

**The Molecular Control of Cell Movements
during Early Vertebrate Development**

Thesis by

Andrew Josef Ewald

**In Partial Fulfillment of the Requirements
for the Degree of
Doctor of Philosophy**

California Institute of Technology

Pasadena, California

2003

(Defended March 14, 2003)

□ 2003

Andrew Josef Ewald

All Rights Reserved

Acknowledgments

I would like first of all to thank Scott Fraser, for his support and guidance through this work. I can truly say that without his advice and enthusiasm this thesis would not have been possible. I would also like to thank the members of my thesis committee, Marianne Bronner-Fraser, Richard Roberts, and Pamela Bjorkman, for their invaluable advice and comments through the years. I would also like to thank Richard Harland for very useful discussion of frog development. I also thank John Wallingford for his advice and guidance in experimental design and for great discussions on how to understand vertebrate morphogenesis.

I would like to thank everyone in the Fraser Lab, and, in particular, I would like to thank Rusty Lansford, Helen McBride, David Crotty, Mike Tyszka, David Koos, Paul Kulesa, and Carole Lu, for stimulating discussions and assistance. I would also like to thank Gary Belford, Mary Flowers, Sonia Collazo, Aura Keeter, and Tatayana Demyanenko, for technical assistance and for keeping the entire lab running smoothly.

I would like to thank Russ Kerschmann, Mark Reddington, Michael Bolles, Mike Haugh, Lynn Garrett, Benn Herrera, and Paul Guthrie, for their assistance and contributions to those aspects of the thesis that involved surface imaging microscopy. I also thank Resolution Sciences Corporation for having donated imaging time to this project.

I would also like to thank David Chan and Hsiuchen Chen for being stimulating collaborators on the mitochondrial fusion project. I would like to thank Kevin Thigpen for technical assistance in the early stages of the optical tweezers project, the Molecular Materials Resource Center for access to the optical tweezers facility, and the Beckman Institute Executive Committee for financial support to upgrade the optical tweezers facility for these experiments. I would like to thank Chris Chen, Sri Rhagavan, and Linda Griffith for useful conversations on patterning proteins on solid supports.

Finally, I would like to thank my parents Irene and Michael Ewald, my brothers, Carl and Jonathan, my friends, and Shannon Marshall, for their support and encouragement throughout the long process of graduate school.

Abstract

The early development of vertebrate embryos is characterized by massive, coordinated cell movements. These movements shape the embryo, distribute different cell types, shape complex tissues, and bring tissues into their correct spatial relationships. We have examined two early cell movements: the dorsal mesoderm of the frog embryo during gastrulation as a model for the coordinated movement of connected sheets of cells and the neural crest in the chicken embryo, as a model for cell migration.

The dorsal mesoderm in the frog embryo moves as a sheet of cells, due to strong connections among the cells. Cell intercalation within this sheet drives the elongation of the embryo during the process of gastrulation, whereby the round, morphologically symmetric early embryo is converted into a tadpole. We have demonstrated the existence of propagating intercellular waves of calcium within the dorsal mesoderm during gastrulation. These waves appear to be specific to the dorsal mesoderm and directly required for the cell movements of gastrulation. To build an integrated picture of how different signaling pathways interact to control gastrulation, we have developed a novel means of quantitatively imaging whole embryos with subcellular resolution. We have used this digital atlas to carefully examine the major events of gastrulation in normal embryos and embryos overexpressing a mutant form of the Disheveled protein.

The neural crest is a transient population of cells in the vertebrate embryo that arises in the neural tube and migrates to give rise to neurons, glia, bone and other cell types. During migration individual neural crest cells make extensive temporary connections with other cells, but migrate as individuals, rather than as a connected sheet. We have used patterned substrates and optical tweezers to present them with carefully controlled molecular stimuli. We have characterized their normal cellular behaviors and their response to ephrin-B ligands in a spatially and temporally defined manner.

Table of Contents

Chapter 1: Introduction	1-1
Chapter 2: Towards an Understanding of the Interactions Between Migrating Neural Crest Cells and Substrate Bound Guidance Molecules	2-1
Chapter 3: Cell Behavioral Responses to Eph/ephrin Signaling in Primary Migrating Neural Crest Cells	3-1
Chapter 4: Calcium Signaling during Convergent Extension in <i>Xenopus</i>	4-1
Chapter 5: Surface Imaging Microscopy, An Automated Method for Visualizing Whole Embryo Samples in Three Dimensions at High Resolution	5-1
Chapter 6: A Three Dimensional Analysis of Frog Gastrulation	6-1
Appendix 1: Mitofusins Mfn1 and Mfn2 Coordinately Regulate Mitochondrial Fusion and Are Essential for Embryonic Development	A1-1
Appendix 2: Detailed Protocols	A2-1

List of Illustrations

1. Figure 2-1: Schematic of Stripe Assay	2-40
2. Figure 2-2: Microscope Objectives	2-41
3. Figure 2-3: Laser Power and Microscopy 1	2-42
4. Figure 2-4: Laser Power and Microscopy 2	2-43
5. Figure 2-5: Imaging Chambers	2-44
6. Figure 2-6: GFP Fusion Proteins	2-45
7. Figure 2-7: Density of Neural Crest Cultures	2-46
8. Figure 2-8: Schematic of Photolithography	2-47
9. Figure 2-9: Photolithography Configuration	2-48
10. Figure 2-10: Sample Protein Patterns	2-49
11. Figure 2-11: Classic Stripe Assays	2-50
12. Figure 2-12: Cell Behavior in Classic Stripe Assay	2-51
13. Figure 2-13: Photolithographic Stripe Assays	2-52
14. Figure 2-14: Cell Behavior in Photolithographic Assay	2-53
15. Figure 2-15: Chlorosilane Adsorption Patterns	2-54
16. Figure 3-1: Optical Tweezers Experimental Setup	3-29
17. Figure 3-2: Analysis of Cell Polarity and Collapse Rate	3-30
18. Figure 3-3: Degree of Repulsion	3-31
19. Figure 3-4: Comparison of Different Repulsion Scores	3-32
20. Figure 3-5: Single Bead, Leading Edge Experiment	3-33
21. Figure 3-6: Multiple Bead, Leading Edge Experiment	3-34
22. Figure 3-7: Multiple Bead, Lateral Edge Experiment	3-35

23. Figure 3-8: Comparison of Leading and Lateral Edge	3-36
24. Figure 3-9: Multiple Stimulations	3-37
25. Figure 3-10: Small Reaction	3-38
26. Figure 3-11: Control Large Reaction	3-39
27. Figure 3-12: Control Small Reaction	3-40
28. Figure 4-1: Imaging of Calcium Dynamics	4-7
29. Figure 4-2: Long Range Calcium Waves in the DMZ	4-11
30. Figure 4-3: Short Range Calcium Waves in the DMZ	4-12
31. Figure 4-4: Calcium Waves and Calcium Flashes	4-15
32. Figure 4-5: Frequency of Calcium Waves	4-18
33. Figure 4-6: Thapsigargin Inhibits Convergent Extension 1	4-22
34. Figure 4-7: Thapsigargin Inhibits Convergent Extension 2	4-25
35. Figure 5-1: Description of the Basic Technique	5-6
36. Figure 5-2: Evaluation of Image and Dataset Quality	5-7
37. Figure 5-3: SIM Images of Various Nuclear Dyes	5-10
38. Figure 5-4: Comparison of SIM with Confocal Microscopy	5-15
39. Figure 5-5: Volumetric Images of Embryos	5-19
40. Figure 6-1: Surface Imaging Microscopy	6-21
41. Figure 6-2: Comparison of CLSM and SIM	6-22
42. Figure 6-3: Frog Gastrulation Coordinate System	6-23
43. Figure 6-4: Digital Stage Series of Gastrulation	6-24
44. Figure 6-5: Subset of Gastrulation Series	6-25
45. Figure 6-6: Schematic of Digital Manipulations	6-26

46. Figure 6-7: Archenteron Formation and Tissue Separation	6-27
47. Figure 6-8: Vegetal Alignment Zone	6-28
48. Figure 6-9: Mesendoderm Extension	6-29
49. Figure 6-10: Xdd1 Embryos at Stage 10.5	6-30
50. Figure 6-11: Xdd1 Embryos at Stage 12	6-31
51. Figure 6-12: Morphometrics of Frog Gastrulation	6-32
52. Figure A1-1: Construction of Knockout Mice	A1-8
53. Figure A1-2: Defective Giant Cell Layer	A1-12
54. Figure A1-3: Morphological Mitochondrial Defects	A1-16
55. Figure A1-4: Dynamics of Mitochondria	A1-20
56. Figure A1-5: Mitochondrial Fusion Assay	A1-23
57. Figure A1-6: Loss of Membrane Potential	A1-26
58. Figure A1-7: Rescue of Mfn1 Deficient Cells	A1-30
59. Figure A1-8: Rescue of Mfn2 Deficient Cells	A1-33
60. Figure A1-9: Immunoprecipitation of Mfn Complexes	A1-36
61. Figure A1-10: Models	A1-41