley, H. 1911. Vererbungsstudien an der Lepidopteren-Gattung Pygaera. Arch. f. Rassen -- un Gesellsch. - Biol. 8:281-340.
- Frolowa, S. 1926. Normale und polyploide Chromosomengarnituren bei einigen Drosophila-Arten. Zeitschr. f. Zellf. u. mikrosk. Anatomie. 3:682-694.

1929. Ein Fall des "Non-disjunction" bei Drosophila phalerata Meig. Zeitschr. f. Zellf. u. mikrosk. Anatomie 10:214-220.

Gabritschevsky, E. and C. B. Bridges. 1928. The giant mutation in Drosophila melanogaster. II Physiological aspects of the giant race. The giant "caste". Zeitschr. f. ind. Abst. u. Vererbsl. 46:248-284.

Goldschmidt, R. 1916. Experimental intersexuality and sex-problem. Amer. Nat. 50:705-718.

1917. A further contribution to the theory of sex. Jour. Exp. Zool. 22:593-617

Goldschmidt, R. (cont).

1922. Über Vererbung im Y-Chromosom. Biol. Centralbl. 42:481-487. 1924. Untersuchungen zur Genetik der geographischen Variation I. Arch. mik. Anat. u. Entw. 101:92-337.

- Harrison, J.W.H. and L. Doncaster. 1914. On hybrids between moths of the geometrid sub-family Bistoninae, with an account of the behaviour of the chromosomes in gametogenis in Lycia (Biston) hirtaria. Ithysia (Nyssia) zonaria and in their hybrids. Journ. Genet. 3:229-248
- Hertwig, G. and P. 1914. Kreuzungsversuche an Knochenfischen. Arch f. mikrosk. Anat. 84:49-88.
- King, R. L. 1927. Origin and description of Bristle in Drosophila melanogaster. Biol. Bull. 53:465-468.
- Kisminsky, P. 1929. Die Vererbung der Färbung und Zeichnung bie Raupen des Schwammspinners (Lymantria dispar L.). Revue Zoologique Russe 9:3-61. (in Russian with German summary.)
- Lynch, C.J. 1919. An analysis of certain cases of intra-specific sterility. Genetics 4:501-533.

Metz, C. W. 1914. Chromosome studies in the Diptera. I. A preliminary survey of five different types of chromosome groups in the genus Drosophila. Jour. Exp. Zool. 17:45-59. 1916a. Chromosome studies on the Dipters. II. The paired association of chromosomes in the Diptera, and its significance. Jourl Exp. Zool. $21:213 - 279$

1916b. Chromosome studies on the Diptera. III. Additional types of chromosome groups in the Drosophilidae. Amer. Nat. 50:587-599

 $-40-$

- (cont) Metz, C. W. and M. S. Moses. 1923. Chromosome relationships and genetic behavior in the genus Drosophila: I. A comparison of the chromosomes of different species of Drosophila. Jour. Hered. 14:195-204
- Mohr, 0.1. 1922. Cases of mimic mutations and secondary mutations in the X-chromosome of Drosophila menalogaster. Zeitschr. f. ind. Abst. u. Vererbs1. 28:1-22
- Morgan, L. V. 1926. Correlation between shape and behavior of a chromosome. Proc. Nat. Acad. Sci. 12:180-181.
- Morgan, T. H. 1912. A modification of the sex ratio, and of other ratios in Drosophila through linkage. Zeitschr. f. ind. Abst. u. Vererbsl. $7:323-345.$

1915. The infertility of rudimentary winged females of Drosophila melanogaster. Amer. Nat. 49:240-250.

1927. Experimental Embryology. Columbia Univ. Press, New York 766 pp 1929. Experiments with Drosophila. Carnegia Inst. Wash. Pub. 399:201-222

- Morgan, T. H., C. B. Bridges and A. H. Sturtevant. 1925. The genetics of Drosophila. Bibliogr. Genetica. 2:1-262. 1927. The constitution of the germ material in relation to heredity. Carnegia Inst, Year Book. 261284-288. 1928. The constitution of the germinal material in relation to heredity. Carnegie Inst. Year Book. 27:330-335.
- Morris, M. 1914. The behavior of the chromatin in hybrids between Fundulus and Ctenolabrus. Jour. Exp. Zool. 16:501-521.
- Pellew, C. 1925. A note on the inheritance of egg-color in the silkworm. Jour. Genet. 15:233-235.

Pinney, E. 1918. A study of the relation of the behavior of the chromatin

 $-41-$

to development and heredity in Teleost hybrids. Jour. Morph. 31:225-291

Redfield, H. 1924. A case of maternal inheritance in Drosophila. Amer. Nat. 58:566-569.

1926. The maternal inheritance of a sex-limited lethal effect in Drosophila melanogaster. Genetics 11:482-502.

- Stern, C. 1929. Untersuchungen über Aberrationen des Y-Chromosoms von Drosophila melanogaster. Zeitschr. f. ind. u. Abst. Vererbsl. 51:253-353
- Stevens, N. M. 1907. The chromosomes in Drosophila ampelophila. Proc. VII Int. Zool. Cong., Boston 1907. 380, 381.

1908. A study of the germ cells of certain Diptera, with reference to the hetero-chromosomes and the pehnomens of synapsis. Jour. Exp. Zool. 5:359-374.

Sturtevant, A. H. 1915. Experiments on sex-recognition and the problem of sexual selection in Drosophila. Jour. Anim. Behavior 5:351-366 1920. Genetic studies on Drosophiaa simulans. I. Introduction. Hybrids with D. melanogaster. Genetics 5:488-500 1921. The North American species of Drosophila. Carnegie Inst. Wash. Pub. 301.

1923. Inheritance of direction of coiling in Limnaea. Science 58:269, 270.

1929a. The genetics of Drosophila simulans. Carnegie Inst. Wash. Pub. 399:1-62

1929b. The claret mutant type of Drosophila simulans: a study of chromosome elimination and cell lineage. Zeitschr. f. wiss. Zool. $135:323 - 356.$

- (cont) Sturtevant, A. H., and C. R. Plunkett. 1926. Sequence of corresponding third-chromosome genes in Drosophila melanogaster and D. simulans. Biol. Bull. 50:S6-6o.
- Tanaka, Y. 1924. Maternal inheritance in Bombyx mori. Genetics 9:479-486.
- Tennent, D. H. 1912. Studies in cytology. I, II. Jour. Exp. Zool. 12:391-411.
- Toyama, K. 1921. On certain characteristics of the silkworm which are apparently non-Mendalian. Biol. Centrabbl. 32:593-607.
	- 1913. Maternal inheritance and Mendilism. Journ. Genet. 2:351-405
- Uda, H. 1923. On "maternal inheritance". Genetics 8:322-335.