Chapter 2

Engineered bidirectional communication mediates a consensus in a microbial biofilm consortium

A version of this chapter is published as [42].

2.1 Abstract

Here we present an engineered microbial consortium in which the microbial members communicate with each other and exhibit a ‘consensus’ gene expression response. This serves as a proof of concept that engineered consortia can communicate via dedicated signaling molecules in a biofilm. Two co-localized populations of *Escherichia coli* converse bi-directionally by exchanging acyl-homoserine lactone (acyl-HSL) signals. The consortium generates the gene expression response if and only if both populations are present at sufficient cell densities. Because neither population can respond without the other’s signal, this consensus function can be considered a logical AND gate in which the inputs are cell populations. The microbial consensus consortium (MCC) operates in diverse growth modes, including in a biofilm, where it sustains its MCC response for several days.
2.2 Introduction

Most bacteria live in heterogeneous surface-bound congregations called biofilms, and vast reaches of the earth are coated in these living films. In many cases, the microorganisms comprising this ubiquitous coating form complex, interactive communities called consortia [37, 51-54]. Despite their abundance, these microbial communities are poorly understood. Reflecting this relative ignorance of how bacteria behave in biofilms, efforts to program biofilm functions are still in their infancy. The ability to manipulate these films, however, would enable controlled studies of microbial ecosystem dynamics and micro-scale environmental manipulation. To begin to explore these possibilities, we have engineered *de novo* cellular circuits that control *Escherichia coli* behavior in a stable, robust mixed-population biofilm community. The populations communicate, come to a consensus, and respond to each other’s presence with a flexible, combinatory gene expression output.

Engineered circuits have been used to control the behavior of single cells [38, 45, 55-59] and cell populations [38, 39, 41, 45, 60] in both time and space. Cell–cell communication is a prerequisite for coordination of cellular circuit dynamics on the population level. Engineered communication, via broadcasting and receiving small-molecule signals, can enable the programming of robust and predictable population dynamics [39]. One-way engineered cell–cell communication has been used to coordinate biofilm formation in a single population at a predictable cell density [38] and to engineer pattern formation in a mixed population [41, 60]. Here, we demonstrate the first engineered bi-directional cell–cell communication network that can coordinate gene
expression from a mixed population. We have characterized the spatial and temporal behavior of this communication network in liquid, agar, and biofilm growth systems.

2.3 Results

2.3.1 Microbial Consensus Consortium (MCC) design and implementation

The MCC signaling network was constructed in *E. coli* from components of the LasI/LasR and RhlI/RhlR quorum sensing systems [61] found in *Pseudomonas aeruginosa*, an opportunistic pathogen that forms a biofilm in the lungs of cystic fibrosis patients (Figure 2.1). These two systems enable *P. aeruginosa* cells to sense their environment and population density and correspondingly regulate hundreds of genes [31, 62, 63]. LasI in Consensus Circuit A and RhlI in Consensus Circuit B catalyze the synthesis of the small acyl-homoserine lactone (acyl-HSL) signaling molecules.
3-oxododecanoyl-HSL (3OC12HSL) and butanoyl-HSL (C4HSL). The LasR transcriptional regulator in Circuit B is activated by the 3OC12HSL signal emitted by Circuit A, whereas RhlR in Circuit A is activated by the C4HSL signal emitted by Circuit B. The acyl-HSL concentrations are low at low cell densities but rise as the densities of Circuit A and Circuit B cells increase. When the signal molecules accumulate at high enough concentrations to activate the R proteins, the active R proteins can up-regulate target gene expression. Thus, both Circuit A and Circuit B cells must be present and at sufficient density before generating a “‘consortium’” response, in this case red and green fluorescence. The MCC signaling network implements a logical AND gate in which the two inputs are population levels of cells containing Circuit A and cells containing Circuit B, and the output is target gene expression by the two populations (Figure 2.1, lower-left corner).

Proper function of the MCC is defined by minimal target gene expression when the cells grow in isolation (neither can generate a response without a signal from the other) and high target gene expression when they are co-localized in sufficient densities to activate the R proteins. Preventing a single MCC member population from self-activating in isolation requires minimal “crosstalk” interactions between the Rhl and Las signaling systems. This constraint means that the Rhl promoter p(rhl) must respond specifically to C4HSL, the primary RhlI product [64], and the Las promoter p(las) must respond specifically to 3OC12HSL, the primary LasI product [62, 65, 66]. However, initial experiments in which only the receiver elements of Circuits A and B were tested revealed minor crosstalk between these promoter–activator pairs; particularly, p(rhl)
responded to high levels of 3OC12HSL (Figure 2.2). Thus, engineering of the MCC began with modeling to investigate the effects of this crosstalk and how these effects might be mitigated. The model was used to choose between circuit designs based on their ability to minimize the population densities required for activation when Circuit A cells and Circuit B cells are grown together (activation by consensus), while maximizing the population densities required for self-activation when Circuit A cells and Circuit B cells are grown in isolation (isolation activation). The model suggests that the MCC should be designed with positive feedback on the I proteins, as further described in the supplementary information to Brenner et al. [42]. The presence of the cognate signal,
C4HSL, in cells containing Circuit A should be a prerequisite for expression of LasI and production of the signal 3OC12HSL; in this way, the crosstalk signal concentration is minimized in the absence of Circuit B. Likewise, 3OC12HSL should up-regulate expression of RhlI in Circuit B, limiting the concentration of the crosstalk signal, C4HSL, in the absence of Circuit A. Modeling results illustrating target gene expression profiles in the presence of positive feedback are shown in Figure 2.3A. The construction of Circuits A and B therefore proceeded with \( \text{lasI} \) under control of \( p(\text{rhl}) \) in Circuit A and \( \text{rhlI} \) under control of \( p(\text{las}) \) in Circuit B (Figure 2.1).

2.3.2 MCC validation in liquid culture

We confirmed these design choices by initial characterization of the MCC system in liquid culture. To eliminate behavioral differences arising from variations in fluorophore maturation time and toxicity between Circuits A and B, we used GFP as the target gene in both circuits (GFP replaced Ds-Red in Figure 2.1). Cells containing each circuit were grown in isolation. Single-cell fluorescence measured as a function of time demonstrated that isolated circuits are unable to produce a significant response (Figure 2.3B). Cells containing the two circuits were also grown in separate chambers that allowed passage of small molecules between the two populations through a 0.2 μm membrane. When the two circuits were allowed to communicate with one another and grow to sufficient density, responses from both were > 100-fold greater than the responses of the circuits in isolation (Figure 2.3B). These results confirm our model-based design and verify that the response is specific and combinatorial: MCC components are distributed among different
cell populations, providing response control based on presence or absence of one of the cell populations from the mixture.

Figure 2.3 Initial characterization of the MCC. (A) Modeling results depicting AND gate activity of the Circuit A and B populations. Target genes are expressed at high levels only when both populations are present at adequate population densities. To optimize performance of the AND gate, it is necessary to maximize the population density required for one population to self-activate (isolation activation) while minimizing the population density required for activation of each circuit in the presence of the other (activation by consensus). A more formal analysis is included in Brenner et al. [42]. (B) Liquid phase characterization of the MCC confirms the modeling results in (A). Median single-cell fluorescence is depicted for each circuit as a function of the OD of cells containing Circuits A and B. When cells containing Circuits A and B are grown such that they can communicate with one another, fluorescence is > 100-fold higher than when they are grown in isolation. Circuit A cells grow more slowly than Circuit B cells in liquid phase, possibly because a higher metabolic cost is associated with production of 3OC12HSL (from LasI in Circuit A) than production of C4HSL (from RhlI in Circuit B) or because high intracellular concentrations of 3OC12HSL may have toxic effects. However, both populations reach stationary phase within 20 h of growth in liquid culture (Inset). More information regarding characterization in liquid culture can be found in Appendix A.2.

2.3.3 MCC behavior requires co-localization

To explore the need for co-localization in preparation for biofilm experiments, we tested MCC function in solid phase cultures. Circuit A cells were embedded in solid medium and placed in physical contact with solid medium containing the same density of Circuit B cells (Figure 2.4A). Function of both circuits was again indicated by green fluorescence to enable quantitative comparison, and images of green fluorescence were captured every 30 minutes. Image analysis (Figure 2.4B) revealed that fluorescence
emerges in the Circuit B cells closest to Circuit A within a few hours. The response of Circuit A cells as a whole is lower than that of Circuit B cells, likely because of the slower growth of Circuit A cells (Figure 2.3B, inset). Both populations reach maximal reporter gene expression within 20 hours of incubation in spatial proximity and maintain these fluorescence levels through at least 24 hours (Figure 2.4B). The level of fluorescence decreases with distance from the interface, reflecting the signal gradient. This illustrates the requirement that Circuits A and B grow to an adequate cell density in spatial proximity to one another to generate the consensus response.

**Figure 2.4** The MCC response is achieved by spatial co-localization of cells containing Circuits A and B. (A) A gradient of fluorescence emerges from the interface between an agar slice with embedded Circuit A cells and another slice with embedded Circuit B cells. (B) Image analysis of the experiment in (A), depicting the log of fluorescence. The pixels immediately surrounding the interface between agar slices were not quantified and were replaced with a black strip, because fluorescence in the boundary region may not accurately represent target-gene expression. More information regarding characterization in solid phase can be found in Appendix A.3.

### 2.3.4 MCC function in biofilms

Biofilms enable spatially proximate, sheltered bacterial growth and provide for development of predictable environmental niches in otherwise changeable macro-environments [67]. The ability to engineer living films may enable unprecedented stand-alone sensor design and environmental manipulation opportunities. To explore these possibilities, we studied the behavior of MCC circuit-containing cells growing in
biofilms. First, thin conformal biofilms were imaged by Confocal Laser Scanning Microscope (CLSM) in order to determine whether Circuit A and B cells would respond to increasing concentrations of acyl-HSL with increasing levels of fluorescence in the same way that they do when grown in other culture methods. No biofilms used in this analysis were allowed to grow deeper than 10 µm, removing acyl-HSL diffusion through the biofilm as a variable. All cells expressed a constitutive cyan fluorescent protein (eCFP) to enable total cell counts [68], and both circuit responses were indicated by green fluorescence. Results revealed that Circuit A and Circuit B cells are individually able to initiate and maintain healthy monoculture biofilms for periods of up to two weeks. Consistent with the liquid phase results, both populations respond strongly to their cognate acyl-HSL, and Circuit B cells exhibit greater sensitivity to exogenous acyl-HSL than Circuit A (Figure 2.5).

Mixed-culture MCC biofilms were then monitored by CLSM. In contrast to the thin biofilm dosage experiments detailed above, in which acyl-HSL was provided exogenously and concentration was uniform throughout, here the medium served as a sink for endogenously produced signals. Therefore, the biofilms examined in the mixed-culture MCC analysis were allowed to grow deeper than the monoculture biofilms so that signal molecules from Circuits A and B could accumulate. These biofilms grew no deeper than 80 µm, a depth at which oxygen diffusion is not a variable in fluorophore expression [69]. Circuit A function was identified by green fluorescence and Circuit B function by red fluorescence.
Figure 2.5 Monoculture biofilms respond to higher concentrations of acyl-HSL with higher levels of GFP expression. (A) Circuit A fluoresces minimally when 0.1 µM C4HSL is administered (blue), but fluorescence increases at 0.5 µM (green) and 5.0 µM (yellow) C4HSL, and saturates at 10 µM (orange) and 25 µM (red) C4HSL. (Inset) Mean fluorescence at each C4HSL concentration. (B) Circuit B fluoresces minimally in response to 0.001 µM (purple) C12HSL, but fluorescence increases at 0.01 µM (blue), 0.05 µM (green), 0.1 µM (yellow), and 0.5 µM (orange) C12HSL, and saturates at 1.0 µM (red) C12HSL. (Inset) Mean fluorescence at each C12HSL concentration. More information regarding image processing can be found in Appendices A.4–A.7.

As demonstrated in Figure 2.6A, Circuit A and B cells grow together and display MCC function in the mixed culture biofilm. Images of MCC biofilms taken between 24 and 120 hours after biofilm inoculation reveal that Circuits A and B grow in intimate contact within the biofilms. Cells containing Circuit A grow more slowly than Circuit B cells in liquid and solid cultures (Figure 2.3B, inset); fewer Circuit A cells are also present in the biofilm. Consistent with the liquid and solid phase results, fluorescence emerges in both strains within 24–36 hours of inoculation (Figure 2.6B). Steady MCC behavior, similar to that illustrated in Figure 2.6A, is observed for at least six days following inoculation, after which time biofilm depth generally exceeds the 80 µm experimental limit (Figure 2.6B). Neither circuit exhibits significant fluorescence when
grown separately in a similarly thick monoculture biofilm (Figure 2.6C, D). These results demonstrate sustained and specific consensus consortium behavior in an engineered biofilm.

**Figure 2.6** The MCC functions for at least 6 days when grown in a biofilm. (A) Three-dimensional rendering of an MCC biofilm, 24 h after inoculation, shows that both Circuit A and B cells are present and fluorescing. Circuit A cells constitutively express enhanced yellow fluorescent protein (eYFP; shown in yellow) and express GFP when the circuit is “on.” Circuit B cells constitutively express enhanced cyan fluorescent protein (eCFP; shown in cyan) and express ds-Red when the circuit is “on.” Circuit A cells are a minority, possibly because of their slower growth. (B) Mean intensities for Circuit A and Circuit B cells remain significant for at least 120 h after inoculation. (C) Monoculture biofilms of Circuit A cells fluoresce minimally. (D) Monoculture biofilms of Circuit B cells fluoresce minimally. All gridlines are 20 μm apart. More information regarding imaging can be found in Appendices A.4–A.7.
2.4 Discussion

*E. coli* can be engineered to detect and respond to highly varied stimuli including temperature, light, pH, gas or liquid concentrations [38, 40, 41, 70]. The MCC’s population-level AND gate enables a convenient and efficient integration of the function of multiple engineered cells that have each been specialized to sense and respond to particular conditions. The MCC might also be engineered into existing industrial strains, for example, to guarantee that in mixed culture batch reactors optimal population densities are reached prior to onset of multi-species enzymatic activity. We have demonstrated that *E. coli* growing in biofilms can be engineered like their planktonic counterparts. Communication among the cell populations in the MCC biofilm is essential, and it is noteworthy that some bacteria naturally depend upon quorum sensing to coordinate biofilm formation [29, 71], while others are known to disrupt their competitors’ biofilms by intercepting these signals [72]. An engineered living film could comprise such natural systems and be tuned to interact with them in order to engineer its environment. For example, such an engineered biofilm might be used to better understand the interactions of, or to interrupt the normal processes of, a quorum-sensing-dependent pathogenic biofilm.

In establishing the MCC, we have demonstrated the first step toward such an engineered living film. By segregating circuit components in different cells, we have introduced a new type of specificity in the response which eliminates the potential for “leakage” from any one population. The consensus response might be comprised of an enzyme and pro-drug pair, or two inactive fragments of a toxin. Leakage from either circuit in the absence of its partner or without adequate population density would be inert,
but a highly targeted therapeutic or destructive response would occur where and when the MCC becomes active. This type of multi-signal engineered living film could also be expanded to include many conversation partners, and to incorporate communication mechanisms other than quorum sensing. Potential applications of such multi-signal, synthetic multicellular systems include synthesis of materials [73] in response to integrated stimuli, or surveillance and early detection of environmental changes related to epidemiology or material degradation. As a medical technology, an engineered biofilm consortium might eliminate unwanted infection or even destroy harmful cells in the body [74]. In such applications, the engineered bacterial biofilm consortium would carry out its function over long periods of time, under a variety of conditions, with minimal human awareness or intervention.

2.5 Methods

2.5.1 Plasmids

The Circuit A plasmid pFNK-601 encodes lasI and gfp(LVA) under control of the \( P. aeruginosa \) rhlAB promoter (qsc119), as well as constitutive RhlR production from the p(lacIq) promoter. This plasmid was constructed from a PCR-amplified fragment of \( P. aeruginosa \) PAO-1 containing the lasI gene and from the Receiver A plasmid pFNK-202-qsc119 [75], shown in Figure 2.2. The Circuit B plasmid pFNK-602 expresses rhlI and gfp(LVA) from the \( P. aeruginosa \) p(las) promoter p(rsal). This plasmid also encodes constitutive lasR from the p(lacIq) promoter. Plasmid pFNK-602 was constructed by inserting rhlI into parent plasmid pFNK-202-qscrsaL. Plasmid pFNK-503-qscrsaL is the Receiver B plasmid (Figure 2.2) and expresses lasR from p(lacIq) and gfp(LVA) from the
*P. aeruginosa* p(rsal) promoter. Plasmid pFNK-602-red was constructed by replacing gfp(LVA) in pFNK-602 with dsred-exp from Clontech plasmid pDsRed-Exp. These plasmids are illustrated in Appendix A.1.

### 2.5.2 Model and simulations

Continuous differential equations were used to model promoter activation by R-proteins, I-protein production and degradation (which is proportional to target protein expression at steady state), acyl-HSL synthesis and degradation, and saturation of acyl-HSL synthesis. The model is described in detail in [42].

### 2.5.3 Liquid phase data acquisition and analysis

To study the MCC response in liquid phase, starter cultures of *E. coli* JM2.300 cells (F-*lacI*22 λ- c14*−* rpsL135(StrR) thi-1) harboring either Circuit A or Circuit B plasmids were grown to OD < 0.3 in M9 medium (2 mM MgSO4, 0.2% casamino acids, 0.5% glycerol, 300 µM thiamine) with 50 µg/ml-1 kanamycin at 37°C in a shaking incubator. The cells were then washed and diluted to an OD of 0.02 in M9 medium supplemented with 50 µg/ml-1 kanamycin. Holes were bored into the sides of two 50 ml Corning centrifuge tubes, and 20 ml of the Circuit A dilution was placed in one tube, 20 ml of the Circuit B dilution in the other. A Millipore Steriflip vacuum filtration unit was used to provide a 0.22 µM filter interface between two cultures for the consensus experiments. Both 50 ml tubes were affixed horizontally to the platform of a shaker at 37°C. Every hour, 1 ml samples were taken from each tube and replaced with 1 ml fresh M9 medium. Sample OD was measured using a Beckman Coulter DU 800 spectrophotometer, and
fluorescence measurements were taken on a Beckman Coulter Altra flow cytometer equipped with a 488 nm argon excitation laser and a 515–545 nm emission filter. Median fluorescence values were converted to equivalent fluorescein molecule counts using SPHERO Rainbow Calibration Particles (Spherotech RCP-30-5A) that were measured during each session. More information can be found in Appendix A.2.

2.5.4 Solid phase experiments
Two starter cultures of *E. coli* JM2.300, one harboring the Circuit A plasmid and one with the Circuit B plasmid, were grown to OD < 0.3 in M9 medium with 50 µgml⁻¹ kanamycin as described above. Cells from each culture were aliquotted into 6 ml of 37°C molten 1.5% low melt agarose (SeaPlaque) containing M9 and kanamycin 50 µgml⁻¹ to a final OD of 0.02. These solutions were poured into 60x15 mm Petri dishes (Falcon), and rectangular segments containing either Circuit A or Circuit B were excised from the solidified products. A Circuit A segment was placed end to end with a circuit B segment in a sterile WillCo glass-bottom dish. The plate was then incubated at 37°C, and images were taken every 30 minutes using a Zeiss Axiovert 200M microscope equipped with an AxioCam MR CCD camera. Images were captured with a 2.5x brightfield objective and a GFP filter with 470/40 excitation and 525/50 emission. Additional information is available in Appendix A.3.

2.5.5 Biofilm experiments
Starter cultures of *E. coli* JM2.300 harboring plasmid pMP4641 [68] and either the Circuit A or Circuit B plasmid were grown to saturation at 37°C in M9 biofilm medium,
(2 mM MgSO$_4$, 0.1% casamino acids, 0.4% glucose, 0.01% thymine, 100 μM CaCl$_2$), containing 50 μgml$^{-1}$ kanamycin and 20 μgml$^{-1}$ tetracycline. Starter cultures were then diluted to OD 0.2 in fresh M9 biofilm medium with 50 μgml$^{-1}$ kanamycin and 20 μgml$^{-1}$ tetracycline. Biofilms were grown in standard 1x4x40 mm flow chambers (Stovall Life Science, Inc.) with glass microscope coverslips. Monoculture biofilms were inoculated with 1 ml of the dilution of cells of the appropriate circuit, MCC biofilms were inoculated with a mixture of 500 μl of each. After inoculation, flow chambers were incubated for one hour without flow and then perfused at a low flow rate with M9 biofilm medium containing 50 μgml$^{-1}$ kanamycin and 20 μgml$^{-1}$ tetracycline. The flow chambers were incubated at 30°C. Images of the biofilms were captured at 24-hour intervals with a Zeiss 510 upright CLSM, controlled by Carl Zeiss AIM. A Zeiss Achroplan 40x/0.8 W objective was used to capture all images, images were captured with 512x512 pixel resolution, and all images used in quantitative comparisons were captured with identical pinhole and gain settings. eCFP excitation: 458 nm Argon laser, emission filter: BP 480–520 nm. GFP excitation: 488 nm Argon laser, emission filter: BP 500–530 nm. ds-Red excitation: 543 nm Helium-neon laser, emission filter: LP 560 nm. Images were processed for quantitative comparison with custom written Matlab-based tools. Three-dimensional rendering was performed in Imaris 4.5.2. Biofilms prepared solely for three-dimensional rendering incorporated a fourth fluorophore, eYFP on plasmid pMP4658 [68], excitation: 514 nm Argon laser, emission filter: LP 530 nm. More information regarding procedure, equipment settings, and processing can be found in Appendices A.4–A.7.