EVOLVING STRATEGIES TOWARD THE SYNTHESIS OF CURCUSONE C

Thesis by

Austin C. Wright

In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements for the Degree of

Doctor of Philosophy

California Institute of Technology

Pasadena, California

2020

(Defended January 27, 2020)

© 2020

Austin C. Wright

All Rights Reserved

To my family

ACKNOWLEDGMENTS

I must first express gratitude to Professor Brian Stoltz for serving as my research advisor. His unconditional support of my constantly changing synthetic routes was instrumental in my development as a graduate student and, more importantly, as an independent researcher. I must also thank Professors Sarah Reisman, Jonas Peters, and Alison Ondrus for serving as my graduate committee members. Their constructive criticism throughout my time at Caltech has greatly influenced my graduate career.

My growth as an organic chemist has also been greatly impacted by several members of the Stoltz lab. As an incoming member, my understanding of all the intricate experimental procedures and theoretical concepts were shaped by Christopher Haley, with whom I collaborated on my first aryne paper. I must also profusely thank former post-doc Max Klatte for serving as my other *de facto* mentor during my mousy first year in the lab: his German-bred pragmatism helped me streamline my experimental technique, and his German-bred optimism helped me enjoy every minute of it. Later on, post-doc Caleb Hethcox proved to be another extremely useful educational resource, owing to his almost comprehensive knowledge of organic chemistry. Of course, Eric "E-Dubs" Welin must also be acknowledged for his important chemical insights both in the lab and at the bar. I'm sure he will make an outstanding addition to the faculty at UT Dallas.

I would be remiss to not also express gratitude to Chung Whan Lee for laying the groundwork for the synthesis of curcusone C, without which I would not have tackled this interesting target. I also have to acknowledge several other total synthesis specialists in the lab, particularly Beau Pritchett, Nicholas Hafeman, and Chris Reimann, whose collective input shaped my route and ultimately helped make it viable. Beyond professional development, many of my fellow students were also excellent friends. First and foremost, I must thank Carson Matier for his constant positivity and silliness, which always managed to cheer me up. Fellow classmates Steven Loskot, David Schuman, and Alice Wong were also very kind friends and exemplary colleagues.

I have been privileged to have worked next to several outstanding hoodmates during my time at Caltech. Despite our clashing personalities and vastly different sanitary preferences for the fumehood, former graduate student Sam Shockley proved to be an invaluable friend. The astonishingly unhealthy former visiting student Yutaro Saito was truly a delight to work with, and I wish him the best of luck in his professorial pursuits. I must also mention former visiting student Rémi Lavernhe, whose seemingly unbreakable sense of humor and optimism quickly made him perhaps the most beloved member of the lab during his all-too-brief stay. Visiting student Max Kaiser unexpectedly imparted to me a fondness for chess, which I will certainly take with me beyond grad school.

Lastly, I must of course give thanks to my family. My mom in particular was always available for me.

ABSTRACT

Curcusone C is a tricyclic diterpenoid natural product possessing potent anti-cancer activities as well as a structurally unusual 2,3,7,8-tetrahydroazulene-1,4-dione skeleton. Herein, we report our evolving synthetic efforts toward the divergent total syntheses of *ent*-curcusone C and several structural congeners, which commenced with a Suzuki coupling of the peripheral carbon-based rings. Whereas the boronate partner was constructed from cyclopentenone, the halide partner could be elaborated from (*S*)-perillaldehyde. The alcohol coupling product was next esterified, then subjected to diazo transfer and cyclopropanation to produce a lactone. The resulting vinyl cyclopropane moiety was exposed to Kauffmann olefination conditions in order to form a divinylcyclopropane, which upon reductive lactone opening smoothly underwent a Cope rearrangement to establish the essential tricyclic core embedded in the curcusones.

Due to ongoing issues of scalability as well as unsatisfactory yields for the key cyclopropanation step, this route was ultimately abandoned, and an alternative strategy was devised which instead relied on a cross-electrophile coupling to join the peripheral rings. We further found that a central ring could be constructed via either Stetter annulation or ring-closing metathesis (RCM), accessing the tricyclic core of the curcusones in only 9 steps. Potential end-game strategies are further described.

We additionally report our experimental research into the acyl-amination of *in situ*-generated arynes using symmetrical imides. The difunctionalized aryl products could be further derivatized to synthetically useful indoles and quinolones via McMurray coupling and Camps cyclization, respectively.

PUBLISHED CONTENT AND CONTRIBUTIONS

- Wright, A. C., C. W. Lee, B. M. Stoltz. (2019). "Progress toward the Enantioselective Synthesis of Curcusones A–D via a Divinylcyclopropane Rearrangement Strategy." In: *Organic Letters* 21, pp. 9658–9662. DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.9b03829.
 A.C.W. Interpreted spectra, purified compounds, and wrote the manuscript. *Adapted for the contents of Chapter 1*.
- Wright, A. C., B. M. Stoltz. (2019). "Enantioselective construction of the tricyclic core of curcusones A–D via a cross-electrophile coupling approach." In: *Chemical Science* 10, pp. 10562–10565. DOI: 10.1039/C9SC04127C.
 A.C.W. Interpreted spectra, purified compounds, and wrote the manuscript. *Adapted for the contents of Chapter 1.*
- Wright, A. C., C. K. Haley, et al. (2019). "Synthesis of Aryl Ketoamides via Aryne Insertion into Imides." In: *Organic Letters* 18, pp. 2793–2795. DOI: 10.1021/acs.orglett.6b00994.
 A.C.W. Interpreted spectra, purified compounds, and wrote the manuscript. *Adapted for the contents of Chapter 2.*

1

TABLE OF CONTENTS

Acknowledgments	iv
Abstract	vi
Published content and contributions	vii
Table of contents	viii
List of figures	x
List of schemes	xiii
List of tables	xiv
List of abbreviations	xv

Chapter 1

Evolving Strategies Toward the Synthesis of Curcusone C

1.1	Introduc	tion and Alternate Synthetic Strategies	1
	1.1.1	Introduction	1
	1.1.2	First Retrosynthetic Analysis: Divinylcyclopropane Rearrangement	3
	1.1.3	Divinylcyclopropane Rearrangement	4
1.2	First G	eneration Approach	5
	1.2.1	Limonene oxide Route	5
	1.2.2	Perillaldehyde Route	8
1.3	Second	d Generation Route	13
	1.3.1	Second Retrosynthetic Analysis: Cross-Electrophile Coupling	13
	1.3.2	Cross-Electrophile Coupling	14
	1.3.3	Construction of the Seven-Membered Ring via RCM	18
1.4	Endgai	me Strategies	21
1.5	Conclu	usion	25
1.6	Experii	mental Section	26
	1.6.1	Materials and Methods	26
	1.6.2	Preparative Procedures	
1.7	Notes a	and References	58

Appendix 1

63

Synthetic Summary Toward the Total Synthesis of Curcusone C

Appendix 2	72
Spectra Relevant to Chapter 1: Evolving Strategies Toward the Synth	nesis of Curcusone C
Appendix 3	135
X-Ray Crystallography Data Relevant to Chapter 1	
A3.1 Crystal structure of 73	135
Chapter 2	153
Acyl-Amination of Arenes via Aryne Formation	

2.1	Introduction and Background.	153
2.2	Results and Discussion	155
2.3	Conclusion	159
2.4	Experimental Section	160
	I	

	2.4.1	Materials and Methods	160
	2.4.2	Preparative Procedures	161
2.5	Notes	and References	172
Appe	ndix 4		174
Spect	ra Rele	evant to Chapter 2: Acyl-Amination of Arenes via Aryne Formation	
Appe	ndix 5		197
Notel	book C	ross-Reference	
	Compi	ehensive Bibliography	206
	Index.		
	About	the Author	216

LIST OF FIGURES

CHAPTER 1

Evolving Strategies Toward the Synthesis of Curcusone C

Figure 1.1.1.	Reported Structures of Curcusones A–J	2
Figure 1.2.1.	Synthetic Evolution of Cyclohexene Coupling Fragments	9
Figure 1.3.1.	Uncooperative Halide Electrophiles Studied for the α -Alkylation of 65	.15

APPENDIX 2

Spectra Relevant to Chapter 1: Evolving Strategies Toward the Synthesis of Curcusone C

¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 28	73
Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 28	74
^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 28	74
¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 31	75
Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 31	.76
^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 31	.76
¹ H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) of compound 87	.77
Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 87	.78
13 C NMR (101 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 87	.78
¹ H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) of compound 33	.79
Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 33	80
13 C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 33	80
¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 34	.81
Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 34	82
13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 34	.82
¹ H NMR (400 MHz, $C_6 D_6$) of compound 38	.83
Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 38	.84
^{13}C NMR (101 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 38	.84
¹ H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_3) of compound 39	.85
Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 39	.86
13 C NMR (101 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 39	.86
¹ H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) of compound 88	.87
Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 88	88
13 C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 88	.88
¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CD ₂ Cl ₂) of compound 40	89
Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 40	90
13 C NMR (101 MHz, CD ₂ Cl ₂) of compound 40	.90
¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 41	.91
Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 41	92
13 C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 41	92
¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 42	.93
Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 42	94
¹³ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 42	.94
	$ {}^{1}H \ NMR \ (500 \ MHz, \ CDCl_{3}) \ of \ compound \ 28$

Figure A2.35 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 43. .96 Figure A2.37 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 21. .97 Figure A2.38 ¹¹ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 21. .98 Figure A2.39 ¹¹ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 21. .98 Figure A2.30 ¹¹ T NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 44. .100 Figure A2.44 ¹¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 44. .100 Figure A2.42 ¹¹ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 19. .101 Figure A2.44 ¹¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 19. .102 Figure A2.45 ¹² C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 19. .102 Figure A2.46 ¹¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 45. .103 Figure A2.47 ¹¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 45. .103 Figure A2.49 ¹² H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 45. .104 Figure A2.51 ¹² C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 45. .103 Figure A2.51 ¹¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 46. .106 Figure A2.52 ¹¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47. .106 Figure A2.54	Figure A2.34	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 43	95
Figure A2.36 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCI) of compound 21	Figure A2.35	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 43	96
Figure A2.37 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCI) of compound 21	Figure A2.36	^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 43	96
Figure A2.38 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 21	Figure A2.37	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 21	97
Figure A2.39 ''C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl.) of compound 41	Figure A2.38	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 21	98
Figure A2.40 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCI) of compound 44.	Figure A2.39	13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 21	98
Figure A2.41 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 44. 100 Figure A2.42 ''C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl,) of compound 19. 101 Figure A2.43 ''H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl,) of compound 19. 102 Figure A2.44 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 19. 102 Figure A2.45 ''C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl,) of compound 45. 103 Figure A2.44 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 45. 103 Figure A2.44 ''H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl,) of compound 45. 104 Figure A2.49 ''H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl,) of compound 45. 104 Figure A2.51 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 18. 105 Figure A2.52 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 18. 106 Figure A2.51 ''C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl,) of compound 46. 107 Figure A2.53 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 46. 108 Figure A2.54 ''C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl,) of compound 47. 109 Figure A2.55 'IH NMR (500 MHz, CDCl,) of compound 47. 109 Figure A2.51 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 47. 109 Figure A2.54 ''C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl,) of compound 47. 110	Figure A2.40	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 44	99
Figure A2.42 ¹⁰ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCI ₃) of compound 14 . 100 Figure A2.43 ¹¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCI) of compound 19 . 101 Figure A2.44 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCI) of compound 19 . 102 Figure A2.45 ¹¹ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCI) of compound 45 . 103 Figure A2.46 ¹¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCI) of compound 45 . 103 Figure A2.47 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCI) of compound 45 . 103 Figure A2.48 ¹² C NMR (126 MHz, CDCI) of compound 18 . 106 Figure A2.50 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCI) of compound 18 . 106 Figure A2.51 ¹⁴ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCI) of compound 46 . 108 Figure A2.52 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCI) of compound 46 . 108 Figure A2.53 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCI) of compound 47 . 109 Figure A2.54 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCI) of compound 47 . 110 Figure A2.55 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCI) of compound 47 . 110 Figure A2.54 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCI) of compound 47 . 110 Figure A2.55 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCI) of compound 47 . 110 Figure A2.61 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCI) of compound 49 . 112	Figure A2.41	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 44	.100
Figure A2.43 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCL) of compound 19	Figure A2.42	¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 44	100
Figure A2.44 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 19	Figure A2.43	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 19	.101
Figure A2.45 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 45	Figure A2.44	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 19	.102
Figure A2.46 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 45. 103 Figure A2.47 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 45. 103 Figure A2.48 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 45. 104 Figure A2.49 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 18. 105 Figure A2.51 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 18. 106 Figure A2.52 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 46. 107 Figure A2.53 ¹⁵ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 46. 108 Figure A2.54 ¹⁵ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47. 109 Figure A2.55 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47. 110 Figure A2.56 ¹⁶ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47. 110 Figure A2.56 ¹⁶ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47. 110 Figure A2.58 ¹⁶ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 49. 111 Figure A2.60 ¹⁶ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 49. 112 Figure A2.61 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 49. 112 Figure A2.62 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 49. 113 Figure A2.63 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 49. 114	Figure A2.45	¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 19	102
Figure A2.47 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 45	Figure A2.46	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 45	.103
Figure A2.48 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 45	Figure A2.47	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 45	.103
Figure A2.49 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 18 . 105 Figure A2.50 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 18 . 106 Figure A2.51 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 46 . 107 Figure A2.53 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 46 . 108 Figure A2.54 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 46 . 108 Figure A2.55 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47 . 109 Figure A2.55 ¹⁴ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47 . 110 Figure A2.56 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47 . 110 Figure A2.57 ¹⁶ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 49 . 111 Figure A2.56 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 49 . 112 Figure A2.61 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CdD ₄) of compound 49 . 113 Figure A2.62 NOESY (600 MHz, CdD ₄) of compound 49 . 114 Figure A2.63 ¹⁴ H - ¹⁴ H gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, CdD ₄) of compound 49 . 115 Figure A2.64 ¹⁴ H - ¹⁴ H gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, CdD ₄) of compound 49 . 116 Figure A2.65 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₄) of compound 49 . 116 Figure A2.64 ¹⁴ H - ¹⁴ R GSO MHz, CDCl ₄) of comp	Figure A2.48	$^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl_3) of compound 45$.104
Figure A2.50 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 18. 106 Figure A2.51 11 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 18. 106 Figure A2.52 11 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 46. 107 Figure A2.53 11 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 46. 108 Figure A2.54 12 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47. 109 Figure A2.55 11 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47. 110 Figure A2.56 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 47. 110 Figure A2.57 12 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 49. 111 Figure A2.50 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 49. 111 Figure A2.61 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CMSO-d6) of compound 49. 112 Figure A2.61 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CpD ₄) of compound 49. 114 Figure A2.63 ¹⁴ H- ¹⁴ H gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, CpD ₄) of compound 49. 114 Figure A2.64 ¹⁴ H- ¹⁴ C HSQC NMR (600 MHz, CpD ₄) of compound 49. 115 Figure A2.65 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 49. 116 Figure A2.66 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 49. 116 Figure A2.66 ¹⁴ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compo	Figure A2.49	$^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl_{3}) of compound 18$.105
Figure A2.51 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 46. 106 Figure A2.52 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 46. 107 Figure A2.53 ¹ Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 46. 108 Figure A2.55 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47. 109 Figure A2.55 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47. 110 Figure A2.57 ¹⁷ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47. 110 Figure A2.58 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DSO-d6) of compound 47. 110 Figure A2.60 ¹⁷ C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) of compound 49. 111 Figure A2.61 ¹¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CpD ₄) of compound 49. 112 Figure A2.62 ¹² C NMR (126 MHz, CpD ₄) of compound 49. 113 Figure A2.63 ¹¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CpD ₄) of compound 49. 114 Figure A2.64 ¹¹ H MS (500 MHz, CpD ₄) of compound 49. 115 Figure A2.65 ¹¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CpD ₄) of compound 49. 116 Figure A2.66 ¹¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CpCl ₃) of compound 49. 116 Figure A2.64 ¹¹ H - ¹¹ G CMSQ NMR (600 MHz, CpCl ₃) of compound 49. 118 Figure A2.67 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 50. 118	Figure A2.50	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 18	.106
P_{ij} ure A2.52 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 46	Figure A2.51	¹³ C NMR (126 MHz. CDCl ₃) of compound 18	106
9 Figure A2.53 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 46	Figure A2.52	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 46	.107
P_{gure} A2.54 ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 46	Figure A2.53	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 46	.108
Figure A2.55 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47 . 109 Figure A2.56 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 47 . 110 Figure A2.57 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 49 . 111 Figure A2.58 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) of compound 49 . 111 Figure A2.60 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) of compound 49 . 112 Figure A2.61 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49 . 113 Figure A2.62 NOESY (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49 . 114 Figure A2.63 ¹ H- ¹ H gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49 . 115 Figure A2.64 ¹ H- ¹ H gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49 . 116 Figure A2.64 ¹ H- ¹³ C HSQC NMR (500 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49 . 117 Figure A2.66 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 60 . 118 Figure A2.67 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60 . 118 Figure A2.68 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58 . 120 Figure A2.70 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58 . 120 Figure A2.71 ¹ H- ¹³ C HSQC NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58 . 121 Figure A2.72 ¹ H NMR (500 M	Figure A2.54	13 C NMR (126 MHz. CDCl ₃) of compound 46	108
F_{gure} A2.56 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 47. 110 Figure A2.57 ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47. 110 Figure A2.58 14 NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) of compound 49. 111 Figure A2.60 ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) of compound 49. 112 Figure A2.61 14 NMR (500 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49. 113 Figure A2.62 NOESY (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49. 114 Figure A2.63 1 H - ¹ M gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49. 115 Figure A2.64 1 H- 1 H gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49. 116 Figure A2.64 1 H- 1 H SQC SNMR (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49. 116 Figure A2.65 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60. 117 Figure A2.66 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 60. 118 Figure A2.66 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 58. 119 Figure A2.70 12 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58. 120 Figure A2.71 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 58. 120 Figure A2.72 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 58. 120 Figure A2.73 <td>Figure A2.55</td> <td>¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound 47</td> <td>.109</td>	Figure A2.55	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47	.109
Figure A2.57 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47. 110 Figure A2.58 14 NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) of compound 49. 111 Figure A2.59 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 49. 112 Figure A2.61 14 C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) of compound 49. 113 Figure A2.61 14 H NMR (500 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49. 113 Figure A2.63 14 H - ¹⁴ gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49. 114 Figure A2.63 14 H - ¹⁴ gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49. 116 Figure A2.63 14 H - ¹⁴ gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49. 116 Figure A2.65 14 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60. 117 Figure A2.66 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 60. 118 Figure A2.67 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58. 119 Figure A2.70 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58. 120 Figure A2.71 14 H- ³ C HSQC NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58. 121 Figure A2.73 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 57. 122 Figure A2.74 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67. 123 Figure A2.75	Figure A2.56	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 47	.110
Figure A2.58 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) of compound 49. 111 Figure A2.59 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 49. 112 Figure A2.60 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) of compound 49. 113 Figure A2.61 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49. 113 Figure A2.62 NOESY (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49. 114 Figure A2.63 ¹ H- ¹ H gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49. 115 Figure A2.64 ¹ H- ¹ H gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49. 116 Figure A2.65 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CbCl ₃) of compound 60. 117 Figure A2.66 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 60. 118 Figure A2.69 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 58. 119 Figure A2.69 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 58. 120 Figure A2.70 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58. 120 Figure A2.71 ¹ H- ¹³ C HSQC NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58. 121 Figure A2.72 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67. 122 Figure A2.73 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 67. 123 Figure A2.74 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of com	Figure A2.57	¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 47	.110
Figure A2.59Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 49.112Figure A2.60 13 C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) of compound 49.113Figure A2.61 14 H NMR (500 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49.113Figure A2.62NOESY (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49.114Figure A2.63 1 H- 14 gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49.116Figure A2.64 1 H- 13 C HSQC NMR (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49.116Figure A2.65 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60.117Figure A2.66Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 60.118Figure A2.67 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60.118Figure A2.69Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 58.120Figure A2.70 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58.120Figure A2.71 1 H- 13 C HSQC NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.122Figure A2.72 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.123Figure A2.74 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.123Figure A2.75 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.123Figure A2.76Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 68.124Figure A2.77 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68.125Figure A2.78 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.123Figure A2.79Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 57.126Figure A2.78 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57.126Figure A2.80<	Figure A2.58	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, DMSO-d6) of compound 49	.111
Figure A2.60 13 C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) of compound 49.112Figure A2.61 1 H NMR (500 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49.113Figure A2.62NOESY (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49.114Figure A2.63 1 H- 13 C HSQC NMR (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49.115Figure A2.64 1 H- 13 C HSQC NMR (600 MHz, C ₆ D ₆) of compound 49.116Figure A2.65 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60.117Figure A2.66Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 60.118Figure A2.67 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60.118Figure A2.68 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58.120Figure A2.69Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 58.120Figure A2.70 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.122Figure A2.71 1 H- 13 C HSQC NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.123Figure A2.72 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.123Figure A2.73Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 67.123Figure A2.74 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.123Figure A2.75 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68.124Figure A2.77 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68.125Figure A2.78 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68.125Figure A2.77 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57.126Figure A2.78 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57.127Figure A2.80 13	Figure A2.59	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 49	.112
Figure A2.61 1 H NMR (500 MHz, $C_{6}D_{6}$) of compound 49.113Figure A2.62NOESY (600 MHz, $C_{6}D_{6}$) of compound 49.114Figure A2.63 1 H- 1 H gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, $C_{6}D_{6}$) of compound 49.115Figure A2.64 1 H- 1 GCSY NMR (600 MHz, $C_{6}D_{6}$) of compound 49.116Figure A2.65 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60.117Figure A2.66Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 60.118Figure A2.67 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60.118Figure A2.68 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58.120Figure A2.69Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 58.120Figure A2.70 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58.120Figure A2.71 1 H- 13 C HSQC NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58.121Figure A2.72I H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.122Figure A2.73Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 67.123Figure A2.74 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.123Figure A2.75I H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68.124Figure A2.76Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 68.125Figure A2.78 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68.125Figure A2.78 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.127Figure A2.80 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63.128Figure A2.81Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 68.125Figure A2.82 <td>Figure A2.60</td> <td>¹³C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) of compound 49</td> <td>.112</td>	Figure A2.60	¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, DMSO-d6) of compound 49	.112
Figure A2.62NOESY (600 MHz, C_6D_6) of compound 49 .114Figure A2.63 ${}^{1}H_{-}{}^{1}H$ gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) of compound 49 .115Figure A2.64 ${}^{1}H_{-}{}^{13}C$ HSQC NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) of compound 49 .116Figure A2.65 ${}^{1}H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60 .117Figure A2.66Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 60 .118Figure A2.67 ${}^{13}C$ NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60 .118Figure A2.68 ${}^{1}H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60 .118Figure A2.69Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 58 .120Figure A2.70 ${}^{13}C$ NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58 .120Figure A2.71 ${}^{1}H_{-}{}$ HSQC NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58 .121Figure A2.72 ${}^{1}H_{-}NMR$ (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67 .122Figure A2.73Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 67 .123Figure A2.74 ${}^{13}C$ NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67 .123Figure A2.75 ${}^{1}H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68 .124Figure A2.76Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 68 .125Figure A2.78 ${}^{1}H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68 .125Figure A2.78 ${}^{1}H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57 .126Figure A2.80 ${}^{1}C$ NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57 .127Figure A2.81 ${}^{1}H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57 .126Figure A2.81 ${}^{1}H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃	Figure A2.61	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, C_6D_6) of compound 49	.113
Figure A2.63 1 H- 1 H gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, $C_{6}D_{6}$) of compound 49.115Figure A2.64 1 H- 13 C HSQC NMR (600 MHz, $C_{6}D_{6}$) of compound 49.116Figure A2.65 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60.117Figure A2.66Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 60.118Figure A2.67 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60.118Figure A2.68 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58.119Figure A2.69Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 58.120Figure A2.70 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58.120Figure A2.71 1 H- 13 C HSQC NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58.121Figure A2.72 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.122Figure A2.73Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 67.123Figure A2.74 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.123Figure A2.75I NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.123Figure A2.76Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 68.125Figure A2.75 14 NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68.125Figure A2.76Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 57.126Figure A2.78 14 NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67.127Figure A2.80 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63.128Figure A2.81 14 NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57.127Figure A2.80 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63.128Figure A2.81<	Figure A2.62	NOESY (600 MHz, C_6D_6) of compound 49	.114
Figure A2.64 $^{1}H_{-1^{3}C}$ HSQC NMR (600 MHz, $C_{6}D_{6}$) of compound 49 .116Figure A2.65 ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60 .117Figure A2.66Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 60 .118Figure A2.67 ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60 .118Figure A2.68 ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58 .119Figure A2.69Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 58 .120Figure A2.70 ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58 .120Figure A2.70 ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58 .120Figure A2.71 $^{1}H_{-1^{3}C}$ HSQC NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58 .121Figure A2.72 ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67 .122Figure A2.73Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 67 .123Figure A2.74 ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67 .123Figure A2.74 ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68 .124Figure A2.75 ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68 .125Figure A2.76Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 68 .125Figure A2.78 ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57 .126Figure A2.79Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 57 .127Figure A2.80 ^{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57 .127Figure A2.81 ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57 .127Figure A2.81 ^{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57 .127<	Figure A2.63	$^{1}H^{-1}H$ gCOSY NMR (600 MHz, $C_{6}D_{6}$) of compound 49	.115
Figure A2.65 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60	Figure A2.64	$^{1}H^{-13}C$ HSQC NMR (600 MHz, C_6D_6) of compound 49	.116
Figure A2.66 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 60	Figure A2.65	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60	.117
Figure A2.67 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCI ₃) of compound 60	Figure A2.66	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 60	.118
Figure A2.68 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58	Figure A2.67	13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 60	118
Figure A2.69 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 58	Figure A2.68	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58	.119
Figure A2.70 1 ³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58	Figure A2.69	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 58	120
Figure A2.71 ¹ H- ¹³ C HSQC NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58	Figure A2.70	¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58	120
Figure A2.72 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67 . 122 Figure A2.73 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 67 . 123 Figure A2.74 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67 . 123 Figure A2.75 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68 . 124 Figure A2.76 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 68 . 125 Figure A2.77 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68 . 125 Figure A2.77 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68 . 125 Figure A2.78 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57 . 126 Figure A2.79 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 57 . 127 Figure A2.80 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57 . 127 Figure A2.81 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63 . 128 Figure A2.82 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 63 . 129 Figure A2.83 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63 . 129 Figure A2.84 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63 . 129 Figure A2.83 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63 . 129 Figure A2.84 ¹⁴ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72	Figure A2.71	1 H 13 C HSQC NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 58	121
Figure A2.73 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 67. 123 Figure A2.74 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67. 123 Figure A2.75 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68. 124 Figure A2.76 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 68. 125 Figure A2.77 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68. 125 Figure A2.77 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68. 125 Figure A2.78 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57. 126 Figure A2.79 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 57. 127 Figure A2.80 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57. 127 Figure A2.81 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57. 127 Figure A2.81 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63. 128 Figure A2.82 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 63. 129 Figure A2.83 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63. 129 Figure A2.84 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63. 129 Figure A2.85 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 63. 129 Figure A2.84 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72. 130	Figure A2.72	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67	.122
Figure A2.74 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67	Figure A2.73	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 67	.123
Figure A2.75 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68. 124 Figure A2.76 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 68. 125 Figure A2.77 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68. 125 Figure A2.78 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68. 126 Figure A2.79 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 57. 126 Figure A2.80 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57. 127 Figure A2.80 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57. 127 Figure A2.80 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57. 127 Figure A2.81 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63. 128 Figure A2.82 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 63. 129 Figure A2.83 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63. 129 Figure A2.84 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63. 129 Figure A2.84 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72. 130 Figure A2.85 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 72. 131 Figure A2.86 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72. 131 Figure A2.86 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72. 131 <	Figure A2.74	¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 67	.123
Figure A2.76Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 68.125Figure A2.77 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68.125Figure A2.78 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57.126Figure A2.79Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 57.127Figure A2.80 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57.127Figure A2.81 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57.127Figure A2.81 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63.128Figure A2.82Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 63.129Figure A2.83 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63.129Figure A2.84 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72.130Figure A2.85Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 72.131Figure A2.86 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72.131	Figure A2.75	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68	.124
Figure A2.77 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68 .125Figure A2.78 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57 .126Figure A2.79Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 57 .127Figure A2.80 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57 .127Figure A2.81 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63 .128Figure A2.82Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 63 .129Figure A2.83 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63 .129Figure A2.84 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63 .129Figure A2.84 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72 .130Figure A2.85Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 72 .131Figure A2.86 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72 .131	Figure A2.76	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 68	.125
Figure A2.78 ${}^{1}H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57	Figure A2.77	13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 68	.125
Figure A2.79Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 57.127Figure A2.80 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57.127Figure A2.81 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63.128Figure A2.82Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 63.129Figure A2.83 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63.129Figure A2.84 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63.129Figure A2.84 1 H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72.130Figure A2.85Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 72.131Figure A2.86 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72.131	Figure A2.78	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57	.126
Figure A2.80 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57	Figure A2.79	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 57	.127
Figure A2.81 ${}^{1}H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63	Figure A2.80	¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 57	.127
Figure A2.82 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 63	Figure A2.81	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63	.128
Figure A2.83 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63	Figure A2.82	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 63	.129
Figure A2.84 ¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72	Figure A2.83	13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 63	.129
Figure A2.85Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 72	Figure A2.84	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72	.130
Figure A2.86 ¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72 131	Figure A2.85	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 72	.131
	Figure A2.86	¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 72	.131

Figure A2.87	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 73	.132
Figure A2.88	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 73	.133
Figure A2.89	¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 73	133
Figure A2.90	¹ H– ¹³ C HSQC NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 73	134

CHAPTER 2

Acyl-Amination of Arenes via Aryne Formation

Figure 2.2.1	Camps Cyclization of	f Insertion Products to Pro	ovide Quinolones	
--------------	----------------------	-----------------------------	------------------	--

APPENDIX 4

Spectra Relevant to Chapter 2: Acyl-Amination of Arenes via Aryne Formation

Figure A4.1	¹ <i>H</i> NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 109	175
Figure A4.2	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 109	176
Figure A4.3	¹³ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 109	
Figure A4.4	¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 112	
Figure A4.5	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 112	178
Figure A4.6	¹³ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 112	
Figure A4.7	¹ <i>H</i> NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 104c	
Figure A4.8	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 104c	
Figure A4.9	¹³ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 104c	
Figure A4.10	¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 104d	
Figure A4.11	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 104d	
Figure A4.12	¹³ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 104d	
Figure A4.13	¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 104e	
Figure A4.14	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 104e	184
Figure A4.15	¹³ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 104e	
Figure A4.16	¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 106a	
Figure A4.17	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 106a	
Figure A4.18	¹³ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 106a	186
Figure A4.19	¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 106b	
Figure A4.20	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 106b	
Figure A4.21	¹³ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 106b	
Figure A4.22	¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 106c	
Figure A4.23	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 106c	
Figure A4.24	¹³ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 106c	
Figure A4.25	¹ H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 106d	
Figure A4.26	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 106d	
Figure A4.27	¹³ C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 106d	192
Figure A4.28	¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 107c	
Figure A4.29	Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 107c	
Figure A4.30	¹³ C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 107c	194
Figure A4.31	¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 107a	
Figure A4.32	¹ H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl ₃) of compound 107b	196

LIST OF SCHEMES

CHAPTER 1

Evolving Strategies Toward the Synthesis of Curcusone C

Scheme 1.1.1.	Previous Synthetic Efforts by the Dai Group	3
Scheme 1.1.2.	Retrosynthetic Analysis of ent-Curcusone C (ent-3) via Rearrangement	4
Scheme 1.1.3.	Proposed Divinylcyclopropane Rearrangement of 23	5
Scheme 1.2.1.	1 st Generation Synthesis of Diazo 34	6
Scheme 1.2.2.	Undesired hetero-Diels-Alder of enone 27	6
Scheme 1.2.3.	2 nd Generation Approach toward ent- 1–4	8
Scheme 1.2.4.	3 rd Generation Assembly of Bicycle 19	10
Scheme 1.2.5.	3 rd Generation Synthesis of Divinylcyclopropane 47	11
Scheme 1.2.6.	Plausible Decomposition Pathway of Diazo 18	11
Scheme 1.2.7.	Construction of Tricycle 49 by Lactone Opening and Rearrangement	12
Scheme 1.2.8.	Envisioned Oxidative Cleavage Sequence on Diol 49	12
Scheme 1.3.1.	2 nd Generation Retrosynthesis of 3	14
Scheme 1.3.2.	Synthesis of Coupling Partners 59 and 60	15
Scheme 1.3.3.	Proposed Mechanism for Reductive Cross-Coupling	17
Scheme 1.3.4.	Further Optimization of the Reductive Coupling on Multigram Scale	17
Scheme 1.3.5.	Preparation of Stetter Precursor 57	18
Scheme 1.3.6.	Unsuccessful Ring Expansion of Ene-dione 70	20
Scheme 1.3.7.	Construction of Tricycle 73 via an RCM Approach	21
Scheme 1.4.1.	Divergent Oxidation Strategies to Form Ene-dione 81	23
Scheme 1.4.2.	Divergent Advancement of 81 to Curcusones A–D	24

CHAPTER 2

Acyl-Amination of Arenes via Aryne Formation

Scheme 2.1.1.	Classical Preparative Procedures for Benzyne (91)	.154
Scheme 2.1.2.	Aryne Insertion Methods	.155
Scheme 2.1.3.	Derivatization of Aryl Ketoamides 97	155
Scheme 2.2.1.	Plausible Mechanism for Formation of Desired 102 and Byproduct 103	156

LIST OF TABLES

CHAPTER 1

Evolving Strategies Toward the Synthesis of Curcusone C

<i>Table 1.2.1.</i>	Unsuccessful Cyclopropanation of 34	7
<i>Table 1.2.2.</i>	Unsuccessful Attempts to Oxidize Tricycle 49	13
<i>Table 1.3.1.</i>	Initial Optimization of the Cross-Electrophile Coupling	16
Table 1.3.2.	Optimization of the Catalytic Stetter Reaction on Ketoaldehyde 57	19

CHAPTER 2

Acyl-Amination of Arenes via Aryne Formation

<i>Table 2.2.1.</i>	Reaction Optimization	156
<i>Table 2.2.2.</i>	Imide Substrate Scope	157
<i>Table 2.2.3.</i>	Aryne Substrate Scope	158

LIST OF ABBREVIATIONS

Å	Ångstrom
[α] _D	specific rotation at wavelength of sodium D line
[H]	reduction
[0]	oxidation
Ac	acetyl
acac	acetylacetonate
Anal.	combustion elemental analysis
APCI	atmospheric pressure chemical ionization
app	apparent
aq	aqueous
AIBN	2,2'-azobisisobutyronitrile
Ar	aryl
atm	atmosphere
Bn	benzyl
BOX	bisoxazoline
bp	boiling point
br	broad
Bu	butyl
<i>i</i> -Bu	iso-butyl
<i>n</i> -Bu	butyl
<i>t</i> -Bu	<i>tert</i> -butyl

Bz	benzoyl
С	concentration for specific rotation measurements
°C	degrees Celsius
ca.	circa
calc'd	calculated
CAN	ceric ammonium nitrate
cat	catalytic
Cbz	carbobenzyloxy
CI	chemical ionization
cm ⁻¹	wavenumber(s)
Ср	cyclopentadienyl
Су	cyclohexyl
d	doublet
D	deuterium
dba	dibenzylideneacetone
DBU	1,8-diazabicyclo[5.4.0]undec-7-ene
DCC	N,N'-dicyclohexylcarbodiimide
DCE	dichloroethane
DCM	dichloromethane
decomp	decomposition
DIBAL	diisobutylaluminum hydride
DMAP	4-dimethylaminopyridine
dmdba	bis(3,5-dimethoxybenzylidene)acetone

DMF	N,N-dimethylformamide
DMSO	dimethyl sulfoxide
dr	diastereomeric ratio
ee	enantiomeric excess
EI	electron impact
e.g.	exempli gratia
equiv	equivalent
ESI	electrospray ionization
exp	experimental
FAB	fast atom bombardment
FID	flame ionization detector
g	gram(s)
GC	gas chromatography
gCOSY	gradient-selected correlation spectroscopy
h	hour(s)
HMDS	1,1,1,3,3,3-hexamethyldisilazane
HMPA	hexamethylphosphoramide
HPLC	high-performance liquid chromatography
HSQC	heteronuclear single quantum coherence
hv	light
Hz	hertz
IBX	2-iodobenzoic acid
IC ₅₀	median inhibition concentration (50%)

<i>i</i> -Pr	iso-propyl
IR	infrared (spectroscopy)
J	coupling constant
JohnPhos	(2-Biphenyl)di-tert-butylphosphine
kcal	kilocalorie
KHMDS	potassium hexamethyldisilazide
1	wavelength
L	liter, ligand
LDA	lithium hexamethyldisilazide
lit.	literature value
m	multiplet; milli
т	meta
m/z	mass to charge ratio
М	metal; molar; molecular ion
<i>m</i> -CPBA	meta-chloroperbenzoic acid
Me	methyl
MHz	megahertz
min	minute(s)
μ	micro
MM	mixed method
mol	mole(s)
MOM	methoxymethyl

id est

i.e.

mp	melting point
Ms	methanesulfonyl (mesyl)
MS	molecular sieves
n	nano
nbd	norbornadiene
NBS	N-bromosuccinimide
NMO	N-methylmorpholine N-oxide
NMR	nuclear magnetic resonance
NOE	nuclear Overhauser effect
NOESY	nuclear Overhauser enhancement spectroscopy
Nu	nucleophile
0	ortho
р	para
PCC	pyridinium chlorochromate
PDC	pyridinium dichromate
Ph	phenyl
рН	hydrogen ion concentration in aqueous solution
PhH	benzene
PhMe	toluene
Pin	pinacol
p <i>K</i> a	pK for association of an acid
PMB	<i>p</i> -methoxybenzyl
ppm	parts per million

PPTS	pyridinium <i>p</i> -toluenesulfonate
Pr	propyl
Ру	pyridine
q	quartet
ref	reference
R	generic for any atom or functional group
rt	room temperature
S	singlet or strong or selectivity factor
sat.	saturated
S _N 2	second-order nucleophilic substitution
sp.	species
t	triplet
TBAF	tetrabutylammonium fluoride
TBHP	tert-butyl hydroperoxide
TBS	tert-butyldimethylsilyl
TBSal	(6Z)-6-[(tert-butylamino)methylidene]cyclohexa-2,4-dien-1-one
TES	triethylsilyl
Tf	trifluoromethanesulfonyl (triflyl)
TFA	trifluoroacetic acid
THF	tetrahydrofuran
TIPS	triisopropylsilyl
TLC	thin-layer chromatography
TMEDA	N,N,N',N'-tetramethylethylenediamine

TMS	trimethylsilyl
TOF	time-of-flight
Tol	tolyl
Ts	<i>p</i> -toluenesulfonyl (tosyl)
UV	ultraviolet
<i>v/v</i>	volume to volume
W	weak
Х	anionic ligand or halide
Xyl	xylyl

CHAPTER 1

Evolving Strategies Toward the Synthesis of Curcusone Cⁱ

1.1 INTRODUCTION AND ALTERNATE SYNTHETIC STRATEGIES

1.1.1 INTRODUCTION

Indigenous to Central America, the flowering plant *Jatropha curcas* has traditionally been used in the manufacturing of soaps and lamp oil but has also drawn attention due to its potential applications in the biodiesel industry.¹ *J. curcas* has also received notice by organic chemists owing to its structurally diverse array of diterpenoid secondary metabolites, which includes the curcusone

⁽i) This research was a collaborative effort between A. C. Wright and C. W. Lee, see: reference 6, reference 19, and reference 20.

family of natural products. This family comprises various synthetically challenging and biologically active rhamnofolane diterpenoid natural products. In 1986, Naengchomnong and coworkers first isolated curcusones A–D (1–4, Figure 1.1.1) and elucidated the structures of **2** and **3** via X-ray diffraction.² Over the ensuing three decades, several more members of this family were structurally identified and were further discovered to possess anticancer activity.³ Among them, curcusone C (**3**) demonstrates the most potent and varied anticancer properties, including antiproliferative activity against human hepatoma (IC₅₀ in 2.17 uM), ovarian carcinoma (IC₅₀ in 0.160 uM), and promyeolycytic leukemia (IC₅₀ in 1.36 uM).²

Figure 1.1.1. Reported Structures of Curcusones A–J



Despite these enticing biological features, **3** and all of its structural relatives have yet to surrender to any total synthesis campaigns. It is worthwhile to note that the Dai lab recently reported a synthesis of racemic oxo-bridged **5** and **6** over 21 steps (Scheme 1.1.1). Thus, they were able to elaborate known propargyl ether **7** to allene **8** over 3 steps. In the presence of a Au(I) catalyst, **8** undergoes a cascade sequence to deliver oxo-bridged **10** via transient furan **9**. They were further able to construct the eastern carbocyclic ring over 14 steps, providing late-stage *exo*-

methylene 14 via Diels–Alder adduct 13. Lastly, they advanced 14 via α -methylation, desilylation, and oxidation, furnishing the reported structures of curcusones I (5) and J (6) in modest yields. Unfortunately, the stereochemistry of both of these putative natural product structures were found to have been incorrectly assigned by NMR.^{4,5} We do not anticipate these issues with 3, as its structure has been unambiguously determined by X-ray crystallography.²

Scheme 1.1.1. Previous Synthetic Efforts by the Dai Group



1.1.2 FIRST RETROSYNTHETIC ANALYSIS: DIVINYLCYCLOPROPANE REARRANGEMENT

After attempting several strategically related routes, our retrosynthesis eventually proposed that a late-stage α -functionalization would permit a divergent approach to the enantiomeric series of curcusones A–D (Scheme 1.1.2). As such, we envisioned performing an α -functionalization, oxidation, and olefination of silvl ether **15** (highlighted in red). The ene-dione moiety of **15** may be derived from ketoalcohol **16** by means of alcohol oxidation and acid- or base-promoted olefin

migration. The central seven-membered ring present in 16 could be assembled by a stereospecific divinylcyclopropane rearrangement and subsequent oxidative cleavage of hydroxymethylated cyclopropane 17. We predicted that the cyclopropane moiety in 17 might be installed via intramolecular π -bond-cyclopropanation of a metallocarbenoid derived from diazo ketoester 18 followed by methylenation and reductive lactone opening. The diazo oxobutanoyl functionality in 18 (highlighted in red) could be incorporated by acylation and diazo transfer of alcohol 19. Bicycle 19 may be accessed by a Suzuki cross-coupling of monocyclic fragments (+)-20 and 21, both of which could be derived from commercial materials.





1.1.3 DIVINYLCYCLOPROPANE REARRANGEMENT

At the outset of our synthetic studies, we planned to incorporate the requisite *exo*-methylene of **3** prior to cross-coupling, affording desired cyclopropane **23** through intermediate diazo **22** (Scheme 1.1.3). Crucial to the success of our synthesis was a divinylcyclopropane rearrangement

to establish the structurally challenging central seven-membered ring. We presumed that this process would occur through a concerted Cope rearrangement via *endo* boat transition state **24**, providing tricycle **25** in a stereospecific fashion as dictated by the stereochemistry at C5 of **23**.

Scheme 1.1.3. Proposed Divinylcyclopropane Rearrangement of 23



1.2 FIRST GENERATION APPROACH

1.2.1 LIMONENE OXIDE ROUTE

Upon first validating our route via model studies,⁶ we next set out to deploy our technology on the actual system. We envisioned that the requisite bicycle **31** could be derived from a Suzuki coupling of boronate (–)-**20** and vinyl triflate **28** (Scheme 1.2.1). To this end, commercially available limonene oxide (**26**) was exposed to base-induced eliminative epoxide opening to provide an allylic alcohol, which could be further subjected to DMP oxidation⁷ to afford enone **27** as well as an undesired hetero-Diels–Alder adduct (Scheme 1.2.2, highlighted in red). Following careful optimization, we eventually found that **27** could be elaborated to enol triflate **28** in satisfactory yields using KHMDS and Comins reagent.⁸ Meanwhile, boronate partner (–)-**20** was

assembled from known vinyl bromide (–)- 30^{9} via CBS reduction, alcohol protection, and *O*-silylation. Pleasingly, Suzuki coupling of fragments (–)-20 and 28 delivered requisite bicyclic diene 31, which upon silyl deprotection, acetoacetylation, and diazo transfer delivered annulation precursor 34 via α -ketoester 33.

Scheme 1.2.1. 1st Generation Synthesis of Diazo 34



Scheme 1.2.2. Undesired Hetero-Diels-Alder of Enone 27



With diazo 34 in hand, we next investigated the critical cyclopropanation step (Table 1.2.1). Unfortunately, all attempts to advance 34 to 35 resulted in decomposition (Entries 1–3), a complex mixture of byproducts (Entry 4), or simply no reactivity (Entry 5). These combined issues necessitated a revision of our synthetic route. Given the success of our model system⁶ toward similar cyclopropanation conditions, we speculated that the inability to execute this transformation on diazo 34 might be due to subtle stereoelectronic influences imparted by the presence of the proximal *exo*-methylene group (highlighted in red). In particular, the *exo*-methylene group may pose modest steric constraints on the system, preventing facile metallocarbenoid formation and subsequent cyclopropanation. It might also electronically deactivate the highly conjugated π system toward cyclopropanation, encouraging an unwanted decomposition pathway. In light of this, we instead chose to install a ketone functionality, which we suspected could be elaborated to the corresponding *exo*-methylene upon olefination. We eventually deduced that we could accomplish this by instead assembling the 6-membered-ring fragment from (*S*)-perillaldehyde.

Table 1.2.1. Unsuccessful Cyclopropanation of 34



a Reaction conditions: catalyst (5 mol %), solvent (0.05 M). Beaction conditions: catalyst (10 mol %), solvent (0.05 M)

1.2.2 PERILLALDEHYDE ROUTE

Now targeting the enantiomeric series of curcusones A–D via coupling of enone fragment **38**, we assembled cyclohexenone **37** over three steps from (*S*)-perillaldehyde (**36**, Scheme 1.2.3) according to known methods.¹⁰ An ensuing α -iodination of **37** using I₂ and pyridine provided desired iodoenone **38**, which itself could undergo the anticipated Suzuki coupling with boronate (+)-**20**¹¹ to furnish corresponding bicycle **39** in good yield. Silyl ether **39** could be advanced to α -ketoester **40** without event following deprotection and transacylation with diketene (**32**). Although the vital base-mediated diazo transfer and cyclopropanation sequence gratifyingly furnished desired enone **41**, significant amounts of unwanted olefin isomer **42** were also observed. Unfortunately, all efforts to perform a subsequent double olefination on the two ketones of **41** (highlighted in red) failed, prompting us to again reassess our route.

Scheme 1.2.3. 2nd Generation Approach Toward ent-1–4



Considering the undesired isomerization pathways facilitated by the presence of the γ proton in enone **38** (Figure 1.2.1, highlighted in red), we finally decided to instead target a 1,2-reduced

and protected analogue of enone 38 (i.e., silvl allyl ether 21, Scheme 1.2.4). This route commenced with bromination of 37 to afford bromoenone 43, which could be non-selectively reduced in a 1,2fashion and O-silvlated to produce desired *cis* epimer 21 along with the undesired *trans* epimer in roughly equal portions. It is worthwhile to note that the thermodynamically¹² and kinetically¹³ favored *trans* epimer was also investigated as a potential synthetic intermediate but was eventually found to be totally uncooperative toward cyclopropanation conditions due to exclusive decomposition. Moving forward, the redundancy of silvl protecting groups in both coupling partners motivated us to attempt deprotecting the boronate fragment prior to cross coupling. Unfortunately, after an exhaustive screening we found no silvl deprotection conditions that could accommodate the presence of the base- and acid-sensitive boronate functionality. Other protecting groups were also investigated with minimal success.¹⁴ Interestingly, over the course of our efforts we eventually discovered that a cyclopentenol protection strategy could be avoided altogether by performing an unconventional double lithiation/boronate trapping procedure on (+)-30 with pinacolborane to provide allyl alcohol 44. With revised boronate 44 and bromide 21 in our possession, we next explored the crucial Suzuki coupling. Gratifyingly, standard coupling

conditions afforded bicyclic alcohol 19 in good yield.

Figure 1.2.1. Synthetic Evolution of Cyclohexene Coupling Fragments



Scheme 1.2.4. 3rd Generation Assembly of Bicycle 19



As expected, bicycle **19** could be readily advanced to cyclopropanation precursor **18** following esterification and diazo transfer (Scheme 1.2.5). We were further pleased to find that exposure of diazo **18** to previously optimized cyclopropanation conditions did indeed afford annulated product **46**, albeit only on small scales (i.e., 20 mg or less) and with substantial portions of an unwanted byproduct.¹⁵ The sole byproduct of cyclopropanation encountered during our synthetic studies was identified as ketone **48**, whose formation may be explained by the radical-based fragmentation pathway described below (Scheme 1.2.6). However, we cannot rule out an alternative mechanism following intramolecular C–H insertion of a transient carbenoid to instead afford a β -lactone, which upon base-induced lactone opening and fragmentation would also produce ketone **48**.¹⁶ With provision of cyclopropane **46**, we next aimed to append the second vinyl tether. After extensive studies, we discovered that methylenation of the ketone in **46** to olefin **47** could be achieved under Kauffmann olefination conditions,¹⁷ thereby finally establishing the essential divinylcyclopropane system.

Scheme 1.2.5. 3rd Generation Synthesis of Divinylcyclopropane **47**



Scheme 1.2.6. Plausible Decomposition Pathway of Diazo 18



Upon accessing divinylated intermediate **47**, we set out to induce the pivotal rearrangement. To this end, reductive opening of the butyrolactone moiety of **47** provided envisioned diol **17** along with minor amounts of desired rearrangement product **49** (Scheme 1.2.7). Fortuitously, we found that this crude product mixture smoothly underwent the desired divinylcyclopropane rearrangement upon gentle heating to provide tricycle **49**, possessing the carbocyclic skeleton embedded in each of the curcusones.



SCHEME 1.2.7. Construction of Tricycle 49 by Lactone Opening and Rearrangement

With the 5–7–6 carbon skeleton finally in hand, we were eager to elaborate diol **49** to ketoacid **50** via chemoselective oxidation of the primary alcohol (Scheme 1.2.8). In particular, we suspected that acid **50** may itself undergo the crucial oxidative cleavage via conversion to an acid chloride and subsequent carboxy-inversion and hydrolysis, delivering desired β , γ -unsaturated ketone **51**.¹⁸

Scheme 1.2.8. Envisioned Oxidative Cleavage Sequence on Diol 49



With an endgame strategy in mind, we were eager to initiate studies by performing a chemoselective oxidation of the primary alcohol of **49** (Table 1.2.2). We were however disappointed to find that all attempts to advance **49** to partially oxidized aldehyde **52** have thus far led to rapid decomposition, likely due to the exceptional instability of **49** toward oxidative conditions. Eventually, the combined difficulties posed by this substrate instability and by the prohibitively scale-dependent cyclopropanation step motivated us to embark on an entirely new route, which was since found to hinge on an RCM approach to assemble the central seven-membered ring.¹⁹



Table 1.2.2. Unsuccessful Attempts to Oxidize Tricycle 49

1.3 SECOND GENERATION APPROACH

1.3.1 SECOND RETROSYNTHETIC ANALYSIS: CROSS-ELECTROPHILE COUPLING

Although we had initially aimed to construct the central seven-membered ring motif in 1–4 via a divinylcyclopropane rearrangement,²⁰ we found this route intractable due to the poor scalability of the key cyclopropanation step and to the oxidative instability of the frontier material. In a 2nd generation route, we envisioned that curcusone C and its structural relatives could be divergently assembled by α -functionalization of tricycle **53** (Scheme 1.3.1). The 2-methylcycloheptadienone moiety embedded in **53** may be derived from methylation and olefin migration of corresponding diosphenol intermediate **54**, which itself might be prepared by sequential dehydrogenation and oxygenation of 1,4-dione **55**. The central seven-membered ring found in **55** could be constructed via a one-carbon ring expansion of **56**. We suspected that the resulting six-membered ring in **56** may be installed by an intramolecular Stetter reaction of ketoaldehyde **57**. Intermediate **57** may itself be accessible by reduction and ketal cleavage of ester **58**. The carbon–carbon bond bridging the five- and six-membered ring systems of **58** could be

forged by a crucial cross-electrophile coupling of monocyclic bromide **59** and triflate **60**, which we envisioned could themselves each be accessed over only two steps from commercially available compounds.





1.3.2 CROSS-ELECTROPHILE COUPLING

To achieve our first goal of constructing bicycle **58**, we set out to perform a reductive crosscoupling of electrophiles **59** and **60**. To this end, we synthesized vinyl triflate **60** by a two-step sequence involving a known α -alkylation of (*R*)-carvone²¹ (**65**, Scheme 1.3.2, *vide infra*) followed by conjugate reduction of intermediate enone **66** and trapping of the resulting enolate with an electrophilic triflating agent. Numerous other carbon-based halide electrophiles such as dibromide **61**, β -bromoester **62**, and allyl iodide **63** were examined while exploring the critical α -alkylation step, but all unfortunately provided unsatisfactory yields and poor diastereoselectivities (Figure 1.3.1). Meanwhile, we found that bromide coupling partner **59** could be rapidly assembled in two steps according to known methods.²² Figure 1.3.1. Uncooperative Halide Electrophiles Studied for the α -Alkylation of 65



Scheme 1.3.2. Synthesis of Coupling Partners 59 and 60



With both coupling partners now in hand, we directed our attention to the crucial crosscoupling step. Although we had initially intended to join the monocyclic fragments via traditional nucleophile–electrophile coupling approaches, we were dismayed to find that these strategies all failed to afford any product. Inspired by pioneering research from the Weix group²³ and our colleagues in the Reisman lab,²⁴ we decided to instead employ a reductive coupling strategy using a dual Pd/Ni catalytic system. To our delight, this system offered modest amounts of desired bicycle **58**, albeit with rapid decomposition of bromide **59** (Table 1.3.1, Entry 1). Control experiments omitting either the Pd or Ni catalysts resulted in no detectable product formation (Entries 2 and 3), revealing that both transition metals were indeed crucial to the reaction. Adjusting the choice of solvent (Entry 4), metal halide additive (Entries 5 and 6) and terminal reducing agent (Entry 7)²⁵ offered no improvement in yields. Increasing the equivalents of bromide **59** slightly benefitted product formation (Entry 8), likely due to the instability of **59** under the
reaction conditions. Gratifyingly, syringe pump addition of **59** over several hours resulted in markedly better yields with minimal substrate decomposition (Entry 9). We suspect this boost in yield is due to the consistently low concentration of **59** in solution, which might discourage undesirable hydrodehalogenation and homodimerization pathways.²⁶

0 	$ \begin{array}{c} TfO \\ EtO_2C \\ \hline \\ 60 \end{array} \begin{array}{c} NiBr_2 \cdot diglyme (7 \text{ mol }\%) \\ \hline PdCl_2(PPh_3)_2 (7 \text{ mol }\%) \\ Zn \text{ powder, KF} \\ DMF, 85 ^{\circ}C, 12 \text{ h} \end{array} $	
Entry	Deviation from standard procedure	Result
1	none	23% yield
2	No Pd	no product
3	No Ni	no product
4	dioxane instead of DMF	no product
5	Nal instead of KF	trace <i>58</i>
6	no KF	trace <i>58</i>
7	TDAE* instead of Zn	no product
8	4 equiv of <i>59</i>	32% yield
9	syringe pump addition of 59	60% yield
	valia/dimethylomine)athylone	

Table 1.3.1. Initial Optimization of the Cross-Electrophile Coupling

*TDAE = tetrakis(dimethylamino)ethylene

Mechanistically, the reductive coupling is thought to commence with reduction of the Ni(II) and Pd(II) pre-catalysts. Next, a chemoselective oxidative addition of the active Pd(0) and Ni(0) catalysts will take place with the respective triflate and bromide partners (Scheme 1.3.3). Based on recent studies from the Weix group,²⁷ a subsequent transmetallation from Ni to Zn is thought to provide a transient organozinc species, which itself will undergo a second transmetallation to Pd to deliver a di-organopalladium species and a Zn(II) salt byproduct. Following this, reductive elimination of the Pd(II) intermediate furnishes the coupled product and regenerates the active Pd(0) catalyst. Meanwhile, reduction of the resultant Ni(II) salt with a stoichiometric Zn(0) reductant will turn over the Ni(0) catalyst. It is worthwhile to note that although we have so far

found no empirical evidence to suggest that the catalytic cycle of Ni occurs through single-electron insertion process, such a pathway cannot be definitively ruled out.





Upon scale-up, yields for this coupling process proved somewhat inconsistent due to a precipitous drop in reaction rates after roughly 50% conversion of triflate **60**. We hypothesized this to be caused by competitive coordination of the Lewis acidic Zn(II) byproduct to the essential fluoride additive, which serves as a bridging ligand during one or both of the proposed transmetallation events. In order to reverse this undesirable effect, we introduced ZnF_2 to the reaction and were pleased to find that this co-additive benefited both reproducibility and scalability, allowing us to reliably achieve 62–67% yields on multigram scale (Scheme 1.3.4). In stark contrast, simply increasing the stoichiometry of KF in the reaction generally lowered yields.

Scheme 1.3.4. Further Optimization of the Reductive Coupling on Multigram Scale



1.3.3 CONSTRUCTION OF THE SEVEN-MEMBERED RING BY RCM

With sufficient quantities of bicycle **58** now in hand, we focused our attention on the formation of the central seven-membered ring. The 1,4-dione synthon in targets **1–4** enticed us to pursue an umpolung Stetter disconnection. Although we initially planned to construct the seven-membered ring via one-carbon homologation of the carbonyl tether followed by Stetter annulation, all strategies relying on Wittig–Levine alkoxymethylenation,²⁸ α -alkoxycarbanion addition²⁹ and Van Leusen cyanation³⁰ offered either no reactivity or only trace product. As such, we instead envisioned performing a ring expansion³¹ of corresponding Stetter adduct **56** (Scheme 1.3.1, *vide supra*). Thus, we eventually found that ester **58** could be readily elaborated to Stetter precursor **57** by means of Weinreb amidation, partial reduction with DIBAL, and acid-promoted ketal cleavage (Scheme 1.3.5).

Scheme 1.3.5. Preparation of Stetter Precursor 57



Now having rapid access to ketoaldehyde **57**, we explored the crucial Stetter annulation (Table 1.3.2). Fortuitously, exposure of **57** to NHC scaffold **69** in the presence of DBU did indeed furnish tricycle **56** in low yield and as a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers (Entry 1).³², Although the absolute stereochemistry of the two diastereomers could not be unambiguously elucidated, a comparison of

the relevant *J* values in the ¹H spectrum suggests that they possess the same relative stereochemistry at the 5–6 junction. Substitution of DBU with a metallo-base such as LiHMDS resulted primarily in 1,2-adduct formation (Entry 2),³³ likely due to the high oxophilicity of the lithium counter-cation. Altering the choice of catalyst only modestly improved yields but dramatically enhanced dr (Entry 3). Depression of temperature or catalyst loading resulted in sluggish reactivity and only modest improvements in dr (Entry 4). Eventually, a compromise was struck between yield and diastereoselectivity using 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine (TMG, highlighted in blue) as the catalytic base (Entry 5), which provided **56** in fair yield and in 2:1 dr. To the best of our knowledge, this is the first instance of TMG conferring optimal yields and dr in an NHC-catalyzed Stetter reaction.





*Relative stereochemistry at the 5–6 juncture was identified by ¹H NMR, however the absolute stereochemistry could not be determined.

With the 5–6–6 tricyclic core now installed, we next aimed to effect a one-carbon expansion of the central ring in **56**. Unfortunately, all attempts to perform nucleophilic addition into either

ketone moiety resulted in no reactivity, perhaps due to competing enolate formation. We eventually discovered that prolonged exposure of the diastereomeric mixture of **56** to strong acid resulted in a gradual olefin migration to stereoconvergently provide **70**, bearing the requisite enedione motif found in curcusones A–D (Scheme 1.3.6). Unfortunately, all attempts to expand the central ring of **70** to cycloheptenone **71** also failed, motivating us to reconsider our synthetic approach to the construction of the seven-membered ring.

Scheme 1.3.6. Unsuccessful Ring Expansion of Ene-dione 70



In a revised approach, we anticipated that the central ring might instead arise from a ringclosing metathesis (RCM) strategy. Thus, we conducted a one-pot olefination/ketal deprotection on common intermediate **68** to provide enone **72**, possessing the first necessary olefin tether (Scheme 1.3.7). We first planned to install the second olefin tether by means of Cu-mediated 1,4addition to the enone of **72**, but all attempts to effect this transformation failed. To sidestep this roadblock, we instead chose to exploit a latent element of rotational symmetry in the cyclopentenone moiety. As such, we performed a sequential 1,2-vinylation of **72** to afford an intermediate *bis*-allylic alcohol, which upon Babler–Dauben oxidative rearrangement³⁴ by the minor amounts of a chromatographically inseparable aldehyde isomer.³⁵ We were delighted to find that exposure of semi-crude RCM precursor to catalytic HGII smoothly delivered desired tricycle **73**, thereby providing rapid access to the carbocyclic core of curcusones A–D. We were incidentally pleased to find that the isopropenyl group in **73** (highlighted in red) proved to be inert toward RCM conditions, ensuring high topological selectivity for the desired ring closure. Interestingly, the crystal structure of **73** reveals that one of the double bonds (highlighted in purple) prefers to lie out of conjugation with the remainder of the π system, likely due to the considerable strain it imposes on the central ring.





1.4 ENDGAME STRATEGIES

Now having the carbocyclic skeleton of targets 1–4 in our possession, we directed our efforts toward completing their respective syntheses. Considering the apparent coplanarity of the dienone framework of crystal structure **73** (Scheme 1.3.7, *vide supra*), we next aimed to install the second ketone motif in the seven-membered ring by leveraging the heightened electrophilicity at the γ - δ

In light of our inability to directly oxygenate the desired olefin, we instead turned toward multi-step approaches such as hydroboration-oxidation chemistry to provide allylic alcohol 75, as well as organocuprate addition to deliver methylated 74 (Scheme 1.4.1). Unfortunately, neither of these approaches proved fruitful due to a continued lack of reactivity at the desired olefin. To date, the only productive chemistry on recalcitrant substrate 73 was achieved by conjugate reduction with L-Selectride to provide putative enolate intermediate 76 as determined by proton quenching studies.³⁷ As such, our future plans will consist of attempting to trap out enolate 76 with an electrophilic reagent. Unfortunately, 76 has so far proven unreactive toward trapping with Nchlorosuccinimide and Davis oxaziridine 77,³⁸ presumably due to the stability conferred on the enolate by its extensive conjugation. However, there are several highly activated analogues³⁹ of 77 which may prove reactive toward 76. Assuming this oxidation event occurs, additional concerns of regioselectivity may arise owing to the three potentially nucleophilic sites in 76. In the event that oxidation occurs α to the enolate 76 (highlighted in purple), α -ketoalcohol 78 intermediate will arise. Subsequent exposure of 78 to oxidative transposition conditions with PDC should deliver ene-dione 81.³⁴ Alternatively, if oxidation occurs at the desired position of 76 (highlighted in blue), further oxidation of the resulting allylic alcohol should also furnish 81. In the unlikely event that oxidation instead occurs at the most sterically and electronically deactivated position of 76 (highlighted in red), we will instead advance resultant tertiary alcohol 79 to intermediate 80 via electrophilic epoxidation. A Markovnikov reductive epoxide opening of 80 with Cp₂TiCl⁴⁰ and subsequent alcohol oxidation with concomitant δ hydroxide elimination will again provide common intermediate **81**.

Scheme 1.4.1. Divergent Oxidation Strategies to Form Ene-dione 81



We suspect that the regioselectivity of a subsequent α -functionalization will be entirely dependent on the relative kinetic acidity of the protons α to both ketones (highlighted in blue and red). In the event that the cyclopentenone is more kinetically acidic, we will first install an α methyl group to provide **82** as a mixture of epimers. On the other hand, if the cycloheptenone proves to be more kinetically acidic we will instead perform an α -hydroxylation to deliver epimers **83**. With intermediates **82** or **83** in our possession, we next aim to effect a base- or acid-mediated olefin migration in order to isomerize the cyclohexenyl olefin out of conjugation to deliver an *exo*methylene. Although this isomerization event might be thermodynamically disfavored owing to the reduced substitution of the migrated olefin, the absence of more substituted olefin isomers among the known members of the curcusones suggests that exocyclic olefin migration will offer a thermodynamic sink for this process. In the event that this is not the case, we will instead target *iso*-curcusones A–D. Following isomerization of **82** or **83**, we will next perform either α -hydroxylation or dehydration to deliver **84** or **85**, respectively. Late-stage intermediates **84** and **85** will be convergently advanced to curcusones A (1) and B (2) in as few as 15 total steps. Natural products **1** and **2** may be further subjected to α -hydroxylation, furnishing curcusones C (**3**) and D (**4**) in as few as 16 steps.





1.5 CONCLUSION

To summarize, we have disclosed our evolving strategies toward the first total synthesis of curcusone C. Our first-generation route hinged on a 1,1-divinylcyclopropane rearrangement, which was unfortunately found to be intractable owing to the highly scale- and substrate-dependent cyclopropanation step. As such, we eventually discovered an expedient and scalable route that instead relied on a cross-electrophile and RCM sequence in order to form crucial intermediate **73**, bearing the 5/7/6 carbocyclic skeleton embedded in the curcusones. Several alternative oxygenative strategies to advance **73** to curcusones A–D are currently under careful scrutiny in our group.

A1.6 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

A1.6.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Unless stated otherwise, reactions were performed under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere using dry, deoxygenated solvents (distilled or passed over a column of activated alumina).⁴¹ Et₃N, *i*-Pr₂NEt, *i*-Pr₂NH, pyridine, and *i*-PrOH were distilled from calcium hydride immediately prior to use. Commercially obtained reagents were used as received unless otherwise stated. p-ABSA,⁴² Cu(TBSal)₂,⁴³ and MoCl₃(THF)₂⁴⁴ were prepared by known methods. Reactions were heated in an oil bath, and the temperatures were controlled by an IKAmag temperature modulator. Thin-layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 precoated plates (0.25 mm) and visualized by UV fluorescence quenching, or potassium permanganate, iodine, or anisaldehyde staining. SiliaFlash P60 Academic Silica gel (particle size 0.040-0.063 mm) was used for flash chromatography. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova 600 (600 MHz and 151 MHz, respectively), Varian Inova 500 (at 500 MHz and 126 MHz, respectively), Bruker AV III HD spectrometer equipped with a Prodigy liquid nitrogen temperature cryoprobe (400 MHz and 101 MHz, respectively) and are reported relative to CHCl₃ (§ 7.26 and 77.16, respectively), C₆H₆ (δ 7.16 and 128.06, respectively), and CH₂Cl₂ (δ 5.32 and 53.84, respectively). Data for ¹H NMR spectra are reported as follows: chemical shift (δ ppm) (multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz), integration). IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Paragon 1000 Spectrometer and are reported in frequency of absorption (cm⁻¹). HRMS were acquired from the Caltech Mass Spectral Facility using a JEOL JMS-600H High Resolution Mass Spectrometer in fast atom bombardment (FAB+) or electron ionization (EI+) mode or using an Agilent 6200 Series TOF with an Agilent G1978A Multimode source in electrospray ionization (ESI), atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) or mixed (MM) ionization mode. Optical rotations were measured on a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter using a 100 mm path length cell at 589 nm.

1.6.2 PREPARATIVE PROCEDURES



Enone 27: To a flame-dried round-bottom flask with a magnetic stir bar were added diisopropyl amine (1.75 mL, 13.3 mmol, 1.1 equiv) and Et₂O (35 mL). A solution of *n*-BuLi (2.12 M in hexane, 6.84 mL, 14.5 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added dropwise over a period of 30 min. A solution of epoxide 26 (2 mL, 12.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in Et₂O (7 mL) was added dropwise over a period of 30 min. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm up to 23 °C and then stirred for 7 h. The reaction mixture was cooled in ice bath and water was added. The organic phase was separated and washed with 2 M aqueous HCl (10 mL), water (10 mL), saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (10 mL) and brine (10 mL). The Et₂O extracts are combined, dried over MgSO₄, and evaporated to afford crude mixture. The residue was used for the next reaction without further purification. To a roundbottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added semi-crude allylic alcohol (124 mg, 0.815 mmol) and DCM (10 mL). Dess–Martin periodinane (440 mg, 1.06 mmol) was added to the mixture. The reaction was stirred for 3 h at 23 °C. The reaction mixture was diluted with Et₂O (10 mL) and then a 1:1:1 mixture of saturated aqueous $Na_2S_2O_3$ (10 mL), saturated aqueous $NaHCO_3$ (10 mL), and water (10 mL) was added slowly. The resulting mixture was stirred for 20 min resulting in two clear layers. The organic layer was gathered, and the aqueous layer was extracted with Et₂O (30 mL x 3). The organic layers were combined and dried over Na₂SO₄, and evaporated to afford crude mixture (Caution, the solvent was only partially removed, as enone 27 dimerizes

easily.) The mixture was filtered through silica gel (8:1 pentane: Et_2O) and used in the next reaction without further purification. The characterization data matched those reported in the literature.⁷



Vinyl triflate 28: To a flame-dried round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar was added potassium bis(trimethylsilyl)amide (310 mg, 1.55 mmol, 1.6 equiv) in a nitrogen filled glove box. The flask was sealed with rubber septum and removed from the glove box, connected to a nitrogen inlet, and cooled to -78 °C. A solution of semi-crude enone 27 (150 mg, 1 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise by syringe pump over 2 h. After the addition of enone 27 was completed, Comins reagent (652 mg, 1.66 mmol, 1.7 equiv) in THF (10 mL) was added dropwise. After stirring for 4 h at -78 °C, the reaction mixture was poured into saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ (50 mL) and allowed to warm to 23 °C. The mixture was extracted with Et₂O (30 x 3 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (25:1 hexanes: EtOAc) to afford triflate **28** (218 mg, 0.77 mmol, 77% yield over 3 steps); $R_f = 0.52$ (4:1, hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.82 (dd, J = 4.0, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (s, 1H), 5.06-4.99 (m, 1H), 4.88 (t, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.77 (dt, J = 1.7, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 3.14-3.06 (m, 1H),2.63–2.49 (m, 1H), 2.48–2.37 (m, 1H), 1.95–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.77 (s, 3H), 1.72–1.60 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.5, 147.1, 145.8, 144.0, 139.5, 136.5, 136.0, 126.3, 123.9, 120.7, 119.9, 117.4, 112.8, 112.0, 111.1, 1102, 43.4, 29.6, 27.0, 21.3; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3084, 2947, 2869, 1648, 1608, 1447, 1436, 1422, 1428, 1373, 1245, 1214, 1143, 1129, 1066, 1045, 1017, 998, 978, 948, 755, 737 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc'd for C₁₁H₁₂F₃O₃S [M+H–H]⁺: 281.0459, found 281.0473; $[\alpha]_D^{25.0}$ 61.1° (*c* 0.25, CHCl₃).



Bicycle 31: To a flame-dried round-bottom flask with a magnetic stir bar were added bromide (-)-86 (6.0 g, 21.6 mmol, 1.0 equiv)⁴⁵ and THF (70 mL). The flask was cooled to -78 °C and stirred for 10 min, after which *n*-BuLi (2.5 M in hexanes, 13 mL, 32.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and isopropyl pinacolyl borate (6.9 mL, 33.8 mmol, 1.6 equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and quenched with HCl solution (2 N in Et₂O, 16.3 mL, 32.5 mmol, 1.5 equiv). Following addition, the reaction mixture was diluted with Et₂O (70 mL) and warmed up to 23 °C. The reaction mixture was filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure, and the resulting residue was used in the next reaction without further purification.

To a flame-dried round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added semi-crude boronate (–)-**20** (2.65 g, 7.74 mmol, 1.1 equiv), triflate **28** (1.987 g, 7.04 mmol 1.0 equiv), palladium acetate (82 mg, 0.35 mmol, 5.0 mol %), triphenylphosphine (199 mg, 0.70 mmol, 10 mol %), and tribasic potassium phosphate (4.5 g, 21 mmol, 3.0 equiv). The mixture was evacuated and back filled with argon (x3), and to the reaction was added dioxane (25 mL) and water (2.5 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 23 °C for 40 h, diluted with EtOAc (25 mL), washed with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (25 mL), and dried over MgSO₄. The mixture was filtered and

concentrated under reduced pressure to afford crude mixture of **31** as a colorless oil. The resulting residue was purified by flash column chromatography (25:1 hexanes: EtOAc) to afford diene **31** (1.5 g, 4.54 mmol, 64% yield over triflate **28**) $R_f = 0.95$ (10:1, hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 5.88–5.84 (m, 1H), 5.70–5.68 (m, 1H), 5.02–4.93 (m, 2H), 4.93–4.88 (m, 2H), 4.85–4.81 (m, 1H), 2.97–2.91 (m, 1H), 2.51–2.30 (m, 4H), 2.16–2.02 (m, 2H), 1.80 (tt, *J* = 8.3, 4.0 Hz, 2H), 1.72–1.56 (m, 2H), 1.00 (s, 9H), 0.09 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, C_6D_6) δ 148.5, 146.7, 143.4, 135.9, 132.7, 130.9, 111.0, 110.7, 78.7, 45.1, 34.8, 32.1, 29.3, 26.2, 26.0, 20.9, 18.4, –4.3, –4.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3435, 3080, 2956, 2929, 2856, 2360, 1725, 1645, 1472, 1463, 1362, 1258, 1095, 1020, 947, 865, 836, 801, 776 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) *m/z* calc'd for C₂₁H₃₃OSi [M+H–H₂]⁺: 329.2301, found 329.2297; [α]_D^{25.0}–38.3° (*c* 0.150, CHCl₃).



Alcohol 87: To a round-bottom flask with a magnetic stir bar were added silyl ether **31** (1.5 g, 4.54 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and THF (23 mL). To the mixture was added TBAF (1.0 M in THF, 7.7 mL, 7.7 mmol, 1.7 equiv) and stirred for 24 h at 23 °C. The reaction mixture was quenched with sat. aq. NH₄Cl (20 mL) and extracted with Et₂O (3 x 10 mL). The organic layers were combined, dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (3:1 hexanes: EtOAc) to afford allylic alcohol **87** (1.23 g, 5.69 mmol, 90% yield) as a colorless oil; $R_f = 0.10$ (10:1, hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 5.84–5.79 (m, 1H), 5.76–5.71 (m, 1H), 5.11–5.05 (m, 1H), 4.95–4.86 (m, 3H), 4.85–4.80 (m, 1H), 2.92–2.81 (m, 1H), 2.43–2.21 (m, 3H), 2.19–1.98 (m, 2H), 1.85–1.68 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.45 (m, 4H), 1.21 (d, *J* = 5.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 148.6, 146.0, 143.4, 135.1, 132.2, 131.2, 128.4,

128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 111.2, 111.1, 78.0, 45.0, 33.9, 32. 5, 30.3, 29.5, 20.7; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3774, 3659, 3078, 3042, 2935, 2852, 2112, 1644, 1442, 1373, 1311, 1166, 1047, 930, 889, 843 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc'd for C₁₅H₁₉O₃ [M+H–H₂]+: 215.1436, found 215.1441; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.0}$ –16.2° (*c* 0.150, CHCl₃).



β-Ketoester 33: To a flame-dried round-bottom flask with a magnetic stir bar were added allylic alcohol **87** (1.23 g, 5.69 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (35 mg, 0.29 mmol, 5.0 mol %) and Et₂O (20 mL). The flask was cooled to 0 °C and stirred for 10 min. Diketene (**32**, 0.5 mL, 6.48 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred 15 min at 0 °C and then quenched by ice-cold water (10 mL). The mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 15 mL). The combined organic layers were washed by brine (15 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude oil was purified by flash column chromatography (10:1 hexanes: EtOAc) to afford β-ketoester **33** (1.07 g, 3.56 mmol, 63% yield) as a colorless oil; $R_f = 0.40$ (3:1, hexanes:Et₂O); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 6.23–6.15 (m, 1H), 5.82–5.80 (m, 1H), 5.80–5.77 (m, 1H), 5.05 (d, J = 2.1 Hz, 1H), 4.97–4.81 (m, 3H), 2.94 (s, 2H), 2.92–2.83 (m, 1H), 2.43–2.23 (m, 3H), 2.23–2.11 (m, 1H), 2.08–1.92 (m, 1H), 1.92–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.82–1.73 (m, 1H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.65 (s, 3H), 1.62–1.50 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 199.0, 169.0, 166.9, 148.5, 143.2, 141.6, 134.9, 132.1, 111.2, 111.1, 81.3, 50.1, 45.0, 32.4, 31.1, 30.8, 29.54, 29.47, 20.8; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3629, 3078, 2935, 2855, 1727, 1644, 1440, 1360, 1315, 1238, 1149,

1029, 934, 895, 847, 802, 739 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc'd for C₁₉H₂₅O₃ [M+H]⁺: 301.1804, found 301.1814; $[\alpha]_D^{25.0}$ –41.8° (*c* 0.150, CHCl₃).



Diazo 34: To a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added β-ketoester **33** (1.07 g, 3.56 mmol, 1.0 equiv), MeCN (36 mL), and *p*-ABSA (1.3 g, 5.41 mmol, 1.5 equiv). Et₃N (1.5 mL, 10.75 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at 23 °C. The reaction mixture was filtered through a silica gel plug (pentanes: Et₂O 2:1) and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford diazo ester **34** (1.04 g, 3.19 mmol, 90% yield) as a yellowish oil; $R_f = 0.44$ (4:1, hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.06–5.98 (m, 2H), 5.61 (dd, J = 2.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.91–4.87 (m, 2H), 4.76 (dd, J = 2.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.74–4.69 (m, 1H), 2.93 (ddd, J = 9.1, 5.4, 3.2 Hz, 1H), 2.65–2.54 (m, 1H), 2.51–2.40 (m, 6H), 2.36–2.27 (m, 1H), 2.00–1.88 (m, 2H), 1.71 (dd, J = 1.4, 0.8 Hz, 3H), 1.60–1.52 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 190.5, 161.4, 148.3, 142.9, 140.8, 135.2, 134.1, 132.1, 132.1, 110.9, 110.9, 82.2, 44.6, 31.9, 31.0, 30.7, 29.1, 28.4, 20.8; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3794, 3417, 3301, 3078, 2932, 2855, 2617, 2486, 2391, 2301, 2210, 2135, 1953, 1713, 1659, 1441, 1361, 1307, 1247, 1151, 1063, 1025, 965, 895, 847 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₉H₂₃O₃N₂ [M+H]⁺: 327.1709, found 327.1725; [α]_p^{25.0} –6.7° (c 0.250, CHCl₃).



Iodoenone 38: To a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added ketone 37¹⁰ (200 mg, 1.47 mmol, 1.0 equiv), DCM (35 mL), and *tert*-butylhydroquinone (5 mg, 0.03 mmol, 2.0 mol %). A solution of iodine (700 mg, 2.76 mmol, 1.9 equiv) in pyridine (1.5 mL, 10.75 mmol, 7.3 equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at 23 °C. The reaction was diluted with Et₂O (20 mL) and water (20 mL) and quenched by saturated aqueous Na₂S₂O₃ (20 mL). The phases were separated, and the aqueous phases were extracted with DCM (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (15:1, hexanes: EtOAc) to afford iodide **38** (300 mg, 1.14 mmol, 78% yield) as a yellowish oil; $R_f = 0.40$ (6:1, hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C_6D_6)) δ 7.17 (d, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.62–4.55 (m, 1H), 4.47–4.43 (m, 1H), 2.36-2.22 (m, 2H), 1.92 (ddd, J = 16.2, 11.2, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.40-1.31 (m, 1H), 1.31-1.20 (m, 4H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 190.5, 160.2, 144.5, 128.4, 128.3, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 112.8, 105.1, 47.5, 35.4, 27.7, 20.9; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3357, 3077, 2951, 2867, 1683, 1645, 1585, 1450, 1414, 1376, 1325, 1278, 1217, 1170, 1151, 1128, 1081, 1036, 971, 952, 89, 805, 713, 644 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc'd for C₉H₁₂OI [M+H]⁺: 262.9933, found 262.9936; $[\alpha]_D^{25.0}$ -40.1° (*c* 0.44, CHCl₃).



Boronate (+)-20: To a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added bromide (+)-**86** (1.04 g, 3.82 mmol, 1.00 equiv) and THF (15 mL). The flask was cooled to -78°C and stirred for 10 min. *n*-BuLi solution (2.5 M in hexanes, 2.3 mL, 5.75 mmol, 1.51 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min and isopropyl pinacolyl borate (1.2 mL, 5.88 mmol, 1.53 equiv) was added. The reaction mixture was stirred at -78 °C for 30 min then quenched with HCl solution (2 N in Et₂O, 2.9 mL, 5.80 mmol, 1.52 equiv). Following addition, the reaction mixture was diluted with diethyl ether (15 mL) and warmed up to 23 °C. The reaction mixture was filtered and was concentrated under reduced pressure to afford boronate (+)-**20** (1.1 g, 3.39 mmol, 89% yield) as a colorless oil. The characterization data matched those of *rac*-**20**. [α]_D^{25.0} 9.8° (*c* 1.35, CHCl₃).²⁰



Bicycle 39: To a flame-dried round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added boronate (+)-20 (92 mg, 0.28 mmol, 1.5 equiv), iodide **38** (50 mg, 0.19 mmol, 1.0 equiv), silver oxide (70 mg, 0.30 mmol, 1.6 equiv), and triphenylarsine (6 mg, 0.02 mmol, 10 mol %). The mixture was evacuated and back-filled with argon (x3). The mixture was dissolved in dioxane (25 mL) and water (2.5 mL). To the mixture was added bis(benzonitrile)palladium chloride (4 mg,

0.01 mmol, 5.0 mol %). The reaction was stirred at 23 °C for 6 h. The resulting mixture was filtered through celite with EtOAc and the solvent was removed under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (20:1, hexanes: EtOAc) to afford bicycle **39** (48 mg, 0.144 mmol, 76% yield over **38**) as a white solid; $R_f = 0.54$ (6:1, hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 6.72 (dd, J = 3.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.26–6.17 (m, 1H), 5.33–5.25 (m, 1H), 4.76–4.74 (m, 1H), 4.72–4.70 (m, 1H), 2.72 (dt, J = 8.5, 4.1 Hz, 1H), 2.51–2.29 (m, 2H), 2.26–1.99 (m, 3H), 1.79–1.62 (m, 2H), 1.62–1.45 (m, 4H), 0.96 (s, 9H), 0.11 (s, 3H), 0.09 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 197.0, 147.9, 146.2, 143.0, 135.7, 132.4, 128.3, 128.2, 128.1, 127.9, 127.8, 112.3, 78.5, 44.4, 38.1, 34.6, 30.6, 27.9, 26.2, 21.2, 18.3, -3.9, -4.4; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3348, 3078, 3042, 2929, 2893, 2855, 2737, 2708, 1687, 1683, 1649, 1472, 1463, 1451, 1388, 1375, 1360, 1314, 1287, 1251, 1218, 1189, 1157, 1141, 1064, 1006, 980, 941, 868, 836, 775, 735, 677 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) *m*/*z* calc'd for C₁₅H₁₉O₃N₂ [M+H–H₂]⁺: 331.2093, found 331.2096; [α]_D^{25.0} –60.8° (*c* 0.44, CHCl₃).



Alcohol 88: To a round-bottom plastic-coated flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added diene 39 (30 mg, 0.090 mmol, 1.0 equiv), THF (4 mL), and pyridine (0.05 mL, 0.62 mmol, 6.9 equiv). A solution of HF•pyr (pyridine 30%, hydrogen fluoride 70%, 0.1 mL, 50 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h at 23 °C. The reaction was diluted with Et₂O (4 mL) and neutralized with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (10 mL). The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by

flash column chromatography (5:1, hexanes: EtOAc) to afford allylic alcohol **88** (19 mg, 0.087 mmol, 96% yield) as a colorless oil; $R_f = 0.25$ (2:1, hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 6.86–6.76 (m, 1H), 6.44–6.35 (m, 1H), 4.99–4.90 (m, 1H), 4.82–4.74 (m, 1H), 4.74–4.69 (m, 1H), 2.96 (s, 1H), 2.58 (dt, *J* = 8.7, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.54–2.43 (m, 1H), 2.36 (ddd, *J* = 16.3, 6.2, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 2.14–1.96 (m, 3H), 1.93–1.78 (m, 1H), 1.63–1.42 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, C₆D₆) δ 198.9, 149.3, 146.2, 142.2, 135.2, 134.0, 112.4, 77.5, 44.3, 37.9, 34.0, 30.9, 27.8, 21.1; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3418, 3077, 3040, 2938, 2848, 1674, 1586, 1451, 1377, 1309, 1086, 1047, 990, 935, 895 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₄H₁₇O₂ [M+H–H₂]+: 217.1229, found 217.1235; [α]_D^{25.0} –120.4° (*c* 0.33, CHCl₃).



β-Ketoester 40: To a flame-dried round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added allylic alcohol 88 (870 mg, 3.99 mmol, 1.0 equiv), 4-dimethylaminopyridine (50 mg, 0.41 mmol, 10 mol %), and Et₂O (20 mL). The flask was cooled to 0 °C and stirred for 10 min. Diketene (32, 0.36 mL, 4.67 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture stirred for 15 min at 0 °C was then quenched with ice-cold water (20 mL). The mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed by brine (15 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude oil was purified by flash column chromatography (4:1 hexanes: EtOAc) to afford β-ketoester 40 (1.07 g, 3.54 mmol, 89% yield) as a colorless oil; $R_f = 0.40$ (2:1 hexanes: Et₂O); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) δ 6.74–6.72 (m, 1H), 6.70–6.68 (m, 1H), 6.05 (dt, J = 7.5, 2.4 Hz, 1H), 4.89 (t, J = 1.5 Hz, 1H), 4.76–4.73 (m, 1H), 3.40–3.33 (m, 2H), 3.15 (dt, J = 8.7, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.65–2.27 (m, 5H), 2.18 (s, 3H), 2.17–2.09 (m, 1H), 1.98–1.81 (m, 2H), 1.79 (t, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CD₂Cl₂) & 200.7, 198.5, 167.3, 148.8, 146.5, 138.1, 136.2, 133.1, 112.3, 81.4, 50.6, 44.4, 38.1, 31.7, 30.8, 30.3, 28.0, 21.4; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3655, 3643, 3080, 2943, 2850, 1726, 1640, 1554, 1450, 1356, 1315, 1256, 1146, 1088, 1029, 995, 900, 854, 778, 706, 634, 617 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) *m*/*z* calc'd for C₁₈H₂₃O₄ [M+H]⁺: 303.1596, found 303.1594; [α]_D^{25.0} –30.6° (*c* 0.13, CHCl₃). (Note: the enol ether tautomer of β -ketoester **40** was predominant in CD₂Cl₂).



Cyclopropane 41: To a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added β -ketoester **40** (95 mg, 0.314 mmol, 1.0 equiv), MeCN (3 mL), and *p*-ABSA (113 mg, 0.47 mmol, 1.5 equiv). Et₃N (0.1 mL, 0.717 mmol, 2.3 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 2 h at 23 °C. The reaction mixture was filtered through a Florisil (2:1, pentanes: Et₂O) then concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was used in the next reaction without further purification.

To a flame-dried two-neck round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar was added $Cu(TBSal)_2$ (8 mg, 0.019 mmol, 10 mol %) in a nitrogen-filled glove box. The flask was sealed with rubber septa and removed from the glove box. One of the rubber septa was replaced with a reflux condenser connected to a nitrogen inlet. A solution of semi-crude diazo ester (60 mg, 0.198 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in toluene (40 mL) was added. The reaction was heated to reflux in a 110 °C oil

bath. After 3 h of stirring, the reaction mixture was cooled to 23 °C and stirred for 15 min. The mixture was concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography (10:1 hexanes: EtOAc) to afford cyclopropane 41 (10 mg, 0.033 mmol, 17% yield) as a colorless oil; $R_f = 0.40$ (2:1 hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.79 (dd, J = 3.2, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.96–4.89 (m, 1H), 4.75-4.73 (m, 1H), 4.73-4.71 (m, 1H), 3.13 (dt, J = 8.3, 4.2 Hz, 1H), 2.96 (dd, J = 6.5, 1.0Hz, 1H), 2.56 (ddd, J = 16.8, 6.5, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (s, 3H), 2.40–2.26 (m, 2H), 2.21–2.00 (m, 2H), 2.00–1.78 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) & 198.5, 198.2, 172.3, 153.8, 145.2, 131.7, 112.9, 85.7, 77.2, 59.2, 50.7, 43.7, 38.9, 38.6, 36.5, 29.9, 27.7, 23.9, 21.7; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3371, 3077, 2939, 1760, 182, 1651, 1488, 1439, 1362, 1339, 1309, 1242, 1223, 1190, 1160, 1136, 1085, 1067, 1006, 957, 912, 850, 817, 727, 703, 622, 612 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM+) m/z calc'd for C₁₅H₁₉O₃ $[M+H]^+$: 301.1440, found 301.1450; $[\alpha]_D^{25.0}$ –56.8° (c 0.30, CHCl₃), and side product 42 (15 mg, 0.050 mmol, 25% yield) as a colorless oil; $R_f = 0.05$ (2:1 hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, $CDCl_3$ δ 7.32 (s, 1H), 4.75 (dd, J = 2.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.03 (dt, J = 6.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 2.75–2.60 (m, 2H), 2.54–2.35 (m, 6H), 2.10–2.01 (m, 1H), 2.01–1.96 (m, 3H), 1.96–1.84 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.6, 198.2, 172.5, 144.5, 142.3, 126.3, 126.1, 85.8, 77.2, 60.1, 51.5, 38.5, 38.4, 37.1.29.8.25.6.23.9.22.2.21.3: IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3484, 3369, 3051, 2928, 2853, 2435, 2305, 2143, 1755, 1679, 1615, 1434, 1361, 1348, 1311, 1297, 1257, 1242, 1216, 1199, 1164, 1131, 1090, 1064, 1037, 1004, 966, 918, 888, 851, 822, 798, 753, 719, 667, 655, 633, 614 cm⁻¹; HRMS(FAB+) m/z calc'd for C₁₈H₂₁O₄ [M+H]⁺: 301.1440, found 301.1434; $[\alpha]_{D}^{25.0}$ 53.1° (*c* 0.10, CHCl₃).



Bromoenone 43: To a flame-dried round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added ketone 37 (553 mg, 4.06 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and DCM (35 mL). The flask was cooled to 0 °C and stirred for 10 min. A solution of bromine (0.24 mL, 4.66 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in DCM (5 mL) was added dropwise with vigorous stirring at 0 °C. After the reaction became a reddish-brown color, Et₃N (0.6 mL, 4.30 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added at 0 °C. The cooling bath was removed, and the flask was allowed to warm to 23 °C. After 30 min of stirring, the reaction was washed with water (40 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with DCM (3 x 40 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (20:1 hexanes: EtOAc) to afford bromide **43** as a yellow oil (500 mg, 2.32 mmol, 57% yield); $R_f = 0.45$ (6:1 hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.29 (dd, J = 3.6, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 4.96–4.88 (m, 1H), 4.87–4.72 (m, 1H), 3.19–3.08 (m, 1H), 2.70 (ddd, J = 16.6, 7.0, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 2.51 (ddd, J = 16.6, 10.7, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.19 (ddtd, J = 16.6, 10.7, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.10 (ddtd, J = 16.6, 10.7, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.10 (ddtd, J = 16.6, 10.7, 4J = 12.8, 7.0, 4.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 1.99 (dddd, J = 13.5, 10.7, 8.2, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 1.79 (dd, J = 1.1 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 191.2, 153.1, 144.2, 124.0, 113.4, 46.1, 36.5, 27.6, 21.4.; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3853, 3650, 3371, 3035, 2953, 2869, 2360, 1694, 1646, 1595, 1451, 1417, 1377, 1327, 1278, 1218, 1172, 1153, 1132, 1085, 1037, 984, 958, 899, 816, 798, 786, 749, 716, 668, 650, 611 cm¹; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc'd for C₉H₁₂OBr [M+H]⁺: 215.0072, found 215.0071; $[\alpha]_D^{25.0}$ 52.9° (*c* 0.30, CHCl₃).



Silyl ether 21: To a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added bromoenone 43 (7.68 g, 35.7 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and MeOH (108 mL). The flask was cooled to 0 °C, after which CeCl₃•7H₂O (13.3 g 35.7 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and NaBH₄ (1.35 g, 35.7 mmol, 1.0 equiv) were sequentially added over 5 min. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 20 min, and the mixture was poured into sat. aq. NH₄Cl (300 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 200 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was passed through a plug of silica (20% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford crude alcohol as a colorless oil (7.01 g).

The semi-crude residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (81 mL), and imidazole (5.1 g, 74.3 mmol, 2.3 equiv) and TBSCl (8.3 g, 54.9 mmol, 1.7 equiv) were sequentially added. The resulting mixture was stirred at 23 °C for 12 h, after which it was poured into brine (200 mL), extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 200 mL) dried over MgSO₄. The crude solution was concentrated *in vacuo* and purified by flash column chromatography (1% to 5% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford bromide **21** as a colorless oil (2.85 g, mmol, 24% yield); R_f = 0.90 (6:1 hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.03 (dd, *J* = 2.9, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 4.81–4.75 (m, 2H), 4.18 (td, *J* = 3.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.79–2.70 (m, 1H), 1.88–1.83 (m, 1H), 1.79–1.73 (m, 1H), 1.73–1.71 (m, 4H), 1.68–1.62 (m, 1H), 0.91 (s, 9H), 0.16 (s, 3H), 0.10 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 147.1, 134.5, 126.3, 111.5, 70.6, 46.7, 32.7, 26.0, 22.2, 20.6, 18.3, –4.3, –4.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3077, 2950, 2929, 2885, 2856, 2738, 2709, 2360, 1918, 1793, 1684, 1648, 1472, 1462, 1448, 1436, 1407, 1388, 1375, 1361, 1300, 1280, 1251, 1219, 1194, 1171, 1126, 1084, 1064, 1025, 1006, 987, 960, 939,

914, 894, 880, 834, 814, 775, 729, 669, 639 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM+) m/z calc'd for C₁₅H₁₉O₃ [M+H–H₂]⁺: 331.0916, found 331.0902; $[\alpha]_D^{25.0} -22.6^\circ$ (*c* 0.30, CHCl₃).



Boronate 44: To a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar was added (+)-**30** (326.0 mg, 2.00 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and THF (40 mL). The resulting solution was cooled to $-78 \,^{\circ}$ C, and *n*-BuLi (2.3 M in hexanes, 4.60 mmol, 2.1 mL, 2.3 equiv) was added dropwise over several min. The resulting suspension was stirred vigorously for 15 min, and neat pinacolborane (0.80 mL, 5.00 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was added in one portion. The mixture was stirred vigorously for an additional 20 min, after which it was poured into sat. aq. NH₄Cl, extracted with Et₂O (3 x 50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (4:1 hexanes: EtOAc) to afford boronate **44** (213.7 mg, 1.01 mmol, 51% yield) as a white solid; R_f = 0.10 (6:1 hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.70–6.63 (m, 1H), 5.05–4.95 (m, 1H), 2.64–2.51 (m, 1H), 2.41–2.18 (m, 2H), 1.71 (dddd, *J* = 13.7, 9.1, 5.5, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 1.28 (s, 12H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 150.1, 83.6, 79.8, 33.2, 33.0, 26.0, 25.0; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3478, 3038, 2978, 2931, 2731, 2219, 1995, 1887, 1622, 1615, 1372, 1214, 1144, 1111, 1046, 1020, 964, 925, 854, 832, 759, 710 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) *m*/*z* calc'd for C₁₅H₁₉O₃N₂ [M+H–H₂]⁺: 209.1349, found 209.1344; [α]₀²⁵⁰–59.6° (*c* 0.80, CHCl₃).



Bicycle 19: To a two-neck round-bottom flask equipped with reflux condenser and a magnetic stir bar were added boronate 44 (200 mg, 0.952 mmol, 1.6 equiv) and bromide 21 (200 mg, 0.605 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The mixture was evacuated and back-filled with argon (x3). Toluene (6 mL), tetrakis(triphenylphosphine)palladium(0) (21 mg, 0.018 mmol, 3.0 mol %), and 2 M aqueous Na₂CO₃ (6 mL) were added. The reaction was heated to reflux in a 110 °C oil bath. After 18 h of stirring, the reaction mixture was cooled to 23 °C and stirred for 15 min. The phases were separated and the aqueous phases were extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic phases were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over MgSO₄, filtered and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was purified by flash column chromatography (20:1 hexanes: EtOAc) to afford diene **19** (120 mg, 0.359 mmol, 59% yield) as a colorless oil; $R_f = 0.40$ (6:1 hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.85–5.81 (m, 2H), 4.95 (dt, J = 7.2, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.80– 4.78 (m, 1H), 4.77 (dd, J = 2.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (ddd, J = 3.6, 2.8, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.85–2.78 (m, 1H), 2.62–2.50 (m, 1H), 2.38–2.28 (m, 1H), 2.26–2.16 (m, 1H), 1.93–1.80 (m, 2H), 1.80–1.74 (m, 1H), 1.72 (dd, J = 1.5, 0.8 Hz, 3H), 1.68–1.58 (m, 2H), 0.85 (s, 9H), 0.09 (s, 3H), 0.05 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 149.0, 145.1, 135.0, 130.7, 128.7, 110.9, 76.8, 65.1, 44.9, 33.7, 31.8, 30.7, 26.0, 22.4, 20.5, 18.3, -3.9, -4.2; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3601, 3412, 3072, 2929, 2855, 2737, 2708, 1924, 1647, 1472, 1463, 1436, 1407, 1389, 1375, 1360, 1334, 1305, 1252, 1218, 1024, 959, 934, 889, 835, 773, 723, 676 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM+) m/z calc'd for C₂₀H₃₄O₂NSiNa [M+Na]⁺: 356.2220, found 357.2237; $[\alpha]_D^{25.0} - 21.1^\circ$ (*c* 0.10, CHCl₃).



β-Ketoester 45: To a two-neck round-bottom flask with a magnetic stir bar were added bicyclic alcohol **19** (20 mg, 0.060 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and 4-dimethylaminopyridine (1.0 mg, 0.0082 mmol, 14 mol %) and Et₂O (1.5 mL). The flask was cooled to 0 °C and stirred for 10 min. A solution of diketene (32, 0.07 mL, 0.907 mmol, 15.1 equiv) in Et₂O (2 mL) was added dropwise over several min. The reaction mixture was stirred for 15 min at 0 °C then guenched by addition of ice-cold water (2 mL). The mixture was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 3 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (3 mL), dried over MgSO₄, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude oil was purified by flash column chromatography (4:1 hexanes: EtOAc) to afford β -ketoester 45 (20 mg, 0.048 mmol, 80% yield) as a colorless oil; $R_f = 0.45$ (6:1 hexanes: Et₂O); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.18–5.98 (m, 2H), 5.62 (d, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.85–4.67 (m, 2H), 4.44 (t, J = 3.2 Hz, 1H), 3.36 (s, 2H), 2.77 (t, J = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 2.62–2.53 (m, 1H), 2.44–2.27 (m, 2H), 2.22 (s, 3H), 1.96–1.83 (m, 2H), 1.79–1.72 (m, 1H), 1.73–1.54 (m, 5H), 0.84 (s, 9H), 0.08 (s, 3H), 0.05 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) & 200.8, 167.3, 148.8, 140.9, 134.4, 131.8, 130.4, 110.6, 79.8, 64.7, 50.4, 44.7, 31.7, 31.1, 30.8, 30.3, 25.9, 22.3, 20.4, 18.2, -3.8, -4.4; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2976, 2926, 2854, 1876, 1659, 1612, 1584, 1512, 1464, 1410, 1388, 1379, 1370, 1315, 1246, 1175, 1166, 1145, 1113, 1039, 967, 862, 819, 750, 688, 671 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM+) m/z calc'd for $C_{24}H_{38}O_4SiNa [M+Na]^+$: 441.2432, found 441.2441; $[\alpha]_D^{25.0}$ 4.4° (*c* 0.34, CHCl₃).



Diazo 18: To a round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added β-ketoester **45** (20 mg, 0.048 mmol, 1.0 equiv), MeCN (2.5 mL), and *p*-ABSA (40.0 mg, 0.167 mmol, 3.5 equiv). Et₃N (0.03 mL, 0.215 mmol, 4.5 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was stirred for 1 h min at 23 °C and concentrated *in vacuo*. The resulting residue was passed through a silica gel plug (4:1 pentane:Et₂O) and concentrated under reduced pressure to afford diazo ester **18** (18 mg, 0.041 mmol, 85% yield) as a yellowish oil; $R_f = 0.44$ (4:1 hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.08 (dt, J = 1.66 Hz, 1.66 Hz, 7.75 Hz, 1H; ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 190.47; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3408, 3073, 2929, 2855, 2362, 2139, 1713, 1661, 1652, 1472, 1464, 1366, 1312, 1250, 1195, 1150, 1086, 1064, 1025, 1006, 963, 938, 921, 895, 850, 834, 808, 773, 742, 676, 635 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM+) *m*/*z* calc'd for C₂₄H₃₆O₄N₂SiNa [M+Na]⁺: 467.2337, found 467.2354; [α]_p^{25.0} –11.4° (*c* 0.31, CHCl₃).



Cyclopropane 46: To a flame-dried two neck round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar was added $Cu(TBSal)_2$ (3.0 mg, 0.0072 mmol, 16 mol %) in a nitrogen-filled glove box. The flask was sealed with rubber septa and removed from the glove box. One of the rubber septa was replaced with a reflux condenser connected to a nitrogen inlet. A solution of diazo ester **18**

(20 mg, 0.045 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in toluene (15 mL) was added. The reaction was heated to reflux in a 110 °C oil bath. After 3 h of stirring, the reaction mixture was cooled to 23 °C and stirred for 15 min. The mixture was concentrated and purified by flash column chromatography (10:1 hexanes: EtOAc) to afford cyclopropane **46** (8.4 mg, 0.020 mmol, 45% yield) as a white solid; R_f = 0.40 (6:1 hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.69 (d, *J* = 3.0 Hz, 1H), 5.09–5.00 (m, 1H), 4.81 (t, *J* = 1.7 Hz, 1H), 4.75–4.67 (m, 1H), 3.84–3.74 (m, 1H), 2.96 (dt, *J* = 6.3, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 2.76 (d, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (s, 3H), 2.36–2.26 (m, 1H), 2.02 (dd, *J* = 13.0, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 1.96–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.82–1.70 (m, 5H), 1.69–1.52 (m, 3H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 0.09 (s, 6H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.4, 172.7, 147.7, 136.2, 132.9, 111.5, 86.4, 68.9, 65.1, 50.6, 43.7, 42.7, 38.3, 31.0, 30.4, 26.1, 23.9, 22.8, 21.0, 18.1, –3.8, –4.3; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2930, 2857, 1760, 1964, 1436, 1360, 1346, 1312, 1259, 1157, 1084, 1055, 1027, 1005, 983, 935, 896, 863, 832, 802, 774 cm⁻¹; HRMS (EI+) *m*/*z* calc'd for C₂₄H₃₆O₄Si [M•]⁺: 416.2383, found, 416.2379; [α]₀^{25.0}–68.1° (*c* 0.10, CHCl₃).



Divinylcyclopropane 47: To a flame-dried round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar was added trichlorobis(THF) molybdenum(III) (750 mg, 2.08 mmol, 18.1 equiv) in a nitrogenfilled glove box. The flask was sealed with a rubber septum, removed from the glove box and connected to a nitrogen inlet. THF (3 mL) was added to the flask to generate a bright green solution. The flask was cooled to –78 °C and stirred for 10 min. A solution of MeLi (1.6 M in Et₂O, 1.2 mL, 1.92 mmol, 16.7 equiv) was added dropwise to the reaction, resulting in a dark red

solution. After 1 h of stirring at -78 °C, a solution of cyclopropane 46 (48 mg, 0.115 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (1 mL) was added dropwise. The reaction was allowed to warm to ambient temperature and stirred for an additional 6 h. The reaction was guenched by addition of water (4 mL). The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with Et₂O (3 x 4 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (15:1 hexanes: EtOAc) to afford vinyl lactone 47 (30 mg, 0.0723 mmol, 63% yield) as a colorless oil; $R_f = 0.50$ (6:1 hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.51 (dd, J = 2.8, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.18–5.15 (m, 1H), 5.12– 5.07 (m, 1H), 5.00–4.96 (m, 1H), 4.79 (dd, J = 2.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.73 (dt, J = 2.0, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 4.23-4.20 (m, 1H), 2.70 (ddd, J = 9.1, 5.9, 2.7 Hz, 1H), 2.44 (dt, J = 6.7, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.27–2.16 (m, 1H), 2.08–1.97 (m, 1H), 1.93–1.81 (m, 2H), 1.78–1.66 (m, 8H), 1.64–1.58 (m, 1H), 1.55–1.48 (m, 1H), 0.90 (s, 9H), 0.12 (s, 3H), 0.11 (s, 3H); 13 C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.9, 148.2, 136.5, 133.6, 133.5, 117.0, 111.2, 85.8, 67.5, 58.6, 49.1, 44.3, 38.9, 34.7, 31.5, 26.1, 23.5, 22.4, 22.3, 20.5, 18.1, -3.6, -4.4; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2953, 2857, 1766, 1645, 1463, 1343, 1254, 1197, 1159, 1079, 1057, 1024, 891, 864, 833, 775, 673 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM+) m/z calc'd for C₂₅H₃₉O₃Si $[M+H]^+$: 415.2663, found, 415.2697; $[\alpha]_D^{25.0}$ –35.4° (*c* 0.10, CHCl₃).



Tricycle 49: To a flame-dried round-bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar were added vinyl lactone 47 (29 mg, 0.0699 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and DCM (14 mL). The flask was cooled to 0 °C and stirred for 10 min. A solution of DIBAL (1 M in DCM, 0.35 mL, 0.35 mmol, 5.0 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction mixture was slowly warmed up to 23 °C and remained to stir for 24 h. The reaction was quenched by methanol (0.35 mL). Saturated aqueous potassium sodium tartrate solution (3 mL) was added to the mixture. The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with DCM (5 x 10 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over MgSO₄, filtered, and transferred to round-bottom flask. The mixture was concentrated under reduced pressure and dissolved in benzene. The flask was immersed in a 50 °C oil bath. After 4 h of stirring, the reaction was cooled to ambient temperature and concentrated under reduced pressure. The residue was purified by flash column chromatography (1:1 hexanes: EtOAc) to afford diol 49 as a white solid (9.0 mg, 0.215 mmol, 31% yield); $R_f = 0.08$ (3:1 hexanes: EtOAc); ¹H NMR (600 MHz, C₆D₆) 5.00 (dd, J = 4.1, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 4.92–4.89 (m, 1H), 4.87 (d, J = 2.2 Hz, 1H), 4.83 (d, J = 4.2 Hz, 1H), 4.16 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 3.91 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 3.56–3.49 (m, 1H), 3.06-3.00 (m, 1H), 2.85 (dd, J = 13.8, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (dtd, J = 13.7, 11.8, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.28–2.13 (m, 2H), 2.04 (dd, J = 14.7, 11.4 Hz, 1H), 1.92–1.84 (m, 2H), 1.81 (d, J = 1.7 Hz, 3H), 1.77 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 3H), 1.76-1.70 (m, 1H), 1.54 (tdd, J = 13.0, 4.3, 2.0 Hz, 1H), 1.51-1.37 (m, 1H), 1.52H), 1.01 (s, 9H), 0.08 (s, 3H), 0.08 (s, 3H); δ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, DMSO-*d*₆) 148.5, 140.1,

138.8, 137.8, 132.4, 111.9, 71. 3, 68.8, 57.9, 49.1, 42.1, 34.4, 34.0, 33.8, 29.3, 26.7, 26.6, 25.8, 25.7, 21.5, 17.7, -4.5, -4.7; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3342, 2929, 2856, 1645, 1451, 1254, 1163, 1079, 1033, 890, 836, 773, 739, 702 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) *m/z* calc'd for C₂₅H₄₁O₃Si [M+H-H₂]⁺: 417.2825, found 417.2833; [α]_D^{25.0} –27.6° (*c* 0.10, CH₃OH).



Vinyl triflate 60: To a solution of **66** (8.00 g, 33.86 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (113 mL, 0.3M) at –78 °C was added a 1M solution of L-Selectride in THF (33.9 mL, 1.0 equiv) over 1 min. The solution was stirred at –78 °C for an additional 30 min. The septum was briefly removed, and solid *N*-phenyltriflimide (12.1 g, 33.9 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was quickly added in one portion. The resulting mixture was warmed to 0 °C. After stirring for an additional 30 min, the reaction was poured into sat. aq. NH₄Cl (300 mL) and extracted with Et₂O (3 X 500 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄), concentrated *in vacuo*, and purified by *careful* column chromatography (3% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford vinyl triflate **60** as a colorless oil (6.43 g, 48% yield); $R_f = 0.6$ (5% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –27.6 (*c* 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.83–4.81 (m, 2H), 4.10–4.06 (m, 2H), 2.92–2.87 (m, 1H), 2.63–2.60 (m, 1H), 2.44–2.36 (m, 2H), 2.16–2.13 (m, 2H), 1.77 (s, 3H), 1.69 (s, 3H), 1.70–1.69 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.62 (m, 4H), 1.22 (t, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.2, 145.5, 143.4, 128.8, 118.4 (q, *J* = 321 Hz, CF₃) 112.6, 60.4, 47.5, 37.8, 35.0, 29.6, 25.2, 19.7, 17.3, 14.0; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2981.4, 2936.9, 1738.2, 1732.2,

1415.6, 1377.8, 1247.2, 1209.3, 1158.3, 1142.6, 1036.0, 947.8, 890.0, 813.3 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₅H₂₁F₃O₅SNa [M+Na]⁺: 393.0954, found 393.0947.



Bicycle 58: In a nitrogen-filled glovebox, a solution of NiBr₂•diglyme (169.3 mg, 6.0 mol %) precatalyst and bpy (75.0 mg, 6.0 mol %) in DMF (5 mL) was prepared and stirred vigorously for 10 min. Meanwhile, Zn⁰ dust (2.09 g, 32.0 mmol, 4.0 equiv), KF (464.2 mg, 8.00 mmol, 1.0 equiv), PdCl₂(PPh₃)₂ (393.4 mg, 7.0 mol %), and ZnF₂ (1.65 g, 16.00 mmol, 2.0 equiv) were added to a 100 mL vial equipped with a cross-shaped stir bar. These solids were diluted with DMF (43 mL), and the resulting suspension was treated with a solution of vinyl bromide 59 (328.1 mg, 1.60 mmol, 0.20 equiv) and vinyl triflate 60 (2.96 g, 8.00 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in DMF (10 mL). The light green Ni(II)bpy solution was added to the vial, and the vial was capped with a septum and removed from the glove box. The reaction mixture was placed under a N₂ atmosphere and heated to 85 °C under vigorous stirring. Next, a pre-made solution of bromide 59 (1.97 g, 9.6 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in DMF (8 mL) was added to the heated mixture over 2 h via syringe pump. The reaction was stirred vigorously at 85 °C for an additional 12 h, after which it was allowed to cool to 23 °C. The resulting black slurry was poured into sat. aq. LiCl (500 mL), and it was extracted with Et₂O (500 mL x 4) until TLC confirmed no product remained in the aqueous layer. The combined organic layers were again extracted with brine (1 L), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. (Note during extraction: The border between the organic and aqueous layers may be readily determined by olfactory analysis; If Zn(II) salts remain in the organic layer following extraction, they can be

easily removed by passage through a plug of silica (Et₂O as eluent).) The crude mixture was purified by column chromatography (15% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford bicycle **58** as a colorless oil (1.72 g, 62% yield); $R_f = 0.55$ (20% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –122.8 (*c* 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.71 (t, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.82–4.75 (m, 2H), 4.05 (q, *J* = 7.1 Hz, 2H), 3.97–3.91 (m, 4H), 2.80–2.76 (m, 1H), 2.62–2.58 (m, 1H), 2.38–2.36 (m, 2H), 2.27–2.22 (m, 2H), 2.08–1.95 (m, 5H), 1.71 (s, 3H), 1.65–1.63 (m, 4H), 1.24–1.21 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.3, 147.8, 141.8, 136.1, 132.7, 127.9, 120.8, 110.9, 65.0, 64.4, 59.8, 45.5, 37.9, 37.4, 36.0, 30.0, 27.9, 25.0, 21.6, 20.4, 14.2; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2974.0, 2922.1, 2884.9, 1735.7, 1449.8, 1373.1, 1373.1, 1317.0, 1171.1, 1149.4, 1039.9, 1028.2, 946.5, 923.9, 888.5, 856.6, 850.4 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z* calc'd for C₂₁H₃₀O₄ [M+H]⁺: 347.2222, found 347.2215.



Weinreb amide 67: To a –10 °C solution of ester 58 (1.72 g, 4.96 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and MeNH(OMe)•HCl (1.07 g, 10.92 mmol, 2.2 equiv) in THF (50 mL) was slowly added a 2M solution of *i*-PrMgCl in THF (10 mL, 4.0 equiv) over several minutes. The reaction was stirred at –10 °C for 30 min then poured into sat. aq. NH₄Cl (50 mL), extracted with Et₂O (50 mL X 3), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (50% EtOAc in hexanes), concentrated, and stripped twice with hexanes (5 mL X 2) to provide amide 67 as a viscous clear oil (1.11 g, 63% yield); $R_f = 0.40$ (50% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –118.5 (*c* 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.75 (t, *J* = 2.5, 1H), 4.87 (s, 1H), 4.80 (s, 1H), 4.04–3.90 (m, 4H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.15 (s, 3H), 2.70 (m, 2.71–2.68, 1H), 2.42–2.38 (m, 1H), 2.35–
2.33 (m, 4H), 2.11–2.01 (m, 4H), 1.76 (s, 3H), 1.71–1.64 (m, 5H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 174.4, 147.9, 142.3, 135.8, 132.3, 128.3, 120.7, 110.2, 64.9, 64.4, 61.1, 44.3, 36.7, 36.1, 35.1, 29.5, 27.9, 24.0, 21.6 (two resolved signals), 21.1; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2932.6, 1669.5, 1451.9, 1405.9, 1377.1, 1317.0, 1217.2, 1198.3, 1140.9, 1102.9, 1043.4, 1024.0, 1005.4, 948.9, 927.1, 890.5, 858.4 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z* calc'd for C₂₁H₃₁NO₄ [M+H]⁺: 362.2326, found 362.2314.



Aldehyde **68**: To a –78 °C solution of amide **67** (1.13 g, 3.11 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (31 mL) was added a 1M solution of DIBAL (3.72 mmol, 1.2 equiv) over 1 min. The solution was stirred at –78 °C for 5 min, after which it was poured into a combined solution of aq. NaHCO₃ (2 M, 100 mL) and sat. aq. Rochelle salt (100 mL). The biphasic mixture was vigorously stirred for 30 min, extracted with Et₂O (100 mL X 3), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (15% EtOAc in hexanes) to provide aldehyde **68** as a pale yellow oil (729 mg, 77% yield); R_f = 0.35 (5% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ —118.5 (*c* 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.60 (s, 1H), 5.66 (t, *J* = 2.5 Hz, 1H), 4.79–4.78 (m, 2H), 3.92–3.88 (m, 4H), 2.88–2.83 (m, 1H), 2.49–2.48 (m, 1H), 2.40–2.34 (m, 3H), 2.08–2.03 (m, 5H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.67–1.64 (s, 5H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 204.2, 147.5, 141.7, 136.8, 133.4, 127.7, 120.9, 111.7, 64.9, 64.5, 47.3, 47.2, 36.4, 35.9, 30.7, 27.9, 26.6, 20.1 (2 resolved signals); IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2967.8, 2919.9, 2857.8, 2831.6, 2716.8, 1721.1, 1644.3, 1449.7, 1376.7, 1317.6, 1216.6, 1142.2, 1088.5, 1044.2, 1025.3, 948.2, 926.2, 892.1, 855.7 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₉H₂₆O₃Na [M+Na]⁺: 325.1774, found 325.1764.



Enone 57: To a 0 °C solution of ketal 68 (345 mg, 1.34 mmol) in THF (10 mL) were sequentially added a pre-made solution of AcOH (0.8 mL, 13.40 mmol, 10 equiv) and H₂O (1 mL) followed by solid oxalic acid•dihydrate (169 mg, 1.0 equiv). The reaction was religiously monitored by TLC until deemed complete (ca. 5-10 min) after which it was quickly poured into ice-cold sat. aq. Na₂CO₃ (30 mL). (Note: prolonged reaction times result in rapid product decomposition.) The mixture was extracted with Et_2O (3 X 30 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated in vacuo. The crude residue was purified by column chromatography (20% EtOAc in hexanes) to provide enone 57 as a pale yellow oil (234.3 mg, 68% yield); $R_f = 0.55$ (30% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ 1.5 (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.52 (s, 1H), 7.34 (t, J = 2.8 Hz, 1H), 4.82 (s, 2H), 3.00–2.93 (m, 1H), 2.65–2.63 (m, 2H), 2.43–2.41 (m, 2H), 2.31–2.23 (m, 2H), 2.17–2.09 (m, 2H), 2.03–2.01 (m, 1H), 1.70–1.67 (m, 5H), 1.50 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) & 209.0, 202.8, 161.9, 147.1, 146.5, 134.1, 124.9, 112.2, 47.3, 47.1, 36.2, 34.5, 30.7, 26.8, 26.1, 21.1, 19.9; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3071.3, 2920.7, 2715.1, 1697.5, 1644.9, 1436.1, 1407.7, 1377.7, 1297.7, 1267.7, 1195.4, 1092.7, 1054.5, 1010.1, 928.3, 896.8, 790.2 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) m/z calc'd for C₁₇H₂₂O₂Na [M+Na]⁺: 281.1512, found 281.1504.



Tricycle 63: To a vial containing catalyst A (16 mg, 15 mol %) under N₂ was added a solution of enone 57 (75 mg, 0.29 mmol) in dioxane (5 mL). To the stirring reaction was added catalytic 1,1,3,3-tetramethylguanidine (TMG, 5 µL, 14 mol %). The resulting yellow solution was stirred at 23 °C for 1 h after which it was heated to 35 °C and stirred for an additional 1 h. The solution was further heated to 45 °C and stirred for 12 h. Upon completion, the resulting diastereomeric mixture was treated with 2N aq. HCl (5 mL) and heated to 60 °C until deemed complete by TLC (ca. 48 h). The reaction was diluted with H₂O (20 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 X 20 mL). (Note: the product will remain in the aqueous layer if neutralized with base). The combined organic layers were dried (MgSO₄), concentrated *in vacuo*, and purified by column chromatography (15%) EtOAc in hexanes) to afford ene-dione 63 as a viscous yellow oil (31 mg, 41% yield; $R_f = 0.65$ (20% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_{D^{25}}$ -111.5 (c 0.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 4.81 (s, 1H), 4.76 (s, 1H), 2.93–2.58 (m, 6H), 2.28–1.98 (m, 4H), 1.84 (s, 3H), 1.71–1.54 (m, 7H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) & 207.4, 199.5, 157.6, 151.6, 146.3, 142.6, 121.4, 112.3, 50.1, 45.6, 40.9, 36.0, 33.8, 27.1, 23.3, 22.2, 15.3; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2919.9, 2891.1, 1715.8, 1677.2, 1642.8, 1438.0, 1251.6, 1200.2, 114.3, 893.0 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₇H₂₂O₂ [M+H]⁺: 259.1698, found 259.1686.



Olefin 72: To a 0 °C mixture of methyltriphenylphosphonium bromide (429 mg, 1.20 mmol, 1.5 equiv) in THF (4 mL) was added 1M KOt-Bu in THF (1.0 mL, 1.3 equiv). The reagent mixture was stirred for 10 min after which a solution of aldehyde 68 (242 mg, 0.8 mmol) in THF (4 mL) was added dropwise over 1 min. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C until deemed complete by TLC (ca. 1 h). Subsequently, a solution of AcOH in H₂O (1:1, 2 mL) was added, followed by solid oxalic acid•dihydrate (100 mg, 1.0 equiv). The resulting mixture was stirred at 0 °C until deemed complete by TLC (ca. 1 h) after which it was poured into 2N Na₂CO₃ (20 mL), extracted with Et₂O (3 X 20 mL), dried (MgSO₄), and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude product was purified by column chromatography (15%-20% EtOAc in hexanes) to provide enone 72 as a pale yellow oil (174.1 mg, 85% yield); $R_f = 0.50$ (20% EtOAc in hexanes); $[\alpha]_D^{25} - 31.9$ (c 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, $CDCl_3$ δ 7.31 (s, 1H), 5.61–5.51 (m, 1H), 4.90–4.75 (m, 4H), 2.63–2.61 (m, 2H), 2.50–2.42 (m, 1H), 2.41–2.40 (m, 2H), 2.20–2.13 (m, 1H), 2.05–2.00 (m, 3H), 1.68 (s, 3H), 1.67–1.60 (m, 2H), 1.48 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) & 208.7, 160.4, 147.8, 146.8, 136.9, 133.3, 125.9, 115.9, 110.9, 43.9, 39.7, 36.2, 34.6, 30.2, 26.6, 25.1, 21.0, 20.4; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3071.6, 2974.8, 2924.2, 2859.1, 1703.5, 1642.8, 1440.1, 1406.5, 1375.8, 1297.7, 1255.9, 1195.0, 1093.5, 1001.1, 908.5, 889.4, 790.9 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₈H₂₅O [M+H]⁺: 257.1905, found 257.1899.



Tricycle 73: In a nitrogen-filled glovebox, anhydrous CeCl₃ (149 mg, 0.61 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added to the reaction vessel. The vessel was sealed, removed from the glove box, and placed under a N₂ atmosphere. To the solid CeCl₃ was added a solution of enone **72** (183 mg, 0.61 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (6 mL, 0.1M). The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and stirred for several min, after which it was treated with 1M vinylmagnesium bromide in THF (1.2 mL, 3.0 equiv). The reaction was stirred at the designated temperature until deemed complete by TLC (ca. 30 min). (Note: In cases where the reaction remained incomplete, an additional 1 equiv of vinyl Grignard solution was added). Upon completion, the reaction was quenched by addition of sat. aq. NH₄Cl, extracted with Et₂O (3 X 30 mL), dried (Na₂SO₄), and concentrated *in vacuo*. The crude residue was partially purified by passing through a plug of silica (20% EtOAc in hexanes) to provide *bis*-allyl alcohol **89** as a 1:1 mixture of diastereomers. The mixture was committed to the next reaction without further purification.

The crude mixture was dissolved in benchtop CH_2Cl_2 (6 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. Under air, the reaction was treated with PDC (451 mg, 1.20 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and celite (100 mg), and the mixture was allowed to warm to 23 °C over 2 h. Upon completion, the black mixture was passed through a plug of silica (CH_2Cl_2), concentrated *in vacuo*, and subjected to column chromatography (15% EtOAc in hexanes) to provide dienone **90** as a pale yellow oil (82 mg) that was satisfactorily pure for the next reaction.

A reaction vessel was charged with Hoveyda–Grubbs II catalyst (6.9 mg, 5 mol %). Upon purging with N_2 , a solution of semi-pure dienone **90** (82 mg) in THF (10 mL) was added, and the

resulting solution was heated to 40 °C for 12 h. Upon completion, the solution was allowed to cool to 23 °C, and the catalyst was quenched by addition of ethyl vinyl ether (2 drops). After stirring for 5 min, the solution was concentrated *in vacuo*, and the resulting residue was purified by column chromatography (10%–20% EtOAc in hexanes) to provide tricycle **73** as a pale yellow oil (39 mg, 25% yield over 3 steps), which could be crystallized from hexanes (35 °C to 4 °C); R_f = 0.40 (20% EtOAc in hexanes); [α]_D²⁵–168.5 (*c* 1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 6.27–6.23 (m, 1H), 6.07–6.04 (m, 1H), 4.81–4.73 (m, 2H), 2.62–2.50 (m, 2H), 2.49–2.40 (m, 5H), 2.17–2.14 (m, 2H), 1.97–1.93 (m, 1H), 1.78–1.62 (m, 5H), 1.52 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 206.3, 165.7, 147.3, 142.4, 141.2, 133.9, 125.7, 124.6, 111.2, 46.2, 41.6, 39.6, 35.3, 31.8, 30.0, 23.6, 22.8, 21.3; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3009.8, 2912.5, 1696.9, 1585.0, 1430.0, 1318.2, 1295.2, 1112.2, 894.9 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-TOF) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₈H₂₂ONa [M+Na]⁺: 277.1563, found 277.1572.

1.7 NOTES AND REFERENCES

- (1) Fairless, D. *Nature* **2007**, 652–655.
- Naengchomnong, W.; Thebtaranonth, Y.; Wiriyachitra, P.; Okamoto, K. T.; Clardy, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1986, 27, 2439–2442.
- (3) (a) Chianese, G.; Fattorusso, E.; Aiyelaagbe, O. O.; Luciano, P.; Schröder, H. C.; Müller, W. E. G.; Taglialatela-Scafati, O. *Org. Lett.* 2011, *13*, 316–319; (b) Liu, J.-Q.; Yang, Y.-F.; Li, X.-Y.; Liu, E.-Q.; Li, Z.-R.; Zhou, L.; Li, Y.; Qiu, M.-H., *Phytochemistry* 2013, *96*, 265–272; (c) Picha, P.; Naengchomnong, W.; Promratanapongse, P.; Kano, E.; Hayashi, S.; Ohtsubo, T.; Zhang, S. W.; Shioura, H.; Kitai, R.; Matsumoto, H.; Kawahara, K.; Puribhat, S.; Phanthumachinda, P. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 1996, *15*, 177–183.
- (4) Li, Y.; Dai, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 11624–11627.
- Revised structures of curcusones I and J were recently proposed, see: Sarotti, A. M. Org.
 Biomol. Chem. 2018, *16*, 944–950.
- (6) Wright, A. C.; Lee, C. W.; Stoltz, B. M. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 9658–9662.
- Wang, Q.; Fan, S. Y.; Wong, H. N. C.; Li, Z.; Fung, B. M.; Twieg, R. J.; Nguyen, H. T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* 1993, 49, 619–638.
- (8) Comins, D. L.; Dehghani, A.; Foti, C. J.; Joseph, S. P. Org. Synth. 1997, 74, 77–80.
- (9) Karaarslan, M.; Gokturk, E.; Demircan, A. J. Chem. Res. 2007, 117–120.

- (10) Seigel, C.; Gordon, P. M.; Razdan, R. K. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5428–5430.
- (11) Coupling partner (+)-20 was prepared using (S)-Me-CBS catalyst.
- (12) Reversible Meerwein–Ponnderf–Verley reduction consistently favored the unwanted *trans* isomer, indicating that it is the thermodynamically favored epimer.
- (13) All non-asymmetric and irreversible reactions resulted in the *trans* isomer as the predominant diastereomeric product, suggesting that its formation is kinetically favored.
- (14) The fragile boronate functionality was incompatible toward most alcohol protection/deprotection strategies. The sole exception to this was found with PMB-based protecting groups, which could be delicately excised under pH-buffered conditions using DDQ.
- (15) Similar decomposition pathways were observed during our campaign toward ineleganolide, see: Craig II, R. A. C.; Roizen, J. L.; Smith, R. C.; Jones, A. C.; Virgil, S. C. Chem. Sci. 2017, 8, 507–514.
- (16) Xu, X.; Deng, Y.; Yim, D. N.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Doyle, M. P. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 2196–2201.
- (17) (a) Oesterreich, K.; Klein, I.; Spitzner, D. Synlett 2002, 1712–1713; (b) Kauffmann, T.;
 Papenberg, M.; Wieschollek, R.; Sander, J. Chem. Ber. 1992, 125, 143–148.
- (18) Denney, D. B.; Sherman, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 30, 3760–3761.
- (19) Wright, A. C.; Stoltz, B. M. Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 10562–10565.

- (20) Lee, C. W.; Taylor, B. L. H.; Petrova, G. P.; Patel, A.; Morokuma, K.; Houk, K. N.; Stoltz,
 B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 6995–7004.
- (21) Shi, L.-L.; Shen, H.-J.; Fang, L.-C.; Huang, J.; Li, C.-C.; Yang, Z. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 8806–8808.
- (22) Smith, III, A. B.; Branca, S. J.; Pilla, N. N.; Guaciaro, M. A. Org. Lett. 1982, 47, 1855–1869.
- (23) Ackerman, L. K. G.; Lovell, M. M.; Weix, D. J. Nature 2015, 524, 454–457.
- (24) Poremba, K. E.; Kadunce, N. T.; Suzuki, N.; Cherney, A. H.; Reisman, S. E. J. Am. Chem.
 Soc. 2017, 139, 5684–5687.
- (25) Anka-Lufford, L. L.; Huihui, K. M. M.; Gower, N. J.; Ackerman, L. K. G.; Weix, D. J. Chem. Eur. J. 2016, 22, 11564–11567.
- (26) Everson, D. A.; Weix, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 4793–4798.
- (27) Olivares, A. M.; Weix, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 2446–2449.
- (28) Levine, S. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 6150–6151.
- (29) Still, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1481–1487.
- (30) Oldenziel, O. H.; Van Leusen, D.; Van Leusen, A. M. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 3114–3118.
- (31) Kantorowski, E. J.; Kurth, M. J. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 4317–4353.

- (32) Marion, N.; Díez-González, S.; Nolan, S. P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2988–3000.
- (33) The following 1,2-adducts were obtained in roughly equal proportions:



- (34) (a) Babler, J. H.; Coghlan, M. J. Synth. Commun. 1976, 6, 469–474; (b) Dauben, W. G.;
 Michno, D. M. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 682–685.
- (35) The following aldehyde isomer was continually observed as a minor byproduct from the oxidative transposition:



- (36) Yu, M.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2783–2785.
- (37) Although insufficient spectral data has yet been collected, we strongly suspect that the following enone product (highlighted in blue) arises from protic quenching of enolate **76**:



(38) Davis, F. A. *Tetrahedron* **2018**, *74*, 3198–3214.

- (39) Williamson, K. S.; Michaelis, D. J.; Yoon, T. P. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 8016–8036.
- Morcillo, S. P.; Miguel, D.; Campana, A. G.; de Cienfuegos, L. A.; Justicia, J.; Cuerva, J. M. Org. Chem. Front. 2014, 1, 15–33.
- (41) Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J.
 Organometallics 1996, 15, 1518–1520.
- (42) Davies, H. M. L.; Cantrell, R. W.; Jr.; Romines, R. K.; and Baum, S. J. Org. Synth. 1992, 70, 93–100; Coll. Vol. IX 1998, 422-426.
- (43) Charles, R. G. J. Org. Chem. 1957, 22, 677–679.
- (44) McUliffe, C. A.; Hosseiny, A.; McCullough, F. P. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1979, 33, 5–10.
- (45) Khan, S.; Kato, N.; Hirama, M. Synlett 2000, 1494–1496.

APPENDIX 1

Synthetic Summary Toward the Total Synthesis of Curcusone C



Scheme A1.1. Retrosynthetic Analysis of ent-Curcusone C (ent-3) via Rearrangement

Scheme A1.2. 1st Generation Synthesis of Diazo 34



Scheme A1.3. Undesired Hetero-Diels–Alder of Enone 27



Scheme A1.4. 2nd Generation Approach toward ent-1-4



Scheme A1.5. 3rd Generation Assembly of Bicycle **19**







SCHEME A1.7. Construction of Tricycle **49** by Lactone Opening and Rearrangement



Scheme A1.8. Envisioned Oxidative Cleavage Sequence on Diol 49



Scheme A1.9. 2nd Generation Retrosynthesis of 3



Scheme A1.10. Synthesis of Coupling Partners 59 and 60



0 Br 59	TfO NiBr2·diglyme (7 mol %) EtO2C PdCl2(PPh3)2 (7 mol %) Zn powder, KF DMF, 85 °C, 12 h		
Entry	Deviation from standard procedure	re Result	
1	none	23% yield	
2	No Pd	no product	
3	No Ni	no product	
4	dioxane instead of DMF	no product	
5	Nal instead of KF	trace <i>58</i>	
6	no KF	trace <i>58</i>	
7	TDAE* instead of Zn	no product	
8	4 equiv of <i>59</i>	32% yield	
9	syringe pump addition of 59	60% yield	

Table A1.1. Initial Optimization of the Cross-Electrophile Coupling

*TDAE = tetrakis(dimethylamino)ethylene

Scheme A1.11. Further Optimization of the Reductive Coupling on Multigram Scale



Scheme A1.12. Preparation of Stetter Precursor 57



[онс-	57	$ = \frac{ \sum_{n=1}^{N} }{\frac{65}{condin}} $	⊕ BF₄ tions H	0 H J 56 ntative structural assignment)
	entry	R	catalyst loading	conditions	result*
	1	Ph	30 mol %	DBU, dioxane 80 °C, 12 h	17% yield 1:1 dr
	2	C_6F_5	30 mol %	LiHMDS, PhMe 70 °C, 12 h	1,2-adduct
	3	C_6F_5	20 mol %	DBU, THF 30 °C, 30 min	24% yield 5:1 dr
	4	C ₆ F ₅	5 mol %	DBU, THF, 0 °C–23°C 12 h	incomplete conversion
	5	C_6F_5	15 mol %	TMG, THF 23 °C–45 °C	50–60% yield 2:1 dr

Table A1.2. Optimization of the Catalytic Stetter Reaction on Ketoaldehyde 57

*Relative stereochemistry at the 5–6 juncture was identified by ¹H NMR, however the absolute stereochemistry could not be determined.

Scheme A1.13. Unsuccessful Ring Expansion of Ene-dione 70





Scheme A1.14. Construction of Tricycle **73** via an RCM Approach

Scheme A1.15. Divergent Oxidation Strategies to Form Ene-dione 81





Scheme A1.16. Divergent Advancement of 81 to Curcusones A–D

APPENDIX 2

Spectra Relevant to Chapter 1:

Evolving Strategies Toward the Synthesis of Curcusone C







Figure A3.24 Inflater specement (Tim) (Him, FNaCI) a Cido approved 27



‡29 129













Figure A3.52 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of compound 60 Figure A3258 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of compound 60













Figure A3458 4 Infrared spectrum This Fifth Nata Circomposed 24



137 82 137





Fig Fisuas A3117 Auffared spectrum Thin Film NAGOOF 69000000 BBd ent-64














Figures Ade 2931 And rate of second second second and the second second







F Hinger A 3.3.02 Infrared spectrum (thin film, NaCI) of compound 471





































Fignera 3A2 44 nfrared spectrum (thin film/NaCh) of componend 90













Fistigere 3224 Altraced spectrum (thin film), NGG) & for on point 84581









87.0 86 8 84 82 80. 78 76. 74. 72 70 %T 68. %T 66. 64 62. 60. 58_ 56. 54 52.8 600.0 3000 2000 1500 1000 4000.0 cm-1 52.8<u>4</u> 4000.0 3000 2000 1500 1000 600.0 cm-1 Figure A2.50 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound **18** Figure A3.97 Infrared spectrum (thin film/NaCl) of compound **75** ppm QANYARAD MANAKATIKA la Marantain Asha Willinuskawi แกรงสุดอาสารณ์เกิดให ¹⁸⁰ Figure A3.98¹⁴¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound **75** Figure A2.51¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound **18** Figure A3.98⁻¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound **75** ò 200 180 20











Fig Figure AD. 38 In Infred Specktum (Ahin Film, NSCI) 86 ESApparada 83 83

























Figure A2.66 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 60



Figure A2.67 ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound 60







Figure A2.69 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 58



Figure A2.70 ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound **58**









Figure A2.73 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 67



Figure A2.74 ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound 67




S15





Figure A2.77 ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound 68







Figure A2.79 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 57



Figure A2.80 ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound **57**





Figure A2.83 ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound 63





Figure A2.85 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 72



Figure A2.86 ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound 72





132



Figure A2.88 Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 73



Figure A2.89 ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound **73**



APPENDIX 3

X-Ray Crystallography Data Relevant to Chapter 1

A3.1 CRYSTAL STRUCTURE ANALYSIS OF 73



Low-temperature diffraction data (f- and w-scans) were collected on a Bruker AXS D8 VENTURE KAPPA diffractometer coupled to a PHOTON II CPAD detector with Cu K_a radiation (1 = 1.54178 Å) from an I μ S micro-source for the structure of compound **73**. The structure was solved by direct methods using SHELX¹ and refined against F^2 on all data by full-matrix least squares with SHELXL-2017² using established refinement techniques.³ All non-hydrogen atoms were refined anisotropically. All hydrogen atoms were included into the model at geometrically calculated positions and refined using a riding model. The isotropic displacement parameters of all hydrogen atoms were fixed to 1.2 times the *U* value of the atoms they are linked to (1.5 times for methyl groups). Compound **73** crystallizes in the orthorhombic space group $P2_12_12_1$ with one molecule in the asymmetric unit.

Identification code	73	
Empirical formula	C18 H22 O	
Formula weight	254.35	
Temperature	100(2) K	
Wavelength	1.54178 Å	
Crystal system	Orthorhombic	
Space group	P212121	
Unit cell dimensions	a = 6.7708(6) Å	a= 90°.
	b = 10.8979(10) Å	b=90°.
	c = 19.414(2) Å	g = 90°.
Volume	1432.5(2) Å ³	
Ζ	4	

Table A3.1.1. Crystal data and structure refinement for 73.

Density (calculated)	1.179 Mg/m ³
Absorption coefficient	0.541 mm ⁻¹
F(000)	552
Crystal size	0.350 x 0.300 x 0.150 mm ³
Theta range for data collection	4.555 to 74.559°.
Index ranges	-8<=h<=8, -13<=k<=13, -24<=l<=24
Reflections collected	48838
Independent reflections	2938 [R(int) = 0.0345]
Completeness to theta = 67.679°	99.9 %
Absorption correction	Semi-empirical from equivalents
Max. and min. transmission	0.7538 and 0.6977
Refinement method	Full-matrix least-squares on F ²
Data / restraints / parameters	2938 / 0 / 174
Goodness-of-fit on F ²	1.059
Final R indices [I>2sigma(I)]	R1 = 0.0282, wR2 = 0.0699
R indices (all data)	R1 = 0.0283, wR2 = 0.0700
Absolute structure parameter	0.10(4)
Extinction coefficient	n/a
Largest diff. peak and hole	0.172 and -0.158 e.Å ⁻³

Table A3.1.2. Atomic coordinates ($x \ 10^4$) and equivalent isotropic displacement parameters ($A^2x \ 10^3$) for **73**. U(eq) is defined as one third of the trace of the orthogonalized U^{ij} tensor.

	Х	У	Z	U(eq)	
C(1)	7111(2)	2852(1)	6676(1)	16(1)	
C(2)	5291(2)	2699(1)	7094(1)	18(1)	
O(1)	4865(2)	1814(1)	7449(1)	25(1)	
C(3)	4012(2)	3832(1)	6999(1)	23(1)	
C(4)	5034(2)	4576(1)	6436(1)	22(1)	
C(5)	6946(2)	3901(1)	6303(1)	17(1)	
C(6)	8389(2)	4420(1)	5831(1)	20(1)	
C(7)	9894(2)	3860(1)	5516(1)	21(1)	
C(8)	10489(2)	2534(1)	5518(1)	22(1)	
C(9)	9146(2)	1577(1)	5867(1)	16(1)	
C(10)	10099(2)	302(1)	5786(1)	18(1)	
C(15)	8664(2)	-766(1)	5788(1)	18(1)	
C(16)	6898(2)	-743(1)	6084(1)	22(1)	
C(17)	9404(3)	-1896(1)	5419(1)	27(1)	
C(11)	11718(2)	133(1)	6336(1)	21(1)	
C(12)	10898(2)	276(1)	7063(1)	20(1)	
C(13)	9533(2)	1357(1)	7152(1)	17(1)	

C(18)	9244(2)	1734(1)	7891(1)	21(1)
C(14)	8673(2)	1915(1)	6613(1)	15(1)

Table A3.1.3. Bond lengths [Å] and angles [°] for **73**.

C(1)-C(5)	1.3575(18)
C(1)-C(14)	1.4751(18)
C(1)-C(2)	1.4843(18)
C(2)-O(1)	1.2201(18)
C(2)-C(3)	1.5198(19)
C(3)-C(4)	1.526(2)
C(3)-H(3A)	0.9900
C(3)-H(3B)	0.9900
C(4)-C(5)	1.5119(19)
C(4)-H(4A)	0.9900
C(4)-H(4B)	0.9900
C(5)-C(6)	1.454(2)
C(6)-C(7)	1.335(2)
C(6)-H(6)	0.9500
C(7)-C(8)	1.500(2)
С(7)-Н(7)	0.9500
C(8)-C(9)	1.5408(18)
C(8)-H(8A)	0.9900
C(8)-H(8B)	0.9900
C(9)-C(14)	1.5278(18)
C(9)-C(10)	1.5411(17)

C(9)-H(9)	1.0000
C(10)-C(15)	1.5166(19)
C(10)-C(11)	1.5414(19)
C(10)-H(10)	1.0000
C(15)-C(16)	1.327(2)
C(15)-C(17)	1.5105(19)
C(16)-H(16A)	0.9500
C(16)-H(16B)	0.9500
C(17)-H(17A)	0.9800
C(17)-H(17B)	0.9800
C(17)-H(17C)	0.9800
C(11)-C(12)	1.524(2)
C(11)-H(11A)	0.9900
C(11)-H(11B)	0.9900
C(12)-C(13)	1.5073(19)
C(12)-H(12A)	0.9900
C(12)-H(12B)	0.9900
C(13)-C(14)	1.3436(18)
C(13)-C(18)	1.5048(19)
C(18)-H(18A)	0.9800
C(18)-H(18B)	0.9800
C(18)-H(18C)	0.9800
C(5)-C(1)-C(14)	126.68(12)

C(5)-C(1)-C(2)	108.52(12)
C(14)-C(1)-C(2)	124.24(11)
O(1)-C(2)-C(1)	126.43(13)
O(1)-C(2)-C(3)	125.18(13)
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	108.39(11)
C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	105.08(12)
C(2)-C(3)-H(3A)	110.7
C(4)-C(3)-H(3A)	110.7
C(2)-C(3)-H(3B)	110.7
C(4)-C(3)-H(3B)	110.7
H(3A)-C(3)-H(3B)	108.8
C(5)-C(4)-C(3)	104.58(11)
C(5)-C(4)-H(4A)	110.8
C(3)-C(4)-H(4A)	110.8
C(5)-C(4)-H(4B)	110.8
C(3)-C(4)-H(4B)	110.8
H(4A)-C(4)-H(4B)	108.9
C(1)-C(5)-C(6)	127.50(13)
C(1)-C(5)-C(4)	112.91(12)
C(6)-C(5)-C(4)	119.56(12)
C(7)-C(6)-C(5)	128.54(13)
C(7)-C(6)-H(6)	115.7
C(5)-C(6)-H(6)	115.7

C(6)-C(7)-C(8)	130.09(13)
С(6)-С(7)-Н(7)	115.0
С(8)-С(7)-Н(7)	115.0
C(7)-C(8)-C(9)	119.62(12)
C(7)-C(8)-H(8A)	107.4
C(9)-C(8)-H(8A)	107.4
C(7)-C(8)-H(8B)	107.4
C(9)-C(8)-H(8B)	107.4
H(8A)-C(8)-H(8B)	106.9
C(14)-C(9)-C(8)	112.21(11)
C(14)-C(9)-C(10)	113.70(11)
C(8)-C(9)-C(10)	108.55(11)
С(14)-С(9)-Н(9)	107.4
C(8)-C(9)-H(9)	107.4
С(10)-С(9)-Н(9)	107.4
C(15)-C(10)-C(9)	115.06(11)
C(15)-C(10)-C(11)	111.22(11)
C(9)-C(10)-C(11)	109.56(11)
C(15)-C(10)-H(10)	106.9
C(9)-C(10)-H(10)	106.9
С(11)-С(10)-Н(10)	106.9
C(16)-C(15)-C(17)	121.35(13)
C(16)-C(15)-C(10)	124.36(13)

- C(17)-C(15)-C(10) 114.29(12)
- C(15)-C(16)-H(16A) 120.0
- С(15)-С(16)-Н(16В) 120.0
- H(16A)-C(16)-H(16B) 120.0
- С(15)-С(17)-Н(17А) 109.5
- С(15)-С(17)-Н(17В) 109.5
- H(17A)-C(17)-H(17B) 109.5
- С(15)-С(17)-Н(17С) 109.5
- H(17A)-C(17)-H(17C) 109.5
- H(17B)-C(17)-H(17C) 109.5
- C(12)-C(11)-C(10) 111.74(11)
- С(12)-С(11)-Н(11А) 109.3
- С(10)-С(11)-Н(11А) 109.3
- С(12)-С(11)-Н(11В) 109.3
- С(10)-С(11)-Н(11В) 109.3
- H(11A)-C(11)-H(11B) 107.9
- C(13)-C(12)-C(11) 114.21(11)
- C(13)-C(12)-H(12A) 108.7
- С(11)-С(12)-Н(12А) 108.7
- C(13)-C(12)-H(12B) 108.7
- С(11)-С(12)-Н(12В) 108.7
- H(12A)-C(12)-H(12B) 107.6
- C(14)-C(13)-C(18) 124.23(12)

- C(14)-C(13)-C(12) 122.00(12)
- C(18)-C(13)-C(12) 113.77(12)
- C(13)-C(18)-H(18A) 109.5
- С(13)-С(18)-Н(18В) 109.5
- H(18A)-C(18)-H(18B) 109.5
- С(13)-С(18)-Н(18С) 109.5
- H(18A)-C(18)-H(18C) 109.5
- H(18B)-C(18)-H(18C) 109.5
- C(13)-C(14)-C(1) 124.00(12)
- C(13)-C(14)-C(9) 122.59(12)
- C(1)-C(14)-C(9) 113.32(11)

Table A3.1.4. Anisotropic displacement parameters $Å^2x \ 10^3$) for **73**. The anisotropic displacement factor exponent takes the form: $-2p^2[h^2 a^{*2}U^{11} + ... + 2h k a^* b^* U^{12}]$

	U11	U22	U33	U23	U13	U12	
C(1)	19(1)	14(1)	14(1)	-3(1)	-2(1)	-2(1)	
C(2)	20(1)	18(1)	17(1)	-3(1)	-1(1)	0(1)	
O(1)	25(1)	23(1)	28(1)	5(1)	6(1)	-2(1)	
C(3)	24(1)	21(1)	24(1)	-3(1)	2(1)	5(1)	
C(4)	26(1)	18(1)	22(1)	-2(1)	-2(1)	5(1)	
C(5)	22(1)	14(1)	15(1)	-4(1)	-3(1)	0(1)	
C(6)	28(1)	14(1)	18(1)	2(1)	-4(1)	-3(1)	
C(7)	26(1)	18(1)	19(1)	4(1)	1(1)	-6(1)	
C(8)	26(1)	18(1)	21(1)	2(1)	7(1)	-1(1)	
C(9)	17(1)	14(1)	16(1)	2(1)	1(1)	0(1)	
C(10)	18(1)	16(1)	18(1)	0(1)	4(1)	2(1)	
C(15)	23(1)	16(1)	17(1)	1(1)	-2(1)	2(1)	
C(16)	21(1)	19(1)	27(1)	-1(1)	-2(1)	-3(1)	
C(17)	34(1)	18(1)	30(1)	-4(1)	2(1)	2(1)	
C(11)	14(1)	19(1)	30(1)	1(1)	0(1)	2(1)	
C(12)	19(1)	18(1)	24(1)	4(1)	-5(1)	0(1)	
C(13)	16(1)	16(1)	19(1)	1(1)	-2(1)	-4(1)	
C(18)	28(1)	19(1)	17(1)	2(1)	-5(1)	-3(1)	

	C(14)	15(1)	13(1)	16(1)	1(1)	0(1)	-3(1
--	-------	-------	-------	-------	------	------	------

	Х	У	Z	U(eq)	
H(3A)	3934	4309	7432	28	
H(3B)	2659	3601	6856	28	
H(4A)	4214	4607	6015	26	
H(4B)	5293	5425	6594	26	
H(6)	8241	5270	5734	24	
H(7)	10716	4384	5250	25	
H(8A)	11803	2480	5739	26	
H(8B)	10660	2280	5032	26	
H(9)	7869	1565	5608	19	
H(10)	10775	294	5328	21	
H(16A)	6071	-1447	6066	27	
H(16B)	6461	-23	6314	27	
H(17A)	8433	-2558	5465	41	
H(17B)	10662	-2156	5622	41	
H(17C)	9599	-1708	4930	41	
H(11A)	12311	-693	6287	25	
H(11B)	12773	747	6261	25	
H(12A)	10176	-482	7187	24	
H(12B)	12017	364	7387	24	
H(18A)	8262	2394	7915	32	
H(18B)	10501	2027	8080	32	

Table A3.1.5. Hydrogen coordinates ($x \ 10^4$) and isotropic displacement parameters ($\mathring{A}^2 x \ 10^3$) for **73**.

H(18C)	8782	1029	8159	32

Table A3.1.6. Torsion angles [°] for 73.

C(5)-C(1)-C(2)-O(1)	173.96(14)
C(14)-C(1)-C(2)-O(1)	2.0(2)
C(5)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	-5.26(15)
C(14)-C(1)-C(2)-C(3)	-177.21(12)
O(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	-172.03(14)
C(1)-C(2)-C(3)-C(4)	7.20(14)
C(2)-C(3)-C(4)-C(5)	-6.34(14)
C(14)-C(1)-C(5)-C(6)	-9.2(2)
C(2)-C(1)-C(5)-C(6)	179.09(13)
C(14)-C(1)-C(5)-C(4)	172.73(12)
C(2)-C(1)-C(5)-C(4)	1.03(15)
C(3)-C(4)-C(5)-C(1)	3.51(15)
C(3)-C(4)-C(5)-C(6)	-174.72(12)
C(1)-C(5)-C(6)-C(7)	20.2(2)
C(4)-C(5)-C(6)-C(7)	-161.89(14)
C(5)-C(6)-C(7)-C(8)	4.0(3)
C(6)-C(7)-C(8)-C(9)	6.5(2)
C(7)-C(8)-C(9)-C(14)	-53.97(17)
C(7)-C(8)-C(9)-C(10)	179.52(12)
C(14)-C(9)-C(10)-C(15)	81.49(14)
C(8)-C(9)-C(10)-C(15)	-152.87(11)

C(14)-C(9)-C(10)-C(11)	-44.68(15)
C(8)-C(9)-C(10)-C(11)	80.97(14)
C(9)-C(10)-C(15)-C(16)	-24.94(19)
C(11)-C(10)-C(15)-C(16)	100.38(16)
C(9)-C(10)-C(15)-C(17)	155.08(12)
C(11)-C(10)-C(15)-C(17)	-79.61(15)
C(15)-C(10)-C(11)-C(12)	-70.33(14)
C(9)-C(10)-C(11)-C(12)	58.00(14)
C(10)-C(11)-C(12)-C(13)	-44.76(16)
C(11)-C(12)-C(13)-C(14)	18.31(18)
C(11)-C(12)-C(13)-C(18)	-161.66(12)
C(18)-C(13)-C(14)-C(1)	-9.3(2)
C(12)-C(13)-C(14)-C(1)	170.73(12)
C(18)-C(13)-C(14)-C(9)	174.39(13)
C(12)-C(13)-C(14)-C(9)	-5.6(2)
C(5)-C(1)-C(14)-C(13)	138.53(14)
C(2)-C(1)-C(14)-C(13)	-51.01(19)
C(5)-C(1)-C(14)-C(9)	-44.87(18)
C(2)-C(1)-C(14)-C(9)	125.60(13)
C(8)-C(9)-C(14)-C(13)	-104.09(14)
C(10)-C(9)-C(14)-C(13)	19.59(18)
C(8)-C(9)-C(14)-C(1)	79.25(14)
C(10)-C(9)-C(14)-C(1)	-157.07(11)

- (1) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Cryst. 1990, A46, 467–473.
- (2) Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Cryst. 2015, C71, 3-8.
- (3) Müller, P. Crystallography Reviews 2009, 15, 57–83.

CHAPTER 2

Acyl-Amination of Arenes via Aryne Formationⁱ

2.1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

Over the past 50 years, arynes have been of considerable interest to synthetic chemists owing to their diverse modes of reactivity. Although numerous procedures for their preparation are known, the majority of these approaches relies on high temperatures or strong base additives (Scheme 2.1.1).¹ However, in 1983 the Kobayashi group disclosed a comparatively mild procedure for *in situ* aryne preparation utilizing *o*-silylaryl triflates (highlighted in blue).² Thus, treatment with fluoride will result in desilylation with concomitant triflate elimination, providing a highly reactive benzyne intermediate **91**.

⁽i) This research was a collaborative effort between A. C. Wright, C. K. Haley, and G. Lapointe, see reference 14.



Scheme 2.1.1. Classical Preparative Procedures for Benzyne (91)

The insertion of aromatic systems into carbon–carbon and carbon–heteroatom σ bonds is a desirable transformation in organic synthesis.³ In 2005, our group reported an insertion of arenes into β -ketoesters to form acyl-alkylated products using arynes generated *in situ* from *o*-silylphenyl triflates such as **92** under Kobayashi conditions (Scheme 2.1.2).⁴ Following this report, other aryne insertions were disclosed using a variety of substrates, including malononitriles,⁵ α -cyanocarbonyls,⁶ acylated fluorenes⁷ and β -ketosulfones.⁸ More recently, Saito and co-workers developed a procedure for inserting pyridynes into cyclic ureas in order to construct various bicyclic heterocycles.⁹ Herein, we expand the scope of this aryne reaction manifold to include acyclic imides and anhydrides **95** in order to produce ketoamido- and ketoacyloxyarenes **96**. The ketoamide products accessed by this method have been used to generate a variety of valuable structural motifs such as quinolones (**98**),¹⁰ *ortho*-acylanilines (**99**)¹¹ and indoles¹² (**100**, Scheme 2.1.3). Work from the Greaney group demonstrated that the insertion of amides into arynes

afforded similar acyl-aminated products.¹³ However, the scope of their method was limited to *N*-arylated amide substrates, prohibiting subsequent derivatization.





Scheme 2.1.3. Derivatization of Aryl Ketoamides 97



2.2 RESULTS AND DISCUSSION

We initiated our synthetic studies by optimizing conditions for the insertion reaction using acetylacetamide (101) and silylaryl triflate 92 (Table 2.2.1).¹⁴ Implementing CsF as the fluoride source to trigger aryne generation, we observed a mixture of the desired ketoamide 102 and undesired imide byproduct 103. Presumably, 103 is formed via nucleophilic addition of 101 to aryne 91 followed by proton quenching of the resultant aryl anion intermediate (Scheme 2.2.1).

Although all three fluoride reagents afforded the desired product, KF and tetrabutylammonium difluorotriphenylsilicate (TBAT) substantially improved selectivity for the desired amide. Additional screening of solvent and temperature demonstrated that using TBAT in PhMe at 60 °C maximized product yields and minimized byproduct formation (entry 4).

Table 2.2.1. Reaction Optimization



Scheme 2.2.1. Plausible Mechanism for Formation of Desired 102 and Byproduct 103



With optimized conditions in hand, we explored the substrate scope with respect to the imide substrate (Table 2.2.2). Imides possessing either aliphatic or aromatic substituents afforded the

corresponding ketoamides in moderate to good yields (entries 1–5). An acylated urethane also underwent insertion, albeit with reduced yield (entry 6). However, *N*-substituted imides afforded no product (entry 7). Acetic anhydride was found to be a suitable substrate, delivering the corresponding ketoacyloxyarene (entry 8) in 54% yield. Unfortunately, benzoic anhydride failed to provide any insertion product (entry 9).

Table 2.2.2. Imide Substrate Scope^a

ĺ	OTf	+) R ¹	рор х н² 95	TBAT, PhMe 60 °C, 16 h	•	$ \begin{array}{c} 0\\ R^1\\ \\ \\ R^2\\ 0\\ 02, 104a-h \end{array} $
	entry	product	R ¹	R ²	Х	yield (%) ^b
	1	102	Me	Me	NH	89
	2	104a	Et	Et	NH	88
	3	104b	Ph	Ph	NH	68
	4	104c	<i>i</i> -Pr	<i>i</i> -Pr	NH	78
	5	104d	<i>i</i> -Bu	<i>i</i> -Bu	NH	79
	6	104e	OMe	Bn	NH	24
	7	104f	Me	Me	NMe	0
	8	104g	Me	Me	0	54
	9	104h	Ph	Ph	0	0

^aReaction conditions: TBAT (2.0 equiv), **95** (0.08 M in PhMe), and **92** (1.5 equiv), 60 °C, 16 h. ^bAll reported yields are for isolated products.

We next investigated the tolerance of the reaction to other aryne precursors (Table 2.2.3). Gratifyingly, substituted carbocyclic substrates (**105a**–**d**) offered moderate yields of the corresponding aryl ketoamide product (**106a**–**d**). Furthermore, we observed that insertion into an unsymmetrical aryne formed from methoxylated **105d** occurred with good regioselectivity. Unfortunately, the presence of electron-withdrawing fluoride substituents in substrate **105e** failed to undergo insertion.

Table 2.2.3. Aryne Substrate Scope^a



 $^a\text{TBAT}$ (2.0 equiv), 101 (0.08 M in PhMe), and 105 (1.5 equiv), 60 °C, 16 h. ^bAll reported yields are for isolated products.

To demonstrate the synthetic utility of this method, we elaborated several of these acylamide insertion products to substituted quinolones via a base-initiated Camps cyclization in a two-step, one-pot sequence (Figure 2.2.1).⁸ Gratifyingly, quinolones **107a–c** were delivered in moderate yield. Moreover, formation of **107b** and **107c** occurred with high regioselectivity for the aryne insertion, producing a single isolable structural isomer in each case.

Figure 2.2.1 Camps Cyclization of Insertion Products to Provide Quinolones



2.3 CONCLUSION

In summary, we have developed a method for inserting arynes into acyclic imides and anhydrides to generate aryl ketoamides and ketoacyloxyarenes, respectively. These products are capable of further derivatization to provide an array of useful scaffolds such as quinolones, indoles, and ketoanilines. Our laboratory is pursuing further development of this technology as it relates to other derivatizations and application in multi-step synthesis.
2.4 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.4.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Unless otherwise stated, reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under nitrogen atmosphere using dry, deoxygenated solvents (distilled or passed over a column of activated alumina). Reaction temperatures were controlled by an IKAmag temperature modulator. Thin layer chromatography (TLC) was performed using E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 precoated plates (0.25 mm) and visualized by UV fluorescence quenching. SiliaFlash P60 Academic Silica gel (particle size 0.040-0.063 mm) was used for flash chromatography. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded either on a Bruker AV III HD spectrometer equipped with a Prodigy liquid nitrogen temperature cryoprobe (400 MHz and 101 MHz, respectively) or on a Varian Inova 500 (500 MHz and 125 MHz, respectively) and are reported relative to Me₄Si (δ 0.0). IR spectra were recorded on a Perkin Elmer Paragon 1000 Spectrometer and are reported in frequency of absorption (cm⁻¹). Preparatory HPLC was performed using an Agilent 1100 Series HPLC utilizing a Zorbax XDB-C18 column purchased from Agilent Technologies. HRMS were acquired using an Agilent 6200 Series TOF with an Agilent G1978A Multimode source in electrospray ionization (ESI), atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI) or mixed (MM) ionization mode or with a JEOL JMS-600H in fast atom bombardment (FAB+).

2.4.2 PREPARATIVE PROCEDURES

Imide Synthesis and Characterization Data



Imide 109: In air, H₃IO₆ (4.42 g, 19.4 mmol, 6.0 equiv), CrO₃ (16.2 mg, 0.16 mmol, 5.0 mol %), and MeCN (46 mL) were sequentially added to a round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stir bar. The reaction mixture was stirred for 30 minutes at ambient temperature, at which point acetic anhydride (1.8 mL, 19.4 mmol, 6.0 equiv) was added. The mixture was cooled to 0 °C, and **108** (553 mg, 3.23 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added slowly. The resulting mixture was allowed to warm to ambient temperature over 12 h. The reaction was quenched with ice water and extracted with EtOAc (4 x 40 mL). The resulting organic layers were concentrated in vacuo and purified by column chromatography (20% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford **109** (89 mg, 15% yield) as a white solid; $R_f = 0.15$ (10% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.72 (br s, 1H), 2.47 (d, J = 9.4, 4H), 2.14 (heptet, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 0.98 (s, 12 H); ¹³C (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.9, 46.3, 25.2, 22.4. IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3272.4, 3170.7, 2957.7, 2871.5, 1728.6, 1505.7, 1466.8, 1386.4, 1367.0, 1294.4, 1246.8, 1181.5, 1160.6, 1120.1, 1090.0 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₀H₂₀NO₂ [M+H]⁺: 186.1494, found 186.1500.



Urethane 112: In air, 2-phenylacetyl chloride (110, 309.2 mg, 0.26 mL, 2.00 mmol, 1.0 equiv), methyl carbamate (111, 450.4 mg, 6.00 mmol, 3.0 equiv), and PhMe (10 mL) were added.

The reaction vessel was heated to 80 °C for 12 h. The reaction was cooled to 23 °C, concentrated, and purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford **112** (34.1 mg, 9% yield) as white solid; $R_f = 0.40$ (50% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.48 (br s, 1H), 7.36–7.33 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.27 (m, 3H), 4.07 (s, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H); ¹³C (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 152.0, 138.5, 133.3, 129.6, 128.6, 127.4, 110.0, 53.1. IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3246.1, 3172.3, 3014.0, 2259.7, 1788.1, 1757.5, 1686.2, 1520.2, 1455.7, 1257.2, 1216.1, 1192.1, 1144.1, 1049.9, 781.9, 705.3 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI-APCI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₀H₁₂NO₃ [M+H]⁺: 194.0817, found 194.0817.

Aryl Ketoamide Synthesis and Characterization Data



Representative Procedure for Acylamination

A 2-dram vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with TBAT (144.7 mg, 0.268 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and imide **101** (13.6 mg, 0.134 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The vial was purged with nitrogen, and PhMe (1.6 mL) was added via syringe followed by silyl triflate **92** (60.0 mg, 0.201 mmol, 1.5 equiv). The vial was sealed and placed in an aluminum block preheated to 60 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 16 h, then it was allowed to cool to 23 °C. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford **102** (23.6 mg, 89% yield) as a white solid. Characterization data match those previously reported;¹⁵ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.70 (s, 1H), 8.74 (d, *J* = 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 7.56 (dd, *J* = 7.3, 8.6 Hz, 1H), 7.12 (dd, *J* = 8.2, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (s, 3H), 2.23 (s, 3H).



Ethyl ketone 104a: Prepared according to the representative procedure using *N*-propionylpropionamide (17.3 mg, 0.134 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and silyl triflate **92** (60.0 mg, 0.201 mmol, 1.5 equiv). The reaction was purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford **104a** (24.0 mg, 88% yield) as a white solid. Characterization data match those previously reported.¹⁶ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.78 (s, 1H), 8.78 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 9.0 Hz, 1H), 7.57–7.51 (m, 1H), 7.13–7.07 (m, 1H), 3.06 (q, *J* = 7.2 Hz, 2H), 2.50 (q, *J* = 7.6, 2H), 1.31–1.20 (m, 6H).



Phenyl ketone 104b: Prepared according to the representative procedure using *N*-benzoylbenzamide (30.2 mg, 0.134 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and silyl triflate **92** (60.0 mg, 0.201 mmol, 1.5 equiv). The reaction was purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford **104b** (27.4 mg, 68% yield) as a white solid. Characterization data match those previously reported.¹⁷ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.00 (s, 1H), 8.92 (d, *J* = 8.2 Hz, 1H), 8.11–8.08 (m, 2H), 7.74 (t, *J* = 4.3 Hz, 2H), 7.66–7.59 (m, 3H), 7.56–7.49 (m, 5H), 7.14 (s, 1H).



Isopropyl ketone 104c: Prepared according to the representative procedure using *N*-isobutyrylisobutyramide (23.6 mg, 0.150 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and silyl triflate **92** (67.1 mg, 0.225 mmol, 1.5 equiv). Purification was achieved by preparatory HPLC to afford **104c** (27.3 mg, 78% yield) as a white solid; $R_f = 0.4$ (10% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.80 (br s, 1H), 8.79 (d, *J* = 6 Hz, 1H), 7.93 (d, *J* = 6 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, *J* = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, *J* = 6 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (sept, *J* = 6 Hz, 1H), 2.62 (sept, *J* = 6 Hz, 1H), 1.28 (d, *J* = 6 Hz, 6H), 1.23 (d, *J* = 6.0 Hz, 6H); ¹³C (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.2, 176.6, 141.7, 134.8, 130.6, 122.2, 121.1, 120.7, 37.6, 36.3, 19.6 (2 unresolved signals); IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3251.4, 2970.8, 2903.3, 2872.9, 1700.1, 1653.0, 1604.8, 1583.4, 1521.7, 1517.1, 1467.7, 1450.3, 1383.3, 1356.5, 1350.9, 1301.9, 1239.0, 1211.6, 1157.4, 1099.6, 1083.7, 976.8, 755.1 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM: ESI-APCI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₄H₂₀NO₂ [M+H]*: 234.1489, found: 234.1490.



Isobutyryl ketone 104d: Prepared according to the representative procedure using corresponding imide **109** (37.1 mg, 0.200 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and silyl triflate **92** (89.5 mg, 0.300 mmol, 1.5 equiv). Purification was achieved by preparatory HPLC to afford **104d** (41.3 mg, 79% yield) as a white solid. $R_f = 0.30$ (10% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.73 (br s, 1H), 8.77 (d, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (d, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (t, J = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (t, J = 9.8 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (t, J = 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.90 (t, J = 1.6 H

9.8 Hz, 1H), 2.88 (d, *J* = 9.2 Hz, 2H), 2.33–2.19 (m, 4H), 1.03–0.99 (m, 12H); ¹³C (126 MHz, CDCl₃) 205.0, 172.1, 141.0, 134.9, 130.4, 122.2, 121.92, 120.9, 49.0, 48.1, 26.3, 25.6, 22.7, 22.5. IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3255.4, 2957.6, 2929.9, 2870.7, 1698.8, 1651.9, 1583.5, 1520.0, 1450.7, 1386.2, 1366.0, 1298.9, 1281.3, 1258.1, 1201.8, 1163.5, 1114.3, 1003.9, 947.5, 754.1 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM: ESI-APCI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₆H₂₄NO₂ [M+H]⁺: 262.1802, found: 262.1804.



Ester 104e: Prepared according to the representative procedure using carbamate 112 (25.9 mg, 0.134 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and silyl triflate 92 (60.0 mg, 0.201 mmol, 1.5 equiv). The reaction was purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford 104e (8.7 mg, 24% yield) as a white solid. $R_f = 0.30$ (10% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.04 (br s, 1H), 8.70 (dd, J = 8.0 Hz, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.99 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.54–7.49 (m, 1H), 7.41–7.28 (m, 5H), 7.08–7.01 (m, 1H), 3.86 (s, 3H), 3.76 (s, 2H); ¹³C (101 MHz, CDCl₃) 170.0, 168.5, 141.4, 134.6, 134.4, 130.8, 129.5, 129.3, 128.9, 127.3, 122.6, 120.4, 52.3, 45.9. IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2917.9, 1687.6, 1588.4, 1523.0, 1448.6, 1309.3, 1263.3, 1193.6, 1088.9, 756.5 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM: ESI-APCI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₆H₁₅NO₃ [M+H]⁺: 270.1125, found: 270.1129.



Methyl ketone 104g: Prepared according to the representative procedure using acetic anhydride (13.7 mg, 0.134 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and silyl triflate 92 (60.0 mg, 0.201 mmol, 1.5 equiv). The reaction was purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford 104g (12.8 mg, 54% yield) as a white solid. Characterization data match those previously reported.¹⁸ ¹H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.78 (d, *J* = 7.8 Hz, 1H), 7.50 (t, *J* = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 7.28 (t, *J* = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 7.10 (d, *J* = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (s, 3H), 2.32 (s, 3H).



Amide 106a: Prepared according to the representative procedure using imide **101** (8.4 mg, 0.083 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and silyl triflate **105a** (40.5 mg, 0.124 mmol, 1.5 equiv). Purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford **106a** (6.5 mg, 31% yield) as a white solid. $R_f = 0.15$ (10% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.63 (br s, 1H), 8.53 (s, 1H), 7.61 (s, 1H), 2.63 (s, 3H), 2.31 (s, 3H), 2.26 (s, 3H), 2.21 (s, 3H); ¹³C (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 202.4, 169.4, 145.3, 139.1, 132.4, 130.6, 121.5, 119.8, 28.6, 25.6, 20.6, 19.4. IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3238.4, 2917.3, 1692.5, 1643.4, 1579.0, 1514.1, 1450.2, 1397.3, 1353.6, 1286.8, 1270.0, 1235.1, 1018.7, 876.4, 758.9, 659.3 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM: ESI-APCI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₂H₁₆NO₂ [M+H]⁺: 206.1176, found: 206.1171.



Amide 106b: Prepared according to the representative procedure using imide 101 (29.0 mg, 0.287 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and silyl triflate 105b (150.0 mg, 0.431 mmol, 1.5 equiv). Purification was achieved by preparatory HPLC to afford 106b (28.7 mg, 44% yield) as a white solid; $R_f = 0.35$ (30% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 11.50 (br s, 1H), 9.12, (s, 1H), 8.44 (s, 1H), 7.83 (d, J = 9.0 Hz, 2H), 7.57 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 7.43 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.80 (s, 3H), 2.27 (s, 3H); ¹³C (101 MHz, CDCl₃) 203.0, 169.3, 136.7, 136.1, 134.3, 129.7, 128.9, 128.2, 127.7, 125.6, 122.7, 117.7, 28.7, 25.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3217.8, 1682.2, 1654.4, 1577.0, 1546.1, 1480.7, 1437.2, 1352.5, 1386.4, 1286.3, 1277.9, 1203.7, 1148.0, 1020.5, 953.6, 885.1, 742.1, 656.2 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM: ESI-APCI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₄H₁₄NO₂ [M+H]⁺: 228.1019, found: 228.1022.



Dioxolane 106c: Prepared according to the representative procedure using imide **101** (20.4 mg, 0.201 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and silyl triflate **105c** (103.4 mg, 0.302 mmol, 1.5 equiv). Purification was achieved by preparatory HPLC to afford **106c** (28.9 mg, 65% yield) as a white solid; $R_f = 0.55$ (50% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.08 (br s, 1H), 8.37 (s, 1H), 7.25 (s, 1H), 6.02 (s, 2H), 2.57 (s, 3H), 2.20 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (75 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 200.6, 169.5, 152.9, 142.4, 139.3, 115.1, 109.6, 102.1, 101.5, 28.8, 25.6. IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2916.7, 1692.6, 1611.8,

1502.7, 1483.5, 1433.8, 1370.2, 1342.5, 1243.2, 1178.9, 1118.8, 1044.8, 927.0 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM: ESI-APCI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₁H₁₂NO₄ [M+H]⁺: 222.0761, found: 222.0766.



Methyl ether 106d: Prepared according to the representative procedure using imide **101** (13.6 mg, 0.134 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and silyl triflate **105d** (66.0 mg, 0.201 mmol, 1.5 equiv). The reaction was purified by column chromatography (10% EtOAc in hexanes) to afford **106d** (13.0 mg, 47% yield) as a white solid; $R_f = 0.20$ (20% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 10.49 (s, 1H), 8.07 (d, J = 8.3 Hz, 1H), 7.40 (td, J = 8.4, 0.5 Hz, 1H), 6.69 (dd, J = 8.4, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (s, 3H), 3.90 (s, 3H), 2.61 (s, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 204.6, 169.2, 160.0, 139.2, 133.7, 116.5, 114.0, 106.2, 55.8, 33.7, 25.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3086.8, 2947.7, 1698.6, 1639.6, 1634.0, 1528.8, 1470.6, 1403.5, 1273.1, 1243.4, 1195.9, 1093.2, 1017.3, 967.4, 802.3, 735.2, 610.8 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM: ESI-APCI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₁H₁₄NO₃ [M+H]⁺: 262.1802, found: 262.1804.

Quinolone Synthesis and Characterization Data



Representative Procedure for Quinolone Synthesis

A 2-dram vial equipped with a magnetic stir bar was charged with TBAT (144.7 mg, 0.268 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and imide **101** (13.6 mg, 0.134 mmol, 1.0 equiv). The vial was purged with

nitrogen, and PhMe (1.6 mL) was added via syringe followed by silyl triflate **92** (60.0 mg, 0.201 mmol, 1.5 equiv). The vial was sealed and placed in an aluminum block preheated to 60 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at this temperature for 16 h, then it was allowed to cool to 23 °C. The mixture was concentrated in vacuo and then charged with dioxane (1.6 mL), KOH (22.6 mg, 0.402 mmol, 3.0 equiv), and 18-crown-6 (106.3 mg, 0.402 mmol, 3.0 equiv). The reaction vial was sealed and heated to 110 °C and stirred for 2 h. The reaction was allowed to cool, diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL), neutralized to pH ~7, and washed with brine (10 mL). The layers were separated, and the aqueous layer was back-extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried (Na₂SO₄), concentrated, and purified by column chromatography (CH₂Cl₂ to 5% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) to provide **107a** (15.1 mg, 71% yield) as a yellow solid. Characterization data match those previously reported.¹⁹ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 12.04 (s, 1H), 7.79 (d, *J* = 10.8 Hz, 1H), 7.65–7.62 (m, 2H), 7.40–7.36 (m, 1H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 2.61 (d, *J* = 1.4 Hz, 3H).



Quinolone 107b: Prepared according to the representative procedure using imide **101** (13.6 mg, 0.134 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and 3,5-dimethoxy-2-(trimethylsilyl)phenyl trifluoromethanesulfonate (66.0 mg, 0.201 mmol, 1.5 equiv) to provide quinolone **107b** (11.8 mg, 40% yield) as a brown solid. Characterization data match those previously reported.²⁰ ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.08 (s, 1H), 6.75 (s, 1H), 6.74 (s, 1H), 6.24–6.23 (m, 1H), 3.78 (s, 6H), 2.17 (s, 3H).



Quinolone 107c: Prepared according to the representative procedure using imide **101** (13.6 mg, 0.134 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and silyl triflate **105d** (66.0 mg, 0.201 mmol, 1.5 equiv) to provide quinolone **107c** (10.6 mg, 42% yield) as a beige solid. $R_f = 0.35$ (10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.29–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.21 (t, J = 8.2, 1H), 7.11 (br s, 1H), 6.95 (d, J = 8.0 Hz, 1H), 6.67 (dd, J = 12.0, 11.2 Hz, 1H), 3.81 (s, 3H), 2.18 (s, 3H); ¹³C (126 MHz, CDCl₃) 168.2, 160.2, 139.1, 134.8, 129.7, 111.8, 110.1, 105.6, 100.0 55.3, 24.8. IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2920.7, 1664.8, 1598.3, 1548.7, 1492.8, 1425.9, 1369.9, 1252.6, 1156.1, 1044.1, 775.1 cm⁻¹; HRMS (MM: ESI-APCI) *m/z* calc'd for C₁₁H₁₂NO₂ [M+H]⁺: 190.0863, found: 190.0866.

Preparatory HPLC Conditions

Entry	Product	Conditions	Retention Time (min)
1	о , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , , ,	HPLC Zorbax XDB-C18 column 20% to 60% MeCN in H ₂ O 15 min, 30.0 mL/min	13.90
2	о о 106с	HPLC Zorbax XDB-C18 column 20% to 60% MeCN in H ₂ O 15 min, 30.0 mL/min	12.75
3		HPLC Zorbax XDB-C18 column 40% to 80% MeCN in H ₂ O 15 min, 30.0 mL/min	14.36
4		HPLC Zorbax XDB-C18 column 40% to 80% MeCN in H ₂ O 20 min, 30.0 mL/min	17.35
5	O O MH O Bn	HPLC Zorbax XDB-C18 column 0% to 80% MeCN in H ₂ O 10 min, 15 mL/min	9.79
	104e		

2.5 NOTES AND REFERENCES

- For various methods for benzyne preparation, see: a) Kitamura, T.; Yamane, M. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1995, 983–984; b) Campbell, C. D.; Rees, C. W. J. Chem. Soc. 1969, 742–747; c) Matsumoto, T.; Hosoya, T.; Katsuki, M.; Suzuki, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 6735–6736; d) Friedman, L.; Logullo, F. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 1792–1797; e) Logullo, F. M.; Seitz, A. H.; Friedman, L. Org. Synth. 1968, 48, 12–17; f) Wittig, G.; Hoffmann, R. W. Org. Synth. 1967, 47, 4–8; g) Hoffmann, R. W. Dehydrobenzene and Cycloalkynes; Academic Press: New York, 1967.
- (2) Himeshima, Y.; Sonoda, T.; Kobayashi, H. Chem. Lett. 1983, 1211–1214.
- (3) For examples of aryne insertion into C–C bonds, see: a) Caubere, P.; Loubinoux, B. *Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr.* **1968**, 3008–3012; b) Guyot, M.; Molho, D. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1973**, *14*, 3433–3436; c) Geoffrey, P.; Mouaddib, A.; Carre, M. C.; Caubere, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 1385–1388; d) Pansegrau, P. D.; Rieker, W. F.; Meyers, A. I. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1988**, *110*, 7178–7184; e) Jamart-Gregoire, B.; Leger, C.; Caubere, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1990**, *31*, 7599–7602; f) Danheiser, R. L.; Helgason, A. L. *J. Am. Chem. Soc.* **1994**, *116*, 9471–9479; g) Shair, M. D.; Yoon, T. Y.; Mosny, K. K.; Chou, T. C.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. *Am. Chem. Soc.* **1996**, *118*, 9509–9525; h) Wang, A.; Tandel, S.; Zhang, H.; Huang, Y.; Holdeman, T. C.; Biehl, E. R. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 3391–3400.
- (4) Tambar, U. K.; Stoltz, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5340–5341.
- (5) Yoshida, H.; Watanabe, M.; Ohshita, J.; Kunai, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 6729–6731.
- (6) Yoshida, H.; Watanabe, M.; Morishita, T.; Ohshita, J.; Kunai, A. Chem. Commun. 2007, 1505–1507.

- (7) Yoshida, H.; Kishida, T.; Watanabe, M.; Ohshita, J. Chem. Commun. 2008, 5963–5965.
- (8) Huang, X.; Xue, J. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 3965–3968.
- (9) Saito, N.; Nakamura, K.; Shibano, S.; Ide, S.; Minami, M.; Sato, Y. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 386–389.
- (10) Jones, C. P.; Anderson, K. W.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 7968–7973.
- (11) Okabe, M.; Sun, R.-C. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 1861–1866.
- (12) Fürstner, A.; Jumam, D. N.; Weidmann, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 6695–6696.
- (13) Pintori, D. G.; Greaney, M. F. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 168–171.
- (14) Wright, A. C.; Haley, C. K.; Lapointe, G.; Stoltz, B. M. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2793–2795.
- (15) Tobisu, M.; Ano, Y.; Chatani, N. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3250–3252.
- (16) Canonne, P.; Boulanger, R.; Chantegrel, B. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 663–668.
- (17) Yin, Z.; Sun, P. Org. Lett. 2012, 77, 11339–11344.
- (18) Rodriguez–Ramos, F.; Navarette, A.; Gonzalez–Andrade, M.; Alarcon, C.; Aguilera–Cruz,
 A.; Reyes–Ramirez, Adelfo. *Bioorg. Chem.* 2013, *50*, 17–25.
- (19) Cross, R. M.; Manetsch, R. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 8654–8657.
- (20) Liu, G.-B.; Xu, J.-L.; He, C.-C.; Chen, G.; Xu, Q.; Xu, H.-X.; Li, J.-X. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *17*, 5433–5441.

APPENDIX 4

Spectra Relevant to Chapter 2: Acyl-Amination of Arenes via Aryne Formation





¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound **xx**





¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound xx





Figure A49 MAR MHZ, MAC, SOCOM BOUND 104c

¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound **xx**







Figure A4.12 ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound **104d**













Figure A4.21 NOR (MHZMHD, EDC 598000000 106b





Figure AL24MR (NMR (H&1 GDAL) CDCMPOPed Abound 106c














APPENDIX 5

Notebook Cross-Reference

This section contains notebook cross-references in order to streamline perusal of all original spectroscopic data. These data are presented according to chapter, and comprise ¹H, ¹³C, and 2D NMR spectra as well as IR spectra. All relevant notebooks can be found in the Stoltz group archive. Additionally, all relevant electronic data may be accessed on the Stoltz group server.

Compound	Structure	Notebook reference	NMR and IR data files
28	TFO	CWL-IV-153	CWL-VI-129 CWL-IV-169
31	TBSO	CWL-IV-171	CWL-VII-41
87	HO 	CWL-IV-173	CWL-VII-37-OH
33		CWL-VII-175	CWL-VII-39
34		CWL-VII-177	CWL-VII-37-N2
38		CWL-V-63	CWL-VII-49

Table 5.1 Notebook Cross-Reference for Compounds in Chapter 1.2

Compound	Structure	Notebook reference	NMR and IR data files
39		CWL-V-49	CWL-VII-51
88	HO	CWL-V-95	CWL-VII-53
40		CWL-V-133	CWL-VII-55
41		CWL-V-113	CWL-V-111C
42		CWL-V-113	CWL-V-111D
43	Br	CWL-VI-97	CWL-VI-97

Table 5.1 Notebook Cross-Reference for Compounds in Chapter 1.2-Continued

Compound	Structure	Notebook reference	NMR and IR data files
21	OTBS Br	CWL-VI-65	CWL-VI-101
44	OH BPin	CWL-VI-147	CWL-VII-15
19		CWL-VI-105	CWL-VI-105
45	O OTBS	CWL-VI-47	CWL-VI-107
18		CWL-VI-49	CWL-VI-109
46	OTBS	CWL-VI-51	CWL-VI-111

Table 5.1 Notebook Cross-Reference for Compounds in Chapter 1.2-Continued

Compound	Structure	Notebook reference	NMR and IR data files
47	OTBS	CWL-VI-183	CWL-VI-113
49	TBSO IIII	CWL-VI-185	CWL-VI-115 CWL-VI-185

Table 5.1 Notebook Cross-Reference for Compounds in Chapter 1.2-Continued

Compound	Structure	Notebook reference	NMR and IR data files
60	TfO_EtO2C	ACW-XIX-023	ACW-XIX-triflatechar
58		ACW-XIX-57	ACW-XIX-XECchar
67		ACW-XIX-	ACW-XIX-amidechar
68		ACW-XIX	ACW-XIX-ketaldehyde
57	онс-	CWL-VI-49	CWL-VI-109
70		ACW-XVI-61	ACW-XVI-61

Table 5.2 Notebook Cross-Reference for Compounds in Chapter 1.3

Compound	Structure	Notebook reference	NMR and IR data files
72		ACW-XX-69	ACW-XX-69 ACW-XX-263
73	ů L	ACW-XX-247	ACW-XX-247

Table 5.2 Notebook Cross-Reference for Compounds in Chapter 1.3-Continued

Compound	Structure	Notebook reference	NMR and IR data files
95d	L , L , N , N , N , N , N , N , N , N ,	ACW-III-257	ACW-III-257
112	Ph H OMe	ACW-V-203	ACW-V-203-3
104c		ACW-III-091	ACW-III-091
104d		ACW-III-279	ACW-III-279
104e	O NH O Bn	ACW-V-275	ACW-V-275
106a	NH O	ACW-II-161	ACW-II-161
106b	NH O	ACW-II-063	ACW-II-063

Table 5.3 Notebook Cross-Reference for Compounds in Chapter 2.2

Compound	Structure	Notebook reference	NMR and IR data files
106c		ACW-II-87	ACW-II-87 ACW-II-99
106d	OMe O NH	CKH-V-061	CKH-V-061
107c		ACW-V-259	ACW-V-259
107Ь	MeO NH	СКН-V	СКН-V
107a		СКН-V	скн-и

Table 5.3 Notebook Cross-Reference for Compounds in Chapter 2.2-Continued

COMPREHENSIVE BIBLIOGRAPHY

Ackerman, L. K. G.; Lovell, M. M.; Weix, D. J. Nature 2015, 524, 454–457.

Anka-Lufford, L. L.; Huihui, K. M. M.; Gower, N. J.; Ackerman, L. K. G.; Weix, D. J. Chem. *Eur. J.* **2016**, *22*, 11564–11567.

Babler, J. H.; Coghlan, M. J. Synth. Commun. 1976, 6, 469-474.

Campbell, C. D.; Rees, C. W. J. Chem. Soc. 1969, 742-747.

Canonne, P.; Boulanger, R.; Chantegrel, B. Tetrahedron 1987, 43, 663-668.

Caubere, P.; Loubinoux, B. Bull. Soc. Chim. Fr. 1968, 3008–3012.

Charles, R. G. J. Org. Chem. 1957, 22, 677-679.

Chianese, G.; Fattorusso, E.; Aiyelaagbe, O. O.; Luciano, P.; Schröder, H. C.; Müller, W. E. G.; Taglialatela-Scafati, O. *Org. Lett.* **2011**, *13*, 316–319.

Comins, D. L.; Dehghani, A.; Foti, C. J.; Joseph, S. P. Org. Synth. 1997, 74, 77-80.

Craig II, R. A. C.; Roizen, J. L.; Smith, R. C.; Jones, A. C.; Virgil, S. C. *Chem. Sci.* **2017**, 8, 507–514.

Cross, R. M.; Manetsch, R. J. Org. Chem. 2010, 75, 8654-8657.

Danheiser, R. L.; Helgason, A. L. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1994, 116, 9471-9479.

Dauben, W. G.; Michno, D. M. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 682-685.

Davies, H. M. L.; Cantrell, R. W.; Jr.; Romines, R. K.; and Baum, S. J. *Org. Synth.* **1992**, 70, 93–100; *Coll. Vol. IX* **1998**, 422-426.

Davis, F. A. Tetrahedron 2018, 74, 3198–3214.

Denney, D. B.; Sherman, N. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1965, 30, 3760-3761.

Everson, D. A.; Weix, D. J. J. Org. Chem. 2014, 79, 4793-4798.

Fairless, D. Nature 2007 652-655.

Friedman, L.; Logullo, F. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1963, 85, 1792-1797.

Fürstner, A.; Jumam, D. N.; Weidmann, H. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 6695-6696.

Geoffrey, P.; Mouaddib, A.; Carre, M. C.; Caubere, P. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1988**, *29*, 1385–1388.

Guyot, M.; Molho, D. Tetrahedron Lett. 1973, 14, 3433–3436.

Himeshima, Y.; Sonoda, T.; Kobayashi, H. Chem. Lett. 1983, 1211-1214.

Hoffmann, R. W. Dehydrobenzene and Cycloalkynes; Academic Press: New York, 1967.

Huang, X.; Xue, J. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 3965–3968.

Jamart-Gregoire, B.; Leger, C.; Caubere, P. Tetrahedron Lett. 1990, 31, 7599-7602.

Jones, C. P.; Anderson, K. W.; Buchwald, S. L. J. Org. Chem. 2007, 72, 7968–7973.

Kantorowski, E. J.; Kurth, M. J. Tetrahedron 2000, 56, 4317-4353.

Karaarslan, M.; Gokturk, E.; Demircan, A. J. Chem. Res. 2007, 117-120.

Kauffmann, T.; Papenberg, M.; Wieschollek, R.; Sander, J. Chem. Ber. 1992, 125, 143–148.

Khan, S.; Kato, N.; Hirama, M. Synlett 2000, 1494–1496.

Kitamura, T.; Yamane, M. J. Chem. Soc. Chem. Commun. 1995, 983–984.

Lee, C. W.; Taylor, B. L. H.; Petrova, G. P.; Patel, A.; Morokuma, K.; Houk, K. N.; Stoltz, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2019, 141, 6995–7004.

Levine, S. G. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1958, 80, 6150-6151.

Li, Y.; Dai, M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2017, 56, 11624–11627.

Liu, G.-B.; Xu, J.-L.; He, C.-C.; Chen, G.; Xu, Q.; Xu, H.-X.; Li, J.-X. *Bioorg. Med. Chem.* **2009**, *17*, 5433–5441.

Liu, J.-Q.; Yang, Y.-F.; Li, X.-Y.; Liu, E.-Q.; Li, Z.-R.; Zhou, L.; Li, Y.; Qiu, M.-H., *Phytochemistry* **2013**, *96*, 265–272.

Logullo, F. M.; Seitz, A. H.; Friedman, L. Org. Synth. 1968, 48, 12-17.

Marion, N.; Díez-González, S.; Nolan, S. P. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2007, 46, 2988-3000.

Matsumoto, T.; Hosoya, T.; Katsuki, M.; Suzuki, K. Tetrahedron Lett. 1991, 32, 6735-6736.

McUliffe, C. A.; Hosseiny, A.; McCullough, F. P. Inorg. Chim. Acta 1979, 33, 5-10.

Morcillo, S. P.; Miguel, D.; Campana, A. G.; de Cienfuegos, L. A.; Justicia, J.; Cuerva, J. M. *Org. Chem. Front.* **2014**, *1*, 15–33. Müller, P. Crystallography Reviews 2009, 15, 57-83.

Naengchomnong, W.; Thebtaranonth, Y.; Wiriyachitra, P.; Okamoto, K. T.; Clardy, J. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1986**, *27*, 2439–2442.

Oesterreich, K.; Klein, I.; Spitzner, D. Synlett 2002, 1712–1713.

Okabe, M.; Sun, R.-C. Tetrahedron 1995, 51, 1861–1866.

Oldenziel, O. H.; Van Leusen, D.; Van Leusen, A. M. J. Org. Chem. 1977, 42, 3114-3118.

Olivares, A. M.; Weix, D. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2018, 140, 2446-2449.

Pangborn, A. B.; Giardello, M. A.; Grubbs, R. H.; Rosen, R. K.; Timmers, F. J. *Organometallics* **1996**, *15*, 1518–1520.

Pansegrau, P. D.; Rieker, W. F.; Meyers, A. I. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1988, 110, 7178-7184.

Picha, P.; Naengchomnong, W.; Promratanapongse, P.; Kano, E.; Hayashi, S.; Ohtsubo, T.;
Zhang, S. W.; Shioura, H.; Kitai, R.; Matsumoto, H.; Kawahara, K.; Puribhat, S.;
Phanthumachinda, P. J. Exp. Clin. Cancer Res. 1996, 15, 177–183.

Pintori, D. G.; Greaney, M. F. Org. Lett. 2010, 12, 168-171.

Poremba, K. E.; Kadunce, N. T.; Suzuki, N.; Cherney, A. H.; Reisman, S. E. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2017, 139, 5684–5687.

Rodriguez–Ramos, F.; Navarette, A.; Gonzalez–Andrade, M.; Alarcon, C.; Aguilera–Cruz, A.; Reyes–Ramirez, Adelfo. *Bioorg. Chem.* **2013**, *50*, 17–25.

Saito, N.; Nakamura, K.; Shibano, S.; Ide, S.; Minami, M.; Sato, Y. Org. Lett. 2013, 15, 386–389.

Sarotti, A. M. Org. Biomol. Chem. 2018, 16, 944-950.

Seigel, C.; Gordon, P. M.; Razdan, R. K. J. Org. Chem. 1989, 54, 5428-5430.

Shair, M. D.; Yoon, T. Y.; Mosny, K. K.; Chou, T. C.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. **1996**, *118*, 9509–9525.

Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Cryst. 1990, A46, 467-473.

Sheldrick, G. M. Acta Cryst. 2015, C71, 3-8.

Shi, L.-L.; Shen, H.-J.; Fang, L.-C.; Huang, J.; Li, C.-C.; Yang, Z. Chem. Commun. 2013, 49, 8806–8808.

Smith, III, A. B.; Branca, S. J.; Pilla, N. N.; Guaciaro, M. A. Org. Lett. 1982, 47, 1855–1869.

Still, W. C. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 1978, 100, 1481–1487.

Tambar, U. K.; Stoltz, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2005, 127, 5340-5341.

Tobisu, M.; Ano, Y.; Chatani, N. Org. Lett. 2009, 11, 3250-3252.

Wang, A.; Tandel, S.; Zhang, H.; Huang, Y.; Holdeman, T. C.; Biehl, E. R. *Tetrahedron* **1998**, *54*, 3391–3400.

Wang, Q.; Fan, S. Y.; Wong, H. N. C.; Li, Z.; Fung, B. M.; Twieg, R. J.; Nguyen, H. T. *Tetrahedron Lett.* **1993**, *49*, 619–638.

Williamson, K. S.; Michaelis, D. J.; Yoon, T. P. Chem. Rev. 2014, 114, 8016-8036.

- Wittig, G.; Hoffmann, R. W. Org. Synth. 1967, 47, 4-8.
- Wright, A. C.; Haley, C. K.; Lapointe, G.; Stoltz, B. M. Org. Lett. 2016, 18, 2793-2795.

Wright, A. C.; Lee, C. W.; Stoltz, B. M. Org. Lett. 2019, 21, 9658-9662.

Wright, A. C.; Stoltz, B. M. Chem. Sci. 2019, 10, 10562-10565.

- Xu, X.; Deng, Y.; Yim, D. N.; Zavalij, P. Y.; Doyle, M. P. Chem. Sci. 2015, 6, 2196–2201.
- Yin, Z.; Sun, P. Org. Lett. 2012, 77, 11339–11344.
- Yoshida, H.; Kishida, T.; Watanabe, M.; Ohshita, J. Chem. Commun. 2008, 5963-5965.

Yoshida, H.; Watanabe, M.; Morishita, T.; Ohshita, J.; Kunai, A. Chem. Commun. 2007, 1505–1507.

Yoshida, H.; Watanabe, M.; Ohshita, J.; Kunai, A. Tetrahedron Lett. 2005, 46, 6729-6731.

Yu, M.; Danishefsky, S. J. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2008, 130, 2783-2785.

INDEX

A

aldehyde	
allylic alcohol	
annulation	
anticancer	2
aryne	

B

boronate	
bromide	

С

Camps cyclization	
carboxy-inversion	
carcinoma	2
carvone	14
cascade	2
catalyst	15,19,53,55,56,58
Cope	5
cross-coupling	14,17
cross-electrophile coupling	
curcusone	1,2,3,4,8,11,13,20,21,23,24,25
cycloheptadienone	

cyclopentenone	
cyclopropanation	
cyclopropane	4
	D
Davis oxaziridine	
deprotection	
diastereoselectivity	
diazo transfer	
Diels–Alder	
diene	
diketene	
diosphenol	
diterpenoid	
divinylcyclopropane	
	Ε
electrophiles	
epoxidation	
esterification	
	I
imide	155,156,157,159,161,162,164,167,168,169,170
indoles	
	J
Leureas	

	Κ
Kauffmann olefination	
ketoamide	
ketone	
	L
lactone	
limonene oxide	5
	Ν
natural product	.2.3.24
	0
alefination	3 7 8 10 20
ovidation	2 5 12 22 22
oxidation	n
	P
perillaldehyde	
protection	
	Q
quinolones	
	R
radical	
rearrangement	
reduction	
regioselectivity	
retrosynthetic analysis	

rhamnofolane	
ring-closing metathesis	
	S
stereochemistry	
stereospecific	
Stetter	
Suzuki	
	Τ
total synthesis	
transition state	5
triflate	
	U
Umpolung	
	W
Wittig	
	X
X-ray	

About the Author

Austin C. Wright was born in Scranton, PA on May 1, 1992 as the youngest of three sons of Richard and Sharon Wright. He spent his youngest years across several areas of the country, including Clarks Summit, Pennsylvania; Jonesboro, Arkansas; and Tinley Park, Illinois. He finally settled in Souderton, PA during his teenage years, where he enrolled at the Souderton Area High School. In addition to competing in track and cross-country, he grew a fondness for chemistry after taking an AP Chemistry class with an entertaining and inspiring teacher named Peter Spizzirri.

He then enrolled at the Pennsylvania State University in 2010, where he worked in the laboratory of Professor Steven Weinreb. His undergraduate research was focused on the total synthesis of geissoschizol and several related indole alkaloid natural products. Although the key nickel-catalyzed annulation step proved unsuccessful, the training he received during these studies greatly improved his experimental technique and understanding of organic synthesis.

Upon graduating in 2014 with a B.S. in Chemistry, he moved to Pasadena, California to commence graduate studies at the California Institute of Technology under the guidance of Professor Brian Stoltz. After completing a method for the insertion of arynes into imides, he then gravitated toward the total synthesis of several members of the curcusone family of natural products. During these synthetic studies, he wrote a review on the recent synthetic applications of arynes as well as a novel apparatus for performing small-scale ketalizations.

I must also mention my favorite albums:

Husker Du:	Zen Arcade
The Residents:	God in Three Persons