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Presented herein are three projects, all unified by the use of palladium-catalyzed, enantioconvergent, decarboxylative allylic alkylations to synthesize stereochemically rich, nitrogen-containing small molecules. The ubiquity of nitrogen in biologically active natural products and pharmaceutical ingredients necessitates perpetual exploration and development of relevant small molecules. Highly robust palladium-catalyzed allylic alkylation reactions of non-stabilized enolates enable the construction of sterically encumbered all-carbon quaternary and tetrasubstituted tertiary stereocenters present within such targets.

The successful development of a novel substrate class for palladium-catalyzed allylic alkylation, namely dihydropyrido[1,2-α]indolones (DHPIs), has enabled divergent syntheses of multiple monoterpene indole alkaloids. By setting the C20 quaternary stereocenter present within these alkaloids at an early stage in the synthesis, the remaining stereocenters can be forged with exquisite levels of control. Critical to the success of this work was the identification of highly tunable and predictable cyclizations between an indole and a C2-tethered iminium moiety. Regiodivergent cyclizations were used to complete the first catalytic enantioselective total synthesis of (−)-goniomitine, along with efficient formal syntheses of (+)-aspidospermidine and (−)-quebrachamine. Stereodivergent cyclization strategies were then employed in total syntheses of (+)-limaspermidine and (+)-kopsihainanine A. Synthetic efforts toward the highly caged Kopsia alkaloids (−)-kopsinine, (−)-kopsinilam, and (−)-kopsifoline G are also discussed.

Lastly, the synthesis of challenging α-quaternary Mannich-type products was accomplished through a simple, elegant inversion of strategy. The chiral building blocks made available by this technology bear significant potential in the realm of medicinal chemistry. Furthermore, this work enabled rapid total syntheses of (−)-isonitramine and (+)-sibirinine.
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Chapter 1

Enantioselective Palladium-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation

Reactions in the Synthesis of Aspidosperma and Structurally Related Monoterpene Indole Alkaloids

1.1 INTRODUCTION

The structural intricacies and biological activities of monoterpene indole alkaloids have rendered these compounds attractive targets for total synthesis over the course of more than half a century.\(^1\) In particular, the structurally related Aspidosperma and Kopsia classes of alkaloids comprise some of the most frequently targeted structures in chemical synthesis (Figure 1.1.1). Consequently, numerous strategically unique total syntheses have been reported for various Aspidosperma and Kopsia family members. In the past five years, however, enantioselective Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation reactions of prochiral enolates have become an increasingly popular tool to construct the stereogenic all-carbon quaternary center at C20,\(^2\) which is a unifying feature of these classic targets (see 1, Figure 1.1.1). Presented herein are completed enantioselective syntheses of Aspidosperma and Kopsia alkaloids that implement such a Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation.
In order to establish a broader context within this field, we will briefly discuss other noteworthy unified strategies that have enabled successful syntheses of multiple monoterpenic indole alkaloids from the *Aspidosperma* and/or *Kopsia* families. While some non-asymmetric syntheses of these compounds have undoubtedly made profound contributions to modern organic synthesis, we will only cover enantioselective syntheses in this review.
Figure 1.1.1. Representative Aspidosperma and Structurally Related Alkaloids

Aspidospermidine (1)  Quebrachamine (2)  Goniomitine (3)  Vincadiiformine (4)  Tabersonine (5)

Limaspermidine (6)  Fendleridine (7)  Haplocidine (8)  Haplocine (9)  Aspidophytine (10)

Aspidospermine (11)  N-Acetylcylindrocarpinol (12)  Cylindrocarpidine (13)  10-Oxocylindrocarpidine (14)

Leuconolam (15)  Melodinine E (16)  Leuconoxine (17)  Mersicarpine (18)  Rhazinilam (19)

Kopsinine (20)  Kopsanone (21)  Kopsihainanine A (22)  Kopsine (23)  Isokopsine (24)

Methyl Chano-fruticosinate (25)  Methyl N-Decarbomethoxy-chanofruticosinate (26)  Fruticosine (27)
1.2 STRUCTURE, BIOSYNTHESIS, AND NOTEWORTHY BIOLOGICAL ACTIVITY OF ASPIDOSPERMA AND RELATED ALKALOIDS

The Aspidosperma alkaloids are unified through a largely conserved pentacyclic core that is most clearly visible in the non-functionalized namesake of the family, aspidospermidine (1, Figure 1.1.1). Two notable structural outliers are the nine-membered ring-containing quebrachamine (2), and the aminal-containing goniomitine (3). Vincadifformine (4) and tabersonine (5) contain additional unsaturation within the pentacyclic core. Common oxygenation patterns include terminal alcohols (e.g., 6), N,O-ketals (e.g., 7–10), and oxygenation about the benzene fragment (e.g., 11–14). Leuconolam (15) is related to Aspidosperma alkaloids through indoline oxidative cleavage, and can undergo subsequent ring closure to furnish various aminal-containing structures (e.g., 16 and 17) or additional rearrangement and fragmentation to give mersicarpine (18). The pyrrole ring in rhazinilam (19) is expected to originate from a biochemical oxidation pathway similar to that of leuconolam (15). Kopsia alkaloids (e.g., 20–27) typically contain additional carbon-based rings, often resulting in highly caged structures.

The biosynthesis of all monoterpenic indole alkaloids is believed to begin with an enzymatic Pictet–Spengler reaction between tryptamine (28) and secologanin (29) to yield strictosidine (30, Scheme 1.2.1). Subsequent deglycosylation and iminium condensation affords 4,21-dihydrogeissoschizine (31), which undergoes a series of skeletal rearrangements to arrive at dihydropyridine 32. At this stage, it is envisioned that either a Diels–Alder cycloaddition, or a stepwise Michael addition/Friedel–Crafts
reaction/tautomerization cascade delivers tabersonine (5), thereby enabling general entry into alkaloids of the *Aspidosperma* type.\(^{31}\)

**Scheme 1.2.1. Proposed Biosynthetic Pathway of Aspidosperma Alkaloids**

In addition to their stereochemically rich polycyclic scaffolds, several *Aspidosperma* and *Kopsia* alkaloids have demonstrated promising biological activity. Vincadifformine (4) displayed cytotoxicity in KB/VJ300 vincristine-resistant human oral epidermoid carcinoma cells.\(^{32}\) Tabersonine (5) showed cytotoxicity toward HL-60 myeloid leukemia cells at low micromolar concentrations.\(^{33}\) Rhazinilam (19) showed sub-micromolar toxicities in A549 human lung adenocarcinoma and HT29 human colon adenocarcinoma cell lines,\(^{34a}\) but is perhaps best known for its remarkable in vitro inhibition of both microtubule assembly and disassembly.\(^{34b,c}\)

### 1.3 IMPORTANT UNIFIED STRATEGIES

The purpose of this section is not to recount every completed monoterpene indole alkaloid total synthesis.\(^{35}\) Rather, a representative selection of synthetic strategies that have born access to multiple *Aspidosperma* and/or *Kopsia* family members will be highlighted to establish a broader context for this field.
1.3.1 MACMILLAN’S ORGANOCASCADE CATALYSIS

In 2011, MacMillan and co-workers demonstrated the power of their enantioselective organocascade catalysis through the divergent total syntheses of (+)-aspidospermidine (1), (+)-vincadifformine (4), (−)-kopsinine (20), and (−)-kopsanone (21), along with the Strychnos alkaloids (−)-strychnine and (−)-akuammicine. Treatment of vinyl selenide 34 with 20 mol % of imidazolidinone tribromoacetic acid salt 35•TBA in the presence of propynal delivered spiroindoline 36 in high yield and excellent enantioselectivity (Scheme 1.3.1.1). A four step sequence including a Pd-catalyzed Heck cyclization gave triene 37, which was globally reduced to arrive at (+)-aspidospermidine (1). Sequential Swern oxidation and C-acylation completed an enantioselective synthesis of (+)-vincadifformine (4).

Scheme 1.3.1.1. MacMillan’s Enantioselective Organocascade Catalysis Toward (+)-Aspidospermidine (1) and (+)-Vincadifformine (4)

In a similar fashion, the authors synthesized ent-36, which was swiftly converted to hexacyclic sulfone 38 (Scheme 1.3.1.2). Global reduction using Raney nickel furnished (−)-kopsinine (20), which was advanced to (−)-kopsanone (21) in a further two
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This unified approach from the MacMillan group stands out for its elegant and highly enantioselective organocascade reaction, along with thoughtful synthetic planning to enable multiple highly productive transformations.

Scheme 1.3.1.2. Divergent Synthetic Access to (-)-Kopsinine (20) and (-)-Kopsanone (21)

1.3.2 MOVASSAGHI’S DIIMINIUM CYCLIZATIONS

The Movassaghi group has leveraged their expertise in interrupted Bischler–Napieralski reactions\(^\text{38}\) to synthesize versatile diiminium species en route to multiple *Aspidosperma* alkaloids.\(^\text{39}\) In their first report, α-Quaternary δ-lactam \(^\text{39}^\text{40}\) was subjected to the combination of triflic anhydride and 3-cyanopyridine with heating to deliver diiminium \(^\text{40}\) (Scheme 1.3.2.1A).\(^\text{39a}\) Hydride reduction, followed by hydrogenation gave \(N\)-methylaspidospermidine (41)\(^\text{41}\) in 50% overall yield from 39. Alternatively, heating diiminium \(^\text{40}\) in aqueous acid effected a Grob fragmentation, and subsequent hydrogenation and lactam reduction yielded \(N\)-methylquebrachamine (42)\(^\text{42}\) in 47% overall yield from 39. Critically, the authors found that nine-membered lactam \(^\text{43}\) could be converted to diiminium \(^\text{40}\) under milder conditions (Scheme 1.3.2.1B). A number of non-hydride nucleophiles could react preferentially at the C2 iminium of \(^\text{40}\), including a stereo- and regioselective Friedel–Crafts reaction that enabled an efficient synthesis of homodimeric dideepoxytabernaeneovine (44).
In a subsequent report, Movassaghi and co-workers employed a similarly mild transannular cyclization in the total syntheses of additional monomeric and dimeric *Aspidosperma* alkaloids. Most recently, the Movassaghi group coupled their diiminium cyclization chemistry with amide-directed C–H oxidation to synthesize arene-oxidized *Aspidosperma* alkaloids. Nine-membered lactam 45 was efficiently converted to pentacyclic iminium 46, which could undergo hydride reduction and subsequent deprotection to deliver (+)-limaspermidine (6), or saponification and concomitant N,O-ketalization en route to fendleridine (7, Scheme 1.3.2.2A). Fendleridine (7) could then be smoothly acylated to provide a directing group for arene Pd-catalyzed C–H oxidation (Scheme 1.3.2.2B). These late-stage oxidations enabled concise total syntheses of haplocidine (8) and haplocine (9), further demonstrating the broad utility of Movassaghi’s diiminium cyclization strategy in the synthesis of *Aspidosperma* alkaloids.
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Scheme 1.3.2.2. Movassaghi’s Synthesis of N,O-Ketal-Containing Alkaloids

A. Intramolecular Iminium Trapping

1. H₂PO₄, EtOAc
2. p-NO₂-C₆H₄(C=O)(O)Cl
3. DBU, MeOH

B. Amide-Directed C–H Oxidation

1. (RCO)₂O, pyridine
2. TFA, TFAA, 80 °C;
3. Pd(OAc)₂, PhI(OAc)₂, 75 °C;

1.3.3 Rawal’s Enantioselective Diels–Alder Cycloaddition

The Rawal group utilized an enantioselective Diels–Alder cycloaddition to complete highly streamlined and scalable syntheses of several family members. Treatment of diene 47 with Cr(III)–Salen catalyst 49 in the presence of ethacrolein (48) and molecular sieves gave cyclohexene 50 in 91% yield and 96% enantiomeric excess (Scheme 1.3.3.1). The indole moiety was introduced using a regioselective o-nitroarylolation followed by reductive cyclization. Rawal and co-workers took advantage of this relatively late-stage indole construction in the divergent syntheses of tabersonine (5) and 11-methoxytabersonine (53). Furthermore, the authors diverted the penultimate intermediate from their synthesis of tabersonine (5) to complete additional total syntheses of aspidospermidine (1) and quebrachamine (2).
Scheme 1.3.3.1. Rawal’s Cr(III)–Salen-Catalyzed Enantioselective Diels–Alder Reaction
Among the many synthetic challenges facing chemists in pursuit of Aspidosperma and Kopsia alkaloids is the ubiquitous all-carbon quaternary stereocenter at C20. Since the mid 2000’s, enantioselective Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation reactions of non-stabilized enolates have enabled access to challenging stereogenic quaternary centers.\(^{46,47}\) Using this approach, racemic β-oxoesters such as 54 can be swiftly converted to their corresponding α-quaternary product (55) in high yield and enantioselectivity. The allylic alkylation products (e.g., 56–58) can be further elaborated to chiral building blocks (e.g., 59–63) that previously required lengthy synthetic sequences to achieve high levels of enantiopurity (Scheme 1.4.1).\(^{48}\)

Indeed, our lab has employed this methodology to construct monocyclic chiral building blocks possessing an all-carbon quaternary center in multiple rapid asymmetric formal syntheses of Aspidosperma alkaloids. Vinylogous ester 56 can be transformed into γ-quaternary enone 59 in straightforward fashion,\(^{48}\) completing formal syntheses of (–)-aspidospermine (11) and (–)-quebrachamine (2).\(^{49}\) α-Quaternary piperidin-2-one 57 provides access to heterocycles 60–62,\(^ {46c,48}\) which constitute asymmetric formal syntheses of (–)-quebrachamine (2),\(^ {50}\) (–)-vincadifformine (4),\(^ {51}\) and (+)-rhazinilam (19),\(^ {52}\) respectively. Finally, imide 58 can be easily converted to lactone 63 over three steps,\(^ {53}\) thereby providing enantioselective access to (–)-leuconolam (15), (+)-melodinine E (16), (–)-leuconoxine (17), (–)-mersicarpine (18), and (–)scholarisine G (64, aka leuconodine B).\(^ {54}\)
Recently, several groups have used Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation to set the C20 all-carbon quaternary center in de novo enantioselective total syntheses. The stereochemical information at C20 can then be used to set the remaining stereocenters with high levels of selectivity. Most of the studies described herein utilize conditions developed by the Stoltz group for decarboxylative allylic alkylations, namely the combination of Pd\(_2\)(dba)\(_3\) and a phosphinoxazoline (PHOX) ligand.\(^{46a-c}\)
In 2013, the Lupton group reported the enantioselective decarboxylative Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation of Boc-protected indolone and carbazolone substrates (e.g., 65, Table 1.4.1.1). The authors found that the combination of Pd$_2$(dba)$_3$ (2.5 mol %) and (S)-t-BuPHOX (66, 5 mol %) in toluene at 50 °C delivered the α-quaternary products (e.g., 67a–h) in 69–98% yield and 80–94% ee. Broad functional group tolerance was observed at the α-position, and one example (67h) illustrated the compatibility of an electronically differentiated indole fragment.

Table 1.4.1.1. Selected Examples from Lupton’s Enantioselective Pd-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylations of Indolone and Carbazolone Substrates

Noting the clear resemblance between their α-quaternary carbazolone products (e.g., 67e–h) and monoterpenic indole alkaloids, the authors carried out a rapid enantioselective formal synthesis of (+)-kopsihainanine A (22). Nitrile 67e was treated
with formic acid to effect hydration with concomitant Boc removal, and subsequent reprotection delivered N-benzyl carbazolone 68 (Scheme 1.4.1.1). N-benzyl carbazolone 68 was carried through six additional steps by She and co-workers in their synthesis of (±)-kopsihainanine A (22).56

Scheme 1.4.1.1. Enantioselective Formal Synthesis of (+)-Kopsihainanine A (22)

1.4.2 MA’S TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF METHYL N-DECARBOMETHOXYCHANOFRUTICOSINATE

Ma and co-workers devised an elegant total synthesis of (+)-methyl N-decarbomethoxychanofruticosinate (26) featuring an enantioselective Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation of a carbazolone substrate and a late-stage intramolecular oxidative coupling reaction.57 Beginning from commercially available carbazolone 69, a four-step sequence including a Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation using (R)-r-BuPHOX (ent-66) delivered ent-67e (Scheme 1.4.2.1). Oxidative cleavage of the allyl fragment, reduction of the resulting aldehyde, and alcohol protection using TBSCl gave silyl ether 70. Reductive cyclization using nickel boride, followed by imine hydrogenation, delivered tetracycle 71 with the requisite trans-fused octahydroquinoline subunit. Acylation of the secondary amine, followed by alcohol deprotection and subsequent oxidation, gave aldehyde 72. An intramolecular Reformatsky-type reaction was mediated by SmI₂, and the resulting β-hydroxyamide was subjected to amide reduction and then alcohol oxidation to arrive at ketone 73. Ketone 73 was deprotonated using LHMDS, and the resulting enolate
underwent smooth iodine-promoted oxidative coupling to give imine 74.\textsuperscript{58} Nucleophilic addition of cyanide, followed by hydration and subsequent esterification delivered the highly caged target, (+)-methyl N-decarbomethoxychanofruticosinate (26), in 19 steps and 5% overall yield from commercially available 69.

Scheme 1.4.2.1. Ma’s Total Synthesis of (+)-Methyl N-Decarbomethoxychanofruticosinate (26)
Mukai and co-workers exploited the exceptional enantioselectivities achieved through the asymmetric allylic alkylation of piperidin-2-ones in a highly enantioselective total synthesis of (+)-kopsihainanine A (22). The addition of 1,3-Dicarbonyl 75, available in five linear steps from indole, to a solution of Pd\(_2\)(dba)\(_3\) (5 mol %) and electron deficient (S)-(CF\(_3\))\(_3\)-t-BuPHOX (76, 12.5 mol %) in TBME at 40 °C delivered α-quaternary amide 77 in 82% yield and 98% ee on a one-mmol scale (Scheme 1.4.3.1). Following global deprotection, the key Bischler–Napieralski cyclization was performed to deliver tetracycle 79 in excellent yield as a single diastereomer bearing the desired trans-fused [6,6] subunit. A further seven steps were required to advance the Bischler–Napieralski product (79) to (+)-kopsihainanine A (22). Despite multiple protecting group and redox manipulations, Mukai and co-workers completed a total synthesis of (+)-kopsihainanine A (22) in 15 steps and 3% overall yield from indole.
1.4.4 QIN’S TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF MULTIPLE KOPSIA ALKALOIDS

The most recent report in this field is a beautiful unified approach to multiple highly caged Kopsia alkaloids by Qin and co-workers.\textsuperscript{10} Racemic carbazolone 82 underwent enantioconvergent Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation using (S)-\textit{t}-BuPHOX (55, 13 mol %) and Pd\(_2\)(dba)\(_3\) (5 mol %) in refluxing toluene to deliver the \(\alpha\)-quaternary product (83) in 91\% and 94\% ee (Scheme 1.4.4.1). Remarkably, the crucial heteroaryl bromide motif withstands this Pd(0)-catalyzed transformation, despite somewhat forcing conditions. The terminal alkene was transformed to a primary azide (85), which could undergo an aza-Wittig reaction and ensuing hydride reduction with high diastereoselectivity. Protection of the piperidine nitrogen with TrocCl then delivered tetracycle 86. The authors masterfully unveiled a \(\beta\)-ketonitrile moiety through reductive N–O cleavage and concomitant bromide elimination. Diazo transfer proceeded smoothly
to give α-diazoketone 87, which enabled the investigation of their key metal-catalyzed intramolecular cyclopropanation.

**Scheme 1.4.4.1. Synthesis of α-Diazoketone 87**

After screening various rhodium and copper catalysts, Qin and co-workers found that the use of 20 mol % Cu(hfacac)₂ in chlorobenzene at 120 °C resulted in a 52% yield of the desired cyclopropanated indoline 88 (Scheme 1.4.4.2). Troc removal and cyclopropane opening was accomplished with zinc dust, and an intramolecular Mannich reaction formed the pyrrolidine subunit to give hexacycle 89. A three-step sequence of nitrogen deprotection, cyanation, and samarium-mediated acyloin condensation gave highly caged α-hydroxyketone 91. Nitrile hydration and esterification with 2-mercaptopyridine N-oxide delivered 92, which undergoes radical decarboxylation to give
a mixture of 93 and 94, bearing the carbocyclic cores of (−)-isokopsine (24) and (−)-kopsine (23), respectively.

Scheme 1.4.4.2. Intramolecular Cyclopropanation and Elaboration to the Carbocyclic Cores of Caged Kopsia Alkaloids

Heptacycle 93 was globally carbomethoxylated, and chemoselective carbonate cleavage occurred to give (−)-isokopsine (24, Scheme 1.4.4.3). C–C scission was achieved using Pb(OAc)₄ to furnish (+)-methyl chanofruticosinate (25). Furthermore, (−)-isokopsine (24) could be reduced with sodium borohydride, and the resulting diol cleaved by Pb(OAc)₄ to provide aldehyde 95. Treatment of 95 with sodium methoxide in THF effected an intramolecular aldol condensation to give (−)-fruticosine (27).
Lastly, the authors advanced heptacycle 94 to complete syntheses of (−)-kopsanone (21) and (−)-kopsine (23). The tertiary alcohol in 94 was converted to a xanthate ester, and ensuing radical deoxygenation gave (−)-kopsanone (21, Scheme 1.4.4.4, Left). Conversely, treatment of 94 with triphosgene followed by methanolation gave (−)-kopsine (23, Scheme 1.4.4.4, Right).

In summary, Qin and co-workers have designed and executed an impressive unified strategy toward several structurally daunting targets. Instrumental to their success were an enantioselective Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation, the incorporation of a bromoisoxazole fragment as a masked β-ketonitrile, a copper-catalyzed intramolecular cyclopropanation, and several late-stage skeletal rearrangements.
In 2013, Qiu and co-workers reported a stereocontrolled total synthesis of (−)-aspidophytine (10). Enantioselective Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation of β-ketoester 96 was accomplished using [Pd₂(dba)_3]•CHCl₃ and (S,S)-ANDEN-Phenyl Trost ligand (97) to give known vinylogous thioester 98 in 70% yield and 85% ee (Scheme 1.4.5.1). A two-step hydrolysis protocol gave cyclohexane-1,3-dione 99, which could be enriched to 97% ee through recrystallization. The dimethoxyindole fragment in (−)-aspidophytine (10) was constructed through an acid-catalyzed vinylogous amide formation followed by Pd-catalyzed oxidative cyclization. Subsequent N-tosylation under phase-transfer conditions gave α-quaternary carbazolone 102. The allyl fragment in 102 was converted to a primary azide in straightforward fashion to arrive at carbazolone 104.
The authors then expertly used the single stereocenter in 104 to build the three remaining rings and complete their synthesis of (−)-aspidophytine (10) in a stereoselective fashion (Scheme 1.4.5.2). A four-step sequence effected ketone and azide reduction along with amine protection and a Pictet–Spengler-type cyclization to deliver cis-fused tetracycle 105. Global deprotection is followed by regioselective alkylation using 2-bromoethanol furnished aminoalcohol 106. Pyrrolidine annulation and selenoxide elimination gave α,β-unsaturated imine 107, which could undergo hydride reduction and reductive amination in the same pot to yield penultimate N-methyl indoline 108. This intermediate was converted to (−)-aspidophytine (10) by adapting a two-step protocol reported by Corey. While their synthesis required 20 steps and proceeded in 0.6%
overall yield from known $\alpha$-quaternary vinylogous thioester 98, Qiu and co-workers successfully assembled one of the most functionally elaborate members of the Aspidosperma family.

**Scheme 1.4.5.2. Qiu’s Endgame for (−)-Aspidophytine (10)**

1. Na, naphthalene THF, −78 °C
2. H$_2$, Pd/C, EtOH
3. CbzCl, NaOH, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C
4. TFA, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C

(40%, 3 steps)

1. NaBH$_4$, EtOH/THF (1:1)
2. H$_2$, Pd/C, EtOH
3. CbzCl, NaOH, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C
4. TFA, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, 0 °C

(60%, 4 steps)

1. MsCl, DIPEA, CH$_2$Cl$_2$, −78 °C
2. NaI, Cs$_2$CO$_3$, acetone, reflux
3. (PhSeO)$_2$O, PhH, 65 °C

(43%, 3 steps)

1. NaOH, EtOH, 70 °C;
K$_3$[Fe(CN)$_6$], NaHCO$_3$
1-t-BuOH/H$_2$O (1:2)

(39% yield)

1.4.6 **SHAO’S TOTAL SYNTHESES ENABLED BY ENANTIOENRICHED $\alpha$-QUATERNARY CARBAZOLONES**

In 2013, the Shao group began what has become a fruitful research program in the application of Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation reactions of carbazolone substrates in the context of monoterpene alkaloid total synthesis. Their initial disclosure was published back-to-back with that of Lupton and co-workers, and detailed the asymmetric allylic alkylation of $N$-benzyl carbazolone substrates (Table 1.4.6.1). Using similar reaction conditions, a range of enantioenriched $\alpha$-quaternary carbazolone products were obtained in 70–93% yield and 84–97% ee. Compounds bearing either nitrile or ester motifs performed well (e.g., 110a and 110b), and substitution at the 2-position of the allyl fragment resulted in high levels of enantioselectivity (e.g., 110c and 110d), as is often the
case in these allylic alkylations. Simple alkyl substituents were also tolerated (e.g., 110e and 110f), and electronically differentiated arene fragments were compatible (e.g., 110g and 110h).

Table 1.4.6.1. Selected Examples from Shao’s First Report on Enantioselective Pd-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylations of Carbazolone Substrates

Shao’s first application of this chemistry was in the total syntheses of (−)-aspidospermidine (1) and (+)-kopsihainanine A (22). Beginning from α-quaternary carbazolone 110a, hydration of the pendant nitrile followed by ketone reduction and acid-promoted cyclization gave lactam 111 (Scheme 1.4.6.1A). A three-step dehomologation protocol was used to convert 111 into tetracycle 113, which bears the desired C20 ethyl substituent. Lactam reduction and debenzylation under dissolving metal conditions furnished 114, which was converted to (−)-aspidospermidine (1) using a three-step sequence adapted from Heathcock and co-workers. The authors found that lactam 111 could also serve as an intermediate toward (+)-kopsihainanine A (22, Scheme 1.4.6.1B).
Hydroboration/oxidation and base-promoted cyclization of the corresponding mesylate gave pentacycle 115, which underwent α-hydroxylation and debenzylation to complete the first catalytic enantioselective synthesis of (+)-kopsihainanine (22) in short order, albeit in low yield.

Scheme 1.4.6.1. Shao’s Divergent Syntheses of (−)-Aspidospermidine (1) and (+)-Kopsihainanine A (22)

A. Synthesis of (−)-Aspidospermidine

Later that same year, Shao and co-workers published an enantioselective total synthesis of (−)-limaspermidine (6). Aldehyde 116, which was an intermediate in their synthesis of (−)-aspidospermidine (1), was instead treated with excess LiAlH₄ in refluxing ether to give primary alcohol 117 (Scheme 1.4.6.2). Debenzylation and TBDPS-protection provided silyl ether 118, which underwent regioselective acylation to deliver α-chloroamide 119. Finkelstein displacement of the chloride and subsequent AgOTf-mediated annulation furnished pentacyclic imine 120. Global hydride reduction
and desilylation completed their total synthesis of (−)-limaspermidine (6), which had previously been converted to (−)-acetylaspidalbidine (7) in a further two steps by Banwell and co-workers.\textsuperscript{70}

**Scheme 1.4.6.2. Shao’s Total Synthesis of (−)-Limaspermidine (6) and Formal Synthesis of (−)-Acetylaspidalbidine (7)**

In a 2014 report, Shao and co-workers expanded their investigations to access alkaloids bearing oxygenation on the arene fragment.\textsuperscript{71} Allylic alkylation precursor 121 was synthesized in five steps and 41\% overall yield from commercially available materials. Using their previously optimized conditions, \(\alpha\)-quaternary carbazolone 122 was obtained in 90\% yield and 91\% ee (Scheme 1.4.6.3). The authors then employed a familiar seven-step sequence to arrive at pentacyclic imine 123, which served as a common intermediate in their divergent total syntheses of (+)-aspidospermine (11), (−)-\(N\)-acetylcylindrocyparinol (12), (+)-cylindrocarpidine (13), and (+)-10-oxocylindrocyparinol (14).
Exhaustive hydride reduction, N-acylation, and oxidative cleavage of the terminal olefin gave aldehyde 125 (Scheme 1.4.6.4A). (+)-Aspidospermine (11), (−)-N-acetylcylindrocarpide (12), and (+)-cylindrocarpine (13) were each available from aldehyde 125 in 1–2 steps. Alternatively, chemoselective reduction of 123 using sodium borohydride left the pyrrolidin-2-one intact, which facilitated the first total synthesis of (−)-10-oxocylindrocarpine (14, Scheme 1.4.6.4B).

Scheme 1.4.6.4. Shao’s Divergent Syntheses of C12-Methoxy Alkaloids 11–14
1.4.7 ZHU’S OXIDATION/REDUCTION/POLYCYCLIZATION CASCADES

The laboratories of Jieping Zhu have combined Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation with their domino oxidation/reduction/polycyclization cascades to complete enantioselective syntheses of a structurally unique subset of *Aspidosperma* alkaloids (e.g., 15–19). The known enantioselective Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation of β-ketoester 127 proceeded smoothly to give α-quaternary ketone 128 in 90% yield and 92% ee (Scheme 1.4.7.1). The authors carried out a five-step sequence to introduce the azide and o-nitrophenyl substituents present in enone 129. Ozonolysis of 129, followed by treatment of the intermediate hydroperoxide with Ac₂O and Et₃N provided methyl ester 130. Hydrogenation of 130 revealed the nascent aniline and primary amine in 131, which cyclized regioselectively under the reaction conditions to give tricycle 132. The addition of KOH effected lactamization, and sparging with oxygen provided the presumed hydroperoxide (134), which was reduced by dimethyl sulfide to deliver (–)-mersicarpine (18) in a remarkable 75% one-pot yield from azide 130.
Furthermore, the authors found that hydrogenation of azide 130 in the presence of acetic anhydride resulted in acetylation of the primary amine to give acetamide 135 (Scheme 1.4.7.2). Aerobic oxidation of the 3-oxindole moiety followed by the addition of KOH produced lactam 136, which underwent acid-promoted cyclization to afford aminal 137. An intramolecular aldol condensation completed a total synthesis of (-)-scholarisine G (64). The tertiary benzylic alcohol in (-)-scholarisine G (64) was smoothly dehydrated to give (+)-melodinine E (16), which could be further elaborated to (-)-leuconolam (15) and (-)-leuconoxine (17).
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Scheme 1.4.7.2. Tailoring Primary Amine Nucleophilicity to Access Aminal-Containing Alkaloids

Following their successful elaboration of α-quaternary cyclohexanone 128 toward multiple alkaloids, Zhu and co-workers devised clever syntheses of (−)-rhazinilam (19), (−)-leucomidine B (138),74 and (+)-leuconodine F (139)75 beginning from five-membered β-ketoester 140 (Scheme 1.4.7.3). The known synthesis of α-quaternary cyclopentanone 141 proceeded in 87% yield and 86% ee under typical reaction conditions.67,76 The authors conducted a three-step sequence to access cyclopentenyl triflate 142, which was advanced through an additional three steps to give cyclopentene 143. A familiar ozonolysis with an Ac₂O/Et₃N workup yielded methyl ester 144, which could undergo smooth aza-Wittig cyclization to deliver imine 145. While this imine intermediate could be isolated, the authors were pleased to find that pyrrole formation could occur in the same pot to afford tetrahydroindolizine 146. This intermediate was converted to (−)-
rhazinilam (19) using a well-precedented reduction/hydrolysis/macrolactamization sequence.

**Scheme 1.4.7.3. Zhu’s Total Synthesis of (−)-Rhazinilam (19)**

Furthermore, Zhu and co-workers found that facile cyclocondensation could occur between imine 145 and oxalyl chloride to give dioxopyrrole 147 (Scheme 1.4.7.4A). Saponification of the methyl ester, followed by nitro reduction/indolization and remethylation delivered (−)-leucomidine B (138). It was discovered that saponification was required to achieve high levels of diastereoselectivity at the stereogenic aminomethine in the natural product. Alternatively, the ketone in 147 could be protected as the corresponding methyl enolether (148, Scheme 1.4.7.4B). This enabled regiodivergent aniline cyclization in their total synthesis of (+)-leuconodine F (139).
The Zhu group has elegantly leveraged the high enantioselectivities available in Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylations of cyclic substrates to prepare precursors for their reduction/oxidation/cyclization cascades. Critically, they have demonstrated the ability to tune participating functional groups for the controlled, divergent construction of multiple monoterpene indole alkaloid scaffolds.
Monoterpene indole alkaloids of the Aspidosperma type have long inspired innovation in chemical synthesis. Over the past five years, several research groups have utilized enantioselective Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylations of prochiral enolates to synthesize a wide variety of structurally unique Aspidosperma and Kopsia alkaloids, further highlighting the widespread utility of these reactions. Critical to the success of these endeavors is the ability to construct multiple stereocenters in the respective targets with high diastereoselectivity by leveraging the all-carbon quaternary center formed in the Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation event. Future studies to reduce the loadings of precious-metal catalysts, develop non-precious-metal-catalyzed allylic alkylation reactions, and the combine this reactivity with emerging synthetic methods will be of paramount importance in the advancement of chemical synthesis.
1.6 NOTES AND REFERENCES
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31. Detailed information regarding the enzymatic conversion of 4,21-dihydrogeissoschizine (31) to tabersonine (5) is currently unknown.


43. Lactam 45 was prepared by an enzymatic resolution of the corresponding acetate.


61. A diol bearing the same carbon skeleton as 93 was also isolated in 9% overall yield from acyloin adduct 91.

62. Constitutionally isomeric byproducts were isolated in the final steps of (−)-kopsanone (21) and (−)-kopsine (23), but have been omitted from this review for clarity.


64. Trost and co-workers used (R,R)-97 in 1,4-dioxane at 23 °C to synthesize 98, presumably in the opposite enantiomeric series, in 82% yield and 90% ee. No explanation was given for the deviation from Trost’s superior conditions. For the original report, see: Trost, B. M.; Bream, R. N.; Xu, J. *Angew. Chem. Int. Ed.* **2006**, *45*, 3109–3112.


75. For initial isolation of leuconodine F (139), which was originally named 6-oxoleuconoxine, see: (a) Lim, S.-H.; Sim, K.-M.; Abdullah, Z.; Hiraku, O.;

CHAPTER 2

Chemoselectivity in Indole-Iminium Cyclizations:

Total Synthesis of (−)-Goniomitine and Formal Syntheses of

(+)-Aspidospermidine and (−)-Quebrachamine†

2.1 INTRODUCTION, BACKGROUND, AND RETROSYNTHETIC ANALYSIS

Monoterpene indole alkaloids have been extensively studied by chemists and biologists alike due to their vast structural diversity and broad biological activity.1 (−)-Goniomitine (3), isolated from the bark of Gonioma malagasy, is an Aspidosperma alkaloid with a unique octahydroindolo[1,2-α][1,8]naphthyridine core (Figure 2.1).2 The key structural differences between goniomitine (3) and many Aspidosperma alkaloids (e.g., 1, 2, and 4, Figure 2.1.1)3 are the aminal functionality at C21 and the vestigial (2-hydroxy)ethyl moiety at C7.4

† This work was performed in collaboration with Dr. Yoshitaka Numajiri and Jun Kikuchi, both of whom are alumni of Stoltz group. Additionally, this research has been published and adapted with permission from Pritchett, B. P.; Kikuchi, J.; Numajiri, Y.; Stoltz, B. M. Angew. Chem. Int. Ed. 2016, 55, 13529–13532. Copyright 2016 Wiley-VCH.
Biosynthetically, these features are believed to arise from oxidative degradation of the tryptamine fragment in vincadifformine (4) to give **160**, which is poised to undergo retro-Mannich fragmentation to give iminium **161** (Scheme 2.1.1A). N1–C21 recombination of iminium **161** can then furnish goniomitine (3).^{5} Cyclizations between an indole and a C2-tethered iminium moiety (e.g., **162**, Scheme 2.1.1B) are remarkably chemoselective. In the case of a C3-substituted indole fragment (e.g., **162**, R^1 ≠ H), cyclization proceeds via C–N bond formation to furnish aminal-containing tetracycle **163**, as seen in previous syntheses of goniomitine (3).^{5-7} Conversely, a C3-unsubstituted indole fragment (e.g., **162**, R^1 = H) undergoes C–C bond formation followed by rearomatization to arrive at alternative tetracycle **164**, a core that is present in numerous alkaloids (e.g., **1** and **4**).^{8}

We anticipated that iminium intermediates such as **162** could be accessed in straightforward fashion from compounds containing a dihydropyrido[1,2-α]indolone (DHPI) core (Scheme 2.1.1C). Retrosynthetically, we envisioned that the propylamine fragment in **162** could arise from an anti-Markovnikov hydroamination of the allyl functionality in α-quaternary lactam **165**. Given our lab’s long-standing interest in the asymmetric synthesis of all-carbon quaternary centers, we believed that we could employ our Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation chemistry to construct the quaternary stereocenter at C20 in an enantioselective fashion.^{9,10} We expected that a cross-coupling reaction could
enable optional substitution at the C3 position of the DHPI scaffold, thereby providing selective routes to tetracycles 163 and 164. Therefore, development of this versatile substrate class in our Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation chemistry would provide a powerful tool for divergent enantioselective syntheses of multiple *Aspidosperma* alkaloids.

Scheme 2.1.1. Biosynthetic Origin and Synthetic Versatility of Iminium 162

Due to its antiproliferative activity and unusual structure, several groups have targeted goniomitine (3) for total synthesis.\(^6,7\) While modern approaches to this molecule have improved upon the seminal report by Takano and co-workers,\(^7^a\) a synthesis of goniomitine (3) that employs asymmetric catalysis to achieve stereocontrol has not yet been demonstrated. To date, asymmetric syntheses of goniomitine (3) have relied on either enzymatic resolutions or chiral pool materials.\(^7\) Furthermore, in previous syntheses of goniomitine, unless the (2-hydroxy)ethyl moiety was incorporated using a tryptophol-
derived starting material, a multi-step sequence from an unsubstituted C7 position was required. We instead anticipated that a cross-coupling reaction between a C3-brominated DHPI and a suitable organometallic reagent would enable efficient access to the (2-hydroxy)ethyl fragment in the natural product. Realization of this synthetic plan would deliver the first catalytic enantioselective total synthesis of (−)-goniomitine (3).

2.2 PALLADIUM-CATALYZED ALLYLIC ALKYLATION REACTIONS OF DHPI SUBSTRATES

Our synthesis of (−)-goniomitine (3) commenced from known dicarbonyl 167,11 which underwent regioselective ketone reduction using sodium borohydride to give alcohol 168 in 84% yield (Scheme 2.2.1). Treatment of alcohol 168 with triethylsilane in the presence of trifluoroacetic acid afforded known N-acyl indole 166 in 61% yield.12 Regioselective bromination occurred to give heteroaryl bromide 169 in 95% yield. Gratifyingly, treatment of 169 with potassium (2-benzyloxy)ethyl trifluoroborate (170) and catalytic PdCl₂(A⁻[x]Phos)₂ afforded cross-coupled product 171 in 86% yield.13,14 Facile C-acylation and C-alkylation of tricycles 166, 169, and 171 positioned us to investigate the heretofore untested asymmetric allylic alkylation of the dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indolone (DHPI) substrate class.
Exposure of (2-benzyloxy)ethyl-substituted DHPI 172a to the catalyst derived from Pd₂(pmdba)₃ (10 mol %) and (S)-(CF₃)₃-t-BuPHOX (76, 25 mol %)¹⁵ yielded the α-quaternary product 165a in 38% yield and 89% enantiomeric excess (Table 2.2.1, Entry 1). Switching from toluene to TBME as solvent greatly improved the reaction rate and yield, albeit with a minor decrease in enantioselectivity (Entry 2). Previous studies by our group have revealed that electron-withdrawing substituents on the lactam nitrogen atom provide the best results in the allylic alkylation chemistry.¹⁶ As the enolate intermediate would be in cross-conjugation with the arene π-system, we postulated that a bromide at the C3 position could provide both a beneficial electronic effect and a handle for cross-coupling downstream. While there are numerous reports of aryl bromides withstanding the conditions of Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation reactions, their strategic implementation for cross-coupling events following the allylic alkylation is comparatively limited.¹⁶ Gratifyingly, brominated β-amidoester 172b reacted to give the desired quaternary alkylated product 165b (Entries 3 and 4), which in TBME was afforded in 83% yield and 96% ee with no observable interference from the C3 bromide (Entry 4). Given that the
successful inclusion of a C3–H substrate in our allylic alkylation chemistry would enable divergent construction of additional alkaloids (vide infra), we were pleased to find that β-amidoester 172c could deliver α-quaternary lactam 165c in 71% yield and 94% ee (Entry 6). Reaction of 172b with electron-neutral (S)-t-BuPHOX (66)\(^\text{17}\) as the ligand, in either toluene or TBME as solvent, resulted in diminished ee (Entries 7 and 8).

**Table 2.2.1. Pd-Catalyzed Asymmetric Allylic Alkylation of DHPI Substrates\(^a\)**

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>(R^1) (172 → 165)</th>
<th>(R^2)</th>
<th>solvent</th>
<th>(\text{Pd}^2\text{(pmdba)}_3) mol %</th>
<th>ligand (mol %)</th>
<th>time [h]</th>
<th>yield [%]</th>
<th>ee [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>CH(_2)CH(_2)OBn (172a → 165a)</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>76 (25)</td>
<td>72</td>
<td>38</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>CH(_2)CH(_2)OBn (172a → 165a)</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>TBME</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>76 (25)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>59</td>
<td>87</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Br (172b → 165b)</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>76 (12.5)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>21</td>
<td>93</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>Br (172b → 165b)</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>TBME</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>76 (12.5)</td>
<td>8</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>H (172c → 165c)</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>76 (25)</td>
<td>48</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>92</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>H (172c → 165c)</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>TBME</td>
<td>5</td>
<td>76 (12.5)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>71</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7*</td>
<td>Br (172b → 165b)</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>toluene</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>66 (25)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>50</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8*</td>
<td>Br (172b → 165b)</td>
<td>Et</td>
<td>TBME</td>
<td>10</td>
<td>66 (25)</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>63</td>
<td>72</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(a\) Reactions were performed in stated solvent (0.033 M) at 60 °C.

\(b\) Isolated yield.

\(c\) Determined by chiral SFC analysis.

\(d\) pmdba = 4,4’-dimethoxydibenzylideneacetone

\(e\) Reaction performed at 40 °C

\(f\) Reaction performed at 35 °C
2.3 CROSS-COUPLING OF 3-BROMO α-QUATERNARY DHPI 165b

We next turned our attention toward the cross-coupling of brominated α-quaternary lactam 165b with a suitable hydroxyethyl surrogate. Unfortunately, we found that the Suzuki reaction between 165b and trifluoroborate 170 could not be improved beyond a 50% yield of inseparable olefin isomers 165a in a 5:1 ratio (Scheme 2.3.1A). We hypothesized that a Pd–H species was responsible for this undesired isomerization pathway, and sought to identify an alternative C$_{sp^3}$ nucleophilic coupling partner that would not allow for facile β-hydride elimination. Recognizing that a reduction would ultimately be required to convert the amide present in 165b to the aminal present in goniomitine (3), we decided to incorporate a substituent in a higher oxidation state via the cross-coupling, thereby allowing concomitant unveiling of the (2-hydroxy)ethyl moiety at a later stage. After investigating a multitude of Negishi conditions, we were thrilled to find that Reformatsky reagent 173 could be efficiently coupled with heteroaryl bromide 165b using catalytic PdCl$_2$(A$^{10}$Phos)$_2$ to deliver arylated product 174 in 98% yield without any detectable amount of undesired olefin isomers (Scheme 2.3.1B).
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Scheme 2.3.1. Cross-Coupling Reactivity of α-Quaternary DHPI 165b

A. Suzuki Cross-Coupling

B. Negishi Cross-Coupling

2.4 \((-\text{-goniomitine endgame})\)

With the requisite carbon–carbon bonds established, we began investigating methods to effect an anti-Markovnikov hydroamination of the terminal olefin of 174. To this end, we employed a one-pot hydrozirconation/amination sequence reported by Hartwig and co-workers.\(^{19}\) To our knowledge, this is the first implementation of Hartwig’s hydrozirconation/amination protocol in the context of natural product synthesis. Following this formal hydroamination, we were pleased to find that complete reduction of the tert-butyl ester of 175 could be achieved alongside partial reduction of the amide carbonyl in one pot using a single reductant. In the event, primary amine 175 was subjected to LiAlH\(_4\) in THF, followed by acidic workup, to afford \((-\text{-goniomitine})\) (3) in 30\% yield from 174 (Scheme 2.4.1).
Chapter 2 – Chemoselective Indole-Iminium Cyclizations from α-Quaternary DHPIs

Scheme 2.4.1. Completion of the Synthesis of (–)-Goniomitine (3)

2.5 ASYMMETRIC FORMAL SYNTHESSES OF (+)-ASPIDOSPERMIDINE AND (–)-QUEBRACHAMINE

Having completed the total synthesis of (–)-goniomitine (3), we sought to leverage the flexibility of the DHPI scaffold by exploiting the chemoselectivity in cyclizations of an indole with a C2-tethered iminium functionality (cf. Scheme 2.1.1B). Indeed, the synthesis of 165c completes an enantioselective formal synthesis of (+)-aspidospermidine (1) (Scheme 2.5.1).\textsuperscript{6c} Furthermore, treatment of 165c with the aforementioned hydroamination conditions followed by a mild amide exchange furnishes free N–H α-quaternary δ-lactam 176 in 66% yield over two steps, constituting an asymmetric formal synthesis of (–)-quebrachamine (2).\textsuperscript{20}

Scheme 2.5.1. Asymmetric Formal Syntheses of Other Aspidosperma Alkaloids
2.6 CONCLUSION

In summary, we have completed the first catalytic enantioselective total synthesis of (−)-goniomitine (3) in 11 steps and 8% overall yield from indole, or 7 steps and 17% overall yield from known DHPI 166. The redox efficiency and freedom from protecting-group manipulations is a marked improvement from previous nonracemic syntheses, which deliver the target in 10–28 steps and 0.25–3.2% overall yield from commercial materials.21 Rationally designed heteroaryl bromide 172b underwent Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation to deliver the α-quaternary product (165b) in 83% yield and 96% ee. The surprisingly robust Caryl–Br bond served as a handle for a subsequent Negishi cross-coupling. The compatibility of aryl bromides in our allylic alkylation reactions, along with the identification of cross-coupling conditions that do not isomerize the allyl group, provide a powerful platform for the convergent synthesis of complex organic molecules. Additionally, by completing formal syntheses of (+)-aspidospermidine (1) and (−)-quebrachamine (2), we demonstrate the ability of the DHPI scaffold to provide divergent, enantioselective access to structurally diverse alkaloid frameworks.
2.7 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

2.7.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Unless otherwise stated, reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere using dry, deoxygenated solvents. Solvents were dried by passage through an activated alumina column under argon. Reaction progress was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) or Agilent 1290 UHPLC-LCMS. TLC was performed using E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 precoated glass plates (0.25 mm) and visualized by UV fluorescence quenching, p-anisaldehyde, CAM, or KMnO₄ staining. Silicycle SiliaFlash® P60 Academic Silica gel (particle size 40–63 nm) was used for flash chromatography. Melting points were measured with Büchi Melting Point B-545. 

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova 500 (500 MHz and 126 MHz, respectively) and a Bruker AV III HD spectrometer equipped with a Prodigy liquid nitrogen temperature cryoprobe (400 MHz and 101 MHz, respectively) and are reported in terms of chemical shift relative to CHCl₃ (δ 7.26 and δ 77.16, respectively). Data for ¹H NMR are reported as follows: chemical shift (δ ppm) (multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz), integration). Multiplicities are reported as follows: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, p = pentet, sept = septuplet, m = multiplet, br s = broad singlet, br d = broad doublet, br t = broad triplet, app = apparent. Data for ¹³C NMR are reported in terms of chemical shifts (δ ppm). IR spectra were obtained by use of a Perkin Elmer Spectrum BXII spectrometer using thin films deposited on NaCl plates and reported in frequency of absorption (cm⁻¹). Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter operating on the sodium D-line (589 nm), using a 100 mm path-length cell, and are reported as [α]ₒ° (concentration in g/100 mL, solvent). Analytical SFC was
performed with a Mettler SFC supercritical CO\textsubscript{2} analytical chromatography system utilizing Chiralpak AD-H column (4.6 mm x 25 cm) obtained from Daicel Chemical Industries, Ltd. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained from the Caltech Mass Spectral Facility using a JEOL JMS-600H High Resolution Mass Spectrometer in fast atom bombardment (FAB+) or electron ionization (EI+) mode, or Agilent 6200 Series TOF with an Agilent G1978A Multimode source in mixed ionization mode (MM: ESI/APCI).

Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Acros Organics, Strem, or Alfa Aesar and used as received unless otherwise stated. NBS was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, recrystallized from H\textsubscript{2}O, and stored in a –25 °C freezer. 2-\textit{tert}-Butoxy-2-oxoethylzinc chloride (\textit{173}, 0.5 M in Et\textsubscript{2}O) was purchased from Rieke Metals and used within three days. Bis(cyclopentadienyl) zirconium chloride hydride was purchased from Strem Chemicals and stored at room temperature in a N\textsubscript{2}-filled glovebox. Hydroxylamine-\textit{O}-sulfonic acid was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and stored at –30°C in the glovebox freezer. PdCl\textsubscript{2}(A\textsubscript{ta}Phos)\textsubscript{2} was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and stored at ambient temperature in a dessicator. MeOH was distilled from magnesium methoxide immediately prior to use. (S)-(CF\textsubscript{3})\textsubscript{3}-t-BuPHOX (\textit{76}),\textsuperscript{15} tris(4,4’-methoxydibenzyldieneacetone)dipalladium(0) Pd\textsubscript{2}(pmdba)\textsubscript{3},\textsuperscript{23} and allyl cyanoformate\textsuperscript{24} were prepared by known methods.
9-Hydroxy-8,9-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indol-6(7H)-one (168): To a solution of tricycle 167\textsuperscript{11} (5.92 g, 29.7 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in THF (300 mL) was added NaBH\textsubscript{4} (1.24 g, 32.8 mmol, 1.1 equiv) in two equal portions over 10 min at 0°C. The reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 23 °C over the course of 2 h at which point full consumption of starting material was observed by TLC analysis. The reaction was quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NH\textsubscript{4}Cl (100 mL). The biphasic mixture was poured into water (100 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, filtered, and stripped onto silica gel. Flash column chromatography (SiO\textsubscript{2}, 40% EtOAc in hexanes to 60% EtOAc in hexanes eluent) afforded alcohol 168 (5.03 g, 84% yield) as a tan amorphous solid: R\textsubscript{f} = 0.45 (1:1 EtOAc:hexanes eluent); \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ 8.46 (dq, J = 8.2, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.53 (ddd, J = 7.7, 1.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.35 (ddd, J = 8.4, 7.3, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.30–7.26 (m, 1H), 6.60 (t, J = 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (t, J = 4.5 Hz, 1H), 3.19–3.11 (m, 1H), 2.74 (dt, J = 17.5, 5.1 Hz, 1H), 2.28–2.22 (m, 2H), 1.96 (br s, 1H); \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ 169.1, 139.8, 135.1, 129.2, 125.5, 124.3, 120.8, 116.8, 106.7, 62.6, 29.8, 29.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3396, 3059, 2960, 2934, 1704, 1597, 1473, 1453, 1372, 1358, 1321, 1178, 1086, 1052, 1022, 1006, 980, 942, 814, 754 cm\textsuperscript{-1}; HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calc’d for C\textsubscript{12}H\textsubscript{12}NO\textsubscript{2} [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}: 202.0863, found 202.0862.
8,9-Dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indol-6(7H)-one (166): Two flasks were each charged with alcohol 168 (4.38 g, 21.8 mmol, 1.00 equiv), CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (220 mL), and Et$_3$SiH (7.6 g, 65.4 mmol, 3.0 equiv). Each flask was then cooled to 0 °C in an ice water bath. To each flask was then added TFA (14.9 g, 130.8 mmol, 6.0 equiv) over 15 min at 0°C. The solution turned to dark purple, and was allowed to warm to 23 °C over the course of 2 h, and then stirred at 23 °C for an additional 2 h. At this point, full consumption of starting material was observed by TLC analysis. The reaction was quenched by the careful addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO$_3$ at 0 °C until evolution of gas ceased. The two reaction mixtures were combined in a separatory funnel, and extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 x 500 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 20% Et$_2$O in hexanes to 35% Et$_2$O in hexanes eluent) afforded heteroarene 166 (5.0 g, 61% yield) as a white solid: $R_f = 0.25$ (3:7 Et$_2$O:hexanes eluent); physical and spectroscopic data were consistent with those reported in the literature.$^{12}$

10-Bromo-8,9-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indol-6(7H)-one (169): To a solution of heteroarene 166 (910 mg, 4.91 mmol, 1.00 equiv) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (20 mL) was charged NBS (900 mg, 5.05 mmol, 1.02 equiv) in three equal portions over 15 min at 0°C. After 10
min, the cooling bath was removed and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 23 °C. Full consumption of starting material was complete within 20 minutes, as observed by TLC analysis. The crude reaction mixture was stripped onto silica gel and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 25% hexanes in CH₂Cl₂ eluent) to afford heteroaryl bromide 169 (1.24 g, 95% yield) as a white amorphous solid: Rᵥ = 0.45 (3:1 CH₂Cl₂:hexanes eluent); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.46–8.42 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.44 (m, 1H), 7.38–7.31 (m, 2H), 2.99 (dd, J = 6.9, 5.8 Hz, 2H), 2.84–2.80 (m, 2H), 2.19–2.10 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 168.9, 135.4, 134.0, 128.9, 125.5, 124.6, 118.5, 116.5, 96.7, 34.4, 22.8, 20.9; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3405, 3052, 2959, 2878, 2837, 2837, 1907, 1788, 1706, 1593, 1446, 1343, 1258, 1209, 1170, 1142, 1087, 1021, 982, 928, 908, 836, 799, 746, 650, 618 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C₁₂H₁₀NOBr [M⁺]: 262.9940, found 262.9936.

10-(2-(Benzyloxy)ethyl)-8,9-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indol-6(7H)-one (171): A 15 mL round bottom flask equipped with a magnetic stirring bar and a rubber septum was charged with heteroaryl bromide 169 (200 mg, 0.757 mmol, 1.0 equiv), potassium (2-benzyloxy)ethyltrifluoroborate (170, 200 mg, 0.826 mmol, 1.1 equiv), PdCl₂(A¹-phos)₂ (16 mg, 22.5 µmol, 0.03 equiv), and Cs₂CO₃ (740 mg, 2.27 mmol, 3.0 equiv). The flask was evacuated and backfilled with argon three times. Toluene (3.1 mL) and degassed water (0.7 mL) were added via syringe, and the flask was placed into a preheated 80 °C
oil bath with stirring. After stirring for 2 h, the biphasic reaction mixture was cooled to 23 °C, poured into water (15 mL) and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure.

Flash column chromatography (25% Et₂O in hexanes) afforded heteroarene 171 (207 mg, 86% yield) as a light yellow oil: Rf = 0.3 (3:2 hexanes:Et₂O eluent); physical and spectroscopic data were consistent with those reported in the literature.⁶c

**Allyl 10-(2-(benzyloxy)ethyl)-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indole-7-carboxylate (177):** A flame-dried round bottom flask was charged with LHMDS (282 mg, 1.69 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and a magnetic stirring bar in a N₂-filled glove box. The flask was sealed, removed from the glovebox, fitted with an argon line, and suspended in a dry ice/acetone bath. THF (4.5 mL) was added slowly to the flask and allowed to stir until the LHMDS had been completely dissolved. A solution of heteroarene 171 (270 mg, 0.845 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (1.1 mL) was added dropwise, and the reaction was allowed to stir for 30 min at −78 °C. Allyl cyanoformate (112 mg, 1.01 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was then added dropwise, and the reaction was allowed to warm slowly to 0 °C over 4 h. Once the cooling bath temperature reached 0 °C, 100 mL of saturated aqueous NH₄Cl was then added slowly and the mixture stirred for 20 min before being extracted with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated. The crude residue was purified by flash column...
chromatography (SiO$_2$, 50% Et$_2$O in hexanes) to give tertiary β-amidoester 177 (256 mg, 75% yield) as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.3$ (3:2 hexanes:Et$_2$O eluent); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.48–8.41 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.34–7.25 (m, 7H), 5.93 (ddt, $J = 17.2$, 10.4, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (dq, $J = 17.2$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dq, $J = 10.5$, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.75–4.67 (m, 2H), 4.49 (s, 2H), 3.80 (dd, $J = 8.1$, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.68 (t, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 3.06 (ddd, $J = 16.4$, 7.9, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.95 (t, $J = 6.9$ Hz, 2H), 2.94–2.87 (m, 1H), 2.46 (dt, $J = 13.0$, 8.4, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 2.29 (ddt, $J = 13.0$, 7.9, 4.8 Hz, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 169.1, 165.0, 138.4, 134.9, 133.6, 131.6, 130.5, 128.5, 127.73, 127.65, 124.6, 124.3, 119.1, 118.1, 116.7, 114.6, 73.2, 69.5, 66.4, 51.1, 25.02, 24.98, 20.0; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3062, 3032, 2941, 2857, 1737, 1697, 1622, 1454, 1376, 1307, 1258, 1171, 1128, 1094, 937, 803, 746, 698 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) $m/z$ calc’d for C$_{25}$H$_{26}$NO$_4$ [M+H]$^+$: 404.1856, found 404.1867.

Allyl 10-(2-(benzyloxy)ethyl)-7-ethyl-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indole-carboxylate (172a): To a solution of β-amidoester 177 (210 mg, 0.52 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3.5 mL) were added Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (678 mg, 2.08 mmol, 4.0 equiv) and EtI (0.25 mL, 3.12 mmol, 6.0 equiv) at 23 °C with stirring. After 18 h, starting material was completely consumed as determined by TLC analysis. Saturated aqueous NH$_4$Cl (10 mL) was added, followed by extraction with EtOAc (3 x 15 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 25% Et$_2$O in hexanes) afforded quaternary β-amidoester 172a (165 mg, 73% yield) as a faintly yellow oil: $R_f = 0.33$ (7:3 hexanes:Et$_2$O eluent); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.54–8.45 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.35–7.22 (m, 7H), 5.82 (ddt, $J = 17.2$, 10.4, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.22 (dq, $J = 17.2$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dq, $J = 10.5$, 1.3 Hz, 1H).
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Hz, 1H), 4.64–4.59 (m, 2H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 3.67 (t, J = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.06 (dt, J = 16.8, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.99–2.81 (m, 3H), 2.46 (dt, J = 13.5, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.23–2.06 (m, 3H), 1.03 (t, J = 7.4 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.4, 167.8, 138.4, 135.1, 133.9, 131.5, 130.7, 128.5, 127.7, 127.6, 124.4, 124.0, 118.8, 118.0, 116.9, 113.9, 73.2, 69.6, 66.2, 56.6, 28.9, 28.1, 24.9, 19.1, 9.4; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3028, 2938, 2857, 1734, 1701, 1620, 1457, 1370, 1328, 1310, 1225, 1189, 1098, 1020, 986, 935, 750, 697 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calc’d for C_{27}H₃₀NO₄ [M+H]^+: 432.2169, found 432.2177.

![Chemical reaction](image)

Allyl 10-bromo-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-α]indole-7-carboxylate (178): A flame-dried round bottom flask was charged with LHMDS (2.62 g, 1.69 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and a magnetic stirring bar in a N₂-filled glove box. The flask was sealed, removed from the glovebox, fitted with an argon line, and suspended in a dry ice/acetone bath. THF (48 mL) was added slowly to the flask and allowed to stir until the LHMDS had been completely dissolved. A solution of heteroaryl bromide 169 (2.07 g, 7.83 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (4 mL) was added dropwise, and the reaction was allowed to stir for 30 min at −78 °C. Allyl cyanoformate (1.04 g, 9.36 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was then added dropwise, and the reaction was allowed to warm slowly to 0 °C over 4 h. Once the cooling bath temperature reached 0 °C, saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (200 mL) was then added slowly and the mixture stirred for 20 min before being extracted with EtOAc (3 x 250 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 10% EtOAc in
hexanes) afforded tertiary β-amidoester 178 (2.67 g, 98% yield) as clear colorless oil: \( R_f = 0.6 \) (3:1 hexanes:EtOAc eluent, orange by \( p \)-anisaldehyde stain); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.46–8.41 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.44 (m, 1H), 7.39–7.33 (m, 2H), 5.93 (ddt, \( J = 17.1, 10.4, 5.7 \) Hz, 1H), 5.35 (dq, \( J = 17.2, 1.5 \) Hz, 1H), 5.27 (dq, \( J = 10.4, 1.2 \) Hz, 1H), 4.76–4.67 (m, 2H), 3.85 (dd, \( J = 7.7, 4.9 \) Hz, 1H), 3.08 (ddd, \( J = 16.9, 8.2, 4.8 \) Hz, 1H), 2.98 (ddd, \( J = 17.0, 7.9, 4.9 \) Hz, 1H), 2.59–2.50 (m, 1H), 2.37 (ddt, \( J = 13.4, 8.2, 4.9 \) Hz, 1H);

\(^{13}\)C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 168.6, 164.5, 134.3, 134.1, 131.4, 129.0, 125.8, 125.0, 119.2, 118.7, 116.6, 97.6, 66.6, 50.8, 24.5, 20.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3059, 2948, 2883, 1742, 1712, 1598, 1450, 1377, 1346, 1307, 1239, 1215, 1173, 1151, 1090, 1022, 986, 994, 753 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (FAB+) \( m/z \) calc’d for C\(_{16}\)H\(_{15}\)NO\(_3\)Br [M+H]\(^+\): 348.0230, found 348.0220.

**Allyl 10-bromo-7-ethyl-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indole-7-carboxylate (172b):** To a solution of β-amidoester 178 (166 mg, 0.476 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in DMF (1.6 mL) were added K\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (263 mg, 1.9 mmol, 4.0 equiv) and EtI (0.08 mL, 0.95 mmol, 2.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was heated to 50 °C with stirring. After 5 h, starting material was completely consumed as determined by TLC analysis. Saturated aqueous NH\(_4\)Cl (5 mL) was added, followed by extraction with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO\(_2\), 10% Et\(_2\)O in hexanes) afforded quaternary β-amidoester 172b (136 mg, 76% yield) as a clear colorless oil: \( R_f = 0.45 \) (17:3 hexanes:Et\(_2\)O eluent); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.51–8.46 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.44 (m, 1H), 7.39–7.32 (m, 2H), 5.84 (ddt, \( J = 17.2, 10.5, 5.6 \) Hz, 1H), 5.25 (dq, \( J = 17.2, 1.5 \) Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dq, \( J = 10.5, 1.3 \) Hz, 1H), 4.69–4.60 (m, 2H), 3.12 (dt, \( J = 17.3, 4.6 \) Hz,
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\[ \text{1H}, 2.90 \text{ (dd, } J = 17.0, 11.7, 4.9 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 2.53 \text{ (dd, } J = 13.6, 4.9, 4.3 \text{ Hz, 1H}), 2.26-\]
\[ 2.11 \text{ (m, 3H), 1.06 \text{ (t, } J = 7.4 \text{ Hz, 3H})}; ^{13}\text{C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl}_3\text{) } \delta 170.9, 167.4,\]
\[ 134.5, 134.4, 131.3, 129.1, 125.6, 124.8, 119.0, 118.6, 116.7, 97.0, 66.4, 56.6, 28.5, 28.1,\]
\[ 19.9, 9.4; \text{ IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3054, 2961, 2878, 1728, 1708, 1594, 1448, 1369, 1325,}\]
\[ 1306, 1261, 1219, 1176, 1088, 1025, 920, 798, 748 \text{ cm}^{-1}; \text{ HRMS (FAB+)} m/z \text{ calc’d for}\]
\[ \text{C}_{18}\text{H}_{19}\text{NO}_3\text{Br [M+H]}^+: 376.0543, \text{ found } 376.0560.\]

**Allyl 6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indole-7-carboxylate (179):** A flame-dried round bottom flask was charged with LHMDS (1.8 g, 10.8 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and a magnetic stirring bar in a N\(_2\)-filled glove box. The flask was sealed, removed from the glovebox, fitted with an argon line, and suspended in a dry ice/acetone bath. THF (32 mL) was added slowly to the flask and allowed to stir until the LHMDS had been completely dissolved. A solution of heteroarene 166 (1.0 g, 5.4 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (4 mL) was added dropwise, and the reaction was allowed to stir for 30 min at \(-78 \text{ °C}.\)

Allyl cyanofomate (720 mg, 6.48 mmol, 1.2 equiv) was then added dropwise, and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm slowly to 0 \text{ °C} over 4 h. Once the cooling bath temperature reached 0 \text{ °C}, saturated aqueous NH\(_4\)Cl (200 mL) was then added slowly and the mixture stirred for 20 min before being extracted with EtOAc (3 x 200 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO\(_2\), 15% acetone in hexanes) afforded tertiary \( \beta \)-amidoester 179 (1.32 g, 91% yield) as a faintly yellow oil which
solidified to an off-white amorphous solid upon storage at -30 °C: R<sub>f</sub> = 0.35 (4:1 hexanes:acetone eluent); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.45–8.42 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.44 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.24 (m, 2H), 6.36 (td, <i>J</i> = 1.4, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 5.93 (ddt, <i>J</i> = 17.2, 10.5, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (dq, <i>J</i> = 17.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dq, <i>J</i> = 10.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.77–4.67 (m, 2H), 3.83 (dd, <i>J</i> = 8.0, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.11 (dddd, <i>J</i> = 16.4, 8.1, 4.5, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (dddd, <i>J</i> = 16.4, 8.5, 4.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 2.55–2.46 (m, 1H), 2.38–2.29 (m, 1H); <sup>13</sup>C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 169.0, 165.2, 137.0, 135.1, 131.5, 129.9, 124.51, 124.48, 120.0, 119.1, 116.7, 105.8, 66.5, 51.1, 25.3, 21.8; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3085, 3051, 2946, 2850, 1732, 1690, 1577, 1454, 1381, 1356, 1301, 1213, 1177, 1148, 1021, 977, 932, 802, 742 cm<sup>-1</sup>; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C<sub>16</sub>H<sub>16</sub>NO<sub>3</sub> [M+H]<sup>+</sup>: 270.1130, found 270.1140.

**Allyl 7-ethyl-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indole-7-carboxylate (172c):** To a solution of β-amidoester 179 (790 mg, 2.93 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH<sub>2</sub>Cl<sub>2</sub> (20 mL) were added Cs<sub>2</sub>CO<sub>3</sub> (3.82 g, 11.73 mmol, 4.0 equiv) and EtI (1.41 mL, 17.6 mmol, 6.0 equiv) at 23 °C with stirring. After 18 h, starting material was completely consumed as determined by TLC analysis. Saturated aqueous NH<sub>4</sub>Cl (100 mL) was added, followed by extraction with EtOAc (3 x 150 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na<sub>2</sub>SO<sub>4</sub>, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO<sub>2</sub>, 15% Et<sub>2</sub>O in hexanes) afforded quaternary β-amidoester 172c (760 mg, 87% yield) as a faintly yellow oil: R<sub>f</sub> = 0.3 (17:3 hexanes:Et<sub>2</sub>O eluent); <sup>1</sup>H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl<sub>3</sub>) δ 8.49 (ddt, <i>J</i> = 8.0, 1.3, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.33–7.23 (m, 2H), 6.31 (dt, <i>J</i> = 1.8, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.84 (ddt, <i>J</i> = 17.2, 10.5, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dq, <i>J</i> = 17.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dq, <i>J</i> = 10.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (dt, <i>J</i> = 5.6, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 3.07 (ddt, <i>J</i> = 16.8, 4.8, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 2.98 (dddd, <i>J</i> = 16.7, 11.7, 4.7, 1.9 Hz, 1H), 2.47 (dt, <i>J</i> = 13.5, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.26–2.10
(S)-7- Allyl-10-(2-(benzyloxy) ethyl)-7- ethyl-8,9-dihydropyrido[1,2- α]indol-6(7H)-one (165a): An oven-dried 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with Pd₂(pmdba)₃ (14 mg, 12.7 µmol, 0.1 equiv), (S)-(CF₃)₂-t-BuPHOX (76, 18.8 mg, 31.8 µmol, 0.25 equiv), and a magnetic stirring bar in a N₂-filled glove box. The vial was then charged with TBME (3.2 mL) and stirred at 23 °C for 30 minutes, generating a dark purple solution. To the preformed catalyst solution was added a solution of 172a (55 mg, 0.127 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in TBME (0.64 mL). The vial was sealed, removed from the glovebox, and placed in a preheated 60 °C heating block with stirring. Full consumption of starting material was achieved after 24 h, as determined by TLC analysis. The crude reaction mixture was
stripped onto silica gel, and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 5% Et$_2$O in hexanes) to afford α-quaternary lactam 165a (29 mg, 59% yield) as a faintly yellow oil:

R$_f$ = 0.33 (17:3 hexanes:Et$_2$O eluent); 89% ee, $^2$[α]$_D$$_{25}$ = -29.5 (c 1.4, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.53–8.46 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.34–7.23 (m, 7H), 5.87–5.74 (m, 1H), 5.14–5.10 (m, 1H), 5.09 (s, 1H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 3.69 (t, $J$ = 7.0 Hz, 2H), 3.00–2.93 (m, 4H), 2.62 (dd, $J$ = 14.0, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 2.38 (dd, $J$ = 13.8, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 2.00–1.93 (m, 2H), 1.84 (dq, $J$ = 14.8, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.72 (dq, $J$ = 14.5, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 0.95 (t, $J$ = 7.4 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 173.6, 138.5, 135.1, 134.4, 133.8, 130.7, 128.5, 127.71, 127.66, 124.1, 123.7, 118.8, 117.9, 116.8, 113.2, 73.2, 69.7, 46.6, 40.0, 28.6, 28.4, 24.9, 18.1, 8.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3066, 3032, 2930, 2856, 1694, 1619, 1455, 1366, 1309, 1260, 1189, 1100, 1073, 1020, 916, 801, 750, 696 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C$_{26}$H$_{30}$NO$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 388.2271, found 388.2269.

Methyl (S,E)-4-(10-(2-(benzyloxy)ethyl)-7-ethyl-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indol-7-yl)but-2-enoate (180): To a solution of terminal olefin 165a (11 mg, 28 µmol, 1.0 equiv) and methyl acrylate (25 mg, 280 µmol, 10 equiv) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (0.6 mL) was added Grubb’s second generation catalyst (1.2 mg, 1.4 µmol, 0.05 equiv) at 23 °C. The reaction was sealed and placed in a preheated 40 °C heating block with stirring. After 3 h, complete consumption of starting material was observed by TLC analysis. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 35% Et$_2$O in hexanes) afforded α,β-unsaturated ester 180 (3.8
mg, 30% yield) as a clear colorless oil: \( R_f = 0.2 \) (7:3 hexanes:Et₂O eluent); 89% ee, \([\alpha]_D^{25} \) –64.4 (c 0.11, CHCl₃); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta 8.50–8.45 \) (m, 1H), 7.47–7.42 (m, 1H), 7.34–7.22 (m, 7H), 6.95 (ddd, \( J = 15.5, 8.2, 7.1 \) Hz, 1H), 5.94–5.89 (m, 1H), 4.50 (s, 2H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.69 (t, \( J = 7.2 \) Hz, 2H), 3.00–2.92 (m, 4H), 2.79 (ddd, \( J = 14.2, 7.1, 1.6 \) Hz, 1H), 2.52 (ddd, \( J = 14.2, 8.3, 1.3 \) Hz, 1H), 1.96 (dd, \( J = 7.3, 6.0 \) Hz, 2H), 1.78 (qd, \( J = 7.4, 4.6 \) Hz, 2H), 0.96 (t, \( J = 7.4 \) Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) \( \delta \) 172.8, 166.6, 144.6, 138.4, 135.0, 133.9, 130.7, 128.5, 127.73, 127.67, 124.6, 124.3, 123.9, 118.0, 116.8, 113.7, 73.2, 69.6, 51.7, 46.7, 38.0, 29.0, 28.4, 24.9, 18.1, 8.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3028, 2923, 2854, 1722, 1693, 1620, 1455, 1434, 1371, 1312, 1271, 1187, 1101, 1074, 1021, 751, 697 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) \( m/z \) calc’d for C₂₈H₃₁NO₄ [M⁺]: 445.2248, found 445.2246; SFC conditions: 8% \( i \)-PrOH, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralpak AD-H column, \( \lambda = 210 \) nm, \( t_R \) (min): major = 40.93, minor = 44.73.

\[(S)-7\text{-Allyl-10-bromo-7-ethyl-8,9-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indol-6(7H)-one (165b): The}\]

reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. \( \alpha \)-Quaternary \( \beta \)-amidoester \( 172b \) (386 mg, 1.02 mmol, 1.0 equiv); Pd₂(pmdba)₃ (56 mg, 51 \( \mu \)mol, 0.05 equiv); \( (S)\)-(CF₃)₃-t-BuPHOX (76, 76 mg, 0.128 mmol, 0.125 equiv); TBME (31 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 8 h at 60 °C. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 40% CH₂Cl₂ in hexanes) afforded \( \alpha \)-quaternary lactam \( 165b \) (274 mg, 83% yield) as a clear colorless oil: \( R_f = 0.33 \) (2:1 hexanes:CH₂Cl₂ eluent); 96% ee, \([\alpha]_D^{25} \) –36.0 (c 1.26, CHCl₃); \(^1\)H NMR
(500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.50–8.47 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.37–7.31 (m, 2H), 5.81 (dddd, J = 16.6, 10.5, 7.7, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.16–5.14 (m, 1H), 5.12 (t, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.08–2.94 (m, 2H), 2.63 (ddt, J = 14.0, 7.0, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.40 (ddt, J = 14.0, 7.8, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 2.10–1.99 (m, 2H), 1.85 (dq, J = 14.0, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 1.81–1.69 (m, 1H), 0.97 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 173.1, 135.0, 134.3, 133.4, 129.2, 125.3, 124.4, 119.1, 118.4, 116.7, 96.3, 46.7, 39.8, 28.4, 28.2, 18.9, 8.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3075, 2971, 2939, 1704, 1639, 1594, 1449, 1367, 1348, 1307, 1179, 1151, 1057, 1025, 922, 751 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C$_{17}$H$_{18}$NOBr [M]$^+$: 331.0566, found 331.0566; SFC conditions: 2% MeOH, 3 mL/min, Chiralpak AD-H column, λ = 210 nm, $t_R$ (min): major = 11.87, minor = 11.11.

(S)-7-Allyl-7-ethyl-8,9-dihydropyrido[1,2-α]indol-6(7H)-one (165c): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. α-Quaternary β-amidoester 172c (730 mg, 2.45 mmol, 1.0 equiv); Pd$_2$(pmdba)$_3$ (134 mg, 0.12 µmol, 0.05 equiv); (S)-(CF$_3$)$_3$-t-BuPHOX (76, 181 mg, 0.31 µmol, 0.125 equiv); TBME (74 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 5% Et$_2$O in hexanes) afforded α-quaternary lactam 165c (410 mg, 71% yield) as a clear colorless oil: $R_f$ = 0.6 (17:3 hexanes:Et$_2$O eluent); 94% ee, [α]$^D_{25}$ = –69.7 (c 2.09, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.52–8.45 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.20 (m, 2H), 6.29 (td, J = 1.5, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 5.82 (dddd, J = 17.0, 10.2, 7.7, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.16–5.11 (m, 1H), 5.14–5.07 (m, 1H), 3.04 (tt, J = 6.3, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 2.64 (ddt, J = 14.0, 6.9, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.41 (ddt, J = 13.9,
tert-Butyl (S)-2-(7-allyl-7-ethyl-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrrolo[1,2-a]indol-10-yl)acetate (174): To an oven-dried 20 mL scintillation vial was charged heteroaryl bromide 165b (188 mg, 0.565 mmol, 1.0 equiv), PdCl₂(A抛弃Phos)₂ (12 mg, 17 µmol, 0.03 equiv), THF (4.2 mL), and a magnetic stirring bar in a N₂-filled glovebox. A commercially available (from Rieke Metals) solution of Reformatsky reagent 173 in Et₂O (1.47 mL, 0.5 M, 0.735 mmol, 1.3 equiv) was added dropwise. The reaction was sealed and placed in a preheated 65 °C heating block with stirring. After 3 h, full consumption of starting material was observed by TLC analysis. The solution was cooled to 23 °C and MeOH (ca. 1 mL) was added to quench any excess Reformatsky reagent. The crude reaction mixture was stripped onto silica gel and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 8% Et₂O in hexanes) to afford cross-coupled product 174 (204 mg, 98% yield) as a clear colorless oil: Rₙ = 0.25 (9:1 hexanes:Et₂O eluent); [α]̅₂⁵ = −39.8
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(c 0.35, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.49–8.47 (m, 1H), 7.50–7.47 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.25 (m, 2H), 5.82 (dddd, J = 17.1, 10.2, 7.7, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.13 (ddt, J = 9.5, 2.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.10 (q, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.54 (s, 2H), 3.07–2.95 (m, 2H), 2.63 (ddt, J = 14.0, 7.0, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.41 (ddt, J = 14.0, 7.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 2.07–1.96 (m, 2H), 1.91–1.80 (m, 1H), 1.79–1.70 (m, 1H), 1.43 (s, 9H), 0.96 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.6, 170.2, 135.03, 134.96, 133.7, 130.3, 124.3, 123.8, 118.8, 118.2, 116.7, 109.9, 81.3, 46.6, 39.9, 31.6, 28.5, 28.4, 28.2, 18.1, 8.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3073, 2972, 2933, 1729, 1698, 1618, 1456, 1366, 1311, 1259, 1141, 1075, 1022, 917, 802, 752 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calc’d for C₂₃H₃₀NO₃ [M+H]⁺: 368.2220, found 368.2210.

(-)-Goniomitine (3): An oven-dried scintillation vial was charged with α-quaternary lactam 174 (137 mg, 0.37 mmol, 1.0 equiv), THF (1.5 mL), and a magnetic stirring bar in a N₂-filled glovebox. To this solution was added bis(cyclopentadienyl) zirconium chloride hydride (115 mg, 0.445 mmol, 1.2 equiv), and the mixture was stirred at 23 °C until a yellow solution was observed (ca. 45 min). An additional portion of bis(cyclopentadienyl) zirconium chloride hydride (29 mg, 0.11 mmol, 0.3 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 30 min. Hydroxylamine-O-sulfonic acid (71 mg, 0.63 mmol, 1.7 equiv) was added, the vial was sealed and removed from the glovebox, and stirring was resumed at 23 °C in a fume hood for an additional 30
min. The crude reaction mixture was loaded directly onto a short plug of silica gel and eluted with 10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂ to deliver primary amine 175 (98 mg, Rᵣ = 0.2, 9:1 CH₂Cl₂:MeOH eluent) as an orange foam. Semi-crude primary amine 175 was immediately dissolved in THF (5.1 mL) and cooled to 0 °C. A solution of LiAlH₄ (1.02 mL, 1.0 M in THF, 4.0 equiv) was added dropwise, and the reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 1 h. At this point, the cooling bath was removed and the reaction was stirred for an additional 6 h. The reaction was cooled to 0 °C and quenched by the careful addition of H₂O (5 mL) and AcOH (15 mL) and stirred for 6 hours. The solution was basified with 2N NaOH until pH > 12, and was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 100 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 3% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂ eluent) afforded (–)-goniomitine (3) (33 mg, 30% yield over two steps) as a faintly yellow oil: Rᵣ = 0.45 (9:1 CH₂Cl₂:MeOH eluent); [α]₂⁰ -67.1 (c 0.085, CHCl₃ (passed through basic alumina)); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃ (passed through basic alumina)) δ 7.51 (dt, J = 7.7, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (dt, J = 8.2, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.14 (ddd, J = 8.1, 7.0, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.08 (ddd, J = 8.0, 7.0, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.79 (s, 1H), 3.83 (t, J = 6.5 Hz, 2H), 3.08–3.00 (m, 2H), 2.98–2.90 (m, 2H), 2.88–2.76 (m, 2H), 2.52 (td, J = 12.9, 6.6 Hz, 1H), 1.93–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.79–1.66 (m, 3H), 1.6 (dq, J = 15, 7.6 Hz, 1H), 1.55–1.45 (m, 3H), 1.21 (dq, J = 14.7, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 0.89 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 135.5, 132.8, 129.2, 120.7, 119.7, 118.2, 108.4, 106.1, 71.7, 62.7, 45.8, 35.2, 34.2, 28.8, 27.8, 21.8, 21.7, 18.7, 7.2; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3288 (br), 3051, 2934, 2877, 2241, 1679, 1611, 1462, 1416, 1357, 1309, 1203, 1188, 1108, 1044, 1013, 908, 867, 737 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calc’d for C₁₉H₂₇N₂O [M+H]+: 299.2118, found 299.2121.
(R)-3-(2-(1H-Indol-2-yl)ethyl)-3-ethyloxazolidin-2-one (176): An oven-dried 1-dram vial was charged with α-quaternary lactam 165c (40 mg, 0.16 mmol, 1.0 equiv), THF (0.8 mL), and a magnetic stirring bar in a N₂-filled glovebox. To this solution was added bis(cyclopentadienyl) zirconium chloride hydride (49 mg, 0.19 mmol, 1.2 equiv), and the mixture was stirred at 23 °C until a light yellow solution was observed (ca. 30 min). Hydroxylamine-O-sulfonic acid (29 mg, 0.25 mmol, 1.6 equiv) was added, the vial was sealed and removed from the glovebox, and stirring was resumed at 23 °C in a fume hood for an additional 30 min. The crude reaction mixture was loaded directly onto a short plug of silica gel and eluted with 10% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂ to deliver the intermediate primary amine (Rₐ = 0.2, 9:1 CH₂Cl₂:MeOH eluent). The semi-crude primary amine was immediately dissolved in MeOH (5.2 mL), then K₂CO₃ (65 mg, 0.47 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added. The reaction was stirred at 23 °C for 1 h, at which point complete consumption of starting material was determined by TLC analysis. The reaction mixture was poured onto saturated aqueous NaHCO₃ and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 75 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 40% acetone in hexanes) afforded free N–H lactam 176 (28 mg, 66% yield over two steps) as a white amorphous solid: Rₐ = 0.3 (3:2 hexanes:acetone eluent); [α]D²⁵ = −32.7 (c 0.41, CH₃OH); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.43 (br s, 1H), 7.50 (ddt, J = 7.7, 1.4, 0.8 Hz, 1H), 7.29 (dq, J = 8.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 7.09 (ddd, J = 8.1, 7.1, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 7.07–7.02 (m, 1H), 6.21 (dd, J = 2.0, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 5.83 (br s, 1H), 3.32
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(13C, J = 5.7, 2.2 Hz, 2H), 2.90–2.82 (m, 1H), 2.69 (ddddd, J = 14.7, 11.1, 4.6, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 2.13 (ddd, J = 13.6, 11.2, 4.5 Hz, 1H), 1.90–1.75 (m, 6H), 1.67–1.60 (m, 1H), 0.91 (t, J = 7.5 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 177.2, 140.0, 136.2, 128.8, 121.0, 119.8, 119.5, 110.6, 99.3, 45.3, 42.9, 37.8, 31.3, 29.2, 23.9, 19.8, 8.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3285, 3252, 2971, 2952, 2868, 1643, 1588, 1486, 1456, 1421, 1351, 1328, 1287, 1216, 1104, 1010, 795, 735 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calc’d for C$_{17}$H$_{23}$N$_2$O [M+H]$^+$: 271.1805, found 271.1813.

### 2.7.3 DETERMINATION OF ENANTIOMERIC EXCESS

Table 2.7.3.1. Determination of Enantiomeric Excess of α-Quaternary DHPIs

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>product</th>
<th>compound assayed</th>
<th>assay conditions</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="165a" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="180" /></td>
<td>SFC: 8% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 210 nm t$_R$(min): major 40.93, minor 44.73</td>
<td>89</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="165b" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="165b" /></td>
<td>SFC: 2% MeOH, 3 mL/min Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 210 nm t$_R$(min): major 11.87, minor 11.11</td>
<td>96</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><img src="image" alt="165c" /></td>
<td><img src="image" alt="165c" /></td>
<td>SFC: 2% MeOH, 3 mL/min Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 210 nm t$_R$(min): major 11.08, minor 10.06</td>
<td>94</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
2.7.4 COMPARISON OF SYNTHETIC (−)-GONIOMITINE TO PUBLISHED DATA

The optical rotation of our synthetic (−)-goniomitine (3), \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} –67.1 (c 0.085, \text{CHCl}_3, \text{passed through basic alumina})\), differs from values previously reported in the literature: \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} –80 (c 0.9, \text{CHCl}_3)^2\) \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} –87.1 (c 0.42, \text{CHCl}_3)^7a\) \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} –78.1 (c 0.14, \text{CHCl}_3)^7b\) \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} –80 (c 0.46, \text{CHCl}_3)^7c\). We have also noted that some \(^{13}\text{C}\)NMR resonances of the natural product vary depending on the CDCl\(_3\) used to make the sample \((\text{vide supra})\). Since we obtained SFC traces of both rac- and (−)-165b, and since the quaternary center is not susceptible to racemization, we do not believe that this discrepancy indicates erosion of enantiopurity.
Table 2.7.4.1. Comparison of Synthetic and Natural (−)-Goniomitine (3)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synthetic (−)-Goniomitine (CDCl₃ directly from bottle)</th>
<th>Synthetic (−)-Goniomitine (CDCl₃ filtered through basic alumina)</th>
<th>Natural (−)-Goniomitine¹</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃)</td>
<td>¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃)</td>
<td>¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.80 (s, 1H)</td>
<td>4.79 (s, 1H)</td>
<td>4.86 (s, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.83 (t, J = 6.4, 2H)</td>
<td>3.83 (t, J = 6.5, 2H)</td>
<td>3.81 (t, 2H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.93 (td, J = 6.5, 2.2 Hz, 2H)</td>
<td>2.94 (td, J = 6.6, 3.3 Hz, 2H)</td>
<td>3.0 (t, 2H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.57 (dt, J = 15.0, 7.5 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>1.57 (dt, J = 15.0, 7.5 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>1.56 (m, J = 7 Hz, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.20 (dq, J = 14.7, 7.7 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>1.21 (dq, J = 14.7, 7.3 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>1.20 (m, J = 7 Hz, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.87 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H)</td>
<td>0.89 (t, J = 7.6 Hz, 3H)</td>
<td>0.86 (t, J = 7 Hz, 3H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃)</td>
<td>¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃)</td>
<td>¹³C NMR (CDCl₃)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>135.4</td>
<td>135.5</td>
<td>135.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>132.6</td>
<td>132.8</td>
<td>132.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>129.3</td>
<td>129.2</td>
<td>129.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.9</td>
<td>120.7</td>
<td>120.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>120.1</td>
<td>119.7</td>
<td>119.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>118.2</td>
<td>118.2</td>
<td>118.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>108.7</td>
<td>108.4</td>
<td>108.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>107.4</td>
<td>106.1</td>
<td>106.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>70.6</td>
<td>71.7</td>
<td>71.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>62.7</td>
<td>62.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>44.9</td>
<td>45.8</td>
<td>45.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>35.3</td>
<td>35.2</td>
<td>35.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>33.6</td>
<td>34.2</td>
<td>33.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>28.7</td>
<td>28.8</td>
<td>28.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.7</td>
<td>27.8</td>
<td>27.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>21.8</td>
<td>21.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>20.3</td>
<td>21.7</td>
<td>20.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>18.5</td>
<td>18.7</td>
<td>18.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.2</td>
<td>7.3</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
Figure 2.7.4.1. Comparison of $^1$HNMR and $^{13}$CNMR Spectra of Synthetic (−)-Goniomitine (3)

$^1$HNMR spectrum of our synthetic (−)-Goniomitine (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$)

$^1$HNMR spectrum of (−)-Goniomitine synthesized by Jia et al (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$)

$^{13}$CNMR spectrum of our synthetic (−)-Goniomitine (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$)

$^{13}$CNMR spectrum of (−)-Goniomitine synthesized by Jia et al (100 MHz, CDCl$_3$)
2.8 NOTES AND REFERENCES


5. For a biomimetic semisynthesis of goniomitine (3) from vincadifformine (4), see: Lewin, G.; Bernadat, G.; Aubert, G.; Cresteil, T. *Tetrahedron* 2013, 69, 1622–1627.


16. For selected examples of Mizoroki–Heck and Suzuki cross-couplings, respectively, of allylic alkylation products, see: (a) Mingoia, F.; Vitale, M.;


18. Multiple parameters were investigated for the Suzuki reaction, to no avail. Arylation using a Reformatsky reagent prepared in situ from tert-butyl bromoacetate proceeded in high yields using several palladium precatalysts, but as a 1:3–5:1 mixture of olefin isomers (terminal:internal). The reaction employing organozinc chloride 173, purchased from Rieke Metals, and PdCl₂(AuPhos)₂ was singularly successful in this transformation.


21. Following the publication of this work, Zu and co-workers reported an enantioselective total synthesis of (−)-goniomitine (3) in five steps and 23% overall yield from 2-ethyl cyclopentanone ($160/10g$ from Bepharm, 2/27/17) using a chiral auxiliary-assisted Michael addition, see reference 7d.


25. The enantiomeric excess of compound 165a was difficult to discern using SFC analysis. Cross metathesis with methyl acrylate afforded 180, which enabled reliable ee determination.
APPENDIX 1

A Fischer Indolization Approach Toward the Total Synthesis of (–)-Goniomitine †

A1.1 INITIAL RETROSYNTHETIC ANALYSIS

In our initial retrosynthetic analysis of (–)-goniomitine (3), we believed that late-stage redox manipulations and alcohol deprotection could furnish the natural product from lactam 165a (Scheme A1.1.1). We expected the quaternary center in lactam 165a could arise from the enantioselective Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative allylic alkylation of racemic β-amidoester 172a. Importantly, we believed that enantioconvergent construction of the quaternary center would offer significant improvement over the comparatively poor stereocontrol featured in previous enantioselective syntheses of (–)-goniomitine (3).† Disconnection of the ethyl and alloc groups revealed key dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indolone (DHPI) 171, which we anticipated could be accessed via the Fischer indolization of 1,3-cyclohexanedione 181.

† This work was performed in collaboration with Dr. Yoshitaka Numajiri and Jun Kikuchi, both of whom are alumni of Stoltz group. Additionally, this research has been published and adapted with permission from Pritchett, B. P.; Kikuchi, J.; Numajiri, Y.; Stoltz, B. M. Heterocycles 2017, 95, 1245–1253. Copyright 2017 The Japan Institute of Heterocyclic Chemistry.
A1.2 BRIEF INTRODUCTION TO THE FISCHER INDOLIZATION

The acid-promoted Fischer indolization reaction, first discovered in 1883, is one of the most robust and widely utilized methods for the synthesis of substituted indoles from carbonyl precursors. Ketones (e.g., 182) and aldehydes can be converted to the respective arylhydrazones (e.g., 184) under either Brønsted or Lewis acidic conditions (Scheme A1.2.1A). The arylhydrazone intermediate (184) undergoes tautomerization to the corresponding enehydrazine (185), followed by a [3,3]-sigmatropic rearrangement and finally elimination of ammonia to deliver an indole product (188). This venerable transformation has seen widespread use in the realm of total synthesis, and has been rendered enantioselective in an elegant desymmetrization reaction of meso cyclic ketones. Of particular relevance to our synthetic plan toward (−)-goniomitine (3) was a report from Teuber and co-workers describing a Fischer indolization protocol for the synthesis of DHPI products (Scheme A1.2.1B). They found that treatment of 2-substituted 1,3-cyclohexanediones (189) with phenylhydrazine and sulfuric acid first gives tricycle 190, which undergoes sequential hydrolysis and N-acylation to furnish DHPI 191.
A1.3 DHPI MODEL SYSTEM STUDIES

Our studies on the Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation of the previously unexplored DHPI substrate class commenced with C3-methyl DHPI 193, which is readily available from 2-methyl-1,3-cyclohexanedione (192, Scheme A1.3.1).5 DHPI 193 was smoothly acylated using LHMDS and allyl cyanoformate. Subsequent site-selective alkylation with iodomethane delivered racemic β-amidoester 172d in 77% overall yield from 193. We found that subjecting 172d to a solution of Pd2(pmdba)3 (5 mol%) and (S)-(CF3)3-t-BuPHOX (76, 12.5 mol%) in toluene at 60 °C resulted in minimal conversion, despite prolonged reaction times (Table A1.3.1, Entry 1). Full consumption of starting material was only achieved after switching from toluene to TBME as solvent, and raising the loading of Pd2(pmdba)3 and ligand to 10 mol% and 25 mol%, respectively (Entry 2). Under these conditions, we were able to isolate α-quaternary DHPI 165d in 60% yield and 90% enantiomeric excess.
A1.4 **INTRACTABLE ROUTE TO (−)-GONIOMITINE**

Regarding the total synthesis of (−)-goniomitine (3), our first challenge was to establish a regioselective C-alkylation of 1,3-cyclohexanedione (195) with (2-benzyloxy)ethyl iodide (196). Although Ma and co-workers previously reported this transformation, we were unsuccessful in our attempts to reproduce their work. We discovered that slightly different conditions afforded the desired C-alkylated product 182 in 70% yield as the enol tautomer (Scheme A1.4.1). After investigating several conditions for the Fischer indolization reaction, it was discovered that subjecting enol-182 to 2N HCl in refluxing toluene furnished key DHPI 171, albeit in an unsatisfactory range of 10–33% yield. Nevertheless, we were able to synthesize β-amidoester 172a from DHPI 171 in 55% yield over a two-step sequence analogous to that described above (cf. Schemes A1.3.1 and A1.4.1), which put us in position to test the allylic alkylation chemistry on the real system. Once again, we observed that TBME as solvent, along with high catalyst loading, was required to deliver α-quaternary allylic alkylation product 165a in a disappointing 59% yield and 87% enantiomeric excess. We thus concluded that

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Table A1.3.1. Pd-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation of 172d</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>entry</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>-------</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
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C3-alkyl substituents on the indole core of DHPI substrates were detrimental for Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative allylic alkylation reactions.

Scheme A1.4.1. Synthesis of α-Quaternary DHPI 165a Toward (−)-Goniomitine (3)

A1.5 CONCLUSION

To summarize, we employed a Fischer indolization protocol to synthesize a key tricyclic DHPI intermediate (171) toward (−)-goniomitine (3) in three steps from commercial materials. While this transformation failed to deliver the product in good yield, sufficient material was made available to study the Pd-catalyzed enantioselective allylic alkylation of the DHPI substrate class. As a result, we discovered a critical electronic effect at the C3 position of the indole moiety that led to a reevaluation of our strategy, and consequently the first catalytic enantioselective total synthesis of (−)-goniomitine (3).7
A1.6 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

A1.6.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Unless otherwise stated, reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere using dry, deoxygenated solvents. Solvents were dried by passage through an activated alumina column under argon. Reaction progress was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) or Agilent 1290 UHPLC-LCMS. TLC was performed using E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 precoated glass plates (0.25 mm) and visualized by UV fluorescence quenching, p-anisaldehyde, CAM, or KMnO₄ staining. Silicycle SiliaFlash® P60 Academic Silica gel (particle size 40–63 nm) was used for flash chromatography. Melting points were measured with BÜCHI Melting Point B-545. 

¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova 500 (500 MHz and 126 MHz, respectively) and are reported in terms of chemical shift relative to CHCl₃ (δ 7.26 and δ 77.16, respectively). Data for ¹H NMR are reported as follows: chemical shift (δ ppm) (multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz), integration). Multiplicities are reported as follows: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, p = pentet, sept = septuplet, m = multiplet, br s = broad singlet, br d = broad doublet, br t = broad triplet, app = apparent. Data for ¹³C NMR are reported in terms of chemical shifts (δ ppm). IR spectra were obtained by use of a Perkin Elmer Spectrum BXII spectrometer using thin films deposited on NaCl plates and reported in frequency of absorption (cm⁻¹). Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter operating on the sodium D-line (589 nm), using a 100 mm path-length cell and are reported as: [α]D T (concentration in g/100 mL, solvent). Analytical SFC was performed with a Mettler SFC supercritical CO₂ analytical chromatography system utilizing Chiralcel OB-H column (4.6 mm x 25 cm) obtained
from Daicel Chemical Industries, Ltd. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained from the Caltech Mass Spectral Facility using a JEOL JMS-600H High Resolution Mass Spectrometer in fast atom bombardment (FAB+) or electron ionization (EI+) mode, or Agilent 6200 Series TOF with an Agilent G1978A Multimode source in mixed ionization mode (MM: ESI/APCI).

Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Acros Organics, Strem, or Alfa Aesar and used as received unless otherwise stated. Phenylhydrazine was purified by distillation and stored at −30 °C in a freezer. (2-Benzylxy)ethyl iodide (196), (S)-(CF₃)₃-t-BuPHOX (76), tris(4,4′-methoxydibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) Pd₂(pmdba)₃, and allyl cyanoformate were prepared by known methods.
**EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES**

**Allyl 10-methyl-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-\textit{a}]indole-7-carboxylate (194):** A flame-dried round bottom flask was charged with LHMDS (670 mg, 4.0 mmol, 1.9 equiv) and a magnetic stirring bar in a N$_2$-filled glove box. The flask was sealed, removed from the glovebox, fitted with an argon line, and suspended in a dry ice/acetone bath. THF (11 mL) was added slowly to the flask and allowed to stir until the LHMDS had been completely dissolved. A solution of C3-methyl DHPI 193 (420 mg, 2.1 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (2.5 mL) was added dropwise, and the reaction was allowed to stir for 30 min at $-78 \, ^\circ\text{C}$. Allyl cyanoformate (270 mg, 2.43 mmol, 1.15 equiv) was then added dropwise, and the reaction was allowed to warm slowly to 0 °C over 4 h. Once the cooling bath temperature reached 0 °C, 100 mL of saturated aqueous NH$_4$Cl was then added slowly and the mixture stirred for 20 min before being extracted with EtOAc (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (100 mL), dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and concentrated. The crude residue was purified by flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 15% acetone in hexanes) to give tertiary β-amidoester 194 (580 mg, 97% yield) as a faintly yellow oil: $R_f = 0.41$ (3:1 hexanes:acetone eluent); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.45–8.41 (m, 1H), 7.45–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.27 (m, 2H), 5.94 (ddt, $J = 17.2$, 10.5, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.35 (dq, $J = 17.2$, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (dq, $J = 10.4$, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.72 (dq, $J = 5.7$, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 3.82 (dd, $J = 8.0$, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 3.04 (dddd, $J = 16.4$, 8.1, 4.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 2.90 (dddd, $J = 16.3$, 8.3, 4.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.55–2.45 (m,
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1H), 2.33 (ddt, J = 13.1, 8.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.19 (t, J = 1.0 Hz, 3H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 169.2, 164.9, 134.8, 132.1, 131.6, 131.3, 124.6, 124.2, 119.0, 118.0, 116.6, 113.3, 66.4, 51.1, 25.0, 19.8, 8.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3050, 2943, 2359, 1741, 1698, 1627, 1459, 1396, 1383, 1370, 1338, 1308, 1269, 1246, 1220, 1172, 1156, 1128, 1104, 1028, 989, 750 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calc’d for C17H18NO3 [M+H]⁺: 284.1281, found 284.1287.

Allyl 7,10-dimethyl-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indole-7-carboxylate (172d): To a solution of β-amidoester 194 (107 mg, 0.38 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in DMF (1.2 mL) were added K₂CO₃ (61 mg, 0.45 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and MeI (28 µL, 0.45 mmol, 1.2 equiv). The reaction mixture was heated to 50 °C with stirring. After 5 h, starting material was completely consumed as determined by TLC analysis. Saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (10 mL) was added, followed by extraction with EtOAc (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 15% acetone in hexanes) afforded quaternary β-amidoester 172d (88 mg, 79% yield) as a clear colorless oil: Rf = 0.47 (3:1 hexanes:acetone eluent); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.49–8.44 (m, 1H), 7.45–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.33–7.27 (m, 2H), 5.84 (ddt, J = 17.2, 10.4, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dq, J = 17.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dq, J = 10.5, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.64 (dq, J = 5.5, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 3.06–2.96 (m, 1H), 2.87 (ddddd, J = 16.8, 11.0, 4.8, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 2.58 (ddd, J = 13.4, 5.4, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.18
(dd, J = 1.1, 0.7 Hz, 3H), 2.07 (ddd, J = 13.5, 11.0, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.68 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 172.1, 168.5, 135.0, 132.3, 131.5 (2C), $^{13}$ 124.5, 124.1, 118.7, 118.0, 116.7, 112.9, 66.3, 52.6, 32.9, 21.7, 19.1, 8.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2939, 1735, 1700, 1628, 1458, 1385, 1345, 1364, 1267, 1240, 1060, 971, 934, 752 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C$_{18}$H$_{20}$NO$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 298.1438, found 298.1435.

(S)-7-Allyl-7,10-dimethyl-8,9-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indol-6(7H)-one (165d): An oven-dried 20 mL scintillation vial was charged with Pd$_2$(pmdba)$_3$ (14 mg, 12.7 µmol, 0.1 equiv), (S)-(CF$_3$)$_3$-t-BuPHOX (76, 18.8 mg, 31.8 µmol, 0.25 equiv), and a magnetic stirring bar in a N$_2$-filled glove box. The vial was then charged with TBME (3.2 mL) and stirred at 23 °C for 30 min, generating a dark purple solution. To the preformed catalyst solution was added a solution of 172d (55 mg, 0.127 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in TBME (0.64 mL). The vial was sealed, removed from the glovebox, and placed in a preheated 60 °C heating block with stirring. Full consumption of starting material was achieved after 24 h, as determined by TLC analysis. The crude reaction mixture was stripped onto silica gel, and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 30% CH$_2$Cl$_2$ in hexanes) to afford α-quaternary lactam 165d (19.5 mg, 60% yield) as a clear colorless oil: R$_f$ = 0.41 (1:1 hexanes:CH$_2$Cl$_2$ eluent); 90% ee, [α]$_D^{25}$ −75.8 (c 0.42, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.48–8.44 (m, 1H), 7.44–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.30–7.26 (m, 2H), 5.88–5.78 (m, 1H),
5.17–5.13 (m, 1H), 5.13–5.11 (m, 1H), 2.96 (dddt, J = 16.5, 8.0, 5.6, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 2.66–2.60 (m, 1H), 2.42 (ddt, J = 13.8, 7.7, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 2.18 (t, J = 1.0 Hz, 3H), 2.09 (ddd, J = 13.7, 8.5, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 1.87 (ddd, J = 13.5, 7.3, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 1.36 (s, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 174.3, 134.9, 133.5, 133.0, 131.5, 124.2, 123.7, 119.0, 117.8, 116.6, 112.0, 43.1, 42.3, 31.7, 23.3, 18.3, 8.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3074, 2968, 2933, 2864, 1694, 1625, 1455, 1382, 1360, 1336, 1311, 1291, 1247, 1190, 1121, 1060, 1015, 999, 916, 803, 753 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (ESI/APCI) \(m/z\) calc’d for C\(_{17}\)H\(_{20}\)NO [M+H]\(^+\): 254.1539, found 254.1547; SFC conditions: 5% \(i\)-PrOH, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralcel OB-H column, \(\lambda\) = 210 nm, \(\tau_R\) (min): major = 7.55, minor = 5.97.

2-(2-(Benzyloxy)ethyl)-3-hydroxycyclohex-2-en-1-one (enol-182): A flask was charged with a magnetic stirring bar, 1,3-cyclohexanedione (195, 336 mg, 3.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and KOH (170 mg, 3.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv). EtOH (1.3 mL) and H\(_2\)O (0.2 mL) were added at 23 °C with stirring, followed by (2-benzyloxy)ethyl iodide\(^9\) (196, 870 mg, 3.3 mmol, 1.1 equiv). The flask was fitted with a reflux condenser, placed in an oil bath, and the reaction was heated to 110 °C with stirring. After 18 h, an additional portion of KOH (170 mg, 3.0 mmol, 1.0 equiv) was added and the reaction was stirred for 1 h at which point full consumption of starting material was determined by TLC analysis. The reaction mixture was then removed the oil bath and allowed to cool to 23 °C. The reaction mixture was poured onto EtOAc (50 mL) and washed with H\(_2\)O (2 x 25 mL). The combined aqueous layers were acidified to pH 2 using 1N HCl and were then extracted with EtOAc.
(3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 45% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded **enol-182** (515 mg, 70% yield) as a colorless amorphous solid: Rᵣ = 0.25 (1:1 hexanes:EtOAc eluent); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 9.68 (s, 1H), 7.39–7.28 (m, 5H), 4.58 (s, 2H), 3.63–3.59 (m, 2H), 2.73–2.67 (m, 2H), 2.46 (t, J = 6.3 Hz, 2H), 2.34 (dd, J
= 7.2, 6.1 Hz, 2H), 1.91 (dt, J = 12.6, 6.5 Hz, 2H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 198.3, 174.8, 136.6, 128.8, 128.4, 128.1, 114.1, 73.8, 72.1, 36.6, 29.5, 22.7, 20.7; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3059, 3029, 2934, 2867, 2664, 1574, 1453, 1372, 1266, 1191, 1095, 856, 735, 697 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calc’d for C₁₅H₁₉O₃ [M+H]+: 247.1329, found 247.1324.

**10-(2-(Benzyloxy)ethyl)-8,9-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indol-6(7H)-one (171):** A flask was charged with a magnetic stirring bar, **enol-182** (370 mg, 1.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv), PhNHNH₂ (162 mg, 1.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv), and toluene (3 mL). Aqueous HCl (2N, 1.5 mL) was then added at 23 °C. The flask was fitted with a reflux condenser, placed in an oil bath, and the reaction was heated to 100 °C with stirring. After 24 h, the reaction mixture was cooled to 23 °C, diluted with H₂O (10 mL) and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded DHPI **171** (158 mg, 33% yield) as an orange oil: Rᵣ = 0.3 (3:2 hexanes:Et₂O eluent); spectroscopic data were consistent with those reported in the literature.¹⁴
A1.7 NOTES AND REFERENCES


6. Moreover, the spectral data obtained for compound 182 do not match those previously reported. We believe that the ¹H NMR data reported by Ma and co-workers instead belong to the O-alkylated constitutional isomer. For details, see: Ma, D.; Tang, G.; Kozikowski, A. P. *Org. Lett.* 2002, 4, 2377–2380.


13. For compound 172d, HMBC correlations can be seen between protons on the allyl fragment and the $^{13}$C resonance at 135.1 ppm. Additional HMBC correlations to this resonance can be seen from all aryl protons, as well as the C3-methyl protons. As such, we believe the overlapping $^{13}$C resonances belong to the indicated carbons (see inset).

APPENDIX 2

Miscellaneous Studies Relevant to Chapter 2

A2.1 INTRODUCTION

This section presents enantioenriched α-quaternary DHPIs that were not further advanced in the context of a planned total synthesis. Additional noteworthy reactions of DHPIs are also discussed.

A2.2 BRIEF SUBSTRATE SCOPE EXPLORATION

A series of β-amidoesters (172e–g) were subjected to the aforementioned optimized Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative allylic alkylation conditions to furnish the corresponding α-quaternary DHPI products (Table A2.2.1). Once again, a bromide at the C3 position of the indole nucleus was tolerated (e.g., 172e → 165e), but C3-alkyl groups (e.g., 172f and 172g) did not perform as well. While nitrile 165f bearing a C3-methyl group was obtained in 82% yield and 91% ee, an elevated catalyst loading was required to achieve full conversion. Interestingly, α-quaternary Mannich adduct 172g \(^1\) reached full conversion using a typical catalyst loading, albeit with slightly diminished yield. It is possible that an α-substituent capable of coordinating to the palladium center (e.g., the
carbamate carbonyl in 172g) is able to override the innate poor reactivity of C3-alkyl substrates.

Table A2.2.1. Pd-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation of Additional DHPI Substrates

\[ \text{Pd}-\text{Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation of Additional DHPI Substrates} \]

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{R}^1 & \quad \text{R}^2 \\
172 & \quad 76 (12.5 \text{ mol} \%) \\
\text{Pd}_2(pmdba)_3 (5 \text{ mol} \%) \\
\text{TBME, 60 °C} & \quad 165
\end{align*}
\]

\[
\begin{align*}
165e & \quad \text{Br} & \quad 83\% \text{ yield} & \quad 91\% \text{ ee} \\
165f & \quad \text{MeO}_2\text{C} & \quad 82\% \text{ yield} & \quad 91\% \text{ ee} \\
165g & \quad \text{CN} & \quad 61\% \text{ yield} & \quad 93\% \text{ ee} \\
\end{align*}
\]

\[ \text{Reaction conditions for the Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation: 172 (1 equiv), Pd}_2(pmdba)_3 (5 \text{ mol} \%) \text{ and 76 (12.5 mol \%) in TBME (0.033 M) at 60 °C.} \]

\[ \text{Enantiomeric excesses were determined by chiral SFC analysis.} \]

A2.3 DISCOVERY OF A FACILE LACTAM EXCHANGE PROCESS

Simultaneous to our efforts in avoiding olefin isomerization during the cross-coupling of \( \alpha \)-quaternary DHPI 165b, we investigated conditions for an anti-Markovnikov hydroamination of the allyl group. Upon exposure of DHPI 165b to the hydrozirconation/amination protocol developed by Hartwig and co-workers, we were surprised to co-isolate the desired primary amine 197 with a highly related byproduct in
an approximately 1:1 ratio (Scheme A2.3.1A). \(^1\)HNMR analysis of this mixture indicated the presence of a free indole N–H bond, which led us to conclude that the primary amine in 197 had cyclized to give \(\delta\)-lactam 198. Noting the ease with which this process occurred, and the synthetic utility of the free N–H lactams (e.g., 176, \textit{vide supra}), we were pleased to discover that treatment of this mixture with potassium carbonate in ethanol smoothly converted all material to the translactamized product (198) in 73% yield over the two steps (Scheme A2.3.1B).

\textit{Scheme A2.3.1. Discovery of a Facile Lactam Exchange Reaction}
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\textbf{A2.4 \hspace{1cm} OPTIONAL DELAYED BROMINATION}

In an effort to repurpose some material in our synthesis of (−)-goniomitine (3), we were pleased to find that bromination could be accomplished at a later point in the synthetic sequence. In the event, C3-unsubstituted DHPI 172c was treated with NBS to afford C3-brominated DHPI 172b in 94% yield (Scheme A2.4.1).
A2.5 CONCLUSIONS

The enantioselective Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative allylic alkylation of DHPI substrates typically proceeds in good yield and high ee. An unusual substitution effect is observed at the C3 position of the indole nucleus. In the cases of a C3–H or C3–Br functionality, the substrates perform well. C3–alkyl substrates, however, typically require higher catalyst loadings to reach full conversion. The origin of this effect is unknown. The lactam carbonyl $^{13}$CNMR shift for these compounds is not appreciably affected by the substituent at C3, therefore a simple enolate stabilization trend is not obviously at play. Interestingly, α-substituents capable of a Lewis basic interaction with the Pd(II) center appear to be able to override the poor reactivity of C3–alkyl substrates. Nevertheless, this substrate class affords access to structurally complex nitrogen-containing small molecules that could be of broad interest to the synthetic community.
A2.6 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

A2.6.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Unless otherwise stated, reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under
an argon or nitrogen atmosphere using dry, deoxygenated solvents. Solvents were dried
by passage through an activated alumina column under argon. Reaction progress was
monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) or Agilent 1290 UHPLC-LCMS. TLC
was performed using E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 precoated glass plates (0.25 mm) and
visualized by UV fluorescence quenching, p-anisaldehyde, CAM, or KMnO₄ staining.
Silicycle SiliaFlash® P60 Academic Silica gel (particle size 40–63 nm) was used for
flash chromatography. Melting points were measured with BÜCHI Melting Point B-545.
¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova 500 (500 MHz and 126 MHz,
respectively) and are reported in terms of chemical shift relative to CHCl₃ (δ 7.26 and δ
77.16, respectively) or CDCl₃ (δ 5.32 and δ 53.84, respectively). Data for ¹H NMR are
reported as follows: chemical shift (δ ppm) (multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz),
integration). Multiplicities are reported as follows: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q =
quartet, p = pentet, sept = septuplet, m = multiplet, br s = broad singlet, br d = broad
doublet, br t = broad triplet, app = apparent. Data for ¹³C NMR are reported in terms of
chemical shifts (δ ppm). IR spectra were obtained by use of a Perkin Elmer Spectrum
BXII spectrometer using thin films depositeed on NaCl plates and reported in frequency of
absorption (cm⁻¹). Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter
operating on the sodium D-line (589 nm), using a 100 mm path-length cell and are
reported as: [α]D (concentration in g/100 mL, solvent). Analytical SFC was performed
with a Mettler SFC supercritical CO₂ analytical chromatography system utilizing
Chiralcel (OB-H and OD-H) columns (4.6 mm x 25 cm) obtained from Daicel Chemical Industries, Ltd. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained from the Caltech Mass Spectral Facility using a JEOL JMS-600H High Resolution Mass Spectrometer in fast atom bombardment (FAB+) or electron ionization (EI+) mode, or Agilent 6200 Series TOF with an Agilent G1978A Multimode source in mixed ionization mode (MM: ESI/APCI).

Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Acros Organics, Strem, or Alfa Aesar and used as received unless otherwise stated. NBS was purchased from Sigma Aldrich, recrystallized from H₂O, and stored in a –25 °C freezer. Bis(cyclopentadienyl) zirconium chloride hydride was purchased from Strem Chemicals and stored at room temperature in a N₂-filled glovebox. Hydroxylamine-O-sulfonic acid was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and stored at –30°C in the glovebox freezer. (S)-(CF₃)₃-t-BuPHOX,⁴ and tris(4,4’-methoxydibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) [Pd₂(pmbda)₃]⁵ were prepared by known methods.
A2.6.2 EXPERIMENTAL PROCEDURES

 allyl 10-bromo-7-(3-methoxy-3-oxopropyl)-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indole-7-carboxylate (172e): To a solution of β-amidoester 178 (395 mg, 1.13 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in MeCN (7.6 mL) were added methyl acrylate (0.2 mL, 2.22 mmol, 1.96 equiv) and DBU (9 μL, 59 μmol, 0.05 equiv) at 23 °C with stirring. After 8 h, starting material was completely consumed as determined by TLC analysis. Saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (100 mL) was added, followed by extraction with EtOAc (3 x 150 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, then dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 30% Et₂O in hexanes) afforded quaternary β-amidoester 172e (444 mg, 90% yield) as a clear colorless oil: R_f = 0.35 (3:2 hexanes:Et₂O eluent); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.47–8.43 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.39–7.34 (m, 2H), 5.83 (ddt, J = 17.2, 10.4, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dq, J = 17.3, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dq, J = 10.5, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (dq, J = 5.7, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.12 (dt, J = 17.4, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.87 (ddd, J = 17.1, 11.4, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.71–2.64 (m, 1H), 2.58–2.46 (m, 2H), 2.46–2.41 (m, 2H), 2.16 (ddd, J = 13.6, 11.4, 4.9 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.2, 170.5, 167.0, 134.3, 134.0, 131.1, 129.2, 125.7, 124.9, 119.4, 118.7, 116.7, 97.4, 66.7, 55.6, 52.0, 29.90, 29.88, 29.80, 19.8; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2949, 1734, 1709, 1597, 1449, 1371, 1345, 1310, 1226, 1173, 1087, 1039, 922,
750 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI/APCI) $m/z$ calc’d for C$_{29}$H$_{21}$NO$_5$Br [M+H]$^+$: 434.0598, found 434.0586.

**Allyl 7-(2-cyanoethyl)-10-methyl-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indole-7-carboxylate (172f):** To a solution of β-amidoester 194 (73 mg, 0.26 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in MeCN (1.7 mL) were added acrylonitrile (34 µL, 0.52 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and DBU (6 µL, 39 µmol, 0.1 equiv) at 23 °C with stirring. After 4 h, starting material was completely consumed as determined by TLC analysis. The reaction mixture was diluted with ethyl acetate (20 mL). The resulting mixture was washed with 1N HCl, saturated aqueous sodium bicarbonate and brine, dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded nitrile 172f (55.6 mg, 64% yield) as a clear colorless oil: $R_f = 0.32$ (4:1 hexanes:EtOAc eluent); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.45–8.39 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.35–7.28 (m, 2H), 5.84 (ddt, $J = 17.3$, 10.5, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.28–5.19 (m, 2H), 4.67 (dt, $J = 5.7$, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 3.06 (dt, $J = 16.8$, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.89–2.77 (m, 2H), 2.64 (ddd, $J = 17.0$, 9.7, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.55 (dt, $J = 13.4$, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.50–2.38 (m, 2H), 2.21–2.13 (m, 1H), 2.18 (s, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 170.3, 166.6, 134.9, 131.5, 131.3, 131.0, 124.8, 124.5, 119.6, 119.4, 118.2, 116.7, 113.6, 66.8, 55.2, 31.2, 30.7, 18.8, 13.8, 8.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3051, 2940, 2862, 2248, 1734, 1696, 1627, 1458, 1384, 1369, 1338, 1316, 1227, 1185, 1137,
1090, 1057, 935, 752 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C₂₀H₂₁N₂O₃ [M+H]⁺: 337.1552, found 337.1566.

**General Procedure A: Pd-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation**

Please note that the absolute configuration of 165e and 165f have been inferred from previous studies.⁶

Methyl *(R)-3-(7-allyl-10-bromo-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indol-7-yl)propanoate* (165e): A flame-dried 100 mL Schlenk flask was charged with Pd₂(pmdba)₃ (34 mg, 31 µmol, 0.05 equiv), (S)-(CF₃)₃-t-BuPHOX (76, 46 mg, 78 µmol, 0.125 equiv), and a magnetic stirring bar in a N₂-filled glove box. The flask was then charged with TBME (17 mL) and stirred at 23 °C for 30 minutes, generating a dark purple solution. To the preformed catalyst solution was added a solution of 172e (270 mg, 0.622 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in TBME (1.8 mL, including washings). The flask was sealed, removed from the glovebox, and placed in a preheated 60 °C oil bath with stirring. Full consumption of starting material was achieved after 12 h, as determined by TLC analysis. The crude reaction mixture was stripped onto silica gel, and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 25% Et₂O in hexanes) to afford α-quaternary lactam 165e (201 mg, 83% yield) as a faintly yellow oil: Rᵣ = 0.38 (7:3 hexanes:Et₂O eluent); 91% ee, [α]₀²⁵ = -6.7 (c 1.54, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.47–8.42 (m, 1H),
7.50–7.44 (m, 1H), 7.37–7.31 (m, 2H), 5.84–5.74 (m, 1H), 5.18 (q, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.17–5.14 (m, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.03 (t, J = 6.7 Hz, 2H), 2.61 (ddt, J = 14.1, 7.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 2.53–2.37 (m, 3H), 2.18–2.05 (m, 3H), 2.05–1.97 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.6, 172.3, 134.6, 134.3, 132.5, 129.2, 125.5, 119.9, 118.5, 116.7, 96.8, 51.9, 45.8, 39.9, 30.3, 29.1, 28.9, 18.8; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3075, 2949, 2868, 1738, 1704, 1639, 1595, 1449, 1372, 1347, 1309, 1176, 1107, 1036, 996, 922, 835, 754 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C₁₉H₂₀NO₃Br [M⁺]: 389.0626, found 389.0632; SFC conditions: 10% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralcel OD-H column, λ = 210 nm, tᵣ (min): major = 9.17, minor = 7.99.

(R)-3-(7- Allyl-10-methyl-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indol-7-yl)propanenitrile (165f): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. α-Quaternary β-amidoester 172f (27 mg, 0.08 mmol, 1.0 equiv); Pd₂(pmdba)₃ (8.8 mg, 8 µmol, 0.1 equiv); (S)-(CF₃)₃-t-BuPHOX (76, 11.9 mg, 0.02 mmol, 0.25 equiv); TBME (2.4 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 9 h at 60 °C. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 33% Et₂O in hexanes) afforded nitrile 165f (19.2 mg, 82% yield) as a clear colorless oil: Rᵣ = 0.19 (7:3 hexanes:Et₂O eluent); 91% ee, [α]D²⁵ +20.7 (c 0.38, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.46–8.38 (m, 1H), 7.43 (ddt, J = 6.6, 4.3, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 7.32–7.28 (m, 2H), 5.78 (ddt, J = 16.8, 10.2, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.23–5.16 (m, 2H), 3.02–2.97 (m, 2H), 2.60–2.50 (m, 2H), 2.49–2.42 (m, 2H), 2.32–2.23 (m, 1H), 2.18 (t, J = 1.1 Hz, 3H), 2.08–1.99 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 171.7, 134.8, 131.9, 131.8,
131.5, 124.6, 124.2, 120.3, 119.8, 116.1, 116.6, 113.1, 45.8, 39.7, 31.5, 29.1, 17.8, 12.8, 8.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3073, 2935, 2862, 2247, 1690, 1625, 1457, 1382, 1370, 1317, 1187, 1056, 920, 754 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (ESI\(^+\)) m/z calc’d for C\(_{19}\)H\(_{21}\)N\(_2\)O\([\text{M+H}]^+\): 293.1654, found 293.1680; SFC conditions: 10% IPA, 3 mL/min, Chiralpak AD-H column, \(\lambda = 210\) nm, \(t_R\) (min): major = 8.29, minor = 7.35.

(R)-3-(2-(3-Bromo-1H-indol-2-yl)ethyl)-3-ethylpiperidin-2-one (198): An oven-dried scintillation vial was charged with \(\alpha\)-quaternary DHPI 165b (305 mg, 0.92 mmol, 1.0 equiv), THF (3.7 mL), and a magnetic stirring bar in a \(\text{N}_2\)-filled glovebox. To this solution was added bis(cyclopentadienyl) zirconium chloride hydride (284 mg, 1.1 mmol, 1.2 equiv), and the mixture was stirred at 23 \(^\circ\)C until a light yellow solution was observed (ca. 30 min). Hydroxylamine-\(O\)-sulfonic acid (166 mg, 1.47 mmol, 1.6 equiv) was added, the vial was sealed and removed from the glovebox, and stirring was resumed at 23 \(^\circ\)C in a fume hood for an additional 30 min. The crude reaction mixture was loaded directly onto a plug of silica gel and eluted (\(\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2\): \(\text{NH}_3\) (7N solution in MeOH) = 95:5) to deliver a mixture of the primary amine 197 and secondary lactam 198 (250 mg, ca. 1:1 ratio, 78% combined yield). A portion (190 mg, 0.54 mmol, 1.0 equiv) of this mixture was dissolved in EtOH (11 mL), then \(\text{K}_2\text{CO}_3\) (225 mg, 1.63 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added. The reaction was stirred at 23 \(^\circ\)C for 1 h, at which point complete consumption of starting material was determined by TLC analysis. The reaction mixture was poured onto saturated aqueous \(\text{NaHCO}_3\) and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 75 mL). The combined
organic layers were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 40% acetone in hexanes) afforded free N–H lactam 198 (176 mg, 93% yield) as a white amorphous solid: $R_f = 0.4$ (3:2 hexanes:acetone eluent); $[\alpha]_D^{25} = 11.6$ (c 1.3, CH$_2$Cl$_2$); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) $\delta$ 9.33 (br s, 1H), 7.44–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.26 (m, 1H), 7.15–7.08 (m, 2H), 6.10 (s, 1H), 3.30 (q, $J = 4.4$, 3.4 Hz, 2H), 2.98 (ddd, $J = 14.4$, 10.5, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.64 (ddd, $J = 14.4$, 10.4, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.07 (ddd, $J = 13.7$, 10.4, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 1.90–1.81 (m, 4H), 1.79–1.73 (m, 2H), 1.63 (dq, $J = 14.8$, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 0.90 (t, $J = 7.5$ Hz, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) $\delta$ 177.4, 137.7, 135.4, 127.8, 122.3, 120.3, 118.3, 111.4, 89.0, 45.6, 43.1, 37.2, 31.6, 29.3, 22.5, 20.0, 8.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3290, 3221, 3183, 3058, 2961, 2935, 2874, 1640, 1615, 1454, 1333, 1297, 1228, 797, 742, 671, 627 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI/APCI) $m/z$ calc’d for C$_{17}$H$_{22}$N$_2$OBr [M+H]$^+$: 349.0910, found 349.0909.

### Allyl 10-bromo-7-ethyl-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indole-7-carboxylate (172b)

To a solution of β-amidoester 172c (218 mg, 0.73 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3.7 mL) at 0 °C was added NBS (138 mg, 0.76 mmol, 1.04 equiv) in three equal portions over 10 minutes. After 10 min, the cooling bath was removed and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 23 °C. Full consumption of starting material was complete within 1 h, as observed by TLC analysis. The crude reaction mixture was stripped onto silica gel and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 60% CH$_2$Cl$_2$ in hexanes) to afford quaternary β-amidoester 172b (259 mg, 94% yield) as a clear colorless oil.
A2.7 NOTES AND REFERENCES

1. For convenience regarding the numbering of compounds, the experimental procedures and spectra for 172g and 165g are located in Chapter 4.


7. The spectral data for 172b matched those reported in Chapter 2.
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Synthetic Summary for Chapter 2:

Chemoselectivity in Indole-Iminium Cyclizations:

Total Synthesis of (−)-Goniomitine and Formal Syntheses of

(+)-Aspidospermidine and (−)-Quebrachamine

Scheme A3.1. Catalytic Enantioselective Total Synthesis of (−)-Goniomitine (3)
Scheme A3.2. Enantioselective Formal Syntheses of (+)-Aspidospermidine (1) and (−)-Quebrachamine (2)

Table A3.1. Enantioselective Pd-Catalyzed Decarboxylative Allylic Alkylation of DHPI Substrates

---

*Reaction conditions for the Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation: 172 (1 equiv), Pd$_2$(pmdba)$_3$ (5 mol %) and 76 (12.5 mol %) in TBME (0.033 M) at 60 °C.

*Enantiomeric excesses were determined by chiral SFC analysis.

*Reaction was performed using 10 mol % Pd$_2$(pmdba)$_3$ and 25 mol % 76.
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Figure A4.1. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 168.
Figure A4.2. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 168.

Figure A4.3. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 168.
Figure A4.4. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 169.
Figure A4.5. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 169.

Figure A4.6. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 169.
Figure A4.7. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 177.
Figure A4.8. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 177.

Figure A4.9. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 177.
Figure A4.10. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172a.
Figure A4.11. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 172a.

Figure A4.12. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172a.
Figure A4.13. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 178.
Figure A4.14. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 178.

Figure A4.15. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 178.
Figure A4.1. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172b.
Figure A4.17. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 172b.

Figure A4.18. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172b.
Figure A4.19. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 179.
Figure A4.20. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 179.

Figure A4.21. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 179.
Figure A4.22. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172c.
**Figure A4.23.** Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 172c.

**Figure A4.24.** $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172c.
Figure A4.25. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165a.
Figure A4.26. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 165a.

Figure A4.27. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165a.
Figure A4.28. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 180.
Figure A4.29. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 180.

Figure A4.30. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 180.
Figure A4.31. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165b.
Figure A4.32. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 165b.

Figure A4.33. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165b.
Figure A4.3.4. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165c.
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Figure A4.35. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 165c.

Figure A4.36. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165c.
Figure A4.37. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 174.
Figure A4.38. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 174.

Figure A4.39. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 174.
Figure A4.40. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of (−)-goniomitine (3).
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**Figure A4.41.** Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of (−)-goniomitine (3).

**Figure A4.42.** $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of (−)-goniomitine (3).
Figure A4.43. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compounds 176.
Figure A4.44. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 176.

Figure A4.45. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 176.
Figure A4.46. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 194.
Figure A4.47. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 194.

Figure A4.48. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 194.
Figure A4.49. $^{1}$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172d.
Figure A4.50. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 172d.

Figure A4.51. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172d.
Figure A4.52. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165d.
Figure A4.53. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 165d.

Figure A4.54. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165d.
Figure A4.55. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound enol-182.

\[
\text{enol-182}
\]
Figure A4.56. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound *enol-182*.

Figure A4.57. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound *enol-182*. 
Figure A4.58. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172e.
Figure A4.59. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 172e.

Figure A4.60. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172e.
Figure A4.61. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172f.
Figure A4.62. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 172f.

Figure A4.63. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172f.
Figure A4.64. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165e.
Figure A4.65. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 165e.

Figure A4.66. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165e.
Figure A4.67. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165f.
Figure A4.68. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 165f.

Figure A4.69. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165f.
Figure A4.70. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) of compound 198.
Figure A4.71. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 198.

Figure A4.72. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CD$_2$Cl$_2$) of compound 198.
CHAPTER 3

Stereoselectivity in Indole-Iminium Cyclizations:
Total Synthesis of (+)-Limaspermidine, Formal Synthesis of (+)-Kopsihainanine A, and Progress Toward the Total Syntheses of (−)-Kopsinine and (−)-Kopsinilam†

3.1 INTRODUCTION AND SYNTHETIC DESIGN

Monoterpene indole alkaloids from the structurally related Aspidosperma and Kopsia families have attracted the attention of synthetic chemists for over the course of more than half a century due to their intricate polycyclic structures and broad biological activity.\(^1\)\(^2\) One significant structural difference between these families is the ring fusion geometry of the octa- or decahydroquinoline moiety contained within the polycyclic core. Aspidosperma alkaloids typically possess a cis-fused azadecaline motif (e.g., 1 and 6, blue highlight, Figure 3.1.1).\(^3\) Conversely, members of the Kopsia family often contain a trans-fused azadecaline substructure (e.g., 22, 20, and 199, red highlight, Figure 3.1.1).\(^4\)

† This work was performed in collaboration with Dr. Etienne Donckele, a Postdoctoral scholar in the Stoltz group. A manuscript detailing the syntheses of (+)-Limaspermidine (6) and (+)-Kopsihainanine A (22) is currently in preparation.
We recently reported the enantioselective Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation of dihydropyrido[1,2-α]indolone (DHPI) substrates. The utility of the enantioenriched α-quaternary DHPI products was illustrated through regiodivergent indole-iminium cyclization pathways to access multiple Aspidosperma alkaloid frameworks (Scheme 3.1.1A). Given the high enantioselectivities and rapid accessibility of these chiral building blocks, we sought to further highlight the versatility of the DHPI substrate class by leveraging stereodivergent indole-iminium cyclizations toward additional monoterpenoid indole alkaloid targets (Scheme 3.1.1B).
We envisioned that \(\delta\)-lactam 200, available in two steps from the \(\alpha\)-quaternary Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation product (cf. Scheme 3.1.1A),\(^5\) could undergo hydride reduction and subsequent dehydration to deliver C2-tethered iminium 201 (Scheme 3.1.1B, Blue Path). A Pictet–Spengler-type cyclization could then occur, with the indole
moiety approaching from the less hindered α-face, to yield tetracycle 202 bearing a cis-fused octahydroquinoline subunit. We anticipated that such an intermediate could be advanced to (+)-limaspermidine (6). Alternatively, by reversing the order of these events (i.e., C–C formation then C–H formation), a Bischler–Napieralski cyclization could furnish tetracyclic iminium 203, and the ensuing hydride reduction would proceed from the less hindered α-face of the molecule to give the trans-fused octahydroquinoline subunit in tetracycle 204 (Scheme 3.1.1B, Red Path). We expected that 204 could be carried on in a total synthesis of (+)-kopsihainanine A (22).

3.2 DEVELOPMENT OF STEREODIVERGENT CYCLIZATION STRATEGIES FROM A COMMON DHPI PRECURSOR

At the outset of our investigations, we aimed to verify whether the aforementioned stereodivergent cyclization strategies were viable using α-quaternary DHPI 165c as a model system (Scheme 3.2.1). We were delighted to find that subjecting 165c to formal anti-Markovnikov hydroamination conditions developed by Hartwig, followed by addition of lithium aluminum hydride, and subsequent quenching with hydrochloric acid and methanol resulted in the formation of putative C2-tethered iminium 206 (Scheme 3.2.1A). The indole moiety is nucleophilic at N1 and C3, which gives rise to isomeric tetracycles bearing either a newly formed C–N or C–C bond (207 and ent-114, respectively, Scheme 3.2.1B). C–N bond formation gives aminal 207, which can revert to iminium 206 via hydrolysis. Alternatively, a Pictet–Spengler-type reaction forms a C–C bond with subsequent rearomatization to irreversibly furnish cis-fused
tetracycle ent-114. Remarkably, this one-pot sequence delivers ent-114 in 61% yield as a single diastereomer without the need to exchange solvents or isolate any intermediates.

Scheme 3.2.1. One-Pot Synthesis of Cis-Fused Tetracycle ent-114 from α-Quaternary DHPI 165c.

Having successfully constructed the cis-fused octahydroquinoline moiety present in tetracycle ent-114 using a one-pot hydroamination/reduction/Pictet–Spengler sequence, we next explored reaction conditions for a stereodivergent cyclization that would instead furnish a trans-fused octahydroquinoline system. As previously discussed, δ-lactam 176 is available in 66% yield over two steps from DHPI 165c (Scheme 3.2.2). Amide activation proceeded smoothly in the presence of 2-chloropyridine and triflic anhydride, and subsequent reduction using sodium borohydride provided trans-fused tetracycle 208 as a single diastereomer in 63% yield. With the means to access both ring fusion geometries from the same Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation products (i.e., α-
quaternary DHPIs), we embarked on the application of this stereodivergent strategy in the context of monoterpene indole alkaloid total synthesis.

Scheme 3.2.2. Synthesis of Trans-Fused Tetracycle 208 from α-Quaternary DHPI 165c.

3.3 RETROSYNTHETIC ANALYSIS OF (+)-LIMASPERMIDINE

Retrosynthetically, we believed (+)-limaspermidine (6) could be synthesized via late-stage annulation and alcohol deprotection of ethanolamine 209, which in turn could arise from the regioselective alkylation of tetracycle 210 (Scheme 3.3.1). The cis ring fusion geometry of the azadecal subunit in 210 would be controlled through a Pictet–Spengler-type cyclization following the anti-Markovnikov hydroamination and subsequent hydride reduction of α-quaternary DHPI 165h.

Scheme 3.3.1. Retrosynthetic Analysis of (+)-Limaspermidine (6).
3.4 TOTAL SYNTHESIS OF (+)-LIMASPERMIDINE

Our synthesis of (+)-limaspermidine (6) began from unsubstituted DHPI 166, which is available in multi-gram quantities from indole (Scheme 3.4.1). Straightforward C-acylation using allyl cyanoformate, followed by C-alkylation using (2-benzyloxy)ethyl iodide (196) delivered β-amidoester 172h in 80% yield over the two steps. Treatment of 172h to a solution of Pd$_2$(pmdba)$_3$ (5 mol %) and (S)-(CF$_3$)$_3$-t-BuPHOX (76, 12.5 mol %) in TBME at 60 °C delivered α-quaternary DHPI 165h in 82% yield and 94% ee.

Anti-Markovnikov hydroamination was accomplished using the aforementioned hydrozirconation/amination protocol developed by Hartwig and co-workers (Scheme 3.4.2). Upon complete formation of the intermediate primary amine (211), lithium aluminum hydride was added, followed by quenching with acetic acid and water to promote the desired indole-iminium cyclization. This one-pot sequence furnished cis-fused tetracycle 210 in 60% yield. Regioselective piperidine alkylation gave primary alcohol 209 in 83% yield (50% over two steps). Importantly, we found that tetracycle 210

\[
\text{Scheme 3.4.1. Synthesis of α-Quaternary DHPI 165h.}
\]
could be advanced without purification to afford ethanolamine 209 in an improved 62% yield over the two steps. Ethanolamine 209 was treated with methanesulfonyl chloride followed by potassium tert-butoxide to effect pyrrolidine annulation. Subsequent hydride reduction yielded O-benzyl limaspermidine (212), which succumbed to debenzylation using excess BF$_3$•Et$_2$O in ethanethiol as solvent to give (+)-limaspermidine (6) in 60% yield over the final three steps.\(^9\)

Scheme 3.4.2. Completed Total Synthesis of (+)-Limaspermidine (6).

3.5 RETROSYNTHETIC ANALYSIS OF (+)-KOPSIHAINANINE A

We imagined that an intramolecular lactamization and subsequent α-hydroxylation of tetracycle 213 could furnish (+)-kopsihsainanine A (22, Scheme 3.5.1). The trans-fused octahydroquinoline subunit of 213 could be constructed through a Bischler–Napieralski cyclization of δ-lactam 214,\(^6\) which would in turn be synthesized from α-quaternary DHPI 165i via anti-Markovnikov hydroamination and translactamization.
3.6 FORMAL SYNTHESIS OF (+)-KOPSIHAINANINE A

Beginning from the same tricyclic DHPI core (166), C-acylation followed by a Michael reaction using methyl acrylate furnished β-amidoester 172i in 92% yield over the two steps (Scheme 3.6.1). Gratifyingly, subjecting 172i to the aforementioned enantioselective Pd-catalyzed decarboxylative allylic alkylation conditions delivered α-quaternary DHPI 165i in 90% yield and 92% ee. Unfortunately, we were unable to effect the desired one-pot hydrozirconation/amination protocol on this substrate. We observed over-reduction of the methyl ester upon treatment with Schwartz’s reagent. Instead, we implemented a Rh-catalyzed hydroboration,\(^1\) and subsequent Mitsunobu-type displacement to arrive at azide 216 in 72% yield over two steps. A Staudinger reaction using polymer-bound triphenylphosphine reduced the azide in 216 to the corresponding primary amine, and concomitant translactamization afforded δ-lactam 214 in 81% yield.
We next investigated the Bischler–Napieralski cyclization of 214 to access the 
trans-fused octahydroquinoline subunit present in many Kopsia alkaloids. To this end, δ-lactam 214 was exposed to POCl₃ in refluxing toluene to give tetracyclic iminium 217, which could be reduced using sodium borohydride in methanol (Table 3.6.1, Entry 1). We observed an increased yield upon switching to the combination of triflic anhydride and 2-chloropyridine for amide activation (Entry 2). After optimization of stoichiometry and careful reaction timing, trans-fused tetracycle 213 could be synthesized in 84% yield (Entry 3).
With \textit{trans}-fused tetracycle 213 in hand, we sought to complete a highly efficient synthesis of (+)-kopsihainanine A (22). Several Brønsted bases and Lewis acids were screened for the lactamization of 213, but we ultimately found that the bicyclic guanidine base, TBD, smoothly facilitated this transformation to deliver lactam 218 in 65\% yield (Scheme 3.6.2). Compound 218 was previously shown to undergo \( \alpha \)-hydroxylation via enolization using LDMA and trapping with \((\text{TMSO})_2\) in Zhu’s total synthesis of (+)-kopsihainanine A (22).\textsuperscript{12} Thus, we have completed an asymmetric formal synthesis of the \textit{Kopsia} alkaloid (+)-kopsihainanine A (22) in eight steps and 26\% overall yield from the tricyclic DHPI core (166).

\textit{Scheme 3.6.2. Enantioselective Formal Synthesis of (+)-Kopsihainanine A (22).}
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3.7 RETROSYNTHETIC ANALYSIS OF (–)-KOPSININE

We imagined that a base-promoted annulation cascade could forge the vicinal quaternary and tetrasubstituted tertiary stereocenters of the indoline moiety present in (–)-kopsinine (20, Scheme 3.7.1). Alkyl chloride 219 could arise from the reductive amination between chloroacetaldehyde and the piperidine nitrogen of tetracycle 213, which we previously employed in our synthesis of (+)-kopsihainanine A (22).

Scheme 3.7.1. Retrosynthetic Analysis of (–)-Kopsinine (20).

3.8 PROGRESS TOWARD THE TOTAL SYNTHESES OF (–)-KOPSININE AND (–)-KOPSINILAM

The reactivity of the piperidine nitrogen in 213 proved to be unexpectedly challenging (Scheme 3.8.1). Direct alkylations such as that used in our synthesis of ethanolamine 209 were unsuccessful (cf. Scheme 3.4.2).\(^{13}\) Reductive amination using chloroacetaldehyde\(^{14}\) and sodium triacetoxyborohydride resulted in minimal conversion of starting material, although the mass of the desired alkyl chloride 219 was observed by high resolution LCMS (Scheme 3.8.1A).\(^{15}\) Despite this recalcitrant alkylation, we were optimistic that N-acylation would be facile. Fortunately, treatment of tetracycle 213 with chloroacetyl chloride and triethylamine gave \( \alpha \)-chloroacetamide 220 (Scheme 3.8.1B). A Finkelstein reaction was effective for halide exchange, and an ensuing silver-mediated Friedel–Crafts-type annulation provided pentacyclic indolenine 221 in 61% yield over the
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three steps. At this stage, all that remained was the final C–C bond that we planned to construct through an intramolecular Mannich reaction. Unfortunately, pentacycle 221 failed to undergo the desired C–C bond formation under either basic conditions (LDA in THF, LHMDS in THF, and NaOMe in MeOH), Lewis acidic conditions (TMSOTf in CH₂Cl₂), or Brønsted acidic conditions (HCl in MeOH, p-TsOH in CH₂Cl₂, and TFA in THF).

Scheme 3.8.1. Endgame Studies Toward (−)-Kopsinine (20) and (−)-Kopsinilam (199).

A. N-Alkylation Toward (−)-Kopsinine

Carbon-based nucleophilic additions into imines of this type (e.g., 221) are typically limited to either organolithium reagents¹⁶ or cyanide (Scheme 3.8.2A and 3.8.2B, respectively).¹⁷ To our knowledge, the lone example of enol addition is the acid-promoted cyclization of ketone 225 to give indoline 226 in 85% yield (Scheme 3.8.2C).¹⁸
While enamine tautomerization of 221 is theoretically possible, we believe that the strain introduced into the resulting central cyclohexene ring would disfavor such a tautomerization. We hypothesize that the imine in 221 is not sufficiently electrophilic for straightforward enolate addition. Accordingly, either a radical addition or an imine activation that results in a formal positive charge at nitrogen is likely the most promising path forward.

### 3.9 COMPARISON OF SUBSTRATE CLASSES IN ENANTIOSELECTIVE Pd-CATALYZED ALLYLIC ALKYLATION FOR MONOTERPENE INDOLE ALKALOID TOTAL SYNTHESIS

The enantioselective Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation of carbazolone substrates has garnered significant interest from the synthetic community over the past five years.\textsuperscript{19} While these investigations have resulted in successful syntheses of numerous monoterpenoid indole alkaloids, we believe that the stereocontrolled cyclizations and
exocyclic C20 substituent variability afforded by α-quaternary DHPIs renders this substrate class highly valuable within the synthetic community. Perhaps the most direct comparison can be seen in Shao’s total synthesis of (−)-aspidospermidine (1). Seven steps are required to convert their Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation product, α-quaternary carbazolone 110a, into cis-octahydroquinoline-containing tetracycle 114 (Scheme 3.9.1A). Conversely, our DHPI Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation product (i.e., 165c) is converted to the same tetracycle in one pot without any sacrifice in overall yield (Scheme 3.9.1B). The choice to convert the allyl group to a propylamine fragment enables high levels of exocyclic substituent flexibility at C20, which in turn requires less cumbersome downstream elaboration (e.g., obviating the tedious conversion of an allyl group to an ethyl group). Furthermore, the N-acyl moiety acts as a traceless protecting group for the indole nitrogen, thereby eliminating wasted time and materials for protection/deprotection steps.

Scheme 3.9.1. Comparative Synthetic Utility of Carbazolone and DHPI Pd-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation Products.

A. Shao’s Synthesis of Tetracycle 114

\[
\begin{align*}
110a & \xrightarrow{7 \text{ steps}} 114 & & \xrightarrow{3 \text{ steps}} 1 \\
\text{(60\% overall yield)} & & \text{(30\% overall yield)} & & \text{(–)-Aspidospermidine}
\end{align*}
\]

B. Our Synthesis of ent-114

\[
\begin{align*}
165c & \xrightarrow{\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr(H)Cl; H}_2\text{NOSO}_2\text{H; THF, 23 °C}} 205 & & \xrightarrow{\text{LiAlH}_4, \text{THF, 0 °C; HCl, H}_2\text{O/MEOH, 50 °C}} \text{ent-114} \\
\text{(61\% overall yield)} & & & 
\end{align*}
\]
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3.10 CONCLUSIONS AND OUTLOOK

The combination of Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylations of dihydropyrido[1,2-α]indolone (DHPI) substrates with stereodivergent indole-iminium cyclization strategies is a powerful tool for the synthesis of Aspidosperma and Kopsia monoterpene indole alkaloids. The high enantioselectivities and synthetic flexibility conferred by the DHPI substrate class enabled rapid syntheses of (+)-limaspermidine (6) and (+)-kopsihainanine A (22). A highly advanced intermediate toward (−)-kopsinilam (199) was also synthesized, with only an intramolecular Mannich addition remaining to complete the synthesis.

The enantioselective construction of the C20 all-carbon quaternary center is leveraged to set the remaining stereocenters in these targets in a controlled and predictable fashion. The N-acyl moiety in the DHPI substrate class acts as a traceless protecting group for the indole nitrogen, which adds elegance and efficiency en route to complex tetracyclic alkaloid building blocks. The future of using α-quaternary DHPIs for the total synthesis of monoterpene indole alkaloids is indeed bright!
Chapter 3 – Stereoselective Indole-Iminium Cyclizations from α-Quaternary DHPIs

3.11 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

3.11.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Unless otherwise stated, reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere using dry, deoxygenated solvents. Solvents were dried by passage through an activated alumina column under argon.\(^{20}\) Reaction progress was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) or Agilent 1290 UHPLC-LCMS. TLC was performed using E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 precoated glass plates (0.25 mm) and visualized by UV fluorescence quenching, \(p\)-anisaldehyde, CAM, or KMnO\(_4\) staining. Silicycle SiliaFlash® P60 Academic Silica gel (particle size 40–63 nm) was used for flash chromatography. Melting points were measured with BÜCHI Melting Point B-545. \(^1\)H and \(^{13}\)C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova 500 (500 MHz and 126 MHz, respectively) and a Bruker AV III HD spectrometer equipped with a Prodigy liquid nitrogen temperature cryoprobe (400 MHz and 101 MHz, respectively) and are reported in terms of chemical shift relative to CHCl\(_3\) (δ 7.26 and δ 77.16, respectively). Data for \(^1\)H NMR are reported as follows: chemical shift (δ ppm) (multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz), integration). Multiplicities are reported as follows: \(s\) = singlet, \(d\) = doublet, \(t\) = triplet, \(q\) = quartet, \(p\) = pentet, \(sept\) = septuplet, \(m\) = multiplet, \(br\) \(s\) = broad singlet, \(br\) \(d\) = broad doublet, \(br\) \(t\) = broad triplet, \(app\) = apparent. Data for \(^{13}\)C NMR are reported in terms of chemical shifts (δ ppm). IR spectra were obtained by use of a Perkin Elmer Spectrum BXII spectrometer using thin films deposited on NaCl plates and reported in frequency of absorption (cm\(^{-1}\)). Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter operating on the sodium D-line (589 nm), using a 100 mm path-length cell and are reported as: \([\alpha]_D^T\) (concentration in g/100 mL, solvent). Analytical SFC was
performed with a Mettler SFC supercritical CO₂ analytical chromatography system utilizing Chiralpak (AD-H) or Chiralcel (OD-H) columns (4.6 mm x 25 cm) obtained from Daicel Chemical Industries, Ltd. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained from the Caltech Mass Spectral Facility using a JEOL JMS-600H High Resolution Mass Spectrometer in fast atom bombardment (FAB+) or electron ionization (EI+) mode, or Agilent 6200 Series TOF with an Agilent G1978A Multimode source in mixed ionization mode (MM: ESI/APCI).

Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Acros Organics, Strem, or Alfa Aesar and used as received unless otherwise stated. Bis(cyclopentadienyl) zirconium chloride hydride was purchased from Strem Chemicals and stored at room temperature in a N₂-filled glovebox. Hydroxylamine-O-sulfonic acid was purchased from Sigma Aldrich and stored at −30°C in the glovebox freezer. MeOH was distilled from magnesium methoxide immediately prior to use. (S)-(CF₃)₃-t-BuPHOX (76),²¹ tris(4,4'-methoxydibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) Pd₂(pmdba)₃,²² allyl cyanoformate,²³ and (2-benzyloxy)ethyl iodide (196)²⁴ were prepared by known methods.
**Cis-fused tetracycle ent-114**: An oven-dried scintillation vial was charged with α-quaternary DHPI 165c (53 mg, 0.209 mmol, 1.0 equiv), THF (1.0 mL), and a magnetic stirring bar in a N₂-filled glovebox. To this solution was added bis(cyclopentadienyl) zirconium chloride hydride (65 mg, 0.252 mmol, 1.2 equiv), and the mixture was stirred at 23 °C for 30 min. A second portion of bis(cyclopentadienyl) zirconium chloride hydride (11 mg, 43 µmol, 0.2 equiv) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 30 min at which point a light yellow solution was observed. Hydroxylamine-O-sulfonic acid (38 mg, 0.336 mmol, 1.6 equiv) was added, the vial was sealed and removed from the glovebox, and stirring was resumed at 23 °C in a fume hood for an additional 10 min. The reaction mixture was cooled to 0 °C and LiAlH₄ (0.84 mL, 1.0 M in THF, 0.84 mmol, 4.0 equiv) was added over five minutes. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 15 minutes before careful quenching with H₂O (2 mL), then MeOH (8 mL) and 6N HCl (1 mL). The vial was sealed and placed in a pre-heated 50 °C heating block. After stirring at this temperature for one hour, the reaction mixture was cooled to ambient temperature and poured onto brine (50 mL) and EtOAc (50 mL). The solution was basified with 2N NaOH until pH > 12, and was then extracted with EtOAc (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 10% NH₃ (7N solution in MeOH), 45% EtOAc and
45% hexanes) afforded cis-fused tetracycle **ent-114** (32.7 mg, 61% yield) as a white amorphous solid: \( R_f = 0.59 \) (2:2:1 hexanes:EtOAc:NH\(_3\) (7N solution in MeOH) eluent); \( ^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CD\(_3\)OD) \( \delta 7.52 \) (dt, \( J = 7.5, 0.9 \) Hz, 1H), 7.26–7.22 (m, 1H), 7.01 (td, \( J = 7.9, 7.5, 1.5 \) Hz, 1H), 6.96 (td, \( J = 7.4, 1.3 \) Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 1H), 3.05–2.97 (m, 1H), 2.83–2.68 (m, 3H), 2.42 (ddd, \( J = 13.7, 11.0, 7.3 \) Hz, 1H), 1.88–1.81 (m, 1H), 1.78–1.65 (m, 1H), 1.61–1.46 (m, 4H), 1.10 (dq, \( J = 14.5, 7.5 \) Hz, 1H), 0.87 (t, \( J = 7.6 \) Hz, 3H); \( ^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CD\(_3\)OD) \( \delta 138.1, 135.9, 128.4, 121.6, 119.7, 118.2, 111.5, 111.2, 57.5, 46.8, 35.8, 35.5, 30.7, 24.7, 22.7, 20.9, 7.9; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3193, 3052, 2927, 2855, 1678, 1622, 1571, 1564, 1430, 1200, 1183, 1134, 743 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (ESI/APCI) \( m/z \) calc’d for C\(_{17}\)H\(_{23}\)N\(_2\) [M+H]\(^+\): 255.1856, found 255.1860.

**Trans-fused tetracycle 208:** To a solution of \( \delta \)-lactam 176 (39 mg, 0.144 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (4.8 mL) were added 2-chloropyridine (22 \( \mu\)L, 0.229 mmol, 1.6 equiv) and triflic anhydride (34 \( \mu\)L, 0.202 mmol, 1.4 equiv) at \(-20^\circ\)C (dry ice in H\(_2\)O/MeOH (7:3) bath). After 15 min, the reaction mixture was removed from the cooling bath and stirring continued for a further 15 min. At this time, the reaction mixture was cooled back to \(-20^\circ\)C and a solution of NaBH\(_4\) (27 mg, 0.714 mmol, 5.0 equiv) in MeOH (5 mL) was added dropwise over a period of two minutes. The reaction was diluted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO\(_3\) (10 mL). The biphasic mixture was poured into H\(_2\)O (25 mL) and extracted with CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\) (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), filtered and concentrated. Flash column
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chromatography (SiO\textsubscript{2}, 2% Et\textsubscript{3}N in EtOAc) afforded trans-fused tetracycle 208 (23.2 mg, 63% yield) as a faintly pink amorphous solid: R\textsubscript{f} = 0.22 (9:1 CH\textsubscript{2}Cl\textsubscript{2}:MeOH eluent); [\(\alpha\)]\textsubscript{D}\textsuperscript{25} +110.9 (c 0.34, CH\textsubscript{3}OH); \(^1\)H NMR (400 MHz, CD\textsubscript{3}OD) \(\delta\) 7.70 (dt, \(J = 7.8, 1.2\) Hz, 1H), 7.32–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.05 (ddd, \(J = 8.1, 7.1, 1.3\) Hz, 1H), 6.99 (ddd, \(J = 8.2, 7.1, 1.3\) Hz, 1H), 4.19 (app t, \(J = 1.8\) Hz, 1H), 3.47–3.37 (m, 1H), 3.09 (td, \(J = 13.3, 4.3\) Hz, 1H), 2.84–2.66 (m, 2H), 1.99–1.82 (m, 3H), 1.73–1.64 (m, 1H), 1.61–1.45 (m, 2H), 1.43–1.17 (m, 3H), 0.88 (t, \(J = 7.5\) Hz, 3H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (101 MHz, CD\textsubscript{3}OD) \(\delta\) 137.9, 136.2, 126.7, 121.7, 120.1, 119.2, 112.1, 106.1, 64.5, 47.1, 37.3, 32.1, 32.0, 20.7, 20.3, 17.7, 7.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3397, 3156, 3051, 2923, 2852, 1578, 1463, 1430, 1375, 1247, 1096, 875, 738 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (ESI/APCI) \(m/z\) calc’d for C\textsubscript{17}H\textsubscript{23}N\textsubscript{2} [M+H]\(^+\): 255.1856, found 255.1855.

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{Allyl} \quad 7-(2-\text{(benzyloxy)ethyl})-6-\text{oxo}-6,7,8,9-\text{tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indole-7-carboxylate (172h)}}: & \quad \text{To a solution of } \beta\text{-amidoester 179 (727 mg, 2.70 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in DMF (9 mL) were added K}_2CO_3 (522 mg, 3.78 mmol, 1.4 equiv) and iodide 196 (990 mg, 3.78 mmol, 1.4 equiv) at 23 °C with stirring. The reaction mixture was placed in a pre-heated 50 °C oil bath. After 4 h, starting material was completely consumed as determined by TLC analysis. Saturated aqueous NH\textsubscript{4}Cl (50 mL) was added, followed by extraction with EtOAc (3 x 100 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H\textsubscript{2}O (50 mL), brine (50 mL), dried over Na\textsubscript{2}SO\textsubscript{4}, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO\textsubscript{2}, 25% Et\textsubscript{2}O in hexanes) afforded quaternary \(\beta\)-amidoester 172h}
\end{align*}
\]
(903 mg, 83% yield) as a clear colorless oil: \( R_f = 0.32 \) (7:3 hexanes:Et\(_2\)O eluent); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.49–8.43 (m, 1H), 7.48–7.44 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.22 (m, 2H), 7.23–7.18 (m, 5H), 6.31 (dt, \( J = 1.8, 0.9 \) Hz, 1H), 5.81 (ddt, \( J = 17.2, 10.5, 5.6 \) Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dq, \( J = 17.2, 1.5 \) Hz, 1H), 5.16 (dq, \( J = 10.5, 1.3 \) Hz, 1H), 4.64–4.56 (m, 2H), 4.46 (d, \( J = 11.8 \) Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, \( J = 11.8 \) Hz, 1H), 3.74 (t, \( J = 6.3 \) Hz, 2H), 3.07 (dtd, \( J = 16.7, 4.7, 1.1 \) Hz, 1H), 2.96 (dddd, \( J = 16.6, 11.7, 4.6, 1.8 \) Hz, 1H), 2.59–2.49 (m, 2H), 2.41 (dt, \( J = 14.3, 6.1 \) Hz, 1H), 2.27 (dddd, \( J = 13.5, 11.8, 4.7 \) Hz, 1H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 171.2, 167.9, 138.2, 137.2, 135.4, 131.4, 130.2, 128.4, 127.64, 127.62, 124.30, 124.28, 119.9, 118.9, 116.8, 105.3, 73.1, 66.8, 66.4, 55.3, 34.7, 30.3, 20.9; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3066, 3032, 2930, 2855, 1728, 1701, 1597, 1577, 1451, 1353, 1333, 1301, 1171, 1093, 1026, 973, 798, 733, 695 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (ESI/APCI) \( m/z \) calc’d for C\(_{25}\)H\(_{26}\)NO\(_4\) [M+H]\(^+\): 404.1856, found 404.1865.

(S)-7-Allyl-7-(2-(benzylloxy)ethyl)-8,9-dihydropyrido[1,2-a]indol-6(7H)-one (165h):

A flame-dried 100 mL Schlenk Flask was charged with Pd\(_2\)(pmdba)\(_3\) (56 mg, 51.1 \( \mu \)mol, 0.05 equiv), (S)-(CF\(_3\))\(_3\)-t-BuPHOX (76, 77 mg, 0.13 mmol, 0.125 equiv), and a magnetic stirring bar in a \( \text{N}_2 \)-filled glove box. The flask was then charged with TBME (28 mL) and stirred at 23 \(^\circ\)C for 30 minutes, generating a dark purple solution. To the preformed catalyst solution was added a solution of 172h (417 mg, 1.03 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in TBME (3 mL, including washings). The flask was sealed, removed from the glovebox, and
placed in a preheated 60 °C oil bath with stirring. Full consumption of starting material was achieved after 8 h, as determined by TLC analysis. The crude reaction mixture was stripped onto silica gel, and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 12% Et$_2$O → 25% Et$_2$O in hexanes) to afford α-quaternary DHPI 165h (305 mg, 82% yield) as a faintly yellow oil: R$_f$ = 0.5 (7:3 hexanes:Et$_2$O eluent); 94% ee, [$\alpha$]$_D^{25}$ +22.6 (c 1.2, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.49–8.46 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.46 (m, 1H), 7.30–7.25 (m, 2H), 7.25–7.20 (m, 5H), 6.30 (q, J = 1.3 Hz, 1H), 5.82 (ddt, J = 16.0, 11.2, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.15 (t, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 5.14–5.11 (m, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 4.43 (d, J = 11.8 Hz, 1H), 3.69 (dt, J = 9.6, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.62 (ddd, J = 9.6, 7.2, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 3.05 (ddd, J = 7.5, 5.9, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 2.66 (ddt, J = 13.9, 7.0, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 2.49–2.42 (m, 1H), 2.29 (dt, J = 14.2, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 2.12–2.03 (m, 2H), 1.99 (ddd, J = 14.2, 6.9, 5.7 Hz, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 173.5, 138.3, 137.7, 135.3, 133.2, 130.2, 128.4, 127.62, 127.59, 124.02, 123.96, 119.8, 119.3, 116.7, 104.7, 73.2, 66.7, 45.6, 40.9, 35.4, 29.5, 19.9; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3062, 3028, 2930, 2856, 1693, 1639, 1595, 1574, 1451, 1433, 1355, 1299, 1181, 1097, 1026, 1001, 915, 797, 733, 695 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calc’d for C$_{24}$H$_{28}$NO$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 360.1958, found 360.1962; SFC conditions: 15% i-PrOH, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralcel OD-H column, λ = 210 nm, $t_R$ (min): major = 8.83, minor = 9.71.

(4aR,11cR)-4a-(2-(Benzyloxy)ethyl)-2,3,4,4a,5,6,7,11c-octahydro-1H-pyrido[3,2-c]carbazole (210): A flame-dried round bottom flask was charged with α-quaternary DHPI 165h (98 mg, 0.273 mmol, 1.0 equiv), THF (1.4 mL), and a magnetic stirring bar
in a N₂-filled glovebox. To this solution was added bis(cyclopentadienyl) zirconium chloride hydride (84 mg, 0.325 mmol, 1.2 equiv), and the mixture was stirred at 23 °C for 30 min. A second portion of bis(cyclopentadienyl) zirconium chloride hydride (14 mg, 54 µmol, 0.2 equiv) was added, and the reaction mixture was stirred for an additional 30 min at which point a brown solution was observed. Hydroxylamine-O-sulfonic acid (46 mg, 0.406 mmol, 1.5 equiv) was added, the vial was sealed and removed from the glovebox, and stirring was resumed at 23 °C in a fume hood for an additional 10 min. The reaction mixture was then cooled to 0 °C and LiAlH₄ (0.82 mL, 1.0 M in THF, 0.82 mmol, 3.0 equiv) was added over five minutes. The reaction was stirred at 0 °C for 15 minutes before careful quenching with H₂O (2.2 mL) and AcOH (6.6 mL). Stirring was continued at 23 °C for 12h, at which point complete equilibration to the desired Pictet–Spengler product 210 was observed by LCMS. The mixture was basified with 2N NaOH until pH > 12, and was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 75 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated to afford crude cis-fused tetracycle 210 (96 mg), which was carried on without further purification.

An analytical sample of 210 was obtained after flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 2% Et₃N in EtOAc): off-white foam; Rᵣ = 0.45 (19:1 EtOAc:Et₃N eluent); [α]ₐ D²⁵ – 23.1 (c 0.22, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.94 (br s, 1H), 7.56 (d, J = 7.3 Hz, 1H), 7.35–7.24 (m, 6H), 7.14–7.01 (m, 2H), 4.45 (s, 2H), 3.75 (s, 1H), 3.61–3.54 (m, 2H), 3.01 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 2.80–2.72 (m, 3H), 2.36 (app q, J = 10.2 Hz, 1H), 1.85–1.75 (m, 2H), 1.65–1.43 (m, 6H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.7, 136.3, 134.1, 128.5, 127.60, 127.57, 127.5, 121.0, 119.3, 117.6, 112.1, 110.6, 73.0, 66.9, 56.7, 46.3, 36.7, 35.1, 34.4, 25.4, 22.8, 20.3; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3401, 3295, 3147, 3057, 3030,
Ethanolamine 209: To a solution of crude cis-fused tetracycle 210 (96 mg, 0.266 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in EtOH (8.9 mL) were added 2-bromoethanol (0.15 mL, 2.11 mmol, 8.0 equiv), K₂CO₃ (295 mg, 2.11 mmol, 8.0 equiv) and a magnetic stirring bar. The suspension was heated to 80 °C and stirred for 4 h, at which point full consumption of starting material was observed by TLC analysis. The suspension was concentrated to dryness, partitioned between H₂O (75 mL) and EtOAc (75 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (2 x 75 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (50 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 1% Et₃N in EtOAc) gave ethanolamine 209 (68 mg, 62% yield in two steps from 165h) as tan foam: Rᵣ = 0.5 (19:1 EtOAc:Et₃N eluent); [α]D₂₅ +17.8 (c 1.28, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.90 (br s, 1H), 7.42–7.38 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.28 (m, 2H), 7.27–7.22 (m, 4H), 7.12–7.05 (m, 2H), 4.40 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 4.37 (d, J = 11.9 Hz, 1H), 3.56–3.42 (m, 3H), 3.24 (s, 1H), 3.17–3.07 (m, 3H), 2.87–2.72 (m, 3H), 2.26–2.17 (m, 2H), 1.89–1.74 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.51 (m, 3H), 1.48–1.41 (m, 1H), 1.30 (ddd, J = 14.1, 8.3, 5.8 Hz, 1H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 138.6, 136.2, 135.4, 129.9, 128.5, 127.62, 127.57, 121.1, 119.6, 117.8, 110.6, 110.5, 73.0, 67.0, 63.2, 58.0, 54.2, 52.3, 36.84, 36.82, 35.8, 25.2, 22.1, 20.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3406, 3212, 3178, 3107, 3060, 3031, 2943, 2871, 1619, 1584, 1496, 1452, 1366, 1329, 1305, 1246, 1187, 1104, 1075, 1038, 983, 903, 870, 741,
697 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calcd for C₂₅H₃₃N₂O₂ [M+H]⁺: 405.2537, found 405.2541.

**O-Benzyl Limaspermidine (212):** To a solution of primary alcohol 209 (64 mg, 158 µmol, 1.0 equiv) and N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 36 µL, 206 µmol, 1.3 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (3.1 mL) was added methanesulfonyl chloride (MsCl, 12.5 µL, 161 µmol, 1.02 equiv) dropwise at −15 °C (ice/MeOH bath). After stirring at −15 °C for 45 min, KOt-Bu (0.79 mL, 0.5 M in THF, 0.395 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was added and the reaction mixture was allowed to warm to 0 °C over a period of 2 h. The reaction mixture was quenched with brine (25 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (5 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. The crude residue was dissolved in EtOH (4.8 mL) and the resulting solution cooled to 0 °C. NaBH₄ (30 mg, 0.79 mmol, 5.0 equiv) was added in three equal portions over 10 min. After stirring at 0 °C for 15 additional min, the reaction mixture was removed from the ice bath and stirring was continued for a further 3 h. Sodium citrate dihydrate (233 mg, 0.79 mmol, 5.0 equiv) and H₂O (5 mL) were added, and the mixture was stirred at 23 °C for 30 min. The reaction mixture was partitioned between H₂O (20 mL) and EtOAc (20 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 25 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 8% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) gave O-benzyl limaspermidine (212, 44.6 mg, 73% yield) as faint yellow oil: Rf = 0.22 (19:1 CH₂Cl₂:MeOH eluent); [α]D²⁵ +10.0 (c 0.44, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.30
(dd, J = 8.0, 6.5 Hz, 2H), 7.27–7.23 (m, 1H), 7.22–7.19 (m, 2H), 7.08 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 1H), 7.02 (td, J = 7.6, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 6.74 (td, J = 7.3, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, J = 7.7 Hz, 1H), 4.36 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 4.32 (d, J = 12.0 Hz, 1H), 3.51 (dd, J = 11.0, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.44 (ddd, J = 9.6, 8.1, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.40–3.35 (m, 1H), 3.15–3.10 (m, 1H), 3.05 (d, J = 11.0 Hz, 1H), 2.35–2.22 (m, 2H), 2.27 (s, 1H), 2.08–1.93 (m, 1H), 1.86 (ddd, J = 14.5, 8.3, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 1.80–1.70 (m, 1H), 1.66 (ddt, J = 13.0, 6.4, 3.1 Hz, 2H), 1.54–1.42 (m, 3H), 1.31–1.19 (m, 2H), 1.08–1.03 (m, 1H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 149.6, 138.6, 135.4, 128.4, 127.7, 127.5, 127.4, 123.0, 119.4, 110.6, 72.8, 71.0, 66.2, 65.6, 53.9, 53.6, 53.0, 38.7, 36.9, 35.6, 35.5, 28.4, 24.4, 21.9; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3361, 3027, 2928, 2857, 2779, 2722, 1606, 1481, 1462, 1363, 1332, 1259, 1176, 1095, 1026, 740, 697 cm−1; HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calc’d for C26H33N2O [M+H]+: 389.2587, found 389.2592.

(+)-Limaspermidine (6): To a solution of O-benzyl limaspermidine (212, 21 mg, 54 µmol, 1.0 equiv) in EtSH (1.8 mL) was added BF3•Et2O (133 µL, 1.07 mmol, 20 equiv) at 0 °C. After stirring at 0 °C for 30 min, the reaction mixture was transferred to a preheated 30 °C oil bath and stirred for an additional 4 h. After cooling to 23 °C and quenching with saturated aqueous NHCO3 (5 mL) and H2O (5 mL), the mixture was stirred for an additional 2 h, then extracted with CH2Cl2 (3 x 20 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na2SO4, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO2, 8% MeOH in CH2Cl2) furnished (+)-limaspermidine (6, 13.5 mg,
84% yield) as an off-white amorphous solid: \( R_f = 0.27 \) (9:1 CH\(_2\)Cl\(_2\):MeOH eluent); \([\alpha]_D^{25}\) +22.6 (c 0.17, CHCl\(_3\)); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 7.08 (dd, \( J = 7.4, 1.2 \) Hz, 1H), 7.01 (td, \( J = 7.6, 1.3 \) Hz, 1H), 6.73 (td, \( J = 7.4, 1.0 \) Hz, 1H), 6.64 (d, \( J = 7.7 \) Hz, 1H), 3.63 (td, \( J = 10.0, 5.4 \) Hz, 1H), 3.58–3.48 (m, 2H), 3.16–3.10 (m, 1H), 3.04 (app dt, \( J = 10.9, 2.2 \) 1H), 2.34–2.22 (m, 3H), 2.06 (td, \( J = 13.8, 3.5 \) Hz, 1H), 1.99 (ddd, \( J = 12.4, 10.9, 2.9 \) Hz, 1H), 1.81–1.67 (m, 3H), 1.65 (d, \( J = 13.5 \) Hz, 1H), 1.54–1.44 (m, 3H), 1.27 (td, \( J = 13.4, 4.6 \) Hz, 1H), 1.19 (ddd, \( J = 14.2, 9.3, 5.4 \) Hz, 1H), 1.04 (dd, \( J = 13.7, 3.8 \) Hz, 1H), 0.92 (br s, 1H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 149.6, 135.4, 127.5, 122.9, 119.3, 110.6, 70.8, 65.5, 58.8, 53.9, 53.6, 53.0, 40.6, 38.7, 35.62, 35.55, 28.4, 24.4, 21.9; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3308, 3149, 2930, 2858, 2816, 2793, 1607, 1466, 1320, 1256, 1216, 1166, 1041, 1015, 900, 749 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (ESI/APCI) \( m/z \) calc’d for C\(_{19}\)H\(_{27}\)N\(_2\)O [M+H]\(^+\): 299.2118, found 299.2114.

**Allyl 7-(3-methoxy-3-oxopropyl)-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-\(\alpha\)]indole-7-carboxylate (172i):** To a solution of \( \beta \)-amidoester 179 (530 mg, 1.96 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in MeCN (13.1 mL) were added methyl acrylate (0.36 mL, 3.92 mmol, 2.0 equiv) and DBU (15 \( \mu \)L, 98 \( \mu \)mol, 0.05 equiv) at 23 \( ^\circ \)C with stirring. After 90 min, starting material was completely consumed as determined by TLC analysis. Saturated aqueous NH\(_4\)Cl (100 mL) was added, followed by extraction with EtOAc (3 x 150 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H\(_2\)O (100 mL), brine (100 mL), then dried over Na\(_2\)SO\(_4\), filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO\(_2\), 25% acetone in hexanes)
afforded quaternary β-amidoester 172i (670 mg, 96% yield) as a light yellow oil: \( R_f = 0.33 \) (3:1 hexanes:acetone eluent); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 8.47–8.44 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.45 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.24 (m, 2H), 6.32 (dt, \( J = 1.7, 0.9 \) Hz, 1H), 5.83 (ddt, \( J = 17.2, 10.4, 5.7 \) Hz, 1H), 5.24 (dq, \( J = 17.2, 1.6 \) Hz, 1H), 5.19 (dq, \( J = 10.5, 1.3 \) Hz, 1H), 4.65 (dt, \( J = 5.7, 1.4 \) Hz, 2H), 3.67 (s, 3H), 3.09 (dtd, \( J = 16.8, 4.9, 1.1 \) Hz, 1H), 2.96 (dddd, \( J = 16.7, 11.5, 4.8, 1.8 \) Hz, 1H), 2.68 (ddd, \( J = 15.8, 9.3, 6.5 \) Hz, 1H), 2.55–2.47 (m, 2H), 2.44 (dd, \( J = 10.7, 5.6, 3.9 \) Hz, 2H), 2.13 (ddd, \( J = 13.4, 11.4, 4.7 \) Hz, 1H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \( \delta \) 173.4, 170.9, 167.5, 136.8, 135.3, 131.2, 130.1, 124.44, 124.42, 120.0, 119.2, 116.8, 105.6, 66.5, 55.6, 52.0, 30.6, 30.0, 29.9, 20.8; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2951, 2854, 1738, 1704, 1600, 1577, 1455, 1375, 1357, 1315, 1227, 1176, 1087, 1034, 989, 935, 802, 755 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (ESI/APCI) \( m/z \) calc’d for C\(_{20}\)H\(_{22}\)NO\(_5\) [M+H]\(^+\): 356.1492, found 356.1498.

Methyl \((R)-3-(7-allyl-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indol-7-yl)propanoate\) (165i): A flame-dried 250 mL Schlenk Flask was charged with Pd\(_2\)(pmdba)\(_3\) (90 mg, 82.1 \( \mu \)mol, 0.05 equiv), (S)-(CF\(_3\))\(_3\)-t-BuPHOX (76, 120 mg, 0.202 mmol, 0.125 equiv), and a magnetic stirring bar in a N\(_2\)-filled glove box. The flask was then charged with TBME (42 mL) and stirred at 23 °C for 30 minutes, generating a dark purple solution. To the preformed catalyst solution was added a solution of 172i (580 mg, 1.63 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in TBME (7 mL, including washings). The flask was sealed, removed from the glovebox,
and placed in a preheated 60 °C oil bath with stirring. Full consumption of starting material was achieved after 12 h, as determined by TLC analysis. The crude reaction mixture was stripped onto silica gel, and purified by flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 25% Et$_2$O in hexanes) to afford α-quaternary DHPI 165i (456 mg, 90% yield) as a yellow oil: $R_f = 0.29$ (7:3 hexanes:Et$_2$O eluent); 92% ee, $[\alpha]_D^{25} = −4.2$ (c 0.89, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.47–8.43 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.44 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.22 (m, 2H), 6.30 (td, $J = 1.4, 0.7$ Hz, 1H), 5.85–5.75 (m, 1H), 5.18–5.16 (m, 1H), 5.15–5.14 (m, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.07 (td, $J = 6.7, 1.4$ Hz, 2H), 2.63 (ddt, $J = 14.1, 7.1, 1.2$ Hz, 1H), 2.53–2.39 (m, 3H), 2.18–2.03 (m, 3H), 2.01–1.91 (m, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 173.8, 172.9, 137.4, 135.3, 132.8, 130.2, 124.14, 124.11, 119.9, 119.6, 116.7, 105.0, 51.9, 45.8, 40.2, 30.5, 29.6, 29.2, 19.7; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3459, 3376, 3077, 2948, 2865, 1731, 1694, 1639, 1597, 1575, 1452, 1358, 1310, 1258, 1176, 1101, 1031, 996, 920, 879, 800, 757, 644 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI/APCI) $m/z$ calc’d for C$_{19}$H$_{22}$NO$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 312.1594, found 312.1584; SFC conditions: 7% i-PrOH, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralpak AD-H column, $\lambda = 210$ nm, $t_R$ (min): major = 15.71, minor = 14.34.

**Primary alcohol 215:** To a solution of DHPI 165i (1.2 g, 3.85 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (38 mL) were added RhCl(PPh$_3$)$_3$ (176 mg, 0.19 mmol, 0.05 equiv) and catecholborane (7.6 mL, 1.0 M in THF, 7.6 mmol, 2.0 equiv) sequentially at 23 °C. After stirring at 23 °C for 30 min, H$_2$O (10 mL) and NaBO$_3$·4H$_2$O (2.9 g, 18.8 mmol, 5.0 equiv). The reaction mixture was transferred to a pre-heated 85 °C oil bath and stirred for 15 min.
After cooling to 23 °C, the resulting suspension was filtered. The filter cake was washed with THF, and the filtrate was concentrated to dryness. The residue was partitioned between CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL) and H₂O (40 mL), and the aqueous layer was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (40 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with 1N aq. NaOH (3 x 40 mL) and brine (40 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 20% Et₂O in CH₂Cl₂) afforded alcohol 215 as a yellow oil (1.09 g, 86%): Rₖ = 0.21 (4:1 CH₂Cl₂:Et₂O eluent); [α]_D²⁵ +10.9 (c 1.23, CHCl₃); 'H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.44–8.41 (m, 1H), 7.47–7.44 (m, 1H), 7.29–7.21 (m, 2H), 6.29 (app q, J = 1.1 Hz, 1H), 3.66–3.61 (m, 2H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.07 (ddt, J = 7.2, 5.8, 1.3, 2H), 2.52–2.36 (m, 2H), 2.17–2.02 (m, 3H), 2.00–1.88 (m, 2H), 1.77–1.69 (m, 2H), 1.66–1.59 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.9, 173.4, 137.3, 135.2, 130.2, 124.13, 124.10, 119.9, 116.7, 105.1, 62.8, 51.9, 45.6, 31.6, 30.6, 29.7, 29.2, 27.1, 19.7; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3449, 2948, 2869, 1736, 1695, 1598, 1575, 1454, 1379, 1356, 1335, 1311, 1181, 1056, 1024, 819, 802, 758 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calc’d for C₁₉H₂₄NO₄ [M+H]⁺: 330.1700, found 330.1705.

Azide 216: To a solution of alcohol 215 (148 mg, 0.45 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (2.3 mL) were added PPh₃ (147 mg, 0.56 mmol, 1.25 equiv), DPPA (0.11 mL, 0.52 mmol, 1.15 equiv), and DTBAD (129 mg, 0.56 mmol, 1.25 equiv) sequentially at 0 °C. After stirring for 15 min, the reaction mixture was removed from the cooling bath and stirred for an additional 30 min at 23 °C. 2N aq. HCl (1 mL) was added, the mixture was poured into
H$_2$O (20 mL), and was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 30 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 20% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded azide 216 as a yellow oil (132 mg, 83%): $R_f = 0.33$ (3:1 hexanes:EtOAc eluent); [$\alpha]_D^{25} =$ –65.7 (c 1.0, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$)  $\delta$ 8.45–8.41 (m, 1H), 7.49–7.43 (m, 1H), 7.31–7.21 (m, 2H), 6.31 (app q, $J = 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 3.65 (s, 3H), 3.32 (td, $J = 6.4$, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 3.09 (dddd, $J = 7.2$, 5.7, 4.4, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 2.50–2.37 (m, 2H), 2.18–2.06 (m, 2H), 2.06–1.96 (m, 2H), 1.95–1.84 (m, 1H), 1.77–1.61 (m, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 173.7, 172.8, 137.1, 135.3, 130.2, 124.23, 124.19, 119.9, 116.7, 105.2, 51.8, 45.6, 32.7, 30.5, 29.8, 29.1, 23.7, 19.7; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2949, 2868, 2096, 1736, 1694, 1597, 1575, 1454, 1380, 1356, 1336, 1312, 1262, 1179, 1027, 1000, 819, 803, 758 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI/APCI) $m/z$ calc’d for C$_{19}$H$_{23}$N$_4$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 355.1765, found 355.1767.

Methyl (R)-3-(3-(2-(1H-indol-2-yl)ethyl)-2-oxopiperidin-3-yl)propanoate (214): To a solution of azide 216 (700 mg, 1.97 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (20 mL) and H$_2$O (4 mL) was added polymer-bound PPh$_3$ (1.31 g, ~3 mmol/g loading, 3.94 mmol, 2.0 equiv) in one portion. The reaction mixture was placed in a pre-heated oil bath and stirred at 65 °C for 4 h. After cooling to 23 °C, the reaction mixture filtered, washing with EtOAc, and the filtrate was concentrated to dryness. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 4% MeOH in CH$_2$Cl$_2$) afforded $\delta$-lactam 214 as a light yellow foam (525 mg, 81%): $R_f = 0.27$ (19:1 CH$_2$Cl$_2$:MeOH eluent); [$\alpha]_D^{25} =$ –21.4 (c 0.4, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.37...
(br s, 1H), 7.50 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H), 7.30–7.27 (m, 1H), 7.10 (dd, $J = 8.1, 7.1, 1.3$ Hz, 1H), 7.04 (dd, $J = 8.1, 7.1, 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 6.21 (s, 1H), 5.85 (br s, 1H), 3.66 (s, 3H), 3.32 (td, $J = 5.7, 2.1$ Hz, 2H), 2.86 (dd, $J = 14.6, 11.1, 5.8$ Hz, 1H), 2.69 (dd, $J = 15.0, 11.0, 4.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.42 (h, $J = 8.5$ Hz, 2H), 2.16 (dd, $J = 13.7, 11.2, 4.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.01 (t, $J = 8.2$ Hz, 2H), 1.91–1.80 (m, 4H), 1.77–1.68 (m, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 176.0, 174.1, 139.5, 136.2, 128.7, 121.1, 119.8, 119.5, 110.7, 99.4, 51.9, 44.3, 42.8, 37.5, 33.2, 30.2, 29.4, 23.6, 19.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3287, 3054, 2949, 2870, 1731, 1645, 1551, 1489, 1456, 1417, 1289, 1173, 1094, 1061, 1012, 910, 782, 748 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI/APCI) $m/z$ calc’d for $C_{19}H_{25}N_2O_3 [M+H]^+$: 329.1860, found 329.1868.

**Trans-fused tetracycle 213:** To a solution of $\delta$-lactam 214 (111 mg, 0.338 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (8.4 mL) were added 2-chloropyridine (39 µL, 0.405 mmol, 1.2 equiv) and triflic anhydride (63 µL, 0.372 mmol, 1.1 equiv) at −20 °C (dry ice in H$_2$O/MeOH (7:3) bath). After 15 min, the reaction mixture was removed from the cooling bath and stirring continued for a further 15 min. At this time, the reaction mixture was cooled back to −20 °C and a solution of NaBH$_4$ (64 mg, 1.69 mmol, 5.0 equiv) in MeOH (8.4 mL) was added dropwise over a period of two minutes. The reaction was diluted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ and quenched by the addition of saturated aqueous NaHCO$_3$ (10 mL). The biphasic mixture was poured into H$_2$O (25 mL) and extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 x 50 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 1% MeOH $\rightarrow$ 8% MeOH in CH$_2$Cl$_2$) afforded **trans-fused**
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Tetracycle 213 (89 mg, 84% yield) as a yellow foam: $R_f = 0.22$ (9:1 CH$_2$Cl$_2$:MeOH eluent); $[\alpha]_D^{25} +21.3$ (c 0.5, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.89 (d, $J = 7.9$ Hz, 1H), 7.82 (br s, 1H), 7.25 (d, $J = 7.2$ Hz, 1H), 7.07 (ddd, $J = 8.1$, 7.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.01 (ddd, $J = 8.2$, 7.1, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 3.96 (app t, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.61 (s, 3H), 3.33–3.26 (m, 1H), 2.91 (td, $J = 12.9$, 3.8 Hz, 1H), 2.75 (ddd, $J = 20.2$, 11.8, 6.2, 3.1 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (ddt, $J = 16.7$, 6.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (ddd, $J = 14.8$, 12.1, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (ddd, $J = 14.8$, 11.9, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.98 (td, $J = 13.4$, 12.7, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 1.78–1.69 (m, 3H), 1.62–1.42 (m, 3H), 1.35–1.23 (m, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 174.9, 136.1, 133.1, 127.2, 120.9, 120.5, 119.2, 110.8, 110.4, 64.1, 51.8, 47.2, 35.5, 33.6, 32.0, 29.0, 22.5, 20.6, 20.3; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3395, 3177, 3054, 2926, 2856, 1731, 1619, 1579, 1465, 1435, 1317, 1250, 1198, 1174, 1142, 1109, 1014, 875, 856, 739, 693 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI/APCI) $m/z$ calc’d for C$_{19}$H$_{25}$N$_2$O$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 313.1911, found 313.1905.

Pentacyclic lactam 218: In an N$_2$-filled glovebox, an oven-dried scintillation vial was charged with a magnetic stirring bar, cis-fused tetracycle 213 (56 mg, 0.179 mmol, 1.0 equiv), toluene (2.2 mL), THF (0.44 mL), and 1,5,7-triazabicyclo[4.4.0]dec-5-ene (TBD, 25 mg, 0.179 mmol, 1.0 equiv) at 23 °C. The vial was sealed and removed from the glovebox and placed in a pre-heated 80 °C heating block. After stirring for 5 h at 80 °C, the reaction mixture was cooled to 23 °C stripped onto silica gel. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 2% MeOH in CH$_2$Cl$_2$) afforded lactam 218 (32.5 mg, 65% yield) as a white amorphous solid: $R_f = 0.32$ (19:1 CH$_2$Cl$_2$:MeOH eluent); $[\alpha]_D^{25} -17.5$ (c 0.38,
**α-Chloroacetamide 220:** To a solution of tetracycle 213 (140 mg, 0.45 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and Et₃N (102 µL, 0.73 mmol, 1.6 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (5 mL) was added chloroacetyl chloride (36 µL, 0.45 mmol, 1.0 equiv) dropwise at 0 °C. After stirring for 15 min at 0 °C, the reaction was quenched with sat. aq. NH₄Cl (10 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated to afford α-chloroacetamide 220 (170 mg, Rᶠ = 0.41 in 9:1 CH₂Cl₂:MeOH eluent), which was immediately advanced without further purification.
Indolenine 221: To a solution of crude α-chloroacetamide 220 (170 mg) in acetone (3 mL) was added sodium iodide (670 mg, 4.5 mmol, 10 equiv) at 23 °C. The reaction was heated to 55 °C for 2 h in the dark. After cooling to 23 °C, H₂O (5 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 5mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated to afford an α-iodoacetamide intermediate, which was immediately advanced without further purification.

To a solution of the crude α-iodoacetamide in THF (6 mL) was added AgOTf (226 mg, 0.88 mmol, 2.0 equiv) at 23 °C. The flask was covered with aluminum foil and the mixture was stirred for 2 h. The reaction was quenched with sat. aq. NH₄Cl (10 mL). The aqueous layer was separated and extracted with EtOAc (3 x 10 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine (10 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 2% MeOH in CH₂Cl₂) afforded indolenine 221 as a light yellow oil (96 mg, 61% yield): Rf = 0.39 (19:1 CH₂Cl₂:MeOH eluent); [α]D²⁵ +35.2 (c 0.5, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.57 (dt, J = 7.8, 0.9 Hz, 1H), 7.36 (td, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.31 (ddd, J = 7.5, 1.2, 0.6 Hz, 1H), 7.19 (td, J = 7.5, 1.1 Hz, 1H), 4.31 (dd, J = 13.5, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 3.71 (s, 3H), 3.19 (d, J = 17.0 Hz, 1H), 3.07–2.99 (m, 3H), 2.94 (s, 1H), 2.40 (dd, J = 17.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 2.31–2.26 (m, 3H), 1.99 (ddd, J = 13.9, 4.3, 2.9 Hz, 2H), 1.94–1.85 (m, 1H), 1.81 (ddd, J = 13.9, 4.8, 2.1 Hz, 1H), 1.62–1.54 (m, 1H), 1.23–1.13 (m, 2H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 182.6, 173.5, 172.0, 153.1, 146.0, 128.8, 126.4, 121.3, 120.5, 74.3, 57.1, 52.2, 40.1, 39.7, 39.3, 34.6,
3.11.3 COMPARISON OF SYNTHETIC (+)-LIMASPERMIDINE TO PUBLISHED DATA

Table 3.11.3.1. Comparison of Synthetic (+)-Limaspermidine (6) $^1\text{H}$ NMR Data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>This Work</th>
<th>Movassagi’s Report$^{25}$</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>$^1\text{H}$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$)</td>
<td>$^1\text{H}$ NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.08 (dd, $J = 7.4$, 1.2 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>7.08 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7.01 (td, $J = 7.6$, 1.3 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>7.01 (app td, $J = 7.6$, 1.3 Hz, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.73 (td, $J = 7.4$, 1.0 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>6.73 (app td, $J = 7.4$, 1.0 Hz, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6.64 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>6.64 (d, $J = 7.7$ Hz, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.63 (td, $J = 10.0$, 5.4 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>3.63 (td, $J = 10.0$, 5.5 Hz, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.58–3.48 (m, 2H)</td>
<td>3.58–3.47 (m, 2H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.16–3.10 (m, 1H)</td>
<td>3.17–3.08 (m, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.04 (app dt, $J = 10.9$, 2.2 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>3.05 (d, $J = 11.1$ Hz, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.34–2.22 (m, 3H)</td>
<td>2.37–2.17 (m, 3H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2.06 (td, $J = 13.8$, 3.5 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>2.16–1.90 (m, 2H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.99 (ddd, $J = 12.4$, 10.9, 2.9 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.81–1.67 (m, 3H)</td>
<td>1.87–1.58 (m, 5H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.65 (d, $J = 13.5$ Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>1.58–1.37 (m, 3H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.54–1.44 (m, 3H)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.27 (td, $J = 13.4$, 4.6 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>1.37–1.12 (m, 2H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.19 (ddd, $J = 14.2$, 9.3, 5.4 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.04 (dd, $J = 13.7$, 3.8 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>1.03 (d, $J = 13.7$ Hz, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>0.92 (br s, 1H)</td>
<td>0.89 (br s, 1H)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 3.11.3.2. Comparison of Synthetic (+)-Limaspermidine (6) $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR Data.

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th></th>
<th>This Report $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$)</th>
<th>Movassaghi’s Report $^{15}$ $^{13}\text{C}$ NMR (125 MHz, CDCl$_3$)</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>149.6</td>
<td>149.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>135.4</td>
<td>135.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>127.5</td>
<td>127.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>122.9</td>
<td>122.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>119.3</td>
<td>119.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>110.6</td>
<td>110.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>70.8</td>
<td>70.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>65.5</td>
<td>65.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>58.8</td>
<td>58.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53.9</td>
<td>53.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53.6</td>
<td>53.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>53.0</td>
<td>53.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>40.6</td>
<td>40.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>38.7</td>
<td>38.7</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35.62</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>35.55</td>
<td>35.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>28.4</td>
<td>28.4</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>24.4</td>
<td>24.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td></td>
<td>21.9</td>
<td>21.9</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
3.12 NOTES AND REFERENCES


8. For best results, (2-benzyloxy)ethyl iodide (196) should be stored in a freezer and used within two months of preparation.

9. Other debenzylation conditions such as Pd-catalyzed hydrogenolysis, Na-naphthalenide reduction, and BF₃•Et₂O in Me₂S were unsuccessful.


11. For Table 3.6.1 entry 1, a solvent swap was required following amide activation. For entries 2 and 3, a solution of NaBH₄ in MeOH was added directly to the reaction mixture at –15 °C. For details, see the experimental section.


13. Screening various combinations of base (Na₂CO₃, K₂CO₃, and Cs₂CO₃), solvent (acetone, MeOH, and MeCN), and electrophile (1-chloro-2-iodoethane, 2-bromoethanol, and TBS-protected 2-bromoethanol) resulted in no desired N-alkylation.

14. Chloroacetaldehyde was used as a 50% by weight solution in water. It is conceivable that the excess water present made iminium formation difficult.
15. We have yet to isolate alkyl chloride 219.


A5.1 INTRODUCTION

This section presents alternative syntheses of intermediates from Chapter 3, along with an unoptimized synthesis of a highly advanced pentacyclic intermediate toward (−)-kopsifoline G (227, Figure A5.1.1).1

Figure A5.1.1. Structure of (−)-Kopsifoline G (227)

A5.2 ALTERNATIVE ROUTES TO δ-LACTAM 214

Our initial synthesis of lactam 214 involved the Mitsunobu displacement of primary alcohol 215 with phthalimide to give 227 (Scheme A5.2.1A). Unfortunately, we found that despite multiple iterations of column chromatography, primary phthalimide 227 could not be rigorously purified. Hydrazinolysis of the impure material revealed the primary amine, and ensuing translactamization gave δ-lactam 214 in 58% yield over two steps. Alternatively, Mitsunobu displacement of alcohol 215 with 2-
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Nitrobenzenesulfonamide gave sulfonamide 228 (Scheme A5.2.1B). Treatment of sulfonamide 228 with thiophenol effected amine deprotection and concomitant translactamization to arrive at 214 in 70% yield over two steps. We noticed that the thiol-promoted deprotection/cyclization failed to reach full conversion on larger scale, even at elevated temperatures. Given these complications, we decided to move forward with an azide as a masked primary amine.

Scheme A5.2.1. Alternative Syntheses of Lactam 214

A. Via Phthalimide 228

B. Via Sulfonamide 229

A traditional mesylate formation/azide displacement protocol proceeded in 88% yield over two steps (Scheme A5.2.2). We examined several conditions for the reduction of azide 216, including hydrogenation and Staudinger reactions, but the aforementioned use of polymer-bound PPh₃ proved synthetically superior in this context.

Scheme A5.2.2. Alternative Synthesis and Reduction of Azide 216
A5.3 **ONE-POT ACYLATION/ANNULATION WITH OXALYL CHLORIDE**

In our efforts to synthesize complex polycyclic *Kopsia* alkaloids (e.g., 227), we found that N-acylation of tetracycle 213 could be achieved under mild conditions (*vide supra*). We thus postulated that 213 could react with oxalyl chloride to give an N-oxalyl piperidine intermediate (230), which would be poised to undergo a Friedel–Crafts-type acylation at C3 of the indole nucleus (Scheme A5.3.1). In the event, treatment of 213 with oxalyl chloride afforded what we believe to be hemiaminal 231 in 53% yield.²

*Scheme A5.3.1. A Pyrrolidine-Dione Annulation Toward (−)-Kopsiloline G (227)*

A5.4 **CONCLUSIONS**

Our investigations toward the enantioselective synthesis of complex *Kopsia* alkaloids revealed that the best method for introducing the piperidine nitrogen (N4)³ found in these targets is via an azide. Furthermore, while multiple conditions successfully promote azide reduction with concomitant cyclization, the best reagent for this transformation proved to be polymer-bound PPh₃. Lastly, we found that tetracycle 213 could react with oxalyl chloride in an N-acylation/Friedel–Crafts acylation cascade to
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give pyrrolidine-dione-containing \textbf{231}, which has been tentatively assigned by HRMS, IR, and 2D NMR data.
A5.5 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

A5.5.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Unless otherwise stated, reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere using dry, deoxygenated solvents. Solvents were dried by passage through an activated alumina column under argon. Reaction progress was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) or Agilent 1290 UHPLC-LCMS. TLC was performed using E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 precoated glass plates (0.25 mm) and visualized by UV fluorescence quenching, p-anisaldehyde, CAM, or KMnO₄ staining. Silicycle SiliaFlash® P60 Academic Silica gel (particle size 40–63 nm) was used for flash chromatography. Melting points were measured with BÜCHI Melting Point B-545. ¹H and ¹³C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova 500 (500 MHz and 126 MHz, respectively) and are reported in terms of chemical shift relative to CHCl₃ (δ 7.26 and δ 77.16, respectively). Data for ¹H NMR are reported as follows: chemical shift (δ ppm) (multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz), integration). Multiplicities are reported as follows: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, p = pentet, sept = septuplet, m = multiplet, br s = broad singlet, br d = broad doublet, br t = broad triplet, app = apparent. Data for ¹³C NMR are reported in terms of chemical shifts (δ ppm). IR spectra were obtained by use of a Perkin Elmer Spectrum BXII spectrometer using thin films deposited on NaCl plates and reported in frequency of absorption (cm⁻¹). Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter operating on the sodium D-line (589 nm), using a 100 mm path-length cell and are reported as: [α]D₅ (concentration in g/100 mL, solvent). High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained from the Caltech Mass Spectral Facility using a JEOL JMS-600H High Resolution Mass Spectrometer in fast atom bombardment
(FAB+) or electron ionization (EI+) mode, or Agilent 6200 Series TOF with an Agilent G1978A Multimode source in mixed ionization mode (MM: ESI/APCI).

Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Acros Organics, Strem, or Alfa Aesar and used as received unless otherwise stated.
Azide 216: To a solution of alcohol 215 (1.1 g, 3.33 mmol, 1.0 equiv) and Et₃N (1.5 mL, 10.76 mmol, 3.2 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (24 mL) was added methanesulfonyl chloride (MsCl, 0.28 mL, 3.62 mmol, 1.09 equiv) slowly at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 15 min, then was quenched with sat. aq. NaHCO₃ (10 mL). After stirring for an additional 15 min, the aqueous layer was separated and extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with brine, dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. The crude product was dissolved in DMF (24 mL), and NaN₃ (240 mg, 3.69 mmol, 1.1 equiv) was added. The suspension was stirred at 60 °C for 1 h, at which point complete consumption of starting material was determined by TLC analysis. The reaction mixture was diluted with H₂O (20 mL), and extracted with EtOAc (4 x 20 mL). The combined organic layers were washed with H₂O (2 x 20 mL) and brine (20 mL), dried over Na₂SO₄ and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 20% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded azide 216 as a yellow oil (1.04 g, 88% over two steps): Rₜ = 0.33 (3:1 hexanes:EtOAc eluent). Physical and spectral data matched those reported in Chapter 3.
Sulfonamide 229: To a solution of alcohol 215 (164 mg, 0.5 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in CH₂Cl₂ (10 mL) were added 2-nitrobenzenesulfonamide (306 mg, 1.5 mmol, 3.0 equiv), diphenyl-2-pyridylphosphine (263 mg, 1.0 mmol, 2.0 equiv) at 23 °C. Di-tert-butylazodicarboxylate (1 mL, 1.0 M in CH₂Cl₂, 2.0 equiv) was added over 30 min via syringe pump, and the resulting mixture was stirred at 23 °C for an additional 2 h. HCl (5 mL, 4.0 M in 1,4-dioxane) was added, and after stirring for 1 h, the reaction mixture was concentrated. The residue was dissolved in CH₂Cl₂ (30 mL) and washed with 4 M aq. HCl (2 x 20 mL). the organic layer was dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 20% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded sulfonamide 229 (216 mg, 85%) as a yellow foam: Rₜ = 0.29 (4:1 hexanes:EtOAc eluent); [α]₀^{25} -87.2 (c 1.1, CHCl₃); ¹H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.39–8.34 (m, 1H), 8.07 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.74 (dd, J = 7.7, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.66 (td, J = 7.6, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.60 (td, J = 7.7, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 7.48–7.44 (m, 1H), 7.28–7.24 (m, 2H), 6.30 (q, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.36 (t, J = 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.64 (s, 3H), 3.14 (qd, J = 6.4, 1.6 Hz, 2H), 3.05 (tdd, J = 7.1, 5.4, 1.5 Hz, 2H), 2.37 (dt, J = 10.1, 6.6 Hz, 2H), 2.12–1.90 (m, 4H), 1.86–1.79 (m, 1H), 1.68–1.58 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.6, 172.7, 148.1, 137.1, 135.2, 133.8, 133.7, 132.9, 131.1, 130.2, 125.5, 124.24, 124.20, 120.0, 116.7, 105.2, 52.0, 45.5, 44.2, 32.4, 30.5, 29.7, 29.0, 24.5, 19.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3332, 2949, 1731, 1692, 1596, 1539, 1453, 1440, 1357, 1341, 1312, 1166, 1078, 909, 853, 782, 759, 730, 654 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calc’d for C₂₅H₂₁N₄O₇S [M+NH₄]⁺: 531.1908, found 531.1915.
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Methyl (R)-3-(3-(2-(1H-indol-2-yl)ethyl)-2-oxopiperidin-3-yl)propanoate (214): To a solution of sulfonamide 229 (308 mg, 0.6 mmol, 1.0 equiv) in MeCN (10 mL) were added thiophenol (195 mg, 1.77 mmol, 3.0 equiv) and K$_2$CO$_3$ (325 mg, 2.4 mmol, 4.0 equiv) at 23 °C. The reaction mixture was placed in a pre-heated oil bath and stirred at 50 °C for 12 h. After cooling to 23 °C, the reaction mixture was concentrated to dryness. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 4% MeOH in CH$_2$Cl$_2$) afforded δ-lactam 214 as a light yellow foam (163 mg, 83%): R$_f$ = 0.27 (19:1 CH$_2$Cl$_2$:MeOH eluent). Physical and spectral data matched those reported in Chapter 3.

Hemiaminal 231: To a solution of tetracycle 213 (15 mg, 48 µmol, 1.0 equiv) in THF (1 mL) was added N,N-diisopropylethylamine (DIPEA, 14.4 µL, 106 µmol, 2.2 equiv) and oxalyl chloride (4.3 µL, 50 µmol, 1.05 equiv) at 0 °C. After 30 min, the reaction mixture was removed from the cooling bath and allowed to stir at 23 °C for an additional 2.5 h. At this time, full consumption of starting material was determined by TLC analysis.
Saturated aq. NaHCO$_3$ (5 mL) was added, and the mixture was extracted with EtOAc (3 x 5 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 2% MeOH in CH$_2$Cl$_2$) afforded hemiaminal $^{231}$ (9.8 mg, 53% yield) as a light orange amorphous solid; $R_f = 0.3$ (19:1 CH$_2$Cl$_2$:MeOH eluent); $[\alpha]_D^{25} +124.2$ (c 0.37, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.13 (td, $J = 7.7, 1.2$ Hz, 1H, C$_{10}$H), 7.03 (dd, $J = 7.6, 0.7$ Hz, 1H, C$_9$H), 6.78 (td, $J = 7.5, 1.0$ Hz, 1H, C$_{11}$H), 6.64 (ddd, $J = 7.9, 1.0, 0.5$ Hz, 1H, C$_{12}$H), 5.27 (s, 1H), 4.19 (dd, $J = 13.4, 6.1$ Hz, 1H, C$_3$H$_a$), 4.12 (s, 1H), 3.67 (s, 3H, C$_{23}$H$_3$), 3.65 (s, 1H, C$_{21}$H), 2.85–2.75 (m, 2H, C$_{16}$H$_a$, C$_3$H$_b$), 2.64 (dt, $J = 19.5, 9.5$ Hz, 1H, C$_{21}$H$_b$), 2.24 (ddd, $J = 9.1, 6.4, 4.0$ Hz, 2H, C$_{18}$H$_2$), 2.00 (ddd, $J = 14.7, 9.5, 1.3$ Hz, 1H, ), 1.95–1.90 (m, 1H), 1.89–1.80 (m, 3H), 1.66–1.60 (m, 1H), 1.48–1.39 (m, 1H), 1.38–1.30 (m, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 208.1 (C$_6$), 173.3 (C$_{22}$), 168.3 (C$_5$), 147.7 (C$_8$), 130.2 (C$_{10}$), 129.8 (C$_{13}$), 122.9 (C$_9$), 120.2 (C$_{11}$), 110.8 (C$_{12}$), 95.4 (C$_2$), 74.4 (C$_{23}$), 59.4 (C$_7$), 52.1 (C$_{21}$), 39.8 (C$_3$), 36.6 (C$_{20}$), 34.3 (C$_{16}$), 32.9, 28.9, 28.6 (C$_{18}$), 21.0 (C$_{19}$), 19.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3486, 3294, 3015, 2950, 2871, 1732, 1693, 1603, 1484, 1468, 1442, 1315, 1271, 1171, 1130, 1019, 752 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI/APCI) $m/z$ calc’d for C$_{21}$H$_{25}$N$_2$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 385.1758, found 385.1752.
A5.6 NOTES AND REFERENCES


2. This reaction has not been optimized. The structural assignment of 231 was tentatively assigned according to HRMS, IR and 2D NMR data. For details, see the experimental information. We cannot make a definitive claim regarding the stereochemistry of the hemiaminal.


5. Some preliminary $^1$H and $^{13}$C assignments for compound 231 have been made. See the inset for numbering.
APPENDIX 6

Synthetic Summary for Chapter 3:

Stereoselectivity in Indole-Iminium Cyclizations:

Total Synthesis of (+)-Limaspermidine, Formal Synthesis of (+)-Kopsihainanine A, and Progress Toward the Total Synthesis of (−)-Kopsinine and (−)-Kopsinilam

Scheme A6.1. Hydroamination/Reduction/Pictet–Spengler Cascade for the Synthesis of Cis-Fused Octahydroisoquinoline-Containing Building Blocks
Scheme A6.2. Hydroamination/Translactamization/Bischler–Napieralski Sequence for the Synthesis of Trans-Fused Octahydroisoquinoline-Containing Building Blocks

Scheme A6.3. Catalytic Enantioselective Total Synthesis of (+)-Limaspermidine (6)
Scheme A6.4. Catalytic Enantioselective Synthesis of (+)-Kopsihainanine A (22)
Scheme A6.5. Progress Toward the Total Syntheses of (−)-Kopsinine (20), (−)-Kopsinilam (199), and (−)-Kopsifoline G (227)

A. N-Alkylation Toward (−)-Kopsinine

XX → Na(OAc)₃BH chloroacetaldehyde DCE, 23 °C ~10% conversion

219 (tentative structure) → (−)-Kopsinine

B. N-Acylation Toward (−)-Kopsinilam

213 → Et₃N chloroacetyl chloride CH₂Cl₂, 0 °C → 23 °C

220 → 1. NaI (10 equiv) acetone, 45 °C
2. AgOTf, THF, 23 °C (61%, three steps)

221 → (−)-Kopsinilam

C. N-Acylation Toward (−)-Kopsifoline G

213 → DIPEA oxalyl chloride THF, 0 °C → 23 °C

(53% yield)

231 (after workup, tentative structure) → (−)-Kopsifoline G
Scheme A6.6. Comparative Synthetic Utility of Carbazolone and DHPI Pd-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation Products.

A. Shao's Synthesis of Tetracycle 114

\[
\begin{align*}
110a & \xrightarrow{\text{7 steps}} (60\% \text{ overall yield}) \xrightarrow{\text{3 steps}} (30\% \text{ overall yield}) \xrightarrow{1} (-)-\text{Aspidospermidine}
\end{align*}
\]

B. Our Synthesis of ent-114

\[
\begin{align*}
165c & \xrightarrow{\text{Cp}_2\text{Zr(H)}\text{Cl; } \text{H}_2\text{NOSO}_3\text{H; THF, 23 °C}} 205 \xrightarrow{\text{LIAI}_3, \text{THF, 0 °C; } \text{HCl, } \text{H}_2\text{O}/\text{MeOH, 50 °C}} \text{ent-114}
\end{align*}
\]
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Spectra Relevant to Chapter 3:

Stereoselectivity in Indole-Iminium Cyclizations:

Total Synthesis of (+)-Limaspermidine, Formal Synthesis of (+)-Kopsihainanine A, and Progress Toward the Total Synthesis of (−)-Kopsinine and (−)-Kopsinilam
Figure A7.1. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$OD) of compound ent-114.
Figure A7.2. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound **ent-114**.

Figure A7.3. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CD$_3$OD) of compound **ent-114**.
Figure A7.4. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CD$_3$OD) of compound 208.
Figure A7.5. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 208.

Figure A7.6. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CD$_3$OD) of compound 208.
Figure A7.7. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172h.
Figure A7.8. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 172h.

Figure A7.9. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172h.
Figure A7.10. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165h.
Figure A7.11. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 165h.

Figure A7.12. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165h.
Figure A7.13. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 210.
Figure A7.14. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 210.

Figure A7.15. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 210.
Figure A7.16. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 209.
Figure A7.17. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 209.

Figure A7.18. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 209.
Figure A7.19. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 212.
Figure A7.20. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 212.

Figure A7.21. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 212.
Figure A7.22. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of (+)-Limaspermidine (6).

(+)-Limaspermidine

$\text{NH}$ $\text{NH}$

$\text{HO}$
Figure A7.23. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of (+)-Limaspermidine (6).

Figure A7.24. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of (+)-Limaspermidine (6).
Figure A7.25. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172i.
Figure A7.26. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 172i.

Figure A7.27. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172i.
Figure A7.28. $^{1}$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165i.
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Figure A7.29. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 165i.

Figure A7.30. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165i.
Figure A7.31. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 215.
Figure A7.32. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 215.

Figure A7.33. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 215.
Figure A7.34. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 216.
Figure A7.35. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 216.

Figure A7.36. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 216.
Figure A7.37. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 214.
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Figure A7.38. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 214.

Figure A7.39. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 214.
Figure A7.40. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 213.
Figure A7.41. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 213.

Figure A7.42. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 213.
Figure A7.43. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 218.
Figure A7.44. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 218.

Figure A7.45. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 218.
Figure A7.46. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 221.
Figure A7.47. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 221.

Figure A7.48. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 221.
Figure A7.49. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 229.
Figure A7.50. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 229.

Figure A7.51. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 229.
Figure A7.52: H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound 231.
Figure A7.53. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 231.

Figure A7.54. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 231.
Figure A7.55. HSQC (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound 231.
Figure A7.56, HMBC (500 MHz, CDCl₃) of compound 231.
CHAPTER 4

Enantioselective Synthesis of $\alpha$-Quaternary Mannich Adducts:

Total Syntheses of $(-)$-Isonitramine and $(+)$-Sibirine†

4.1 INTRODUCTION AND BACKGROUND

The Mannich reaction, first discovered in the early 20th century, is among the most robust reactions known to produce nitrogen-containing compounds. In a classic intermolecular Mannich reaction, an aldehyde, an amine and an $\alpha$-acidic carbonyl compound react to form a $\beta$-amino carbonyl product.¹ Recent progress in this area, including modified imine donors and well-explored catalyst systems, has made available a wide variety of asymmetric $\alpha$-functionalizations of carbonyl compounds.² To date, asymmetric Mannich-type reactions³ and $\alpha$-aminomethylation reactions⁴ to establish $\alpha$-stereogenic $\alpha$-quaternary carbonyl compounds have been limited to 1,3-dicarbonyl nucleophiles (e.g., 232, Scheme 4.1.1.A). To our knowledge, the lone exceptions are the

† This work was performed in collaboration with Dr. Yoshitaka Numajiri and Dr. Koji Chiyoda, both of whom are alumni of Stoltz group. Additionally, this work has been published and adapted with permission from Numajiri, Y.; Pritchett, B. P.; Chiyoda, K.; Stoltz, B. M. J. Am. Chem. Soc. 2015, 137, 1040–1043. Copyright 2015 American Chemical Society.
proline-catalyzed Mannich-type reaction of \( \alpha \)-branched aldehydes reported by Barbas and co-workers,\textsuperscript{5} and Trost’s zinc ProPhenol-catalyzed Mannich-type reaction of tetralone nucleophiles.\textsuperscript{3e} However, these substrates only possess one enolizable position and in the latter example the resulting enolates are stabilized through conjugation with the arene \( \pi \)-system. Moreover, these reactions\textsuperscript{3–5} require prochiral aldime electrophiles derived from either glyoxalate esters (e.g., 233, \( R^2 = \text{CO}_2\text{R} \)) or aryl aldehydes (e.g., 233, \( R^2 = (\text{hetero})\text{aryl} \)), which add an additional element of stereocontrol via the facial bias of the imine (Scheme 4.1.1.A).

The synthesis of enantioenriched \( \alpha \)-quaternary Mannich adducts\textsuperscript{6} derived from \( \alpha \)-alkyl-substituted ketones (e.g., 235, Scheme 4.1.1.B) is limited by three important considerations. First, there are two enolizable positions of similar pK\textsubscript{a}, which will result in nonselective enolization. Second, it is unlikely that the equilibrating conditions required to access the thermodynamically preferred enolate (i.e., 236) will be amenable to enantioselective addition into an aldime electrophile. Finally, it is unclear whether non-prochiral formaldehyde-derived imines can be successfully implemented this transformation. To overcome these challenges, we envisioned a strategy wherein the alkylation, not the aminomethylation, would be the enantiodetermining step.
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Scheme 4.1.1. Limitations of Existing Enantioselective Mannich-Type Reactions

A. Enantioselective Mannich-Type Reactions of 1,3-Dicarbonyl Nucleophiles

B. Inherent Limitations of an Enantioselective Aminomethylation

4.2 STRATEGIC PALLADIUM-CATALYZED ALLYLIC ALKYLATION

Over the course of the past decade, our group has demonstrated decarboxylative palladium-catalyzed asymmetric alkylation of β-ketoesters as a powerful tool to access quaternary centers with high enantioselectivities. The extensive substrate scope and broad functional group compatibility of this transformation encouraged further exploration of palladium catalysts in the synthesis of amine-containing substrates, thereby facilitating access to enantioenriched bioactive alkaloids or pharmaceutical candidates. We therefore sought to implement our well-studied, reliable alkylation chemistry in a simple yet powerful strategy for the synthesis of α-quaternary Mannich products in an enantioselective fashion (Scheme 4.2.1.A). Introduction of an aminomethyl group to β-ketoester 238 using classical Mannich chemistry would furnish β-aminoketone 239. A palladium-catalyzed asymmetric allylic alkylation reaction would then afford the enantioenriched α-quaternary ketone product 240. Compound 240 can be thought of as an α-aminomethylation product of the so-called “thermodynamic” enolate of compound 235. We imagined that successful exploration of this inverted strategy
would enable rapid, stereocontrolled total syntheses of (–)-isonitramine and (+)-sibirinine (241 and 242, respectively, Scheme 4.2.1.B).  

**Scheme 4.2.1. α-Quaternary Mannich Adducts via Enantioselective Alkylation**

**A. Our Re-Envisioned Strategy**  

![Chemical diagram for α-Quaternary Mannich Adducts via Enantioselective Alkylation](image)

**B. Natural Product Synthesis**  

![Chemical diagram for Natural Product Synthesis](image)

**4.3 NITROGEN PROTECTING GROUP EVALUATION**

To introduce the aminomethyl moiety, we employed sulfonylmethyl carbamates (e.g., 243a) as versatile and readily available imine precursors. In the presence of Cs₂CO₃, the Boc-protected imine generated from 243a reacted with β-ketoester 238 to smoothly afford β-aminoketone 239a (99% yield) at ambient temperature (Scheme 4.3.1). In a similar manner, we obtained other protected aminoketones (e.g., 239b–g) in good to excellent yields.

**Scheme 4.3.1. Synthesis of β-Ketoester 239a**

![Chemical diagram for Synthesis of β-Ketoester 239a](image)
With β-ketoesters 239a–g in hand, our investigation into this substrate class commenced in the context of palladium-catalyzed allylic alkylation (Table 4.3.1). We found that exposure of Boc-protected substrate 239a to the catalyst derived from Pd₂(dba)₃ (5 mol %) and (S)-(CF₃)₃-t-ButPHOX (76, 12.5 mol %) in toluene at ambient temperature afforded the desired product 240a in 94% yield and 86% ee (entry 1). Cbz-protected 239b also gave excellent yield and ee (entry 3). It is important to note that we did not detect any N-alkylated side products, a result that highlights the mild nature of our reaction conditions. Arylcarbamates 239c–e gave slightly decreased enantioselectivities in the products (entries 4–6). Changing from carbamate to benzoyl or tosyl protecting groups resulted in poor enantioselectivity (entries 7 and 8, respectively), possibly due to their ability to coordinate to the catalyst and/or the enhanced acidity of the N–H proton. Alternatively, it is possible that a beneficial lewis-basic interaction between the nitrogen protecting group and the palladium(II) center is maximized when using carbamates. We found that the more electron-withdrawn ligand, 76, gave higher enantioselectivity. In the case of (S)–t-ButPHOX (66), we observed diminished ee (Table 4.3.1, entry 2).
4.4  \(\beta\)-OXOESTER SUBSTRATE SCOPE INVESTIGATION

We found that a broad range of ketones and amides (e.g., 245a–j, and 172g) can easily be converted into enantioenriched \(\alpha\)-tetrasubstituted Mannich-type products (e.g., 246a–j, and 165g) with this two-step strategy (Table 4.4.1). For all substrates, the first step proceeded in good to excellent yields (51–98%). In the allylic alkylation, 2-phenyl-2-propenyl-substituted 246a was obtained in high yield (91%) and excellent enantioselectivity (90% ee). Cycloheptanone 245b proved to be a good substrate and the corresponding \(\alpha\)-quaternary cycloheptanone 246b was isolated in 93% yield and 87% ee.
while cyclopentanone 246c was synthesized with slightly lower enantioselectivity (82% ee). Vinylogous ester 245d and tetralone 245e afforded α-quaternary vinylogous ester 246d and tetralone 246e in 70% yield and 92% ee, and 74% yield and 93% ee, respectively. Basic tertiary amines are tolerated by the reaction conditions, as 4-piperidinone 246f was isolated in 78% yield and 90% ee. We were pleased to find that under slightly elevated reaction temperatures (40 °C), the desired lactam 246g, morpholinone 246h, and carbazolone 246i were available in moderate to excellent yields (51–94%) and excellent enantioselectivities (90–99% ee). Unfortunately, imide 245j performed poorly in this chemistry, to deliver the corresponding α-quaternary product (246j) in 76% yield but only 55% ee. C3-methyl DHPI 165g was obtained in 61% yield and 92% ee.
4.5 TOTAL SYNTHESES OF (−)-ISONITRAMINE AND (+)-SIBIRININE

In order to exhibit the utility of our method for generating interesting and useful chiral building blocks, total syntheses of (−)-isonitramine (241) and (+)-sibirinine (242) was carried out (Scheme 4.5.1). (−)-Isonitramine (241) is a spirocyclic alkaloid posessing an azaspiro[5.5]undecane core. (+)-Sibirinine (242) is a tricyclic alkaloid featuring an N,O-acetal, a tertiary amine N-oxide, and two pairs of vicinal stereocenters, including an all-carbon quaternary center. Asymmetric allylic alkylation using one gram of 239b proceeded with one half of the typical catalyst loading without any loss of...
enantioselectivity. Reduction of β-amino ketone 240b with diisobutylaluminum hydride (DIBAL), followed by acetylation of the resulting alcohol, yielded carbamate 247 as a single diastereomer. Hydroboration of the terminal alkene in carbamate 247 provided primary alcohol 248 in 86% yield over 3 steps. Cyclization of the mesylate derived from primary alcohol 248 smoothly delivered spirocycle 249. Simultaneous removal of the acetyl and Cbz groups using potassium hydroxide furnished (−)-isonitramine (241) in 77% yield. Treatment of (−)-isonitramine (241) with excess acetaldehyde yielded the desired N,O-acetal, which was smoothly N-oxidized by m-CPBA to give (+)-sibirinine (242) in 92% yield over two steps. Notably, conversion of (−)-isonitramine (241) to (+)-sibirinine (242) can be accomplished in one pot by forming the N,O-acetal intermediate under an oxygen atmosphere, albeit in diminished yield. Spectral data of (−)-241 and (+)-242 were in agreement with those previously reported.\textsuperscript{11,12} Furthermore, our synthesis of (−)-isonitramine (241) confirms the absolute stereochemistry of 240b.\textsuperscript{11}

Scheme 4.5.1. Total Syntheses of (−)-Isonitramine (241) and (+)-Sibirinine (242)
In summary, we have developed an inverted approach to the synthesis of α-quaternary and tetrasubstituted tertiary Mannich-type products by strategic enolate formation to give products in moderate to excellent yields and good to excellent enantiomeric excess. This chemistry tolerates a variety of ketone, amide, and vinylogous ester functionalities even in the presence of basic tertiary amines and relatively acidic N–H moieties. Multiple ring sizes, aromatic, and heteroaromatic scaffolds are also accessible via this strategy. Furthermore, this method enables the efficient construction of spirocyclic amine-containing scaffolds, as illustrated in our syntheses of the alkaloids (−)-isonitramine (241) and (+)-sibirinine (242). The first total synthesis of (+)-sibirinine (242) was accomplished in 11 steps and 36% overall yield from commercially available diallyl pimelate.
4.7 EXPERIMENTAL SECTION

4.7.1 MATERIALS AND METHODS

Unless otherwise stated, reactions were performed in flame-dried glassware under an argon or nitrogen atmosphere using dry, deoxygenated solvents. Solvents were dried by passage through an activated alumina column under argon. Reaction progress was monitored by thin-layer chromatography (TLC) or Agilent 1290 UHPLC-LCMS. TLC was performed using E. Merck silica gel 60 F254 precoated glass plates (0.25 mm) and visualized by UV fluorescence quenching, $p$-anisaldehyde, or KMnO$_4$ staining. Silicycle SiliaFlash® P60 Academic Silica gel (particle size 40–63 nm) was used for flash chromatography. Melting points were measured with BÜCHI Melting Point B-545. $^1$H and $^{13}$C NMR spectra were recorded on a Varian Inova 500 (500 MHz and 126 MHz, respectively) and a Varian Mercury 300 spectrometer (300 MHz and 76 MHz, respectively) and are reported in terms of chemical shift relative to CHCl$_3$ ($\delta$ 7.26 and $\delta$ 77.16, respectively). Data for $^1$H NMR are reported as follows: chemical shift ($\delta$ ppm) (multiplicity, coupling constant (Hz), integration). Multiplicities are reported as follows: s = singlet, d = doublet, t = triplet, q = quartet, p = pentet, sept = septuplet, m = multiplet, br s = broad singlet, br d = broad doublet, br t = broad triplet, app = apparent. Data for $^{13}$C NMR are reported in terms of chemical shifts ($\delta$ ppm). IR spectra were obtained by use of a Perkin Elmer Spectrum BXII spectrometer using thin films deposited on NaCl plates and reported in frequency of absorption (cm$^{-1}$). Optical rotations were measured with a Jasco P-2000 polarimeter operating on the sodium D-line (589 nm), using a 100 mm path-length cell and are reported as: $[\alpha]_D^T$ (concentration in g/100 mL, solvent). Analytical SFC was performed with a Mettler SFC supercritical CO$_2$ analytical...
chromatography system utilizing Chiralpak (AD-H, AS-H) or Chiralcel (OD-H, OJ-H, or OB-H) columns (4.6 mm x 25 cm) obtained from Daicel Chemical Industries, Ltd. High resolution mass spectra (HRMS) were obtained from the Caltech Mass Spectral Facility using a JEOL JMS-600H High Resolution Mass Spectrometer in fast atom bombardment (FAB+) or electron ionization (EI+) mode, or Agilent 6200 Series TOF with an Agilent G1978A Multimode source in electrospray ionization (ESI+), atmospheric pressure chemical ionization (APCI+), or mixed ionization mode (MM: ESI/APCI).

Reagents were purchased from Sigma-Aldrich, Acros Organics, Strem, or Alfa Aesar and used as received unless otherwise stated. Et$_3$N and pyridine were distilled from calcium hydride prior to use. MeOH was distilled from magnesium methoxide immediately prior to use. (S)-t-BuPHOX, (S)-(CF$_3$)$_3$t-BuPHOX, tris(4,4'-methoxydibenzylideneacetone)dipalladium(0) Pd$_2$(pmdba)$_3$, sulfonyl carbamates 243a–g, and 1,3-dicarbonyl compounds 238, 244a–h were prepared by known methods.
General Procedure A: α-Aminomethyl 1,3-dicarbonyl Substrate Synthesis

**Allyl 1-((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-2-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylate (239a):** To a stirred solution of β-ketoester 238 (0.91 g, 5.0 mmol, 1 equiv) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (25 mL) was added sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243a (1.63 g, 6.0 mmol, 1.2 equiv) in one portion at ambient temperature. After stirring for 5 min, Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (4.70 g, 12.5 mmol, 2.5 equiv) was added in one portion. After 12 h, full consumption of starting material was determined by TLC analysis. Saturated aqueous ammonium chloride was added slowly, and the biphasic mixture was stirred at ambient temperature for 20 min and extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (3 x 25 mL). The combined organic layers were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 10% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded α-aminomethyl β-ketoester 239a (1.55 g, 99% yield) as a faintly yellow oil. $R_f$ = 0.55 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 5.91 (ddt, $J$ = 16.5, 10.4, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 5.33 (m, 1H), 5.25 (m, 1H), 5.17 (m, 1H), 4.63 (m, 2H), 3.54 (dd, $J$ = 13.9, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (dd, $J$ = 13.9, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.59–2.41 (m, 3H), 1.99 (m, 1H), 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.73–1.51 (m, 3H), 1.40 (s, 9H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 209.0, 171.0, 156.0, 131.6, 119.2, 79.4, 66.4, 62.4, 44.4, 40.9, 33.9, 28.5, 27.3, 22.2; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3461, 3404, 2976, 2939, 2867, 1713, 1501, 1452, 1366, 1247, 1229, 1168, 1141 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C$_{16}$H$_{26}$NO$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 312.1811, found 312.1824.
Spectroscopic Data for 239b–g, 245a–j, and 172g

**Allyl 1-(benzyloxycarbonylaminomethyl)-2-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylate (239b):**

The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Ketoester 238 (1.66 g, 9.09 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243b (3.33 g, 10.9 mmol); Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (7.40 g, 22.7 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 18 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded $\alpha$-aminomethyl $\beta$-ketoester 239b (2.95 g, 8.54 mmol, 94% yield) as a colorless oil. $R_f$ = 0.27 (20% EtOAc in hexanes); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.38–7.28 (m, 5H), 5.86 (ddt, $J$ = 16.6, 10.5, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 5.41 (m, 1H), 5.32 (m, 1H), 5.23 (m, 1H), 5.11–5.01 (m, 2H), 4.63–4.52 (m, 2H), 3.62 (dd, $J$ = 13.8, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.46 (dd, $J$ = 13.8, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.59–2.42 (m, 3H), 2.00 (m, 1H), 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.72–1.53 (m, 3H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 208.8, 170.7, 156.5, 136.6, 131.5, 128.6, 128.2, 128.1, 119.3, 66.8, 66.4, 62.2, 44.8, 40.9, 33.9, 27.2, 22.1; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3450, 3394, 2943, 1724, 1711, 1509, 1453, 1219, 1141, 981 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) $m/z$ calc’d for C$_{19}$H$_{24}$NO$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 346.1649, found 346.1634.

**Allyl 1-((4-methoxyphenoxy)carbonylaminomethyl)-2-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylate (239c):**

The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Ketoester 238 (182 mg, 1.00 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243c (386 mg, 1.20 mmol); Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (910 mg, 2.50 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded $\alpha$-aminomethyl $\beta$-ketoester
239c (265 mg, 0.733 mmol, 73% yield) as a colorless oil. Rf = 0.18 (20% EtOAc in hexanes); 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.01–6.97 (m, 2H), 6.88–6.82 (m, 2H), 5.91 (m, 1H), 5.67 (m, 1H), 5.34 (m, 1H), 5.26 (m, 1H), 4.67–4.64 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.67 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (dd, J = 13.9, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.62–2.46 (m, 3H), 2.03 (m, 1H), 1.84 (m, 1H), 1.76–1.58 (m, 3H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 208.9, 170.7, 157.0, 155.3, 144.7, 131.5, 122.4, 119.4, 114.4, 66.5, 62.2, 55.7, 45.0, 40.9, 34.0, 27.2, 22.1; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3377, 2943, 1742, 1732, 1709, 1498, 1201, 1055 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C19H24NO6 [M+H]\(^+\): 362.1598, found 362.1601.

Allyl 1-(phenoxy carbonylaminomethyl)-2-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylate (239d): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Ketoester 238 (182 mg, 1.00 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243d (350 mg, 1.20 mmol); Cs2CO3 (910 mg, 2.50 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO2, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded α-aminomethyl β-ketoester 239d (310 mg, 0.936 mmol, 94% yield) as a colorless oil. Rf = 0.25 (20% EtOAc in hexanes); 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.37–7.29 (m, 2H), 7.18 (m, 1H), 7.12–7.05 (m, 2H), 5.92 (ddt, J = 17.3, 10.5, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 5.71 (m, 1H), 5.34 (m, 1H), 5.26 (m, 1H), 4.71–4.62 (m, 2H), 3.68 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (dd, J = 13.9, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.64–2.47 (m, 3H), 2.04 (m, 1H), 1.84 (m, 1H), 1.77–1.58 (m, 3H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 208.9, 170.7, 154.8, 151.1, 131.5, 129.3, 125.4, 121.6, 119.5, 66.5, 62.1, 45.0, 40.9, 34.0, 27.3, 22.1; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3377, 2943, 1745, 1732, 1709, 1498, 1201, 1055 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C18H22NO5 [M+H]\(^+\): 332.1492, found 332.1483.
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**Allyl 1-((4-fluorophenoxy)carbonylaminomethyl)-2-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylate** (239e): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Ketoester 238 (182 mg, 1.00 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243e (371 mg, 1.20 mmol); Cs₂CO₃ (910 mg, 2.50 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded α-aminomethyl β-ketoester 239e (278 mg, 0.796 mmol, 80% yield) as a colorless oil. Rᵢ = 0.28 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.08–6.98 (m, 4H), 5.91 (ddt, J = 17.2, 10.5, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 5.72 (m, 1H), 5.34 (m, 1H), 5.26 (m, 1H), 4.68–4.60 (m, 2H), 3.67 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.8 Hz, 1H), 3.52 (dd, J = 13.9, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 2.64–2.46 (m, 3H), 2.04 (m, 1H), 1.83 (m, 1H), 1.76–1.57 (m, 3H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 208.9, 170.7, 160.0 (J = 243 Hz), 154.8, 147.0 (J = 4 Hz), 131.4, 123.0 (J = 9 Hz), 119.5, 115.9 (J = 23 Hz), 66.6, 62.1, 45.1, 40.9, 34.0, 27.3, 22.1; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3377, 2944, 1746, 1732, 1711, 1497, 1219, 1193, 1147 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C₁₈H₂₁FNO₅ [M+H]⁺: 350.1398, found 350.1392.

**Allyl 1-(benzamidomethyl)-2-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylate** (239f): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Ketoester 238 (182 mg, 1.00 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243f (413 mg, 1.50 mmol); Cs₂CO₃ (977 mg, 3.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded α-aminomethyl β-ketoester 239f (250 mg, 0.793 mmol, 79% yield)
as a white amorphous solid. Rf = 0.30 (40% EtOAc in hexanes); 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl3) δ 7.72 – 7.67 (m, 2H), 7.49 – 7.44 (m, 1H), 7.42 – 7.36 (m, 2H), 6.96 – 6.87 (m, 1H), 5.83 (ddt, J = 17.2, 10.4, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (dq, J = 17.1, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 5.18 (dq, J = 10.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 4.65 – 4.52 (m, 2H), 3.96 (dd, J = 13.6, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.65 (dd, J = 13.6, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.61 – 2.49 (m, 3H), 2.05 – 1.97 (m, 1H), 1.87 – 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.75 – 1.58 (m, 3H);

13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl3) δ 209.5, 170.8, 167.4, 134.4, 131.6, 131.4, 128.6, 127.0, 119.5, 66.6, 62.2, 43.3, 40.9, 34.2, 27.2, 22.1; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3447, 3356, 3061, 3028, 2943, 2866, 1712, 1667, 1651, 1602, 1580, 1519, 1488, 1450, 1307, 1280, 1142 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C18H22NO4 [M+H]+: 316.1543, found 316.1559.

Allyl 1-(((4-methylphenyl)sulfonamido)methyl)-2-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylate (239g): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Ketoester 238 (182 mg, 1.00 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243g (488 mg, 1.50 mmol); Cs₂CO₃ (977 mg, 3.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 25% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded α-aminomethyl β-ketoester 239g (365 mg, 0.999 mmol, >99% yield) as a clear colorless oil. Rf = 0.25 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.73 – 7.69 (m, 2H), 7.30 (dd, J = 8.4, 1.0 Hz, 2H), 5.88 (ddt, J = 17.2, 10.4, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 5.32 (dq, J = 17.2, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.27 (dq, J = 10.4, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.20 (dd, J = 8.3, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 4.61 (dt, J = 5.9, 1.3 Hz, 2H), 3.21 (dd, J = 12.5, 8.4 Hz, 1H), 3.06 (dd, J = 12.5, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.65 – 2.56 (m, 1H), 2.46 – 2.36 (m, 4H), 2.06 – 1.97 (m, 1H), 1.82 – 1.76 (m, 1H), 1.72 – 1.58 (m, 4H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 209.0, 170.4, 143.6, 137.0, 131.4, 129.9, 127.1, 119.6, 66.6, 61.6, 47.2, 40.9,
34.1, 27.0, 22.1, 21.7; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3289, 2942, 2867, 1728, 1709, 1451, 1335, 1206, 1163, 1092 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (FAB+) \(m/z\) calc’d for \(C_{18}H_{24}NO_5S\) [M+H]: 366.1375, found 366.1367.

2-Phenylallyl 1-(((\textit{tert}-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-2-oxocyclohexane-1-carboxylate (245a): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Ketoester 244a (311 mg, 1.2 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243a (392 mg, 1.44 mmol); Cs\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (977 mg, 3.0 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO\(_2\), 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded \(\alpha\)-aminomethyl \(\beta\)-ketoester 245a (368 mg, 0.95 mmol, 79% yield) as a pale yellow oil. \(R_f = 0.5\) (25% EtOAc in hexanes); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 7.42–7.38 (m, 2H), 7.36–7.32 (m, 2H), 7.31–7.27 (m, 1H), 5.53 (d, \(J = 0.9\) Hz, 1H), 5.37 (q, \(J = 1.1\) Hz, 1H), 5.1 (d, \(J = 13.0\) Hz, 1H), 5.07 (t, \(J = 7.0\) Hz, 1H), 5.01 (d, \(J = 13.0\) Hz, 1H), 3.48 (dd, \(J = 13.9, 7.6\) Hz, 1H), 3.35 (dd, \(J = 13.9, 5.8\) Hz, 1H), 2.38–2.27 (m, 2H), 2.26–2.18 (m, 1H), 1.81 (m, 1H), 1.69–1.63 (m, 1H), 1.60–1.50 (m, 1H), 1.49–1.41 (m, 2H), 1.39 (s, 9H); \(^13\)C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 208.7, 170.8, 155.0, 142.4, 137.9, 128.7, 128.3, 126.3, 116.3, 79.4, 66.9, 62.3, 44.3, 40.6, 33.7, 28.4, 27.2, 21.9; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3458, 3411, 2975, 2938, 2866, 1715, 1499, 1365, 1167, 1141 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (ESI+) \(m/z\) calc’d for \(C_{22}H_{29}NO_5Na\) [M+Na]: 410.1938, found 410.1923.
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Allyl 1-(t-butoxycarbonylaminomethyl)-2-oxocycloheptane-1-carboxylate (245b):
The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Ketoester 244b (196 mg, 1.00 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243a (326 mg, 1.20 mmol); Cs₂CO₃ (815 mg, 2.50 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded α-aminomethyl β-ketoester 245b (234 mg, 0.719 mmol, 72% yield) as a colorless oil. Rf = 0.47 (20% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.90 (m, 1H), 5.32 (m, 1H), 5.25 (m, 1H), 5.18 (m, 1H), 4.68–4.56 (m, 2H), 3.56 (dd, J = 14.0, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.50 (dd, J = 14.0, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 2.68 (m, 1H), 2.56 (ddd, J = 13.0, 8.3, 3.3 Hz, 1H), 2.08 (m, 1H), 1.86–1.76 (m, 2H), 1.73–1.48 (m, 5H), 1.40 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 210.2, 171.7, 156.1, 131.7, 119.0, 79.4, 66.2, 63.9, 45.3, 42.7, 31.7, 30.1, 28.4, 25.7, 25.3; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3461, 2976, 2933, 1718, 1501, 1456, 1366, 1248m 1225, 1169 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C₁₇H₂₇NO₅Na [M+Na]⁺: 348.1781, found 348.1772.

Allyl 1-(t-butoxycarbonylaminomethyl)-2-oxocyclopentane-1-carboxylate (245c):
The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Ketoester 244c (168 mg, 1.00 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243a (326 mg, 1.20 mmol); Cs₂CO₃ (815 mg, 2.50 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded α-aminomethyl β-ketoester 245c (255 mg, 0.858 mmol, 86% yield) as a colorless oil. Rf = 0.50 (33% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.87 (ddt, J = 17.2, 10.4, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 5.29 (m, 1H), 5.24 (m, 1H), 5.13
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(7, 1H), 4.67–4.55 (m, 2H), 3.50 (dd, J = 14.0, 7.0 Hz 1H), 3.46 (dd, J = 14.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 2.49–2.34 (m, 3H), 2.16–1.98 (m, 3H), 1.42 (s, 9H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 213.7, 171.3, 156.3, 131.5, 118.8, 79.7, 66.1, 61.5, 42.1, 38.2, 31.7, 28.4, 19.8; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3394, 2976, 1749, 1715, 1504, 1454, 1366, 1249, 1249, 1168, 966 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (ESI+) \(m/z\) calc’d for C\(_{15}\)H\(_{23}\)NO\(_5\)Na [M+Na]\(^+\): 320.1468, found 320.1467.

Allyl 1-(((\textit{tert}-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-4-isobutoxy-2-oxocyclohept-3-ene-1-carboxylate (245d): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Ketoester 244d\(^{22}\) (100 mg, 0.375 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243a (122 mg, 0.45 mmol); Cs\(_2\)CO\(_3\) (305 mg, 0.936 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 10 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO\(_2\), 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded \(\alpha\)-aminomethyl \(\beta\)-ketoester 245d (123 mg, 0.311 mmol, 83% yield) as a clear oil. \(R_f = 0.5\) (25% EtOAc in hexanes); \(^1\)H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 5.87 (ddt, \(J = 17.3, 10.5, 5.7\) Hz, 1H), 5.38 (s, 1H), 5.29 (dq, \(J = 17.2, 1.6\) Hz, 1H), 5.27 (m, 1H), 5.21 (dq, \(J = 10.5, 1.3\) Hz, 1H), 4.63 (ddt, \(J = 13.2, 5.9, 1.4\) Hz, 1H), 4.56 (ddt, \(J = 13.3, 5.8, 1.4\) Hz, 1H), 3.64 (dd, \(J = 13.7, 7.8\) Hz, 1H), 3.51–3.44 (m, 3H), 2.55 (ddd, \(J = 17.9, 10.0, 4.2\) Hz, 1H), 2.44 (ddd, \(J = 17.8, 7.1, 3.8\) Hz, 1H), 2.36 (m, 1H), 2.03–1.94 (m, 2H), 1.89–1.76 (m, 2H), 1.40 (s, 9H), 0.94 (dd, \(J = 6.7, 1.5\) Hz, 6H); \(^{13}\)C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\(_3\)) \(\delta\) 198.1, 174.9, 172.1, 156.1, 131.7, 118.8, 105.3, 79.3, 74.9, 66.2, 63.9, 46.4, 34.2, 29.3, 28.5, 27.9, 21.3, 19.2; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3459, 3394, 3083, 2961, 2934, 2874, 1734, 1718, 1636, 1610, 1499, 1388, 1366, 1232, 1171 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (ESI+) \(m/z\) calc’d for C\(_{21}\)H\(_{33}\)NO\(_6\)Na [M+Na]\(^+\): 418.2200, found 418.2192.
Allyl 2-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalene-2-carboxylate (245e): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Ketoster 244e (230.3 mg, 1.0 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243a (326 mg, 1.2 mmol); Cs₂CO₃ (815 mg, 2.5 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded α-aminomethyl β-ketoester 245e (395 mg, 0.999 mmol, >99% yield) as a pale yellow oil. R_f = 0.5 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.03 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.49 (td, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.34–7.29 (m, 1H), 7.23 (dq, J = 7.8, 0.7 Hz, 1H), 5.86–5.76 (m, 1H), 5.33–5.27 (m, 1H), 5.22–5.14 (m, 2H), 4.61 (dt, J = 2.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 4.59 (dt, J = 2.4, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 3.79 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.9 Hz, 1H), 3.56 (dd, J = 13.9, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (dt, J = 17.5, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 3.02 (ddd, J = 17.4, 9.4, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 2.57 (dt, J = 13.8, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 2.20 (ddd, J = 14.1, 9.5, 5.0 Hz, 1H), 1.41 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 195.6, 171.0, 156.1, 143.4, 134.1, 131.9, 131.5, 129.0, 128.0, 127.0, 118.7, 79.5, 66.1, 59.4, 43.6, 29.3, 28.5, 25.8; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3454, 3395, 2977, 2934, 1731, 1717, 1683, 1601, 1505, 1456, 1366, 1235, 1170 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C₂₀H₂₆NO₅ [M+H]^+: 360.1811, found 360.1801.

Allyl 1-benzyl-3-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-4-oxopiperidine-3-carboxylate (245f): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Ketoster 244f (296 mg, 1.08 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243a
(353 mg, 1.296 mmol); Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (882 mg, 2.7 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded α-aminomethyl β-ketoester 245f (349 mg, 0.867 mmol, 80% yield) as a clear colorless oil. 

R$_f$ = 0.45 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 7.20–7.12 (m, 4H), 7.11–7.05 (m, 1H), 5.71 (ddt, J = 16.5, 10.9, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.37 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.09 (dd, J = 17.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (dq, J = 10.4, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.47 (d, J = 5.8, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 3.63 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.57 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.23–3.20 (m, 1H), 3.19 (d, J = 13.5 Hz, 1H), 3.10 (d, J = 13.4 Hz, 1H), 2.65 (ddd, J = 14.3, 10.0, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.37–2.29 (m, 2H), 1.99 (d, J = 11.6, 1H), 1.93–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.37 (s, 9H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 205.9, 170.5, 155.9, 138.3, 132.2, 129.0, 128.7, 127.6, 118.4, 79.0, 66.1, 62.9, 61.9, 58.9, 53.1, 43.0, 40.3, 28.4; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3457, 2976, 2925, 2811, 1718, 1499, 1366, 1250, 1225, 1169 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C$_{22}$H$_{31}$N$_2$O$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 403.2233, found 403.2238.

**Allyl 1-benzoyl-3-(tert-butoxycarbonylaminomethyl)-2-oxopiperidine-3-carboxylate (245g):** The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Amido ester 244g (231 mg, 0.800 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243a (261 mg, 0.960 mmol); Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (652 mg, 2.00 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 15→20% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded α-aminomethyl amido ester 245g (245 mg, 0.588 mmol, 74% yield) as a colorless oil. R$_f$ = 0.36 (33% EtOAc in hexanes); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.81–7.74 (m, 2H), 7.50 (m, 1H), 7.44–7.36 (m, 2H), 5.97 (ddt, J = 16.6, 10.4, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 5.40 (m, 1H), 5.33 (m, 1H), 5.15 (m, 1H),
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4.82–4.63 (m, 2H), 3.91–3.74 (m, 2H), 3.71 (dd, $J = 13.9, 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.50 (dd, $J = 13.9, 5.9$ Hz, 1H), 2.43 (m, 1H), 2.12–1.91 (m, 3H), 1.41 (s, 9H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 174.9, 172.2, 170.7, 156.1, 135.6, 132.1, 131.3, 128.3, 128.3, 119.9, 79.7, 66.8, 58.4, 46.8, 44.7, 29.1, 28.4, 20.0; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3446, 2976, 1714, 1684, 1500, 1449, 1391, 1366, 1271, 1249, 1164, 1141, 939 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) $m/z$ calc’d for C$_{22}$H$_{28}$N$_2$O$_6$Na [M+Na]$^+$: 439.1840, found 439.1854.

Allyl 4-benzoyl-2-(tert-butoxycarbonylamino)methyl)-3-oxomorpholine-2-carboxylate (245h): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Morpholinone 244h (100 mg, 0.346 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243a (188 mg, 0.691 mmol); Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (338 mg, 1.04 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 20→25% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded α-aminomethyl morpholinone 245h (132 mg, 0.315 mmol, 91% yield) as a colorless oil. $R_f = 0.34$ (10% EtOAc in toluene); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.67–7.65 (m, 2H), 7.52 (m, 1H), 7.43–7.38 (m, 2H), 5.97 (m, 1H), 5.41 (m, 1H), 5.33 (m, 1H), 5.00 (brs, 1H), 4.76–4.73 (m, 2H), 4.30–4.17 (m, 2H), 4.05–3.90 (m, 2H), 3.87–3.72 (m, 2H), 1.42 (s, 9H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 172.7, 167.7, 167.5, 155.8, 134.7, 132.5, 131.0, 128.5, 128.3, 119.9, 83.1, 79.9, 67.2, 62.1, 45.0, 44.8, 28.4; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3388, 2977, 2934, 1746, 1714, 1693, 1507, 1449, 1367, 1317, 1279, 1233, 1165, 1066, 944, 757, 727, 693 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) $m/z$ calc’d for C$_{21}$H$_{26}$N$_2$O$_5$Na [M+Na]$^+$: 441.1632, found 441.1636.
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**Allyl 3-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-4-oxo-9-tosyl-2,3,4,9-tetrahydro-1H-carbazole-3-carboxylate (245i):** The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. Ketoester 244i (400 mg, 0.994 mmol); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243a (307 mg, 1.13 mmol); Cs$_2$CO$_3$ (770 mg, 2.36 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded α-aminomethyl β-ketoester 245i (418 mg, 0.756 mmol, 80% yield) as a clear colorless oil. R$_f$ = 0.33 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 8.21–8.17 (m, 1H), 8.15–8.12 (m, 1H), 7.78 (d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 7.38–7.31 (m, 2H), 7.27 (d, $J$ = 8.4 Hz, 2H), 5.80 (m, 1H), 5.25–5.17 (m, 2H), 5.15 (m, 1H), 4.58 (dt, $J$ = 5.8, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 3.74 (dd, $J$ = 14.0, 7.7 Hz, 1H), 3.59 (m, 2H), 3.41 (ddd, $J$ = 19.2, 8.3, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.67 (dt, $J$ = 13.9, 5.4 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (s, 3H), 2.28 (m, 1H), 1.42 (s, 9H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 191.4, 170.6, 156.1, 150.7, 146.1, 136.2, 135.3, 131.5, 130.4, 126.9, 125.8, 125.7, 125.2, 121.9, 118.9, 117.1, 114.0, 79.6, 66.2, 59.3, 43.3, 29.2, 28.5, 22.1, 21.8; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3445, 3054, 2977, 2254, 1733, 1713, 1596, 1558, 1505, 1481, 1451, 1410, 1380, 1244, 1174, 1090 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C$_{29}$H$_{33}$N$_2$O$_7$S [M+H]+: 553.2003, found 553.1994.

**Allyl 1-(benzyloxy)-3-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-2,6-dioxopiperidine-3-carboxylate (245j):** A detailed preparative procedure for compound 245j was not recorded. However, the physical and spectral data are as follows: clear colorless oil; R$_f$ =
Allyl 7-(((tert-butoxycarbonyl)amino)methyl)-10-methyl-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indole-7-carboxylate (172g): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure A. β-Amidoester 194 (98 mg, 0.346 mmol, 1.0 equiv); sulfonylmethyl carbamate 243a (113 mg, 0.416 mmol, 1.2 equiv); Cs₂CO₃ (281 mg, 0.862 mmol, 2.5 equiv); CH₂Cl₂ (1.7 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded α-aminomethyl β-amidoester 172g (113 mg, 79% yield) as a clear colorless oil: ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.46–8.40 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.40 (m, 1H), 7.34–7.27 (m, 2H), 5.85 (ddt, J = 16.4, 10.9, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 5.36 (t, J = 6.8 Hz, 1H), 5.26 (d, J = 17.2 Hz, 1H), 5.21 (dq, J = 10.6, 1.3 Hz, 1H), 4.65 (dt, J = 5.7, 1.4 Hz, 2H), 3.91 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.13 (dt, J = 16.8, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.88–2.80 (m, 1H), 2.53 (dt, J = 13.6,
5.0 Hz, 1H), 2.23–2.15 (m, 1H), 2.17 (s, 3H), 1.43 (s, 9H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$)

$\delta$ 170.3, 167.3, 156.2, 134.9, 132.0, 131.6, 131.3, 124.6, 124.4, 119.2, 118.1, 116.6, 113.4, 79.7, 66.6, 58.2, 44.1, 28.5, 28.1, 18.7, 8.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3447, 2976, 2935, 1736, 1715, 1697, 1628, 1503, 1458, 1388, 1366, 1247, 1226, 1172, 1125, 1059, 962, 863, 752 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C$_{23}$H$_{28}$N$_2$O$_5$Na [M+Na]$^+$: 435.1890, found 435.1889.
General Procedure B: Palladium-Catalyzed Allylic Alkylation

Please note that the absolute configuration of all products 240 and 246 has been inferred from previous studies, with the exception of 240b, which was assigned by conversion to (−)-isonitramine (241). For isolated yields, see Tables 4.3.1 and 4.4.1. For GC, HPLC, or SFC conditions, as well as optical rotation data, please refer to Tables 4.7.4.1 and 4.7.4.2.

(S)-Tert-butyl ((1-allyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)methyl)carbamate (240a): In a nitrogen-filled glove box, [Pd_{2}(dba)_{3}] (9.2 mg, 0.010 mmol, 0.05 equiv) and (S)-(CF_{3})_{3}-t-BuPHOX (76) (14.8 mg, 0.025 mmol, 0.125 equiv) were added to a 20 mL scintillation vial equipped with a magnetic stirring bar. The vial was then charged with toluene (4.1 mL) and stirred at 25 °C for 30 min, generating a yellow solution. To the preformed catalyst solution was added a solution of 239a (62.3 mg, 0.20 mmol, 1 equiv) in toluene (2.0 mL). The vial was sealed and stirred at 25 °C until the full consumption of β-ketoester 239a was observed by TLC analysis. The reaction mixture was concentrated in vacuo. Flash column chromatography (SiO_{2}, 2% EtOAc in CH_{2}Cl_{2} eluent) afforded α-quaternary ketone 240a (50.2 mg, 94% yield) as a colorless oil. 86% ee, [α]_{D}^{25} −25.5 (c 0.865, C_{6}H_{6}); R_{f} = 0.55 (5% EtOAc in DCM); ^{1}H NMR (400 MHz, C_{6}D_{6}) δ 5.64 (m, 1H), 5.05 (br t, J = 6.4 Hz, 1H), 4.94 (ddt, J = 10.1, 2.0, 1.0 Hz, 1H), 4.87 (dq, J = 17.0, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.30 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.15–2.08 (m, 2H), 2.01–1.91
(m, 2H), 1.44 (s, 9H), 1.41–1.30 (m, 2H), 1.25–1.12 (m, 2H); $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) δ 213.5, 156.2, 133.3, 118.5, 78.7, 53.1, 45.2, 39.1, 37.9, 33.7, 28.5, 27.1, 20.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3462, 3395, 2977, 2939, 2867, 1718, 1499, 1167 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) $m/z$ calc’d for C$_{15}$H$_{25}$NO$_3$Na [M+Na]$^+$: 290.1727, found 290.1718; SFC conditions: 10% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralpak AD-H column, $\lambda$ = 210 nm, $t_R$ (min): major = 7.65, minor = 8.46.

**Spectroscopic Data for 240b–g, 246a–j, and 165g**

(S)-Benzyl (1-allyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)methylcarbamate (240b): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Ketoester 239b (69.1 mg, 0.200 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 23 ºC for 14 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 10→15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded ketone 240b (57.7 mg, 0.191 mmol, 96% yield) as a colorless oil. 86% ee, $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -38.6$ (c 1.20, CHCl$_3$); $R_f$ = 0.44 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); $^1$H NMR (300 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.42–7.25 (m, 5H), 5.67 (m, 1H), 5.21 (m, 1H), 5.16–5.00 (m, 4H), 3.34 (dd, $J = 13.9$, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 3.24 (dd, $J = 13.9$, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.54–2.20 (m, 4H), 1.99 (m, 1H), 1.81–1.60 (m, 5H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 215.5, 156.9, 136.7, 132.2, 128.6, 128.2, 128.1, 119.2, 66.8, 53.2, 45.4, 39.3, 38.0, 33.7, 27.2, 20.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3351, 2937, 1722, 1702, 1510, 1454, 1234, 1134 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) $m/z$ calc’d for C$_{18}$H$_{23}$NO$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 302.1751, found 302.1756; SFC conditions: 5% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralpak AD-H column, $\lambda$ = 210 nm, $t_R$ (min): major = 8.12, minor = 9.06.
(S)-4-Methoxyphenyl (1-allyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)methylcarbamate (240c): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Ketoester 239c (72.3 mg, 0.200 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 23 ºC for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 15→20% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded ketone 240c (57.6 mg, 0.181 mmol, 91% yield) as a colorless oil. 83% ee, [α]$_D^{25}$ −29.3 (c 0.76, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.25 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.05–6.97 (m, 2H), 6.90–6.81 (m, 2H), 5.70 (m, 1H), 5.49 (m, 1H), 5.18–5.09 (m, 2H), 3.78 (s, 3H), 3.40 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.28 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.55–2.44 (m, 2H), 2.41–2.28 (m, 2H), 2.03 (m, 1H), 1.90–1.64 (m, 5H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 215.6, 157.0, 155.6, 144.8, 132.2, 122.5, 119.3, 114.4, 55.7, 53.2, 45.6, 39.4, 38.0, 33.8, 27.3, 20.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3345, 2937, 1740, 1700, 1501, 1201 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C$_{18}$H$_{24}$NO$_4$ [M+H]$^+$: 318.1700, found 318.1705; SFC conditions: 10% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralcel OB-H column, $\lambda$ = 210 nm, $t_R$ (min): major = 9.47, minor = 11.13.

(S)-phenyl (1-allyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)methylcarbamate (240d): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Ketoester 239d (66.3 mg, 0.200 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 23 ºC for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 10→15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded ketone 240d (51.5 mg, 0.179 mmol, 90% yield) as a colorless oil. 77% ee, [α]$_D^{25}$ −28.9 (c 0.40, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.29 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.34 (t, J = 7.7 Hz, 2H), 7.17 (m, 1H), 7.11 (d, J = 8.0 Hz,
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2H), 5.70 (m, 1H), 5.53 (m, 1H), 5.20–5.11 (m, 2H), 3.41 (dd, J = 14.0, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (dd, J = 14.0, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.55–2.45 (m, 2H), 2.42–2.29 (m, 2H), 2.03 (m, 1H), 1.90–1.65 (m, 5H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 215.7, 155.1, 151.2, 132.1, 129.3, 125.3, 121.6, 119.4, 53.2, 45.6, 39.4, 38.0, 33.8, 27.3, 20.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3346, 2937, 1743, 1701, 1490, 1203 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C$_{17}$H$_{22}$NO$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 288.1594, found 288.1589; SFC conditions: 10% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralcel OB-H column, $\lambda = 210$ nm, $t_R$ (min): major = 6.53, minor = 8.13.

(S)-4-fluorophenyl (1-allyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)methylcarbamate (240e): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Ketoester 239e (69.9 mg, 0.200 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 23 ºC for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 10→15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded ketone 240e (51.4 mg, 0.168 mmol, 84% yield) as a colorless oil. 77% ee, $[\alpha]_{D}^{25}$ = –27.4 (c 0.78, CHCl$_3$); $R_f$ = 0.37 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.10–6.97 (m, 4H), 5.69 (m, 1H), 5.54 (m, 1H), 5.17–5.10 (m, 2H), 3.40 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.0 Hz, 1H), 3.27 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.2 Hz, 1H), 2.55–2.45 (m, 2H), 2.41–2.29 (m, 2H), 2.04 (m, 1H), 1.91–1.63 (m, 5H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 215.7, 160.0 (J = 243 Hz), 155.1, 147.1 (J = 4 Hz), 132.1, 123.1 (J = 7 Hz), 119.4, 115.9 (J = 24 Hz), 53.2, 45.6, 39.4, 37.9, 33.8, 27.3, 20.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3347, 2938, 1742, 1699, 1498, 1192 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C$_{17}$H$_{21}$FNO$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 306.1500, found 306.1493; SFC conditions: 10% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralpak AS-H column, $\lambda = 210$ nm, $t_R$ (min): major = 6.94, minor = 8.24.
(S)-N-((1-allyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)methyl)benzamide (240f): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Ketoester 239f (19.1 mg, 0.60 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 23 °C for 20 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO\textsubscript{2}, 10→15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded ketone 240f as a colorless oil. 56% ee, R\textsubscript{f} = 0.23 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ 7.76–7.72 (m, 2H), 7.49 (m, 1H), 7.45–7.40 (m, 2H), 6.78 (m, 1H), 5.66 (m, 1H), 5.15 (d, J = 1.2 Hz, 1H), 5.12 (m, 1H), 3.58 (dd, J = 13.8, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.55 (dd, J = 13.8, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 2.56–2.47 (m, 2H), 2.40–2.32 (m, 2H), 2.03 (m, 1H), 1.92–1.79 (m, 2H), 1.77–1.61 (m, 3H); \textsuperscript{13}C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ 216.6, 167.5, 134.7, 132.3, 131.6, 128.7, 127.0, 119.4, 53.5, 43.9, 39.5, 38.3, 34.1, 27.4, 20.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3439, 3338, 3070, 2936, 2864, 1693, 1686, 1649, 1535, 1515, 1486, 1454, 1286, 1127 cm\textsuperscript{-1}; HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calc’d for C\textsubscript{17}H\textsubscript{22}NO\textsubscript{2} [M+H]\textsuperscript{+}: 272.1645, found 272.1638; SFC conditions: 20% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralpak AD-H column, λ = 210 nm, t\textsubscript{R} (min): major = 4.04, minor = 4.91.

(S)-N-((1-Allyl-2-oxocyclohexyl)methyl)-4-methylbenzenesulfonamide (240g): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Ketoester 239g (74.0 mg, 0.202 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 23 °C for 20 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO\textsubscript{2}, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded ketone 240g (35.3 mg, 0.109 mmol, 54% yield) as a yellow oil. 24% ee, R\textsubscript{f} = 0.3 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); \textsuperscript{1}H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl\textsubscript{3}) δ 7.71 (m, 2H), 7.30 (dd, J = 8.3, 0.9 Hz, 2H), 5.61 (dddd, J = 16.3, 10.8, 7.9, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 5.11–5.06 (m, 3H), 2.97 (dd, J = 12.6, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.70 (dd, J =
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12.6, 7.5 Hz, 1H), 2.50–2.43 (m, 2H), 2.41 (s, 3H), 2.31–2.21 (m, 2H), 2.01 (m, 1H), 1.84–1.55 (m, 5H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 215.7, 143.4, 137.0, 131.5, 129.9, 127.0, 119.7, 52.5, 47.7, 39.2, 37.4, 33.4, 27.1, 21.6, 20.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3285, 3071, 2938, 2865, 1919, 1762, 1703, 1638, 1598, 1495, 1454, 1333, 1164, 1091 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C$_{17}$H$_{24}$NO$_3$S [M+H]$^+$: 322.1471, found 322.1456; SFC conditions: 15% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralcel OJ-H column, $\lambda$ = 210 nm, $t_R$ (min): major = 3.14, minor = 3.85.

(S)-tert-Butyl ((2-oxo-1-(2-phenylallyl)cyclohexyl)methyl)carbamate (246a): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Ketoester 245a (110 mg, 0.284 mmol); [Pd$_2$(pmdba)$_3$] (15.6 mg, 0.014 mmol, 0.05 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at 23 ºC for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 20% acetone in hexanes) afforded ketone 246a (88.7 mg, 0.258 mmol, 91% yield) as a yellow oil. 90% ee, $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ –30.9 (c 4.45, CHCl$_3$); $R_f$ = 0.55 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 7.32–7.26 (m, 5H), 5.23 (d, $J = 1.4$ Hz, 1H), 5.08 (d, $J = 2.0$ Hz, 1H), 4.67 (dd, $J = 8.3$, 4.4 Hz, 1H), 3.16 (dd, $J = 14.0$, 8.5 Hz, 1H), 3.09 (dd, $J = 13.9$, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 2.99 (d, $J = 14.1$ Hz, 1H), 2.71 (d, $J = 14.1$ Hz, 1H), 2.38 (ddd, $J = 14.4$, 10.8, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.30 (dt, $J = 13.9$, 4.8 Hz, 1H), 1.87 (dt, $J = 15.3$, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 1.77–1.60 (m, 5H), 1.38 (s, 9H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 214.9, 156.3, 144.9, 142.7, 128.6, 127.7, 126.7, 118.3, 79.1, 54.0, 44.9, 39.8, 39.7, 34.4, 28.5, 27.2, 20.9; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3463, 3374, 2975, 2935, 2865, 1713, 1703, 1699, 1505, 1455, 1365, 1247, 1169 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C$_{21}$H$_{30}$NO$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 344.2226, found 344.2236; SFC conditions:
15% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralpak AD-H column, $\lambda = 210$ nm, $t_R$ (min): major = 2.46, minor = 2.78.

**(S)-**tert-Butyl (1-allyl-2-oxocycloheptyl)methylcarbamate (246b): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Ketoester 245b (97.6 mg, 0.300 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 23 ºC for 20 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 10→15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded ketone 246b (78.7 mg, 0.280 mmol, 93% yield) as a pale yellow oil. 87% ee, $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = –22.7 (c 0.85, CHCl$_3$); $R_f$ = 0.53 (20% EtOAc in hexanes); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 5.72 (ddt, $J = 17.3, 10.4, 7.5$ Hz, 1H), 5.12–5.03 (m, 2H), 4.93 (brs, 1H), 3.31–3.19 (m, 2H), 2.65–2.56 (m, 1H), 2.46 (ddd, $J = 11.3, 8.8, 2.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.35 (m, 1H), 2.20 (m, 1H), 1.79–1.41 (m, 8H), 1.41 (s, 9H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 217.1, 156.2, 133.2, 118.8, 79.3, 54.8, 45.2, 41.1, 39.4, 33.3, 30.8, 28.5, 26.7, 24.7; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3372, 2930, 1716, 1698, 1503, 1365, 1247, 1117 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) $m/z$ calc’d for C$_{17}$H$_{28}$NO$_3$ [M+H]: 282.2064, found 282.2051; SFC conditions: 5% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralpak AD-H column, $\lambda = 210$ nm, $t_R$ (min): major = 4.25, minor = 4.63.

**(S)-**tert-Butyl (1-allyl-2-oxocyclopentyl)methylcarbamate (246c): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Ketoester 245c (59.5 mg, 0.200 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 23 ºC for 20 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 10→15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded ketone 246c (50.0 mg, 0.196 mmol, 98% yield) as a colorless oil. 82% ee, $[\alpha]_D^{25}$ = –12.8 (c 0.96, CHCl$_3$); $R_f$ = 0.38 (25% EtOAc in hexanes);
1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.69 (ddt, J = 17.4, 10.2, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.14–5.05 (m, 2H), 4.86 (brs, 1H), 3.25 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.14 (dd, J = 13.9, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.30–2.23 (m, 2H), 2.20–2.13 (m, 2H), 1.99–1.79 (m, 4H), 1.43 (s, 9H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 222.6, 156.3, 133.0, 119.1, 79.5, 52.5, 44.0, 38.4, 37.5, 31.1, 28.5, 18.8; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3360, 2975, 1713, 1510, 1365, 1248, 1166 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C₁₄H₂₃NO₃Na [M+Na]+: 276.1570, found 276.1565; SFC conditions: 5% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralpak AD-H column, λ = 210 nm, tᵣ (min): major = 2.97, minor = 4.26.

(S)-tert-Butyl ((1-allyl-4-isobutoxy-2-oxocyclohept-3-en-1-yl)methyl)carbamate (246d): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Ketoester 245d (100 mg, 0.253 mmol); [Pd₂(pmdba)₃] (13.9 mg, 0.012 mmol, 0.05 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at 23 ºC for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 10% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded ketone 246d (62.2 mg, 0.177 mmol, 70% yield) as a pale yellow oil. 92% ee, [α]D²⁵ = -28.7 (c 0.65, CHCl₃); Rₚ = 0.6 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); 1H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 5.70 (ddt, J = 17.5, 10.3, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.28 (s, 1H), 5.10–5.03 (m, 3H), 3.53–3.44 (m, 2H), 3.33 (dd, J = 13.6, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 3.18 (dd, J = 13.6, 6.4 Hz, 1H), 2.55–2.42 (m, 2H), 2.37–2.28 (m, 2H), 1.98 (dt, J = 13.3, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 1.94–1.87 (m, 1H), 1.81–1.72 (m, 3H), 1.41 (s, 9H), 0.95 (d, J = 6.7 Hz, 6H); 13C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 205.8, 172.7, 156.4, 133.4, 118.8, 104.9, 79.1, 74.7, 55.5, 47.1, 41.3, 36.1, 31.6, 28.6, 28.0, 20.5, 19.3; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3373, 3075, 2972, 2931, 2868, 1716, 1694, 1504, 1393, 1366, 1249, 1166 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C₂₀H₃₄NO₄ [M+H]+: 352.2488, found 352.2474; SFC conditions: 3% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralpak AS-H column, λ = 254 nm, tᵣ (min): major = 4.41, minor = 6.12.
(S)-tert-Butyl ((2-allyl-1-oxo-1,2,3,4-tetrahydronaphthalen-2-yl)methyl)carbamate (246e): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Ketoester 245e (81 mg, 0.225 mmol); [Pd₂(pmdba)₃] (12.3 mg, 0.011 mmol, 0.05 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at 23 ºC for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 10% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded ketone 246e (52.2 mg, 0.167 mmol, 74% yield) as a pale yellow oil. 93% ee, [α]D²⁵ –1.3 (c 1.32, CHCl₃); Rf = 0.6 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 8.00 (dd, J = 8.0, 1.4 Hz, 1H), 7.48 (td, J = 7.5, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 7.30 (td, J = 7.6, 1.2 Hz, 1H), 7.23 (d, J = 7.6 Hz, 1H), 5.79 (m, 1H), 5.15–5.05 (m, 3H), 3.50 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.29 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.9 Hz, 1H), 3.11 (ddd, J = 16.9, 11.1, 5.3 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (dt, J = 17.5, 4.6 Hz, 1H), 2.37 (dd, J = 14.2, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.28 (dd, J = 14.2, 6.8 Hz, 1H), 2.11 (ddd, J = 14.0, 11.1, 5.2 Hz, 1H), 2.03 (dt, J = 14.0, 4.7 Hz, 1H), 1.41 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 202.2, 156.4, 143.5, 133.7, 132.7, 131.6, 129.0, 127.9, 126.9, 119.2, 79.2, 49.3, 44.8, 36.6, 28.9, 28.5, 25.0; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3449, 3378, 3073, 2976, 2930, 1716, 1699, 1678, 1600, 1505, 1455, 1365, 1232, 1170 cm⁻¹; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C₁₉H₂₆NO₃ [M+H]⁺: 316.1913, found 316.1920; SFC conditions: 15% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralpak AD-H column, λ = 210 nm, tₘ (min): major = 2.48, minor = 2.80.

(S)-tert-Butyl ((3-allyl-1-benzyl-4-oxopiperidin-3-yl)methyl)carbamate (246f): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Ketoester 245f (115 mg, 0.286 mmol); [Pd₂(pmdba)₃] (15.7 mg, 0.014 mmol, 0.05 equiv). The reaction mixture was
stirred at 23 °C for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 10% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded ketone 246f (79.3 mg, 0.223 mmol, 78% yield) as a pale yellow oil. 90% ee, $[\alpha]_D^{25} = -34.0$ (c 1.58, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.55 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.37–7.27 (m, 5H), 5.61 (m, 1H), 5.07 (m, 1H), 5.04 (d, $J = 1.1$ Hz, 1H), 5.00 (m, 1H), 3.58 (d, $J = 13.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.53 (d, $J = 13.0$ Hz, 1H), 3.37 (dd, $J = 14.0$, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 3.19 (dd, $J = 14.0$, 5.7 Hz, 1H), 2.84 (m, 1H), 2.69 (d, $J = 11.6$ Hz, 1H), 2.63–2.50 (m, 3H), 2.48–2.36 (m, 3H), 1.41 (s, 9H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 212.8, 156.2, 138.3, 132.6, 129.0, 128.5, 127.4, 119.2, 79.3, 62.3, 59.7, 53.6, 53.1, 44.1, 39.5, 38.1, 28.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3452, 3373, 3063, 2976, 2929, 2807, 1713, 1638, 1504, 1391, 1365, 1248, 1170 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C$_{21}$H$_{31}$N$_2$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 359.2335, found 359.2345; SFC conditions: 8% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralpak AD-H column, $\lambda = 210$ nm, $t_R$ (min): major = 4.94, minor = 6.46.

(S)-tert-Butyl ((3-allyl-1-benzoyl-2-oxopiperidin-3-yl)methyl)carbamate (246g): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Amidoester 245g (83.3 mg, 0.200 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 40 °C for 20 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 15→20% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded lactam 246g (69.7 mg, 0.187 mmol, 94%) as a colorless oil. 90% ee, $[\alpha]_D^{25} = +33.6$ (c 1.05, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.29 (25% EtOAc in hexanes); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.56–7.46 (m, 3H), 7.44–7.37 (m, 1H), 5.78 (m, 1H), 5.24–5.15 (m, 2H), 4.96 (m, 1H), 3.84 (m, 1H), 3.73 (ddd, $J = 12.7$, 10.3, 4.3 Hz, 1H), 3.37 (dd, $J = 13.8$, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 3.22 (dd, $J = 13.8$, 6.5 Hz, 1H), 2.60 (dd, $J = 13.8$, 8.0 Hz, 1H), 2.48 (dd, $J = 13.8$, 6.7 Hz, 1H), 2.12–1.93 (m, 3H), 1.82 (m, 1H), 1.42 (s, 9H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 178.6, 175.4, 156.4, 136.3, 131.9,
131.8, 128.4, 127.6, 120.1, 79.5, 48.8, 47.2, 46.0, 39.7, 28.8, 28.5, 19.3; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3373, 2975, 1693, 1678, 1502, 1390, 1365, 1272, 1248, 1167 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C₂₃H₂₈N₂O₄Na [M+Na]⁺: 395.1941, found 395.1954; SFC conditions: 10% MeOH, 3.0 mL/min, Chiralpak AD-H column, λ = 254 nm, tᵣ (min): major = 2.64, minor = 3.12.

(R)-tert-Butyl ((2-allyl-4-benzoyl-3-oxomorpholin-2-yl)methyl)carbamate (246h):

The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Morpholinone 245h (33.0 mg, 0.079 mmol). The reaction mixture was stirred at 40 ºC for 12 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 15→20% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded morpholinone 246h (27.3 mg, 0.073 mmol, 92%) as a colorless oil. 99% ee, [α]D²⁵ +10.8 (c 0.93, CHCl₃); Rᵣ = 0.43 (33% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.57–7.48 (m, 3H), 7.43–7.38 (m, 2H), 5.89 (m, 1H), 5.23–5.17 (m, 2H), 4.88 (br s, 1H), 4.14–3.88 (m, 4H), 3.63 (m, 1H), 3.40 (dd, J = 14.1, 5.6 Hz, 1H), 2.69 (dd, J = 14.3, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (dd, J = 14.3, 7.0 Hz, 1H), 1.44 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 173.0, 172.6, 155.9, 135.6, 132.1, 131.7, 128.3, 128.1, 119.9, 82.2, 79.9, 60.6, 46.0, 45.5, 40.0, 28.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3382, 2978, 1707, 1689, 1509, 1367, 1281, 1250, 1225, 1166, 1091 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C₂₀H₂₆N₂O₅Na [M+Na]⁺: 397.1734, found 397.1728; SFC conditions: 3% MeOH, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralpak AS-H column, λ = 254 nm, tᵣ (min): major = 4.06, minor = 4.62.
(S)-tert-Butyl \((3\text{-allyl}-4\text{-oxo}-9\text{-tosyl}-2,3,4,9\text{-tetrahydro}-1H\text{-carbazol}-3\text{-yl})\text{methyl)carbamate (246i)}: The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Ketoester 245i (100 mg, 0.181 mmol); \([\text{Pd}_2(\text{pmdba})_3]\) (10.0 mg, 0.009 mmol, 0.05 equiv). The reaction mixture was stirred at 40 °C for 48 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO\(_2\), 10% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded ketone 246i (46.9 mg, 0.091 mmol, 51% yield) as a white foam.\(^{24}\) 92% ee, \([\alpha]_{D}^{25} -13.3 (c 0.28, \text{C}_6\text{H}_6)\); \(R_f = 0.45\) (25% EtOAc in hexanes); \(^1\text{H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl}_3\) \(\delta 8.20\) (m, 1H), 8.16 (dd, \(J = 7.3, 1.8\) Hz, 1H), 7.77 (d, \(J = 8.4\) Hz, 2H), 7.41–7.31 (m, 2H), 7.28 (m, 2H), 5.77 (m, 1H), 5.11 (m, 1H), 5.08 (dd, \(J = 17.1, 1.8\) Hz, 1H), 5.05 (br t, \(J = 6.7\) Hz, 1H), 3.49 (dd, \(J = 13.9, 6.2\) Hz, 1H), 3.44 (dt, \(J = 19.2, 4.8\) Hz, 1H), 3.33–3.28 (m, 1H), 3.27 (dd, \(J = 13.9, 7.0\) Hz, 1H), 2.38 (s, 3H), 2.32–2.28 (m, 2H), 2.16–2.11 (m, 2H), 1.40 (s, 9H); \(^{13}\text{C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl}_3\) \(\delta 199.1, 156.4, 150.1, 146.1, 136.4, 135.6, 132.9, 130.5, 126.8, 126.0, 125.6, 125.1, 121.9, 119.3, 116.6, 114.1, 79.4, 49.4, 44.6, 37.5, 29.5, 28.5, 21.8, 21.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3432, 3372, 3058, 2976, 2928, 1712, 1657, 1505, 1451, 1407, 1366, 1247, 1173 cm\(^{-1}\); HRMS (ESI+) \(m/z\) calc’d for \(C_{28}H_{33}N_2O_5S\) [M+H]+: 509.2105, found 509.2094; SFC conditions: 15% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralcel OB-H column, \(\lambda = 210\) nm, \(t_R\) (min): major = 7.21, minor = 5.19.
Chapter 4 – Enantioselective Synthesis of α-Quaternary Mannich Adducts

(S)-tert-Butyl-((3-allyl-1-(benzyloxy)-2,6-dioxopiperidin-3-yl)methyl)carbamate (246j): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. Imidoester 245j (72 mg, 0.166 mmol, 1.0 equiv); [Pd₂(pmdba)₃] (7.6 mg, 0.008 mmol, 0.05 equiv); ligand (76, 12.4 mg, 0.0208 mmol, 0.125 equiv); toluene (5.1 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred at 60 °C for 24 h. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 30% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded imide 246j (49 mg, 76% yield) as a clear colorless oil. 55% ee; Rᵣ = 0.5 (40% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 7.52–7.48 (m, 2H), 7.39–7.36 (m, 3H), 5.66 (ddt, J = 17.3, 10.1, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.19–5.16 (m, 1H), 5.13 (dq, J = 16.9, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 5.04–4.97 (m, 2H), 4.82 (t, J = 6.6 Hz, 1H), 3.40 (dd, J = 14.1, 6.2 Hz, 1H), 3.31 (dd, J = 14.1, 7.3 Hz, 1H), 2.84–2.69 (m, 2H), 2.30 (d, J = 7.4 Hz, 2H), 1.89–1.76 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 9H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 172.6, 167.8, 156.3, 133.8, 131.2, 130.3, 129.4, 128.6, 120.5, 80.0, 78.2, 48.3, 45.4, 38.2, 29.4, 28.5, 23.3; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3377, 3064, 3033, 2975, 2935, 2251, 1734, 1718, 1696, 1507, 1452, 1365, 1248, 1169, 973, 914, 750, 699 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI⁺) m/z calc’d for C₂₁H₂₈N₂O₅Na [M+Na]⁺: 411.1890, found 411.1885; SFC conditions: 5% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralcel OB-H column, λ = 210 nm, tᵣ (min): major = 3.74, minor = 3.01.

tert-Butyl (S)-((7-allyl-10-methyl-6-oxo-6,7,8,9-tetrahydropyrido[1,2-a]indol-7-yl)methyl)carbamate (165g): The reaction was conducted according to general procedure B. α-Quaternary β-amidoester 172g (37 mg, 0.09 mmol, 1.0 equiv);
Pd$_2$(pmdba)$_3$ (4.9 mg, 4.5 µmol, 0.05 equiv); (S)-(CF$_3$)$_3$-r-BuPHOX (76, 6.6 mg, 11.1 µmol, 0.125 equiv); TBME (2.7 mL). The reaction mixture was stirred for 12 h at 60 °C.

Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 30% Et$_2$O in hexanes) afforded α-quaternary DHPI 165g (20 mg, 61% yield) as an off-white foam: $R_f = 0.33$ (7:3 hexanes:Et$_2$O eluent); 92% ee, [α]$_D^{25} +40.1$ (c 0.41, CHCl$_3$); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 8.45–8.39 (m, 1H), 7.46–7.41 (m, 1H), 7.32–7.27 (m, 2H), 5.83 (ddt, J = 16.7, 10.3, 7.4 Hz, 1H), 5.21–5.11 (m, 3H), 3.61 (dd, J = 13.9, 6.1 Hz, 1H), 3.42 (dd, J = 13.9, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.04 (dt, J = 16.8, 4.9 Hz, 1H), 2.94 (dddt, J = 18.4, 10.9, 6.2, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.50 (dt, J = 7.3, 1.2 Hz, 2H), 2.20–2.17 (m, 3H), 2.11–2.00 (m, 2H), 1.43 (s, 9H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 173.3, 156.4, 134.8, 132.6, 132.1, 131.6, 124.4, 124.1, 119.8, 118.0, 116.5, 112.8, 79.5, 48.1, 45.4, 37.9, 28.5, 27.2, 17.8, 8.5; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3448, 3373, 3069, 2975, 2931, 2865, 1716, 1690, 1625, 1507, 1457, 1384, 1365, 1340, 1318, 1246, 1168, 1078, 916, 752 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (FAB+) m/z calc’d for C$_{22}$H$_{29}$N$_2$O$_3$ [M+H]$^+$: 369.2178, found 369.2169; SFC conditions: 5% IPA, 2.5 mL/min, Chiralcel OD-H column, $\lambda = 210$ nm, $t_R$ (min): major = 19.76, minor = 21.43.
Alcohol 248: To a solution of enantioenriched ketone 240b (851 mg, 2.82 mmol) in CH₂Cl₂ (14.2 mL) was added DIBAL (6.21 mL, 1.0 M solution in CH₂Cl₂, 6.21 mmol, 2.20 equiv) dropwise at −78 °C. After stirring at −78 °C for 15 min, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous Rochelle’s salt (20 mL) and stirred at 23 °C for 2 h. The phases were separated and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (2 x 25 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. The crude product was used for the next reaction without further purification.

To a solution of the crude secondary alcohol in Ac₂O (7.1 mL) was added pyridine (7.1 mL) at room temperature. After full consumption of the starting material was observed by TLC analysis, the reaction mixture was concentrated and azeotropically dried with toluene twice. The resulting residue was used in the next reaction without further purification.

To a flame-dried flask was added cyclohexene (1.43 mL, 14.1 mmol, 5.00 equiv), diethyl ether (10 mL), and BH₃•Me₂S (7.05 mL, 2.0 M solution in THF, 3.5 mmol, 1.24 equiv) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at 0 °C for 3 h, then the solid was allowed to settle without stirring, and the supernatant was removed using a syringe. To the resulting solid was added THF (8.0 mL) and a solution of acetate 247 in THF (6.2 mL) at 0 °C. After full consumption of acetate 247 by TLC analysis, the reaction mixture
was quenched with NaBO$_3$ (3.25 g, 21.2 mmol, 7.52 equiv) and H$_2$O (14 mL) and stirred at room temperature for 1 h. The reaction mixture was diluted with EtOAc, the phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with EtOAc (2 x 25 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, 30→50% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded alcohol 248 (886 mg, 86% yield, over 3 steps) as a colorless oil. [α]$_D^{25}$ +7.5 (c 0.95, CHCl$_3$); $R_f$ = 0.33 (50% EtOAc in hexanes); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 7.39–7.28 (m, 5H), 5.35 (m, 1H), 5.14–5.02 (m, 2H), 4.77 (dd, $J = 9.7, 4.5$ Hz, 1H), 3.68–3.58 (m, 2H), 3.30 (dd, $J = 14.3, 8.0$ Hz, 1H), 2.88 (dd, $J = 14.2, 5.5$ Hz, 1H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 1.71–1.16 (m, 12H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) $\delta$ 171.4, 157.1, 136.7, 128.6, 128.3, 128.2, 75.3, 66.9, 63.5, 45.5, 40.9, 30.0, 26.9, 26.0, 25.3, 23.9, 21.4, 20.4; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3385, 2937, 2866, 1718, 1528, 1455, 1374, 1247, 1026 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI+) $m/z$ calc’d for C$_{20}$H$_{30}$NO$_5$ [M+H]$^+$: 364.2118, found 364.2109.

**Spirocycle 249:** To a solution of primary alcohol 248 (865 mg, 2.38 mmol) in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (12 mL) was added Et$_3$N (0.497 mL, 3.57 mmol, 1.50 equiv) and MsCl (0.203 mL, 2.63 mmol, 1.10 equiv) at 0 ºC. After full consumption of alcohol 248 was observed by TLC analysis, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NaHCO$_3$ (25 mL) and the phases were separated. The aqueous phase was extracted with CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (2 x 25 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over Na$_2$SO$_4$, filtered, and concentrated
under reduced pressure. The crude product was used in the next reaction without further purification.

To a suspension of sodium hydride (114 mg, 60 wt% dispersion in mineral oil, 2.86 mmol) in THF (6 mL) was added a solution of the above methanesulfonate in THF (6 mL) at 0 °C. The reaction mixture was stirred at reflux for 2 h. Upon cooling to 23 °C, the reaction mixture was quenched with saturated aqueous NH₄Cl (20 mL) and diluted with CH₂Cl₂ (20 mL). The phases were separated, and the aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (3 x 25 mL). The combined organic phases were dried over Na₂SO₄, filtered, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, 15% EtOAc in hexanes) afforded spirocycle 249 (732 mg, 89% yield, over 2 steps) as a colorless oil. [α]D^25 +46.8 (c 0.97, CHCl₃); Rf = 0.57 (33% EtOAc in hexanes); ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of rotamers) δ 7.39–7.26 (m, 5H), 5.20–5.01 (m, 2H), 4.86–4.61 (m, 1H), 3.96–2.91 (m, 4H), 2.05 (s, 3H), 1.87–1.00 (m, 12H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃, mixture of rotamers) δ 170.6, 155.7, 137.0, 128.6, 128.1, 128.0, 75.2 (74.3), 67.2, 51.4 (50.7), 44.8, 37.0 (36.9), 30.6 (29.1), 30.2, 26.5, 22.4 (21.8), 21.3, 20.8 (20.7), 20.6 (20.5); IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2938, 2861, 1732, 1699, 1434, 1242 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C₂⁰H₂₉NO₄ [M+H]^+: 346.2013, found 346.2016.

(2)-Isonitramine (241): To a solution of spirocycle 249 (712 mg, 2.06 mmol) in ethylene glycol (13 mL) was added KOH (3.00 g, 53.4 mmol, 25.92 equiv) and hydrazine hydrate (0.51 mL) at 23 °C. After stirring at 120 °C for 1.5 h, the reaction mixture cooled to 23
°C and diluted with H₂O (100 mL). The aqueous phase was extracted with CH₂Cl₂ (200 mL) using a continuous liquid/liquid extractor and the organic phase was concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography (SiO₂, CHCl₃:MeOH:NH₃(aq) = 46:50:4 eluent) afforded (−)-isonitramine (241) (270 mg, 77% yield) as a white solid. [α]D²⁵ −4.1 (c 0.96, CHCl₃); Lit: [α]D²⁰ −5.0 (c 2.1, CHCl₃)¹⁰; Rf = 0.30 (CHCl₃:MeOH:NH₃(aq) = 46:50:4); m.p. 86.9–88.8 °C; ¹H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 3.66 (dd, J = 11.3, 3.7 Hz, 1H), 3.04 (m, 1H), 2.94 (m, 1H), 2.60 (ddd, J = 11.3, 11.3, 3.4 Hz, 1H), 2.52 (d, J = 11.3 Hz, 1H), 2.24 (m, 1H), 2.06 (m, 1H), 1.78–1.14 (m, 8H), 1.06 (ddd, J = 13.3, 13.3, 5.5 Hz, 1H), 0.96 (m, 1H); ¹³C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl₃) δ 80.7, 61.0, 47.4, 36.9, 36.3, 29.9, 29.0, 24.4, 23.3, 20.4; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 3292, 2929, 2858, 1539, 1457, 1419, 1282, 1064 cm⁻¹; HRMS (ESI+) m/z calc’d for C₁₀H₂₀NO [M+H]+: 170.1539, found 170.1541.

(−)-Sibirinine (242): An oven-dried 1-dram vial was charged with a magnetic stirring bar, (−)-isonitramine (241, 20 mg, 0.118 mmol), oven-dried powdered 3 Å molecular sieves (40 mg), and CH₂Cl₂ (1.5 mL). To this stirring suspension was added acetaldehyde (0.133 mL, 2.36 mmol, 20.0 equiv). The vial was sealed with a teflon-lined cap, and the reaction was stirred at 23 °C for 30 h. The reaction mixture was then filtered through celite, washing with CH₂Cl₂. The filtrate was concentrated under reduced pressure to yield a pale yellow oil, which was used in the subsequent reaction without further purification.
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The above crude hemiaminal was dissolved in CH$_2$Cl$_2$ (1.2 mL) and cooled to 0 °C (water/ice bath). To this stirring solution was added m-CPBA (29 mg, 0.13 mmol) in one portion. After 15 min, full consumption of starting material was observed by TLC analysis. The reaction mixture was filtered through celite, washing with CH$_2$Cl$_2$, and concentrated under reduced pressure. Flash column chromatography (SiO$_2$, CH$_2$Cl$_2$: NH$_3$ (7N solution in MeOH) = 92:8 eluent) afforded (+)-sibirinine (242) (22.9 mg, 92% yield, over 2 steps) as a colorless oil. [α]$_D^{25}$ +10.3 (c 0.56, CHCl$_3$); R$_f$ = 0.40 (CH$_2$Cl$_2$: NH$_3$ (7N solution in MeOH) = 9:1); $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 4.50 (qd, $J$ = 5.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.73 (dd, $J$ = 13.4, 7.1 Hz, 1H), 3.53 (ddd, $J$ = 12.0, 4.1, 1.5 Hz, 1H), 3.21 (d, $J$ = 12.2 Hz, 1H), 3.11 (dt, $J$ = 12.2, 2.5 Hz, 1H), 3.03 (ddddd, $J$ = 14.7, 13.4, 5.5, 1.6 Hz, 1H), 2.45 (tdt, $J$ = 14.4, 13.5, 5.9 Hz, 1H), 2.32 (dd, $J$ = 14.1, 5.8 Hz, 1H), 1.87 (dtt, $J$ = 13.1, 3.8, 1.7 Hz, 1H), 1.79 (dq, $J$ = 12.3, 3.6 Hz, 1H), 1.65 (d, $J$ = 5.8 Hz, 3H), 1.64–1.60 (m, 1H), 1.57–1.46 (m, 2H), 1.46 (dt, $J$ = 13.0, 4.0 Hz, 1H), 1.41–1.31 (m, 2H), 1.23 (m, 1H), 1.17 (m, 1H); $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) δ 102.2, 84.4, 77.8, 62.5, 38.2, 34.7, 27.0, 26.3, 24.7, 21.2, 19.6, 14.6; IR (Neat Film, NaCl) 2934, 2854, 1466, 1446, 1367, 1138, 1120, 1103, 961, 940 cm$^{-1}$; HRMS (ESI/APCI) m/z calc’d for C$_{12}$H$_{22}$NO$_2$ [M+H]$^+$: 212.1645, found 212.1640.
### Determination of Enantiomeric Excess

Table 4.7.3.1. Determination of Enantiomeric Excess and Optical Rotation – Part 1

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>compound</th>
<th>analytic conditions</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
<th>polarimetry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td><a href="#">240a</a></td>
<td>SFC: 5% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 210 nm t_R (min): major 3.73, minor 4.30</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} –25.5 (c 0.865, C_6H_6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td><a href="#">240b</a></td>
<td>SFC: 5% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 210 nm t_R (min): major 8.12, minor 9.06</td>
<td>86</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} –38.6 (c 1.20, CHCl_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td><a href="#">240c</a></td>
<td>SFC: 10% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralcel OJ-H, λ = 210 nm t_R (min): major 9.47, minor 11.13</td>
<td>83</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} –29.3 (c 0.76, CHCl_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td><a href="#">240d</a></td>
<td>SFC: 10% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralcel OB-H, λ = 210 nm t_R (min): major 6.53, minor 8.13</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} –28.9 (c 0.40, CHCl_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td><a href="#">240e</a></td>
<td>SFC: 10% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralpak AS-H, λ = 210 nm t_R (min): major 6.94, minor 8.24</td>
<td>77</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} –27.4 (c 0.78, CHCl_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td><a href="#">240f</a></td>
<td>SFC: 20% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 210 nm t_R (min): major 4.04, minor 4.91</td>
<td>56</td>
<td>Specific Rotation Not Determined</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td><a href="#">240g</a></td>
<td>SFC: 15% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralcel OJ-H, λ = 210 nm t_R (min): major 3.14, minor 3.85</td>
<td>24</td>
<td>Specific Rotation Not Determined</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
### Table 4.7.3.2. Determination of Enantiomeric Excess and Optical Rotation – Part 2

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>compound</th>
<th>analytic conditions</th>
<th>ee (%)</th>
<th>polarimetry</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>246a</td>
<td>SFC: 15% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 210 nm t_R(min): major 2.46, minor 2.78</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} −30.87 (c 4.45, CHCl_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>246b</td>
<td>SFC: 5% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 210 nm t_R(min): major 4.25, minor 4.63</td>
<td>87</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} −22.7 (c 0.85, CHCl_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>246c</td>
<td>SFC: 5% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 210 nm t_R(min): major 2.97, minor 4.26</td>
<td>82</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} −12.8 (c 0.96, CHCl_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>246d</td>
<td>SFC: 3% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 210 nm t_R(min): major 4.41, minor 6.12</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} −28.7 (c 1.65, CHCl_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>246e</td>
<td>SFC: 15% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 210 nm t_R(min): major 2.48, minor 2.80</td>
<td>93</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} −1.3 (c 1.32, CHCl_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>246f</td>
<td>SFC: 8% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 210 nm t_R(min): major 4.94, minor 6.46</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} −34.0 (c 1.58, CHCl_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>246g</td>
<td>SFC: 10% MeOH, 3.0 mL/min Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 254 nm t_R(min): major 2.64, minor 3.12</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} +33.6 (c 1.05, CHCl_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>246h</td>
<td>SFC: 3% MeOH, 2.5 mL/min Chiralpak AD-H, λ = 254 nm t_R(min): major 4.06, minor 4.62</td>
<td>99</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} +10.8 (c 1.93, CHCl_3)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>9</td>
<td>246i</td>
<td>SFC: 15% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralcel OB-H, λ = 210 nm t_R(min): major 7.21, minor 5.19</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} −13.3 (c 0.28, C_6H_6)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>10</td>
<td>246j</td>
<td>SFC: 5% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralcel OB-H, λ = 210 nm t_R(min): major 3.74, minor 3.01</td>
<td>55</td>
<td>ND</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>11</td>
<td>165g</td>
<td>SFC: 5% IPA, 2.5 mL/min Chiralcel OD-H, λ = 210 nm t_R(min): major 19.76, minor 21.43</td>
<td>92</td>
<td>[α]_D^{25} +40.1 (c 0.41, CHCl_3)</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.7.4 COMPARISON OF SYNTHETIC (+)-SIBIRININE TO PUBLISHED DATA

As detailed above, we found that hemiaminal formation and subsequent N-oxidation of (-)-isonitramine (241) furnished (+)-sibirinine (242). This finding is in contrast to a previous report, where (-)-sibirinine (242) was obtained in a similar sequence from (-)-isonitramine (241).\textsuperscript{12} Given that the optical rotation of our synthesized (-)-isonitramine (241) matches those found in previously reported syntheses,\textsuperscript{11} and that the optical rotation reported in the isolation paper of (-)-isonitramine\textsuperscript{10} (241) has been refuted,\textsuperscript{11\textit{a}} the optical rotation values reported in the isolation of sibirinine\textsuperscript{12} (242) should be regarded as incorrect.

Limited spectral data were available for sibirinine (242) in the isolation paper.\textsuperscript{12} Full $^{13}$C NMR, partial $^1$H NMR, optical rotation, and HRMS data were reported. Comparisons between data obtained from the synthetic material and data available in the literature are detailed in the following table.
Table 4.7.4.1. Comparison of Synthetic and Natural Sibirinine (242)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Synthetic (+)-sibirine</th>
<th>Natural sibirinines&lt;sup&gt;12&lt;/sup&gt;</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td><strong>&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;)</strong></td>
<td><strong>&lt;sup&gt;1&lt;/sup&gt;H NMR (CDCl&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4.50 (qd, J = 5.8, 1.5 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>4.58 (qd, J = 5.7, 1.2 Hz, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.21 (d, J = 12.2 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>3.31 (d, J = 12 Hz, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3.11 (dt, J = 12.2, 2.5 Hz, 1H)</td>
<td>3.17 (dd, J = 12, 2.3 Hz, 1H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>1.65 (d, J = 5.8 Hz, 3H)</td>
<td>1.65 (d, J = 5.7 Hz, 3H)</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td><strong>&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt;C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;)</strong></td>
<td><strong>&lt;sup&gt;13&lt;/sup&gt;C NMR (CDCl&lt;sub&gt;3&lt;/sub&gt;)</strong></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>102.2</td>
<td>101.9</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>84.4</td>
<td>84.3</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>77.8</td>
<td>77.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>62.5</td>
<td>62.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>38.2</td>
<td>38.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>34.7</td>
<td>34.5</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>27.0</td>
<td>26.8</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>26.3</td>
<td>26.1</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>24.7</td>
<td>24.6</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>21.2</td>
<td>21.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>19.6</td>
<td>19.0</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>14.6</td>
<td>14.4</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>
4.8 Notes and References


15. See experimental section.

17. In some cases, a free N–H functionality can act as a good nucleophile toward α-allylpalladium complexes. For examples, see: Tsuji, J. Palladium Reagents and Catalysts–New Perspectives for the 21st Century; John Wiley & Sons, Ltd.: 2004.


24. α-Quaternary carbazolone 245i decomposes in chloroform after approximately 30 minutes. The resulting impurity was not isolated or identified.
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Synthetic Summary for Chapter 4:

Enantioselective Synthesis of $\alpha$-Quaternary Mannich Adducts:

Total Syntheses of (-)-Isonitramine and (+)-Sibirinine

Scheme A8.1. Synthesis and Application of $\alpha$-Quaternary Mannich Adducts

A. Our Re-Envisioned Strategy

B. Natural Product Synthesis

(–)-Isonitramine

(+)−Sibirinine
Table A8.1. Optimization of the Amine Protecting Group

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>entry</th>
<th>R (239 → 240)</th>
<th>ligand</th>
<th>yield [%]</th>
<th>ee [%]</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>1</td>
<td>Boc (239a → 240a)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>94</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>2</td>
<td>Boc (239a → 240a)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>80</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>3</td>
<td>Cbz (239b → 240b)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>96</td>
<td>86</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>4</td>
<td>X = OMe (239c → 240c)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>91</td>
<td>83</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>5</td>
<td>X = H (239d → 240d)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>90</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>6</td>
<td>X = F (239e → 240e)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>84</td>
<td>77</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>7</td>
<td>Bz (239f → 240f)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td>56</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>8</td>
<td>Ts (239g → 240g)</td>
<td>76</td>
<td>54</td>
<td>24</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

\(^a\)Reaction performed with 0.2 mmol of 239, 5 mol % of Pd\(_2\)(dba)\(_3\) (dba = dibenzylideneacetone), 12.5 mol % of ligand in toluene (0.033 M) at 23 °C.

\(^b\)Isolated yield.

\(^c\)Determined by chiral SFC analysis. Absolute stereochemistry has been assigned by analogy, except in entry 2, which was assigned by conversion into (−)-isonitramine (241).

\(^d\)A yield was not determined.
Table A8.2. Two-Step Enantioselective Synthesis of α-Aminomethyl Carbonyl Compounds (246) from β-Oxoesters (244)

<table>
<thead>
<tr>
<th>Reaction</th>
<th>Yield</th>
<th>ee</th>
</tr>
</thead>
<tbody>
<tr>
<td>245a</td>
<td>79%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246a</td>
<td>91%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245b</td>
<td>72%</td>
<td>87%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246b</td>
<td>93%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245c</td>
<td>86%</td>
<td>82%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246c</td>
<td>98%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245d</td>
<td>83%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246d</td>
<td>70%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245e</td>
<td>99%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246e</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td>93%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245f</td>
<td>90%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246f</td>
<td>78%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245g</td>
<td>74%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246g</td>
<td>94%</td>
<td>90%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245h</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246h</td>
<td>92%</td>
<td>99%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245i</td>
<td>80%</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246i</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>245j</td>
<td>ND</td>
<td></td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>246j</td>
<td>76%</td>
<td>55%</td>
</tr>
<tr>
<td>165g</td>
<td>61%</td>
<td>92%</td>
</tr>
</tbody>
</table>

*Reaction conditions for the Pd-catalyzed allylic alkylation: 245 (1 equiv), Pd₂(db₃)₃ (5 mol %) and XX (12.5 mol %) in toluene (0.033 M) at 23 °C for 12–48 h.

*Enantiomeric excesses were determined by chiral SFC analysis.

*Pd₂(pmdba)₃ (pmdba = bis(4-methoxybenzylidene)acetone) was used instead of Pd₂(db₃)₃.

*Reactions were performed on 245g, 245h, and 245i at 40 °C.

*A detailed synthetic procedure for compound 245j was not found, therefore a yield cannot be claimed.

*Reaction was performed in TMBE (0.033 M) at 60 °C.
Scheme A8.2. Total Syntheses of \((-\)-Isonitrine (241) and \((+\)-Sibirine (242)

\[
\begin{align*}
\text{239b} & \xrightarrow{\text{Pd(dba)}_2 (2.5 \text{ mol\%})} 76 (6.25 \text{ mol\%}) \\
& \text{toluene, 23 °C, 24 h} \\
& \text{(94\% yield, 86\% ee)} \\
& \text{240b} \\
\text{247} & \xrightarrow{\text{Cy}_2\text{BH}} \xrightarrow{\text{NaBO}_2\cdot4\text{H}_2\text{O}} \xrightarrow{\text{KOH}} \\
& \text{THF, 0 °C;} \xrightarrow{\text{H}_2\text{O}, 23 °C} \\
& \text{(85\% yield, 3 steps)} \\
& \text{248} \\
\text{249} & \xrightarrow{\text{KOH}} \xrightarrow{\text{ethyleneglycol}} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}_3\text{CHO, 3 Å m.s.}} \xrightarrow{\text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, 23 °C} \\
& \text{120 °C} \\
& \text{(77\% yield)} \\
& \text{241 \((-\)-Isonitrine)} \\
\text{241} & \xrightarrow{\text{CH}_3\text{CHO, 3 Å m.s.}} \xrightarrow{\text{m-CPBA}} \\
& \text{CH}_2\text{Cl}_2, 0 °C \\
& \text{(92\% yield, 2 steps)} \\
& \text{242 \((+\)-Sibirine)}
\end{align*}
\]
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Figure A9.1. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 239a.
Figure A9.2. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 239a.

Figure A9.3. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 239a.
Figure A9.4. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 239b.
Figure A9.5. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound \textbf{239b}.

Figure A9.6. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound \textbf{239b}.
Figure A9.7. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 239c.
Figure A9.8. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 239c.

Figure A9.9. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 239c.
Figure A9.10. $^1H$ NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 239d.
Figure A9.11. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 239d.

Figure A9.12. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 239d.
Figure A9.13. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 239e.
Figure A9.14. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 239e.

Figure A9.15. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 239e.
Figure A9.16. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 239f.
Figure A9.17. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 239f.

Figure A9.18. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 239f.
Figure A9.19. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 239g.
Figure A9.20. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 239g.

Figure A9.21. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 239g.
Figure A9.22. $^1$H NMR (400 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) of compound 240a.
Figure A9.23. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 240a.

Figure A9.24. $^{13}$C NMR (101 MHz, C$_6$D$_6$) of compound 240a.
Figure A9.25. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 240b.

[Chemical structure image]

Appendix 9 – Spectra Relevant to Chapter 4
Figure A9.26. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 240b.

Figure A9.27. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 240b.
Figure A9.28. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 240c.
**Figure A9.29.** Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 240c.

**Figure A9.30.** $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 240c.
Figure A9.31. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 240d.
Figure A9.32. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 240d.

Figure A9.33. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 240d.
Figure A9.34. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 240e.
Figure A9.35. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 240e.

Figure A9.36. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 240e.
Figure A9.37. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound $^{240}f$. 

$^{240}f$: 

[Structural formula image]
Figure A9.38. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 240f.

Figure A9.39. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 240f.
Figure A9.40. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 240g.
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O
NHTs
Figure A9.41. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 240g.

Figure A9.42. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 240g.
Figure A9.43. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245a.
Figure A9.44. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 245a.

Figure A9.45. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245a.
Figure A9.46. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245b.
Figure A9.47. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 245b.

Figure A9.48. $^1$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245b.
Figure A9.49. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245c.
Figure A9.50. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 245c.

Figure A9.51. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245c.
Figure A9.52. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245d.
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Figure A9.53. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 245d.

Figure A9.54. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245d.
Figure A9.55. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245e.
Figure A9.56. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 245e.

Figure A9.57. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245e.
Figure A9.58. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245f.
Figure A9.59. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 245f.

Figure A9.60. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245f.
Figure A9.61. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245g.
Figure A9.62. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 245g.

Figure A9.63. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245g.
Figure A9.64. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245h.
Figure A9.65. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 245h.

Figure A9.66. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245h.
Figure A9.67. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245i.
Figure A9.68. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 245i.

Figure A9.69. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245i.
Figure A9.70. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245j.
Figure A9.71. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 245j.

Figure A9.72. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 245j.
Figure A9.73. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172g.
Figure A9.74. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 172g.

Figure A9.75. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 172g.
Figure A9.76. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246a.
Figure A9.77. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 246a.

Figure A9.78. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246a.
Figure A9.79. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246b.
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Figure A9.80. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 246b.

Figure A9.81. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246b.
Figure A9.82. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246c.

O

NHBoc
Figure A9.83. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 246c.

Figure A9.84. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246c.
Figure A9.85. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246d.
Figure A9.86. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 246d.

Figure A9.87. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246d.
Figure A9.88. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246e.
Figure A9.89. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 246e.

Figure A9.90. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246e.
Figure A9.91. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246f.
Figure A9.92. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 246f.

Figure A9.93. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246f.
Figure A9.94. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246g.
Figure A9.95. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 246g.

Figure A9.96. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246g.
Figure A9.97. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246h.
Figure A9.98. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 246h.

Figure A9.99. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246h.
Figure A9.100. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246i.
Figure A9.101. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 246i.

Figure A9.102. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246i.
Figure A9.103. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246j.
Figure A9.104. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 246j.

Figure A9.105. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 246j.
Figure A9.106. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165g.
Figure A9.107. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 165g.

Figure A9.108. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 165g.
Figure A9.109. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 248.
Figure A9.110. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 248.

Figure A9.111. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 248.
Figure A9.112. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 249.
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**Figure A9.113.** Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of compound 249.

**Figure A9.114.** $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of compound 249.
Figure A9.115. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of (-)-Isonitramine (241).
Figure A9.116. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of (−)-Isonitramine (241).

Figure A9.117. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of (−)-Isonitramine (241).
Figure A9.118. $^1$H NMR (500 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of (+)-Sibirinine (242).
Figure A9.119. Infrared spectrum (Thin Film, NaCl) of (+)-Sibirinine (242).

Figure A9.120. $^{13}$C NMR (126 MHz, CDCl$_3$) of (+)-Sibirinine (242).
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