

# Contents

<b>Acknowledgments</b>	<b>iii</b>
<b>Abstract</b>	<b>vi</b>
<b>Contents</b>	<b>vii</b>
<b>List of Figures</b>	<b>xi</b>
<b>List of Tables</b>	<b>xvi</b>
<b>1 Introduction</b>	<b>1</b>
1.1 The inverse problem (and thesis overview) . . . . .	2
<b>2 Finite-frequency tomography using adjoint methods</b>	<b>11</b>
2.1 Introduction . . . . .	12
2.2 General formulation of the inverse problem . . . . .	14
2.3 Classical tomography . . . . .	16
2.3.1 Theory . . . . .	16
2.3.2 Experimental setup . . . . .	21
2.3.3 2D tomographic example . . . . .	22
2.4 Computation of the gradient and Hessian . . . . .	23
2.5 The gradient: construction of a misfit kernel . . . . .	25
2.5.1 Event kernels . . . . .	25
2.5.2 Misfit kernels and damping . . . . .	27
2.5.3 Basis functions . . . . .	28
2.6 Optimization: iterative improvement of the model . . . . .	31

2.6.1	Conjugate gradient algorithm . . . . .	31
2.6.2	2D tomographic example . . . . .	32
2.6.3	Variations on the conjugate gradient algorithm . . . . .	33
2.7	Tomographic experiments . . . . .	34
2.8	Source, structure, and joint inversions . . . . .	34
2.8.1	Basic source inversion . . . . .	35
2.8.2	Joint inversions . . . . .	36
2.9	Discussion . . . . .	38
2.9.1	Three types of sensitivity kernels . . . . .	38
2.9.2	Classical tomography versus adjoint tomography . . . . .	38
2.9.3	Feasibility of 3D–3D tomography . . . . .	40
2.10	Appendix A: Regularization . . . . .	41
2.11	Appendix B: Details of the conjugate gradient algorithm . . . . .	43
2.11.1	Selection of the trial step . . . . .	43
2.11.2	Quadratic versus cubic interpolation . . . . .	44
<b>3</b>	<b>Finite-frequency kernels</b>	<b>68</b>
3.1	Kernel Gallery . . . . .	68
3.1.1	Model setup . . . . .	69
3.1.2	Banana-doughnut kernels . . . . .	70
<b>4</b>	<b>Adjoint tomography based on source subspace projection</b>	<b>83</b>
4.1	Introduction . . . . .	83
4.2	Classical least-squares solutions . . . . .	85
4.3	Source subspace projection method . . . . .	86
4.3.1	Significance of the source-projected gradient . . . . .	88
4.3.2	Comparison with the conjugate gradient method . . . . .	90
4.4	2D synthetic experiments . . . . .	91
<b>5</b>	<b>Time-window selection algorithm</b>	<b>94</b>
5.1	The selection algorithm . . . . .	95
5.2	Windowing Examples . . . . .	96
5.3	Appendix A: Tuning considerations . . . . .	98

5.3.1	Examples of user functions for southern California . . . . .	100
<b>6</b>	<b>Adjoint tomography of the southern California crust</b>	<b>110</b>
6.1	Introduction . . . . .	111
6.2	Initial model . . . . .	112
6.2.1	Initial 3D crustal model . . . . .	112
6.2.2	Earthquake sources . . . . .	113
6.2.3	Model variables, model parameterization, and model vector . . . . .	116
6.3	Misfit function . . . . .	117
6.3.1	Selection of bandpasses . . . . .	117
6.3.2	Selection of time windows . . . . .	117
6.3.3	Misfit measures . . . . .	118
6.3.4	Misfit function . . . . .	119
6.4	Misfit gradient and iterative inversion procedure . . . . .	119
6.4.1	Computational demands . . . . .	120
6.5	New 3D crustal model . . . . .	121
6.5.1	Connections with geology and tectonics . . . . .	124
6.5.2	Bulk sound speed model . . . . .	125
6.5.3	Implications for seismic hazard assessment . . . . .	126
6.6	Misfit analysis . . . . .	127
6.6.1	Waveform misfit, $F_w(\mathbf{m})$ . . . . .	128
6.6.2	Traveltime misfit, $F_t(\mathbf{m})$ . . . . .	129
6.7	Resolution considerations . . . . .	129
6.8	Summary . . . . .	130
<b>A</b>	<b>Supplement: Finite-frequency tomography using adjoint methods</b>	<b>155</b>
A.1	From misfit function to adjoint source: 2D membrane-wave example . . . . .	156
A.2	The conjugate gradient algorithm . . . . .	157
A.2.1	Background and notation . . . . .	158
A.2.2	Algorithm . . . . .	159
A.2.3	Inversion details of <i>Tape et al.</i> (2007) . . . . .	160

<b>B</b>	<b>Sensitivity kernels for different model parameterizations</b>	<b>168</b>
B.1	General formulas . . . . .	168
B.1.1	Kernels for different model parameterizations . . . . .	169
B.2	Model parameterizations: $\alpha$ - $\beta$ - $\rho$ or $\kappa$ - $\mu$ - $\rho$ or $c$ - $\beta$ - $\rho$ . . . . .	170
B.2.1	Perturbations . . . . .	170
B.2.2	Fractional Perturbations . . . . .	171
<b>C</b>	<b>Multitaper measurements for adjoint tomography</b>	<b>173</b>
C.1	Introduction . . . . .	173
C.2	Misfit functions, measurements, and adjoint sources . . . . .	173
C.2.1	The misfit function and measurement convention . . . . .	173
C.2.2	Multitaper measurements . . . . .	178
C.2.3	Multitaper adjoint sources . . . . .	179
C.3	Miscellaneous . . . . .	184
C.3.1	Deriving the transfer function . . . . .	184
C.3.2	Conventions for measurements, Fourier transform, and transfer function	186
C.3.3	Implementation . . . . .	187
C.3.4	Plancherel’s theorem . . . . .	188
<b>D</b>	<b>Southern California earthquake source parameters</b>	<b>192</b>
<b>E</b>	<b>Polarity problems at selected stations in southern California</b>	<b>234</b>
E.1	Overview . . . . .	234
E.2	Station–epochs with probable incorrect polarity (Figures E.1–E.22) . . . . .	236
E.3	Station–epochs with probable incorrect amplification (Figures E.23–E.29) . . . . .	241
	<b>Bibliography</b>	<b>272</b>